

SANTA CLARA COUNTY RHNA SUBREGION TASK FORCE

PROS & CONS OF RHNA SUBREGION FORMATION

Pros	Cons	Example
Creates flexibility & allows cities to trade		Distribute the subregion's numbers or can use ABAG's distribution
Empowers cities to have a say in the regional planning process		Self-determination: a city is able to accept or not accept allocation from another city.
Allows better alignment between local and regional needs		Ability to plan along on transit corridors and near employment.
Can find innovative solutions		Collective problem-solving which may include negotiating credits and creative financing
May facilitate the production of more housing		Utilizes economies of scale and eliminates duplication. Siting housing near supportive services.
Creates a forum for collaboration that leads to innovative solutions		San Mateo County Trade Woodside/Redwood City & Daly City/Colma/County
Creates awareness (and healthy competition)		Creates a forum to share knowledge and success. When one city is doing the heavy lifting, may encourage other jurisdictions to step up to the plate.
If success, may create additional opportunities for collaborative work		Success may be housing or spill over to other technical areas (transportation). May use collaboration for legislative advocacy.
Better development		Cities can work together to build near transit and not

SANTA CLARA COUNTY RHNA SUBREGION TASK FORCE
 PROS & CONS OF RHNA SUBREGION FORMATION

		necessarily confined by a city boundary.
Creates a forum to discuss sharing of planning resources		Share resources - - may share in cost to pay consultants for housing element preparation or program ideas (for those who want to share).
	Time, effort & resources which may end in same result.	What if subregion fails to produce a different allocation?
	Lack of trust for fair and equitable process.	Some cities may shirk their responsibility to step up and accept housing.
Increases local control		Ability to control own numbers and improve county-wide performance.
	Loss of political distance from MTC and ABAG	Pressure on community to produce additional housing.
	Lack of clarity of the benefits to accept someone's numbers/housing	City worried about allocation dumping
Still need to plan for housing for all income levels		Can't go to zero. Every jurisdiction still has an allocation in every income level.
	No role model	No other subregion has such large population variances.
Increased use of ADUs		ADUs more feasible with cities with large residential lots.