

What do you like about the Master Plan?	What would you change or add to the Master Plan?	Do you have any other comments? (Please be as specific as possible)
Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response	Open-Ended Response

<p>None. The fact that you are putting more money into a cricket field (>\$5M) and Dog park (>\$600K) than the sorely needed lighted basketball field (>\$250K??)</p>	<p>Not enough emphasis on having more basketball courts in the city. Most of the parks (eg. Jollyman) have excess grass area but only allocated a half sized basketball court at the moment and even those courts are neglected (surface cracked, etc). To get kids to go out and be more active especially in the winter time, create more "lighted" basketball court area. Out parks are pretty much "dead zone" after 5pm, consider looking at how some southern California towns have done in upgrading their parks so they are still pretty much utilized extensively even after 7-8pm by kids, young adults, etc.</p>	<p>Again, number of basketball courts in the city of this size is laughable. The best court right now is not in Cupertino but in West San Jose (Calabazas Park).</p>
<p>performing arts center, aquatics</p>	<p>add park land on north-east side of city. consider a junior museum/zoo like in palo alto. de-prioritize jollyman park, stevens creek corridor</p>	<p>senior center and sports center are good enough today</p>
	<p>The park space is very limited around the city. Are there plans to acquire additional land to expand parks in residential neighborhoods? The current park space in the Monta Vista area, and Memorial Park is difficult to get to, especially with traffic, from other parts of the city.</p>	<p>Blackberry Farm Park should be open year round.</p>
<p>I like the idea of increased trail connections and bike connections to the parks.</p>	<p>Parks are getting more overburdened. We need more parks and especially parks with trees around the outside perimeter. There are more and more units being built and more people in each park. This is making the parks less desirable and off-leash dogs are taking up large sections of the parks even though it is posted "all dogs must be on-leash".</p>	<p>Parks are getting overcrowded as residents per unit park area increases. Also, the average size of homes lot is decreasing significantly. In 2013, the average size of lot per home sold was 11,000 ft². But in 2018 the average size of lot per home sold was 9,000 ft². This is almost a 20% drop in 5 years. Homes are becoming more and more cramped and parks are too. Homes are becoming more like prisons and this is ruining the feel of Cupertino. If we stay on this trend, within 30 years, the average lot size per home sold will be 2400 ft². This is approaching prison-like conditions. No one would want to live in such a city. San Francisco is a mess. I don't want that crime, crowd, traffic, or stench. That is why I AM in Cupertino and not San Francisco. I will fight with the City, the State, the money-grubbing developers and whoever else wants to ruin the existing city in this way. Thanks for asking my sincere opinion.</p>

Not much
I didn't read it

Should be more accessible to the illiterate
Portals

Portal park doesn't live up to its name.

Please spend park funds on parks. It is wrong for park funds to be spent on a duplication of the under utilized Flint center. I am very happy with Flint center. It can meet all of Cupertino's needs.

Cupertino needs more parks especially in east Cupertino. We have no need for another performing arts center. An arts center would be a misuse of precious funding.

The residents of monta vista are fortunate to live amongst nature. Not so for east Cupertino. We who live east of miller live on crowded streets and in dire need of beautification and s serenity. Rancho Rinconada is the slum of Cupertino. A very expensive slum.

I am very hopeful that lots of trails (bike, walking, paved/unpaved) will be built. We have fantastic weather and outdoor assets - we should do everything we can to encourage people to get out of cars and walk, bike, run, skate, stroll etc.

Please please please figure out how to get access from West Stevens Creek into Rancho park. There was access before, and then it was all fenced off for the flooding project. Now there is no way to enter the park without going all the way around. Please figure out how to allow pedestrians and cyclists to get to Rancho via Stevens Creek

Interest in expanding the number of parks throughout the city by purchasing the land is good for the city.

Pg. 87 Aquatics Facility has listed Wilson Park as a Potential Location. This is a small park and should not be considered for aquatics. We need to keep the ball fields at this location.

Pg. 82 Longer Term Selected Enhancement Opportunities. Must modify the statement "Connect via trails and bike lane network to Cupertino High, Wilson Park and Civic Center/Library" to specify that these are subject to city council approval of design and environmental impact review.

It must have taken much time to draft this detail plan. To attract many responses with comments on the draft, the draft wording is a long documents for initial responses. Shorter highlighted version can interest more Cupertino citizens

Shorter highlighted version can interest more responses

Very Little.

I'm disappointed with the utter lack of genuine community engagement. Get a broad outreach of different neighborhoods and age demographics.

What is going on? Please be more transparent and have genuine community engagement. Have you taken into consideration the needs of park-starved East Cupertino? Please make another attempt because Cupertino Residents demand accountability from those that hold office and commission posts