

CUPERTINO's DRAFT PARKS AND RECREATION SYSTEM MASTER PLAN

Public Input on Draft Master Plan, Additional Public Comments, through April 11, 2019

#	What do you like about the Master Plan?	What would you change or add to the Master Plan?	Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.)	Name (optional)	Email (optional)
69		There is no mention of tourism in the master plan. I think that Cupertino has many interesting attractions for tourists, and the city could play a role with organizations such as Apple to refine tourism.	I am not clear whether Tourism falls into Parks & Rec, or another arm of the city. But if it falls into Parks & Rec, the master plan should definitely address tourism. I would be happy to contribute / lead the Cupertino Tourism plan, if desired. I recently spent 3 years touring the World, so I've seen a number of Tourism "systems".	Dan Marshall	DanMarshall22@Gmail.com
68	I like that there are intentions to improve our parks and acquire more green open space.	I would change the addition of performing arts, aquatics center, and gymnasium facilities to our parks, especially memorial park. Memorial park is the largest park in Cupertino and has the most open space. I see it as a tremendous loss and waste of open space to put those facilities in the place of the open space.	I would like to suggest if they want to replace the pond in the Memorial park, maybe a giant playground similar to the Magical Bridge handicap accessible playground in Palo Alto and saving a small part of the water system to make a water play area similar to Ortega Park in Sunnyvale for summertime fun. I know we have droughts, but I'm hopeful there are sustainable options for water play.	Angel Chen	acchen3@gmail.com
67	I love the idea of acquiring more park space in Cupertino.	Memorial Park should not be considered as a potential location for a new aquatics facility, performing and fine arts center, or gymnasium complex and multi-use recreation center. The park needs more green space, not less. Local businesses already have problems with people attending park events parking in lots intended for their customers. Including any of those facilities in Memorial Park could make this occasional issue into a constant issue.	Memorial Park's proximity to existing performing and fine arts centers, and to a gymnasium complex and multi-use recreation center would make a new facility of either sort within the park superfluous. Also, an aquatics facility may attract ducks and geese back to the park after all the effort that have been made to get rid of them.	Sherman Cater	stcater3@gmail.com
66	Dog park and off-lease areas. Aquatics facilities including warm pool for water therapy. Trails and trail corridors		This is a fantastic plan. Thank you for the great work, and looking forward to the new developments! Eastern part of Cupertino, which is experiencing a large population growth of young families, can really benefit from more facilities. I am strongly supportive of pool facilities and dog parks in particular. I would personally very much welcome short-term trial of off-lease areas at Wilson Park and Creekside Park. My observation of Wilcon park usage pattern is that the Southeast quarter is almost always unused. Turning the area into a location for new facilities would be a great use of the land.		
65	Preserving our Parks and hopefully adding parks to the Eastside of Cupertino. Inclusive areas for all levels of abilities, renovating where needed. Activities in all parks was a great addition last summer and happy to see it will be continued	All building - especially the Eastside cannot do in lieu and has to add parkland in their own project. Make sure parks are shady. Replace trees that were taken out so we have more shade.			
64	Parks and Bicycle/Walking Paths and Trails on East side of Cupertino, and especially along the creeks	Farmers Markets in different locations	If there can be a plan to help keep our trails along the creek clean, deal with homeless encampments and graffiti. Organize regular creek cleanup days. Post visible signs where complaints and reports should be directed like garbage spilling out of cans, homeless encampments and graffiti.		
63	Good thinking!	Prioritize Pokemon Go. A Significant amount of your silent users go to the parks purely to use this app			

#	What do you like about the Master Plan?	What would you change or add to the Master Plan?	Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.)	Name (optional)	Email (optional)
62		Would really like to see various sport options in more parks in quicker timeline. For example, at Wilson park now, there are 3 baseball fields and only baseball - the park will enrich much more neighbors' life if there is a mix of sports fields/options like tennis, table tennis, badminton etc. More nature/environmental education and art elements can also be added. This will make a lot of fun visits to parks.		Vivian	Qyl168@hotmail.com
61	Very little	Why's all the important information in tiny font in the appendix? When I ask questions parks and rec commissioners that made this plan say "it's in the appendix" This is a TERRIBLE master plan. No representation for East Cupertino, no representation from working mothers and fathers and the plan contains all information for things WE DO NOT NEED. Build parks and open Green space (on the ground not 50 stories up in the air that is NOT a park) are what the children need. Force Lawson and Segwick to open their gates to the public. Just like all of the people coming from outside our city to bash residents say, "open up and unlock the land." The schools belong to the residents. Lawson and Segwick cannot continue as they have we need a written agreement to open up their courts and fields. More funding must be allocated to East Cupertino. Disproportionately it has gone to the Monta Vista area. No more. The residents of Cupertino have spoken up and we have a mandate. ALL must be represented fairly. This plan is a slap in the face to those that value community engagement transparency and accountability.	Please reject this Master Plan. Peter Pau himself could not have written a more vague, abusive, ineffective plan and it's sad to see politics harm our children, working class, seniors, and Cupertino community.		
60	I love that Cupertino takes such good care of parks .	I do not want a bathroom across from my house in three oaks park . We voted when the park was built to keep it a neighborhood parl		Lenore williams	Lenwilliams2@earthlink.net
59	Include dog parks				
58	Anything updated that includes preserving the nature that we have in supporting community is good	I'm not deeply familiar with the plan outside of the video. My only thought is the interconnected aspect. I lived in Cleveland 20 years ago where they had a beltway which was fantastic (trail and cars). My concern here is that it could become a security issue allowing theft or violence to be more easily transferred between communities. Perhaps micro connected trails to dissuade that is a consideration	For playground structures please consider sunshade. We have 2 small children and so many of the parks have trees around them but not necessarily over the structures which makes them hot and obviously provides too much Sun for young skin		Msmasully@gmail.com
57	There is some recognition that east side of Cupertino need more parks	There needs to be an actual concrete plan/route map on how to add parks to east side of Cupertino, namely the Rancho area, not just hope and dreams		Neal	gheewala114@yahoo.com

#	What do you like about the Master Plan?	What would you change or add to the Master Plan?	Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.)	Name (optional)	Email (optional)
56	I mean know disrespect to the team that prepared the Plan. However, reading the Plan sparks more questions than solutions regarding how Cupertino will address park, recreation, and open space inequity across the City.	(1) Please add data that identifies how many people live within a 1/2 mile of each park. Please identify how many people are expected to be served per acre per park. For example if a park is 3 acres, how many people live within a 1/2 mile of the 3-acre park (no matter city of residence)? Give expected park user values in terms of residents-per-acre. (2) Please provide within the body of the Master Plan an objective assessment of each park and amenities. For example, how many restrooms? When were the restrooms last renovated? How many picnic tables? When were the picnic areas last renovated? Play equipment? Walking/mixed use paths? Other? (3) Please consider upgrading Library Field status from "field" to "park". The community values Library Field, as it is in near constant use for cricket, pick-up soccer, volleyball, and field play. Library Field needs to be recognized and protected for the utility it offers the community.	I'd like to see better outreach to residents regarding the Parks and Recreation Master Plan. It seems the Plan includes big ticket items, yet relatively few residents contributed to the data set that informed the Plan. Without broad community support, where will the money come from to build the items suggested in the Plan. If Cupertino were to add a 200-600 seat performing arts center, who will pay for it? Does use of nearby performing arts centers indicate demand for Cupertino to have its own center, replacing Flint Center? Similar questions and concerns with a new aquatics center: are there opportunities to leverage/subsidize existing pool facilities as a means of meeting current and future aquatic needs and interests? It seems Cupertino needs incremental improvements and increased parkland acreage today, especially in eastern neighborhoods and as a higher priority than distant future, non-park capital improvements.	Liana Crabtree	lianacrabtree@yahoo.com
55	All of it. The I believe 7 main points are masterfully done. Sustainability and protection of ecosystems connecting the parks and the idea to make them all accessible equally to everybody instead of for instance all the nice bike paths and connections to larger walking paths all are in the wealthy neighborhoods(like they so often are.) It's well done and even attempts to address getting kids outside because we have in my opinion an epidemic of oversupervised children who don't get enough outside time with thier peers.	Surprisingly not that much I would make sure that there's something in there that they don't do what so many cities have done and ruined access by charging money. if the activities and places are built sustainably and well it shouldn't take much maintenance and volunteer groups should be encouraged. When you charge people those who need it the most often don't and can't go. It also takes away a lot of the casual enjoyability of outdoor activities which should remain as they always have since as long as humans can remember; just something you go do. So I would say to make it a clear point not to as so many cities have done a decent job of their master plan but forgot this little point and too many well-off city planners don't understand the sociology behind it and implemented fee's the average people simply can't afford. On that note I would like to see a firm edition of insurance free zones where people can just be human without having to literally give our allegiance to the whims of insurance companies and litigation. Obviously this one is a challenge but since you guys asked.. Most important it would be nice to see more parks and playgrounds open later so that 9-5 working people and kids can use them after school. Especially in winter. Last but not least please put in there somewhere that you will never over do the reserve system. That one is such a doozy and makes it so that perfectly good ball fields and the whatnot are completely unused more often than not.	I would like to point out that kids and people in general have a lot more fun when an area is less manicured just well taken care of. (it's also much cheaper to maintain and is consistent with what it seems you guys are trying to do) I would love to see some just beautiful native parks with maybe a little bit of grassland where people can throw frisbees or have a little family soccer game. Super low maintenance and just not a lot going on except just enjoy the space. In other words as natural as possible but not specifically for hiking. Old orchards and the whatnot make great places for kids to play things like capture the flag. On the same subject it would be nice if Cupertino had a place where people can have family reunions, anniversaries and weddings that are outdoors that had next to them optional camping for friends and family so the people don't drive afterwards. It just makes sense and it's makes it a lot more fun and people can sit around a campfire and enjoy each other's company after the event. They do this in Europe all the time and I found that it's very enjoyable and extremely well attended. All that said these are little things and from what I read of the draft it's extremely and surprisingly well written bravo and good luck.	Jey	Patterwigis@gmail.com



CITY OF CUPERTINO



Parks & Recreation System Master Plan

What do you like about the Master Plan? *It provides*

- ① More recreational areas
- ② More ~~open~~ outdoor parks, trails, bike lanes
- ③ ~~But Encourages~~ Encourages outdoor activities

What would you change or add to the Master Plan?

- ④ More indoor recreational activities for cold/winter days
 - Indoor tennis court
 - Indoor badminton court
 - Indoor basketball courts

Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.)

Convert the con #13, 14, 15 to Indoor
Tennis & BASKETBALL COURT

Name (optional)

Ravi Kumar

Email (optional)

ravi.k12@gmail.com

Why Tennis court
Can't @.



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Parks & Recreation System Master Plan Public Input Form



What do you like about the Master Plan?

What would you change or add to the Master Plan?

Please add a playground at the Cupertino library. There is nothing to do w/ kids after story time. IE: Los Altos Library, which we go to b/c there are 2 playgrounds we can play after we finish the library (one next to police station, 1 next to school)

Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.)

The mound of dirt, hill, between the big kids play area and small kids play area makes it ~~more~~ impossible to keep an eye on big kids while watching a toddler. Please consider families of mixed age children and trying to watch them all.

Name (optional)

Christine Kerrest

Email (optional)

Christine.Kerrest@gmail.com

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

Please be advised that pursuant to Cupertino Municipal Code 2.08.100, written communications sent to the Cupertino City Council, Commissioners or City staff concerning a matter on the agenda are included as supplemental material to the agenda item. These written communications are accessible to the public through the City's website and kept in packet archives. You are hereby admonished not to include any personal or private information in written communications to the City that you do not wish to make public; doing so shall constitute a waiver of any privacy rights you may have on the information provided to the City.

There are no playgrounds w/in a reasonable walking distance to Lincoln school. ~~There~~ There is no "community" feel to this school b/c we have no place to congregate after school.

Perhaps across from 7-11, ~~where~~ there used to be water can be converted to a playground... or alongside 7-11 ??



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Parks & Recreation System Master Plan

Public Workshop | 1/23/19



What do you like about the Master Plan?

Park improvement looks good.

What would you change or add to the Master Plan?

Is the ^{plan of} Lawrence - Mitty park still available
Hope to see new parks at East Cupertino.
Some real parks, not a piece of tiny grassland at
Main Street. That should not been call "local Parks".

Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.)

Still having performing and Fine Arts center? I think the Vallco plan
is on hold. Why this plan is still there? Do funds have to be reserved?
Could parking lot for Rancho San Antonio be expanded?

Name (optional) _____

Email (optional) _____

IMPORTANT NOTICE:

Please be advised that pursuant to Cupertino Municipal Code 2.08.100, written communications sent to the Cupertino City Council, Commissioners or City staff concerning a matter on the agenda are included as supplemental material to the agenda item. These written communications are accessible to the public through the City's website and kept in packet archives. You are hereby admonished not to include any personal or private information in written communications to the City that you do not wish to make public; doing so shall constitute a waiver of any privacy rights you may have on the information provided to the City.