CUPERTINO'S DRAFT PARKS AND RECREATION SYSTEM MASTER PLAN

Public Input on Draft Master Plan, Additional Public Comments Through Feb. 18, 2019

| | What do you like about the Master Plan? | | | Name | Email |
|----|--|---|---|-----------------------|---------------------------|
| # | All of the Theoliberted Toronton and the Community of the | Plan? | | (optional) | (optional) |
| | paths all are in the wealthy neighborhoods(like they so often are.) It's well done and even attempts to address getting kids outside because we have in my opinion an epidemic of oversupervised children who don't get enough outside time with thier peers. | something in there that they don't do what so many cities have done and ruined access by charging money. if the activities and places are built sustainably and well it shouldn't take much maintenance and volunteer groups should be encouraged. When you charge people those who need it the most often don't and can't go. It also takes away a lot of the casual enjoyability of outdoor activities which should remain as they always have since as long as humans can remember; just something you go do. So I would say to make it a clear point not to as so many cities have done a decent job of their master plan but forgot this little point and too many well-off city planners don't understand the sociology behind it and implemented fee's the average people simply can't afford. On that note I would like to see a firm edition of insurance free zones where people can just be human without having to literally give our allegiance to the whims of insurance companies and litigation. Obviously this one is a challenge but since you guys asked. Most important it would be nice to see more parks and playgrounds open later so that 9-5 working people and kids can use them after school. Especially in winter. Last but not least please put in there somewhere that you will never over do the reserve system. That one is such a doozy and makes it so that perfectly good ball fields and the whatnot are completely unused more often than not. | lot more fun when an area is less manicured just well taken care of. (it's also much cheaper to maintain and is consistent with what it seems you guys are trying to do) I would love to see some just beautiful native parks with maybe a little bit of grassland where people can throw frisbees or have a little family soccer game. Super low maintenance and just not a lot going on except just enjoy the space. In other words as natural as possible but not specifically for hiking. Old orchards and the whatnot make great places for kids to play things like capture the flag. On the same subject it would be nice if Cupertino had a place where people can have family reunions, anniversaries and weddings that are outdoors that had next to them optional camping for friends and family so the people don't drive afterwards. It just makes sense and it's makes it a lot more fun and people can sit around a campfire and enjoy each other's company after the event. They do this in Europe all the time and I found that it's very enjoyable and extremely well attended. All that said these are little things and from what I read of the draft it's extremely and surprisingly well written bravo and good luck. | Jey | Patterwigis@g mail.com |
| 54 | Keep McClellan Park Preserve a Nature Preserve. My husband and I ran a Research Garden at McClellan for 14 years where we received press coverage for the Park and City of Cupertino. Please don't do anything to McClellan. Leave it alone. We have been standing to keep this beautiful space as it is. Keep it a Nature Preserve | Buy the Oaks Shopping Center and use if for a larger more diverse Farmers Market as they have in Sunnyvale, which includes prepared foods, music, produce and cultural events. | | Roxanne Beverstein | rbeverstein@g mail.com |

| | What do you like about the Master Plan? | What would you change or add to the Master | Do you have any other comments? (Please be as | Name | Email |
|---|---|--|---|------------|------------|
| # | | Plan? | specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.) | (optional) | (optional) |

53 I heard that De Anza community college board voted to close Flint performance art center last week. And there is another motivation from city's planning committee of building another performance art center in down town cupertino. I don't see any point on this two controversial duplicated efforts, other than wasting tax dollars. 1) the flint center already serves well for community, what is the point of abandoning this and building another one? It double the costs, tearing down and building new, for nothing. 2) parking spaces. It is very effective to have a performance art center in community college campus. Recreational time and school hours are complimentary to each other. School campus provides ample parking spaces for recreation hours of weekends and nights. But you have to build parking structures for downtown performance art center to relieve parking burdens. This is another extra cost, and still it doesn't resolve but severe the traffic jam in downtown area. 3) traffic. Flint center in De Anza College is close to high way 280, it doesn't gives the burden on already jammed Stevens creek blvd, while downtown performance art center does. 4) college art programs. Flint center in campus can benefit students and community members. Other than the school's annual ceremony and celebration activities, it also gives the school the choice of providing performance art programs. I thinks these programs will benefit community members way more that a downtown performance art center. Please reconsider closing down Flint center and the plan of new performance art center in downtown. Thank you!

| 52 Do not like the master plan. | | Please keep the Flint performance center. | Frank Liu | Frankliu_6@ya |
|---------------------------------|---|---|-----------|---------------|
| | | | | hoo.com |
| 51 Enhancing existing parks | Avoid adding more basketball courts. Parks are supposed | | ron | _ |
| | to help people get in touch with nature, not concrete | | | |
| | floor. | | | |

| | What do you like about the Master Plan? | What would you change or add to the Master | Do you have any other comments? (Please be as | Name | Email |
|----|---|---|---|----------------|------------|
| | what do you like about the master Flan? | , , | ` | | |
| # | | Plan? | specific as possible. Include page numbers if known.) | (optional) | (optional) |
| 50 | Emphasis on connecting our city and nearby open space | I would like to see a neighborhood by neighborhood | A Performing Arts Center is not a top priority. It is very difficult | Susan Moore | Suemmo@co |
| | and other cities with walkable/ bikable paths and trails. | assessment of park space and then if major inequities, or | to give a response in this format | | mcast.net |
| | Preserving McChellan and Blackberry Farm | needed upgrades/repairs are found then a plan developed | | | |
| | | for that as first priority. The East and West Highway 85 is a | | | |
| | | rather arbitrary designation. It is actually a major barrier | | | |
| | | between parts of our city. We also have the spaces such | | | |
| | | as the non "parks" at Cali Mill and Main Street. There have | | | |
| | | been many missed opportunities. The sample size of | | | |
| | | the survey was so small (1-2%) that I feel that tables and | | | |
| | | graphs that were generated from this data may not reflect | | | |
| | | the needs of the residents. Park space should be | | | |
| | | identified in Masterplan | | | |
| 49 | | Library field is noted as potential location for Arts | Pg. 82 Longer Term Selected Enhancement Opportunities. Must | | |
| 73 | | center(page 88) or Teen center(page 90). This is the only | modify the statement "Connect via trails and bike lane network | | |
| | | green space in this neighborhood and it has to be | to Cupertino High, Wilson Park and Civic Center/Library" to | | |
| | | preserved for sports/leisure activities. I would suggest to | specify that these are subject to city council approval of design | | |
| | | remove this site as potential location for future arts and | and environmental impact review. | | |
| | | teen center from the master plan. | and environmental impact review. | | |
| 40 | Visual and performing art center provision | I would like to see a gallery or art museum space. | No | Janki chokshi | |
| 46 | Visual and performing art center provision | i would like to see a gallery of art museum space. | INU | Janki CHOKSIII | |