



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight

City of Cupertino 2017 Community Survey

May 2017

Overview and Research Objectives

The City of Cupertino commissioned Godbe Research to conduct a survey of its residents with the following research objectives:

- Learn their overall perceptions of living in the City;
- Gauge resident satisfaction with the City's performance in providing services and programs;
- Gather resident feedback on several local issues including:
 - (a) recreation and community services;
 - (b) transportation choices
 - (c) public works;
 - (d) economic development;
 - (e) crime;
 - (f) ethnic diversity;
 - (g) information sources; and
- Identify any differences in attitudes and behavior due to demographic and/or behavioral characteristics.

- Data Collection Landline, cell phone, and online interviewing from email invitation
- Universe 43,657 adult (18 years or older) residents in the City of Cupertino
- Fielding Dates April 4 through April 15, 2017
- Interview Length 22 minutes
- Sample Size n=582
(landline n=145; cell n=89; online n=348)
- Margin of Error $\pm 4.04\%$



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Executive Summary

- The survey results indicate that Cupertino residents continue to have very positive perceptions about living in the City.
 - A majority of the residents are satisfied with their quality of life in the City (88.3%), with the “very satisfied” (43.6%) and the “somewhat satisfied” (44.7%) evenly split. The total is lower than in 2014 (96.9%).
 - Sixty-six percent feel a strong sense of community in the City, while 32.7 percent feel a weak or no sense of community at all. This is slightly lower than in the 2014 data, but not statistically significant.
- As in previous years, the “school system” emerged as the top reason why residents choose to live in Cupertino.
 - Thirty-five percent of the residents mentioned “school system” as the main reason for living in Cupertino, which is lower than the 39.4 percent mentions in 2014 survey. This response was more common among the residents ages 40 to 64, and Asians.

- Traffic was identified by 56.8 percent of the respondents as one of the two most important issues facing Cupertino. City planning / overdevelopment related issues were seen as the second most important issue (24.6%) followed by affordable housing (19.6%).

- A substantial majority of Cupertino residents continue to be satisfied with the overall job the City is doing to provide services to its residents.
 - 76.5 percent of the residents are satisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services, with 28.6 percent “very satisfied” and 47.9 percent “somewhat satisfied” ratings. This is a decrease from 2014 finding (89.1%).
 - Only 18.5 percent were dissatisfied with the City’s overall job performance, and 4.1 percent did not have an opinion.
- When looking at specific services, respondents indicated they were most satisfied with the following services:
 - Library services
 - Garbage collection
 - Quinlan Community Center facilities and programs
 - Recycling program
 - Blackberry Farms programs
 - Youth sports fields
 - Police services

- A large majority of the residents are satisfied with the services that the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department offers.
 - Seventy-six percent of respondents were satisfied with the overall job the department is doing.
- Seventy-four percent of respondents indicated they visit Cupertino parks at least once a month.
- Twenty-two percent participate in a Recreation Department activity at least once a month and 53 percent participate at least once a year.

- An overwhelming majority of the Cupertino residents continue to rely on personal vehicles for their daily commute.
 - 87.3 percent of the residents reported they “drive alone”.
 - 13.8 percent use “carpool, vanpool, or ride with others,” to go to work, school or other places they visit frequently.
 - At the same time, a combined total of 29.9 percent of the residents reported walking, bicycling or using public transit for these trips.
- Currently, 25.1 percent of the residents use alternative transportation at least once a week.
- Thirty-six percent of respondents indicated that inconvenient public transit routes and 28.3 percent inconvenient schedules were the reason they did not use alternative transportation
- The survey indicates that Cupertino residents continue to be enthusiastic about using a network of paths and roads to walk, bike, or roller blade.
 - Almost 8 out of 10 residents are likely to use a network of additional paths and roads, with 47.1 percent being “very likely” and 31.4 percent being “somewhat likely”.

- Forty-seven percent of respondents believe the City is doing a good job preventing pollution in the creeks in the City, while only 9.5 percent disagree. However, 43.1 percent indicated they don't know.
 - 62 percent of respondents indicated they would support a \$12 increase in the annual storm water fee to \$24 dollars per year.
- Thirty-three percent of respondents would pay at least \$6 a month if it would increase recycling by 30 percent.
- Thirty-four percent of respondents are aware of Silicon Valley Clean Energy.

- Fifty-three percent of the residents are satisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino when compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area. Conversely, 45.6 percent indicated their dissatisfaction.
- Nineteen percent of respondents wanted to see more restaurants (including high end restaurants) in Cupertino, 15.3 percent want more department stores, and 14.8 percent want to see discount stores.
- Respondents indicated they visited Safeway (48.2%), Target Express (44.2%), and Sprouts (42.3%), among others at Crossroad, Homestead Square or Main Street in 2016.

- The 2017 survey indicates that 38.6 percent of respondents feel that “crime has stayed about the same”, substantially less than in 2014 (57.2% stayed the same). Nine percent feel that “crime has decreased”, and 41.4 percent felt it has increased.
- Respondents feel most safe walking alone in their neighborhood during the day and at home.
- The survey results suggest that race and ethnic relations have been consistently positive (excellent and good) among Cupertino residents over the last 14 years.
 - 84.9 percent of the residents in the current survey indicated “excellent” or “good” ratings for race and ethnic relations in the City.
 - 34.9 percent of the respondents indicated that Cupertino’s ethnic diversity has made them more sympathetic and open, while 53.6 percent indicated there was no change in how they feel.
- Further, 63.0 percent of the residents indicated they felt that City’s efforts to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City was “about right”. While, 14.6 percent feel that the City is not doing enough.

- Respondents indicated that the Cupertino Courier (27.1%) and the Cupertino Scene (18.1%) were the most used sources to find City information.
- Nineteen percent of respondents indicated social media as a source to find City information with NextDoor (12.6%) being the largest component.
- Sixty-one percent of respondents have visited the City's website.
- Forty-four percent of respondents indicated that direct mail was the most preferred way of being informed about city projects, meetings, events and updates. Another 33.8 percent indicated email and 20 percent indicated the city website was the best source.




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Key Findings: Living in Cupertino

Q1: Satisfaction with Quality of Life



Q1: Satisfaction with Quality of Life

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	254 43.6%	127 45.1%	127 42.6%	0 .0%	40 51.7%	56 55.4%	61 43.2%	49 31.9%	43 42.6%	5 56.4%
Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	118 41.8%	141 47.5%	1 34.4%	27 34.9%	41 40.3%	65 45.9%	80 52.4%	44 43.3%	4 43.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	24 8.5%	25 8.5%	1 33.7%	6 7.4%	4 4.3%	13 9.0%	17 11.2%	10 10.3%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	11 3.8%	4 1.2%	1 31.9%	5 6.0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	5 3.6%	2 2.3%	0 .0%
DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .8%	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	2 1.5%	0 .0%

Q1: Satisfaction with Quality of Life

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	254 43.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	52 27.5%	1 48.8%	45 42.1%	4 24.0%	20 80.4%	7 88.2%	14 100.0%	7 67.6%	81 54.3%	8 35.4%	3 27.8%
Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	117 62.0%	1 51.2%	46 43.6%	9 57.6%	5 19.6%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 32.4%	49 32.8%	12 52.1%	7 57.9%
Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%	13 12.2%	3 18.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.0%	1 2.4%	1 11.5%
Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.0%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.5%	2 7.3%	0 2.7%
DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	1 2.8%	0 .0%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino



Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	17 6.0%	22 7.3%	0 .0%	4 5.7%	12 12.0%	2 1.8%	8 5.2%	10 9.4%	2 24.3%
Close to work	38 6.6%	12 4.4%	26 8.7%	0 .0%	5 6.3%	11 10.6%	6 4.4%	11 7.5%	5 5.1%	0 .0%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	11 4.0%	6 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	3 2.4%	5 3.5%	3 3.3%	1 7.2%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	39 13.8%	55 18.4%	0 .0%	25 33.0%	19 18.5%	12 8.6%	17 11.3%	20 19.6%	0 .0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	23 8.3%	19 6.5%	2 65.6%	15 20.1%	8 8.1%	9 6.3%	7 4.9%	4 3.6%	1 12.5%
Job	26 4.5%	15 5.5%	11 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	8 5.9%	5 3.3%	8 7.8%	1 12.5%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	7 2.5%	10 3.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.3%	5 3.8%	4 2.6%	5 5.3%	0 .0%
Retirement	7 1.2%	2 .7%	5 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	7 2.5%	14 4.7%	0 .0%	7 9.7%	2 2.4%	6 4.0%	3 1.9%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	107 38.1%	97 32.6%	1 34.4%	12 15.5%	21 21.1%	76 53.8%	72 47.5%	22 21.6%	2 18.7%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	17 6.2%	19 6.4%	0 .0%	4 4.6%	8 7.9%	5 3.4%	7 4.9%	10 10.3%	2 24.9%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	8 3.0%	7 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	6 4.3%	5 3.2%	2 1.6%	0 .0%
Diversity	3 .6%	3 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	1 .2%	2 .5%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	9 3.1%	5 1.7%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	6 5.6%	0 .0%	4 2.7%	2 1.8%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	2 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%

Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino


Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
I could afford a house	39 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.7%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 12.7%	2 7.9%	3 23.7%
Close to work	38 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 5.4%	5 34.9%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	18 12.0%	6 24.9%	1 9.7%
Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%	0 .0%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	0 .0%	9 5.8%	1 6.3%	1 5.4%
Friends / family here	93 16.1%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	42 22.0%	0 .0%	10 9.5%	2 10.3%	5 19.9%	0 .0%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	13 8.5%	1 4.3%	5 41.0%
Grew up here	45 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 8.5%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 9.4%	6 24.5%	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	12 8.0%	3 12.6%	1 11.0%
Job	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	0 .0%	5 4.5%	0 .0%	2 6.6%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	17 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	0 .0%	4 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	6 3.7%	0 .0%	0 2.7%
Retirement	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
School system	205 35.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	80 42.0%	2 100.0%	61 57.2%	7 45.5%	4 15.2%	5 65.6%	1 7.1%	6 56.2%	28 19.1%	5 20.2%	1 6.5%
Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.2%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.3%	3 14.7%	0 .0%
Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.1%	0 .0%	3 3.0%	0 .0%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%


Q2: Reason for Living in Cupertino Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino



Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino Continued



Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	64 22.9%	50 16.6%	0 .0%	15 19.5%	29 28.8%	30 21.3%	22 14.1%	15 14.8%	3 36.8%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	9 3.3%	12 4.0%	0 .0%	6 7.3%	8 8.1%	4 2.6%	1 .9%	2 2.2%	0 .0%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	14 4.9%	17 5.6%	0 .0%	7 8.9%	8 8.0%	3 2.4%	8 5.5%	4 3.9%	0 .0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	70 24.7%	50 16.9%	1 31.9%	9 11.3%	16 15.5%	30 21.1%	38 24.7%	26 25.8%	3 31.1%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	25 8.9%	42 14.1%	0 .0%	13 17.0%	6 6.2%	24 16.7%	19 12.2%	6 5.6%	0 .0%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	24 8.6%	39 13.2%	0 .0%	11 13.7%	9 9.0%	28 20.0%	13 8.2%	3 3.4%	0 .0%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	10 3.7%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 5.6%	4 2.8%	5 3.2%	2 1.5%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	5 1.8%	2 .7%	0 .0%	4 5.6%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.0%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	1 .2%	5 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 3.3%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	3 1.2%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	1 .8%	2 1.5%	2 1.6%	0 .0%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	9 3.1%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	5 6.6%	0 .0%	3 2.3%	1 .9%	3 3.0%	0 .0%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	11 3.9%	10 3.3%	1 34.4%	0 .0%	6 6.3%	4 3.0%	8 5.2%	3 3.3%	0 .0%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Race relations	18 3.0%	7 2.6%	10 3.5%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.5%	5 3.6%	5 3.2%	1 1.3%	1 12.5%
Taxes	27 4.7%	11 4.0%	16 5.4%	0 .0%	3 3.6%	6 6.1%	6 4.5%	7 4.6%	5 4.8%	0 .0%
Traffic	330 56.8%	154 54.7%	174 58.6%	2 65.6%	37 47.7%	56 55.1%	74 52.4%	97 63.8%	62 60.7%	5 56.1%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	14 4.8%	9 3.1%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	2 2.0%	5 3.9%	11 7.2%	2 1.9%	0 .0%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	15 5.2%	11 3.8%	1 34.4%	4 5.0%	6 5.9%	8 5.4%	6 3.9%	3 3.1%	1 7.2%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	10 3.6%	6 2.1%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	6 5.5%	5 3.4%	4 2.5%	0 .1%	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	2 .5%	4 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.2%	2 1.1%	0 .4%	0 .0%
Parking	7 1.2%	1 .4%	6 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	2 1.7%	2 1.6%	0 .2%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	12 4.1%	3 1.1%	1 33.7%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	5 3.6%	6 4.0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	13 4.7%	10 3.3%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	5 4.8%	6 4.2%	2 1.4%	7 7.1%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	8 2.7%	14 4.8%	0 .0%	10 13.5%	3 3.3%	1 .9%	1 .3%	6 6.3%	0 .0%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Affordable housing	114 19.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.0%	0 .0%	25 23.9%	5 35.4%	4 15.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	37 25.2%	10 42.2%	1 5.0%
City's economic health	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 9.6%	0 .0%	2 6.6%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.2%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.9%	0 .0%	6 5.8%	2 10.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 8.3%	1 4.3%	0 .0%
Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 20.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	37 19.6%	1 51.2%	17 16.5%	2 14.6%	4 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 26.5%	38 25.6%	8 34.4%	4 36.4%
Crime/Safety	67 11.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	25 13.1%	0 .0%	20 18.8%	1 9.4%	2 6.9%	1 11.8%	9 69.4%	1 11.4%	2 1.6%	4 16.1%	0 .0%
Education/School overcrowding	64 10.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	1 51.2%	13 12.6%	0 .0%	5 20.2%	3 40.9%	0 .0%	3 28.2%	12 8.1%	1 5.1%	1 9.2%
Environmental health	16 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.6%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Neighborhood preservation	7 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Poor cell coverage	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Protection of open space	7 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 1.9%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Public transportation	13 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.9%	0 .0%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	0 .0%	1 8.0%
Quality of life	22 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.1%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	1 10.2%	11 7.2%	1 4.6%	0 2.2%
Race relations	18 3.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	7 6.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	1 3.3%	0 2.2%
Taxes	27 4.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	2 10.3%	5 19.9%	0 .0%	3 23.5%	0 .0%	6 4.3%	4 15.3%	1 12.2%

Q3: Issues Facing Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Traffic	330 56.8%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	118 62.4%	1 48.8%	45 42.9%	7 43.7%	17 68.7%	5 65.6%	11 81.6%	7 73.5%	83 55.9%	9 39.4%	8 66.0%
Over population/Over crowding	23 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.1%	0 .0%	4 4.1%	0 .0%	1 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	2 21.3%
Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.8%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 7.8%	2 8.8%	1 9.1%
Cost of living	17 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.3%	1 48.8%	3 3.3%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Need more retail/Shopping	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Parking	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	1 3.5%	0 .0%
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.2%	0 .0%	5 4.3%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	0 .0%	1 8.8%
Other Mention	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	4 27.8%	0 .0%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	22 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.6%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	1 17.2%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	5 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q4: Sense of Community



Q4: Sense of Community

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very strong	101 17.4%	55 19.7%	46 15.4%	0 .0%	14 18.5%	24 24.1%	25 17.9%	19 12.5%	18 18.1%	0 .0%
Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	123 43.6%	160 53.7%	1 34.4%	38 49.2%	35 34.6%	80 56.7%	73 48.1%	52 51.0%	6 63.9%
Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	72 25.7%	57 19.2%	1 33.7%	20 25.6%	35 34.6%	22 15.9%	37 24.2%	14 14.1%	2 23.6%
Very weak	33 5.7%	19 6.9%	13 4.4%	1 31.9%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	9 6.3%	11 7.4%	9 9.1%	0 .0%
None at all	26 4.5%	8 3.0%	18 6.0%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	3 2.8%	3 2.3%	8 5.3%	6 5.7%	1 12.5%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	3 1.0%	4 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	4 2.3%	2 2.1%	0 .0%

Q4: Sense of Community Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very strong	101 17.4%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	0 .0%	26 24.6%	0 .0%	5 19.9%	1 17.2%	9 65.2%	5 51.3%	24 16.2%	1 4.3%	1 5.5%
Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	109 57.7%	1 48.8%	46 43.5%	7 44.0%	19 75.7%	5 65.6%	3 23.1%	4 38.5%	61 41.2%	9 37.4%	6 48.8%
Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	43 23.0%	0 .0%	27 25.1%	2 13.8%	1 4.4%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	38 25.8%	10 44.8%	2 15.7%
Very weak	33 5.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	1 51.2%	2 2.1%	3 17.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 10.8%	1 3.3%	3 22.0%
None at all	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	4 3.6%	4 25.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	8 5.1%	2 7.3%	1 8.0%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.3%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	2 1.0%	1 2.8%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Satisfaction with City Services

Q5: Satisfaction with Overall City Services



Q5: Satisfaction with Overall City Services


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	166 28.6%	79 28.0%	88 29.5%	0 .0%	29 38.2%	40 39.9%	28 20.1%	30 19.6%	35 34.1%	4 44.6%
Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	133 47.1%	144 48.4%	2 68.1%	28 36.1%	45 44.8%	74 52.9%	73 47.8%	53 52.5%	5 55.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.2%	37 13.1%	34 11.5%	0 .0%	10 13.3%	10 9.4%	21 15.0%	23 14.9%	8 7.4%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	18 6.5%	18 5.9%	1 31.9%	3 3.7%	3 3.2%	9 6.7%	18 11.6%	4 3.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA	29 5.0%	15 5.3%	14 4.8%	0 .0%	7 8.7%	3 2.7%	8 5.4%	9 6.2%	2 2.4%	0 .0%


Q5: Satisfaction with Overall City Services Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	166 28.6%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	36 19.0%	0 .0%	23 22.1%	4 26.4%	13 53.8%	6 75.3%	6 44.1%	2 23.4%	57 38.2%	10 43.2%	2 17.1%
Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	96 50.8%	0 .0%	51 48.4%	6 38.2%	10 39.8%	2 24.7%	7 48.9%	5 48.7%	68 46.0%	10 41.7%	5 47.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	34 17.8%	1 51.2%	17 15.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 7.8%	2 7.1%	4 33.2%
Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 7.1%	0 .0%	11 10.0%	4 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	29 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.2%	1 48.8%	4 3.8%	1 7.1%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	3 27.9%	5 3.5%	2 7.9%	0 2.7%

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services



Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services Continued



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Satisfied" = +2, "Somewhat Satisfied" = +1, "Somewhat Dissatisfied" = -1, and "Very Dissatisfied" = -2.

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.54	2.00	1.73	1.65	1.48	1.49	1.56	1.43
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.37	.39	1.33	1.35	1.20	1.40	1.51	2.00
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.28	1.36	2.00	1.39	1.39	1.31	1.26	1.35	1.58
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.18	1.37	.67	1.32	1.29	1.30	1.19	1.31	1.56
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.11	1.30	1.48	1.22	1.46	.97	1.22	1.29	1.56
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.07	1.17	1.00	1.13	1.30	1.12	.99	1.15	.84
6A. Police services	1.12	1.09	1.16	-.31	1.00	1.46	.99	.87	1.36	1.81
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.10	1.10	-.33	1.14	1.06	1.04	1.07	1.13	1.58
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.97	1.07	.	1.03	1.24	.64	1.03	1.18	.93
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.95	1.06	1.51	.84	1.39	.99	.79	1.12	1.62
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.01	.94	1.49	1.07	.89	.91	.90	1.18	.93
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.84	.96	-.33	1.16	.84	.95	.74	.94	.53
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.78	.85	-.29	1.07	.73	.85	.54	1.01	.92
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.74	.78	.04	.64	.87	.81	.46	1.14	.04
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.77	.71	.04	.91	.66	.82	.58	.87	-.04
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.72	.52	1.00	.74	.45	.67	.53	.78	.13
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.40	.36	-2.00	.52	.66	.29	-.02	.70	-.49
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.11	-.08	-2.00	.21	.02	-.24	-.34	.14	-.64

Q6: Satisfaction with Specific City Services Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
6E. Library services	1.56	2.00	1.00	1.52	2.00	1.39	1.54	1.81	1.86	2.00	1.63	1.65	1.38	1.50
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	2.00	1.00	1.25	.46	1.17	.82	1.70	2.00	1.79	1.09	1.62	1.16	1.48
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	2.00	1.00	1.19	-1.00	1.27	1.15	1.89	1.82	2.00	1.24	1.42	1.28	1.48
6D. Recycling program	1.28	2.00	1.00	1.03	-1.00	1.23	1.15	1.71	1.75	1.64	1.14	1.53	1.38	1.34
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	2.00	.	.98	1.00	.61	1.63	2.00	.	1.38	1.36	1.71	1.42	1.74
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.00	1.00	.92	2.00	1.08	1.24	1.66	1.00	1.77	.83	1.30	1.30	1.03
6A. Police services	1.12	.00	1.00	.88	2.00	.81	.62	1.53	1.42	1.87	.97	1.52	1.39	1.02
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.50	1.00	1.01	1.49	.98	1.05	1.61	.96	1.63	1.00	1.16	1.47	1.04
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.	.	.95	.	.54	1.40	1.39	1.57	1.21	1.00	1.30	.48	1.08
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.00	.	.82	.	.92	.41	1.39	2.00	2.00	-.79	1.23	1.42	1.13
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	2.00	1.00	.94	1.49	.81	1.15	1.18	1.49	1.40	.45	1.13	.62	1.11
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	2.00	1.00	.84	1.49	.70	.82	1.66	1.07	1.40	.91	1.00	1.46	.28
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	2.00	.	.65	.	.71	.48	1.38	1.54	1.55	1.08	.96	.27	.79
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	2.00	1.00	.67	.	.56	.14	1.46	1.54	1.46	.66	.95	.52	.88
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	2.00	.	.75	1.49	.68	.79	1.50	1.00	1.30	1.03	.79	-.44	.74
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	1.50	1.00	.67	1.49	.39	.49	1.68	.48	1.30	.50	.66	-.04	.35
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.50	1.00	.23	.	.33	-.55	1.25	1.85	.95	.68	.34	.07	-.16
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.50	1.00	-.06	-1.49	-.39	.00	.63	1.41	.01	.22	-.19	.22	-.31

Q7: Satisfaction with Recreation and Community Services Department

Overall job Recreation and Community Services Department is doing



Q7: Satisfaction with Recreation and Community Services Department


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	179 30.7%	79 28.1%	99 33.1%	1 34.4%	20 26.7%	40 39.4%	45 31.7%	32 21.0%	38 37.1%	4 43.9%
Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	130 46.3%	132 44.3%	0 .0%	34 44.0%	49 48.8%	65 46.3%	66 43.5%	45 44.0%	3 31.1%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	18 6.4%	11 3.8%	1 31.9%	2 3.0%	3 2.7%	10 7.0%	14 9.4%	1 1.2%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	4 1.5%	8 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.8%	1 .9%	5 4.9%	0 .0%
DK/NA	99 17.0%	50 17.7%	48 16.1%	1 33.7%	20 26.4%	9 9.0%	16 11.1%	39 25.3%	13 12.8%	2 24.9%

Q7: Satisfaction with Recreation and Community Services Department Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	179 30.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	39 20.6%	0 .0%	25 24.0%	5 33.4%	12 49.4%	5 58.1%	12 88.3%	4 35.3%	58 38.9%	6 26.5%	3 29.0%
Somewhat satisfied	262 45.1%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	100 52.8%	2 100.0%	50 47.5%	7 42.9%	9 35.4%	2 30.0%	2 11.7%	3 26.5%	54 36.5%	13 56.3%	5 43.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	30 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.6%	0 .0%	9 8.9%	3 17.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	1 3.5%	0 .0%
Very dissatisfied	12 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	5 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.2%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA	99 17.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	36 19.2%	0 .0%	16 15.2%	1 6.7%	4 15.3%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	4 38.2%	29 19.5%	2 9.1%	3 27.4%

Q8: Frequency of Visiting City Parks (n=582)



Q8: Frequency of Visiting City Parks

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
More than once a week	116 19.9%	53 19.0%	61 20.6%	1 33.7%	10 12.9%	25 24.3%	32 22.8%	30 19.9%	18 17.4%	1 11.8%
Once a week	137 23.5%	71 25.2%	64 21.5%	2 66.3%	13 16.6%	30 29.9%	43 30.7%	31 20.0%	17 17.0%	3 32.1%
A few times a month	115 19.7%	59 21.1%	55 18.5%	0 .0%	15 18.9%	21 21.2%	28 19.9%	33 21.6%	17 16.2%	1 12.5%
Once a month	65 11.2%	33 11.8%	32 10.7%	0 .0%	12 15.9%	8 8.1%	13 9.2%	16 10.5%	15 14.4%	1 11.8%
A few times a year	86 14.8%	36 12.7%	51 17.0%	0 .0%	11 14.4%	12 11.6%	14 9.8%	30 19.5%	17 16.9%	3 31.8%
Once a year	20 3.4%	11 4.0%	9 2.9%	0 .0%	8 10.9%	2 2.4%	3 2.4%	3 2.0%	2 2.3%	0 .0%
Less than once a year	18 3.1%	5 1.9%	13 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	6 3.8%	7 7.0%	0 .0%
Never	22 3.9%	10 3.6%	12 4.2%	0 .0%	8 10.3%	2 2.4%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	9 8.7%	0 .0%
DK/NA	3 .6%	2 .8%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q8: Frequency of Visiting City Parks

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
More than once a week	116 19.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	35 18.7%	0 .0%	23 21.4%	1 6.7%	5 19.9%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	39 26.4%	4 16.1%	4 32.3%
Once a week	137 23.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	47 24.9%	0 .0%	32 30.6%	4 27.8%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	7 48.9%	3 28.2%	25 17.1%	5 23.2%	3 25.8%
A few times a month	115 19.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	34 18.1%	1 48.8%	26 24.8%	4 26.0%	7 29.1%	3 40.9%	2 11.3%	1 12.0%	26 17.4%	4 16.3%	0 .0%
Once a month	65 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	27 14.4%	1 51.2%	9 8.3%	1 7.1%	3 13.3%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	14 9.4%	4 19.3%	1 9.8%
A few times a year	86 14.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	32 16.9%	0 .0%	10 9.2%	2 15.0%	1 4.2%	2 29.0%	3 21.0%	1 10.2%	23 15.5%	4 18.1%	3 23.7%
Once a year	20 3.4%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	6 2.9%	0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	3 11.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	1 2.4%	0 .0%
Less than once a year	18 3.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	2 .9%	0 .0%	3 3.0%	1 7.1%	2 6.9%	1 17.2%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	6 3.7%	0 .0%	1 7.0%
Never	22 3.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 10.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 39.4%	10 6.9%	1 4.6%	0 1.5%
DK/NA	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q9: Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities (n=582)



Q9: Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
More than once a week	23 4.0%	11 3.7%	13 4.3%	0 .0%	2 2.6%	4 3.9%	7 4.8%	5 3.0%	6 6.0%	0 .0%
Once a week	33 5.7%	12 4.3%	21 7.2%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	17 12.0%	8 5.5%	4 4.0%	2 19.6%
A few times a month	26 4.5%	11 3.8%	16 5.2%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	3 2.8%	9 6.3%	6 3.7%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
Once a month	47 8.0%	25 9.0%	21 6.9%	1 34.4%	5 6.1%	11 10.5%	9 6.5%	7 4.8%	15 14.8%	0 .0%
A few times a year	145 25.0%	64 22.8%	81 27.3%	0 .0%	15 19.8%	35 34.8%	38 27.1%	44 29.2%	10 10.0%	2 24.9%
Once a year	33 5.7%	18 6.5%	15 5.0%	0 .0%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	13 9.4%	11 7.4%	6 5.7%	0 .0%
Less than once a year	76 13.1%	40 14.2%	34 11.4%	2 65.6%	10 13.3%	9 9.0%	14 10.0%	29 18.9%	13 12.6%	1 11.8%
Never	172 29.6%	88 31.3%	84 28.2%	0 .0%	33 43.0%	32 31.4%	25 17.4%	39 25.3%	41 40.1%	3 36.8%
DK/NA	26 4.4%	13 4.4%	13 4.4%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	8 7.6%	9 6.7%	3 2.2%	3 3.1%	1 6.8%

Q9: Frequency of Participating in Recreation Department Activities Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
More than once a week	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.9%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 7.9%	1 4.6%	0 3.8%
Once a week	33 5.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 9.8%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 28.2%	5 3.4%	1 3.5%	1 8.1%
A few times a month	26 4.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	13 6.7%	0 .0%	6 6.1%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Once a month	47 8.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 15.1%	0 .0%	5 4.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
A few times a year	145 25.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	40 21.2%	0 .0%	45 42.7%	1 6.7%	7 26.4%	4 53.8%	10 76.1%	0 .0%	26 17.6%	4 18.3%	3 23.7%
Once a year	33 5.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.2%	1 51.2%	5 4.7%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	8 5.7%	1 2.4%	1 8.0%
Less than once a year	76 13.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 10.6%	0 .0%	13 12.2%	7 47.6%	4 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	22 15.0%	0 .0%	3 28.0%
Never	172 29.6%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	43 22.5%	0 .0%	19 17.7%	6 36.3%	10 40.6%	4 46.2%	2 11.3%	4 39.4%	57 38.3%	17 71.1%	3 28.4%
DK/NA	26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.9%	1 48.8%	6 6.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	8 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Transportation Choices

Q10: Daily Commuting Choices



Q10: Daily Commuting Choices


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Bicycle	39 6.7%	25 8.9%	13 4.4%	1 31.9%	4 5.6%	6 6.3%	16 11.2%	10 6.4%	2 1.7%	1 12.5%
Bus	38 6.5%	12 4.2%	26 8.7%	0 .0%	10 12.9%	5 5.2%	6 4.6%	2 1.3%	14 13.8%	0 .0%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	39 13.7%	41 13.7%	1 33.7%	12 16.0%	20 20.2%	20 14.3%	17 10.9%	9 9.0%	2 19.3%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	248 88.1%	257 86.3%	3 100.0%	64 82.8%	93 91.7%	127 89.8%	142 93.2%	75 73.9%	8 87.5%
Lightrail	7 1.2%	1 .4%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.8%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Train	14 2.3%	5 1.6%	9 3.0%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.8%	5 3.7%	3 1.7%	0 .1%	0 .0%
Walk	77 13.2%	37 13.1%	38 12.7%	2 65.6%	9 11.3%	13 13.3%	22 15.9%	22 14.3%	10 10.0%	0 .0%
Other	10 1.7%	3 1.0%	7 2.4%	0 .0%	6 7.3%	0 .0%	1 .6%	2 1.2%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Q10: Daily Commuting Choices Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Bicycle	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.7%	0 .0%	10 9.6%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.3%	1 3.5%	1 5.0%
Bus	38 6.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	0 .0%	8 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	3 1.8%	1 4.6%	0 2.7%
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80 13.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	30 16.1%	1 48.8%	15 14.4%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	18 12.1%	3 12.6%	2 18.3%
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508 87.3%	3 100.0%	3 100.0%	147 77.8%	2 100.0%	95 90.2%	15 100.0%	25 100.0%	8 100.0%	14 100.0%	7 72.1%	133 89.8%	22 95.4%	12 100.0%
Lightrail	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.1%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Train	14 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	1 51.2%	4 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Walk	77 13.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.6%	1 48.8%	12 11.4%	2 13.8%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	25 16.9%	1 3.5%	1 11.0%
Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q11: Use of Alternative Transportation



Q11: Use of Alternative Transportation


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Daily	34 5.8%	13 4.6%	20 6.6%	1 31.9%	6 8.3%	5 5.2%	5 3.9%	8 5.1%	9 8.6%	0 .0%
Few times a week	70 12.0%	38 13.4%	31 10.5%	1 33.7%	14 17.9%	8 8.3%	14 9.9%	22 14.4%	11 10.8%	1 12.5%
Once a week	43 7.3%	20 7.2%	21 7.1%	1 34.4%	10 13.6%	8 7.5%	11 7.8%	7 4.7%	5 5.2%	1 12.5%
Few times a month	71 12.1%	34 11.9%	37 12.4%	0 .0%	7 9.2%	14 13.6%	17 11.9%	19 12.4%	13 12.7%	1 12.5%
Once a month	39 6.7%	14 5.0%	25 8.4%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	2 2.4%	10 7.4%	14 9.0%	9 9.0%	1 11.8%
Few times a year or less	98 16.8%	54 19.2%	44 14.7%	0 .0%	9 12.3%	20 19.9%	29 20.3%	26 17.2%	13 12.6%	1 6.8%
Never	225 38.7%	107 38.1%	118 39.7%	0 .0%	27 35.6%	44 43.1%	53 37.9%	57 37.3%	40 39.6%	4 43.9%
DK/NA	3 .5%	1 .5%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	1 1.5%	0 .0%

Q11: Use of Alternative Transportation Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Daily	34 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%	0 .0%	6 5.6%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	8 5.2%	4 17.1%	0 .0%
Few times a week	70 12.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 7.8%	0 .0%	13 12.6%	4 23.2%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	31 21.1%	0 .0%	2 14.2%
Once a week	43 7.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 8.0%	0 .0%	7 7.1%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	1 12.0%	10 7.0%	3 12.6%	1 8.0%
Few times a month	71 12.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	26 13.7%	1 48.8%	10 9.6%	1 9.4%	4 17.2%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	1 10.2%	16 11.0%	3 14.7%	2 14.2%
Once a month	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	21 11.2%	0 .0%	6 5.5%	2 9.9%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	6 3.9%	1 3.3%	0 .0%
Few times a year or less	98 16.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	35 18.2%	0 .0%	24 22.2%	1 7.9%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	3 21.0%	2 22.2%	22 14.9%	4 15.1%	1 9.8%
Never	225 38.7%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	69 36.3%	1 51.2%	38 36.3%	7 42.9%	13 53.5%	8 100.0%	6 44.2%	1 11.4%	54 36.5%	9 37.3%	6 53.9%
DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q12: Reasons for Not Using Alternative Transportation



Q12: Reasons for Not Using Alternative Transportation

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	548	268	278	2	70	96	135	145	93	9
Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	11 4.2%	26 9.4%	0 .0%	8 11.3%	0 .0%	5 4.1%	3 1.9%	18 19.2%	3 37.4%
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	52 19.4%	47 17.0%	2 100.0%	5 6.7%	17 18.0%	35 25.7%	32 22.4%	12 13.2%	0 .0%
Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	13 4.7%	9 3.2%	1 49.5%	2 3.3%	3 3.4%	4 3.0%	7 4.6%	5 5.8%	1 11.8%
Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	111 41.4%	84 30.3%	1 50.5%	24 34.4%	25 26.3%	56 41.5%	67 46.3%	22 23.6%	2 19.3%
Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	90 33.7%	64 23.0%	1 50.5%	29 41.7%	16 17.1%	38 28.2%	50 34.4%	19 20.1%	3 31.1%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	27 10.1%	22 7.8%	1 50.5%	5 6.6%	7 7.5%	21 15.6%	12 8.5%	4 4.7%	0 .0%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	17 6.3%	14 5.0%	0 .0%	2 3.3%	6 6.7%	11 8.0%	9 6.3%	1 1.2%	1 12.5%
Prefer driving	230 42.0%	108 40.4%	121 43.5%	1 50.5%	24 33.5%	56 58.0%	57 42.4%	53 36.4%	36 39.2%	4 50.8%
Weather	30 5.5%	12 4.6%	18 6.4%	0 .0%	2 3.2%	6 6.2%	12 8.6%	8 5.6%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
Other	27 4.9%	15 5.5%	12 4.3%	0 .0%	4 6.2%	7 7.0%	7 4.9%	8 5.3%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	10 1.8%	5 1.8%	5 1.9%	0 .0%	2 2.7%	3 3.5%	1 .9%	3 1.9%	1 1.0%	0 .0%


Q12: Reasons for Not Using Alternative Transportation

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	548	3	3	181	2	100	14	25	8	14	7	141	19	12
Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.4%	0 .0%	5 5.0%	1 10.1%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 14.0%	1 5.2%	1 6.8%
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	38 21.1%	1 48.8%	20 19.8%	2 15.7%	1 4.7%	0 .0%	5 34.8%	4 53.4%	19 13.4%	5 26.5%	2 16.5%
Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 36.7%	4 2.6%	0 .0%	1 8.8%
Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	71 39.1%	1 51.2%	49 49.1%	4 25.9%	6 24.5%	2 24.7%	0 .0%	2 32.4%	40 28.7%	8 41.6%	3 30.0%
Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	64 35.2%	0 .0%	39 39.5%	4 25.5%	1 4.2%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	1 16.6%	29 20.6%	7 35.1%	3 26.2%
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.6%	1 48.8%	16 16.5%	2 15.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 6.5%	1 4.3%	1 8.7%
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.6%	0 .0%	9 9.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 14.2%	8 5.5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Prefer driving	230 42.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	61 33.4%	2 100.0%	27 26.8%	8 53.4%	18 73.2%	6 75.3%	9 65.2%	0 .0%	75 53.3%	9 47.0%	6 48.3%
Weather	30 5.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.0%	0 .0%	6 5.7%	1 7.6%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 16.6%	9 6.3%	1 4.3%	1 6.0%
Other	27 4.9%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.7%	0 .0%	6 6.2%	0 .0%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.7%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
DK/NA	10 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	3 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 14.2%	1 .6%	1 3.4%	0 .0%

Q13: Likely Use of Additional Paths and Roads

GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Q13: Likely Use of Additional Paths and Roads

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very likely	274 47.1%	131 46.5%	142 47.9%	1 33.7%	41 53.6%	53 52.5%	71 50.1%	74 48.5%	33 32.7%	2 24.9%
Somewhat likely	183 31.4%	87 31.0%	95 32.1%	0 .0%	23 30.5%	28 27.7%	45 32.0%	48 31.5%	34 33.6%	4 43.9%
Somewhat unlikely	43 7.4%	19 6.8%	24 8.0%	0 .0%	4 5.7%	10 9.5%	8 5.9%	10 6.2%	11 11.1%	0 .0%
Very unlikely	67 11.5%	39 13.8%	26 8.8%	2 66.3%	8 10.2%	8 7.6%	11 7.8%	19 12.2%	20 19.4%	2 24.3%
DK/NA	15 2.5%	5 1.8%	10 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	6 4.1%	2 1.5%	3 3.2%	1 6.8%

Q13: Likely Use of Additional Paths and Roads Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very likely	274 47.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	70 37.1%	1 48.8%	65 61.2%	8 49.1%	12 49.1%	3 40.9%	11 80.3%	8 76.6%	64 43.0%	14 60.4%	4 37.9%
Somewhat likely	183 31.4%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	71 37.4%	0 .0%	32 30.0%	6 36.7%	7 28.6%	2 30.0%	2 12.6%	1 12.0%	44 29.8%	9 36.8%	4 33.2%
Somewhat unlikely	43 7.4%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	1 51.2%	3 2.5%	0 .0%	4 15.4%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.1%	1 2.8%	2 21.5%
Very unlikely	67 11.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 15.5%	0 .0%	5 4.7%	2 14.2%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	1 11.4%	23 15.5%	0 .0%	1 7.5%
DK/NA	15 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Public Works

Q14: City Doing a Good Job Preventing Pollution in Creeks



Q14: City Doing a Good Job Preventing Pollution in Creeks

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes	276 47.4%	136 48.4%	140 46.9%	0 .0%	37 48.0%	51 50.8%	65 46.4%	53 35.0%	64 62.7%	5 56.4%
No	56 9.5%	19 6.8%	34 11.5%	2 65.6%	8 10.0%	8 8.1%	12 8.8%	19 12.5%	8 8.0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	251 43.1%	126 44.8%	124 41.5%	1 34.4%	32 42.0%	42 41.1%	63 44.9%	80 52.5%	30 29.2%	4 43.6%

Q14: City Doing a Good Job Preventing Pollution in Creeks

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Yes	276 47.4%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	85 44.8%	0 .0%	34 32.3%	7 43.3%	19 75.7%	6 71.0%	8 60.2%	6 56.2%	85 57.2%	11 48.7%	4 37.1%
No	56 9.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.6%	0 .0%	14 13.1%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	4 32.7%	0 .0%	15 9.8%	1 4.6%	4 33.4%
DK/NA	251 43.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	94 49.7%	2 100.0%	58 54.6%	9 56.7%	5 19.9%	2 29.0%	1 7.1%	4 43.8%	49 33.0%	11 46.8%	3 29.5%

Q15: Support for Storm Water Fee Increase of \$12 per Year



2017 Homeowners
Support – 62.0%
Oppose – 30.5%
DK – 7.5%

Q15: Support for Storm Water Fee Increase of \$12 per Year

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Strongly support	176 30.2%	76 27.0%	100 33.6%	0 .0%	30 38.6%	44 43.8%	37 26.0%	38 24.6%	27 26.3%	1 12.5%
Somewhat support	185 31.8%	88 31.3%	95 31.9%	2 66.3%	21 27.7%	20 19.5%	57 40.4%	45 29.2%	39 38.5%	3 36.8%
Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	41 14.7%	37 12.5%	0 .0%	11 13.7%	20 19.6%	15 10.8%	22 14.6%	11 10.4%	0 .0%
Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	53 18.8%	45 15.2%	1 33.7%	5 6.6%	10 9.4%	25 18.0%	39 25.4%	18 17.3%	3 31.5%
DK/NA	43 7.5%	23 8.3%	20 6.8%	0 .0%	10 13.4%	8 7.7%	7 4.9%	9 6.1%	8 7.4%	2 19.3%

Q15: Support for Storm Water Fee Increase of \$12 per Year

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Strongly support	176 30.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	42 22.1%	2 100.0%	30 28.8%	8 50.4%	6 22.3%	5 58.1%	13 92.9%	2 16.3%	50 33.9%	8 33.7%	4 33.8%
Somewhat support	185 31.8%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	80 42.3%	0 .0%	26 24.9%	3 17.4%	11 45.0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	1 12.0%	47 31.8%	4 17.6%	2 15.0%
Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.7%	0 .0%	17 15.6%	3 18.4%	7 28.0%	2 24.7%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	12 7.8%	4 17.3%	3 23.0%
Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	28 15.0%	0 .0%	25 23.8%	2 13.8%	1 4.7%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	30 19.9%	1 2.8%	3 26.0%
DK/NA	43 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	10 6.6%	7 28.6%	0 2.2%

Q16: Amount Willing to Pay Per Month for Garbage Collection if Recycling Increases 30% (n=582)



32.9% Willing to Pay \$6<

Q16: Amount Willing to Pay Per Month for Garbage Collection if Recycling Increases 30%

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	24 8.5%	17 5.7%	0 .0%	2 2.6%	16 16.0%	6 4.1%	11 7.4%	6 5.5%	0 .0%
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	17 6.2%	14 4.7%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	13 9.3%	10 6.8%	3 2.7%	0 .0%
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	49 17.3%	70 23.6%	0 .0%	30 39.7%	23 22.9%	26 18.5%	25 16.3%	14 14.2%	0 .0%
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	71 25.3%	73 24.4%	1 34.4%	16 20.2%	28 27.5%	29 20.9%	35 22.9%	34 33.9%	3 31.1%
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	94 33.3%	102 34.3%	2 65.6%	10 13.5%	27 26.5%	56 39.7%	65 42.7%	36 35.1%	4 43.9%
DK/NA	48 8.2%	26 9.4%	22 7.3%	0 .0%	13 17.3%	7 7.2%	11 7.5%	6 3.9%	9 8.6%	2 24.9%

Q16: Amount Willing to Pay Per Month for Garbage Collection if Recycling Increases 30%

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
\$16-\$20	41 7.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	9 4.7%	0 .0%	8 7.9%	1 6.7%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 9.9%	3 12.6%	0 .0%
\$11-\$15	31 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.8%	0 .0%	9 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	5 3.5%	1 4.3%	0 .0%
\$6-\$10	119 20.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	33 17.3%	1 48.8%	25 23.5%	2 15.0%	3 11.4%	0 .0%	14 100.0%	3 27.9%	33 22.1%	0 .0%	0 3.8%
\$1-\$5	145 24.9%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	60 31.7%	1 51.2%	10 9.0%	7 42.5%	12 48.1%	6 71.0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	29 19.5%	6 25.4%	7 61.9%
I would not be willing to pay more	198 34.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	63 33.1%	0 .0%	43 40.7%	4 28.7%	7 29.4%	2 29.0%	0 .0%	4 43.8%	50 33.7%	9 36.8%	4 32.1%
DK/NA	48 8.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.4%	0 .0%	11 10.0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 11.2%	5 21.0%	0 2.2%

Q17: Seen, Heard or Read About Silicon Valley Clean Energy (n=582)



Q17: Seen, Heard or Read About Silicon Valley Clean Energy

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes	195 33.5%	96 34.0%	97 32.6%	2 65.6%	16 21.2%	34 33.2%	52 36.9%	49 32.4%	40 39.0%	4 43.9%
No	338 58.1%	164 58.3%	173 58.1%	1 34.4%	52 67.1%	60 59.3%	73 51.6%	92 60.1%	59 57.7%	3 36.8%
DK/NA	49 8.5%	22 7.7%	28 9.3%	0 .0%	9 11.7%	8 7.4%	16 11.5%	12 7.6%	3 3.3%	2 19.3%

Q17: Seen, Heard or Read About Silicon Valley Clean Energy

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Yes	195 33.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	55 29.0%	1 48.8%	39 36.8%	1 6.7%	7 26.4%	1 17.2%	3 23.9%	4 35.3%	61 41.0%	4 18.6%	7 60.8%
No	338 58.1%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	112 58.9%	0 .0%	57 53.5%	12 75.9%	18 73.6%	7 82.8%	10 76.1%	7 64.7%	79 53.1%	17 75.0%	5 39.2%
DK/NA	49 8.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.0%	1 51.2%	10 9.6%	3 17.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.9%	1 6.3%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Economic Development

Q18: Satisfaction with Shopping Environment



Q18: Satisfaction with Shopping Environment


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Very satisfied	95 16.3%	46 16.5%	47 15.6%	2 66.3%	8 9.9%	24 23.7%	18 13.1%	22 14.4%	22 21.6%	1 11.8%
Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	103 36.7%	110 36.9%	0 .0%	29 37.3%	41 40.4%	54 38.4%	46 30.1%	42 41.0%	2 19.6%
Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	65 23.2%	74 24.9%	1 33.7%	23 30.2%	21 21.2%	31 21.7%	43 28.4%	17 16.4%	5 56.1%
Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	63 22.4%	62 20.9%	0 .0%	17 22.6%	10 9.5%	38 26.7%	41 26.6%	19 18.7%	1 12.5%
DK/NA	8 1.5%	3 1.2%	5 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 5.2%	0 .0%	1 .5%	2 2.3%	0 .0%

Q18: Satisfaction with Shopping Environment Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Very satisfied	95 16.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	14 7.7%	0 .0%	19 18.1%	1 9.4%	7 28.8%	6 71.0%	5 39.4%	3 27.9%	26 17.4%	3 13.4%	2 21.4%
Somewhat satisfied	213 36.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	85 45.1%	0 .0%	35 32.9%	3 19.7%	17 66.8%	0 .0%	3 24.4%	3 33.6%	44 29.6%	7 30.6%	3 28.4%
Somewhat dissatisfied	140 24.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	53 28.1%	1 51.2%	20 18.5%	2 15.0%	1 4.4%	1 11.8%	5 36.2%	3 26.5%	44 29.8%	2 9.6%	2 17.5%
Very dissatisfied	125 21.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	36 19.1%	1 48.8%	31 29.7%	9 56.0%	0 .0%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	32 21.7%	8 33.8%	4 32.7%
DK/NA	8 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	3 12.6%	0 .0%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino (n=582)



Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	34 12.0%	52 17.3%	0 .0%	5 6.3%	12 11.4%	17 12.1%	14 9.3%	35 33.9%	3 37.4%
Book stores	36 6.2%	21 7.3%	15 5.1%	0 .0%	6 7.2%	5 5.3%	6 4.0%	10 6.8%	7 6.7%	2 24.3%
Department stores	89 15.3%	28 10.1%	60 20.0%	1 34.4%	17 21.9%	11 10.6%	12 8.2%	23 15.0%	21 20.8%	6 68.5%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	34 12.2%	52 17.5%	0 .0%	14 18.9%	18 17.8%	22 15.3%	14 9.1%	14 14.2%	4 44.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	25 8.8%	12 4.0%	0 .0%	11 14.6%	10 10.1%	7 5.0%	5 3.6%	3 2.8%	0 .0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	5 1.7%	34 11.4%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	19 18.8%	6 4.1%	8 5.2%	3 3.0%	0 .0%
Fast food	28 4.8%	14 5.1%	14 4.6%	0 .0%	6 7.3%	7 6.8%	5 3.7%	7 4.7%	3 3.1%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	27 9.6%	23 7.9%	0 .0%	10 13.6%	14 14.2%	10 6.8%	5 3.6%	10 10.2%	0 .0%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	26 9.3%	39 13.0%	0 .0%	14 18.3%	16 15.8%	13 9.5%	11 7.0%	10 9.5%	1 11.8%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	20 7.3%	52 17.5%	0 .0%	15 20.0%	14 13.8%	13 9.5%	18 11.8%	12 11.6%	0 .0%
Pet stores	2 .3%	0 .0%	2 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	52 18.5%	61 20.4%	0 .0%	10 13.0%	24 24.0%	35 25.0%	31 20.6%	11 10.4%	1 12.5%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	34 12.1%	50 16.9%	1 34.4%	9 12.2%	19 19.1%	23 16.5%	22 14.3%	9 9.3%	2 24.9%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	21 7.5%	13 4.4%	0 .0%	5 6.6%	5 4.8%	13 9.4%	10 6.4%	1 1.3%	0 .0%
Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	4 1.6%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.9%	4 2.4%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	9 3.3%	6 2.0%	0 .0%	2 3.1%	5 4.7%	4 2.7%	4 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	10 3.7%	13 4.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 6.6%	8 5.4%	7 4.3%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	20 7.1%	11 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 4.7%	12 8.3%	10 6.6%	4 4.2%	0 .0%
Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	6 2.2%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 5.5%	0 .3%	1 .9%	0 .3%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .1%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	2 .7%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	16 5.7%	19 6.5%	1 33.7%	6 7.3%	8 7.6%	10 7.0%	6 3.7%	7 6.4%	1 12.5%
Nothing	47 8.1%	23 8.2%	23 7.8%	1 31.9%	5 6.7%	3 2.9%	15 10.7%	13 8.6%	11 11.0%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	22 7.7%	6 1.9%	0 .0%	7 8.7%	4 4.3%	4 3.1%	6 4.2%	5 5.1%	0 .0%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85 14.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	33 17.2%	0 .0%	16 15.5%	4 25.9%	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 19.0%	2 7.0%	1 7.0%
Book stores	36 6.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	0 .0%	4 3.5%	3 17.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.3%	2 16.3%	12 8.2%	4 17.5%	0 .0%
Department stores	89 15.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	22 11.8%	0 .0%	12 10.9%	4 25.5%	3 11.4%	3 34.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	39 26.0%	4 16.1%	0 .0%
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86 14.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.3%	0 .0%	8 7.8%	5 34.9%	3 13.3%	3 40.9%	11 80.3%	0 .0%	24 16.0%	3 10.9%	2 15.6%
Electronics / Software / High tech	37 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.4%	0 .0%	5 4.7%	0 .0%	3 11.2%	0 .0%	4 32.3%	0 .0%	10 6.5%	3 12.3%	1 8.0%
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	7 26.5%	3 40.9%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
Fast food	28 4.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	5 2.9%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	3 18.4%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	3 23.1%	0 .0%	9 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50 8.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	10 5.1%	0 .0%	13 12.3%	2 9.9%	3 13.1%	0 .0%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	9 5.9%	4 16.9%	4 31.5%
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.4%	0 .0%	16 15.1%	3 18.4%	6 24.5%	5 58.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 13.2%	1 3.5%	0 .0%
Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73 12.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	23 12.1%	0 .0%	12 11.3%	1 6.7%	2 6.9%	5 58.1%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	19 12.9%	3 15.0%	0 2.7%
Pet stores	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 6.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Restaurants / High end	113 19.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	26 13.5%	1 48.8%	23 22.0%	6 37.5%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	6 47.6%	2 16.3%	29 19.6%	8 33.9%	3 25.7%
Shopping / Retail	85 14.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	23 12.3%	1 48.8%	14 13.1%	3 17.0%	5 20.2%	1 17.2%	3 23.1%	0 .0%	24 16.2%	5 19.6%	2 15.5%
Sports / Sports facilities	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.5%	0 .0%	5 4.3%	1 7.1%	1 4.7%	1 12.8%	3 24.4%	0 .0%	6 4.0%	1 3.5%	0 .0%

Q19: Businesses Would Like to See in Cupertino

Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Home improvement/ Lowe's/Home Depot	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	0 .0%	0 2.2%
Entertainment venues/Night life	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	1 48.8%	5 4.4%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	3 1.8%	0 .0%	0 2.7%
Mall/Outdoor mall	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.2%	1 51.2%	1 1.0%	2 13.8%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	2 17.1%
Vallco - General mention	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 6.2%	0 .0%	10 9.1%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.3%	1 3.5%	2 19.3%
Ethnic food resturands/ Diversity of foods	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	3 11.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Auto related	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Medical related	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other Mention	36 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.0%	0 .0%	11 10.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 24.5%	0 .0%	12 7.9%	0 .0%	2 13.7%
Nothing	47 8.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.8%	0 .0%	12 11.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 39.9%	12 7.8%	5 21.4%	0 .0%
Not Sure/DK/NA	27 4.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	3 3.2%	0 .0%	1 4.2%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	2 21.6%	8 5.3%	1 2.8%	0 .0%

Q20: Businesses Visited at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street (n=582)



Q20: Businesses Visited at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Eureka	82 14.1%	36 12.6%	46 15.6%	0 .0%	15 19.1%	19 18.7%	23 16.6%	19 12.1%	5 5.2%	1 12.5%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219 37.5%	91 32.4%	126 42.4%	1 33.7%	14 18.7%	41 40.0%	70 50.0%	70 46.2%	22 21.3%	1 12.5%
Lazy Dog	165 28.3%	90 31.9%	74 24.9%	1 33.7%	23 29.4%	31 30.8%	50 35.2%	44 29.0%	16 15.4%	2 19.3%
Meet Fresh	56 9.6%	30 10.7%	26 8.6%	0 .0%	17 21.6%	8 8.2%	17 12.1%	11 7.4%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
Panino Guisto	42 7.2%	29 10.2%	13 4.5%	0 .0%	7 9.2%	5 4.7%	17 11.9%	11 7.4%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
Safeway	281 48.2%	136 48.4%	144 48.2%	1 33.7%	16 20.5%	49 48.1%	82 57.9%	75 49.5%	54 52.9%	6 63.2%
Sprouts	246 42.3%	121 42.8%	125 41.8%	1 33.7%	21 27.0%	36 35.9%	71 50.2%	82 54.1%	32 31.8%	3 38.3%
Steinmart	69 11.9%	26 9.3%	42 14.2%	1 33.7%	5 6.3%	9 9.2%	16 11.3%	27 17.9%	11 10.7%	1 12.5%
Target Express	257 44.2%	128 45.3%	129 43.3%	1 33.7%	34 44.9%	54 52.9%	71 50.5%	55 36.2%	37 36.3%	6 68.9%
Ulta	63 10.8%	12 4.4%	51 17.0%	0 .0%	15 19.8%	10 9.6%	17 11.8%	19 12.2%	3 2.9%	0 .0%
Other	84 14.5%	29 10.3%	55 18.3%	1 33.7%	5 6.2%	8 7.6%	23 16.7%	30 19.9%	14 14.0%	4 43.6%
Nothing	46 7.9%	33 11.7%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%	9 12.4%	10 9.5%	6 4.5%	13 8.2%	8 7.5%	0 .0%
DK/NA	13 2.2%	4 1.4%	8 2.7%	1 34.4%	3 3.6%	3 3.3%	3 2.2%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	1 12.5%

Q20: Businesses Visited at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Eureka	82 14.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	25 13.3%	1 48.8%	12 11.7%	4 28.4%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	27 18.4%	4 17.3%	0 2.2%
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219 37.5%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	65 34.2%	2 100.0%	57 53.5%	3 21.7%	10 40.4%	3 41.9%	1 7.1%	3 34.2%	53 36.0%	9 36.7%	3 29.9%
Lazy Dog	165 28.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	60 31.7%	1 48.8%	28 26.2%	5 35.5%	4 15.6%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	4 36.7%	45 30.4%	8 33.9%	2 21.3%
Meet Fresh	56 9.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	37 19.7%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	5 18.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	7 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Panino Guisto	42 7.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.1%	0 .0%	8 7.3%	2 15.0%	4 18.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 6.4%	1 3.3%	0 .0%
Safeway	281 48.2%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	77 40.8%	1 48.8%	56 53.1%	12 80.3%	14 55.4%	4 46.2%	3 18.8%	3 33.6%	74 50.2%	18 75.8%	6 50.8%
Sprouts	246 42.3%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	74 38.9%	1 48.8%	55 51.6%	9 58.0%	8 33.7%	3 41.9%	7 54.6%	5 45.6%	61 40.8%	12 50.8%	4 31.4%
Steinmart	69 11.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 8.0%	0 .0%	12 11.6%	3 21.3%	3 11.4%	2 30.0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	23 15.8%	2 7.1%	2 15.8%
Target Express	257 44.2%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	81 43.0%	1 48.8%	50 47.2%	6 38.2%	16 64.4%	7 87.2%	10 76.9%	4 38.5%	55 37.4%	12 50.7%	5 45.5%
Ulta	63 10.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	7 4.0%	0 .0%	17 16.2%	6 40.5%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	5 33.6%	1 12.0%	15 10.1%	2 10.4%	0 2.7%
Other	84 14.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	31 16.5%	1 51.2%	11 10.7%	2 14.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	25 16.9%	2 10.6%	1 10.3%
Nothing	46 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.6%	0 .0%	9 8.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	15 10.4%	2 8.0%	2 17.1%
DK/NA	13 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.4%	0 .0%	3 3.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Public Safety

Q21: Increase or Decrease of Crime



Q21: Increase or Decrease of Crime


Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Increased	241 41.4%	115 41.0%	124 41.8%	1 34.4%	20 26.3%	22 21.5%	76 53.6%	84 55.2%	32 31.5%	7 80.4%
Decreased	53 9.2%	28 9.8%	25 8.4%	1 31.9%	7 8.6%	14 13.8%	19 13.2%	5 3.4%	9 8.8%	0 .0%
Stayed about same	225 38.6%	112 39.9%	111 37.4%	1 33.7%	35 45.4%	53 52.0%	39 27.5%	45 29.5%	52 50.8%	2 19.6%
DK/NA	63 10.9%	26 9.3%	37 12.5%	0 .0%	15 19.7%	13 12.7%	8 5.7%	18 11.9%	9 8.8%	0 .0%

Q21: Increase or Decrease of Crime Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Increased	241 41.4%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	97 51.1%	1 48.8%	59 55.8%	5 31.1%	9 37.7%	1 11.8%	2 11.3%	6 61.8%	43 29.0%	3 11.6%	3 28.4%
Decreased	53 9.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 10.1%	0 .0%	11 10.7%	1 6.7%	3 13.3%	0 .0%	5 37.1%	0 .0%	9 6.2%	0 .0%	1 8.0%
Stayed about same	225 38.6%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	61 32.0%	1 51.2%	24 23.1%	8 51.9%	11 44.6%	2 30.0%	1 7.1%	3 27.9%	78 52.6%	16 66.7%	6 55.6%
DK/NA	63 10.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 6.8%	0 .0%	11 10.4%	2 10.3%	1 4.4%	5 58.1%	6 44.5%	1 10.2%	18 12.2%	5 21.7%	1 8.0%

Q22: Feelings of Safety (n=582)



Note: The above rating questions have been abbreviated for charting purposes. The responses were recoded to calculate mean scores: "Very Safe" = +2, "Somewhat Safe" = +1, "Neither" = 0, "Somewhat Unsafe" = -1, and "Very Unsafe" = -2.

Q22: Feelings of Safety

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.56	2.00	1.67	1.51	1.60	1.48	1.60	1.81
22A. At home	1.45	1.44	1.46	1.32	1.54	1.44	1.43	1.35	1.54	1.88
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.85	.48	1.32	.75	.70	.54	.58	.86	.99
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.51	.51	.98	.75	.59	.25	.52	.74	1.01
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.54	.35	.63	.72	.54	.19	.15	.80	1.81

Q22: Feelings of Safety Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	0.5	1	1.43	2	1.4	1.73	1.84	1.88	1.76	1.28	1.77	1.45	1.85
22A. At home	1.45	1	1	1.24	2	1.26	1.84	1.74	1.75	1.93	1.07	1.75	1.4	1.5
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	0.66	0	0	0.68	1.51	0.43	0.64	0.47	0.29	-1.55	0.27	1.02	1.05	0.82
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	0.51	1	0	0.64	0	0.1	0.07	0.79	0.77	0.98	0.06	0.7	0.59	0.83
22E. Leaving your home unattended	0.44	0	1	0.28	2	-0.1	0.61	0.76	-0.29	-1.67	0.33	1.07	0.92	0.91




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Ethnic Diversity

Q23: Ethnic Relations



Q23: Ethnic Relations

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Excellent	122 21.0%	72 25.5%	51 17.0%	0 .0%	16 21.5%	24 23.6%	32 22.5%	31 20.4%	19 18.8%	0 .0%
Good	372 63.9%	162 57.4%	209 70.3%	1 33.7%	52 68.2%	65 64.2%	81 57.7%	102 66.8%	65 63.6%	7 75.7%
Poor	46 7.9%	24 8.4%	21 7.2%	1 34.4%	3 3.7%	12 12.3%	13 9.1%	7 4.7%	9 8.6%	2 24.3%
Very poor	12 2.0%	7 2.6%	3 1.2%	1 31.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.9%	4 2.8%	2 2.0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	30 5.1%	17 6.0%	13 4.3%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	10 6.8%	8 5.3%	7 7.0%	0 .0%

Q23: Ethnic Relations

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Excellent	122 21.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	29 15.3%	0 .0%	34 32.2%	2 15.0%	6 24.4%	1 17.2%	7 52.0%	2 23.4%	31 20.8%	3 13.2%	0 .0%
Good	372 63.9%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	134 71.0%	1 48.8%	55 52.3%	12 77.9%	19 75.6%	7 82.8%	6 48.0%	6 60.4%	88 59.1%	15 62.5%	11 94.5%
Poor	46 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%	6 5.9%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	18 12.0%	6 24.3%	1 5.5%
Very poor	12 2.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	5 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	30 5.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.2%	1 51.2%	6 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 5.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q24: Attitude Towards Ethnic Minorities



Q24: Attitude Towards Ethnic Minorities

Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	22 8.0%	16 5.5%	1 31.9%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	18 12.6%	10 6.7%	6 6.0%	2 19.3%
More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	88 31.2%	116 38.9%	0 .0%	14 18.3%	37 36.8%	49 34.9%	61 40.0%	41 39.9%	1 12.5%
No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	156 55.5%	155 52.0%	1 33.7%	54 69.9%	60 59.3%	67 47.3%	72 47.2%	54 52.8%	6 68.2%
DK/NA	27 4.6%	15 5.3%	11 3.7%	1 34.4%	9 11.8%	0 .0%	7 5.2%	9 6.1%	1 1.2%	0 .0%

Q24: Attitude Towards Ethnic Minorities

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	0 .0%	11 10.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	17 11.4%	1 6.1%	0 .0%
More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	78 41.2%	1 48.8%	45 42.4%	4 23.2%	13 50.5%	4 52.8%	3 24.4%	3 33.6%	36 24.4%	7 31.2%	2 16.7%
No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	96 50.9%	1 51.2%	44 41.3%	9 59.8%	12 49.5%	3 34.4%	10 75.6%	5 50.1%	90 60.9%	15 62.7%	10 83.3%
DK/NA	27 4.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	7 3.8%	0 .0%	7 6.3%	3 17.0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q25: City Efforts for Ethnic Minorities



Q25: City Efforts for Ethnic Minorities

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Too much	34 5.9%	16 5.7%	16 5.5%	2 65.6%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	7 4.8%	16 10.2%	8 7.8%	2 18.7%
About the right amount	367 63.0%	170 60.3%	196 65.9%	1 34.4%	54 69.8%	75 73.7%	83 59.0%	82 53.5%	69 67.7%	5 57.0%
Not enough	85 14.6%	43 15.2%	42 14.2%	0 .0%	10 12.4%	16 16.1%	27 18.9%	22 14.4%	10 10.3%	0 .0%
DK/NA	96 16.5%	53 18.8%	43 14.4%	0 .0%	14 17.8%	8 7.8%	24 17.3%	33 21.9%	14 14.2%	2 24.3%

Q25: City Efforts for Ethnic Minorities

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Too much	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.7%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	17 11.5%	2 8.5%	1 11.5%
About the right amount	367 63.0%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	125 66.2%	0 .0%	63 59.5%	8 54.5%	21 84.3%	6 71.0%	11 81.2%	4 40.2%	90 60.8%	13 56.1%	7 57.9%
Not enough	85 14.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	19 10.2%	0 .0%	27 25.8%	5 31.3%	1 4.7%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	15 10.2%	8 35.4%	1 4.5%
DK/NA	96 16.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	36 18.9%	2 100.0%	15 13.8%	1 7.1%	3 11.0%	1 17.2%	1 7.1%	3 31.9%	26 17.4%	0 .0%	3 26.1%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight




Information Sources

Q26: Preferred Information Sources



Q26: Preferred Information Sources Continued



Q26: Preferred Information Sources

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	44 15.7%	59 19.9%	1 34.4%	13 16.6%	20 19.8%	29 20.5%	22 14.5%	19 18.9%	2 19.0%
City Website	76 13.0%	33 11.9%	42 14.2%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	13 13.0%	29 20.6%	20 13.0%	10 9.5%	1 12.5%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	87 31.0%	70 23.4%	1 31.9%	14 18.6%	14 14.3%	32 22.4%	58 37.7%	34 33.4%	6 67.9%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	47 16.6%	59 19.7%	0 .0%	10 13.1%	13 13.3%	25 18.0%	39 25.3%	16 15.8%	2 19.6%
Email	36 6.2%	23 8.3%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%	5 6.0%	5 4.7%	12 8.5%	12 7.6%	3 3.1%	0 .0%
Facebook	31 5.3%	15 5.2%	16 5.4%	0 .0%	12 16.0%	11 10.5%	8 5.5%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	8 2.9%	19 6.3%	0 .0%	2 2.0%	5 5.2%	12 8.4%	6 4.0%	2 2.0%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	1 .4%	10 3.3%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	5 5.3%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	38 13.6%	20 6.6%	1 34.4%	15 19.5%	13 12.7%	13 9.3%	13 8.5%	5 5.0%	0 .0%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	36 12.6%	54 18.2%	0 .0%	10 13.5%	5 5.3%	18 12.6%	23 15.0%	31 30.8%	2 24.9%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	18 6.3%	16 5.5%	0 .0%	8 10.9%	8 7.9%	6 4.4%	6 4.0%	5 4.5%	1 11.8%

Q26: Preferred Information Sources

Gender and Age Comparisons Continued

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
NextDoor	73 12.6%	40 14.2%	33 11.2%	0 .0%	5 6.7%	10 10.1%	33 23.5%	21 13.6%	4 4.0%	0 .0%
Non-English media	3 .5%	3 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	2 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	9 1.5%	1 .3%	8 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	2 1.5%	3 1.9%	1 1.2%	0 .0%
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	19 6.9%	15 5.0%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	4 4.4%	8 5.7%	11 7.1%	7 7.1%	2 19.3%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	6 2.3%	10 3.5%	0 .0%	2 2.6%	5 4.8%	3 2.0%	3 1.8%	4 4.2%	0 .0%
Twitter	1 .1%	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	7 1.1%	4 1.5%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.7%	4 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	31 11.0%	36 12.2%	0 .0%	12 16.1%	22 22.1%	13 9.1%	15 9.6%	5 5.0%	0 .0%
None	8 1.3%	3 1.1%	5 1.5%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	1 .9%	1 .6%	0 .0%
Nothing	7 1.2%	3 1.0%	3 1.0%	1 33.7%	2 2.6%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	1 .9%	2 1.7%	0 .0%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	4 1.3%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	2 2.5%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	1 .7%	2 1.5%	0 .0%

Q26: Preferred Information Sources


Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Brochures or flyers	105 18.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	30 15.8%	2 100.0%	15 13.8%	7 45.2%	7 28.6%	2 30.0%	5 36.2%	1 10.2%	21 14.5%	5 21.4%	3 23.1%
City Website	76 13.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	18 9.6%	0 .0%	21 19.6%	0 .0%	4 15.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	25 16.7%	1 3.5%	1 8.2%
Cupertino Courier	158 27.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	41 21.4%	1 48.8%	33 31.5%	2 14.2%	5 19.5%	2 24.7%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	56 37.9%	5 22.4%	3 28.3%
Cupertino Scene	105 18.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	24 12.6%	1 51.2%	30 28.8%	4 28.8%	1 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 16.3%	31 20.8%	7 29.3%	2 20.6%
Email	36 6.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	17 9.2%	0 .0%	11 10.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Facebook	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.0%	0 .0%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	3 21.0%	2 16.3%	8 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	27 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.7%	0 .0%	6 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.5%	1 4.6%	0 .0%
Interaction with City staff at events	11 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%	0 .0%	6 5.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Internet (No specific site)	59 10.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.7%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	2 9.9%	7 28.8%	1 17.2%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	12 7.9%	0 .0%	0 2.7%
Local TV news channels	90 15.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	42 22.1%	0 .0%	11 10.4%	1 9.4%	3 13.2%	0 .0%	6 47.4%	1 10.2%	17 11.4%	4 17.1%	2 20.2%
Mailers / Postcards	34 5.9%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	13 6.6%	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	3 11.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 7.7%	0 .0%	2 19.9%
NextDoor	73 12.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	14 7.5%	0 .0%	23 22.0%	3 21.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 35.3%	16 10.9%	8 35.3%	1 7.5%
Non-English media	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Radio	9 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	1 8.0%

Q26: Preferred Information Sources Ethnicity Comparisons Continued

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
San Jose Mercury News	34 5.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.5%	0 .0%	4 3.9%	1 6.7%	2 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	13 8.6%	1 4.3%	1 9.2%
The C -- Cupertino news	17 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.1%	0 .0%	2 1.6%	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.5%	2 9.5%	1 7.0%
Twitter	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	7 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Word of mouth	67 11.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	16 8.3%	0 .0%	13 12.7%	4 25.1%	9 36.0%	4 52.8%	3 21.0%	0 .0%	15 9.9%	1 6.1%	0 3.8%
None	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 27.9%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Nothing	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.2%	0 .0%	1 8.8%
DK/NA	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	1 .4%	2 10.4%	0 .0%

Q27: City Website Visits



Q27: City Website Visits Gender and Age Comparisons


	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Yes	357 61.3%	178 63.4%	175 58.8%	3 100.0%	38 49.2%	53 52.8%	111 79.0%	105 68.9%	42 41.5%	7 75.1%
No	202 34.8%	93 33.0%	110 36.8%	0 .0%	32 41.5%	48 47.2%	23 16.1%	41 26.8%	57 56.0%	2 24.9%
DK/NA	23 4.0%	10 3.6%	13 4.4%	0 .0%	7 9.3%	0 .0%	7 4.9%	7 4.3%	3 2.5%	0 .0%

Q27: City Website Visits

Ethnicity Comparisons

	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Yes	357 61.3%	3 100.0%	3 100.0%	108 57.3%	2 100.0%	82 77.9%	8 52.6%	10 39.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 60.6%	98 66.3%	13 56.6%	7 59.9%
No	202 34.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	67 35.2%	0 .0%	21 19.4%	7 47.4%	13 53.2%	7 87.2%	14 100.0%	4 39.4%	47 31.6%	10 43.4%	5 40.1%
DK/NA	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.5%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	2 6.9%	1 12.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Q28: Preferred Information Medium (n=582)



Q28: Preferred Information Medium

Gender and Age Comparisons

	Respondent's Gender				Age					
	Total	Male	Female	Other	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Total	582	281	298	3	77	101	141	152	102	9
Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	121 43.0%	133 44.6%	2 66.3%	35 44.9%	58 57.0%	52 37.0%	60 39.5%	46 45.0%	5 62.6%
City Website	116 20.0%	63 22.3%	53 17.6%	1 34.4%	11 14.2%	21 20.3%	38 27.3%	29 19.1%	16 15.9%	1 12.5%
Email	197 33.8%	114 40.6%	82 27.7%	0 .0%	24 31.3%	24 23.2%	60 42.2%	70 45.8%	18 17.7%	2 18.7%
Facebook	39 6.7%	15 5.2%	24 8.2%	0 .0%	17 22.3%	6 5.7%	10 7.4%	4 2.6%	2 1.8%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	21 7.5%	44 14.9%	1 31.9%	7 8.9%	7 6.5%	14 10.0%	14 9.2%	25 24.4%	0 .0%
NextDoor	74 12.7%	36 12.8%	37 12.4%	1 33.7%	5 6.7%	12 11.5%	27 19.2%	23 15.2%	6 5.7%	1 12.5%
Text message	16 2.7%	7 2.6%	8 2.8%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	5 3.7%	4 2.4%	4 3.7%	0 .0%
Twitter	7 1.2%	3 1.2%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	3 3.2%	0 .3%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	11 1.8%	5 1.8%	5 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.7%	7 4.4%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
None	8 1.3%	5 1.7%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	3 2.5%	1 12.5%
Other	10 1.7%	2 .7%	8 2.7%	0 .0%	3 3.7%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	2 1.2%	3 3.4%	0 .0%
DK/NA	24 4.1%	11 3.9%	13 4.3%	0 .0%	3 3.6%	13 12.4%	1 .9%	3 1.7%	4 3.8%	1 12.5%

Q28: Preferred Information Medium Ethnicity Comparisons


	Ethnic Group													
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15	25	8	14	10	148	23	12
Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	62 32.7%	1 51.2%	33 31.6%	8 52.3%	14 55.4%	7 88.2%	13 92.9%	1 10.2%	79 53.5%	17 71.1%	8 73.0%
City Website	116 20.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	30 15.7%	1 48.8%	33 31.2%	0 .0%	9 35.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 26.5%	30 20.4%	2 7.9%	1 7.5%
Email	197 33.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	77 40.8%	2 100.0%	41 39.0%	7 45.5%	7 26.6%	1 11.8%	0 .0%	6 61.5%	40 26.7%	7 31.0%	3 28.5%
Facebook	39 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%	4 4.2%	1 7.1%	3 11.4%	3 40.9%	3 21.0%	1 12.0%	8 5.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	34 17.9%	0 .0%	14 12.9%	1 9.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 11.7%	0 .0%	9 6.3%	2 9.1%	3 25.7%
NextDoor	74 12.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	20 10.6%	0 .0%	18 17.1%	4 25.5%	3 10.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 22.2%	20 13.2%	2 6.8%	1 8.8%
Text message	16 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	10 5.1%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	1 6.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Twitter	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.7%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
WeChat	11 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 5.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
None	8 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
Other	10 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%	0 .0%	3 2.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
DK/NA	24 4.1%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%	0 .0%	4 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%	0 .0%	8 5.2%	3 12.6%	1 5.3%




GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix A: Additional Respondent Information



QB: Years Lived in Cupertino




QB: Years Lived in Cupertino


Year-to-Year Comparison

	2017	2014	2012	2010	2008	2006	2004	2002	2000
Less than one year	1.9%	2.8%	1.2%	2%	2%	9%	5%	10%	10%
One to three years	8.4%	9.5%	8.2%	9%	11%	23%	19%	20%	18%
Four to nine years	16.2%	22.7%	24.5%	21%	23%	22%	26%	22%	26%
Ten to fifteen years	22.6%	27.9%	27.9%	32%	22%	14%	15%	17%	13%
Sixteen to twenty-five years	28.1%	20.8%	19.8%	15%	21%	11%	12%	14%	33%
Twenty-six years or more	22.8%	16.2%	18.4%	21%	21%	22%	24%	17%	-
DK/NA	0.1%	0.1%	0.1%	0%	0%	0%	0%	1%	<1%


QC: Homeownership Status




QD: Number of People With Cell Phone in Household




QE: Ethnicity




QF1: Number of People Under Age 18 in Household




QF2: Number of People Over Age 50 in Household




QG: Primary Household Language




QH: Annual Household Income




Q1. Age




QJ: Individual Party




QK: Household Party Type




QL. Registration Date




QM. Voting History




QN: Times Voted in Past Elections




QO: Times Voted Absentee




QP: Permanent Absentee Voter




QQ: Likely Absentee Voter



Interview Language



Interview Type





GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix B: Methodology

Survey Parameters

The respondents for this study were selected using a sample of all registered voters in the City of Cupertino and weighted to U.S. Census, American Community Survey data. Overall, 582 residents in the city completed the survey, representing a total universe of approximately 43,657 adult (18 years or older) residents. The study parameters resulted in a margin of error of plus or minus 4.04 percent. Interviews were conducted from April 4 through April 15, 2017, and the average interview length was approximately 22 minutes.

Sample and Weighting

Once collected, the sample of respondents was compared with the adult population in the City of Cupertino according to the 2015 American Community Survey (ACS) to examine possible differences between the demographics of the sample of respondents and the actual universe of residents. The data were weighted to correct these differences, and the results presented are representative of the adult resident characteristics in terms of gender, age, and ethnicity.

Questionnaire Methodology

To avoid the problem of systematic position bias, where the order in which a series of questions is asked systematically influences the answers, several questions in the survey were randomized such that the respondents were not consistently asked the questions in the same order. The series of items in Questions 6, 12, 20 and 22 were randomized to avoid such position bias.

Questions 3, 10, 12, 19, 20, 26, 28, and G allowed the residents surveyed to mention multiple responses. For this reason, the response percentages may sum to more than 100, and these represent the percent of the respondents that mentioned a particular response, rather than the percent of total responses.

Because a survey typically involves a limited number of people who are part of a larger population group, by mere chance alone there will almost always be some differences between a sample and the population from which it was drawn. These differences are known as “sampling error” and they are expected to occur regardless of how scientifically the sample has been selected. The advantage of a scientific sample is that we are able to calculate the sampling error. Sampling error is determined by four factors: the population size, the sample size, a confidence level, and the dispersion of responses.

The following table shows the possible sampling variation that applies to a percent result reported from a probability type sample. Because a sample of 582 residents was drawn from the estimated population of approximately 43,657 adult residents in the City of Cupertino (based on the 2015 census), one can be 95 percent confident that the margin of error due to sampling will not vary, plus or minus, by more than the indicated number of percent points from the result that would have been obtained if the interviews had been conducted with all persons in the universe. As the table on the following page indicates, the margin of error for all aggregate responses is between 2.42 and 4.04 percent for the survey.

This means that, for a given question with dichotomous response options (e.g., Yes/No) answered by all 582 respondents, one can be 95 percent confident that the difference between the percent breakdowns of the sample and those of the total population is no greater than 4.04 percent. The percent margin of error applies to both sides of the answer, so that for a question in which 50 percent of respondents said yes, one can be 95 percent confident that the actual percent of the population that would say yes is between 46 (50 minus 4.04) percent and 54 (50 plus 4.04) percent.

The margin of error for a given question also depends on the distribution of responses to the question. The 4.04 percent refers to dichotomous questions where opinions are evenly split in the sample with 50 percent of respondents saying yes and 50 percent saying no. If that same question were to receive a response in which 10 percent of the respondents say yes and 90 percent say no, then the margin of error would be no greater than plus or minus 2.42 percent. As the number of respondents in a particular subgroup (e.g., age) is smaller than the number of total respondents, the margin of error associated with estimating a given subgroup’s response will be higher. Due to the high margin of error, Godbe Research cautions against generalizing the results for subgroups that are comprised of 25 or fewer respondents.

Margin of Error II

<i>n</i>	Distribution of Responses				
	90% / 10%	80% / 20%	70% / 30%	60% / 40%	50% / 50%
1000	1.84%	2.45%	2.81%	3.00%	3.06%
900	1.94%	2.59%	2.96%	3.17%	3.23%
800	2.06%	2.75%	3.15%	3.36%	3.43%
600	2.38%	3.18%	3.64%	3.89%	3.97%
582	2.42%	3.23%	3.70%	3.95%	4.04%
400	2.93%	3.90%	4.47%	4.78%	4.88%
300	3.38%	4.51%	5.17%	5.52%	5.64%
200	4.15%	5.53%	6.34%	6.77%	6.91%
150	4.79%	6.39%	7.32%	7.83%	7.99%
100	5.87%	7.83%	8.97%	9.59%	9.79%

Reading Crosstabulation Tables

The questions discussed and analyzed in this report comprise a subset of various crosstabulation tables available for each question. Only those subgroups that are of particular interest or that illustrate particular insights are included in the discussion. Should readers wish to conduct a closer analysis of subgroups for a given question, the complete breakdowns appear in Appendix E. These crosstabulation tables provide detailed information on the responses to each question by demographic and behavioral groups that were assessed in the survey. A typical crosstabulation table is shown here.

A short description of the item appears on the left-hand side of the table. The item sample size (n = 400) is presented in the first column of data under “Total.”

The results to each possible answer choice of all respondents are presented in the first column of data under “Total.” The aggregate number of respondents in each answer category is presented as a whole number, and the percent of the entire sample that this number represents is just below the whole number. In this example, among the total respondents, 150 residents reported they are “very satisfied,” with the resident services in Cupertino and this number of respondents equals 37 percent of the total sample size of 400. Next to the “Total” column are the other columns representing responses from the male and the female voters. The data from these columns are read in exactly the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column, although each group makes up a smaller percent of the entire sample.

EXAMPLE OF CROSTABULATION TABLE		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services?	Total	400	199	202
	Very satisfied	150	73	76
		37.4%	36.9%	37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	105	89
		48.5%	52.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	10	17
		6.7%	5.0%	8.4%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	2
		1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
	DK/NA	24	7	17
		6.1%	3.7%	8.5%

Subgroup Comparisons

To test whether or not the differences found in percent results among subgroups are likely due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors – rather than the results of chance due to the random nature of the sampling design – a “z-test” was performed. In the headings of each column are labels, “A,” “B,” “C,” etc. along with a description of the variable. The “z-test” is performed by comparing the percent in each cell with all other cells in the same row within a given variable (within Gender in the pictured table, for example).

The results from the “z-test” are displayed in a separate table below the crosstabulation table. If the percent in one cell is statistically different from the percent in another, the column label will be displayed in the cell from which it varies significantly. For instance, in the adjacent table, if a significantly higher percent of the men (53%) report “somewhat satisfied” than the percent of women (44%), then the letter “B,” which stands for “female” residents, would appear under Column “A,” which stands for “male” residents. The letters in the table indicate the differences where one can be 95 percent confident that the results are due to actual differences in opinions or behaviors reported by subgroups of respondents.

It is important to note that the percent difference among subgroups is just one piece in the equation to determine whether or not two percentage figures are significantly different from each other. The variance and sample size associated with each data point is integral to determining significance. Therefore, two calculations may be different from each other, yet the difference may not be statistically significant according to the “z” statistic.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR SUBGROUP COMPARISONS		Gender		
		Total	Male	Female
Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services?	Total	400	199	202
	Very satisfied	150	73	76
		37.4%	36.9%	37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	105	89
		48.5%	52.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	10	17
		6.7%	5.0%	8.4%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	2
		1.3%	1.5%	1.1%
	DK/NA	24	7	17
		6.1%	3.7%	8.5%

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR Z-TEST		Gender	
		Male	Female
		(A)	(B)
Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		A

Understanding a Mean

In addition to the analysis of the percent of the responses, some results are discussed with respect to an average score. To derive the overall satisfaction with Community Services, Q6 for example, a number value was assigned to each response category – in this case, “Very Satisfied” = +2, “Somewhat Satisfied” = +1, “Somewhat Dissatisfied” = -1, and “Very Dissatisfied” = -2. The number values that correspond to respondents’ answers were then averaged to produce a final score that reflects the overall importance of an environmental issue. The resulting mean score makes the interpretation of the data considerably easier.

In the crosstabulation tables for Question 6 of the survey, the reader will find mean scores. These mean scores represent the average response of each group. The table to the right shows the scales for each corresponding question. Responses of “DK/NA” were not included in the calculations of the means for any question.

Question	Measure	Scale	Values
Q6	Satisfaction Ratings	+2 to -2	+2.0 = “Very Satisfied” +1.0 = “Somewhat Satisfied” -1.0 = “Somewhat Dissatisfied” -2.0 = “Very Dissatisfied”
Q22	Safety Ratings	+2 to -2	+2.0 = “Very Safe” +1.0 = “Somewhat Safe” 0 = “Neither” -1.0 = “Somewhat Unsafe” -2.0 = “Very Unsafe”

Means Comparisons

Only those subgroups that are of particular interest, or that illustrate a particular insight, are included in the discussion within the report with regard to mean scores. A typical crosstabulation table of mean scores is shown in the adjacent table.

The aggregate mean score for each item in the question series is presented in the first column of the data under “Total.” For example, among all the survey respondents, the service A, “Police services,” earned a mean score of 1.3. Next to the “Total” column are other columns representing the mean scores assigned by the respondents grouped by Gender.

The data from these columns are read in the same fashion as the data in the “Total” column. To test whether two mean scores are statistically different, a “t-test” is performed. As in the case of the “z-test” for percentage figures, a statistically significant result is indicated by the letter representing the data column.

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR MEANS COMPARISON	Gender		
	Total	Male	Female
A. Police services	1.3	1.2	1.4
B. Quinlan Community Center facilities	1.5	1.5	1.5
C. Garbage collection	1.7	1.6	1.7
D. Recycling program	1.5	1.4	1.6

EXAMPLE OF DATA FOR T-TEST	Gender	
	Male	Female
	(A)	(B)
A. Police services		
B. Quinlan Community Center facilities		
C. Garbage collection		A
D. Recycling program		A



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix C: Topline Report



CITY OF CUPERTINO

2017 Community Survey

Topline Report
n=582
22-minutes
Voter Sample weighted to 2015 American Community Survey (ACS)

May 29, 2017

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest
601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
Bellevue, WA 98004

METHODOLOGY

Sample Universe:

- 43,657 Adults 18+
- The respondents were selected using random sample of voter file numbers, matched to update cell phone numbers and email addresses.

Sample Size:

- n=582

Weighting:

- Data is weighted to the 2015 American Community Survey (ACS) for gender, age and ethnicity.

Margin of Error:

- $\pm 4.04\%$

Data Collection: Landline, n=145; Cell, n=89; Online, n=348;

Languages: English, n=562; Chinese n=20 based on respondent preference.

Interview Dates: April 4 to April 15, 2017

Phone Interview Length: 22-minutes

OVERALL PERCEPTIONS OF LIVING IN CUPERTINO

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	43.6%	254	
	Somewhat satisfied	44.7%	260	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.6%	50	
	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	15	
	DK/NA	0.5%	3	
	Total Satisfied	88.3%		
	Total Dissatisfied	11.2%		
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Ratio Sat to Dissat	7.9		
	School system	35.3%	205	
	Friends / family here	16.1%	93	
	Grew up here	7.7%	45	
	I could afford a house	6.6%	39	
	Close to work	6.6%	38	
	Small town atmosphere	6.3%	36	
	Job	4.5%	26	
	Safety / low crime	3.6%	21	
	Enjoy / like the City	3.0%	18	
	Quality of life	2.9%	17	
	Central location / Close to freeways	2.6%	15	
	Retirement	1.2%	7	
	Diversity	0.6%	3	
	Close to Apple	0.4%	2	
	Other Mention	2.4%	14	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0.3%	2	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Traffic	56.8%	330	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	20.7%	121	
	Affordable housing	19.6%	114	
	Crime/Safety	11.6%	67	
	Education/School overcrowding	10.9%	64	
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	5.3%	31	
	Taxes	4.7%	27	
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	4.6%	27	
	Over population/Over crowding	3.9%	23	
	Quality of life	3.8%	22	
	City's economic health	3.6%	21	
	Race relations	3.0%	18	
	Cost of living	2.8%	17	
	Environmental health	2.8%	16	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2.7%	16	
	Public transportation	2.2%	13	
	Protection of open space	1.3%	7	
	Neighborhood preservation	1.3%	7	
	Parking	1.2%	7	
	Poor cell coverage	0.9%	5	
	Need more retail/Shopping	0.9%	5	
	Inconvenient library hours	0.0%	0	
	Teen programs	0.0%	0	
	Other Mention	4.0%	23	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	3.8%	22	
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	17.4%	101	
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	284	
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	131	
	Very weak	5.7%	33	
	None at all	4.5%	26	
	DK/NA	1.2%	7	
	Total Strong	66.1%		
	Total Weak	28.2%		
Ratio Strong to Weak		2.3		

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	28.6%	166	
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	279	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.2%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	6.3%	37	
	DK/NA	5.0%	29	
	Total Satisfied	76.5%	89.1	
	Total Dissatisfied	18.5%		
Ratio Sat to Dissat		4.1		
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	43.0%	250	79.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.5%	212	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.9%	52	
	Very dissatisfied	4.8%	28	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA	6.9%	40	
	Very satisfied	32.5%	189	63.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	180	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.3%	25	
	Very dissatisfied	0.4%	3	
6C. Garbage collection	DK/NA	31.7%	185	
	Very satisfied	54.4%	316	90.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.5%	212	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.4%	26	
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	19	
6D. Recycling program	DK/NA	1.4%	8	
	Very satisfied	44.2%	257	85.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	41.7%	242	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	31	
	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	15	
6E. Library services	DK/NA	6.3%	37	
	Very satisfied	58.2%	339	88.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	174	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	6	
	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	11	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	9.1%	53	
	Very satisfied	24.2%	141	72.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	279	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.8%	98	
	Very dissatisfied	9.4%	55	
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	1.7%	10	
	Very satisfied	35.9%	209	82.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	46.2%	269	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.8%	45	
	Very dissatisfied	4.0%	23	
DK/NA		6.1%	35	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 ∑
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	31.4%	183	77.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45.6%	265	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.3%	78	
	Very dissatisfied	4.9%	28	
	DK/NA	4.8%	28	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	86	54.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	232	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	82	
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	75	
	DK/NA	18.3%	106	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	29.1%	169	72.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	252	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	95	
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	41	
	DK/NA	4.1%	24	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	29.3%	171	75.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	267	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	70	
	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	15	
	DK/NA	10.3%	60	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	24.4%	142	61.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	217	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	31	
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	11	
	DK/NA	31.1%	181	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	82	35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	127	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	18	
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	13	
	DK/NA	58.7%	342	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	19.9%	116	52.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%	187	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	4.1%	24	
	DK/NA	31.8%	185	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	8.0%	47	39.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	181	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	132	
	Very dissatisfied	16.3%	95	
	DK/NA	22.0%	128	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	22.9%	133	59.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	211	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	71	
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	25	
	DK/NA	24.4%	142	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 ∑
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	126	#####
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	187	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	33	
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	19	
	DK/NA	37.3%	217	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	23.1%	135	46.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	23.6%	137	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.3%	19	
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	11	
	DK/NA	48.0%	280	

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES -- RANKED BY MEAN SCORE

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 ∑
6E. Library services			1.56
6C. Garbage collection			1.36
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			1.33
6D. Recycling program			1.28
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			1.21
6L. Youth sports fields			1.12
6A. Police services			1.12
6G. Street sweeping services			1.09
6M. Senior Center programs			1.03
6Q. Sports Center programs			1.01
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			0.97
6H. Street tree maintenance			0.90
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			0.81
6N. Neighborhood programs			0.76
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			0.74
6F. Street pavement maintenance			0.62
6I. Economic development efforts			0.36
6O. Managing land use			-0.10

RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	30.7%	179	
	Somewhat satisfied	45.1%	262	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.2%	30	
	Very dissatisfied	2.0%	12	
	DK/NA	17.0%	99	
	Total Satisfied	75.8%		
	Total Dissatisfied	7.3%		
	Ratio Sat to Dissat	10.4		
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	19.9%	116	
	Once a week	23.5%	137	
	A few times a month	19.7%	115	
	Once a month	11.2%	65	
	A few times a year	14.8%	86	
	Once a year	3.4%	20	
	Less than once a year	3.1%	18	
	Never	3.9%	22	
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	DK/NA	0.6%	3	
	More than once a week	4.0%	23	
	Once a week	5.7%	33	
	A few times a month	4.5%	26	
	Once a month	8.0%	47	
	A few times a year	25.0%	145	
	Once a year	5.7%	33	
	Less than once a year	13.1%	76	
	Never	29.6%	172	
	DK/NA	4.4%	26	

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	87.3%	508	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	13.8%	80	
	Walk	13.2%	77	
	Bicycle	6.7%	39	
	Bus	6.5%	38	
	Train	2.3%	14	
	Lightrail	1.2%	7	
	Other	1.7%	10	
	DK/NA	0.0%	0	
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	5.8%	34	
	Few times a week	12.0%	70	
	Once a week	7.3%	43	
	Few times a month	12.1%	71	
	Once a month	6.7%	39	
	Few times a year or less	16.8%	98	
	Never	38.7%	225	
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	DK/NA	0.5%	3	
	Prefer driving	42.0%	230	
	Inconvenient public transit routes	35.8%	196	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	28.3%	155	
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	18.5%	101	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9.1%	50	
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	6.8%	37	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	5.6%	31	
	Weather	5.5%	30	
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4.1%	23	
	Other	4.9%	27	
	DK/NA	1.8%	10	
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	47.1%	274	
	Somewhat likely	31.4%	183	
	Somewhat unlikely	7.4%	43	
	Very unlikely	11.5%	67	
	DK/NA	2.5%	15	

PUBLIC WORKS

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	47.4%	276	
	No	9.5%	56	
	DK/NA	43.1%	251	
15. You currently pay a storm water fee as part of your property tax bill. This fee helps to protect against storm water pollution in our creeks and bay, and provides the 3rd grade creek field trip and education program at McClellan Ranch. The fee, unchanged since 1992, is \$12 per year for each home. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	30.2%	176	
	Somewhat support	31.8%	185	
	Somewhat oppose	13.5%	78	
	Strongly oppose	17.0%	99	
	DK/NA	7.5%	43	
	Total support	62.0%		
	Total oppose	30.5%		
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	7.0%	41	
	\$11-\$15	5.4%	31	
	\$6-\$10	20.5%	119	
	\$1-\$5	24.9%	145	
	I would not be willing to pay more	34.0%	198	
	DK/NA	8.2%	48	
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	33.5%	195	
	No	58.1%	338	
	DK/NA	8.5%	49	

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	16.3%	95	
	Somewhat satisfied	36.6%	213	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.1%	140	
	Very dissatisfied	21.5%	125	
	DK/NA	1.5%	8	
	Total Satisfied	52.9%		
	Total Dissatisfied	45.6%		
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Ratio Sat to Dissat	1.2		
	Restaurants / High end	19.4%	113	
	Department stores	15.3%	89	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	14.8%	86	
	Shopping / Retail	14.7%	85	
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	14.6%	85	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	12.5%	73	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	11.1%	65	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	8.6%	50	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch 99	6.7%	39	
	Electronics / Software / High tech	6.3%	37	
	Book stores	6.2%	36	
	Sports / Sports facilities	5.9%	34	
	Vallco - General mention	5.3%	31	
	Fast food	4.8%	28	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	4.0%	23	
	Entertainment venues/Night life	2.6%	15	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1.3%	8	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	1.2%	7	
	Medical related	0.5%	3	
	Pet stores	0.3%	2	
	Auto related	0.2%	1	
	Other Mention	6.3%	36	
	Nothing	8.1%	47	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	4.7%	27	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Safeway	48.2%	281	
	Target Express	44.2%	257	
	Sprouts	42.3%	246	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	37.5%	219	
	Lazy Dog	28.3%	165	
	Eureka	14.1%	82	
	Steinmart	11.9%	69	
	Uita	10.8%	63	
	Meet Fresh	9.6%	56	
	Panino Guisto	7.2%	42	
	Other	14.5%	84	
	Nothing	7.9%	46	
	DK/NA	2.2%	13	

CRIME INCREASE OR DECREASE AND FEELINGS OF SAFETY

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	41.4%	241	
	Decreased	9.2%	53	
	Stayed about same	38.6%	225	
	DK/NA	10.9%	63	
	Very safe	59.0%	343	91.7%
22A. At home	Somewhat safe	32.7%	190	
	Neither	2.8%	16	
	Somewhat unsafe	4.6%	27	
	Very unsafe	0.7%	4	
	DK/NA	0.3%	2	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	15.2%	88	49.3%
	Somewhat safe	34.1%	198	
	Neither	10.6%	62	
	Somewhat unsafe	11.9%	70	
	Very unsafe	6.3%	36	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	DK/NA	22.0%	128	
	Very safe	64.9%	378	94.7%
	Somewhat safe	29.8%	174	
	Neither	1.5%	9	
	Somewhat unsafe	3.0%	17	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very unsafe	0.4%	2	
	DK/NA	0.4%	2	
	Very safe	26.5%	154	68.2%
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	243	
	Neither	8.4%	49	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	86	
	Very unsafe	7.2%	42	
	DK/NA	1.5%	9	
	Very safe	27.4%	159	64.0%
	Somewhat safe	36.6%	213	
	Neither	4.1%	24	
	Somewhat unsafe	16.2%	94	
	Very unsafe	15.6%	91	
	DK/NA	0.2%	1	

FEELINGS OF SAFETY RANKED BY MEANS SCORE

	Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			1.56
22A. At home			1.45
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night			0.66
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			0.51
22E. Leaving your home unattended			0.44

ETHNIC DIVERSITY

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	21.0%	122	
	Good	63.9%	372	
	Poor	7.9%	46	
	Very poor	2.0%	12	
	DK/NA	5.1%	30	
	Total Excel + Good	84.9%		
	Total Poor + Very Poor	10.0%		
	Ratio (Exc + Gd) to (Pr + VP)	8.5		
24. More than half of Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races? Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	6.8%	40	
	More sympathetic / open	34.9%	203	
	No change in how I feel	53.6%	312	
	DK/NA	4.6%	27	
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	5.9%	34	
	About the right amount	63.0%	367	
	Not enough	14.6%	85	
	DK/NA	16.5%	96	

INFORMATION SOURCES

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Cupertino Courier	27.1%	158	
	Cupertino Scene	18.1%	105	
	Brochures or flyers	18.0%	105	
	Local TV news channels	15.4%	90	
	City Website	13.0%	76	
	NextDoor	12.6%	73	
	Word of mouth	11.6%	67	
	Internet (No specific site)	10.1%	59	
	Email	6.2%	36	
	San Jose Mercury News	5.9%	34	
	Mailers / Postcards	5.9%	34	
	Facebook	5.3%	31	
	Flyers or posters around town	4.6%	27	
	The C - Cupertino news	2.9%	17	
	Interaction with City staff at events	1.9%	11	
	Radio	1.5%	9	
	WeChat	1.1%	7	
	Non-English media	0.5%	3	
	Twitter	0.1%	1	
	None	1.3%	8	
	Nothing	1.2%	7	
	DK/NA	1.2%	7	
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	61.3%	357	
	No	34.8%	202	
	DK/NA	4.0%	23	
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	44.0%	256	
	Email	33.8%	197	
	City Website	20.0%	116	
	NextDoor	12.7%	74	
	Flyers or posters around town	11.4%	66	
	Facebook	6.7%	39	
	Text message	2.7%	16	
	WeChat	1.8%	11	
	Twitter	1.2%	7	
	None	1.3%	8	
	Other	1.7%	10	
	DK/NA	4.1%	24	

DEMOGRAPHICS

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
A. Gender	Male	48.3%	281	
	Female	51.1%	298	
	Other	0.5%	3	
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	1.9%	11	
	1 to 3 years	8.4%	49	
	4 to 9 years	16.2%	94	
	10 to 15 years	22.6%	131	
	16 to 25 years	28.1%	163	
	26 years or more	22.8%	133	
	DK/NA	0.1%	1	
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	77.2%	450	
	Rent	20.7%	120	
	DK/NA	2.1%	12	
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	0.4%	2	
	1	7.7%	45	
	2	36.2%	211	
	3	24.2%	141	
	4	19.6%	114	
	5	7.7%	45	
	6	1.9%	11	
	8	0.4%	2	
	99	2.0%	12	
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	0.5%	3	
	Asian - Cambodian	0.5%	3	
	Asian - Chinese	32.5%	189	
	Asian - Filipino	0.4%	2	
	Asian - Indian	18.2%	106	
	Asian - Japanese	2.7%	15	
	Asian - Korean	4.3%	25	
	Asian - Laotian	0.0%	0	
	Asian - Thai	1.4%	8	
	Asian - Vietnamese	2.3%	14	
	Asian - Other	1.7%	10	
	Caucasian or White	25.5%	148	
	Latino or Hispanic	4.0%	23	
	Pacific Islander	0.0%	0	
	Two or more races	2.0%	12	
	Other	1.0%	6	
	DK/NA	3.0%	17	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 Σ
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	54.2%	315	
	1	17.9%	104	
	2	19.3%	113	
	3	5.2%	31	
	4	0.9%	5	
	5	0.7%	4	
	10	0.0%	0	
	20	0.2%	1	
	DK/NA	1.5%	9	
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	34.6%	201	
	1	18.5%	108	
	2	38.5%	224	
	3	5.8%	34	
	4	0.5%	3	
	30	0.3%	2	
	DK/NA	1.9%	11	
G. Primary Languages in Household	English	77.0%	448	
	Chinese	16.0%	93	
	Mandarin	8.2%	48	
	Hindi	7.5%	44	
	Cantonese	4.5%	26	
	Korean	3.8%	22	
	Vietnamese	2.3%	14	
	Spanish	2.3%	13	
	Thai	2.1%	12	
	French	1.3%	7	
	Japanese	1.1%	7	
	German	0.2%	1	
	Tagalog	0.2%	1	
	Other	9.6%	56	
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	DK/NA	1.1%	7	
	Under \$60,000	10.4%	60	
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	8.9%	52	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	5.0%	29	
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	6.3%	37	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	5.5%	32	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	7.1%	41	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	6.6%	38	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	5.8%	34	
	\$200,000 or more	22.7%	132	
	DK/NA	21.7%	126	

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %
I. Age	18-29	13.2%	77	
	30-39	17.4%	101	
	40-49	24.2%	141	
	50-64	26.2%	152	
	65+	17.5%	102	
	Not coded	1.5%	9	
J. Party	Democrat	41.9%	244	
	Republican	13.9%	81	
	Other	2.7%	16	
	DTS	41.5%	242	
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	20.8%	121	
	Dem 2+	11.4%	66	
	Rep 1	5.5%	32	
	Rep 2+	4.9%	28	
	Other 1	23.8%	139	
	Other 2+	11.4%	67	
	Dem & Rep	3.6%	21	
	Dem & Other	14.8%	86	
	Rep & Other	2.9%	17	
L. Registration Date	Dem, Rep & Other	0.8%	4	
	2013 to 2017	45.2%	263	
	2009 to 2012	13.5%	78	
	2005 to 2008	14.0%	81	
	2001 to 2004	8.1%	47	
	1997 to 2000	4.6%	27	
	1993 to 1996	4.0%	23	
	1981 to 1992	6.5%	38	
	1980 or before	4.2%	24	
	Not Coded	0.0%	0	
M. Voting History		see detailed crosstabs		

		Column N %	Count	Mean or Top 2 %
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	20.6%	120	
	1	8.9%	52	
	2	7.1%	41	
	3	5.7%	33	
	4	3.6%	21	
	5	7.0%	41	
	6	4.9%	29	
	7	5.0%	29	
	8	5.1%	30	
	9	3.2%	19	
	10	3.8%	22	
	11	4.0%	23	
	12	4.4%	26	
	13	4.0%	23	
	14	5.1%	30	
	15	7.5%	44	
O. Absentee Voter	16	0.0%	0	
	0	32.9%	191	
	1	11.5%	67	
	2	5.1%	30	
	3	4.7%	27	
	4	4.7%	28	
	5	6.9%	40	
	6	3.5%	21	
	7	3.1%	18	
	8	2.1%	12	
	9	3.1%	18	
	10	4.5%	26	
	11	3.3%	19	
	12	2.9%	17	
	13	4.0%	23	
	14	2.7%	16	
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	15	4.9%	29	
	16	0.0%	0	
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	79.7%	464	
	No	20.3%	118	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	59.6%	347	
	No	40.4%	235	
Interview Language	English	94.7%	551	
	Chinese	5.3%	31	
Interview Type	Landline	28.8%	167	
	Cell	26.2%	153	
	Online	45.0%	262	
	Text	0.0%	0	



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix D: Questionnaire



CITY OF CUPERTINO

2017 Community Survey

Questionnaire
n=400
22-minutes
Voter Sample

May 29, 2017

FINAL

www.godberesearch.com

Northern California and Corporate Offices
1575 Old Bayshore Highway, Suite 102
Burlingame, CA 94010

Nevada
59 Damonte Ranch Parkway, Suite B309
Reno, NV 89521

Pacific Northwest
601 108th Avenue NE, Suite 1900
Bellevue, WA 98004

Godbe Research
2017 Cupertino Resident Satisfaction Survey

GENERAL EMAIL INVITATION

From: City.Manager@cupertino.org

Reply to: City.Manager@cupertino.org

Subject: Participate in this important study about our community

Dear [insert name],

The City of Cupertino has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in your area.

Your individual responses are entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. Your data will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions.

For the individual named above, you can access the survey by simply clicking on the link below. If your email does not support links, cut and paste the entire link into your browser.

<survey link with unique voter file id>

We ask that you please complete the survey on or before _____, 2016, after which it will be closed.

Thank you in advance for your participation.

Regards,

David Brandt
City Manager
City of Cupertino

Technical Issues: If you have technical issues or questions with the survey link, password or completing the survey form please contact **Technical Assistance** (pwood@mcguire-research.com).

Questions about the City or this Survey: If you have questions about the City of Cupertino, or the purpose of this survey please visit <http://www.cupertino.org/communitysurvey> or contact City.Manager@cupertino.org.

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County and the City of Cupertino. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research, please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

EMAIL & AOL OPT-IN EMAIL INVITATION

From: City.Manager@cupertino.org
 Reply to: City.Manager@cupertino.org
 Subject: Participate in this important study about our community
 Dear [insert name],

The City of Cupertino has commissioned GRA and McGuire Research, independent research firms, to conduct research on important issues in your area.
 Your individual responses will be entirely confidential and will be used for research purposes only. We are not selling anything or asking you to donate anything and the data from these surveys will not be sold or provided to anyone. You will not be approached for any other reason - we are only interested in your opinions on these important community issues.
 For the individual named above, if you would like to be included in this email list to receive and be able to participate in important community surveys such as this and future ones, then please click on this link below.

<survey link with unique voter file id>

If you click on the link above, then an email invite for this specific survey will be sent to you shortly. Thank you.
 Sincerely,

David Brandt
 City Manager
 City of Cupertino

Questions about the City or this Survey: If you have questions about the City of Cupertino, or the purpose of this survey please visit <http://www.cupertino.org/communitysurvey> or contact City.Manager@cupertino.org.

Note: Email addresses for this survey were obtained from public records at the Registrar of Voters in Santa Clara County and the City of Cupertino. If you no longer wish to receive invitations or reminders for this research, please click [HERE](#) to unsubscribe.

CLIENT EMAIL SETUP INFORMATION

- Step 1**
 The City of Cupertino will need to set up an email address for use by Godbe Research to send out email invitations is still operational. Unless already in use, this new email address should be:
 City.Manager@cupertino.org.
Step 2
 After the email has been verified, confirm with your IT Department that all of the emails sent to the new account are being forwarded to: surveys.gra@gmail.com. We will handle any tech support questions sent to this account and forward any substantive emails that may require a response from a City representative.
Step 3
 Provide Godbe Research your community email list for matching with the voter file. The data needs to include separate fields for first name, last name, street address, and email address. However, if cell and landlines phones are available that is also very useful. The format in an excel files should be:

First Name	Last Name	Street Address	City	Zip	Email Address	Home Phone	Cell Phone	Work Phone
Bob	Smith	123 Main Street	Burlingame	94010	bobsmith@gmail.com	650-344-1234	650-344-4321	650-344-8810
Jane	Smith	123 Main Street	Burlingame	94010	ianesmith@gmail.com	650-344-8970	650-344-2345	650-344-3310

- Client Check List**
☐ Set-up email address.
☐ Auto forward all email from new account to surveys.gra@gmail.com.
☐ Notify Godbe Research on completion of above so the email can be tested.
☐ Send any updated email lists as discussed to Godbe Research.

SCREENERS

[ONLINE INTRODUCTION]

Thank you for your interest in taking our survey to help understand issues in the City of Cupertino. All of your answers to the survey will be kept strictly anonymous and confidential.

Survey Instructions:

Once you have answered all the questions on a page, click the "Next" button to continue. If you have any technical difficulties with the survey, please email: [Technical Assistance \(pwood@mcquiere-research.com\)](mailto:pwood@mcquiere-research.com).

[PHONE INTERVIEW]

Hello, May I speak with _____? Hello, my name is _____ and I'm calling on behalf of GRA, a public opinion research firm. We're conducting a survey concerning some important issues in the City of Cupertino, and we would like to hear your opinions, we really appreciate your time. [IF NEEDED]: This is a study about issues of importance in your area. It is a survey only and I am not selling anything.

[IF THE PERSON ASKS WHY YOU ONLY WANT TO TALK TO THE INDIVIDUAL LISTED ON THE SAMPLE, OR ASKS IF THEY ARE ABLE TO PARTICIPATE INSTEAD OF THE INDIVIDUAL, THEN SAY: "I'm sorry, but for statistical purposes this survey must only be completed by this particular individual."] [IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS THEY ARE ON THE NATIONAL DO NOT CALL LIST, RESPOND WITH GUIDELINES FROM THE MARKETING RESEARCH ASSOCIATION. IF THE INDIVIDUAL SAYS: "There's a law that says you can't call me," RESPOND WITH: "Most types of opinion research studies are exempt under the law that congress passed. That law was passed to regulate the activities of the telemarketing industry. This is a legitimate research call. Your opinions count!"]

Before we get started, I'd like to verify that you are eligible to complete the survey.

- i. But first, I need to know if I have reached you on a cell phone, and if so, are you in a place where you can talk safely without endangering yourself or others?
- Yes, cell and can talk safely ----- 1
Yes, cell but cannot talk safely ----- 2 [CALL BACK LATER]
No, not on cell ----- 3
[DON'T READ] DK/NA/REFUSED -----99 [CALL BACK LATER]

[ALL RESPONDENTS]

- ii. Do you work for the City of Cupertino or are you appointed to any City of Cupertino or county board, committee or commission?
- Yes ----- 1 [CONTINUE TO Qiii TEXT]
No ----- 2 [GO TO Q1]
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99
- iii. Thank you for your time, but the focus of this survey is on the general public's opinion of local issues. Due to your response to this question, you are not eligible to complete the survey. Thank you again for your time. [TERMINATE]

OVERALL PERCEPTIONS OF LIVING IN CUPERTINO

To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino.

1. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK:] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

Very satisfied ----- 1
Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
Very dissatisfied ----- 4
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. RECORD ONE RESPONSE ONLY]

I could afford a house ----- 1
Close to work ----- 2
Enjoy / like the City ----- 3
Friends / family here ----- 4
Grew up here ----- 5
Job ----- 6
Quality of life ----- 7
Retirement ----- 8
Safety / low crime ----- 9
School system -----10
Small town atmosphere -----11
Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

Affordable housing ----- 1
City's economic health ----- 2
Condition of streets (Roads and Streets) ----- 3
Controlling growth ----- 4
Crime ----- 5
Education ----- 6
Environmental health ----- 7
Inconvenient library hours ----- 8
Neighborhood preservation ----- 9
Poor cell coverage -----10
Protection of open space -----11
Public transportation -----12
Quality of life -----13

Race relations -----14
Taxes-----15
Teen programs-----16
Traffic-----17
Other (Please specify: _____)-----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?

Very strong----- 1
Somewhat strong----- 2
Somewhat weak ----- 3
Very weak----- 4
None at all----- 5
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

SATISFACTION WITH CITY SERVICES

5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Is that very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

Very satisfied ----- 1
Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
Very dissatisfied ----- 4
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

6. Now, here is a list of services provided by the City of Cupertino. For each one, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City is doing to provide the service?

[PHONE: GET RESPONSE AND THEN ASK] Would that be very <satisfied/dissatisfied> or somewhat <satisfied/dissatisfied>?

[RANDOMIZE]

	Very Sat.	Swt. Sat.	Swt. Dissat.	Very Dissat.	[ONLINE: Not Sure] [PHONE: DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. Police services-----	1	2	3	4	99
B. Quinlan (KWIN-lan) community center facilities and programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
C. Garbage collection-----	1	2	3	4	99
D. Recycling program-----	1	2	3	4	99
E. Library services-----	1	2	3	4	99
F. Street pavement maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
G. Street sweeping services -----	1	2	3	4	99
H. Street tree maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
I. Economic development efforts-----	1	2	3	4	99
J. Sidewalk maintenance-----	1	2	3	4	99
K. Median strip landscape maintenance -----	1	2	3	4	99
L. Youth sports fields-----	1	2	3	4	99
M. Senior Center programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
N. Neighborhood programs -----	1	2	3	4	99
O. Managing land use -----	1	2	3	4	99
P. Environmental and sustainability programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
Q. Sports Center programs-----	1	2	3	4	99
R. Blackberry Farms programs-----	1	2	3	4	99

RECREATION AND COMMUNITY SERVICES

7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

Very satisfied ----- 1
Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
Very dissatisfied ----- 4
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?

More than once a week ----- 1
Once a week ----- 2
A few times a month ----- 3
Once a month ----- 4
A few times a year ----- 5
Once a year ----- 6
Less than once a year ----- 7
Never ----- 8
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?

More than once a week ----- 1
Once a week ----- 2
A few times a month ----- 3
Once a month ----- 4
A few times a year ----- 5
Once a year ----- 6
Less than once a year ----- 7
Never ----- 8
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

TRANSPORTATION CHOICES

Moving on, focus on your day-to-day transportation choices.

10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school, or other places you visit frequently? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

Bicycle ----- 1
Bus ----- 2
Carpool / vanpool / ride with others ----- 3
Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter) ----- 4
Lightrail ----- 5
Train ----- 6
Walk ----- 7
Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?

Daily ----- 1 (SKIP TO Q13)
Few times a week ----- 2 (CONTINUE)
Once a week ----- 3 (CONTINUE)
Few times a month ----- 4 (CONTINUE)
Once a month ----- 5 (CONTINUE)
Few times a year or less ----- 6 (CONTINUE)
Never ----- 7 (CONTINUE)
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

12. (ASK ONLY IF Q11 ≠ 1) What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST RANDOMIZE / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES]

Elderly, disabled, or health reasons ----- 1
Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles ----- 2
Feel unsafe using public transit ----- 3
Inconvenient public transit routes ----- 4
Inconvenient public transit schedules ----- 5
Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths ----- 6
Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths ----- 7
Prefer driving ----- 8
Weather ----- 9
Other (Please specify: _____) -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

13. The City of Cupertino is working on a plan to establish a network of paths and roads for people to walk or bike. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be very (likely/unlikely) or somewhat (likely/unlikely)?

- Very likely ----- 1
- Somewhat likely ----- 2
- Somewhat unlikely ----- 3
- Very unlikely ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

PUBLIC WORKS

14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?

- Yes ----- 1
- No ----- 2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

15. You currently pay a storm water fee as part of your property tax bill. This fee helps to protect against storm water pollution in our creeks and bay, and provides the 3rd grade creek field trip and education program at McClellan Ranch. The fee, unchanged since 1992, is \$12 per year for each home. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?

[PHONE: GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Would that be strongly (support/oppose) or somewhat(support/oppose)?

- Strongly support ----- 1
- Somewhat support ----- 2
- Somewhat oppose ----- 3
- Strongly oppose ----- 4
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?

- \$16-\$20 ----- 1
- \$11-\$15 ----- 2
- \$6-\$10 ----- 3
- \$1-\$5 ----- 4
- I would not be willing to pay more ----- 5
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?

- Yes ----- 1
- No ----- -2
- [ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

Next, here are some questions about Economic Development issues.

18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?

[PHONE: ASK] Would that be very (satisfied/dissatisfied) or somewhat (satisfied/dissatisfied)?

Very satisfied ----- 1
Somewhat satisfied ----- 2
Somewhat dissatisfied ----- 3
Very dissatisfied ----- 4
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino? [ONLINE: DON'T SHOW LIST / PHONE: DO NOT READ LIST. MULTIPLE RESPONSE OK]

Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway ----- 1
Book stores ----- 2
Department stores ----- 3
Discount stores / Walmart / Costco ----- 4
Electronics / Software / High tech ----- 5
Ethnic grocery store / Ranch 99 ----- 6
Fast food ----- 7
Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied ----- 8
High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's ----- 9
Local retail stores ----- 10
Pet stores ----- 11
Restaurants / High end ----- 12
Shopping / Retail ----- 13
Sports / Sports facilities ----- 14
Other [SPECIFY:] -----97
[DON'T READ] Nothing -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?
[ONLINE: SHOW LIST RANDOMIZE / PHONE: DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES)

Eureka ----- 1
HomeGoods/TJ Maxx ----- 2
Lazy Dog ----- 3
Meet Fresh ----- 4
Panino Guisto ----- 5
Safeway ----- 6
Sprouts ----- 7
Steinmart ----- 8
Target Express ----- 9
Ulta ----- 10

Other [SPECIFY:] -----97
[DON'T READ] Nothing -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

CRIME

21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?

Increased ----- 1
Decreased ----- 2
Stayed about same ----- 3
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

22. [ONLINE:] How safe do you feel in each situation below?

[PHONE:] How safe do you feel when -----? Do you feel safe, unsafe, or neither safe nor unsafe? [GET ANSWER, THEN ASK]: Is that very or somewhat <safe/unsafe>?

[RANDOMIZE]

	VERY SAFE	SMWHT SAFE	NEITHER	SMWHT UNSAFE	VERY UNSAFE	[ONLINE: Not Sure] [PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA
A. At home -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
B. Your child or children walk alone to school -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night -----	1	2	3	4	5	99
E. Leaving your home unattended -----	1	2	3	4	5	99

ETHNIC DIVERSITY

23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?

Excellent ----- 1
Good ----- 2
Poor ----- 3
Very poor ----- 4
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

24. More than half of Cupertino residents are members of ethnic minority groups. How has this increase in diversity in Cupertino affected the feelings you have toward people of other races?

Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?

More resentful / closed ----- 1
More sympathetic / open ----- 2
No change in how I feel ----- 3
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?

Too much----- 1
About the right amount ----- 2
Not enough----- 3
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

INFORMATION SOURCES

26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST / PHONE READ LIST IS HESITATES / ACCEPT FIRST TWO RESPONSES]

Brochures or flyers ----- 1
City Website ----- 2
Cupertino Courier ----- 3
Cupertino Scene----- 4
Email ----- 5
Facebook ----- 6
Flyers or posters around town----- 7
Interaction with City staff at events----- 8
Internet (No specific site) ----- 9
Local TV news channels-----10
Mailers / Postcards-----11
NextDoor -----12
Non-English media -----13
Radio -----14
San Jose Mercury News -----15
The C -- Cupertino news-----16
Twitter -----17
WeChat -----18
Word of mouth -----19
None -----20
Other [SPECIFY:] -----98

[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website – cupertino.org?

Yes ----- 1
No ----- 2
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates? [ONLINE: SHOW LIST / PHONE READ LIST IS HESITATES / ACCEPT FIRST TWO RESPONSES]

Brochures or flyers in the mail----- 1
City Website ----- 2
Email ----- 3
Facebook ----- 4
Flyers or posters around town----- 5
NextDoor ----- 6
Text message ----- 7
Twitter ----- 8
WeChat ----- 9
None -----10
Other [SPECIFY:] -----98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

DEMOGRAPHICS

And now, just some background questions for comparison purposes

A. Gender? [ONLINE: ASK / PHONE: BY OBSERATION / SAMPLE]

Male ----- 1
Female ----- 2
Other ----- 3

B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?

Less than 1 year ----- 1
1 to 3 years ----- 2
4 to 9 years ----- 3
10 to 15 years ----- 4
16 to 25 years ----- 5
26 years or more ----- 6
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

C. Do you own or rent your home?

Own ----- 1
Rent ----- 2
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?

(INDICATE NUMBER:) -----
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA -----99

E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to? [SINGLE RESPONSE ONLY. PHONE: IF THE RESPONDENT HESITATES, READ THE LIST; ONLINE SHOW LIST]:

African American or Black ----- 1
Asian - Cambodian ----- 2
Asian - Chinese ----- 3
Asian - Filipino ----- 4
Asian - Indian ----- 5
Asian - Japanese ----- 6
Asian - Korean ----- 7
Asian - Laotian ----- 8
Asian - Thai ----- 9
Asian - Vietnamese ----- 10

Asian - Other ----- 11
Caucasian or White ----- 12
Latino or Hispanic ----- 13
Pacific Islander ----- 14
Two or more races ----- 97
Other (Please specify:) ----- 98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

F. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are:

F1. Under the age of 18 (enter number) -----
F2. Over the age of 50 (enter number) -----

G. What primary languages are used in your household? [DON'T READ CHOICES. RECORD MULTIPLE RESPONSES; ONLINE SHOW LIST]

English ----- 1
Cantonese ----- 2
Chinese ----- 3
French ----- 4
German ----- 5
Hindi ----- 6
Japanese ----- 7
Korean ----- 8
Mandarin ----- 9
Spanish ----- 10
Tagalog ----- 11
Thai ----- 12
Vietnamese ----- 13
Other (Please specify:) ----- 98
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

H. Finally, I am going to read some income categories. Please stop me when I reach the category that best describes your total household income before taxes in 2016.

Under \$60,000 ----- 1
\$60,000 to under \$80,000 ----- 2
\$80,000 to under \$100,000 ----- 3
\$100,000 to under \$120,000 ----- 4
\$120,000 to under \$140,000 ----- 5
\$140,000 to under \$160,000 ----- 6
\$160,000 to under \$180,000 ----- 7
\$180,000 to under \$200,000 ----- 8
\$200,000 or more ----- 9
[ONLINE] Not sure /
[PHONE DON'T READ] DK/NA ----- 99

Those are all the questions I have for you. Thank you very much for your participation.

VOTER INFORMATION (FROM VOTER FILE)

- I. Age:
- 18 to 29 years ----- 1
 - 30 to 39 years ----- 2
 - 40 to 49 years ----- 3
 - 50 to 64 years ----- 4
 - 65 years and older ----- 5
 - Not coded ----- 6
- J. Party:
- Democrat ----- 1
 - Republican ----- 2
 - Other ----- 3
 - DTS ----- 4
- K. Household Party Type:
- Democrat (1) ----- 1
 - Democrat (2+) ----- 2
 - Republican (1) ----- 3
 - Republican (2+) ----- 4
 - Other (1) ----- 5
 - Other (2+) ----- 6
 - Democrat & Republican ----- 7
 - Democrat & Other ----- 8
 - Republican & Other ----- 9
 - Mixed ----- 10
- L. Registration Date:
- 2013 to present ----- 1
 - 2009 to 2012 ----- 2
 - 2005 to 2008 ----- 3
 - 2001 to 2004 ----- 4
 - 1997 to 2000 ----- 5
 - 1993 to 1996 ----- 6
 - 1992 and before ----- 7

M. Voting History:

	Poll	Mail	No
Voted November 2005 <i>(if applicable)</i>	1	2	0
Voted June 2006	1	2	0
Voted November 2006	1	2	0
Voted November 2007 <i>(if applicable)</i>	1	2	0
Voted February 2008	1	2	0
Voted June 2008	1	2	0
Voted November 2008	1	2	0
Voted May 2009	1	2	0
Voted November 2009 <i>(if applicable)</i>	1	2	0
Voted June 2010	1	2	0
Voted November 2010	1	2	0
Voted November 2011 <i>(if applicable)</i>	1	2	0
Voted June 2012	1	2	0
Voted November 2012	1	2	0
Voted November 2013 <i>(if applicable)</i>	1	2	0
Voted June 2014	1	2	0
Voted November 2014	1	2	0
Voted November 2015 <i>(if applicable)</i>	1	2	0
Voted June 2016	1	2	0
Voted November 2016	1	2	0

N. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED IN QK] Times Voted in Past Elections: _____

O. [PLEASE COUNT TIMES VOTED BY MAIL IN QK] Absentee Voter: _____

P. Permanent Absentee Voter

Yes----- 1
No----- 2

Q. Likely Absentee Voter

Yes----- 1
No----- 2

R. Precinct Number (REQUIRED): _____

S. Zip Code (REQUIRED): _____

T. Date of Interview _____

U. Language of Interview:

Chinese ----- 1
English ----- 2

V. Interview Type:

Landline----- 1
Cell phone----- 2
Email Invite / Online----- 3
Text Invite / Online ----- 4



GODBE RESEARCH
Gain Insight



Appendix E: Crosstabulation Tables

		Total	
		Total	Total
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	254	254
		43.6%	43.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	260
		44.7%	44.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	50
		8.6%	8.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15	15
		2.6%	2.6%
	DK/NA	3	3
		.5%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	254	127	127	0
		43.6%	45.1%	42.6%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	118	141	1
		44.7%	41.8%	47.5%	34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	24	25	1
		8.6%	8.5%	8.5%	33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	11	4	1
		2.6%	3.8%	1.2%	31.9%
	DK/NA	3	2	1	0
		.5%	.8%	.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			A B
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+
							Not coded
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
							9
	Very satisfied	254	40	56	61	49	43
		43.6%	51.7%	55.4%	43.2%	31.9%	42.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	27	41	65	80	44
		44.7%	34.9%	40.3%	45.9%	52.4%	43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	6	4	13	17	10
		8.6%	7.4%	4.3%	9.0%	11.2%	10.3%
	Very dissatisfied	15	5	0	3	5	2
		2.6%	6.0%	.0%	1.9%	3.6%	2.3%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	1	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	1.5%
				.0%			.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		D				
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a				a
	Very dissatisfied		a				a
	DK/NA	a	a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	254	173	80
		43.6%	40.8%	51.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	198	62
		44.7%	46.6%	39.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	40	10
		8.6%	9.5%	6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	15	11	4
		2.6%	2.6%	2.7%
DK/NA		3	2	1
		.5%	.5%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	254	118	38	8	89
		43.6%	48.5%	47.1%	51.4%	37.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	98	29	7	126
		44.7%	40.4%	35.7%	44.4%	52.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	16	9	1	24
		8.6%	6.7%	11.5%	4.2%	9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15	9	4	0	2
		2.6%	3.9%	4.9%	.0%	.7%
DK/NA		3	1	1	0	1
		.5%	.5%	.8%	.0%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	
	Very dissatisfied		D	a	
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	254	63	29	17	14	56	75
		43.6%	51.8%	43.9%	51.2%	48.2%	43.7%	36.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	46	31	11	10	49	114
		44.7%	37.7%	46.4%	33.7%	34.9%	38.1%	55.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	6	5	3	3	19	14
		8.6%	5.3%	7.0%	10.8%	11.2%	14.8%	6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	5	2	1	2	4	1
		2.6%	4.2%	2.7%	2.3%	5.8%	3.5%	.7%
DK/NA		3	1	0	1	0	0	1
		.5%	1.1%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						A E
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA		a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	254	119	40	36	15
		43.6%	45.1%	51.0%	44.5%	31.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	118	28	38	26
		44.7%	45.0%	36.0%	46.6%	55.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	19	10	3	3
		8.6%	7.2%	13.0%	4.2%	5.4%
	Very dissatisfied	15	6	0	4	3
		2.6%	2.4%	.0%	4.8%	5.8%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	0	1
		.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	1.9%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	10	5	16	13
		38.3%	23.5%	40.8%	52.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	12	13	15	9
		46.9%	56.2%	40.6%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	4	5	2
		14.8%	17.7%	14.4%	7.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0	1
		.0%	2.6%	.8%	5.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a			a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a		a
	DK/NA		a			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	254	1	50	13	29	11	9	20
		43.6%	9.0%	28.8%	30.7%	62.8%	66.8%	63.6%	47.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	2	97	19	16	2	5	15
		44.7%	37.6%	56.0%	46.4%	34.0%	14.7%	36.4%	36.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	3	19	5	1	3	0	3
		8.6%	53.4%	11.0%	13.0%	1.8%	18.4%	.0%	6.5%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	7	3	0	0	0	3
		2.6%	.0%	4.3%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	1	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	36	45	40	0	0
		37.7%	60.8%	62.7%	.0%	6.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	48	27	23	1	4
		49.3%	36.8%	36.4%	43.9%	69.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	2	1	1	1
		11.7%	2.4%	.9%	46.3%	19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	5.3%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				B				
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D G I J				a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a			a	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	a			a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	B	B	^a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			J	
	Very dissatisfied	^a	^a		
	DK/NA	^a	^a	^a	^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	183 39.4%	71 60.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	227 48.9%	33 28.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	40 8.6%	10 8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	13 2.8%	2 1.7%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .3%	1 1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied	B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	136 39.2%	118 50.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	165 47.6%	95 40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	35 10.0%	15 6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	9 2.6%	6 2.6%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	2 .6%	1 .3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	5 43.9%	23 46.8%	42 45.1%	51 38.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	6 56.1%	19 38.4%	42 44.6%	66 49.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	0 .0%	4 8.8%	5 5.8%	14 10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	0 .0%	2 4.7%	4 4.6%	1 .4%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	75 45.7%	57 43.1%	1 100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	77 47.3%	50 38.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10 6.3%	16 12.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	1 .7%	7 5.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	2 1.7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	.b		.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA	.b		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	253 43.6%	16 36.6%	96 45.7%	52 36.7%	47 41.2%	41 69.9%	1 7.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	259 44.8%	24 52.4%	93 44.0%	75 53.6%	48 41.8%	13 22.6%	7 61.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.5%	3 7.2%	17 8.2%	8 5.8%	17 15.2%	1 1.8%	2 20.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	1 2.4%	3 1.3%	5 3.8%	2 1.9%	3 5.7%	1 4.3%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	1 1.4%	2 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	E	E	E		A B C D F	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	a	
	DK/NA			.	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	254 43.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	52 27.5%	1 48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	260 44.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	117 62.0%	1 51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50 8.6%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	13 7.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	45	4	20	7
		42.1%	24.0%	80.4%	88.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	46	9	5	1
		43.6%	57.6%	19.6%	11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	3	0	0
		12.2%	18.4%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	14	7	81	8
		100.0%	67.6%	54.3%	35.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	3	49	12
		.0%	32.4%	32.8%	52.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	15	1
		.0%	.0%	10.0%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	4	2
		.0%	.0%	2.5%	7.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	.4%	2.8%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	3	0	11
		27.8%	.0%	63.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	7	5	2
		57.9%	78.9%	13.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	3
		11.5%	4.5%	16.6%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1
		2.7%	16.6%	2.9%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.	.		
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.	G K O	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			C E F	C
	Somewhat satisfied			.	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.	.	.
	Very dissatisfied
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.		C	
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		
	DK/NA	.	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		.	
	Somewhat satisfied		.	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.	
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	
	DK/NA	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	119	44	52	14	6	4
		44.6%	42.4%	46.1%	44.9%	51.4%	41.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	114	47	44	16	5	2
		42.9%	45.1%	39.0%	52.4%	48.6%	27.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	11	12	1	0	3
		10.1%	10.8%	10.8%	2.8%	.0%	29.5%
	Very dissatisfied	6	1	5	0	0	0
		2.1%	.8%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	1	1	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	172	50	100	20	0	3
		45.2%	46.0%	44.5%	59.9%	.0%	24.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	163	51	94	11	3	3
		42.8%	47.5%	41.8%	33.9%	76.7%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	5	21	2	0	5
		8.7%	5.0%	9.3%	6.2%	.0%	43.0%
	Very dissatisfied	10	0	8	0	1	0
		2.6%	.3%	3.7%	.0%	23.3%	1.5%
	DK/NA	3	1	2	0	0	0
		.7%	1.2%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	A B C
	Very dissatisfied			a	A	
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	254	198	3	25	1	1	18
		43.6%	44.2%	12.9%	27.3%	19.9%	69.2%	42.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	190	19	56	4	0	20
		44.7%	42.4%	73.9%	59.9%	51.0%	6.5%	46.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	44	1	11	1	0	4
		8.6%	9.8%	4.4%	11.8%	15.8%	24.3%	8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	13	2	0	1	0	1
		2.6%	2.9%	8.8%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	2.6%
	DK/NA	3	3	0	1	0	0	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	18	9	6	0	10
		40.8%	82.9%	19.5%	44.2%	25.0%	80.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	4	34	5	1	2
		16.6%	17.1%	72.1%	35.5%	75.0%	19.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0	3	0	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	3	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	20.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	27	1
		100.0%	47.8%	13.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	19	4
		.0%	34.0%	63.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	1
		.0%	12.0%	17.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0	3	0
		.0%	6.2%	5.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C I				a		
	Somewhat satisfied		H N	A H		a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a		
	Very dissatisfied			b		a,b		b
	DK/NA		b	.	b	a,b	b	b
		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	A B C I O			a	B C I	b	
	Somewhat satisfied		A H N		a		b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	b		b	a,b	b	b	
	Very dissatisfied	b	b	A	a,b	b	b	
	DK/NA	b	.	b	a,b	b	b	b
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	b
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	254	17	22	19	19	12
		43.6%	29.0%	42.7%	64.7%	50.7%	36.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	38	28	7	14	18
		44.7%	62.6%	54.8%	22.6%	37.3%	56.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	4	0	4	3	2
		8.6%	7.2%	.0%	12.7%	9.1%	4.8%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	0	1	1
		2.6%	.2%	2.5%	.0%	2.9%	2.0%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	0	0	0
		.5%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	24	22	18	50	51
		57.6%	57.5%	54.1%	37.8%	40.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	8	13	62	58
		32.7%	21.0%	40.0%	47.2%	46.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	6	1	12	14
		9.7%	15.5%	4.4%	9.1%	11.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	1	8	1
		.0%	6.0%	1.6%	5.8%	1.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	C G	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.	a		
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	
		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	a		a	a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	254	63	113	78
		43.6%	37.6%	73.7%	29.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	260	84	38	138
		44.7%	50.3%	24.7%	52.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50	16	2	32
		8.6%	9.5%	1.6%	12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15	3	0	12
		2.6%	1.8%	.0%	4.6%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	2
		.5%	.8%	.0%	.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	B	A C	B
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B		B
	Very dissatisfied		a	
	DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	194	55	48	26	65
		42.1%	43.4%	53.5%	33.7%	38.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	56	31	44	81
		45.9%	44.5%	34.2%	56.9%	48.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41	12	7	6	15
		8.8%	9.6%	8.1%	8.0%	9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	12	3	4	1	4
		2.6%	2.0%	4.2%	1.5%	2.6%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	0	2
		.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			B	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	254	60	58	16	22	46
		43.8%	48.7%	48.6%	40.1%	54.0%	36.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	259	53	46	18	11	69
		44.8%	42.7%	38.4%	44.8%	26.7%	53.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	49	9	7	4	5	12
		8.5%	7.3%	6.2%	10.1%	12.9%	9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	14	2	7	1	3	1
		2.4%	1.3%	5.8%	3.4%	6.4%	.5%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	1	0	0
		.5%	.0%	1.1%	1.6%	.0%	.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	43	5	4
		38.5%	65.2%	40.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	56	2	5
		50.1%	32.6%	53.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	1
		9.6%	2.2%	5.8%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0
		.8%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA	a			a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
1. To begin, I would like to get your overall opinion of living in the City of Cupertino. Generally speaking, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall quality of life in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	I could afford a house	39	39
		6.6%	6.6%
	Close to work	38	38
		6.6%	6.6%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	18
		3.0%	3.0%
	Friends / family here	93	93
		16.1%	16.1%
	Grew up here	45	45
		7.7%	7.7%
	Job	26	26
		4.5%	4.5%
	Quality of life	17	17
		2.9%	2.9%
	Retirement	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%
	Safety / low crime	21	21
		3.6%	3.6%
	School system	205	205
		35.3%	35.3%
	Small town atmosphere	36	36
		6.3%	6.3%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	15
		2.6%	2.6%
	Diversity	3	3
		.6%	.6%
	Close to Apple	2	2
		.4%	.4%
	Other Mention	14	14
		2.4%	2.4%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2
		.3%	.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	.
	Close to work	.
	Enjoy / like the City	.
	Friends / family here	.
	Grew up here	.
	Job	.
	Quality of life	.
	Retirement	.
	Safety / low crime	.
	School system	.
	Small town atmosphere	.
	Central location / Close to freeways	.
	Diversity	.
	Close to Apple	.
	Other Mention	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	I could afford a house	39	17	22	0
		6.6%	6.0%	7.3%	.0%
	Close to work	38	12	26	0
		6.6%	4.4%	8.7%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	11	6	0
		3.0%	4.0%	2.1%	.0%
	Friends / family here	93	39	55	0
		16.1%	13.8%	18.4%	.0%
	Grew up here	45	23	19	2
		7.7%	8.3%	6.5%	65.6%
	Job	26	15	11	0
		4.5%	5.5%	3.7%	.0%
	Quality of life	17	7	10	0
		2.9%	2.5%	3.4%	.0%
	Retirement	7	2	5	0
		1.2%	.7%	1.7%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	21	7	14	0
		3.6%	2.5%	4.7%	.0%
	School system	205	107	97	1
		35.3%	38.1%	32.6%	34.4%
	Small town atmosphere	36	17	19	0
		6.3%	6.2%	6.4%	.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	8	7	0
		2.6%	3.0%	2.3%	.0%
	Diversity	3	3	0	0
		.6%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	1	2	0
		.4%	.2%	.5%	.0%
	Other Mention	14	9	5	0
		2.4%	3.1%	1.7%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2	0	0
		.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a
	Close to work		A	a
	Enjoy / like the City			a
	Friends / family here			a
	Grew up here			A B
	Job			a
	Quality of life			a
	Retirement			a
	Safety / low crime			a
	School system			a
	Small town atmosphere			a
	Central location / Close to freeways			a
	Diversity		a	a
	Close to Apple			a
	Other Mention			a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	I could afford a house	39	4	12	2	8	10	2
		6.6%	5.7%	12.0%	1.8%	5.2%	9.4%	24.3%
	Close to work	38	5	11	6	11	5	0
		6.6%	6.3%	10.6%	4.4%	7.5%	5.1%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	0	5	3	5	3	1
		3.0%	.0%	4.8%	2.4%	3.5%	3.3%	7.2%
	Friends / family here	93	25	19	12	17	20	0
		16.1%	33.0%	18.5%	8.6%	11.3%	19.6%	.0%
	Grew up here	45	15	8	9	7	4	1
		7.7%	20.1%	8.1%	6.3%	4.9%	3.6%	12.5%
	Job	26	0	4	8	5	8	1
		4.5%	.0%	3.9%	5.9%	3.3%	7.8%	12.5%
	Quality of life	17	0	2	5	4	5	0
		2.9%	.0%	2.3%	3.8%	2.6%	5.3%	.0%
	Retirement	7	0	0	0	0	7	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	21	7	2	6	3	3	0
		3.6%	9.7%	2.4%	4.0%	1.9%	2.5%	.0%
	School system	205	12	21	76	72	22	2
		35.3%	15.5%	21.1%	53.8%	47.5%	21.6%	18.7%
	Small town atmosphere	36	4	8	5	7	10	2
		6.3%	4.6%	7.9%	3.4%	4.9%	10.3%	24.9%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	0	3	6	5	2	0
		2.6%	.0%	2.7%	4.3%	3.2%	1.6%	.0%
	Diversity	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.4%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	2.0%	.0%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	14	2	6	0	4	2	0
		2.4%	3.0%	5.6%	.0%	2.7%	1.8%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	1.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		C				C
	Close to work	.					a
	Enjoy / like the City	a					.
	Friends / family here	C D					a
	Grew up here	C D E					.
	Job	a					.
	Quality of life	a					a
	Retirement	a		a	a		a
	Safety / low crime	.		.	.		a
	School system			A B E	A B E		.
	Small town atmosphere						C
	Central location / Close to freeways	a					a
	Diversity	a	a			a	a
	Close to Apple	.	a			.	a
	Other Mention			a			a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	.	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	I could afford a house	39	20	19
		6.6%	4.7%	11.9%
	Close to work	38	20	18
		6.6%	4.8%	11.3%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	11	6
		3.0%	2.7%	3.9%
	Friends / family here	93	66	27
		16.1%	15.6%	17.3%
	Grew up here	45	34	11
		7.7%	7.9%	7.0%
	Job	26	20	6
		4.5%	4.7%	4.1%
	Quality of life	17	13	4
		2.9%	3.1%	2.5%
	Retirement	7	4	3
		1.2%	1.0%	1.7%
	Safety / low crime	21	12	9
		3.6%	2.7%	5.9%
	School system	205	166	39
		35.3%	39.2%	24.8%
	Small town atmosphere	36	30	7
		6.3%	7.0%	4.3%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	12	4
		2.6%	2.8%	2.2%
	Diversity	3	3	0
		.6%	.8%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	1	2
		.4%	.1%	1.0%
	Other Mention	14	11	3
		2.4%	2.5%	2.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2	0
		.3%	.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		A
	Close to work		A
	Enjoy / like the City		
	Friends / family here		
	Grew up here		
	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety / low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Central location / Close to freeways		
	Diversity		a
	Close to Apple		.
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	I could afford a house	39 6.6%	21 8.4%	9 11.3%	3 20.3%	6 2.3%
	Close to work	38 6.6%	20 8.1%	4 5.3%	0 .0%	14 6.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	8 3.2%	2 2.5%	0 .0%	8 3.2%
	Friends / family here	93 16.1%	33 13.7%	9 10.9%	4 26.5%	47 19.5%
	Grew up here	45 7.7%	20 8.2%	6 7.2%	0 2.2%	19 7.7%
	Job	26 4.5%	14 5.7%	6 7.5%	0 .0%	6 2.7%
	Quality of life	17 2.9%	3 1.2%	5 6.7%	1 3.7%	8 3.4%
	Retirement	7 1.2%	4 1.8%	2 2.2%	0 .0%	1 .3%
	Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	9 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	12 4.8%
	School system	205 35.3%	73 29.9%	24 29.2%	6 38.6%	103 42.5%
	Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	19 7.9%	6 8.0%	1 7.4%	9 3.9%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	6 2.5%	4 4.6%	0 1.3%	5 2.2%
	Diversity	3 .6%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .9%
	Close to Apple	2 .4%	2 .7%	0 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other Mention	14 2.4%	11 4.3%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	1 .6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%	0 .1%

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	D	D	D a	
	Close to work			a	
	Enjoy / like the City			.	
	Friends / family here				
	Grew up here			a	
	Job				
	Quality of life		A	a	
	Retirement		a	a	
	Safety / low crime		.	.	
	School system				A
	Small town atmosphere				
	Central location / Close to freeways		a	a	
	Diversity		.	a	a
	Close to Apple			a	.
	Other Mention	D		a	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a		a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	I could afford a house	39	14	5	4	2	5	9
		6.6%	11.9%	8.2%	11.7%	5.7%	3.7%	4.2%
	Close to work	38	10	5	3	0	10	9
		6.6%	8.7%	7.7%	10.4%	.5%	8.1%	4.3%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	6	2	1	1	0	8
		3.0%	5.0%	2.7%	4.3%	2.3%	.0%	3.7%
	Friends / family here	93	11	13	2	7	23	38
		16.1%	8.8%	19.9%	6.2%	24.0%	17.8%	18.5%
	Grew up here	45	8	7	3	3	9	14
		7.7%	6.8%	10.5%	10.3%	8.9%	7.2%	7.0%
	Job	26	7	4	1	2	6	6
		4.5%	5.9%	5.9%	1.8%	7.3%	5.0%	3.0%
	Quality of life	17	1	1	1	3	2	8
		2.9%	.5%	2.1%	4.6%	11.5%	1.6%	4.1%
	Retirement	7	3	1	1	1	0	1
		1.2%	2.7%	1.8%	3.8%	2.1%	.1%	.3%
	Safety / low crime	21	3	4	0	0	4	10
		3.6%	2.3%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	5.1%
	School system	205	39	13	8	9	48	87
		35.3%	32.3%	20.3%	26.0%	32.3%	37.3%	42.4%
	Small town atmosphere	36	14	4	5	1	5	8
		6.3%	11.3%	6.0%	14.7%	2.1%	4.0%	4.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	4	1	2	0	3	5
		2.6%	3.0%	2.0%	6.3%	1.2%	2.3%	2.5%
	Diversity	3	1	0	0	0	1	1
		.6%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.5%
	Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
	.4%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	
Other Mention	14	0	3	0	1	10	0	
	2.4%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	2.3%	8.0%	.2%	
Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house						
	Close to work						
	Enjoy / like the City					a	
	Friends / family here					.	
	Grew up here						
	Job						
	Quality of life				A		
	Retirement						
	Safety / low crime			a	a		
	School system			.	.		B
	Small town atmosphere						
	Central location / Close to freeways						
	Diversity	a	a	a	a		a
	Close to Apple	a		a	.		
	Other Mention	a		a		F	
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	I could afford a house	39	10	11	4	2
		6.6%	3.6%	13.5%	5.1%	4.0%
	Close to work	38	19	7	8	0
		6.6%	7.1%	9.2%	9.8%	.2%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	9	3	3	0
		3.0%	3.3%	3.6%	3.7%	.0%
	Friends / family here	93	47	6	13	10
		16.1%	17.8%	7.6%	15.5%	20.9%
	Grew up here	45	20	10	6	1
		7.7%	7.5%	12.8%	7.8%	1.8%
	Job	26	12	0	4	2
		4.5%	4.4%	.0%	5.2%	4.6%
	Quality of life	17	7	0	3	3
		2.9%	2.7%	.0%	3.2%	5.6%
	Retirement	7	3	0	1	1
		1.2%	1.0%	.0%	.8%	2.6%
	Safety / low crime	21	15	2	1	3
		3.6%	5.6%	2.0%	1.8%	6.9%
	School system	205	96	26	31	18
		35.3%	36.5%	32.7%	37.5%	38.2%
	Small town atmosphere	36	11	8	6	2
		6.3%	4.1%	10.0%	7.2%	4.7%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	5	4	1	3
		2.6%	1.8%	4.9%	.8%	7.0%
	Diversity	3	1	1	1	0
		.6%	.4%	1.5%	1.3%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.3%	.7%
	Other Mention	14	8	2	0	1
		2.4%	3.0%	2.1%	.0%	2.7%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
		.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	I could afford a house	0	3	5	4
		.0%	14.1%	12.5%	17.9%
	Close to work	2	0	2	1
		5.9%	.0%	5.5%	3.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	1	0	1	1
		2.4%	.0%	2.5%	5.8%
	Friends / family here	9	5	3	1
		33.0%	23.5%	8.2%	3.6%
	Grew up here	1	1	2	4
		5.5%	3.4%	4.4%	15.7%
	Job	1	1	3	3
		3.4%	3.4%	8.2%	14.4%
	Quality of life	0	0	3	1
		.0%	1.4%	8.3%	5.1%
	Retirement	1	0	1	1
		2.4%	.0%	3.4%	2.6%
	Safety / low crime	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	School system	10	10	13	2
		35.9%	45.1%	34.0%	8.7%
	Small town atmosphere	1	1	3	5
		2.4%	4.0%	8.8%	20.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	0	1	2	0
		.0%	5.2%	4.1%	.4%
	Diversity	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	2	0	0	1
		9.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		A		
	Close to work				a
	Enjoy / like the City				.
	Friends / family here				
	Grew up here				
	Job		a		
	Quality of life		a		
	Retirement		a		
	Safety / low crime				
	School system				
	Small town atmosphere				
	Central location / Close to freeways				a
	Diversity				.
	Close to Apple		a		
	Other Mention			a	
		a	a	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a			A
	Close to work	.	a		
	Enjoy / like the City		a		
	Friends / family here	B			
	Grew up here				
	Job				
	Quality of life	a			
	Retirement	.	a		
	Safety / low crime	a	a	a	a
	School system
	Small town atmosphere				A
	Central location / Close to freeways	a			
	Diversity	a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention	.	a	a	.
		a	a	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	I could afford a house	39	2	9	4	3	1	0	2
		6.6%	37.6%	5.1%	9.2%	6.7%	8.4%	.0%	5.0%
	Close to work	38	0	6	5	3	1	3	3
		6.6%	.0%	3.5%	11.6%	5.5%	7.4%	24.6%	7.4%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	0	1	1	3	1	0	4
		3.0%	.0%	.4%	2.2%	7.3%	4.8%	.0%	8.7%
	Friends / family here	93	3	12	3	1	0	1	3
		16.1%	43.5%	6.8%	6.5%	1.7%	1.7%	4.8%	7.7%
	Grew up here	45	0	11	1	9	0	0	1
		7.7%	.0%	6.3%	3.5%	19.4%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Job	26	0	5	4	7	0	0	1
		4.5%	.0%	2.9%	8.6%	14.7%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Quality of life	17	1	5	0	0	2	2	1
		2.9%	9.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	12.1%	12.6%	3.1%
	Retirement	7	0	1	0	1	0	0	1
		1.2%	.0%	.4%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	Safety / low crime	21	0	8	3	2	0	0	0
		3.6%	.0%	4.9%	6.7%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	School system	205	0	85	19	13	7	7	18
		35.3%	.0%	49.1%	45.4%	27.9%	39.2%	49.1%	42.8%
	Small town atmosphere	36	1	12	1	4	3	0	3
		6.3%	9.9%	7.0%	3.6%	8.2%	20.1%	1.1%	8.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	0	13	1	0	0	0	1
		2.6%	.0%	7.5%	2.8%	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Diversity	3	0	2	0	0	1	0	0
		.6%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	Other Mention	14	0	1	0	0	0	1	3
		2.4%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.8%	6.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.3%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	I could afford a house	7	4	6	0	0
		7.7%	5.3%	8.6%	.0%	.0%
	Close to work	6	9	2	0	0
		6.5%	12.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	3	3	2	0	0
		3.4%	4.2%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Friends / family here	22	20	27	1	0
		22.9%	27.8%	42.2%	46.3%	5.3%
	Grew up here	5	10	7	0	1
		4.7%	13.3%	10.2%	.0%	25.5%
	Job	5	3	3	0	0
		4.9%	3.7%	4.5%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	6	1	0	0	0
		6.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Retirement	2	2	0	0	0
		2.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	7	0	0	0	0
		7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	School system	22	16	16	1	2
		22.9%	21.6%	24.3%	53.7%	43.6%
	Small town atmosphere	8	3	0	0	0
		8.3%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
	Diversity	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	3	2	3	0	1
		3.1%	2.3%	5.1%	.0%	19.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	B					a		
	Close to work	a					B		
	Enjoy / like the City	a					a	B	
	Friends / family here	B D				a	a		B
	Grew up here	a				a	a		
	Job	a			B	a	a		
	Quality of life			a	a		a		
	Retirement	a		a		a	a		
	Safety / low crime	a				a	a	a	
	School system	a	H I J						
	Small town atmosphere								
	Central location / Close to freeways	a				a	a		a
	Diversity	a		a	a	a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a		a	a	a			
	Other Mention	a		a	a	a		B	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a		a	a	a			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a	a
	Close to work			a	a
	Enjoy / like the City			a	a
	Friends / family here	B D	B C D G		
	Grew up here			a	
	Job			a	a
	Quality of life		a	a	a
	Retirement		a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a	a	a	a
	School system				
	Small town atmosphere		a	a	a
	Central location / Close to freeways	a	a	a	
	Diversity	a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention			a	B
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	I could afford a house	39	30	8
		6.6%	6.6%	6.9%
	Close to work	38	34	4
		6.6%	7.3%	3.8%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	11	6
		3.0%	2.4%	5.4%
	Friends / family here	93	78	16
		16.1%	16.7%	13.5%
	Grew up here	45	26	19
		7.7%	5.5%	16.1%
	Job	26	21	5
		4.5%	4.5%	4.5%
	Quality of life	17	14	3
		2.9%	2.9%	3.0%
	Retirement	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.4%	.5%
	Safety / low crime	21	18	3
		3.6%	3.9%	2.3%
	School system	205	164	41
		35.3%	35.4%	34.5%
	Small town atmosphere	36	31	6
		6.3%	6.6%	4.9%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	13	2
		2.6%	2.9%	1.7%
	Diversity	3	3	0
		.6%	.7%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	2	0
		.4%	.4%	.2%
	Other Mention	14	11	3
		2.4%	2.3%	2.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2	0
		.3%	.4%	.0%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		
	Close to work		
	Enjoy / like the City		
	Friends / family here		
	Grew up here		A
	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety / low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Central location / Close to freeways		a
	Diversity		.
	Close to Apple		
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	I could afford a house	39	29	10
		6.6%	8.4%	4.1%
	Close to work	38	27	11
		6.6%	7.8%	4.8%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	10	8
		3.0%	2.8%	3.4%
	Friends / family here	93	40	53
		16.1%	11.7%	22.6%
	Grew up here	45	23	22
		7.7%	6.6%	9.2%
	Job	26	18	8
		4.5%	5.2%	3.4%
	Quality of life	17	11	6
		2.9%	3.2%	2.5%
	Retirement	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.8%	.3%
	Safety / low crime	21	11	10
		3.6%	3.1%	4.4%
	School system	205	130	76
		35.3%	37.4%	32.1%
	Small town atmosphere	36	20	17
		6.3%	5.7%	7.1%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	11	4
		2.6%	3.2%	1.8%
	Diversity	3	3	0
		.6%	.9%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	0	2
		.4%	.1%	.8%
	Other Mention	14	7	7
		2.4%	2.0%	2.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	1
		.3%	.1%	.6%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	B	
	Close to work		
	Enjoy / like the City		A
	Friends / family here		
	Grew up here		
	Job		
	Quality of life		
	Retirement		
	Safety / low crime		
	School system		
	Small town atmosphere		
	Central location / Close to freeways		a
	Diversity		.
	Close to Apple		
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	I could afford a house	39 6.6%	0 2.7%	4 7.2%	8 8.6%	6 4.9%
	Close to work	38 6.6%	3 27.1%	9 17.4%	7 7.3%	10 7.6%
	Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	0 .9%	0 .5%	0 .2%	3 2.5%
	Friends / family here	93 16.1%	2 14.7%	4 8.4%	6 6.5%	31 23.8%
	Grew up here	45 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .9%	2 1.5%
	Job	26 4.5%	0 .0%	1 2.8%	5 5.4%	4 2.7%
	Quality of life	17 2.9%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	0 .0%	4 2.7%
	Retirement	7 1.2%	0 .0%	1 2.7%	1 1.3%	2 1.4%
	Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	0 .0%	6 11.5%	1 1.3%	5 3.6%
	School system	205 35.3%	3 29.0%	18 36.4%	55 59.0%	50 37.8%
	Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 7.9%	5 4.2%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	3 25.6%	0 .2%	0 .4%	6 4.4%
	Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 .8%
	Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	3 6.7%	0 .0%	3 2.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	I could afford a house	6	15	0
		3.4%	11.2%	.0%
	Close to work	5	5	0
		3.0%	3.9%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	9	5	0
		5.5%	3.6%	.0%
	Friends / family here	33	17	0
		20.2%	13.1%	.0%
	Grew up here	19	22	0
		11.9%	16.9%	.0%
	Job	9	7	0
		5.8%	5.1%	.0%
	Quality of life	6	7	0
		3.4%	5.1%	.0%
	Retirement	2	1	0
		1.2%	.5%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	8	1	0
		5.1%	.8%	.0%
	School system	51	28	1
		31.1%	20.9%	100.0%
	Small town atmosphere	9	15	0
		5.5%	11.0%	.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	4	3	0
		2.3%	1.9%	.0%
	Diversity	1	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0
		.0%	.2%	.0%
	Other Mention	2	6	0
		1.0%	4.8%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	1	0
		.0%	1.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house				
	Close to work	E F	E F		
	Enjoy / like the City				
	Friends / family here				C
	Grew up here	.b	.b		
	Job	.b			
	Quality of life	.b		.b	
	Retirement	.b			
	Safety / low crime	.b	F		
	School system			D E F	F
	Small town atmosphere	.b	.b		
	Central location / Close to freeways	B C E F			
	Diversity	.b	.b		
	Close to Apple	.b	F	.b	.b
	Other Mention	.b		.b	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a, b
	Close to work			a, b
	Enjoy / like the City			a, b
	Friends / family here	C		a, b
	Grew up here	C D	C D	a, b
	Job			a, b
	Quality of life			a, b
	Retirement			a, b
	Safety / low crime			a, b
	School system			a, b
	Small town atmosphere			a, b
	Central location / Close to freeways			a, b
	Diversity		.b	a, b
	Close to Apple	.b		a, b
	Other Mention			a, b
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.b		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	I could afford a house	39	8	16	9	2	2	1
		6.6%	17.7%	7.8%	6.2%	1.9%	4.2%	5.7%
	Close to work	38	3	21	7	6	2	0
		6.6%	6.2%	10.2%	4.8%	4.9%	3.1%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	1	6	4	2	5	0
		3.0%	2.8%	2.9%	2.7%	1.4%	8.4%	.0%
	Friends / family here	93	7	38	19	17	11	0
		16.1%	16.4%	18.3%	13.6%	15.1%	19.4%	.0%
	Grew up here	45	4	8	7	12	11	3
		7.7%	9.5%	3.7%	5.0%	10.5%	18.6%	25.3%
	Job	26	2	13	5	2	4	0
		4.5%	5.1%	6.2%	3.8%	1.5%	6.7%	.0%
	Quality of life	17	2	6	6	3	0	0
		3.0%	3.4%	2.8%	4.5%	2.9%	.0%	.0%
	Retirement	6	4	1	0	0	0	0
		1.0%	10.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	21	2	4	4	7	3	0
		3.6%	5.4%	2.0%	3.0%	6.1%	5.2%	.0%
	School system	205	4	63	68	51	16	3
		35.4%	9.0%	30.0%	48.4%	44.6%	28.4%	21.7%
	Small town atmosphere	36	4	16	1	10	1	4
		6.2%	9.4%	7.5%	.5%	8.8%	1.8%	34.3%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	0	6	4	1	2	1
		2.7%	1.1%	3.0%	3.0%	.5%	4.0%	13.0%
	Diversity	3	1	1	1	0	0	0
		.6%	2.6%	.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	14	1	7	4	2	0	0
		2.4%	1.4%	3.4%	2.8%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	D					a
	Close to work						a
	Enjoy / like the City						a
	Friends / family here						a
	Grew up here					B C	B
	Job						a
	Quality of life					a	a
	Retirement	B		a	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime						a
	School system			A B	A		
	Small town atmosphere	C	C		C		B C E
	Central location / Close to freeways				a	a	D
	Diversity			a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a				a	a
	Other Mention					a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189
	I could afford a house	39 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.7%
	Close to work	38 6.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 .8%
	Friends / family here	93 16.1%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	42 22.0%
	Grew up here	45 7.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	16 8.5%
	Job	26 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.7%
	Quality of life	17 2.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	4 2.0%
	Retirement	7 1.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%
	School system	205 35.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	80 42.0%
	Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	8 4.2%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 3.1%
	Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .7%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	2	106	15	25
	I could afford a house	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Close to work	0 .0%	6 5.4%	5 34.9%	1 4.4%
	Enjoy / like the City	0 .0%	2 1.8%	0 .0%	2 6.9%
	Friends / family here	0 .0%	10 9.5%	2 10.3%	5 19.9%
	Grew up here	0 .0%	2 2.2%	1 9.4%	6 24.5%
	Job	0 .0%	5 4.5%	0 .0%	2 6.6%
	Quality of life	0 .0%	4 3.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Safety / low crime	0 .0%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	3 11.4%
	School system	2 100.0%	61 57.2%	7 45.5%	4 15.2%
	Small town atmosphere	0 .0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	0 .0%	3 3.0%	0 .0%	3 11.1%
	Diversity	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other Mention	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	8	14	10	148
	I could afford a house	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 12.7%
	Close to work	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	18 12.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	0 .0%	2 11.3%	0 .0%	9 5.8%
	Friends / family here	0 .0%	9 69.0%	0 .0%	13 8.5%
	Grew up here	0 .0%	2 12.6%	0 .0%	12 8.0%
	Job	1 17.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	13 9.0%
	Quality of life	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.0%	6 3.7%
	Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 4.7%
	Safety / low crime	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.0%
	School system	5 65.6%	1 7.1%	6 56.2%	28 19.1%
	Small town atmosphere	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	15 10.3%
	Central location / Close to freeways	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.8%
	Diversity	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 21.6%	0 .0%
	Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 1.4%
	Other Mention	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	23	12	6	17
	I could afford a house	2 7.9%	3 23.7%	2 41.6%	3 17.7%
	Close to work	6 24.9%	1 9.7%	0 4.5%	0 .9%
	Enjoy / like the City	1 6.3%	1 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Friends / family here	1 4.3%	5 41.0%	0 4.5%	4 24.9%
	Grew up here	3 12.6%	1 11.0%	1 16.6%	0 .0%
	Job	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Quality of life	0 .0%	0 2.7%	0 .0%	2 13.6%
	Retirement	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Safety / low crime	1 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	School system	5 20.2%	1 6.5%	1 22.5%	3 19.3%
	Small town atmosphere	3 14.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 5.8%
	Central location / Close to freeways	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 10.2%	0 2.5%
	Diversity	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Close to Apple	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other Mention	1 4.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 13.6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a	a		a
	Close to work	a	a	a	a
	Enjoy / like the City	a	a		a
	Friends / family here	a	a	K	a
	Grew up here	a	a		a
	Job	a	a		a
	Quality of life	a	a		a
	Retirement	a	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a	a		a
	School system		a	K	a
	Small town atmosphere	C	a		a
	Central location / Close to freeways	a	a		a
	Diversity	a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention	a	a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		a	a	
	Close to work		E		a
	Enjoy / like the City		a		a
	Friends / family here				a
	Grew up here			E	a
	Job		a		
	Quality of life		a	a	a
	Retirement	a	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime		a		a
	School system	G I K			
	Small town atmosphere		a	a	a
	Central location / Close to freeways		a		a
	Diversity		a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention		a	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a	a	E	
	Close to work	a			
	Enjoy / like the City	C	a		
	Friends / family here	C E K L	a		
	Grew up here		a		
	Job	a	a		a
	Quality of life	a			a
	Retirement	a	a		a
	Safety / low crime	a	a		
	School system				
	Small town atmosphere	a	a		
	Central location / Close to freeways	a	a		a
	Diversity	a	E	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a		a
	Other Mention	a	a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	E	C E	E
	Close to work		a	a
	Enjoy / like the City			
	Friends / family here	K		a
	Grew up here			a
	Job	a	a	a
	Quality of life		a	
	Retirement	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a	a	a
	School system			
	Small town atmosphere	a	a	
	Central location / Close to freeways	a		
	Diversity	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a
	Other Mention	a	a	E K
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	I could afford a house	5	1	4	0	0	0
	Close to work	23	11	5	7	0	0
	Enjoy / like the City	5	1	2	2	0	0
	Friends / family here	36	14	18	3	0	1
	Grew up here	12	2	4	3	1	1
	Job	8	1	4	0	2	0
	Quality of life	11	9	2	0	0	1
	Retirement	1	0	1	0	0	0
	Safety / low crime	5	0	2	2	1	0
	School system	137	54	60	11	5	6
	Small town atmosphere	10	6	2	1	1	0
	Central location / Close to freeways	7	2	3	1	0	0
	Diversity	1	1	0	0	0	0
	Close to Apple	2	0	2	0	0	0
	Other Mention	4	0	4	0	0	0
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a	a	a
	Close to work			B	a	a
	Enjoy / like the City				a	a
	Friends / family here				a	
	Grew up here					
	Job			a	A	a
	Quality of life			a	a	
	Retirement	a		a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	.			A	a
	School system					a
	Small town atmosphere					a
	Central location / Close to freeways					a
	Diversity		a	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a	.	a	a	a
	Other Mention	.		a	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	I could afford a house	30	13	16	0	1	0
		7.8%	12.1%	7.1%	.0%	17.5%	.0%
	Close to work	17	8	8	2	0	0
		4.5%	7.2%	3.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	13	6	2	5	0	0
		3.4%	5.8%	.9%	14.1%	.0%	.0%
	Friends / family here	74	18	52	5	0	0
		19.4%	16.5%	23.0%	13.8%	.0%	.0%
	Grew up here	34	3	24	4	1	1
		8.8%	3.2%	10.6%	11.9%	32.5%	9.2%
	Job	19	7	11	0	0	1
		5.0%	6.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
	Quality of life	9	2	6	1	0	0
		2.3%	2.3%	2.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Retirement	7	5	2	0	0	0
		1.8%	4.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	14	4	8	2	0	0
		3.7%	3.8%	3.8%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	School system	120	32	68	14	0	7
		31.4%	29.5%	30.2%	40.4%	.0%	59.5%
	Small town atmosphere	24	8	14	2	1	0
		6.3%	7.3%	6.1%	4.7%	23.3%	.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	7	1	4	1	1	0
		1.7%	.6%	1.6%	3.3%	26.7%	.0%
	Diversity	2	0	2	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	11	1	7	0	0	2
		2.8%	1.0%	3.1%	.4%	.0%	21.5%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a		a
	Close to work				a	a
	Enjoy / like the City	B		B	a	a
	Friends / family here				a	a
	Grew up here				A	
	Job			a	a	
	Quality of life				a	a
	Retirement			a	a	a
	Safety / low crime				a	a
	School system				a	
	Small town atmosphere					a
	Central location / Close to freeways				A B	a
	Diversity	a		a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a		a	a	a
	Other Mention				a	A B C
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	I could afford a house	39	36	1	1	0	0
		6.6%	8.1%	4.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to work	38	35	1	0	0	0
		6.6%	7.8%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	11	0	3	0	0
		3.0%	2.5%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
	Friends / family here	93	56	8	16	0	1
		16.1%	12.5%	31.5%	17.1%	.0%	69.2%
	Grew up here	45	37	2	8	1	0
		7.7%	8.3%	8.9%	8.8%	13.2%	24.3%
	Job	26	18	0	3	0	0
		4.5%	4.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	17	14	0	4	1	0
		2.9%	3.2%	.0%	4.2%	15.8%	.0%
	Retirement	7	7	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	21	12	1	9	0	0
		3.6%	2.6%	5.6%	9.3%	.0%	.0%
	School system	205	159	9	42	4	0
		35.3%	35.4%	36.4%	44.7%	51.1%	6.5%
	Small town atmosphere	36	33	3	3	1	0
		6.3%	7.4%	10.6%	3.7%	19.8%	.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	11	0	2	0	0
		2.6%	2.6%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Diversity	3	2	0	1	0	0
		.6%	.5%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	14	12	0	1	0	0
		2.4%	2.8%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	I could afford a house	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Close to work	3	4	0	1	0	0
		6.4%	65.7%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	0	0	2	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
	Friends / family here	6	0	3	17	1	0
		13.3%	.0%	14.7%	35.5%	7.6%	.0%
	Grew up here	0	1	6	0	3	1
		.0%	11.7%	27.6%	.0%	19.2%	75.0%
	Job	5	0	2	1	3	0
		10.8%	.0%	7.5%	2.4%	19.8%	.0%
	Quality of life	4	0	0	2	0	0
		9.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	Retirement	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	0	0	3	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	8.1%	.0%
	School system	25	1	4	18	3	0
		56.0%	19.1%	17.1%	37.4%	21.1%	.0%
	Small town atmosphere	1	0	0	4	3	0
		2.4%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	19.3%	.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	1	0	3	1	0	0
		2.1%	3.5%	12.5%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Diversity	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	12	14	56	7
	I could afford a house	1	0	5	0
		11.2%	.0%	9.6%	.0%
	Close to work	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	0	2	1	0
		1.9%	11.3%	1.8%	.0%
	Friends / family here	0	9	6	0
		.0%	69.0%	11.0%	.0%
	Grew up here	2	2	4	1
		13.8%	12.6%	6.6%	15.4%
	Job	1	0	0	0
		11.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
	Retirement	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	School system	8	1	27	5
		61.9%	7.1%	48.6%	77.9%
	Small town atmosphere	0	0	5	0
		.0%	.0%	8.7%	2.4%
	Central location / Close to freeways	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	2.1%	4.3%
	Diversity	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			a	a	a,,b	a
	Close to work			a	a	a,,b	
	Enjoy / like the City		a		a	a,,b	a
	Friends / family here				a	,b	
	Grew up here						a
	Job		a		a	a,,b	
	Quality of life		a			a,,b	
	Retirement		a		a	a,,b	a
	Safety / low crime			A	a	a,,b	a
	School system					,b	
	Small town atmosphere					a,,b	
	Central location / Close to freeways		a		a	a,,b	
	Diversity		a		a	a,,b	a
	Close to Apple		a	a	a	a,,b	a
	Other Mention		a		a	a,,b	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a	a	a,,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a	a	a	a	,b	
	Close to work	A B F I N	a		a	a,,b	a
	Enjoy / like the City	a		a		a,,b	
	Friends / family here	a		A		a,,b	a
	Grew up here			a		,b	
	Job	a				a,,b	
	Quality of life	a	a		a	a,,b	a
	Retirement	a	a	a	a	a,,b	a
	Safety / low crime	a		a		a,,b	a
	School system					a,,b	
	Small town atmosphere	a	a			a,,b	a
	Central location / Close to freeways				a	a,,b	a
	Diversity	a	a		a	a,,b	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a		a,,b	a
	Other Mention	a	a		a	a,,b	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	A	a	a,,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	a		a
	Close to work	a		a
	Enjoy / like the City			a
	Friends / family here	A C F H J N		a
	Grew up here			.
	Job	a	a	a
	Quality of life	a		a
	Retirement	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a		a
	School system	.		.
	Small town atmosphere	a		
	Central location / Close to freeways	a		
	Diversity	a	a	a
	Close to Apple	a		a
	Other Mention	a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	I could afford a house	39 6.6%	9 14.7%	3 4.9%	3 9.9%	0 .4%
	Close to work	38 6.6%	1 1.1%	5 9.2%	1 5.0%	3 7.5%
	Enjoy / like the City	18 3.0%	2 3.5%	5 8.8%	0 .0%	0 .3%
	Friends / family here	93 16.1%	20 33.2%	19 36.8%	5 16.4%	3 8.0%
	Grew up here	45 7.7%	6 10.6%	1 2.6%	6 20.3%	2 5.4%
	Job	26 4.5%	2 3.5%	1 2.2%	3 9.6%	2 6.6%
	Quality of life	17 2.9%	1 1.2%	1 2.4%	0 .0%	2 4.7%
	Retirement	7 1.2%	3 5.2%	1 1.4%	2 6.4%	1 1.7%
	Safety / low crime	21 3.6%	5 8.2%	2 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	School system	205 35.3%	9 14.8%	3 5.0%	6 21.9%	14 37.1%
	Small town atmosphere	36 6.3%	2 3.4%	8 16.0%	3 8.9%	5 13.1%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15 2.6%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 1.6%	3 8.0%
	Diversity	3 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Close to Apple	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 4.2%
	Other Mention	14 2.4%	0 .0%	4 7.6%	0 .0%	1 2.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	I could afford a house	0	1	9	2	2
		.3%	2.5%	23.5%	4.5%	1.9%
	Close to work	1	6	6	0	5
		2.8%	14.7%	14.7%	1.1%	3.5%
	Enjoy / like the City	1	1	2	2	2
		2.0%	2.5%	4.2%	7.3%	1.7%
	Friends / family here	6	8	10	2	5
		17.3%	19.0%	26.4%	5.6%	3.9%
	Grew up here	3	7	3	4	8
		8.5%	17.0%	8.6%	13.2%	5.8%
	Job	1	2	0	4	4
		1.8%	4.9%	.0%	11.7%	3.3%
	Quality of life	1	0	0	0	3
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Retirement	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	3	0	0	3	4
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	8.4%	2.9%
	School system	10	12	8	16	86
		31.4%	29.5%	21.4%	47.0%	65.0%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Small town atmosphere	4	0	0	0	8
		10.9%	.2%	.0%	.0%	5.7%
	Central location / Close to freeways	2	0	0	0	3
		6.2%	.6%	1.3%	.7%	2.1%
	Diversity	1	1	0	0	1
		3.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	Other Mention	0	3	0	0	2
		.0%	6.2%	.0%	.5%	1.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	126
	I could afford a house	10
		8.0%
	Close to work	11
		8.8%
	Enjoy / like the City	3
		2.3%
	Friends / family here	16
		12.7%
	Grew up here	4
		3.1%
	Job	7
		5.4%
	Quality of life	10
		7.7%
	Retirement	1
		.5%
	Safety / low crime	5
		4.2%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	School system	41
		32.7%
	Small town atmosphere	8
		6.0%
	Central location / Close to freeways	6
		4.7%
	Diversity	0
		.0%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Close to Apple	0
		.0%
	Other Mention	5
		3.7%
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Not Sure/DK/NA	0
		.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	I				
	Close to work			a		
	Enjoy / like the City			.		
	Friends / family here	I J	H I J	J		
	Grew up here					
	Job			a		
	Quality of life			.		a
	Retirement			a	a	
	Safety / low crime			.		
	School system				B	B
	Small town atmosphere					
	Central location / Close to freeways		a			
	Diversity	a	a	a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	a		a
	Other Mention	a		a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a	J

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		I		
	Close to work				
	Enjoy / like the City				
	Friends / family here		I		
	Grew up here				
	Job		a		
	Quality of life	a	a	a	
	Retirement	a	a	a	a
	Safety / low crime	a	a		
	School system			A B	A B C E F G J
	Small town atmosphere		a	a	
	Central location / Close to freeways				
	Diversity		a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	a	
	Other Mention		a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	
	Close to work	
	Enjoy / like the City	
	Friends / family here	
	Grew up here	
	Job	
	Quality of life	
	Retirement	
	Safety / low crime	
	School system	B
	Small town atmosphere	
	Central location / Close to freeways	
	Diversity	a
	Close to Apple	a
	Other Mention	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	I could afford a house	39	16	8	14
		6.6%	9.7%	5.4%	5.4%
	Close to work	38	11	17	10
		6.6%	6.8%	11.0%	3.9%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	3	10	5
		3.0%	1.6%	6.4%	1.9%
	Friends / family here	93	45	34	15
		16.1%	26.8%	22.3%	5.6%
	Grew up here	45	11	21	13
		7.7%	6.5%	13.8%	4.8%
	Job	26	9	10	7
		4.5%	5.3%	6.5%	2.9%
	Quality of life	17	8	2	6
		2.9%	5.0%	1.5%	2.4%
	Retirement	7	5	2	0
		1.2%	3.0%	1.2%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	21	6	5	10
		3.6%	3.4%	3.5%	3.8%
	School system	205	36	34	136
		35.3%	21.3%	22.0%	51.9%
	Small town atmosphere	36	13	4	19
		6.3%	7.9%	2.7%	7.3%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	0	0	15
		2.6%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
	Diversity	3	0	0	3
		.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Close to Apple	2	0	0	2
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	Other Mention	14	3	6	5
		2.4%	1.8%	3.9%	1.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	1	0	0
		.3%	.8%	.0%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline (A)	Cell (B)	Online (C)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house			
	Close to work		C	
	Enjoy / like the City			
	Friends / family here	C	C	
	Grew up here		C	
	Job			
	Quality of life			
	Retirement	C		
	Safety / low crime			
	School system			A B
	Small town atmosphere			
	Central location / Close to freeways	a	a	
	Diversity	a	a	
	Close to Apple	a	a	
	Other Mention	.	.	
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	
			.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	I could afford a house	34	4	8	5	18
		7.4%	2.8%	8.7%	6.7%	10.5%
	Close to work	34	15	6	3	9
		7.3%	12.2%	7.0%	4.3%	5.1%
	Enjoy / like the City	13	2	5	3	4
		2.9%	1.3%	5.0%	4.3%	2.3%
	Friends / family here	61	14	13	11	23
		13.1%	10.9%	14.7%	14.1%	13.5%
	Grew up here	40	18	3	4	16
		8.6%	14.2%	2.9%	5.0%	9.3%
	Job	21	5	1	4	11
		4.6%	4.3%	1.5%	4.6%	6.5%
	Quality of life	13	3	3	3	4
		2.8%	2.1%	3.2%	3.6%	2.6%
	Retirement	7	1	0	0	6
		1.5%	.5%	.0%	.0%	3.8%
	Safety / low crime	17	11	4	2	0
		3.7%	8.7%	4.8%	2.0%	.1%
	School system	160	36	34	34	56
		34.7%	28.6%	37.2%	44.2%	33.5%
	Small town atmosphere	32	8	8	5	11
		7.0%	6.4%	9.3%	6.0%	6.7%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	4	3	1	7
		3.3%	3.1%	3.2%	1.9%	4.2%
	Diversity	3	0	2	0	1
		.7%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.6%
	Close to Apple	2	2	0	0	1
		.5%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Other Mention	7	3	0	3	2
		1.6%	2.3%	.0%	3.4%	1.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
		.4%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house				
	Close to work				
	Enjoy / like the City				
	Friends / family here				
	Grew up here	B			
	Job				
	Quality of life		a	a	
	Retirement		.	.	
	Safety / low crime	D	D		
	School system				
	Small town atmosphere				
	Central location / Close to freeways	a		a	
	Diversity	.	a	a	
	Close to Apple		a	.	
	Other Mention		.	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		.		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	I could afford a house	39	14	7	3	6
		6.7%	11.3%	5.6%	7.8%	14.8%
	Close to work	38	13	6	3	1
		6.6%	10.8%	5.3%	8.6%	2.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	18	2	6	1	1
		3.0%	1.3%	5.2%	3.2%	1.8%
	Friends / family here	93	15	19	4	4
		16.1%	12.1%	15.5%	11.0%	10.8%
	Grew up here	43	3	16	3	3
		7.4%	2.7%	13.1%	7.9%	6.6%
	Job	26	8	6	3	3
		4.5%	6.3%	5.0%	7.0%	7.9%
	Quality of life	17	1	2	5	1
		3.0%	.6%	1.9%	11.5%	1.9%
	Retirement	7	4	1	1	1
		1.2%	3.1%	.5%	1.6%	2.9%
	Safety / low crime	21	7	3	0	0
		3.6%	5.5%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
	School system	204	40	33	9	15
		35.3%	32.2%	27.6%	21.4%	36.9%
	Small town atmosphere	36	11	8	4	2
		6.3%	8.8%	7.1%	10.5%	5.6%
	Central location / Close to freeways	15	1	6	3	1
		2.7%	.4%	4.7%	7.9%	1.3%
	Diversity	3	0	1	0	0
		.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	2	2	0	0	0
		.4%	1.2%	.2%	.0%	.9%
	Other Mention	14	4	6	1	1
		2.4%	3.5%	5.2%	1.6%	3.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	0	0	1
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	Total	128	112	7	9
	I could afford a house	1	4	3	0
		1.1%	3.8%	45.9%	.0%
	Close to work	9	5	0	0
		7.2%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Enjoy / like the City	3	4	0	0
		2.7%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	Friends / family here	35	12	1	3
		27.2%	11.0%	11.6%	38.3%
	Grew up here	13	5	0	0
		10.0%	4.2%	.0%	4.0%
	Job	0	6	0	0
		.2%	5.5%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	5	4	0	1
		3.6%	3.2%	.0%	6.6%
	Retirement	1	0	0	0
		.5%	.1%	.0%	.0%
	Safety / low crime	7	4	0	0
		5.7%	4.0%	.0%	.0%
	School system	46	56	3	3
		36.0%	49.8%	42.5%	35.5%
	Small town atmosphere	4	5	0	1
		3.1%	4.9%	.0%	13.2%
	Central location / Close to freeways	3	2	0	0
		2.6%	1.8%	.0%	2.4%
	Diversity	0	2	0	0
		.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Close to Apple	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	1	0	0
		.1%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house	E			E	
	Close to work					
	Enjoy / like the City					
	Friends / family here					F
	Grew up here					
	Job				E	
	Quality of life			A		
	Retirement			a	a	
	Safety / low crime			.	.	
	School system					
	Small town atmosphere					
	Central location / Close to freeways	a		a	a	a
	Diversity	.		a	.	a
	Close to Apple					.
	Other Mention	a	a	a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
2. What is the primary reason you choose to live in Cupertino?	I could afford a house		B E F	a
	Close to work		a	a
	Enjoy / like the City		a	a
	Friends / family here		.	.
	Grew up here		a	
	Job		a	a
	Quality of life		a	.
	Retirement		a	a
	Safety / low crime		a	a
	School system		.	.
	Small town atmosphere	B	a	
	Central location / Close to freeways		a	
	Diversity		a	a
	Close to Apple	a	a	a
	Other Mention	.	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582 582
	Affordable housing	114 114
		19.6% 19.6%
	City's economic health	21 21
		3.6% 3.6%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31 31
		5.3% 5.3%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121 121
		20.7% 20.7%
	Crime/Safety	67 67
		11.6% 11.6%
	Education/School overcrowding	64 64
		10.9% 10.9%
	Environmental health	16 16
		2.8% 2.8%
	Neighborhood preservation	7 7
		1.3% 1.3%
	Poor cell coverage	5 5
		.9% .9%
	Protection of open space	7 7
		1.3% 1.3%
	Public transportation	13 13
		2.2% 2.2%
	Quality of life	22 22
		3.8% 3.8%
	Race relations	18 18
		3.0% 3.0%
	Taxes	27 27
		4.7% 4.7%
	Traffic	330 330
		56.8% 56.8%
	Over population/Over crowding	23 23
		3.9% 3.9%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27 27
		4.6% 4.6%
	Cost of living	17 17
		2.8% 2.8%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5 5
		.9% .9%
	Parking	7 7
		1.2% 1.2%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16 16
		2.7% 2.7%
	Other Mention	23 23
		4.0% 4.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22 22
		3.8% 3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	.
	City's economic health	.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	.
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	.
	Crime/Safety	.
	Education/School overcrowding	.
	Environmental health	.
	Neighborhood preservation	.
	Poor cell coverage	.
	Protection of open space	.
	Public transportation	.
	Quality of life	.
	Race relations	.
	Taxes	.
	Traffic	.
	Over population/Over crowding	.
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	.
	Cost of living	.
	Need more retail/Shopping	.
	Parking	.
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.
	Other Mention	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Affordable housing	114	64	50	0
		19.6%	22.9%	16.6%	.0%
	City's economic health	21	9	12	0
		3.6%	3.3%	4.0%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	14	17	0
		5.3%	4.9%	5.6%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	70	50	1
		20.7%	24.7%	16.9%	31.9%
	Crime/Safety	67	25	42	0
		11.6%	8.9%	14.1%	.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	24	39	0
		10.9%	8.6%	13.2%	.0%
	Environmental health	16	10	6	0
		2.8%	3.7%	1.9%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	5	2	0
		1.3%	1.8%	.7%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	5	1	5	0
		.9%	.2%	1.6%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	3	4	0
		1.3%	1.2%	1.4%	.0%
	Public transportation	13	9	4	0
		2.2%	3.1%	1.4%	.0%
	Quality of life	22	11	10	1
		3.8%	3.9%	3.3%	34.4%
	Race relations	18	7	10	0
		3.0%	2.6%	3.5%	.0%
	Taxes	27	11	16	0
		4.7%	4.0%	5.4%	.0%
	Traffic	330	154	174	2
		56.8%	54.7%	58.6%	65.6%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	14	9	0
		3.9%	4.8%	3.1%	.0%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	15	11	1
		4.6%	5.2%	3.8%	34.4%
	Cost of living	17	10	6	0
		2.8%	3.6%	2.1%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	2	4	0
		.9%	.5%	1.3%	.0%
	Parking	7	1	6	0
		1.2%	.4%	2.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	12	3	1
		2.7%	4.1%	1.1%	33.7%
	Other Mention	23	13	10	0
		4.0%	4.7%	3.3%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	8	14	0
		3.8%	2.7%	4.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			a
	City's economic health			a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
	Crime/Safety			a
	Education/School overcrowding			a
	Environmental health			a
	Neighborhood preservation			a
	Poor cell coverage			a
	Protection of open space			a
	Public transportation			
	Quality of life	A B		
	Race relations			a
	Taxes			a
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding			a
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention		B	
	Cost of living			a
	Need more retail/Shopping			a
	Parking			a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents			A B
	Other Mention			a
	Not Sure/DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Affordable housing	114	15	29	30	22	15	3
	City's economic health	21	6	8	4	1	2	0
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	7	8	3	8	4	0
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	9	16	30	38	26	3
	Crime/Safety	67	13	6	24	19	6	0
	Education/School overcrowding	64	11	9	28	13	3	0
	Environmental health	16	0	6	4	5	2	0
	Neighborhood preservation	7	4	0	1	2	1	0
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	2	1	0
	Protection of open space	7	0	2	1	2	2	0
	Public transportation	13	5	0	3	1	3	0
	Quality of life	22	0	6	4	8	3	0
	Race relations	18	3	3	5	5	1	1
	Taxes	27	3	6	6	7	5	0
	Traffic	330	37	56	74	97	62	5
	Over population/Over crowding	23	2	2	5	11	2	0
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	27	4	6	8	6	3	1
	Cost of living	17	2	6	5	4	0	0
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	3	2	0	0
	Parking	7	0	2	2	2	0	0
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	2	5	6	3	0
	Other Mention	23	3	5	6	2	7	0
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	10	3	1	1	6	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing						a
	City's economic health		D				a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)						.
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development						a
	Crime/Safety						.
	Education/School overcrowding			D E			a
	Environmental health	a					a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a				a
	Poor cell coverage	a		a			a
	Protection of open space	a					a
	Public transportation	a	a				a
	Quality of life	a					.
	Race relations						a
	Taxes						.
	Traffic						a
	Over population/Over crowding						.
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention						a
	Cost of living	a	a				a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a					a
	Parking	a					.
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a					a
	Other Mention						a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	C D				D	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Affordable housing	114	61	53
		19.6%	14.4%	33.6%
	City's economic health	21	17	4
		3.6%	4.0%	2.6%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	24	6
		5.3%	5.7%	4.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	99	22
		20.7%	23.2%	14.1%
	Crime/Safety	67	56	11
		11.6%	13.1%	7.3%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	51	13
		10.9%	12.0%	8.2%
	Environmental health	16	12	4
		2.8%	2.8%	2.6%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	3	4
		1.3%	.7%	2.7%
	Poor cell coverage	5	2	3
		.9%	.5%	2.1%
	Protection of open space	7	7	0
		1.3%	1.7%	.1%
	Public transportation	13	10	3
		2.2%	2.2%	2.0%
	Quality of life	22	13	8
		3.8%	3.1%	5.4%
	Race relations	18	11	7
		3.0%	2.6%	4.2%
	Taxes	27	19	8
		4.7%	4.5%	5.3%
	Traffic	330	245	85
		56.8%	57.8%	53.8%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	21	1
		3.9%	5.1%	.8%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	16	11
		4.6%	3.9%	6.7%
	Cost of living	17	10	7
		2.8%	2.3%	4.2%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	4	1
		.9%	1.0%	.6%
	Parking	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.4%	.7%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	12	4
		2.7%	2.8%	2.6%
	Other Mention	23	14	9
		4.0%	3.4%	5.5%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	18	4
		3.8%	4.1%	2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		A
	City's economic health		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	B	
	Crime/Safety	B	
	Education/School overcrowding		
	Environmental health		
	Neighborhood preservation		
	Poor cell coverage		
	Protection of open space		
	Public transportation		
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Over population/Over crowding	B	
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		
	Cost of living		
	Need more retail/Shopping		
	Parking		
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Affordable housing	114	59	12	5	38
		19.6%	24.3%	14.6%	32.4%	15.6%
	City's economic health	21	9	2	1	9
		3.6%	3.7%	2.8%	4.9%	3.7%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	16	2	3	9
		5.3%	6.5%	2.8%	21.3%	3.8%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	62	20	3	36
		20.7%	25.5%	25.1%	17.2%	14.7%
	Crime/Safety	67	24	5	1	37
		11.6%	9.9%	5.7%	8.4%	15.4%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	28	8	1	26
		10.9%	11.6%	10.2%	7.6%	10.8%
	Environmental health	16	7	2	1	6
		2.8%	2.8%	2.6%	7.9%	2.4%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	7	0	0	0
		1.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	5	4	0	0	2
		.9%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	Protection of open space	7	5	0	0	3
		1.3%	1.9%	.0%	1.0%	1.1%
	Public transportation	13	7	3	0	3
		2.2%	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.1%
	Quality of life	22	7	2	0	13
		3.8%	2.9%	2.5%	.0%	5.3%
	Race relations	18	6	2	0	9
		3.0%	2.6%	3.0%	.0%	3.7%
	Taxes	27	9	7	1	11
		4.7%	3.7%	8.5%	5.1%	4.4%
	Traffic	330	126	48	9	148
		56.8%	51.7%	59.1%	54.4%	61.2%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	8	4	2	9
		3.9%	3.2%	4.6%	14.8%	3.7%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	14	4	0	9
		4.6%	5.8%	5.3%	.0%	3.5%
	Cost of living	17	10	1	0	5
		2.8%	4.3%	1.4%	.0%	2.1%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	1	1	0	3
		.9%	.3%	1.4%	.0%	1.4%
	Parking	7	3	2	0	2
		1.2%	1.1%	2.9%	.0%	.9%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	3	3	0	10
		2.7%	1.2%	4.0%	.0%	4.1%
	Other Mention	23	8	8	0	7
		4.0%	3.2%	10.4%	.0%	2.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	7	4	0	10
		3.8%	2.9%	5.1%	2.8%	4.2%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing				
	City's economic health				
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			B D	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	D			
	Crime/Safety				
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health		a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation		a	a	
	Poor cell coverage		a		
	Protection of open space		a	a	
	Public transportation			a	
	Quality of life			a	
	Race relations			a	
	Taxes				
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding				
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention			a	
	Cost of living			a	
	Need more retail/Shopping			a	
	Parking			a	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents			a	
	Other Mention		A D	a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Affordable housing	114	33	12	5	5	23	36
		19.6%	27.1%	18.5%	15.6%	17.2%	18.2%	17.3%
	City's economic health	21	3	6	1	1	5	6
		3.6%	2.3%	8.7%	1.8%	4.9%	3.7%	2.8%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	8	8	2	0	0	12
		5.3%	6.5%	12.1%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	35	19	4	12	20	31
		20.7%	28.6%	28.1%	13.5%	43.6%	15.5%	15.0%
	Crime/Safety	67	8	3	3	0	24	28
		11.6%	6.6%	5.2%	10.5%	.5%	18.7%	13.8%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	17	7	5	2	9	23
		10.9%	13.9%	10.9%	15.9%	6.0%	7.2%	11.4%
	Environmental health	16	3	4	1	0	2	6
		2.8%	2.4%	6.0%	4.3%	.0%	1.7%	2.7%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	7	1	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	5.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	0	0	0	4	2
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.8%
	Protection of open space	7	5	0	0	0	0	3
		1.3%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	1.3%
	Public transportation	13	4	3	1	2	0	3
		2.2%	3.7%	4.3%	2.0%	7.1%	.0%	1.3%
	Quality of life	22	4	3	1	0	3	12
		3.8%	3.1%	4.9%	2.0%	.0%	2.0%	5.7%
	Race relations	18	2	3	2	1	7	3
		3.0%	1.9%	4.4%	5.2%	2.7%	5.2%	1.6%
	Taxes	27	7	0	3	4	6	8
		4.7%	5.6%	.0%	9.3%	13.5%	4.6%	3.8%
	Traffic	330	57	35	19	16	76	126
		56.8%	47.2%	53.3%	59.7%	56.2%	59.3%	61.5%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	1	3	1	2	9	7
		3.9%	.9%	4.7%	1.6%	6.6%	7.1%	3.4%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	9	2	1	1	8	6
		4.6%	7.6%	2.6%	3.1%	3.7%	5.9%	3.1%
	Cost of living	17	3	0	1	0	10	3
		2.8%	2.3%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	7.8%	1.3%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	1	0	1	3
		.9%	.3%	.1%	3.1%	.5%	.4%	1.5%
	Parking	7	1	0	0	0	3	2
		1.2%	.8%	.6%	.9%	.0%	2.5%	1.1%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	2	1	1	0	3	9
		2.7%	1.4%	1.7%	3.2%	.0%	2.5%	4.3%
	Other Mention	23	5	3	3	4	4	4
		4.0%	4.0%	4.4%	8.5%	15.7%	3.2%	1.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	4	3	3	0	4	8
		3.8%	3.1%	5.1%	8.4%	.0%	2.8%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing						
	City's economic health						
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				a	a	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	F			E F		
	Crime/Safety						
	Education/School overcrowding				a		
	Environmental health			a	a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a	a		
	Poor cell coverage		a	a	a		
	Protection of open space		a	a		a	
	Public transportation				a		
	Quality of life						
	Race relations		a				
	Taxes						
	Traffic						
	Over population/Over crowding						
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		a		a	F	
	Cost of living						
	Need more retail/Shopping				a		
	Parking				a		
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents				a		
	Other Mention				F		
	Not Sure/DK/NA				a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Affordable housing	114	62	17	13	8
		19.6%	23.6%	21.3%	16.5%	17.7%
	City's economic health	21	9	5	3	3
		3.6%	3.6%	6.2%	3.5%	6.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	16	7	3	1
		5.3%	6.1%	8.5%	3.6%	2.3%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	41	15	17	14
		20.7%	15.7%	19.4%	21.3%	30.6%
	Crime/Safety	67	31	11	13	6
		11.6%	11.7%	14.4%	15.9%	11.9%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	33	8	13	5
		10.9%	12.7%	10.6%	15.9%	11.2%
	Environmental health	16	8	2	0	3
		2.8%	3.2%	3.1%	.0%	7.4%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	6	0	0	1
		1.3%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	Poor cell coverage	5	5	0	0	0
		.9%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	4	4	0	0
		1.3%	1.4%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	13	6	0	3	0
		2.2%	2.2%	.2%	4.2%	.0%
	Quality of life	22	13	2	0	3
		3.8%	5.0%	2.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Race relations	18	7	4	1	1
		3.0%	2.8%	5.1%	1.3%	3.0%
	Taxes	27	7	5	8	2
		4.7%	2.6%	6.3%	9.4%	3.4%
	Traffic	330	143	42	50	21
		56.8%	54.4%	53.1%	61.3%	44.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	13	0	1	2
		3.9%	5.1%	.0%	1.6%	4.2%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	13	2	4	2
		4.6%	4.9%	2.9%	4.3%	4.4%
	Cost of living	17	10	5	1	0
		2.8%	3.7%	6.9%	1.3%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	2	0	0	1
		.9%	.8%	.2%	.5%	2.9%
	Parking	7	1	1	5	0
		1.2%	.3%	1.3%	5.8%	.6%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	8	1	4	2
		2.7%	3.0%	1.6%	4.6%	4.1%
	Other Mention	23	6	5	2	1
		4.0%	2.4%	6.7%	2.6%	2.2%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	18	0	1	0
		3.8%	6.7%	.0%	.8%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Affordable housing	2	2	5	5
		6.5%	6.5%	13.2%	21.3%
	City's economic health	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	1.4%	2.4%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	1	3	0
		.0%	3.9%	7.9%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	9	3	13	8
		34.5%	12.3%	33.5%	31.4%
	Crime/Safety	3	2	1	1
		9.8%	8.7%	1.7%	6.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	0	1	2	0
		1.0%	6.1%	5.2%	.0%
	Environmental health	1	1	0	0
		2.7%	3.4%	.5%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Poor cell coverage	1	0	0	0
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Public transportation	2	0	1	1
		7.6%	.0%	1.7%	2.6%
	Quality of life	0	3	0	1
		.0%	13.1%	1.1%	3.0%
	Race relations	1	0	2	1
		2.4%	.0%	5.5%	4.5%
	Taxes	3	0	2	1
		12.3%	.0%	4.9%	4.8%
	Traffic	18	19	23	15
		67.1%	82.7%	60.3%	62.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	2	3	0	0
		9.1%	14.2%	.4%	1.1%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	4	0	2	1
		13.8%	.0%	4.3%	4.4%
	Cost of living	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	1	0
		.0%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%
	Parking	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.5%	.2%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	1	0	0
		.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	1	3	3	1
		3.4%	14.7%	9.0%	2.4%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	2	2
		.0%	.0%	4.5%	7.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing				
	City's economic health				
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety				
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health			a	
	Neighborhood preservation		a	a	
	Poor cell coverage		a	a	a
	Protection of open space			a	a
	Public transportation				a
	Quality of life			a	
	Race relations				
	Taxes				
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding		a		
	Valico mall issues - General Mention				
	Cost of living				a
	Need more retail/Shopping				
	Parking			A	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents				
	Other Mention				
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a	a		
	City's economic health	.	.		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a			a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	.			.
	Crime/Safety				a
	Education/School overcrowding				.
	Environmental health				a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a	
	Poor cell coverage	.	a	a	a
	Protection of open space	a	a	a	.
	Public transportation	.	a	.	
	Quality of life	a	.		
	Race relations	.	a		
	Taxes		a		
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding				
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention		a		
	Cost of living	a	a		a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	.		
	Parking	a			a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.		a	a
	Other Mention	a	a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Affordable housing	114	0	31	11	16	5	4	6
		19.6%	.0%	17.8%	26.3%	35.1%	29.7%	27.3%	14.7%
	City's economic health	21	3	3	4	1	0	0	0
		3.6%	43.5%	1.6%	9.8%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	0	0	2	1	1	2	0
		5.3%	.0%	.2%	4.2%	2.2%	4.7%	11.7%	.6%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	2	34	8	9	5	7	11
		20.7%	30.8%	19.4%	18.2%	18.6%	32.8%	53.4%	25.3%
	Crime/Safety	67	0	18	5	8	2	3	5
		11.6%	.0%	10.7%	12.5%	16.6%	15.0%	22.4%	11.1%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	0	21	2	11	0	3	5
		10.9%	.0%	12.2%	4.8%	22.8%	.0%	24.8%	11.8%
	Environmental health	16	0	2	2	3	0	0	3
		2.8%	.0%	1.0%	4.7%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	1.9%	.4%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	13	0	3	2	0	0	1	1
		2.2%	.0%	1.6%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	2.4%
	Quality of life	22	1	8	2	4	2	1	1
		3.8%	9.9%	4.7%	5.3%	8.6%	9.6%	9.0%	3.6%
	Race relations	18	3	6	0	2	0	0	1
		3.0%	43.5%	3.6%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
	Taxes	27	0	0	0	1	1	0	3
		4.7%	.0%	.2%	.0%	3.0%	3.5%	.0%	6.3%
	Traffic	330	2	105	23	22	8	6	23
		56.8%	27.8%	60.5%	55.6%	46.9%	49.3%	41.9%	55.3%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	0	15	2	1	0	0	4
		3.9%	.0%	8.6%	4.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	8.5%
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	27	0	19	2	1	0	0	1
		4.6%	.0%	11.0%	5.7%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Cost of living	17	0	12	0	1	0	0	1
		2.8%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	3	1	0	0	0	1
		.9%	.0%	1.7%	1.4%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	3.0%
	Parking	7	0	5	1	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	2.6%	2.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	9	5	0	0	0	1
		2.7%	.0%	5.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Other Mention	23	0	1	0	0	0	1	2
		4.0%	.0%	.7%	.7%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	4.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	1	2	0	2	1	0	1
		3.8%	9.9%	1.0%	.2%	3.3%	8.4%	.0%	2.1%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Affordable housing	16	15	9	0	1
		16.2%	20.5%	14.6%	.0%	21.3%
	City's economic health	3	0	7	0	0
		3.3%	.0%	11.6%	.0%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	8	8	9	0	0
		8.2%	10.4%	14.5%	.0%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	28	14	4	0	0
		28.6%	19.0%	5.7%	.0%	5.3%
	Crime/Safety	4	12	8	0	3
		3.8%	15.8%	12.3%	.0%	46.8%
	Education/School overcrowding	7	5	10	0	0
		7.0%	6.9%	15.4%	.0%	.0%
	Environmental health	3	2	0	1	0
		3.4%	3.3%	.0%	46.3%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	3	0	2	0	0
		3.1%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	1	0	3	0	0
		.6%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	0	2	1	0	0
		.0%	3.3%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	5	1	0	0	0
		5.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	1	0	0	0	1
		1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
	Race relations	2	3	0	1	0
		2.4%	3.4%	.0%	43.9%	.0%
	Taxes	9	9	3	0	0
		9.8%	12.9%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Traffic	48	51	41	1	1
		49.2%	70.0%	63.1%	46.3%	19.1%
	Over population/Over crowding	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.5%	.0%	43.9%	5.3%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	1	1	1	0	0
		1.2%	1.2%	.9%	9.8%	6.5%
	Cost of living	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	43.6%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Parking	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	1	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	Other Mention	9	4	5	0	0
		9.6%	6.0%	8.2%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	9	1	6	0	0
		9.6%	.9%	8.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a						
	City's economic health	B D H				a	a	a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a					B	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development						J	
	Crime/Safety	a						
	Education/School overcrowding	a				a		
	Environmental health	a				a	a	
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a		a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a			a	a	a
	Protection of open space	a			a	a	a	a
	Public transportation				a			
	Quality of life							
	Race relations	B D G H I		a		a	a	
	Taxes	a		a			a	
	Traffic							
	Over population/Over crowding	a				a	a	
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	a				a	a	
	Cost of living	a		a		a	a	
	Need more retail/Shopping	a			a	a	a	
	Parking	a				a	a	a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a			a	a	a	
	Other Mention	a			a	a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA						a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		a		a	
	City's economic health		a	B	a	a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	B	B	B	a	a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	J			a	
	Crime/Safety				a	H
	Education/School overcrowding				a	a
	Environmental health			a	B	a
	Neighborhood preservation		a		a	a
	Poor cell coverage		a		a	a
	Protection of open space	a			a	a
	Public transportation			a	a	a
	Quality of life		a	a	a	
	Race relations			a	H	a
	Taxes	B	B		a	a
	Traffic					
	Over population/Over crowding	a		a	D I	
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention					
	Cost of living	a	a	a	a	B D G
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	a	a	a
	Parking	a	a		a	a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		a	a	a	
	Other Mention	B		B	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	B			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Affordable housing	114	88	26
		19.6%	19.1%	21.6%
	City's economic health	21	17	4
		3.6%	3.6%	3.5%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	24	7
		5.3%	5.2%	5.7%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	97	23
		20.7%	21.0%	19.9%
	Crime/Safety	67	51	17
		11.6%	10.9%	14.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	49	14
		10.9%	10.7%	12.1%
	Environmental health	16	12	4
		2.8%	2.7%	3.2%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	3	4
		1.3%	.7%	3.7%
	Poor cell coverage	5	2	3
		.9%	.5%	2.8%
	Protection of open space	7	4	3
		1.3%	.9%	2.9%
	Public transportation	13	13	0
		2.2%	2.7%	.0%
	Quality of life	22	19	2
		3.8%	4.2%	2.0%
	Race relations	18	13	5
		3.0%	2.8%	3.9%
	Taxes	27	22	5
		4.7%	4.7%	4.7%
	Traffic	330	266	65
		56.8%	57.3%	54.7%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	18	5
		3.9%	3.8%	4.2%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	22	5
		4.6%	4.8%	4.0%
	Cost of living	17	13	4
		2.8%	2.7%	3.3%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	5	1
		.9%	1.0%	.5%
	Parking	7	5	2
		1.2%	1.1%	1.6%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	14	1
		2.7%	3.1%	1.3%
	Other Mention	23	19	4
		4.0%	4.1%	3.3%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	17	5
		3.8%	3.6%	4.3%

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		
	City's economic health		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development		
	Crime/Safety		
	Education/School overcrowding		
	Environmental health		
	Neighborhood preservation		A
	Poor cell coverage		A
	Protection of open space		a
	Public transportation		.
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Over population/Over crowding		
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		
	Cost of living		
	Need more retail/Shopping		
	Parking		
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		
	Other Mention		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Affordable housing	114	66	48
		19.6%	18.9%	20.6%
	City's economic health	21	10	11
		3.6%	2.8%	4.8%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	11	20
		5.3%	3.1%	8.4%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	86	35
		20.7%	24.7%	14.9%
	Crime/Safety	67	32	35
		11.6%	9.4%	14.8%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	36	27
		10.9%	10.5%	11.7%
	Environmental health	16	10	7
		2.8%	2.8%	2.8%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	1	6
		1.3%	.4%	2.5%
	Poor cell coverage	5	1	5
		.9%	.2%	2.1%
	Protection of open space	7	3	4
		1.3%	1.0%	1.7%
	Public transportation	13	7	6
		2.2%	2.1%	2.3%
	Quality of life	22	15	7
		3.8%	4.2%	3.1%
	Race relations	18	9	8
		3.0%	2.7%	3.6%
	Taxes	27	15	12
		4.7%	4.3%	5.2%
	Traffic	330	200	130
		56.8%	57.7%	55.3%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	13	10
		3.9%	3.8%	4.1%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	19	8
		4.6%	5.5%	3.3%
	Cost of living	17	11	5
		2.8%	3.2%	2.3%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	3	2
		.9%	1.0%	.8%
	Parking	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.8%	.4%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	14	2
		2.7%	3.9%	1.0%
	Other Mention	23	19	4
		4.0%	5.3%	1.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	7	15
		3.8%	2.1%	6.2%

a,b
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	B	A
	City's economic health		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development		
	Crime/Safety		
	Education/School overcrowding		
	Environmental health		
	Neighborhood preservation		
	Poor cell coverage		
	Protection of open space		
	Public transportation	B	A
	Quality of life		
	Race relations		
	Taxes		
	Traffic		
	Over population/Over crowding		
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		
	Cost of living		
	Need more retail/Shopping		
	Parking		
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		
	Other Mention	B	A
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Affordable housing	114	7	18	23	23
	City's economic health	21	0	5	2	7
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	0	4	7	4
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	4	10	10	26
	Crime/Safety	67	2	4	8	18
	Education/School overcrowding	64	2	3	12	17
	Environmental health	16	0	2	8	3
	Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	1	2
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	0
	Protection of open space	7	0	0	0	1
	Public transportation	13	0	3	2	1
	Quality of life	22	0	4	5	3
	Race relations	18	0	1	2	8
	Taxes	27	0	2	0	5
	Traffic	330	6	14	48	75
	Over population/Over crowding	23	0	0	7	0
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	0	6	5	5
	Cost of living	17	0	0	9	2
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	1	3
	Parking	7	0	0	0	1
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	1	5	4
	Other Mention	23	0	5	2	4
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	0	3	4	7
		3.8%	.0%	5.8%	4.1%	5.2%
		19.6%	63.4%	35.6%	25.0%	17.3%
		3.6%	.0%	9.6%	2.6%	5.2%
		5.3%	.0%	7.2%	7.4%	3.4%
		20.7%	33.0%	19.6%	10.5%	20.1%
		11.6%	14.7%	8.8%	8.7%	13.5%
		10.9%	18.3%	6.4%	13.1%	13.1%
		2.8%	.0%	3.4%	8.4%	1.9%
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.9%	1.2%
		.9%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
		3.8%	.0%	8.2%	5.5%	2.0%
		3.0%	.0%	1.6%	2.2%	6.3%
		4.7%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	3.5%
		56.8%	55.4%	28.8%	50.8%	57.0%
		4.6%	2.7%	13.2%	5.5%	3.6%
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	9.6%	1.9%
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.7%	2.2%
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.4%	.8%
		2.7%	.0%	2.5%	5.7%	3.1%
		4.0%	.0%	9.3%	2.6%	3.4%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Affordable housing	19	24	1
		11.6%	18.0%	100.0%
	City's economic health	5	2	0
		3.2%	1.3%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	11	5	0
		6.8%	3.4%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	37	34	0
		22.9%	25.5%	.0%
	Crime/Safety	21	14	0
		12.8%	10.9%	.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	21	8	0
		13.0%	5.9%	.0%
	Environmental health	3	1	0
		1.9%	.7%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	3	2	0
		1.7%	1.6%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	2	1	0
		1.0%	.4%	.0%
	Protection of open space	6	0	0
		3.5%	.2%	.0%
	Public transportation	4	3	0
		2.4%	2.5%	.0%
	Quality of life	6	4	0
		3.4%	3.3%	.0%
	Race relations	4	2	0
		2.6%	1.8%	.0%
	Taxes	8	12	1
		5.0%	9.2%	100.0%
	Traffic	109	78	0
		66.9%	59.1%	.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	7	8	0
		4.5%	6.3%	.0%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	4	6	0
		2.4%	4.8%	.0%
	Cost of living	1	4	0
		.7%	3.0%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	1	0
		.2%	1.1%	.0%
	Parking	5	0	0
		3.4%	.1%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2	3	0
		1.5%	2.2%	.0%
	Other Mention	3	9	0
		1.6%	6.7%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	4	4	0
		2.3%	3.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	D E F .b	E		
	City's economic health	.b			
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	.b			
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety				
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health	.b		F	
	Neighborhood preservation	.b	.b	.b	.b
	Poor cell coverage	.b	F .b	.b	
	Protection of open space				
	Public transportation	.b			
	Quality of life	.b			
	Race relations	.b			
	Taxes			.b	
	Traffic				B
	Over population/Over crowding	.b	.b	D	
	Valico mall issues - General Mention		E		
	Cost of living	.b	.b	E	
	Need more retail/Shopping	.b	.b		
	Parking				
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.b			
	Other Mention	.b			
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			a,,b
	City's economic health			a,,b
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			a,,b
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			a,,b
	Crime/Safety			a,,b
	Education/School overcrowding			a,,b
	Environmental health			a,,b
	Neighborhood preservation			a,,b
	Poor cell coverage			a,,b
	Protection of open space			a,,b
	Public transportation			a,,b
	Quality of life			a,,b
	Race relations			a,,b
	Taxes			a,,b
	Traffic	B	B	a,,b
	Over population/Over crowding		D	a,,b
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention			a,,b
	Cost of living			a,,b
	Need more retail/Shopping			a,,b
	Parking			a,,b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents			a,,b
	Other Mention			a,,b
	Not Sure/DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Affordable housing	113	7	52	16	21	15	1
		19.4%	15.0%	24.8%	11.6%	18.6%	26.7%	7.0%
	City's economic health	21	1	7	1	9	2	0
		3.6%	3.0%	3.3%	1.0%	7.8%	4.2%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	3	15	2	9	2	0
		5.3%	6.5%	7.4%	1.3%	7.7%	2.8%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	120	11	49	28	21	5	6
		20.7%	24.8%	23.4%	19.8%	18.5%	8.4%	48.7%
	Crime/Safety	67	6	15	7	15	21	3
		11.6%	12.8%	7.0%	4.9%	13.2%	36.7%	29.6%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	4	13	26	16	3	1
		11.0%	7.9%	6.3%	18.3%	14.3%	5.7%	13.0%
	Environmental health	16	1	8	4	2	0	1
		2.8%	2.4%	3.6%	3.1%	2.1%	.0%	5.7%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	0	2	1	2	3	0
		1.3%	.0%	1.1%	.5%	1.4%	4.8%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	2	1	0
		.9%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	1.4%	1.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	0	0	7	0	0	0
		1.3%	.3%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Public transportation	13	1	3	3	4	2	0
		2.2%	1.8%	1.4%	2.2%	3.5%	3.2%	.0%
	Quality of life	21	4	8	2	2	4	2
		3.7%	8.0%	3.9%	1.6%	1.6%	6.1%	14.7%
	Race relations	18	2	4	6	6	0	0
		3.1%	5.3%	1.7%	4.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
	Taxes	27	1	11	7	5	0	4
		4.7%	2.7%	5.3%	4.8%	4.0%	.0%	30.4%
	Traffic	329	25	105	89	72	34	4
		56.8%	54.9%	49.8%	63.4%	63.3%	59.4%	34.8%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	1	4	7	8	1	0
		3.9%	3.0%	2.1%	5.3%	7.1%	2.3%	1.4%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	1	15	6	1	3	1
		4.7%	3.1%	7.0%	4.2%	1.0%	4.6%	9.0%
	Cost of living	17	0	6	5	3	2	0
		2.9%	.2%	2.9%	3.3%	3.0%	3.9%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	2	2	1	0	0
		.9%	.6%	.8%	1.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Parking	7	0	1	4	1	0	0
		1.2%	.6%	.7%	2.9%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	8	1	5	2	0
		2.7%	.0%	3.7%	.7%	4.0%	3.6%	2.9%
	Other Mention	23	1	9	6	4	2	0
		4.0%	2.1%	4.5%	4.6%	3.4%	4.2%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	4	12	3	1	2	0
		3.8%	8.1%	5.9%	2.1%	.8%	3.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		C				a
	City's economic health						a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)						a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development						E
	Crime/Safety					B C D	C
	Education/School overcrowding			B			
	Environmental health	a				a	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a		a			a
	Poor cell coverage		a		a		a
	Protection of open space				a	a	a
	Public transportation						a
	Quality of life					a	a
	Race relations					a	a
	Taxes						A B C D
	Traffic						
	Over population/Over crowding						
	Valico mall issues - General Mention						a
	Cost of living					a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping					a	a
	Parking						a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a					a
	Other Mention						a
	Not Sure/DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Affordable housing	114	1	0	23
		19.6%	50.0%	.0%	12.0%
	City's economic health	21	0	0	0
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	0	0	7
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	0	0	37
		20.7%	.0%	.0%	19.6%
	Crime/Safety	67	0	0	25
		11.6%	.0%	.0%	13.1%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	0	0	22
		10.9%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Environmental health	16	0	0	7
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	3.6%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	2
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	0	5
		.9%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Protection of open space	7	0	0	4
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Public transportation	13	0	0	7
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
	Quality of life	22	0	0	4
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Race relations	18	1	0	3
		3.0%	50.0%	.0%	1.7%
	Taxes	27	0	3	0
		4.7%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Traffic	330	1	3	118
		56.8%	50.0%	100.0%	62.4%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	0	0	10
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	27	0	0	5
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	Cost of living	17	0	0	10
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	2
		.9%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	Parking	7	0	0	2
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	0	6
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Other Mention	23	0	0	1
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	0	0	7
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	3.6%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Affordable housing	0	25	5	4
		.0%	23.9%	35.4%	15.5%
	City's economic health	0	10	0	2
		.0%	9.6%	.0%	6.6%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	6	2	0
		.0%	5.8%	10.3%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	1	17	2	4
		51.2%	16.5%	14.6%	17.2%
	Crime/Safety	0	20	1	2
		.0%	18.8%	9.4%	6.9%
	Education/School overcrowding	1	13	0	5
		51.2%	12.6%	.0%	20.2%
	Environmental health	0	2	0	0
		.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%
	Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	0	1	0	0
		.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	0	2	0	0
		.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	0	2	0	0
		.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%
	Race relations	0	7	0	0
		.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Taxes	0	3	2	5
		.0%	3.2%	10.3%	19.9%
	Traffic	1	45	7	17
		48.8%	42.9%	43.7%	68.7%
	Over population/Over crowding	0	4	0	1
		.0%	4.1%	.0%	4.7%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	0	3	1	0
		.0%	3.2%	7.1%	.0%
	Cost of living	1	3	1	0
		48.8%	3.3%	7.1%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Parking	0	1	0	0
		.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	5	1	0
		.0%	4.3%	6.7%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	3	4	0
		.0%	3.1%	27.8%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	3	0	3
		.0%	3.1%	.0%	11.4%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Affordable housing	0	0	3	37
		.0%	.0%	27.9%	25.2%
	City's economic health	1	0	0	5
		17.2%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	0	0	12
		.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	0	0	3	38
		.0%	.0%	26.5%	25.6%
	Crime/Safety	1	9	1	2
		11.8%	69.4%	11.4%	1.6%
	Education/School overcrowding	3	0	3	12
		40.9%	.0%	28.2%	8.1%
	Environmental health	0	0	0	6
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	Protection of open space	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%
	Public transportation	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	Quality of life	0	2	1	11
		.0%	11.3%	10.2%	7.2%
	Race relations	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
	Taxes	0	3	0	6
		.0%	23.5%	.0%	4.3%
	Traffic	5	11	7	83
		65.6%	81.6%	73.5%	55.9%
	Over population/Over crowding	0	0	1	4
		.0%	.0%	12.0%	2.5%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	1	0	0	12
		12.8%	.0%	.0%	7.8%
	Cost of living	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	Parking	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Other Mention	1	0	0	11
		17.2%	.0%	.0%	7.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	1	1	0	5
		17.2%	7.1%	.0%	3.1%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Affordable housing	10	1	1	4
		42.2%	5.0%	13.7%	21.6%
	City's economic health	0	0	0	2
		.0%	2.2%	6.6%	13.9%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	1	0	0	2
		4.3%	.0%	.0%	13.6%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	8	4	2	4
		34.4%	36.4%	26.9%	22.8%
	Crime/Safety	4	0	0	1
		16.1%	.0%	6.6%	7.6%
	Education/School overcrowding	1	1	1	1
		5.1%	9.2%	11.1%	3.2%
	Environmental health	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%
	Public transportation	0	1	0	0
		.0%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	1	0	0	2
		4.6%	2.2%	.0%	9.7%
	Race relations	1	0	0	0
		3.3%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Taxes	4	1	0	0
		15.3%	12.2%	.0%	.0%
	Traffic	9	8	5	9
		39.4%	66.0%	81.1%	51.8%
	Over population/Over crowding	0	2	0	0
		.0%	21.3%	.0%	.9%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	2	1	0	1
		8.8%	9.1%	.0%	8.5%
	Cost of living	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0
		.0%	2.2%	6.6%	.0%
	Parking	1	0	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	1	0	1
		.0%	8.8%	.0%	2.9%
	Other Mention	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	41.6%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a	a		a
	City's economic health	.	a	a	a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a	a		a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	a	a		
	Crime/Safety	a	a	K	a
	Education/School overcrowding	a	a		
	Environmental health	a	a		a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a		a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a		a
	Protection of open space	a	a		a
	Public transportation	a	a		a
	Quality of life	a	a		a
	Race relations	C K	a	a	a
	Taxes	a	a	a	a
	Traffic	.	a		
	Over population/Over crowding	a	a		a
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	a	a		a
	Cost of living	a	a		E K
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a		a
	Parking	.	a		a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a	a		a
	Other Mention	a	a		a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing				a
	City's economic health		a		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			a	a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				a
	Crime/Safety	K			
	Education/School overcrowding		a		
	Environmental health		a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	C	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a		a
	Protection of open space		a	a	a
	Public transportation		a	a	a
	Quality of life		a	a	a
	Race relations			a	a
	Taxes			E	a
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding		a		a
	Valico mall issues - General Mention			a	
	Cost of living			a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	a	a
	Parking		a	a	a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents			a	a
	Other Mention		C E	a	C
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a			C
	City's economic health	a	a		a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a	a		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	a			
	Crime/Safety	C E F G K O			K
	Education/School overcrowding	a			
	Environmental health	a	a		a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a		a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a		a
	Protection of open space	a	a		a
	Public transportation	a	a		a
	Quality of life		a		
	Race relations	a	a		
	Taxes	E	a		
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding	a			a
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	a	a		
	Cost of living	a	a		a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a		a
	Parking	a	a		
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a	a		a
	Other Mention	a	a	C	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			
	City's economic health			
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a	a	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	a		
	Crime/Safety	.		
	Education/School overcrowding	a	a	
	Environmental health	a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a	
	Protection of open space	.	a	C E K
	Public transportation		a	a
	Quality of life		a	a
	Race relations		a	a
	Taxes		.	.
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding	K	a	
	Valico mall issues - General Mention		a	
	Cost of living	a	.	a
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	.	a
	Parking	.		.
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		a	
	Other Mention	a	C E K	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Affordable housing	57	30	14	8	2	3
	City's economic health	21.3%	28.6%	12.1%	27.5%	22.5%	31.6%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	11	6	6	0	0	0
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	4.2%	5.3%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Crime/Safety	14	2	8	3	0	0
	Education/School overcrowding	5.1%	2.3%	7.1%	10.7%	.0%	.0%
	Environmental health	50	23	22	5	0	0
	Neighborhood preservation	18.7%	21.8%	19.7%	15.6%	.0%	3.7%
	Poor cell coverage	35	10	16	5	3	0
	Protection of open space	13.2%	10.0%	14.6%	17.3%	28.7%	.0%
	Public transportation	34	11	19	4	0	0
	Quality of life	12.9%	11.0%	17.2%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
	Race relations	6	2	4	0	0	0
	Taxes	2.1%	2.1%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Traffic	2	2	0	1	0	0
	Over population/Over crowding	.9%	1.5%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	3	0	3	0	0	0
	Cost of living	1.2%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	1	4	0	0	0
	Parking	1.7%	1.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2	1	1	0	0	0
	Other Mention	.9%	1.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	8	1	3	2	2	2
	Affordable housing	3.1%	.5%	2.3%	5.0%	18.1%	18.0%
	City's economic health	13	10	2	0	0	1
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	4.9%	9.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	13	4	6	2	0	1
	Crime/Safety	4.9%	4.3%	5.1%	7.9%	.0%	6.6%
	Education/School overcrowding	152	55	64	21	7	5
	Environmental health	56.9%	52.9%	56.9%	68.8%	66.6%	52.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	13	3	7	0	1	1
	Poor cell coverage	4.8%	3.2%	5.9%	1.3%	10.5%	13.6%
	Protection of open space	14	1	9	2	2	0
	Public transportation	5.4%	1.3%	8.3%	5.4%	18.1%	.0%
	Quality of life	9	3	4	0	1	0
	Race relations	3.2%	3.3%	3.5%	.0%	10.7%	.0%
	Taxes	3	2	1	0	0	0
	Traffic	1.1%	1.8%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	5	2	1	1	0	0
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	1.7%	2.1%	1.1%	4.0%	.0%	.0%
	Cost of living	10	3	5	0	1	1
	Need more retail/Shopping	3.9%	3.1%	4.1%	.8%	11.3%	11.5%
	Parking	7	4	3	0	0	1
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2.7%	3.9%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
	Other Mention	7	3	3	0	0	1
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2.7%	3.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	B		a	a	a
	City's economic health			.	.	.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			.	a	a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				a	.
	Crime/Safety				.	a
	Education/School overcrowding				a	a
	Environmental health			a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation		a	.	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	.	a	a	a
	Protection of open space			a	a	a
	Public transportation			a	a	a
	Quality of life			a	A	A
	Race relations			.	.	.
	Taxes				.	.
	Traffic				.	.
	Over population/Over crowding				.	.
	Valico mall issues - General Mention			.	A	a
	Cost of living			a	.	a
	Need more retail/Shopping			a	a	a
	Parking			.	.	.
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents			.	a	.
	Other Mention			a	.	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA			a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Affordable housing	63	17	39	3	0	4
	City's economic health	15	4	8	2	0	0
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	4.0%	4.1%	3.7%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	17	2	7	7	0	0
	Crime/Safety	4.3%	1.9%	3.2%	22.1%	.0%	.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	83	21	50	9	1	3
	Environmental health	21.9%	19.0%	22.5%	26.4%	17.5%	25.8%
	Neighborhood preservation	49	16	24	6	2	0
	Poor cell coverage	12.8%	14.6%	10.7%	18.7%	55.8%	.0%
	Protection of open space	32	9	20	2	1	0
	Public transportation	8.3%	8.2%	9.0%	4.7%	24.6%	.0%
	Quality of life	11	4	7	0	0	0
	Race relations	2.8%	3.8%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Taxes	6	3	3	0	0	0
	Traffic	1.7%	2.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	2	2	1	0	0	0
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	.6%	1.5%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Cost of living	6	4	2	0	0	0
	Need more retail/Shopping	1.6%	3.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Parking	6	2	4	1	0	0
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	1.7%	1.8%	1.6%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	13	7	4	2	0	0
	Not Sure/DK/NA	3.4%	6.3%	1.9%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
	Affordable housing	12	2	6	1	0	3
	City's economic health	3.1%	1.6%	2.8%	3.3%	.0%	24.3%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	12	2	8	3	0	0
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	3.2%	1.4%	3.5%	8.2%	.0%	.0%
	Crime/Safety	236	65	147	16	3	5
	Education/School overcrowding	62.1%	60.3%	65.7%	48.6%	59.2%	46.9%
	Environmental health	18	3	12	1	0	1
	Neighborhood preservation	4.6%	2.6%	5.6%	3.3%	2.1%	10.8%
	Poor cell coverage	12	2	9	1	0	0
	Protection of open space	3.3%	2.0%	4.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	6	0	6	0	0	0
	Quality of life	1.6%	.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Race relations	3	2	2	0	0	0
	Taxes	.9%	1.6%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Traffic	5	1	2	1	0	0
	Over population/Over crowding	1.2%	1.0%	1.1%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	10	0	6	1	1	2
	Cost of living	2.7%	.2%	2.5%	3.1%	23.3%	20.2%
	Need more retail/Shopping	16	6	10	0	0	0
	Parking	4.2%	5.4%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	11	5	6	0	0	0
	Other Mention	2.9%	4.7%	2.6%	.3%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing				a	
	City's economic health				a	a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)			A B	a	a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development					
	Crime/Safety				B	a
	Education/School overcrowding					a
	Environmental health			a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation			a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage			a	a	a
	Protection of open space			a	a	a
	Public transportation				a	a
	Quality of life				a	
	Race relations				a	A B
	Taxes				a	a
	Traffic					
	Over population/Over crowding					
	Valico mall issues - General Mention				a	a
	Cost of living			a	a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping			a	a	a
	Parking				a	a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents				A	A B
	Other Mention			a	a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
		114	93	6	9	1	0
	Affordable housing	19.6%	20.8%	21.1%	9.5%	19.9%	24.3%
		21	16	0	0	0	0
	City's economic health	3.6%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		31	25	0	6	0	0
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	5.3%	5.5%	.0%	6.2%	.0%	.0%
		121	106	7	16	3	1
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	20.7%	23.6%	28.4%	17.7%	43.1%	69.2%
		67	46	1	20	1	0
	Crime/Safety	11.6%	10.3%	4.4%	21.6%	19.8%	.0%
		64	53	0	11	1	0
	Education/School overcrowding	10.9%	11.8%	.0%	11.7%	19.8%	.0%
		16	14	1	2	0	0
	Environmental health	2.8%	3.1%	4.4%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
		7	7	0	2	0	0
	Neighborhood preservation	1.3%	1.6%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%
		5	4	0	2	0	0
	Poor cell coverage	.9%	.9%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
		7	6	0	3	0	0
	Protection of open space	1.3%	1.3%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
		13	12	0	0	0	0
	Public transportation	2.2%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		22	18	0	5	0	0
	Quality of life	3.8%	3.9%	.0%	5.7%	3.2%	.0%
		18	15	0	1	0	0
	Race relations	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
		27	18	3	2	0	0
	Taxes	4.7%	4.1%	10.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
		330	240	18	61	6	0
	Traffic	56.8%	53.5%	69.7%	66.1%	77.0%	6.5%
		23	20	0	8	0	0
	Over population/Over crowding	3.9%	4.4%	.0%	8.2%	.0%	24.3%
	27	24	1	1	0	0	
Valico mall issues - General Mention	4.6%	5.3%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	
	17	14	2	2	0	0	
Cost of living	2.8%	3.2%	8.8%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	
	5	5	2	0	0	0	
Need more retail/Shopping	.9%	1.2%	9.1%	.0%	1.3%	6.5%	
	7	6	1	0	0	0	
Parking	1.2%	1.3%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	16	14	1	5	1	0	
Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2.7%	3.0%	4.7%	5.3%	15.8%	.0%	
	23	19	0	0	0	0	
Other Mention	4.0%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
	22	15	0	3	0	0	
Not Sure/DK/NA	3.8%	3.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	Affordable housing	12	4	3	4	5	1
		28.2%	57.8%	12.5%	7.5%	37.4%	75.0%
	City's economic health	6	0	2	0	0	0
		13.9%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	6	0	0	1	1	0
		13.9%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	7.6%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	6	0	4	11	5	1
		14.6%	4.9%	19.4%	23.2%	35.2%	75.0%
	Crime/Safety	8	0	0	5	0	0
		19.0%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	1.1%	.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	3	0	3	5	0	0
		6.5%	.0%	15.0%	10.1%	.0%	.0%
	Environmental health	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	3	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	12.6%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%
	Race relations	2	0	0	0	0	0
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	25.0%
	Taxes	0	0	5	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	22.5%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
	Traffic	22	3	16	36	6	0
		51.1%	40.4%	72.4%	75.6%	43.6%	.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	3	0	1	4	0	0
		7.5%	.0%	5.2%	8.6%	1.1%	.0%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	2	0	0	2	1	0
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	6.3%	.0%
	Cost of living	1	0	0	3	0	0
		2.4%	.0%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	25.0%
	Parking	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	4	1	0	3	2	0
		8.7%	15.6%	.0%	6.0%	14.9%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	4	0	0	0	0
		.0%	64.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	3	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Affordable housing	0	0	12	3
		.0%	.0%	21.0%	38.9%
	City's economic health	1	0	4	0
		11.2%	.0%	7.3%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	0	0	0
		1.9%	.0%	.4%	.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	0	0	9	0
		.0%	.0%	15.4%	6.7%
	Crime/Safety	3	9	12	1
		21.5%	69.4%	21.1%	7.6%
	Education/School overcrowding	3	0	3	0
		26.7%	.0%	5.4%	4.3%
	Environmental health	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Public transportation	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%
	Quality of life	0	2	4	1
		.0%	11.3%	7.6%	15.7%
	Race relations	0	0	4	3
		.0%	.0%	7.1%	40.6%
	Taxes	0	3	0	1
		.0%	23.5%	.0%	8.9%
	Traffic	10	11	26	1
		77.6%	81.6%	47.2%	20.5%
	Over population/Over crowding	0	0	5	1
		.0%	.0%	9.3%	15.7%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	1	0	4	1
		8.4%	.0%	6.8%	15.7%
	Cost of living	1	0	3	0
		9.3%	.0%	4.7%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Parking	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	1	0	5	1
		9.8%	.0%	8.2%	20.5%
	Other Mention	1	0	4	0
		11.2%	.0%	7.3%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	1	1	0	0
		11.2%	7.1%	.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		b	b	b	a,b	
	City's economic health		b	.	b	a,b	A
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		b		b		
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development					a	
	Crime/Safety			A		a,b	
	Education/School overcrowding		b			a,b	
	Environmental health				b	a,b	b
	Neighborhood preservation		b		b	a,b	b
	Poor cell coverage		b		b	a,b	b
	Protection of open space		b	b	b	a,b	b
	Public transportation		b	.	.	a,b	b
	Quality of life		b		b	a,b	
	Race relations		.		b	a,b	b
	Taxes		.		b	a	.
	Traffic				.	.	
	Over population/Over crowding		b		b	a	
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention		.		b	a,b	
	Cost of living			b	b	a,b	
	Need more retail/Shopping		A	b	b	a	
	Parking			b	b	a,b	b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents			.	.	a,b	
	Other Mention		b	b	b	a,b	b
	Not Sure/DK/NA		b	.	b	a,b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	C I				a	b
	City's economic health	b		b	b	a,b	.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	b	b			a,b	.
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development					a	b
	Crime/Safety	b	b			a,b	.
	Education/School overcrowding	b	.		b	a,b	.
	Environmental health	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Neighborhood preservation	b	A	b	A	a,b	b
	Poor cell coverage	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Protection of open space	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Public transportation	b	b	.	b	a,b	b
	Quality of life	b	b		b	a	b
	Race relations	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Taxes	b	A C	b	.	a,b	.
	Traffic	.				a,b	.
	Over population/Over crowding	b				a,b	b
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	b	b			a,b	.
	Cost of living	b	b		b	a,b	.
	Need more retail/Shopping	b	b	b	.	a	b
	Parking	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		b			a,b	.
	Other Mention	A N	b	b	b	a,b	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	b	.	.	b	a,b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	b		b
	City's economic health	b		b
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	b		b
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	b		
	Crime/Safety	A B C F I J N		
	Education/School overcrowding	b		
	Environmental health	b	b	b
	Neighborhood preservation	b	b	b
	Poor cell coverage	b	b	
	Protection of open space	b		b
	Public transportation	b		
	Quality of life			
	Race relations	b		A C F
	Taxes	A C	b	
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding	b		
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	b		
	Cost of living	b		b
	Need more retail/Shopping	b	b	b
	Parking	b		b
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	b		
	Other Mention	b		b
	Not Sure/DK/NA			b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Affordable housing	114	9	10	6	11
		19.6%	15.1%	18.7%	19.5%	29.6%
	City's economic health	21	0	0	1	3
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	9.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	1	3	3	5
		5.3%	1.5%	6.2%	10.6%	13.3%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	10	6	8	9
		20.7%	16.8%	11.5%	28.3%	24.1%
	Crime/Safety	67	4	0	4	3
		11.6%	7.2%	.0%	13.8%	9.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	4	1	4	4
		10.9%	6.7%	1.5%	14.2%	10.0%
	Environmental health	16	2	3	0	0
		2.8%	4.0%	6.2%	.0%	.4%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	0	0	3	2
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	9.5%	4.2%
	Poor cell coverage	5	0	3	0	0
		.9%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	0	1	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	2.8%	.5%	.0%
	Public transportation	13	1	1	0	1
		2.2%	2.4%	2.2%	.0%	1.7%
	Quality of life	22	5	0	2	1
		3.8%	8.1%	.3%	6.9%	2.9%
	Race relations	18	0	4	1	1
		3.0%	.0%	6.9%	2.2%	4.0%
	Taxes	27	6	7	3	1
		4.7%	9.6%	14.1%	9.1%	2.2%
	Traffic	330	32	37	14	19
		56.8%	53.0%	71.4%	47.5%	51.2%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	1	2	0	0
		3.9%	1.9%	4.3%	1.5%	.4%
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	27	1	2	1	2
		4.6%	1.5%	4.0%	2.8%	4.4%
	Cost of living	17	3	0	0	0
		2.8%	4.7%	.0%	.8%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	0	0
		.9%	.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
	Parking	7	1	0	1	0
		1.2%	2.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	1	0	0	1
		2.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Other Mention	23	5	1	0	1
		4.0%	7.9%	2.4%	.0%	4.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	3	0	0	0
		3.8%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Affordable housing	11	10	5	6	21
		33.9%	25.1%	14.0%	17.2%	16.0%
	City's economic health	0	0	3	4	0
		.0%	.4%	8.4%	12.7%	.2%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	0	2	2	2	4
		.0%	3.8%	5.3%	4.9%	2.6%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	6	7	5	8	30
		19.3%	16.0%	12.0%	22.5%	23.0%
	Crime/Safety	3	8	5	9	25
		10.6%	18.6%	11.9%	25.7%	19.1%
	Education/School overcrowding	3	9	0	2	14
		10.6%	23.0%	.8%	4.7%	10.9%
	Environmental health	3	1	1	1	3
		10.1%	1.8%	1.3%	3.6%	1.9%
	Neighborhood preservation	2	0	0	0	1
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Poor cell coverage	0	0	2	0	1
		.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	.4%
	Protection of open space	0	0	2	0	3
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	2.4%
	Public transportation	0	1	2	0	1
		.0%	2.2%	6.0%	.0%	1.1%
	Quality of life	1	0	0	2	8
		3.2%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	5.8%
	Race relations	0	0	3	0	6
		.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	4.2%
	Taxes	2	2	2	0	0
		7.3%	6.0%	4.2%	.0%	.0%
	Traffic	20	32	25	19	71
		63.8%	76.8%	66.4%	57.5%	53.6%
	Over population/Over crowding	2	1	1	0	10
		6.9%	2.9%	2.7%	1.1%	7.6%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	0	4	2	2	8
		.3%	9.8%	6.2%	7.1%	5.8%
	Cost of living	1	0	1	1	9
		4.4%	.6%	3.2%	3.6%	7.1%
	Need more retail/Shopping	0	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Parking	1	2	1	0	0
		3.4%	4.9%	2.7%	.0%	.3%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	0	0	0	3	6
		.0%	.6%	.0%	8.9%	4.6%
	Other Mention	0	0	2	1	4
		1.2%	.0%	6.3%	4.2%	2.7%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	0	3	0	4
		.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	2.8%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	126
	Affordable housing	25
		19.8%
	City's economic health	9
		7.2%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	10
		7.7%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	32
		25.4%
	Crime/Safety	6
		4.7%
	Education/School overcrowding	22
		17.3%
	Environmental health	2
		1.6%
	Neighborhood preservation	1
		.5%
	Poor cell coverage	0
		.0%
	Protection of open space	0
		.1%
	Public transportation	5
		3.8%
	Quality of life	3
		2.5%
	Race relations	4
		2.8%
	Taxes	4
		3.4%
	Traffic	61
		48.1%
	Over population/Over crowding	4
		3.0%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	5
		3.9%
	Cost of living	0
		.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	1
		1.1%
	Parking	0
		.1%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	5
		3.6%
	Other Mention	8
		6.2%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	12
		9.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a	a	.	I	a
	City's economic health	a
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	a
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	.	a	.	.	.
	Crime/Safety
	Education/School overcrowding	.	.	a	.	.
	Environmental health
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	I J	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	I	.	.	.
	Protection of open space	a	.	a	.	a
	Public transportation	a
	Quality of life
	Race relations	a	.	.	.	a
	Taxes
	Traffic
	Over population/Over crowding
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention
	Cost of living	.	a	.	a	.
	Need more retail/Shopping	.	a	.	a	a
	Parking	.	a	.	.	.
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.	a	a	.	a
	Other Mention	.	.	a	.	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.	a	a	.	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing
	City's economic health	.	I	I	.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development
	Crime/Safety	.	.	J	J
	Education/School overcrowding	B	.	.	.
	Environmental health	.	a	a	.
	Neighborhood preservation	a	.	a	.
	Poor cell coverage	a	.	a	.
	Protection of open space	a	.	a	.
	Public transportation	.	.	a	.
	Quality of life	a	a	.	.
	Race relations	a	.	a	.
	Taxes	.	.	a	.
	Traffic
	Over population/Over crowding
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention
	Cost of living	.	a	a	.
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	a	.
	Parking
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	.	a	.	.
	Other Mention	a	.	.	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	.	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	a
	City's economic health	
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	
	Crime/Safety	
	Education/School overcrowding	
	Environmental health	
	Neighborhood preservation	
	Poor cell coverage	
	Protection of open space	
	Public transportation	a
	Quality of life	
	Race relations	
	Taxes	
	Traffic	
	Over population/Over crowding	
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	
	Cost of living	
	Need more retail/Shopping	
	Parking	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	
	Other Mention	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Affordable housing	114	23	47	44
		19.6%	13.9%	30.6%	16.8%
	City's economic health	21	10	9	3
		3.6%	5.7%	5.7%	1.1%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	15	14	2
		5.3%	8.8%	9.4%	.6%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	121	35	29	56
		20.7%	21.0%	19.0%	21.5%
	Crime/Safety	67	12	26	30
		11.6%	7.0%	17.0%	11.3%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	9	29	26
		10.9%	5.2%	19.2%	9.8%
	Environmental health	16	2	7	7
		2.8%	1.2%	4.6%	2.7%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	1	5	2
		1.3%	.4%	3.4%	.6%
	Poor cell coverage	5	1	5	0
		.9%	.3%	3.2%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	1	2	4
		1.3%	.9%	1.6%	1.4%
	Public transportation	13	4	2	7
		2.2%	2.4%	1.0%	2.7%
	Quality of life	22	6	6	10
		3.8%	3.5%	3.7%	4.0%
	Race relations	18	5	4	8
		3.0%	3.1%	2.7%	3.2%
	Taxes	27	12	14	1
		4.7%	7.0%	9.5%	.4%
	Traffic	330	103	74	153
		56.8%	61.6%	48.7%	58.3%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	0	0	23
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	8.7%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	27	0	0	27
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	10.3%
	Cost of living	17	0	0	17
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	6.3%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	0	0	5
		.9%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Parking	7	0	0	7
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	0	0	16
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
	Other Mention	23	13	9	1
		4.0%	7.7%	5.7%	.5%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	8	11	3
		3.8%	4.7%	7.2%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		A C	
	City's economic health	C	C	
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	C	C	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
	Crime/Safety		A	
	Education/School overcrowding		A C	
	Environmental health			
	Neighborhood preservation			
	Poor cell coverage		A	a
	Protection of open space			
	Public transportation			
	Quality of life			
	Race relations			
	Taxes	C	C	
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding	a	a	
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	a	a	
	Cost of living	a	a	
	Need more retail/Shopping	a	a	
	Parking	a	a	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	a	a	
	Other Mention	C	C	
	Not Sure/DK/NA		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Affordable housing	94	35	20	15	25
		20.4%	27.4%	22.3%	19.2%	14.6%
	City's economic health	10	1	1	2	5
		2.3%	1.1%	1.3%	3.1%	3.2%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	21	7	5	2	7
		4.4%	5.3%	5.1%	2.5%	4.3%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	108	21	24	19	44
		23.4%	16.7%	26.9%	23.9%	26.4%
	Crime/Safety	46	15	9	13	10
		10.1%	11.7%	10.2%	16.5%	5.8%
	Education/School overcrowding	53	15	14	9	16
		11.5%	11.9%	15.3%	11.2%	9.3%
	Environmental health	12	4	2	4	2
		2.7%	3.0%	2.7%	5.5%	1.1%
	Neighborhood preservation	3	0	2	0	1
		.7%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.9%
	Poor cell coverage	2	2	0	0	1
		.5%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Protection of open space	6	0	4	1	1
		1.3%	.0%	4.0%	1.3%	.7%
	Public transportation	8	0	2	1	5
		1.8%	.0%	2.6%	.8%	3.2%
	Quality of life	18	5	5	3	6
		4.0%	3.6%	5.1%	3.5%	3.8%
	Race relations	14	5	3	1	5
		3.0%	4.1%	2.8%	1.5%	2.9%
	Taxes	23	5	6	4	8
		4.9%	3.6%	7.0%	5.1%	4.7%
	Traffic	268	79	45	44	100
		57.9%	62.7%	49.4%	56.2%	59.8%
	Over population/Over crowding	19	5	2	2	11
		4.1%	3.9%	2.0%	1.9%	6.3%
	Vallico mall issues - General Mention	22	2	5	5	11
		4.9%	1.7%	5.4%	6.2%	6.3%
	Cost of living	13	4	4	3	3
		2.7%	2.8%	4.1%	3.6%	1.5%
	Need more retail/Shopping	4	0	0	0	3
		.8%	.0%	.3%	.4%	1.9%
	Parking	7	0	2	2	3
		1.5%	.0%	2.1%	3.1%	1.7%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	16	4	4	4	5
		3.4%	3.3%	3.9%	4.6%	2.8%
	Other Mention	20	9	0	2	9
		4.4%	6.8%	.0%	3.2%	5.6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	9	4	1	1	3
		1.9%	2.8%	1.3%	.8%	2.0%

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing	D			
	City's economic health				
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)				
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development				
	Crime/Safety			D	
	Education/School overcrowding				
	Environmental health	a		a	
	Neighborhood preservation	.	a	.	a
	Poor cell coverage		.	.	
	Protection of open space	a			
	Public transportation	a			
	Quality of life	.			
	Race relations				
	Taxes				
	Traffic				
	Over population/Over crowding				
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention				
	Cost of living	a			
	Need more retail/Shopping	a			
	Parking	.			
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents				
	Other Mention		a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Affordable housing	114	26	33	8	4
		19.7%	21.1%	27.8%	19.7%	9.7%
	City's economic health	21	4	5	0	2
		3.6%	3.0%	4.5%	.0%	5.5%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	31	8	8	1	2
		5.3%	6.8%	6.3%	1.6%	4.0%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	120	25	36	10	10
		20.7%	20.0%	30.5%	25.7%	24.5%
	Crime/Safety	67	14	10	3	2
		11.6%	11.7%	8.2%	6.5%	4.9%
	Education/School overcrowding	64	15	13	5	4
		11.0%	12.0%	11.3%	11.6%	8.8%
	Environmental health	16	2	5	1	1
		2.8%	1.9%	3.9%	1.6%	3.6%
	Neighborhood preservation	7	2	5	0	0
		1.3%	1.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	5	3	1	0	0
		.9%	2.7%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	7	3	2	0	0
		1.3%	2.1%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	Public transportation	13	1	6	3	0
		2.2%	1.0%	5.1%	6.7%	.0%
	Quality of life	21	6	1	1	1
		3.6%	4.5%	1.2%	3.6%	1.5%
	Race relations	18	4	2	1	2
		3.1%	3.5%	1.7%	1.6%	4.4%
	Taxes	27	6	3	1	6
		4.7%	5.0%	2.4%	3.0%	13.9%
	Traffic	328	70	55	24	24
		56.7%	56.5%	46.4%	59.2%	59.0%
	Over population/Over crowding	23	4	4	2	1
		3.9%	3.3%	3.1%	5.6%	3.6%
	Vallco mall issues - General Mention	26	6	8	2	2
		4.5%	4.9%	6.9%	4.9%	5.7%
	Cost of living	17	4	6	0	1
		2.9%	3.2%	5.4%	.0%	2.8%
	Need more retail/Shopping	5	1	0	1	0
		.9%	.5%	.1%	2.9%	.0%
	Parking	7	3	0	2	0
		1.2%	2.1%	.0%	5.8%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	15	2	1	0	3
		2.6%	1.3%	1.0%	.7%	7.3%
	Other Mention	23	3	5	2	6
		4.0%	2.4%	4.0%	5.9%	14.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	22	3	4	2	2
		3.8%	2.8%	3.0%	4.8%	5.3%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Affordable housing	14	24	2	4
		11.1%	21.1%	22.7%	40.1%
	City's economic health	7	2	1	0
		5.8%	1.5%	11.2%	.0%
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)	5	4	2	1
		4.2%	3.3%	33.9%	11.4%
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development	13	22	2	1
		10.3%	20.0%	30.4%	6.6%
	Crime/Safety	25	12	0	1
		19.7%	10.7%	.0%	15.0%
	Education/School overcrowding	19	7	1	0
		14.8%	6.5%	17.1%	.0%
	Environmental health	2	4	1	0
		1.2%	3.7%	17.8%	.0%
	Neighborhood preservation	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Poor cell coverage	2	0	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Protection of open space	1	1	0	0
		1.1%	1.0%	.0%	1.8%
	Public transportation	0	3	0	0
		.1%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Quality of life	3	9	0	0
		2.2%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
	Race relations	5	4	0	0
		4.2%	3.2%	.0%	.0%
	Taxes	8	3	1	0
		6.1%	2.5%	11.6%	.0%
	Traffic	79	68	2	7
		62.1%	60.4%	27.1%	76.2%
	Over population/Over crowding	3	6	0	2
		2.3%	5.4%	.0%	26.5%
	Valico mall issues - General Mention	3	4	0	0
		2.6%	3.7%	.0%	.0%
	Cost of living	2	3	0	0
		1.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
	Need more retail/Shopping	2	1	0	0
		1.5%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
	Parking	1	1	0	0
		.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents	2	7	0	0
		1.2%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	4	2	0	0
		3.4%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	8	2	0	0
		6.5%	1.7%	6.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing		E	a		
	City's economic health			.		
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)					
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development		E			
	Crime/Safety					
	Education/School overcrowding					
	Environmental health			a	a	a
	Neighborhood preservation			.	.	.
	Poor cell coverage			.	.	.
	Protection of open space			.	.	.
	Public transportation			E	.	
	Quality of life					
	Race relations					
	Taxes					
	Traffic					
	Over population/Over crowding					
	Valico mall issues - General Mention			a		
	Cost of living			.		
	Need more retail/Shopping		a		a	
	Parking		.		.	
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents					
	Other Mention				A F	
	Not Sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
3. What are the two most important issues facing Cupertino?	Affordable housing			a
	City's economic health			.
	Condition of streets (Roads and Streets)		C E F	
	Controlling growth/City planning/Density/Over development			
	Crime/Safety		a	
	Education/School overcrowding		.	a
	Environmental health			a
	Neighborhood preservation	a	a	a
	Poor cell coverage	a	a	.
	Protection of open space		a	
	Public transportation		a	a
	Quality of life		a	.
	Race relations		a	a
	Taxes		.	a
	Traffic			
	Over population/Over crowding		a	A B E
	Valico mall issues - General Mention		a	a
	Cost of living		a	a
	Need more retail/Shopping Parking		a	a
	Corrupt city government/Development over residents		a	a
	Other Mention		a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	582
	Very strong	101	101
		17.4%	17.4%
	Somewhat strong	284	284
		48.7%	48.7%
	Somewhat weak	131	131
		22.4%	22.4%
	Very weak	33	33
		5.7%	5.7%
	None at all	26	26
		4.5%	4.5%
	DK/NA	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	.
	Somewhat strong	.
	Somewhat weak	.
	Very weak	.
	None at all	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very strong	101	55	46	0
		17.4%	19.7%	15.4%	.0%
	Somewhat strong	284	123	160	1
		48.7%	43.6%	53.7%	34.4%
	Somewhat weak	131	72	57	1
		22.4%	25.7%	19.2%	33.7%
	Very weak	33	19	13	1
		5.7%	6.9%	4.4%	31.9%
	None at all	26	8	18	0
		4.5%	3.0%	6.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	3	4	0
		1.2%	1.0%	1.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong			a
	Somewhat strong		A	
	Somewhat weak			
	Very weak			a
	None at all			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
		101	14	24	25	19	18	0
	Very strong	17.4%	18.5%	24.1%	17.9%	12.5%	18.1%	.0%
		284	38	35	80	73	52	6
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	49.2%	34.6%	56.7%	48.1%	51.0%	63.9%
		131	20	35	22	37	14	2
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	25.6%	34.6%	15.9%	24.2%	14.1%	23.6%
		33	0	4	9	11	9	0
	Very weak	5.7%	.0%	3.9%	6.3%	7.4%	9.1%	.0%
	None at all	26	5	3	3	8	6	1
		4.5%	6.7%	2.8%	2.3%	5.3%	5.7%	12.5%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	1	4	2	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.9%	2.3%	2.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						a
	Somewhat strong			B			
	Somewhat weak	a	C E				a
	Very weak						a
	None at all	a	a				a
	DK/NA	a	a				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	424	158
		101	70	31
	Very strong	17.4%	16.6%	19.6%
		284	212	72
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	49.8%	45.7%
		131	98	33
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	23.0%	20.9%
		33	23	10
	Very weak	5.7%	5.5%	6.4%
		26	17	10
	None at all	4.5%	3.9%	6.1%
		7	5	2
		1.2%	1.1%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		
	Somewhat strong		
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak		
	None at all		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
		101	46	12	1	42
	Very strong	17.4%	18.9%	15.1%	6.3%	17.4%
		284	110	35	10	128
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	45.1%	43.9%	62.6%	53.1%
		131	63	16	3	49
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	25.7%	19.5%	17.6%	20.4%
		33	11	11	2	9
	Very weak	5.7%	4.6%	13.6%	13.5%	3.8%
		26	11	5	0	10
		4.5%	4.7%	6.4%	.0%	4.0%
		7	2	1	0	3
		1.2%	1.0%	1.6%	.0%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong Somewhat strong Somewhat weak Very weak None at all DK/NA		A D	a a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
		101	20	9	4	4	31	33
	Very strong	17.4%	16.7%	13.8%	10.9%	15.8%	23.8%	16.2%
		284	56	31	19	9	49	119
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	46.0%	46.8%	59.1%	32.9%	38.5%	57.9%
		131	29	20	3	7	37	35
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	24.1%	29.4%	9.7%	24.9%	28.4%	17.1%
		33	6	4	4	4	7	8
	Very weak	5.7%	4.7%	6.6%	12.7%	14.6%	5.5%	3.9%
None at all		26	9	1	2	3	4	7
		4.5%	7.8%	1.0%	5.6%	11.8%	3.3%	3.3%
	DK/NA	7	1	2	1	0	1	3
		1.2%	.7%	2.4%	2.0%	.0%	.5%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong Somewhat strong Somewhat weak Very weak None at all DK/NA						E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
		101	49	12	18	5
	Very strong	17.4%	18.8%	15.0%	22.4%	9.7%
		284	125	36	43	28
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	47.3%	46.0%	53.2%	59.4%
		131	67	20	12	10
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	25.6%	25.0%	14.2%	21.5%
		33	11	4	4	4
	Very weak	5.7%	4.1%	4.8%	5.0%	8.2%
None at all		26	7	7	4	1
		4.5%	2.7%	9.2%	5.2%	1.1%
	DK/NA	7	4	0	0	0
		1.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	27	23	38	24
		7	2	5	4
	Very strong	25.4%	6.7%	13.2%	16.7%
		9	13	18	12
	Somewhat strong	33.2%	56.5%	46.6%	49.7%
		6	6	6	4
	Somewhat weak	23.1%	25.7%	15.4%	17.0%
		3	3	4	1
	Very weak	12.4%	11.1%	11.0%	3.0%
None at all		2	0	3	3
		5.9%	.0%	7.5%	11.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	2	1
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong Somewhat strong Somewhat weak Very weak None at all DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong			
	Somewhat strong			
	Somewhat weak			
	Very weak	a		
	None at all	a		
	DK/NA	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	
	Very strong	101	0	20	5	13	6	1	9	19	
		17.4%	.0%	11.3%	12.8%	29.1%	34.3%	6.1%	21.2%	19.8%	
	Somewhat strong	284	2	75	16	22	6	9	21	53	
		48.7%	30.8%	43.6%	39.0%	47.7%	37.3%	65.3%	50.2%	54.8%	
	Somewhat weak	131	4	57	10	6	3	3	4	15	
		22.4%	59.3%	32.9%	24.1%	13.5%	15.5%	23.8%	9.8%	15.1%	
	Very weak	33	1	14	7	2	1	0	3	4	
		5.7%	9.9%	8.2%	15.8%	5.3%	3.9%	.0%	7.5%	4.0%	
	None at all	26	0	5	3	1	1	1	3	6	
		4.5%	.0%	2.9%	8.3%	3.0%	5.3%	4.8%	6.3%	6.2%	
	DK/NA	7	0	2	0	1	1	0	2	0	
		1.2%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	1.4%	3.9%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very strong	13	15	0	1
		17.2%	22.6%	.0%	23.3%
	Somewhat strong	45	33	1	0
		60.9%	50.8%	53.7%	.0%
	Somewhat weak	13	12	1	3
		17.4%	19.3%	46.3%	49.0%
	Very weak	2	0	0	0
		2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	None at all	2	3	0	2
		2.1%	4.9%	.0%	27.6%
	DK/NA	0	2	0	0
		.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	a									
	Somewhat strong										
	Somewhat weak						a				
	Very weak	a									
	None at all	a		a			a		a	a	
	DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		a	
	Somewhat strong		.	a
	Somewhat weak	a	a	a
	Very weak	.	a	.
	None at all	.	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	464	118
	Very strong	101	72	29
		17.4%	15.6%	24.6%
	Somewhat strong	284	236	48
		48.7%	50.8%	40.4%
	Somewhat weak	131	104	27
		22.4%	22.4%	22.7%
	Very weak	33	24	10
		5.7%	5.1%	8.3%
	None at all	26	23	4
		4.5%	4.9%	3.0%
	DK/NA	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.2%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong Somewhat strong Somewhat weak Very weak None at all DK/NA	B	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	347	235
	Very strong	101 17.4%	59 16.9%	43 18.1%
	Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	171 49.3%	112 47.8%
	Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	70 20.2%	61 25.8%
	Very weak	33 5.7%	25 7.1%	9 3.7%
	None at all	26 4.5%	17 4.8%	10 4.1%
	DK/NA	7 1.2%	6 1.6%	1 .5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong Somewhat strong Somewhat weak Very weak None at all DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very strong	101 17.4%	0 .0%	7 14.9%	15 16.3%	11 8.1%
	Somewhat strong	284 48.7%	7 69.4%	14 29.0%	47 50.3%	86 65.4%
	Somewhat weak	131 22.4%	3 28.0%	20 39.8%	18 19.3%	27 20.8%
	Very weak	33 5.7%	0 2.7%	4 7.4%	9 9.1%	3 2.6%
	None at all	26 4.5%	0 .0%	3 6.4%	5 5.1%	4 3.2%
	DK/NA	7 1.2%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	163	133	1
	Very strong	35 21.7%	33 24.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat strong	75 46.1%	53 40.2%	0 .0%
	Somewhat weak	36 22.3%	25 19.2%	1 100.0%
	Very weak	8 4.8%	10 7.4%	0 .0%
	None at all	6 3.6%	8 6.3%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 1.6%	3 2.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong Somewhat strong Somewhat weak Very weak None at all DK/NA	^a . ^a . ^a .			B E F	D

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	D	a,b
	Somewhat strong		a,b
	Somewhat weak		a,b
	Very weak		a,b
	None at all		a,b
	DK/NA		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very strong	101	6	29	18	21	25	0
		17.4%	14.3%	13.9%	12.7%	18.8%	43.7%	2.4%
	Somewhat strong	283	24	101	85	44	23	6
		48.8%	53.8%	48.2%	60.2%	38.5%	39.2%	49.7%
	Somewhat weak	131	7	57	27	31	4	5
		22.5%	15.4%	27.0%	18.9%	26.9%	7.2%	46.5%
	Very weak	33	4	12	5	11	2	0
		5.6%	8.7%	5.6%	3.3%	9.2%	3.2%	.0%
	None at all	26	3	8	6	6	4	0
		4.5%	5.9%	3.8%	3.9%	5.2%	6.7%	1.4%
	DK/NA	7	1	3	1	2	0	0
		1.2%	1.9%	1.5%	.9%	1.4%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					A B C D	
	Somewhat strong						
	Somewhat weak		E	D	E		E a
	Very weak						
	None at all					a	a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very strong	101	1	0	22	0
		17.4%	50.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%
	Somewhat strong	284	0	3	109	1
		48.7%	.0%	100.0%	57.7%	48.8%
	Somewhat weak	131	0	0	43	0
		22.4%	.0%	.0%	23.0%	.0%
	Very weak	33	1	0	5	1
		5.7%	50.0%	.0%	2.7%	51.2%
	None at all	26	0	0	7	0
		4.5%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	2	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very strong	26	0	5	1	9
		24.6%	.0%	19.9%	17.2%	65.2%
	Somewhat strong	46	7	19	5	3
		43.5%	44.0%	75.7%	65.6%	23.1%
	Somewhat weak	27	2	1	1	0
		25.1%	13.8%	4.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Very weak	2	3	0	0	0
		2.1%	17.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	None at all	4	4	0	0	2
		3.6%	25.1%	.0%	.0%	11.7%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very strong	5	24	1	1	0
		51.3%	16.2%	4.3%	5.5%	.0%
	Somewhat strong	4	61	9	6	1
		38.5%	41.2%	37.4%	48.8%	16.8%
	Somewhat weak	0	38	10	2	3
		.0%	25.8%	44.8%	15.7%	57.9%
	Very weak	0	16	1	3	1
		.0%	10.8%	3.3%	22.0%	25.4%
	None at all	0	8	2	1	0
		.0%	5.1%	7.3%	8.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	2	1	0	0
		10.2%	1.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	17
	Very strong	5
		30.9%
	Somewhat strong	10
		56.0%
	Somewhat weak	2
		11.7%
	Very weak	0
		.5%
	None at all	0
		.9%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	a	a		a
	Somewhat strong	a	a		a
	Somewhat weak	a	a		a
	Very weak	C E	a		C E
	None at all	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		a			C K L
	Somewhat strong					a
	Somewhat weak			a	a	a
	Very weak		C E	a	a	a
	None at all		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	C				a
	Somewhat strong	a				G
	Somewhat weak	a		G		
	Very weak	a			C E	a
	None at all	a			a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(O)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	
	Somewhat strong	
	Somewhat weak	
	Very weak	
	None at all	a
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very strong	47	20	23	0	2	2
	Somewhat strong	133	52	55	19	5	3
	Somewhat weak	60	24	23	6	4	3
	Very weak	14	4	9	0	0	0
	None at all	9	3	2	3	0	1
	DK/NA	3	1	0	2	0	0
		1.1%	1.2%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					
	Somewhat strong					
	Somewhat weak					a
	Very weak				a	.
	None at all		a		a	a
DK/NA			.		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very strong	70	16	41	10	0	4
	Somewhat strong	191	68	101	17	1	3
	Somewhat weak	76	15	53	4	1	3
	Very weak	24	7	16	0	1	0
	None at all	15	2	9	2	1	1
	DK/NA	6	0	4	2	0	0
		1.5%	.2%	1.7%	4.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				a	
	Somewhat strong	B			.	
	Somewhat weak				C	a
	Very weak				a	a
	None at all					
DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very strong	101	73	1	13	3	0	11
	Somewhat strong	284	201	15	56	2	1	15
	Somewhat weak	131	116	10	16	2	0	13
	Very weak	33	33	0	3	1	0	1
	None at all	26	19	0	2	0	0	3
	DK/NA	7	6	0	4	0	0	1
		1.2%	1.3%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.8%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very strong	0	5	4	3	0	3	9
	Somewhat strong	2	17	29	5	1	6	3
	Somewhat weak	1	0	10	0	0	3	0
	Very weak	0	0	3	3	0	0	0
	None at all	3	0	0	2	0	0	2
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	56	7
	Very strong	9	0
	Somewhat strong	16.3%	4.3%
	Somewhat weak	19	4
	Somewhat weak	35.0%	61.5%
	Very weak	20	2
	Very weak	35.8%	34.3%
	None at all	6	0
		10.3%	.0%
		2	0
		2.7%	.0%
		0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong					a,,b		.b
	Somewhat strong					a,,b		.b
	Somewhat weak					a,,b		.b
	Somewhat weak		.b			a,,b		.b
	Very weak		.b		.b	a,,b		.b
	None at all		.b		.b	a,,b		.b
		DK/NA						A C N

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				a,,b		A B C I N	
	Somewhat strong				a,,b			
	Somewhat weak	.b			a,,b		.b	
	Somewhat weak	.b			a,,b	.b		
	Very weak	.b	.b		a,,b	.b		
	None at all	.b		.b	a,,b	.b	.b	.b
		DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	
	Somewhat strong	
	Somewhat weak	.b
	Somewhat weak	.b
	Very weak	.b
	None at all	.b
		DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very strong	101	3	13	1	4	4
	Very strong	17.4%	5.4%	24.5%	4.2%	11.6%	13.1%
	Somewhat strong	284	42	27	20	20	21
	Somewhat strong	48.7%	70.0%	52.8%	68.3%	54.6%	64.4%
	Somewhat weak	131	7	6	6	8	5
	Somewhat weak	22.4%	11.2%	12.0%	21.2%	22.0%	14.5%
	Very weak	33	4	2	2	3	1
		5.7%	6.1%	4.1%	6.3%	8.9%	2.7%
		26	4	3	0	1	1
		4.5%	6.2%	5.4%	.0%	2.9%	2.0%
		7	1	1	0	0	1
		1.2%	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	3.2%

		Household Income				Not sure/DK/NA
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very strong	13	6	12	20	25
	Very strong	31.2%	16.4%	35.3%	15.1%	19.5%
	Somewhat strong	19	12	11	53	58
	Somewhat strong	46.6%	32.0%	32.6%	40.1%	45.8%
	Somewhat weak	8	13	8	41	30
	Somewhat weak	19.5%	32.8%	23.2%	30.6%	23.6%
	Very weak	0	0	1	14	6
		.6%	1.0%	3.8%	10.3%	4.8%
		1	7	2	3	6
		2.2%	17.9%	5.0%	2.1%	4.6%
		0	0	0	2	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						A
	Somewhat strong	G H I					
	Somewhat strong						
	Somewhat weak						
	Very weak			a			
	None at all			a			
		DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		A		
	Somewhat strong				
	Somewhat weak				
	Very weak				
	None at all				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very strong	101	27	43	32
		17.4%	16.2%	27.9%	12.0%
	Somewhat strong	284	98	74	111
		48.7%	58.6%	48.8%	42.4%
	Somewhat weak	131	26	23	81
		22.4%	15.6%	15.3%	31.0%
	Very weak	33	6	5	23
		5.7%	3.5%	3.0%	8.8%
	None at all	26	9	6	11
		4.5%	5.4%	4.0%	4.2%
	DK/NA	7	1	2	4
		1.2%	.8%	1.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong		A C	
	Somewhat strong	C		
	Somewhat weak			A B
	Very weak			
	None at all			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very strong	74	20	15	18	21
		16.0%	15.7%	16.7%	23.1%	12.6%
	Somewhat strong	233	68	49	31	86
		50.5%	53.7%	54.4%	39.3%	51.0%
	Somewhat weak	96	25	18	18	36
		20.8%	19.5%	19.6%	22.7%	21.4%
	Very weak	32	9	7	4	13
		7.0%	7.3%	7.5%	4.9%	7.4%
	None at all	21	5	1	8	7
		4.5%	3.7%	1.5%	10.0%	4.2%
	DK/NA	6	0	0	0	5
		1.2%	.0%	.3%	.0%	3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong				
	Somewhat strong				
	Somewhat weak				
	Very weak				
	None at all				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very strong	101	17	30	3	9	26
		17.5%	13.4%	24.8%	8.1%	21.9%	20.5%
	Somewhat strong	283	64	45	22	13	68
		48.8%	52.3%	38.0%	55.3%	32.7%	53.1%
	Somewhat weak	130	27	36	6	10	23
		22.4%	21.9%	29.8%	15.4%	23.5%	17.8%
	Very weak	32	7	3	3	7	2
		5.6%	5.6%	2.7%	8.8%	18.3%	1.9%
	None at all	26	7	5	4	1	7
		4.5%	5.5%	4.0%	9.3%	3.6%	5.8%
	DK/NA	7	2	1	1	0	1
		1.2%	1.3%	.7%	3.2%	.0%	1.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Total	112	7	9
	Very strong	16	0	1
		14.2%	.0%	11.4%
	Somewhat strong	60	6	4
		53.1%	80.0%	48.8%
	Somewhat weak	26	1	2
		22.9%	17.9%	17.3%
	Very weak	7	0	2
		6.1%	2.2%	22.5%
	None at all	2	0	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong						
	Somewhat strong						
	Somewhat weak						
	Very weak				B E		
	None at all				a		
	DK/NA				.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
4. Would you say that you feel a very strong sense of community, a somewhat strong sense of community, a somewhat weak sense of community, a very weak sense of community, or no sense of community at all?	Very strong	a	
	Somewhat strong	.	
	Somewhat weak		
	Very weak	a	E a
	None at all	a	.
	DK/NA	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	166	166
		28.6%	28.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	279
		47.9%	47.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	71
		12.2%	12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	37	37
		6.3%	6.3%
	DK/NA	29	29
		5.0%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	166	79	88	0
		28.6%	28.0%	29.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	133	144	2
		47.9%	47.1%	48.4%	68.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	37	34	0
		12.2%	13.1%	11.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	37	18	18	1
		6.3%	6.5%	5.9%	31.9%
	DK/NA	29	15	14	0
		5.0%	5.3%	4.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	166	29	40	28	30	35	4
		28.6%	38.2%	39.9%	20.1%	19.6%	34.1%	44.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	28	45	74	73	53	5
		47.9%	36.1%	44.8%	52.9%	47.8%	52.5%	55.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	10	10	21	23	8	0
		12.2%	13.3%	9.4%	15.0%	14.9%	7.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	37	3	3	9	18	4	0
		6.3%	3.7%	3.2%	6.7%	11.6%	3.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	29	7	3	8	9	2	0
		5.0%	8.7%	2.7%	5.4%	6.2%	2.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	D	C D				
	Somewhat satisfied						a
	Somewhat dissatisfied						.
	Very dissatisfied						a
	DK/NA						.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	166	118	48
		28.6%	27.9%	30.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	196	82
		47.9%	46.3%	52.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	62	9
		12.2%	14.7%	5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	37	33	4
		6.3%	7.7%	2.7%
	DK/NA	29	15	14
		5.0%	3.5%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a, b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	Very dissatisfied	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	166	90	21	7	48
		28.6%	37.1%	26.0%	41.7%	20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	94	41	5	139
		47.9%	38.4%	50.4%	30.7%	57.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	30	8	3	30
		12.2%	12.4%	9.8%	20.0%	12.3%
	Very dissatisfied	37	16	9	0	12
		6.3%	6.4%	11.0%	.0%	5.1%
	DK/NA	29	14	2	1	12
		5.0%	5.6%	2.8%	7.6%	4.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	D		a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	166	51	23	8	7	34	43
		28.6%	42.1%	35.1%	24.8%	25.8%	26.1%	21.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	45	26	15	14	57	122
		47.9%	36.9%	38.6%	47.7%	49.0%	44.1%	59.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	14	11	5	2	20	20
		12.2%	11.2%	16.2%	16.0%	8.8%	15.2%	9.6%
	Very dissatisfied	37	8	5	3	3	10	8
		6.3%	6.3%	7.4%	9.6%	10.8%	7.5%	4.1%
	DK/NA	29	4	2	1	2	9	12
		5.0%	3.6%	2.6%	1.9%	5.7%	7.1%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	F					A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	166	82	25	24	3
		28.6%	31.0%	32.4%	29.0%	6.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	129	29	39	28
		47.9%	49.2%	36.8%	48.3%	58.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	31	13	11	7
		12.2%	11.8%	16.1%	13.1%	14.7%
	Very dissatisfied	37	7	6	7	8
		6.3%	2.6%	7.1%	8.2%	16.1%
	DK/NA	29	14	6	1	2
		5.0%	5.4%	7.5%	1.4%	4.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	6	3	13	11
		21.6%	11.9%	35.5%	44.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	17	11	14	11
		64.9%	46.7%	37.2%	46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	4	4	1
		4.8%	16.1%	11.0%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	1	4	3	2
		4.6%	18.3%	8.1%	6.2%
	DK/NA	1	2	3	0
		4.1%	7.1%	8.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	D	D		A	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	A	D	a

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	166	0	29	6	23	7	1	19
		28.6%	.0%	16.5%	15.1%	49.5%	41.0%	10.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	4	81	18	15	4	11	16
		47.9%	56.5%	46.8%	42.9%	33.1%	22.9%	80.3%	37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	3	37	7	5	2	1	3
		12.2%	43.5%	21.5%	17.6%	11.3%	9.3%	8.8%	7.3%
	Very dissatisfied	37	0	16	5	0	3	0	1
		6.3%	.0%	9.5%	12.4%	.0%	17.5%	.0%	3.5%
	DK/NA	29	0	10	5	3	2	0	3
		5.0%	.0%	5.7%	12.0%	6.1%	9.2%	.0%	7.8%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	21	25	34	0	2
		22.2%	34.3%	52.3%	.0%	27.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	59	43	26	1	1
		60.9%	58.0%	40.9%	56.1%	26.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	2	3	1	2
		4.6%	2.6%	4.3%	43.9%	45.7%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	0	0
		11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	4	2	0	0
		1.3%	5.1%	2.5%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	a			B C H			B	
	Somewhat satisfied								
	Somewhat dissatisfied	H I J	H I						
	Very dissatisfied	a			a		a		
	DK/NA	a					a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		B C H	a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				H I J
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	166	119	47
		28.6%	25.7%	39.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	225	54
		47.9%	48.5%	45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	63	8
		12.2%	13.6%	6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	37	31	6
		6.3%	6.7%	4.7%
	DK/NA	29	25	4
		5.0%	5.5%	3.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B	
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	166	95	72
		28.6%	27.2%	30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	155	124
		47.9%	44.7%	52.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	46	25
		12.2%	13.2%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	37	32	5
		6.3%	9.3%	1.9%
	DK/NA	29	19	10
		5.0%	5.6%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	166 28.6%	6 52.7%	18 37.1%	25 26.2%	24 18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	4 35.7%	21 42.6%	42 44.5%	75 56.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.2%	1 10.7%	5 10.8%	9 9.6%	16 12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	9 9.5%	11 8.6%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	0 .9%	3 7.0%	10 10.2%	5 4.1%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	49 30.0%	45 33.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	76 46.8%	60 45.6%	1 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28 17.0%	12 8.8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	6 3.6%	9 7.1%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	4 2.6%	6 4.8%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	.b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA			a,b .a,b .a,b .a,b .a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	166 28.6%	12 27.7%	70 33.3%	42 29.7%	17 15.2%	24 41.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	277 47.8%	28 61.3%	102 48.6%	70 49.8%	51 44.8%	20 34.9%	6 52.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.3%	1 3.1%	21 10.0%	13 9.2%	24 21.1%	7 12.8%	4 35.9%
	Very dissatisfied	37 6.3%	2 4.8%	11 5.0%	7 5.2%	14 12.5%	1 1.8%	1 11.9%
	DK/NA	29 5.0%	1 3.2%	6 3.1%	8 6.0%	7 6.4%	5 9.4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		D			D	^a
	Somewhat satisfied						A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						^a
	DK/NA						^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	166	3	0	36	0
		28.6%	100.0%	.0%	19.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	0	3	96	0
		47.9%	.0%	100.0%	50.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	0	34	1
		12.2%	.0%	.0%	17.8%	51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	37	0	0	13	0
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	29	0	0	10	1
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	48.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	23	4	13	6
		22.1%	26.4%	53.8%	75.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	51	6	10	2
		48.4%	38.2%	39.8%	24.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17	0	0	0
		15.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	0	0
		10.0%	28.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	1	2	0
		3.8%	7.1%	6.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	6	2	57	10
		44.1%	23.4%	38.2%	43.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	7	5	68	10
		48.9%	48.7%	46.0%	41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	12	2
		.0%	.0%	7.8%	7.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	7	0
		.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	3	5	2
		7.1%	27.9%	3.5%	7.9%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	0	4
		17.1%	.0%	20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	4	12
		47.0%	60.6%	69.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	1	1
		33.2%	22.8%	6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1
		.0%	16.6%	3.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	^a	^a		^a
	Somewhat satisfied	^a	^a		^a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	^a	^a		^a
	Very dissatisfied	^a	^a		^a
	DK/NA	^a	^a		^a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		^a	C	C E
	Somewhat satisfied		^a	^a	^a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		^a	^a	^a
	Very dissatisfied		K	^a	^a
	DK/NA				^a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		.
	DK/NA		K		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	67	30	29	6	1	1
		25.0%	29.0%	26.2%	18.2%	7.2%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	131	57	47	16	8	4
		49.0%	54.3%	41.7%	52.1%	72.5%	40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	38	7	22	8	0	1
		14.4%	7.1%	19.3%	25.7%	.0%	15.6%
	Very dissatisfied	17	6	8	1	0	2
		6.4%	5.8%	7.4%	4.0%	.0%	18.0%
	DK/NA	14	4	6	0	2	2
		5.2%	3.8%	5.5%	.0%	20.4%	18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	.	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	96	26	58	11	0	1
		25.3%	23.9%	26.0%	33.4%	.0%	9.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	194	64	107	16	2	6
		51.1%	59.3%	47.9%	48.0%	34.6%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	44	9	27	6	0	1
		11.5%	8.2%	12.2%	18.6%	.0%	12.4%
	Very dissatisfied	27	4	19	0	3	1
		7.1%	4.0%	8.3%	.0%	65.4%	11.0%
	DK/NA	19	5	13	0	0	2
		5.0%	4.5%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	14.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				.	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	A B	
	DK/NA			.	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	166	128	5	17	2	1	11
		28.6%	28.5%	17.3%	18.8%	21.2%	75.7%	25.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	200	13	49	3	0	24
		47.9%	44.5%	51.4%	52.3%	46.6%	24.3%	54.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	62	7	20	0	0	4
		12.2%	13.7%	26.9%	21.6%	3.2%	.0%	8.9%
	Very dissatisfied	37	33	0	5	2	0	4
		6.3%	7.3%	.0%	5.0%	29.1%	.0%	8.7%
	DK/NA	29	26	1	2	0	0	1
5.0%		5.9%	4.4%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	4	13	3	5	0	6
		52.5%	60.7%	5.3%	39.8%	.0%	49.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	7	31	7	1	5
		4.9%	32.2%	64.6%	51.8%	100.0%	39.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	7	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	14.6%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	2	1	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	5.2%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	2	5	0	0	1
		.0%	7.2%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	11.2%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	6	7	0
		44.1%	13.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	7	32	5
		48.9%	58.5%	71.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	9	2
		.0%	16.6%	23.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0	5	0
		.0%	9.6%	5.1%
	DK/NA	1	1	0
		7.1%	2.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	I				a		I
	Somewhat satisfied					a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,,b		,b
	Very dissatisfied		,b			a,,b		A C
	DK/NA				,b	a,,b		,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	C I N			a,,b	I	I	
	Somewhat satisfied	,b			a,,b		,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	,b			a,,b	,b	,b	
	Very dissatisfied			,b	a,,b			
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	,b
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	166	11	23	13	13	7
		28.6%	17.9%	44.7%	43.3%	35.7%	21.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	45	24	11	15	12
		47.9%	74.2%	47.3%	38.5%	40.1%	36.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	2	2	3	6	9
		12.2%	2.8%	3.8%	10.9%	16.7%	26.7%
	Very dissatisfied	37	2	2	1	1	3
		6.3%	2.9%	4.2%	3.2%	3.2%	10.1%
	DK/NA	29	1	0	1	2	2
		5.0%	2.1%	.0%	4.2%	4.3%	5.2%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	19	16	13	25	26
		45.9%	41.7%	39.9%	19.1%	20.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	16	12	17	64	63
		39.1%	31.2%	49.4%	48.1%	50.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	8	2	17	17
		12.5%	21.1%	6.8%	12.5%	13.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	16	10
		.0%	.0%	3.3%	12.2%	8.2%
	DK/NA	1	2	0	11	9
		2.5%	6.0%	.7%	8.1%	7.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	D E F G I	I			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					A
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	I				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	a	a			
	DK/NA	.	.			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	166	42	76	49
		28.6%	24.9%	49.9%	18.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	95	60	123
		47.9%	56.9%	39.4%	47.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	15	5	51
		12.2%	9.2%	3.3%	19.4%
	Very dissatisfied	37	9	6	21
		6.3%	5.5%	4.3%	8.0%
	DK/NA	29	6	5	18
		5.0%	3.6%	3.2%	6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied	B	A C	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A B
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	126	39	25	20	43
		27.3%	30.6%	27.6%	25.4%	25.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	224	57	45	35	87
		48.4%	45.3%	49.5%	44.9%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	57	19	8	8	22
		12.4%	15.4%	8.5%	10.1%	13.2%
	Very dissatisfied	36	8	8	12	9
		7.8%	6.4%	8.5%	14.8%	5.3%
	DK/NA	19	3	5	4	7
		4.2%	2.3%	5.9%	4.8%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	166	47	44	11	10	26
		28.7%	37.9%	36.6%	28.3%	23.7%	20.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	277	54	40	18	23	71
		47.8%	43.8%	33.2%	44.9%	55.9%	55.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	13	17	5	2	15
		12.3%	10.6%	14.4%	13.7%	5.9%	11.6%
	Very dissatisfied	36	6	9	5	4	7
		6.2%	4.6%	7.5%	12.4%	9.8%	5.4%
	DK/NA	29	4	10	0	2	9
		5.0%	3.1%	8.3%	.7%	4.8%	6.9%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	22	4	3
		19.9%	51.7%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	67	1	3
		59.3%	19.6%	39.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	1	2
		13.3%	11.6%	26.7%
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0
		4.8%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	1	0
		2.7%	17.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied					B	B
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
5. Overall, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the job the City of Cupertino is doing to provide City services?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
6A. Police services	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	250	250
		43.0%	43.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	212
		36.5%	36.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	52
		8.9%	8.9%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	28
		4.8%	4.8%
	DK/NA	40	40
		6.9%	6.9%
	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	189	189
		32.5%	32.5%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	180
		31.0%	31.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	25
		4.3%	4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	3	3
		.4%	.4%
	DK/NA	185	185
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	31.7%
	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	316	316
		54.4%	54.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	212
		36.5%	36.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	26
6E. Library services		4.4%	4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	19	19
		3.3%	3.3%
	DK/NA	8	8
		1.4%	1.4%
	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	257	257
6F. Street pavement maintenance		44.2%	44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	242
		41.7%	41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	31
		5.3%	5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	15	15
		2.5%	2.5%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	37	37
		6.3%	6.3%
	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	339	339

		Total	
		Total	Total
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	58.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	174
		30.0%	30.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	6
		1.0%	1.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11
		1.8%	1.8%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	53	53
		9.1%	9.1%
	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	141	141
		24.2%	24.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	279
		47.9%	47.9%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	98
		16.8%	16.8%
	Very dissatisfied	55	55
		9.4%	9.4%
	DK/NA	10	10
		1.7%	1.7%
	Total	582	582
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	209	209
		35.9%	35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	269
		46.2%	46.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	45
		7.8%	7.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23	23
6I. Economic development efforts		4.0%	4.0%
	DK/NA	35	35
		6.1%	6.1%
	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	183	183
		31.4%	31.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	265
6J. Economic development efforts		45.6%	45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	78
		13.3%	13.3%
	Very dissatisfied	28	28
		4.9%	4.9%
	DK/NA	28	28
		4.8%	4.8%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	86	86

		Total	
		Total	Total
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	14.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	232
		39.9%	39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	82
		14.1%	14.1%
	Very dissatisfied	75	75
		12.9%	12.9%
	DK/NA	106	106
		18.3%	18.3%
	Total	582	582
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169	169
		29.1%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	252
		43.3%	43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	95
		16.4%	16.4%
	Very dissatisfied	41	41
		7.1%	7.1%
	DK/NA	24	24
		4.1%	4.1%
	Total	582	582
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171	171
		29.3%	29.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	267
		45.8%	45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	70
		12.0%	12.0%
	Very dissatisfied	15	15
		2.6%	2.6%
	DK/NA	60	60
		10.3%	10.3%
	Total	582	582
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142	142
		24.4%	24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	217
		37.3%	37.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	31
		5.3%	5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11
		1.9%	1.9%
	DK/NA	181	181
		31.1%	31.1%
	Total	582	582
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	82

		Total	
		Total	Total
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	127
		21.8%	21.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	18
		3.1%	3.1%
	Very dissatisfied	13	13
		2.2%	2.2%
	DK/NA	342	342
		58.7%	58.7%
	Total	582	582
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	116
		19.9%	19.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	187
		32.1%	32.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	71
		12.1%	12.1%
	Very dissatisfied	24	24
		4.1%	4.1%
	DK/NA	185	185
		31.8%	31.8%
	Total	582	582
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	47
		8.0%	8.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	181
		31.0%	31.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	132
		22.7%	22.7%
	Very dissatisfied	95	95
		16.3%	16.3%
	DK/NA	128	128
		22.0%	22.0%
	Total	582	582
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	133
		22.9%	22.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	211
		36.2%	36.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	71
		12.1%	12.1%
	Very dissatisfied	25	25
		4.4%	4.4%
	DK/NA	142	142
		24.4%	24.4%
	Total	582	582
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	126

		Total	
		Total	Total
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	21.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	187 32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	33 5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	19 3.3%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	217 37.3%
	Total	582	582
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	135 23.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	137 23.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	19 3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	11 1.9%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	280 48.0%
	Total	582	582

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6A. Police services	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	250	113	136	1
		43.0%	40.2%	45.8%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	112	100	0
		36.5%	39.9%	33.6%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	25	26	1
		8.9%	8.9%	8.6%	34.4%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	13	14	1
		4.8%	4.6%	4.7%	31.9%
	DK/NA	40	18	22	0
		6.9%	6.4%	7.4%	.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	189	74	114	1
		32.5%	26.4%	38.2%	34.4%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	79	101	0
		31.0%	28.2%	33.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	11	14	0
		4.3%	4.0%	4.7%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	2	1	0
		.4%	.7%	.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	115	68	2
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	40.7%	22.9%	65.6%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	316	148	167	1
		54.4%	52.7%	56.2%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	106	105	1
		36.5%	37.6%	35.4%	33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	12	13	0
6E. Library services		4.4%	4.4%	4.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	8	10	1
		3.3%	3.0%	3.3%	31.9%
	DK/NA	8	7	2	0
		1.4%	2.3%	.6%	.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	257	117	139	1
6F. Other services		44.2%	41.6%	46.8%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	115	127	1
		41.7%	40.7%	42.6%	31.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	20	10	1
		5.3%	7.0%	3.4%	33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	10	5	0
		2.5%	3.5%	1.6%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	20	17	0
		6.3%	7.1%	5.7%	.0%
6H. Other services	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	339	164	172	2

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	58.3%	57.9%	68.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	83 29.5%	91 30.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	2 .8%	3 1.1%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	5 1.7%	6 2.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	27 9.7%	25 8.3%	1 31.9%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	71 25.3%	70 23.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	142 50.4%	134 45.0%	3 100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	37 13.0%	61 20.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	25 9.0%	29 9.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	7 2.4%	3 1.1%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	98 34.8%	111 37.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	137 48.6%	131 44.1%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	24 8.6%	19 6.3%	2 66.3%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	8 2.7%	16 5.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	15 5.2%	21 6.9%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	89 31.7%	94 31.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	123 43.8%	141 47.3%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	41 14.6%	34 11.5%	2 66.3%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	17 5.9%	12 3.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	11 3.9%	17 5.8%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	44	42	0

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	15.7%	14.2%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	108 38.3%	124 41.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	28 9.9%	54 18.2%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	40 14.2%	32 10.7%	3 100.0%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	62 21.9%	45 15.0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	90 31.8%	80 26.8%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	117 41.6%	134 45.0%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	45 16.1%	49 16.6%	1 31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	21 7.3%	21 7.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	9 3.2%	14 4.7%	1 33.7%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	83 29.6%	86 29.1%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	128 45.3%	138 46.4%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	31 10.9%	39 13.1%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	7 2.4%	8 2.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	33 11.8%	26 8.6%	1 31.9%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	75 26.5%	68 22.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	97 34.5%	119 40.0%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	22 7.9%	9 3.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	6 2.0%	5 1.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	82 29.1%	97 32.6%	2 65.6%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	26	56	0

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	9.3%	18.9%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	65 23.2%	61 20.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	7 2.7%	11 3.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	5 1.7%	8 2.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	178 63.2%	161 54.1%	3 100.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	56 20.1%	59 20.0%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	92 32.7%	94 31.5%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	41 14.4%	29 9.7%	1 31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	9 3.2%	15 4.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	83 29.6%	101 33.9%	1 33.7%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	20 7.0%	27 9.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	95 33.8%	86 28.7%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	54 19.1%	79 26.4%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	52 18.5%	39 13.3%	3 100.0%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	61 21.6%	67 22.5%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	68 24.2%	64 21.5%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	97 34.6%	113 38.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	38 13.6%	31 10.5%	1 33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	13 4.6%	11 3.9%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	65 23.1%	77 26.0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	59	66	1

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	21.1%	22.1%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	88 31.3%	97 32.7%	1 33.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	22 7.7%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	8 2.9%	11 3.7%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	104 37.0%	112 37.8%	1 31.9%
	Total	582	281	298	3
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	58 20.6%	76 25.5%	1 31.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	70 25.1%	66 22.2%	1 34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	13 4.7%	6 2.0%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	5 1.9%	6 1.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	135 47.8%	144 48.4%	1 33.7%
	Total	582	281	298	3

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	250	32	58	53	52	48	7
		43.0%	41.6%	56.8%	37.6%	34.4%	47.4%	81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	29	26	53	60	42	2
		36.5%	37.7%	25.9%	37.8%	39.7%	40.8%	18.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	16	8	13	14	1	0
		8.9%	20.7%	7.4%	9.2%	9.1%	1.3%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	0	0	10	14	4	0
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	9.4%	3.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	40	0	10	12	11	7	0
		6.9%	.0%	9.8%	8.6%	7.4%	6.5%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	189	25	31	52	49	29	3
		32.5%	32.0%	31.0%	36.8%	32.4%	28.6%	32.1%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	21	25	44	47	41	2
		31.0%	26.9%	24.9%	31.5%	31.0%	40.0%	23.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	3	4	8	10	0	0
		4.3%	3.7%	3.9%	5.7%	6.7%	.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	1	0	1	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.1%	1.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	29	41	36	45	30	4
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	37.4%	40.1%	25.2%	29.7%	29.9%	44.3%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	316	39	55	73	81	59	9
		54.4%	50.5%	54.3%	52.1%	53.4%	58.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	33	34	48	60	37	0
		36.5%	42.7%	33.5%	34.4%	39.3%	36.4%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	2	2	11	7	3	0
6E. Library services		4.4%	3.1%	1.9%	8.2%	4.8%	2.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	3	6	8	2	1	0
		3.3%	3.7%	5.6%	5.4%	1.4%	.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	0	5	0	2	2	0
		1.4%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	1.1%	2.0%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	257	29	46	65	63	49	5
6F. Street pavement maintenance		44.2%	38.0%	45.4%	46.3%	41.2%	48.2%	56.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	45	37	52	64	39	4
		41.7%	59.0%	36.8%	37.1%	42.2%	38.8%	43.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	2	5	7	9	7	0
		5.3%	3.1%	4.7%	5.2%	6.1%	7.1%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	3	4	6	2	0
		2.5%	.0%	3.2%	2.8%	3.9%	1.5%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	37	0	10	12	10	5	0
		6.3%	.0%	10.0%	8.6%	6.7%	4.5%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	339	53	57	89	79	58	3
		58.2%	68.5%	55.9%	63.4%	52.0%	56.8%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	19	31	39	49	32	4
		30.0%	24.9%	30.7%	27.7%	32.3%	31.5%	43.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	0	1	4	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	2.5%	.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	7	2	2	0
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	1.2%	1.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	53	5	14	4	18	10	2
		9.1%	6.7%	13.4%	2.9%	11.9%	9.7%	24.9%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	141	17	28	41	27	28	1
		24.2%	21.7%	27.3%	28.9%	17.8%	27.1%	12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	44	37	63	79	52	4
		47.9%	57.6%	36.3%	44.4%	51.9%	51.2%	43.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	11	21	20	28	16	3
		16.8%	14.0%	20.3%	14.4%	18.3%	15.4%	31.8%
	Very dissatisfied	55	5	13	15	13	6	1
6J. Library services		9.4%	6.7%	13.3%	10.9%	8.8%	6.1%	11.8%
	DK/NA	10	0	3	2	5	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	2.7%	1.4%	3.3%	.2%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	209	25	36	57	47	37	7
		35.9%	33.0%	35.7%	40.1%	31.1%	36.0%	81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	45	38	58	80	48	1
6K. Street tree maintenance		46.2%	58.9%	37.7%	41.0%	52.3%	46.7%	6.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	11	12	13	4	1
		7.8%	5.1%	10.5%	8.5%	8.9%	4.1%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23	2	3	9	3	5	0
		4.0%	3.1%	3.3%	6.4%	2.2%	5.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	0	13	6	9	8	0
		6.1%	.0%	12.8%	4.0%	5.6%	8.1%	.0%
6L. Library services	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	183	27	37	50	33	32	3
		31.4%	35.2%	36.2%	35.6%	21.8%	31.9%	37.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	40	36	60	81	46	2
		45.6%	51.5%	35.9%	42.3%	53.2%	45.5%	26.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	7	16	18	19	16	2
		13.3%	9.7%	15.5%	12.7%	12.4%	15.2%	23.6%
6M. Library services	Very dissatisfied	28	0	7	7	11	2	1
		4.9%	.0%	6.8%	5.0%	7.2%	2.2%	12.5%
	DK/NA	28	3	6	6	8	5	0
		4.8%	3.6%	5.6%	4.4%	5.4%	5.2%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	86	18	13	20	15	20	0
		14.8%	23.4%	12.9%	14.2%	9.9%	19.6%	0.0%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	58.2%	68.5%	55.9%	63.4%	52.0%	56.8%	32.1%
		174	19	31	39	49	32	4
		30.0%	24.9%	30.7%	27.7%	32.3%	31.5%	43.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	6	0	0	1	4	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	2.5%	.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	0	0	7	2	2	0
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	1.2%	1.8%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	53	5	14	4	18	10	2
		9.1%	6.7%	13.4%	2.9%	11.9%	9.7%	24.9%
	DK/NA	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Total	141	17	28	41	27	28	1
	Very satisfied	24.2%	21.7%	27.3%	28.9%	17.8%	27.1%	12.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	44	37	63	79	52	4
		47.9%	57.6%	36.3%	44.4%	51.9%	51.2%	43.9%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	11	21	20	28	16	3
		16.8%	14.0%	20.3%	14.4%	18.3%	15.4%	31.8%
	Very dissatisfied	55	5	13	15	13	6	1
		9.4%	6.7%	13.3%	10.9%	8.8%	6.1%	11.8%
	DK/NA	10	0	3	2	5	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	2.7%	1.4%	3.3%	.2%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	209	25	36	57	47	37	7
		35.9%	33.0%	35.7%	40.1%	31.1%	36.0%	81.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	45	38	58	80	48	1
		46.2%	58.9%	37.7%	41.0%	52.3%	46.7%	6.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	11	12	13	4	1
		7.8%	5.1%	10.5%	8.5%	8.9%	4.1%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23	2	3	9	3	5	0
6E. Library services		4.0%	3.1%	3.3%	6.4%	2.2%	5.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	0	13	6	9	8	0
		6.1%	.0%	12.8%	4.0%	5.6%	8.1%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	183	27	37	50	33	32	3
		31.4%	35.2%	36.2%	35.6%	21.8%	31.9%	37.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	40	36	60	81	46	2
6F. Street pavement maintenance		45.6%	51.5%	35.9%	42.3%	53.2%	45.5%	26.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	7	16	18	19	16	2
		13.3%	9.7%	15.5%	12.7%	12.4%	15.2%	23.6%
	Very dissatisfied	28	0	7	7	11	2	1
		4.9%	.0%	6.8%	5.0%	7.2%	2.2%	12.5%
	DK/NA	28	3	6	6	8	5	0
		4.8%	3.6%	5.6%	4.4%	5.4%	5.2%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	86	18	13	20	15	20	0
		14.8%	23.4%	12.9%	14.2%	9.9%	19.6%	0.0%

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	23.9%	12.4%	14.4%	9.8%	19.9%	.0%
		232	29	47	52	54	47	3
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	37.9%	46.8%	36.6%	35.3%	46.6%	31.5%
		82	8	13	20	28	12	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	9.8%	12.4%	14.3%	18.4%	12.0%	19.3%
		75	12	5	20	29	7	2
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	15.3%	4.7%	14.2%	19.0%	7.2%	24.3%
		106	10	24	29	27	15	2
	DK/NA	18.3%	13.2%	23.8%	20.5%	17.4%	14.3%	24.9%
		582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	169	24	31	46	34	32	2
		29.1%	31.3%	30.5%	33.0%	22.4%	31.4%	19.6%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	36	37	62	70	46	2
		43.3%	46.7%	36.2%	43.7%	45.9%	44.8%	24.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	12	20	21	29	10	4
		16.4%	15.3%	20.0%	14.7%	18.8%	10.1%	43.0%
	Very dissatisfied	41	2	8	10	12	8	1
		7.1%	3.1%	7.7%	7.1%	8.1%	7.7%	12.5%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	3	6	2	7	6	0
		4.1%	3.6%	5.6%	1.5%	4.8%	6.0%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	171	25	39	41	34	30	3
		29.3%	32.4%	38.1%	28.8%	22.3%	29.3%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	35	34	57	81	58	3
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	45.3%	33.1%	40.6%	53.0%	56.5%	31.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	10	21	17	17	5	0
		12.0%	13.0%	20.8%	12.4%	11.0%	4.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	2	5	5	1	1
		2.6%	.0%	2.4%	3.8%	3.1%	1.4%	12.5%
	DK/NA	60	7	6	20	16	9	2
6N. Neighborhood programs		10.3%	9.2%	5.6%	14.4%	10.6%	8.4%	24.3%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	142	18	31	42	29	20	2
		24.4%	23.7%	31.0%	29.8%	18.7%	20.0%	19.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	31	32	55	58	40	1
		37.3%	39.9%	31.9%	39.2%	38.3%	39.2%	6.8%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	6	2	7	11	4	1
		5.3%	7.2%	1.9%	4.9%	7.4%	4.0%	12.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	2	5	2	1	0
		1.9%	.0%	2.4%	3.7%	1.6%	.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	181	22	33	32	52	37	5
		31.1%	29.2%	32.7%	22.4%	34.0%	36.0%	61.7%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	82	11	7	12	21	29	3

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.3%	6.7%	8.3%	13.6%	28.8%	32.1%
		127	8	22	21	34	39	3
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	10.0%	21.5%	14.6%	22.4%	38.5%	36.1%
		18	5	0	4	6	4	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	6.7%	.0%	2.6%	3.8%	3.4%	.0%
		13	0	0	7	2	3	1
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.5%	3.0%	12.5%
		342	53	73	98	89	27	2
	DK/NA	58.7%	69.0%	71.7%	69.8%	58.6%	26.4%	19.3%
		582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	116	13	27	31	21	24	0
		19.9%	17.0%	26.4%	22.3%	13.7%	23.4%	.0%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	19	19	55	52	40	2
		32.1%	24.3%	19.2%	39.0%	33.8%	39.2%	26.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	10	17	12	25	6	0
		12.1%	13.3%	17.2%	8.4%	16.6%	5.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	24	3	0	9	10	1	1
		4.1%	3.7%	.0%	6.7%	6.3%	.8%	12.5%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	32	38	33	45	32	5
		31.8%	41.6%	37.1%	23.6%	29.7%	31.0%	61.1%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	47	9	10	6	8	14	0
		8.0%	11.4%	10.0%	4.1%	5.5%	13.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	28	26	44	46	34	3
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	36.4%	25.5%	31.0%	30.5%	33.2%	31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	10	30	33	37	20	2
		22.7%	12.7%	29.9%	23.5%	24.2%	19.7%	24.3%
	Very dissatisfied	95	12	7	23	35	15	3
		16.3%	15.3%	7.1%	16.6%	22.7%	14.7%	31.8%
	DK/NA	128	19	28	35	26	19	1
6R. Youth sports fields		22.0%	24.2%	27.5%	24.8%	17.2%	18.9%	12.5%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	133	23	18	30	31	28	3
		22.9%	30.3%	18.2%	21.2%	20.3%	27.3%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	34	36	47	52	43	0
		36.2%	43.8%	35.1%	33.3%	34.2%	41.8%	.0%
6S. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	5	19	17	23	7	0
		12.1%	6.7%	18.8%	11.8%	15.0%	6.7%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	25	3	0	4	13	4	1
		4.4%	3.7%	.0%	2.7%	8.7%	4.3%	11.8%
	DK/NA	142	12	28	43	33	20	5
		24.4%	15.6%	27.9%	30.9%	21.9%	19.9%	56.1%
6T. Youth sports fields	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	126	17	24	31	31	23	2

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	21.7%	23.4%	21.7%	20.3%	22.2%	19.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	21	37	48	48	32	1
		32.0%	27.5%	36.3%	33.8%	31.5%	31.3%	11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	8	0	6	15	4	0
		5.6%	10.3%	.0%	4.4%	9.8%	3.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	3	0	6	7	3	0
		3.3%	3.7%	.0%	4.5%	4.8%	2.5%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	217	28	41	50	51	41	6
		37.3%	36.8%	40.2%	35.6%	33.6%	40.2%	68.5%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	135	21	27	27	32	25	3
		23.1%	27.1%	26.4%	19.1%	20.9%	25.0%	31.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	8	14	43	36	35	2
		23.6%	10.2%	14.1%	30.3%	23.4%	34.2%	24.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	2	3	4	6	3	0
		3.3%	3.1%	3.2%	3.1%	3.9%	3.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	0	7	1	0	0
		1.9%	3.7%	.0%	4.9%	.9%	.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	280	43	57	60	78	38	4
		48.0%	56.0%	56.3%	42.6%	51.0%	37.5%	43.6%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6A. Police services	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	250	167	83
		43.0%	39.3%	52.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	156	56
		36.5%	36.7%	35.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	46	6
		8.9%	10.9%	3.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	23	4
		4.8%	5.5%	2.8%
	DK/NA	40	32	8
		6.9%	7.6%	5.0%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	189	132	57
		32.5%	31.1%	36.4%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	142	38
		31.0%	33.5%	24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	18	7
		4.3%	4.3%	4.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3	2	1
		.4%	.4%	.5%
	DK/NA	185	130	54
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	30.7%	34.3%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	316	225	91
		54.4%	53.1%	57.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	161	51
		36.5%	38.0%	32.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	23	3
6E. Library services		4.4%	5.3%	2.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	13	6
		3.3%	3.1%	3.9%
	DK/NA	8	2	6
		1.4%	.6%	3.8%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	257	180	78
6F. Other services		44.2%	42.3%	49.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	187	55
		41.7%	44.1%	35.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	25	6
		5.3%	5.8%	3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15	14	1
		2.5%	3.3%	.4%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	19	18
		6.3%	4.5%	11.2%
6H. Other services	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	339	255	83

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	60.1%	52.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	128	46
		30.0%	30.3%	29.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	4	2
		1.0%	.9%	1.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	7	3
		1.8%	1.8%	2.0%
	DK/NA	53	29	24
		9.1%	6.9%	15.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	141	93	48
		24.2%	21.9%	30.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	210	69
		47.9%	49.4%	43.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	77	20
		16.8%	18.3%	13.0%
	Very dissatisfied	55	39	16
		9.4%	9.2%	9.9%
	DK/NA	10	5	5
		1.7%	1.2%	3.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	209	154	56
		35.9%	36.2%	35.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	199	70
		46.2%	46.9%	44.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	34	11
		7.8%	8.0%	7.2%
	Very dissatisfied	23	21	2
		4.0%	4.9%	1.6%
	DK/NA	35	17	18
		6.1%	4.0%	11.6%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	183	120	62
		31.4%	28.4%	39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	194	72
		45.6%	45.6%	45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	70	8
		13.3%	16.4%	5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	28	22	7
		4.9%	5.1%	4.2%
	DK/NA	28	19	9
		4.8%	4.5%	5.6%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	86	54	33

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	12.7%	20.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	174	59
		39.9%	40.9%	37.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	65	17
		14.1%	15.3%	11.1%
	Very dissatisfied	75	59	16
		12.9%	14.0%	9.9%
	DK/NA	106	73	33
		18.3%	17.2%	21.1%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	169	110	59
		29.1%	26.0%	37.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	190	62
		43.3%	44.8%	39.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	78	17
		16.4%	18.5%	10.8%
	Very dissatisfied	41	31	10
		7.1%	7.3%	6.5%
	DK/NA	24	14	10
		4.1%	3.4%	6.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	171	117	54
		29.3%	27.5%	34.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	207	60
		45.8%	48.7%	38.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	48	22
		12.0%	11.4%	13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	13	2
		2.6%	3.1%	1.3%
	DK/NA	60	40	20
		10.3%	9.4%	12.7%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	142	85	57
		24.4%	20.1%	35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	174	44
		37.3%	40.9%	27.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	27	4
		5.3%	6.4%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	11	10	1
		1.9%	2.3%	.7%
	DK/NA	181	129	52
		31.1%	30.3%	33.3%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	82	66	17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	15.5%	10.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	90 21.2%	36 23.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	16 3.8%	2 1.3%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	8 2.0%	5 2.9%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	244 57.5%	98 62.1%
	Total	582	424	158
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	68 15.9%	48 30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	149 35.0%	38 24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	51 12.0%	19 12.4%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	21 4.9%	3 1.9%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	136 32.2%	49 30.8%
	Total	582	424	158
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	30 7.2%	16 10.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	131 31.0%	49 31.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	107 25.2%	25 16.0%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	75 17.8%	19 12.2%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	80 18.9%	48 30.3%
	Total	582	424	158
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	101 23.7%	32 20.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	149 35.2%	61 39.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	54 12.7%	17 10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	21 5.0%	4 2.6%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	99 23.4%	43 27.3%
	Total	582	424	158
6Q. Sports Center programs		126	81	45

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.1%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	137 32.2%	50 31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	29 6.8%	4 2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	11 2.6%	8 5.2%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	167 39.3%	51 32.2%
	Total	582	424	158
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	86 20.4%	48 30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	109 25.8%	28 17.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	15 3.6%	4 2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	8 1.9%	3 1.9%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	205 48.3%	74 47.2%
	Total	582	424	158

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6A. Police services	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	250	114	39	7	91
		43.0%	46.7%	48.1%	44.7%	37.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	83	31	5	93
		36.5%	33.9%	38.4%	33.2%	38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	18	2	1	30
		8.9%	7.4%	2.4%	8.5%	12.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	13	4	0	10
		4.8%	5.4%	5.6%	1.0%	4.1%
	DK/NA	40	16	5	2	18
		6.9%	6.6%	5.6%	12.5%	7.2%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	189	92	17	6	75
		32.5%	37.7%	20.8%	36.7%	30.9%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	59	22	4	96
		31.0%	24.0%	27.3%	23.9%	39.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	8	4	0	13
		4.3%	3.2%	5.2%	.0%	5.5%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	1	0	1
		.4%	.5%	.8%	.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	185	84	37	6	57
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	34.5%	45.9%	39.3%	23.6%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	316	135	51	10	120
		54.4%	55.6%	63.1%	64.0%	49.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	82	24	5	101
		36.5%	33.7%	30.3%	29.6%	41.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	9	3	1	12
6E. Library services		4.4%	3.8%	4.2%	6.4%	5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	12	1	0	6
		3.3%	5.0%	1.0%	.0%	2.6%
	DK/NA	8	5	1	0	3
		1.4%	1.9%	1.4%	.0%	1.1%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	257	110	35	11	101
6F. Street pavement maintenance		44.2%	45.2%	43.2%	67.9%	42.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	99	33	5	106
		41.7%	40.7%	40.8%	30.1%	43.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	12	2	0	16
		5.3%	5.0%	2.8%	2.0%	6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15	10	2	0	2
		2.5%	4.1%	2.8%	.0%	1.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	37	12	8	0	16
		6.3%	5.0%	10.3%	.0%	6.7%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	339	149	41	8	141
		58.2%	61.0%	50.8%	48.2%	58.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	70	24	5	75
		30.0%	28.6%	30.0%	33.2%	31.2%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	3	1	1	1
		1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	7.6%	.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	5	5	0	1
		1.8%	2.0%	5.8%	.0%	.5%
	DK/NA	53	18	10	2	23
		9.1%	7.4%	12.2%	11.0%	9.6%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	141	65	19	4	53
		24.2%	26.9%	23.4%	23.9%	21.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	98	35	9	136
		47.9%	40.2%	43.8%	57.4%	56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	42	19	1	36
		16.8%	17.2%	23.6%	6.4%	14.9%
	Very dissatisfied	55	30	6	2	17
6J. Street maintenance		9.4%	12.1%	7.9%	12.3%	6.9%
	DK/NA	10	9	1	0	0
		1.7%	3.6%	1.3%	.0%	.1%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	209	99	32	9	70
		35.9%	40.6%	39.6%	54.9%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	101	29	6	133
6K. Street cleaning		46.2%	41.5%	35.4%	39.9%	55.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	13	10	1	22
		7.8%	5.1%	12.0%	5.1%	9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	23	11	5	0	7
		4.0%	4.6%	6.7%	.0%	2.7%
	DK/NA	35	20	5	0	11
		6.1%	8.1%	6.4%	.0%	4.3%
6L. Street lighting	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	183	91	24	5	62
		31.4%	37.5%	30.2%	31.7%	25.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	98	36	7	125
		45.6%	40.2%	44.5%	42.4%	51.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	29	13	3	33
		13.3%	11.8%	15.6%	20.7%	13.7%
6M. Street safety	Very dissatisfied	28	13	2	1	13
		4.9%	5.3%	1.9%	5.1%	5.4%
	DK/NA	28	13	6	0	9
		4.8%	5.3%	7.8%	.0%	3.7%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	86	48	15	1	23
		14.8%	19.7%	18.5%	6.3%	9.5%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	61.0%	50.8%	48.2%	58.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	70	24	5	75
		30.0%	28.6%	30.0%	33.2%	31.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	3	1	1	1
		1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	7.6%	.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	5	5	0	1
		1.8%	2.0%	5.8%	.0%	.5%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	53	18	10	2	23
		9.1%	7.4%	12.2%	11.0%	9.6%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	141	65	19	4	53
		24.2%	26.9%	23.4%	23.9%	21.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	98	35	9	136
		47.9%	40.2%	43.8%	57.4%	56.3%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	42	19	1	36
		16.8%	17.2%	23.6%	6.4%	14.9%
	Very dissatisfied	55	30	6	2	17
		9.4%	12.1%	7.9%	12.3%	6.9%
	DK/NA	10	9	1	0	0
		1.7%	3.6%	1.3%	.0%	.1%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	209	99	32	9	70
		35.9%	40.6%	39.6%	54.9%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	101	29	6	133
		46.2%	41.5%	35.4%	39.9%	55.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	13	10	1	22
		7.8%	5.1%	12.0%	5.1%	9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	23	11	5	0	7
6I. Economic development efforts		4.0%	4.6%	6.7%	.0%	2.7%
	DK/NA	35	20	5	0	11
		6.1%	8.1%	6.4%	.0%	4.3%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	183	91	24	5	62
		31.4%	37.5%	30.2%	31.7%	25.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	98	36	7	125
6J. Street cleaning		45.6%	40.2%	44.5%	42.4%	51.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	29	13	3	33
		13.3%	11.8%	15.6%	20.7%	13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	28	13	2	1	13
		4.9%	5.3%	1.9%	5.1%	5.4%
	DK/NA	28	13	6	0	9
		4.8%	5.3%	7.8%	.0%	3.7%
6K. Street safety	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	86	48	15	1	23

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	19.6%	18.0%	4.9%	9.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	68	35	9	120
		39.9%	28.0%	43.2%	58.2%	49.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	39	8	2	33
		14.1%	16.2%	10.5%	11.8%	13.4%
	Very dissatisfied	75	37	14	0	24
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	15.3%	16.9%	2.3%	9.7%
	DK/NA	106	51	9	4	42
		18.3%	21.0%	11.4%	22.7%	17.5%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	169	88	18	7	56
		29.1%	36.1%	22.8%	47.2%	23.0%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	85	34	6	127
		43.3%	34.8%	42.5%	34.8%	52.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	40	17	2	37
		16.4%	16.4%	20.6%	10.6%	15.4%
	Very dissatisfied	41	23	7	1	11
		7.1%	9.3%	8.3%	7.3%	4.6%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	8	5	0	11
		4.1%	3.4%	5.9%	.0%	4.5%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	171	88	18	4	61
		29.3%	36.0%	22.4%	26.3%	25.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	87	47	8	125
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	35.7%	58.2%	50.5%	51.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	32	6	0	32
		12.0%	12.9%	8.0%	1.0%	13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	15	9	2	0	3
		2.6%	3.8%	2.7%	1.0%	1.4%
	DK/NA	60	28	7	3	21
6N. Neighborhood programs		10.3%	11.6%	8.7%	21.2%	8.7%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	142	65	18	2	57
		24.4%	26.7%	22.4%	14.2%	23.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	76	24	8	109
		37.3%	31.3%	30.0%	48.4%	45.1%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	11	7	2	12
		5.3%	4.4%	8.2%	12.4%	4.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	5	4	0	2
		1.9%	2.0%	5.5%	.0%	.7%
	DK/NA	181	87	27	4	63
		31.1%	35.6%	33.9%	24.9%	26.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	82	41	17	5	19

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	16.9%	21.5%	31.7%	7.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	42	16	4	65
		21.8%	17.3%	20.2%	22.3%	26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	4	4	2	9
		3.1%	1.6%	4.6%	11.5%	3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	13	5	6	0	2
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	2.0%	7.2%	.0%	1.0%
	DK/NA	342	151	38	5	147
		58.7%	62.1%	46.5%	34.6%	61.0%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	116	46	15	3	52
		19.9%	19.0%	18.2%	18.2%	21.6%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	75	28	5	79
		32.1%	30.8%	34.7%	31.7%	32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	30	6	0	34
		12.1%	12.2%	7.9%	.0%	14.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24	10	5	2	8
		4.1%	4.0%	5.7%	10.0%	3.2%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	83	27	6	69
		31.8%	34.0%	33.6%	40.0%	28.4%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	47	26	7	0	13
		8.0%	10.9%	9.1%	.0%	5.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	56	25	6	94
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	23.0%	30.4%	35.7%	39.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	59	16	5	52
		22.7%	24.4%	19.4%	33.2%	21.5%
	Very dissatisfied	95	46	18	1	30
		16.3%	19.0%	22.5%	3.3%	12.2%
	DK/NA	128	56	15	4	53
6R. Senior Center programs		22.0%	22.8%	18.6%	27.8%	21.9%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	133	63	19	3	48
		22.9%	25.6%	24.1%	17.4%	20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	84	25	6	96
		36.2%	34.3%	31.4%	39.7%	39.5%
6S. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	35	4	1	31
		12.1%	14.2%	5.1%	8.3%	12.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25	9	5	1	9
		4.4%	3.8%	6.7%	8.2%	3.9%
	DK/NA	142	54	26	4	58
		24.4%	22.1%	32.6%	26.4%	23.9%
6T. Senior Center programs	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	126	57	15	2	53

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	23.4%	18.1%	13.3%	21.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	65 26.8%	21 25.6%	8 48.6%	93 38.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	11 4.4%	3 3.2%	0 .0%	19 8.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	6 2.5%	5 6.3%	0 .0%	8 3.2%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	104 42.8%	38 46.9%	6 38.2%	69 28.6%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	76 31.3%	13 16.7%	6 35.8%	39 16.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	41 16.8%	15 18.0%	2 13.0%	80 33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	9 3.7%	5 6.5%	0 .0%	5 2.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	7 2.8%	0 .2%	0 .0%	4 1.7%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	111 45.4%	47 58.6%	8 51.2%	114 47.0%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6A. Police services	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	250	60	23	17	13	57	81
		43.0%	49.5%	34.0%	53.9%	44.5%	44.0%	39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	39	26	10	14	42	81
		36.5%	31.9%	39.7%	32.0%	49.9%	32.4%	39.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	7	6	2	0	16	22
		8.9%	5.4%	8.9%	5.9%	.0%	12.3%	10.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	8	5	1	1	6	7
		4.8%	6.5%	6.9%	3.8%	3.7%	4.9%	3.3%
	DK/NA	40	8	7	1	1	8	15
		6.9%	6.8%	10.6%	4.4%	2.0%	6.3%	7.2%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	189	45	20	8	4	48	64
		32.5%	36.8%	29.8%	24.0%	15.6%	37.6%	31.3%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	31	15	6	8	36	84
		31.0%	25.2%	22.9%	20.0%	27.7%	28.3%	40.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	7	0	1	1	8	8
		4.3%	5.6%	.0%	3.5%	3.7%	6.5%	3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	0	0	1	0	1
		.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.4%
	DK/NA	185	38	31	17	14	35	48
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	31.4%	47.4%	52.6%	50.8%	27.6%	23.5%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	316	71	36	21	16	66	108
		54.4%	58.6%	53.7%	64.9%	55.6%	51.0%	52.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	34	23	8	11	53	83
		36.5%	28.3%	35.0%	24.2%	38.0%	41.2%	40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	5	3	2	1	4	10
6E. Library services		4.4%	4.4%	4.9%	7.4%	3.7%	3.3%	4.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19	7	3	0	1	6	3
		3.3%	5.9%	4.9%	.0%	2.8%	4.3%	1.2%
	DK/NA	8	3	1	1	0	0	3
		1.4%	2.8%	1.5%	3.5%	.0%	.2%	1.3%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	257	56	28	13	13	60	86
6F. Other services		44.2%	46.5%	42.5%	40.2%	45.4%	47.1%	42.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	42	30	12	13	57	88
		41.7%	34.8%	45.7%	38.6%	44.8%	44.4%	42.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	9	2	1	0	7	13
		5.3%	7.4%	2.4%	2.3%	.0%	5.3%	6.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15	6	4	0	2	0	2
		2.5%	5.2%	5.5%	1.4%	6.4%	.0%	1.2%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	8	3	6	1	4	16
		6.3%	6.2%	3.8%	17.4%	3.4%	3.2%	7.8%
6H. Other services	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	339	70	42	13	17	81	115

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	57.9%	63.1%	40.6%	59.3%	63.4%	56.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	33	20	12	6	39	65
		30.0%	27.3%	30.1%	36.7%	20.3%	30.0%	31.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	2	0	0	1	0	2
		1.0%	2.0%	.4%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	2	2	2	1	1
6F. Street pavement maintenance		1.8%	2.2%	2.4%	5.3%	8.1%	1.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	53	13	3	6	2	7	22
		9.1%	10.6%	4.0%	17.5%	8.6%	5.6%	10.8%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	141	37	14	11	3	28	48
		24.2%	30.4%	20.4%	33.6%	9.7%	22.1%	23.6%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	279	44	24	12	15	72	112
		47.9%	36.1%	36.3%	37.2%	51.5%	56.1%	54.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	18	18	8	8	17	29
		16.8%	15.3%	26.5%	24.2%	26.5%	13.4%	14.3%
	Very dissatisfied	55	18	9	1	3	8	15
		9.4%	14.8%	13.9%	1.8%	12.3%	6.3%	7.4%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	10	4	2	1	0	3	0
		1.7%	3.4%	2.8%	3.2%	.0%	2.1%	.1%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	209	54	25	13	9	45	63
		35.9%	44.6%	37.1%	41.6%	32.4%	35.1%	30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	44	29	11	11	63	110
6I. Economic development efforts		46.2%	36.5%	43.9%	34.9%	39.8%	49.0%	53.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	6	3	4	11	16
		7.8%	3.5%	9.4%	9.4%	13.8%	8.9%	7.9%
	Very dissatisfied	23	5	2	2	1	6	7
		4.0%	4.4%	2.7%	6.0%	5.0%	4.8%	3.2%
	DK/NA	35	13	5	3	3	3	9
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		6.1%	11.0%	7.0%	8.2%	9.0%	2.2%	4.6%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	183	45	22	13	6	44	52
		31.4%	37.5%	32.5%	39.5%	22.1%	34.6%	25.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	49	28	13	15	57	105
		45.6%	40.1%	41.6%	40.2%	52.3%	44.1%	50.9%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	12	8	3	4	22	28
		13.3%	10.3%	12.2%	9.0%	15.6%	16.8%	13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	28	9	3	0	1	4	12
		4.9%	7.5%	4.3%	.0%	3.7%	2.9%	5.6%
	DK/NA	28	6	6	4	2	2	9
		4.8%	4.6%	9.5%	11.3%	6.4%	1.6%	4.3%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	86	34	4	7	4	15	22

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	27.8%	5.8%	21.5%	15.8%	12.1%	10.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	21	27	15	12	52	105
		39.9%	17.7%	41.0%	45.0%	42.0%	40.3%	51.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	22	9	2	4	21	25
		14.1%	17.8%	13.5%	7.3%	13.3%	16.3%	12.0%
	Very dissatisfied	75	20	13	5	5	15	16
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	16.7%	20.0%	14.3%	18.8%	11.7%	8.0%
	DK/NA	106	24	13	4	3	25	37
		18.3%	20.1%	19.8%	11.9%	10.1%	19.7%	18.0%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	169	47	17	9	4	40	53
		29.1%	39.1%	25.2%	27.7%	12.6%	31.0%	25.7%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	36	25	12	16	58	105
		43.3%	29.8%	37.6%	36.9%	56.7%	45.1%	51.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	18	19	7	4	15	32
		16.4%	14.7%	29.2%	20.8%	14.0%	11.9%	15.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41	14	4	1	4	10	8
		7.1%	11.8%	5.5%	4.2%	14.6%	7.4%	4.1%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	5	2	3	1	6	7
		4.1%	4.4%	2.5%	10.4%	2.1%	4.6%	3.5%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	171	46	19	10	3	43	50
		29.3%	37.8%	29.3%	31.6%	9.0%	33.3%	24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	38	30	16	20	55	107
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	31.2%	44.7%	50.0%	72.0%	43.0%	52.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	20	8	2	4	10	26
		12.0%	16.6%	12.5%	6.7%	13.2%	7.7%	12.5%
	Very dissatisfied	15	5	1	0	1	4	3
		2.6%	4.5%	1.4%	.0%	3.7%	3.2%	1.7%
	DK/NA	60	12	8	4	1	16	19
6N. Senior Center programs		10.3%	9.9%	12.1%	11.8%	2.1%	12.8%	9.2%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	142	38	12	10	5	31	47
		24.4%	31.2%	17.5%	31.6%	16.3%	24.1%	22.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	37	24	8	13	47	89
		37.3%	30.4%	35.8%	25.5%	44.5%	36.7%	43.2%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	5	4	3	3	5	11
		5.3%	3.8%	5.8%	9.3%	10.7%	4.0%	5.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	5	0	0	3	2	2
		1.9%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	9.7%	1.3%	.8%
	DK/NA	181	37	27	11	5	43	57
		31.1%	30.6%	41.0%	33.6%	18.8%	33.8%	27.7%
6P. Senior Center programs	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	82	22	11	6	5	19	18

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	18.4%	16.5%	19.7%	19.1%	14.9%	8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	19	10	4	10	26	58
		21.8%	16.0%	14.6%	12.5%	34.0%	20.0%	28.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	3	0	2	2	7	4
		3.1%	2.8%	.1%	4.9%	6.8%	5.3%	2.1%
	Very dissatisfied	13	5	0	3	2	3	1
		2.2%	4.0%	.0%	7.9%	6.0%	2.0%	.7%
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA	342	71	46	18	10	74	123
		58.7%	58.9%	68.8%	55.1%	34.1%	57.8%	60.0%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	116	28	4	7	5	24	48
		19.9%	22.7%	6.2%	22.7%	16.2%	18.7%	23.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	36	16	10	9	48	68
6O. Managing land use		32.1%	30.1%	24.0%	30.4%	31.1%	37.3%	33.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	16	10	1	2	16	25
		12.1%	13.6%	14.9%	3.7%	7.3%	12.5%	12.1%
	Very dissatisfied	24	4	4	2	1	6	6
		4.1%	3.7%	5.8%	7.7%	3.7%	4.4%	3.0%
	DK/NA	185	36	33	11	12	35	58
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		31.8%	29.9%	49.1%	35.4%	41.7%	27.0%	28.4%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	47	16	4	3	2	9	12
		8.0%	13.1%	6.8%	9.7%	6.0%	7.2%	6.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	25	9	9	10	41	87
		31.0%	20.7%	13.3%	27.0%	34.7%	32.3%	42.2%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	28	21	8	4	25	46
		22.7%	23.2%	31.4%	24.5%	14.5%	19.8%	22.3%
	Very dissatisfied	95	25	15	7	6	21	21
		16.3%	20.2%	22.5%	21.9%	19.7%	16.4%	10.4%
	DK/NA	128	28	17	5	7	31	39
		22.0%	22.8%	26.0%	16.8%	25.0%	24.3%	19.1%

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	28.7%	15.1%	27.2%	9.0%	23.4%	19.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	29	20	8	9	37	84
		32.0%	24.1%	29.6%	24.6%	33.4%	28.6%	40.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	8	2	0	1	8	13
		5.6%	6.6%	2.9%	1.2%	3.7%	6.2%	6.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19	5	2	2	1	3	7
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		3.3%	3.8%	2.4%	7.3%	2.8%	2.4%	3.3%
	DK/NA	217	45	33	13	15	50	62
		37.3%	36.9%	50.0%	39.7%	51.2%	39.3%	30.0%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very satisfied	135	44	12	6	5	33	35
		23.1%	36.1%	18.7%	17.2%	17.2%	26.0%	16.9%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	137	21	10	5	5	20	75
		23.6%	17.3%	15.8%	16.7%	19.3%	15.6%	36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	5	3	1	2	6	1
		3.3%	4.0%	4.9%	4.4%	6.7%	5.0%	.7%
	Very dissatisfied	11	5	0	0	0	5	1
		1.9%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.5%	3.5%	.7%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6A. Police services	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	250	125	31	26	14
		43.0%	47.5%	38.9%	31.3%	30.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	90	26	34	18
		36.5%	34.2%	32.7%	41.6%	38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	29	12	7	1
		8.9%	10.9%	15.1%	8.2%	1.8%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	5	1	12	6
		4.8%	1.7%	1.8%	14.6%	13.1%
	DK/NA	40	15	9	3	8
		6.9%	5.6%	11.5%	4.2%	16.6%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	189	92	26	26	14
		32.5%	34.9%	33.0%	31.9%	28.9%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	71	23	34	16
		31.0%	26.8%	29.7%	41.9%	33.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	12	5	3	2
		4.3%	4.7%	6.1%	4.1%	4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	0	1	0
		.4%	.2%	.3%	1.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	88	24	17	16
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	33.4%	31.0%	20.7%	33.1%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	316	143	45	38	25
		54.4%	54.4%	57.4%	47.0%	53.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	99	25	33	14
		36.5%	37.6%	32.3%	40.3%	28.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	9	4	6	4
6E. Library services		4.4%	3.4%	4.6%	7.3%	9.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	5	4	4	4
		3.3%	1.8%	5.7%	4.7%	9.4%
	DK/NA	8	7	0	1	0
		1.4%	2.8%	.0%	.7%	.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	257	112	37	34	16
6F. Other services		44.2%	42.5%	47.8%	41.8%	34.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	114	32	37	16
		41.7%	43.4%	40.3%	45.3%	34.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	17	1	4	5
		5.3%	6.6%	1.6%	4.5%	9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	15	1	2	2	7
		2.5%	.4%	2.1%	2.7%	15.9%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	19	7	5	2
		6.3%	7.1%	8.3%	5.7%	5.1%
6H. Other services	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	339	157	58	43	23

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6A. Police services	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	12	7	18	19
		44.4%	28.8%	47.0%	77.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	12	13	6
		50.5%	53.2%	34.7%	23.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1	1	0
		4.1%	5.2%	3.4%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	0	1	2	0
		1.0%	5.2%	6.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	2	3	0
		.0%	7.7%	8.5%	.0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	5	4	13	10
		20.2%	15.3%	33.7%	42.4%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	9	11	12	5
		32.5%	48.4%	31.5%	19.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1	0	0
		4.1%	6.1%	.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	DK/NA	11	7	13	9
6D. Recycling program		43.1%	30.2%	34.3%	35.4%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	11	12	22	21
		40.9%	50.3%	57.1%	86.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	11	14	3
		53.3%	46.5%	37.1%	11.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1	1	1
6E. Library services		2.1%	3.1%	2.7%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	1	0
		3.6%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	9	10	23	16
6F. Other services		33.6%	44.6%	60.0%	64.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	11	11	8
		52.2%	46.2%	29.1%	32.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0	1	0
		11.7%	.0%	1.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	0
		.0%	5.8%	2.5%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	1	1	2	1
		2.4%	3.4%	6.4%	3.0%
6H. Other services	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	13	9	22	13

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	59.8%	73.6%	52.7%	48.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	71 27.0%	14 18.4%	31 37.8%	19 40.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	3 1.0%	2 1.9%	0 .3%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	4 1.6%	0 .0%	3 3.5%	2 3.4%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	28 10.6%	5 6.1%	5 5.7%	4 7.7%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	79 30.1%	12 15.8%	21 26.3%	6 12.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	118 45.0%	41 52.6%	37 44.9%	23 48.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	36 13.8%	13 16.2%	16 19.5%	9 19.0%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	22 8.5%	9 11.7%	8 9.2%	9 19.6%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	7 2.6%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	101 38.5%	24 31.0%	27 33.5%	19 39.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	113 43.0%	41 52.2%	47 57.4%	16 33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	20 7.8%	6 7.8%	2 2.7%	8 17.3%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	10 3.8%	2 2.1%	4 4.5%	2 5.2%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	18 7.0%	5 6.9%	2 2.0%	2 4.9%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	92 35.1%	23 29.9%	26 32.5%	12 26.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	122 46.4%	32 41.0%	41 50.8%	20 42.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	27 10.4%	12 15.9%	8 10.1%	10 20.2%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	10 3.9%	5 6.8%	5 5.6%	4 7.5%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	11 4.2%	5 6.5%	1 .9%	2 3.6%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	49	10	15	2

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	50.0%	38.7%	58.7%	53.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 44.9%	9 38.9%	12 30.7%	7 27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 .0%	1 4.5%	0 .8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%	1 2.8%	1 1.5%	1 2.4%
	DK/NA	1 5.2%	3 15.1%	3 8.3%	4 16.8%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	3 11.9%	4 15.7%	7 18.5%	8 33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	18 67.4%	10 42.2%	21 56.2%	10 42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 16.2%	8 34.7%	8 19.8%	4 16.9%
	Very dissatisfied	1 4.5%	2 7.4%	2 4.6%	2 6.9%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 1.0%	0 .0%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	4 15.9%	4 19.5%	13 34.8%	16 65.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	17 63.9%	13 58.6%	17 43.6%	6 23.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4 14.4%	2 9.5%	1 1.7%	2 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	1 3.4%	1 4.0%	3 8.4%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	1 2.4%	2 8.4%	4 11.5%	1 2.4%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	3 10.9%	7 28.9%	9 23.1%	10 39.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	12 44.7%	10 45.0%	18 46.8%	10 39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7 25.7%	3 14.0%	7 17.6%	3 13.3%
	Very dissatisfied	2 6.3%	1 2.6%	1 3.6%	1 4.5%
	DK/NA	3 12.4%	2 9.4%	3 8.9%	1 2.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	0	0	6	5

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	18.6%	12.4%	18.2%	3.9%
		232	100	25	42	19
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	38.1%	31.9%	51.8%	40.8%
		82	31	14	6	11
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	11.7%	18.5%	7.9%	24.1%
		75	28	11	11	10
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	10.5%	13.7%	13.7%	20.3%
		106	55	18	7	5
	DK/NA	18.3%	21.1%	23.5%	8.5%	11.0%
		582	263	78	81	47
	Total	169	90	26	23	7
		29.1%	34.1%	33.4%	28.7%	15.6%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	102	34	34	28
		43.3%	38.9%	42.7%	42.1%	59.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	44	10	14	6
		16.4%	16.6%	13.0%	17.2%	13.3%
	Very dissatisfied	41	17	5	5	5
		7.1%	6.6%	7.0%	6.2%	10.9%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	10	3	5	1
		4.1%	3.8%	3.9%	5.7%	1.2%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	171	86	26	22	10
		29.3%	32.6%	33.7%	27.5%	22.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	116	27	33	28
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	43.9%	34.8%	40.8%	60.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	34	13	9	2
		12.0%	12.8%	17.2%	11.3%	4.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	4	3	4	3
		2.6%	1.4%	3.4%	4.5%	6.1%
	DK/NA	60	25	9	13	3

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	1.1%	.0%	16.2%	19.1%
		14	12	11	9
	Somewhat satisfied	51.2%	53.2%	28.3%	36.9%
		5	2	9	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.5%	10.0%	22.7%	12.6%
		4	5	4	4
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	13.2%	19.6%	9.5%	16.7%
		4	4	9	4
	DK/NA	15.0%	17.1%	23.3%	14.6%
		27	23	38	24
	Total	3	3	10	7
		10.5%	11.3%	26.6%	29.9%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	18	8	19	9
		68.4%	35.4%	49.2%	36.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	9	3	6
		10.8%	38.4%	8.6%	25.8%
	Very dissatisfied	1	1	4	1
		4.3%	6.4%	11.6%	5.5%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	2	2	2	1
		6.0%	8.6%	4.0%	2.6%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	5	4	7	11
		18.2%	15.3%	17.3%	44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	17	14	24	7
6M. Senior Center programs		64.6%	59.0%	63.4%	29.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	5	3	1
		9.1%	20.8%	7.7%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	1
		4.4%	.0%	2%	4.5%
	DK/NA	1	1	4	4

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	13.8%	3.0%	11.4%	18.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	46	8	22	12
		21.8%	17.6%	10.3%	26.7%	26.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	6	4	3	2
		3.1%	2.3%	5.1%	3.9%	5.2%
	Very dissatisfied	13	5	0	4	1
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	2.1%	.3%	4.6%	2.3%
	DK/NA	342	169	64	43	23
		58.7%	64.2%	81.4%	53.3%	48.4%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	116	63	17	16	1
		19.9%	23.9%	21.3%	19.4%	3.0%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	69	17	37	22
		32.1%	26.3%	21.9%	45.8%	46.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	40	8	6	7
		12.1%	15.2%	9.7%	7.4%	14.4%
	Very dissatisfied	24	6	6	4	6
		4.1%	2.2%	7.1%	4.6%	12.7%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	85	31	19	11
		31.8%	32.5%	40.1%	22.8%	23.2%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	47	28	4	4	2
		8.0%	10.7%	4.5%	5.3%	3.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	76	30	27	10
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	28.9%	38.4%	33.2%	21.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	58	14	19	20
		22.7%	21.9%	18.5%	22.7%	41.4%
	Very dissatisfied	95	30	15	16	9
		16.3%	11.4%	19.6%	19.8%	19.4%
	DK/NA	128	71	15	15	7

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	6.6%	9.7%	29.8%	43.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	8	11	6
		49.3%	36.0%	28.7%	24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	1	0
		4.2%	.5%	3.0%	.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	1
6N. Neighborhood programs		.0%	2.8%	1.9%	4.5%
	DK/NA	11	12	14	7
		39.9%	51.0%	36.6%	27.1%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	3	2	8	5
		12.1%	10.6%	22.2%	21.1%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	13	11	11	6
		49.2%	48.7%	29.0%	23.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	1	4	1
		17.1%	5.2%	9.7%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	1
		.0%	2.4%	2.5%	5.1%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	6	8	14	11
		21.6%	33.1%	36.6%	47.2%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	1	0	4	4
		2.2%	.0%	11.5%	17.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	10	10	4
6Q. Sports Center programs		47.8%	45.6%	26.4%	16.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	4	9	5
		19.1%	15.8%	23.4%	18.7%
	Very dissatisfied	3	6	7	8
		12.6%	24.4%	19.0%	31.4%
	DK/NA	5	3	8	4

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	22.1%	23.4%	25.8%	18.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	89	21	24	17
		32.0%	33.7%	26.6%	29.8%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	17	3	3	3
		5.6%	6.3%	4.3%	4.2%	6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	19	7	2	5	4
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		3.3%	2.6%	2.0%	5.7%	7.9%
	DK/NA	217	93	34	28	15
		37.3%	35.3%	43.7%	34.4%	31.9%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	135	65	11	20	10
		23.1%	24.8%	14.6%	24.5%	22.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	54	21	26	9
		23.6%	20.3%	27.4%	31.9%	18.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	8	1	2	7
		3.3%	3.0%	1.6%	2.7%	14.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	1	3	5	2
		1.9%	.4%	3.9%	5.5%	5.1%
	DK/NA	280	135	41	29	19
		48.0%	51.4%	52.6%	35.5%	39.8%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	15.6%	10.6%	24.8%	17.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	8	8	5
		52.4%	36.6%	21.7%	20.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	1	1	1
		14.2%	4.8%	2.4%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	1
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		.0%	4.0%	2.1%	2.6%
	DK/NA	5	10	19	14
		17.7%	44.0%	49.1%	56.3%
	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	4	4	11	9
		14.9%	18.5%	28.4%	35.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	9	6	5
		29.1%	39.8%	16.7%	18.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0	0
		2.4%	1.3%	.0%	.6%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	14	9	21	11
		53.5%	40.4%	54.8%	45.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6E. Library services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			B C D F
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			.
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	.
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	
	DK/NA			
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied			C E
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA	a		a
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	.		.
	DK/NA			
6E. Library services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA	a		a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied			E F
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					a
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					.
	DK/NA					
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					A B
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					.
	DK/NA	G H	C D E G H			
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	D		A B		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A	a
	Very dissatisfied					.
	DK/NA					
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					.
	DK/NA	D				
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					.
	DK/NA					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A	a
	Very dissatisfied			A	A	.
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.		
	DK/NA			
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a
	Very dissatisfied			.
	DK/NA			
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied		B	A B C
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			D
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.	
	Very dissatisfied	a	.	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6A. Police services	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	250	3	45	16	23	5	6	21
		43.0%	46.6%	25.8%	37.9%	50.9%	30.2%	42.4%	49.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	0	72	9	18	4	5	13
		36.5%	.0%	41.7%	21.5%	38.6%	24.4%	37.4%	29.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	3	24	6	1	1	1	4
		8.9%	43.5%	14.0%	15.1%	2.6%	5.8%	7.7%	9.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	0	13	7	1	2	0	2
		4.8%	.0%	7.5%	16.6%	2.3%	14.6%	1.6%	4.3%
	DK/NA	40	1	19	4	3	4	1	3
		6.9%	9.9%	11.0%	8.9%	5.7%	25.0%	10.9%	6.5%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	189	1	45	13	26	3	3	18
		32.5%	9.9%	25.9%	30.0%	55.5%	17.5%	22.9%	43.1%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	2	53	9	10	6	4	14
		31.0%	27.8%	30.5%	21.0%	21.1%	34.6%	31.3%	32.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	3	18	1	1	0	0	0
		4.3%	43.5%	10.5%	2.0%	2.6%	.0%	1.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.4%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	DK/NA	185	1	56	20	10	8	6	10
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	18.8%	32.3%	47.1%	20.8%	47.9%	44.2%	22.6%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	316	2	85	22	23	13	9	28
		54.4%	34.7%	49.0%	51.5%	49.2%	78.4%	66.4%	66.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	4	61	13	21	3	4	13
		36.5%	65.3%	35.1%	30.5%	44.6%	16.9%	28.9%	30.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	14	4	2	1	0	1
6E. Library services		4.4%	.0%	8.2%	9.0%	4.4%	4.7%	.0%	2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	9	2	0	0	0	0
		3.3%	.0%	5.3%	4.1%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	0	4	2	1	0	1	0
		1.4%	.0%	2.4%	4.9%	1.4%	.0%	4.8%	.9%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	257	3	68	18	17	11	8	22
6F. Other services		44.2%	46.6%	39.2%	42.1%	37.8%	64.5%	57.3%	52.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	3	67	18	23	4	3	13
		41.7%	43.5%	38.9%	43.0%	50.3%	23.6%	22.8%	31.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	17	3	1	1	1	3
		5.3%	.0%	9.6%	6.9%	3.0%	3.5%	7.7%	8.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	8	0	0	1	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	4.4%	.6%	.5%	8.4%	.0%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	1	14	3	4	0	2	3
		6.3%	9.9%	8.0%	7.4%	8.4%	.0%	12.2%	7.3%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	339	5	106	20	31	9	7	28
		58.1%	71.4%	60.7%	47.6%	67.4%	52.9%	50.0%	66.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	180	2	53	9	10	6	4	14
		31.0%	27.8%	30.5%	21.0%	21.1%	34.6%	31.3%	32.9%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6A. Police services	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	48	34	46	1	3
		49.4%	45.7%	71.6%	46.3%	55.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	38	36	16	0	1
		39.7%	49.1%	24.1%	9.8%	25.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	4	3	0	1
		3.8%	5.0%	4.3%	.0%	19.1%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0	0	0
		2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	0	0	1	0
		4.6%	.2%	.0%	43.9%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	24	24	30	1	3
		24.3%	32.1%	46.2%	56.1%	62.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	41	24	18	0	2
		42.4%	32.1%	27.2%	.0%	27.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	32	24	17	1	1
6D. Recycling program		32.6%	33.2%	26.6%	43.9%	9.6%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	49	46	35	2	4
		50.3%	62.9%	53.7%	90.2%	69.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	36	27	29	0	2
		37.6%	37.1%	45.4%	.0%	30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0	1	0	0
6E. Library services		3.2%	.0%	.9%	9.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8	0	0	0	0
		8.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	37	35	32	2	4
6F. Other services		38.5%	48.2%	49.9%	90.2%	68.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	51	28	30	0	2
		52.2%	38.4%	46.8%	9.8%	32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	0	2	0	0
		2.8%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0	0	0
		5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	1	10	0	0	0
		1.0%	13.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	42	41	42	2	5
		43.3%	56.2%	65.6%	100.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	31	24	18	0	2
		32.0%	32.9%	28.1%	.0%	40.0%

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	74.3%	61.5%	47.5%	67.8%	53.3%	53.3%	65.7%
		174	1	43	11	10	3	4	9
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	15.8%	24.7%	26.9%	21.1%	19.6%	28.5%	21.0%
		6	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	2.7%	.0%
		11	0	4	2	0	0	2	1
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	.0%	2.2%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	2.9%
		53	1	17	9	4	5	0	4
	DK/NA	9.1%	9.9%	10.1%	21.8%	8.5%	27.1%	2.8%	10.4%
		582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Total								
	Very satisfied	141	1	32	11	13	2	4	13
6G. Street sweeping services		24.2%	9.0%	18.6%	25.5%	28.0%	14.6%	29.0%	31.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	6	84	12	24	7	7	19
		47.9%	91.0%	48.7%	28.8%	52.7%	39.4%	49.7%	46.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	0	35	10	6	5	3	5
		16.8%	.0%	20.5%	24.2%	13.9%	28.4%	21.3%	12.4%
	Very dissatisfied	55	0	15	9	3	1	0	4
6H. Street tree maintenance		9.4%	.0%	8.4%	21.5%	5.5%	8.4%	.0%	9.6%
	DK/NA	10	0	7	0	0	2	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	.0%	.7%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	209	2	50	12	14	6	4	24
		35.9%	34.7%	28.8%	27.9%	31.3%	36.9%	26.0%	56.6%
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat satisfied	269	4	80	16	26	5	9	15
		46.2%	55.5%	46.2%	38.9%	56.8%	28.5%	63.1%	36.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	1	22	4	3	1	0	2
		7.8%	9.9%	12.9%	8.8%	6.9%	5.8%	.0%	4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	23	0	5	4	0	4	1	1
		4.0%	.0%	2.6%	9.7%	.5%	21.5%	6.1%	1.8%
6J. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	35	0	17	6	2	1	1	0
		6.1%	.0%	9.6%	14.8%	4.5%	7.4%	4.8%	.6%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	183	1	40	17	16	6	6	14
		31.4%	9.9%	23.4%	40.1%	35.6%	36.3%	46.2%	33.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	1	80	8	22	2	6	20
6K. Street tree maintenance		45.6%	12.0%	46.2%	19.1%	48.7%	12.8%	46.6%	48.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	4	29	11	2	7	0	2
		13.3%	68.3%	16.6%	27.1%	4.1%	43.5%	.0%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	28	0	13	3	4	0	0	4
		4.9%	.0%	7.6%	8.3%	8.4%	.0%	1.1%	8.9%
	DK/NA	28	1	11	2	1	1	1	2
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	86	0	11	8	20	3	2	8

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	43.8%	55.5%	65.3%	90.2%	94.7%
		45	28	21	0	0
	Somewhat satisfied	46.3%	37.5%	32.5%	9.8%	5.3%
		1	0	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		2	0	0	0	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		6	5	1	0	0
	DK/NA	6.3%	6.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	17	20	27	0	1
		17.9%	26.8%	41.7%	.0%	22.3%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	56	37	23	2	2
		57.5%	49.8%	35.8%	100.0%	32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	5	8	0	1
		19.0%	6.8%	13.1%	.0%	24.4%
	Very dissatisfied	5	12	4	0	1
		5.5%	16.5%	7.0%	.0%	21.3%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	37	23	34	0	4
		37.8%	31.8%	52.7%	.0%	71.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	48	39	25	1	1
6I. Economic development efforts		49.2%	52.7%	39.6%	56.1%	23.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	4	0	1	0
		7.6%	5.7%	.0%	43.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	5	0	0
		3.6%	.9%	7.7%	.0%	5.3%
	DK/NA	2	7	0	0	0
6J. Street tree maintenance		1.9%	8.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	30	20	31	0	1
		30.7%	27.2%	48.6%	.0%	22.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	57	42	22	0	4
		58.8%	57.7%	33.5%	9.8%	77.7%
6K. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	3	8	2	0
		9.0%	3.7%	12.6%	90.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	3	1	0	0
		.0%	4.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	5	3	0	0
		1.5%	6.9%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	17	7	11	0	0

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	.0%	6.1%	19.7%	43.9%	19.6%	17.4%	19.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	1	53	10	13	2	6	14
		39.9%	9.9%	30.8%	23.8%	28.6%	9.5%	42.8%	33.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	1	41	6	3	3	0	3
		14.1%	21.0%	23.9%	14.5%	6.0%	15.1%	1.1%	6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	75	4	33	12	0	4	0	7
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	59.3%	19.1%	28.0%	.2%	24.2%	1.6%	16.1%
	DK/NA	106	1	35	6	10	5	5	10
		18.3%	9.9%	20.1%	14.0%	21.3%	31.6%	37.1%	24.7%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	169	1	35	11	23	6	5	15
		29.1%	9.0%	20.3%	26.9%	49.0%	34.1%	36.8%	35.7%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	5	79	7	17	3	5	16
		43.3%	75.2%	45.7%	15.6%	37.5%	19.2%	39.9%	38.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	1	37	14	4	5	1	4
		16.4%	15.8%	21.2%	32.8%	8.5%	28.4%	7.7%	10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	41	0	14	10	1	3	1	6
		7.1%	.0%	7.9%	23.8%	1.9%	17.3%	10.8%	14.6%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	0	8	0	1	0	1	0
		4.1%	.0%	4.8%	.9%	3.1%	.9%	4.8%	.2%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	171	1	44	11	18	6	4	13
		29.3%	9.0%	25.7%	27.4%	38.4%	33.3%	30.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	2	69	17	22	6	6	20
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	31.7%	40.0%	40.7%	46.6%	34.9%	47.4%	47.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	3	31	8	3	2	0	2
		12.0%	43.5%	18.0%	20.0%	5.8%	9.6%	1.1%	5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	3	3	0	1	2	2
		2.6%	.0%	1.5%	6.9%	.0%	4.7%	12.2%	4.3%
	DK/NA	60	1	26	2	4	3	1	5
6N. Youth sports fields		10.3%	15.8%	14.9%	4.9%	9.1%	17.6%	8.6%	12.2%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	142	0	30	11	18	4	5	9
		24.4%	.0%	17.5%	26.0%	39.2%	23.8%	36.0%	20.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	3	65	18	16	5	4	11
		37.3%	53.4%	37.3%	41.8%	34.1%	31.4%	29.3%	26.7%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	16	0	1	0	1	3
		5.3%	.0%	9.0%	.4%	1.7%	.0%	8.6%	6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	5	1	0	0	2	1
		1.9%	.0%	2.7%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	1.5%
	DK/NA	181	3	58	12	12	7	2	18
		31.1%	46.6%	33.5%	29.3%	25.0%	44.7%	13.5%	43.9%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	17.2%	8.9%	16.7%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	49	42	39	1	2
		50.9%	57.1%	61.2%	56.1%	33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	6	6	0	1
		13.1%	8.1%	8.6%	.0%	19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	6	5	3	0	1
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		6.4%	6.8%	4.3%	.0%	21.3%
	DK/NA	12	14	6	1	1
		12.5%	19.2%	9.3%	43.9%	26.6%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	29	15	28	1	1
		29.6%	20.8%	43.1%	46.3%	22.3%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	52	37	27	1	2
		53.5%	50.0%	42.4%	53.7%	37.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	9	9	0	1
		10.6%	12.6%	14.5%	.0%	19.1%
	Very dissatisfied	2	3	0	0	1
		1.9%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	4	9	0	0	0
		4.3%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	23	20	27	0	4
		24.0%	26.9%	42.0%	.0%	71.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	55	36	30	2	2
6M. Senior Center programs		57.1%	48.8%	46.2%	100.0%	28.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	5	7	0	0
		8.9%	7.0%	10.9%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	3	1	0	0
		1.5%	4.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	9	0	0	0
6N. Youth sports fields		8.5%	12.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	26	19	20	0	0
		26.5%	26.5%	31.3%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	21	24	1	3
		46.7%	28.9%	38.0%	56.1%	61.6%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	5	3	0	0
		2.7%	6.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%
	DK/NA	23	25	17	1	2
		24.1%	34.5%	26.4%	43.9%	33.1%

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	9.9%	10.1%	15.9%	22.5%	35.7%	5.9%	22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	2	25	4	9	4	1	7
		21.8%	27.8%	14.4%	10.6%	19.3%	22.0%	6.4%	17.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	3	9	0	1	1	1	1
		3.1%	43.5%	5.0%	.0%	2.2%	8.4%	8.8%	1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	13	0	4	2	0	0	2	2
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	.0%	2.1%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	4.3%
	DK/NA	342	1	118	29	26	6	9	23
		58.7%	18.8%	68.3%	69.5%	56.0%	33.9%	66.3%	53.7%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	116	0	23	5	19	3	4	11
		19.9%	.0%	13.4%	11.3%	40.1%	19.6%	29.0%	26.8%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	1	50	9	19	4	2	10
		32.1%	12.0%	29.0%	22.5%	40.7%	27.1%	18.4%	23.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	25	12	3	1	2	5
		12.1%	.0%	14.5%	29.8%	6.9%	6.3%	13.8%	11.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24	3	11	4	1	0	0	1
		4.1%	43.5%	6.1%	9.6%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	3	64	11	5	8	5	15
		31.8%	44.5%	37.0%	26.9%	9.9%	47.1%	38.8%	36.1%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	47	0	5	4	7	4	2	3
		8.0%	.0%	3.0%	9.1%	15.6%	21.5%	12.6%	6.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	39	11	8	7	6	13
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	.0%	22.5%	26.7%	17.7%	40.5%	47.2%	30.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	3	57	7	17	2	2	5
		22.7%	46.6%	33.2%	17.6%	35.8%	11.1%	12.1%	12.8%
	Very dissatisfied	95	3	41	8	4	4	0	10
		16.3%	43.5%	23.8%	18.0%	9.3%	23.4%	.0%	23.9%
	DK/NA	128	1	30	12	10	1	4	11

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18.2%	11.8%	5.7%	46.3%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	36	20	19	0	0
		37.4%	26.7%	29.2%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	1	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	0	1
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.4%	.9%	.0%	.0%	19.1%
	DK/NA	39	44	41	1	4
		40.2%	60.7%	64.2%	53.7%	80.9%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	20	14	17	0	1
		20.3%	18.4%	26.0%	.0%	22.3%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	43	24	21	1	1
		44.9%	32.1%	33.1%	53.7%	19.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	7	5	1	2
		7.5%	9.1%	7.4%	46.3%	42.6%
	Very dissatisfied	2	2	0	0	0
		1.9%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	25	27	22	0	1
		25.4%	37.1%	33.5%	.0%	16.0%
	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	14	6	3	0	0
		14.2%	7.9%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	37	31	26	1	1
6Q. Sports Center programs		38.3%	41.9%	41.0%	46.3%	21.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	14	12	0	1
		13.6%	18.6%	17.9%	9.8%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	5	3	1	1
		15.7%	6.2%	4.3%	43.9%	24.4%
	DK/NA	18	19	21	0	2

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	.0%	13.9%	11.4%	46.7%	21.4%	42.4%	15.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	55	14	15	1	0	13
	Somewhat dissatisfied	32.0%	.0%	31.6%	33.3%	32.5%	4.4%	.0%	31.5%
	Very dissatisfied	33	3	13	4	0	0	3	2
	DK/NA	5.6%	43.5%	7.6%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	21.5%	4.9%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	19	0	5	5	0	0	0	1
	Somewhat satisfied	3.3%	.0%	2.7%	12.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	217	4	76	14	10	12	5	20
	Very dissatisfied	37.3%	56.5%	44.2%	33.8%	20.9%	74.2%	36.0%	46.9%
	DK/NA	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	135	0	27	7	10	2	4	11
	Somewhat satisfied	23.1%	.0%	15.7%	17.7%	21.6%	13.6%	32.4%	25.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	137	0	43	10	16	3	3	6
	Very dissatisfied	23.6%	.0%	24.6%	23.9%	35.7%	15.1%	19.9%	13.9%
	DK/NA	19	0	8	3	1	2	0	1
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	3.3%	.0%	4.7%	8.2%	1.4%	9.3%	1.6%	1.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	11	3	5	2	2	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.9%	43.5%	2.9%	4.1%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	280	4	90	19	17	10	6	25
	DK/NA	48.0%	56.5%	52.1%	46.1%	37.8%	62.0%	46.1%	58.4%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	23.7%	17.7%	34.6%	46.3%	19.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	38	25	26	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	39.5%	33.8%	40.0%	9.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	6	0	0	1
	DK/NA	1.3%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	3	4	0	0	1
	Somewhat satisfied	3.2%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	26	16	1	2
	Very dissatisfied	32.3%	35.2%	25.4%	43.9%	38.4%
	DK/NA	97	73	64	2	5
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	30	24	17	1	1
	Somewhat satisfied	30.6%	32.8%	25.7%	46.3%	21.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24	18	14	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	24.4%	25.0%	21.8%	9.8%	21.3%
	DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	0	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	39	31	34	1	3
	DK/NA	40.4%	42.2%	52.5%	43.9%	57.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	D H I J						
	Very dissatisfied	a		H				
	DK/NA	.				I		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied				B H			
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	C D I				a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a	a	a	a	.
	DK/NA
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					a	
	Very dissatisfied	a				a	a	a
	DK/NA
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a					a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					.	.
	Very dissatisfied	.					.	.
	DK/NA	.					.	.
6E. Library services	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a		a		a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		.	a	a	.	.
	Very dissatisfied	.		J	.	J		.
	DK/NA	.						.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					a	
	Very dissatisfied	a		a			a	
	DK/NA	.		.	a	.	.	.
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied							B
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					a	
	Very dissatisfied	a				B I	.	
	DK/NA	.					.	.
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied	B D G H I J		I		D G H I	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a				a	.	.
	Very dissatisfied	.					.	.
	DK/NA	.					.	.
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied				B H I			
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	D H I J		D H J		D		
	DK/NA	.						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	B		BC		
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	I	a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied	a		a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a		
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied		a		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied		a		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		H	a	a	a
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	B				
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			B		
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied			B		
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			B		
	Somewhat satisfied	CE	C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				D G H I	a
	Very dissatisfied	a			a	a
	DK/NA				a	a
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied		BCE	BCDE		
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
	Very dissatisfied				a	
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied				B			
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C					
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	a		H				
	DK/NA	a						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	a			a			
	DK/NA	a						
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a				a		
	Very dissatisfied	a			a	a		
	DK/NA							
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied						J	
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B D G H J		a		a		
	Very dissatisfied				a	a		
	DK/NA		H					
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	a			B			
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		H				
	Very dissatisfied	B D G H I				a		a
	DK/NA							
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	a						
	Somewhat satisfied	a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	J	H	J			a	
	DK/NA							
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied				I			
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a					I	
	Very dissatisfied						a	
	DK/NA							
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	a			B C I			
	Somewhat satisfied							
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B H			a	a	H	
	Very dissatisfied	a			a	a	a	
	DK/NA						D J	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	a						
	Somewhat satisfied	a						
	Somewhat dissatisfied							
	Very dissatisfied	B C D				a	a	a
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			B		
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	a
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a		a	a	
	DK/NA					a
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied					a
	Somewhat satisfied	B	a		a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA					
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	a
	DK/NA				a	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					a
	Somewhat satisfied	B				
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA				a	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied				a	
	DK/NA				a	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			B		a
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a				
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	250	198	52
		43.0%	42.7%	44.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	172	40
		36.5%	37.1%	33.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	40	11
		8.9%	8.7%	9.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	23	5
		4.8%	4.9%	4.3%
	DK/NA	40	30	10
		6.9%	6.6%	8.1%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	189	146	43
		32.5%	31.5%	36.3%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	150	30
		31.0%	32.4%	25.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	19	6
		4.3%	4.2%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	3	3	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	146	39
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	31.4%	33.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	316	246	70
		54.4%	53.1%	59.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	175	37
		36.5%	37.8%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	20	6
6E. Library services		4.4%	4.2%	5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	19	15	4
		3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
	DK/NA	8	7	1
		1.4%	1.6%	.8%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	257	199	58
6F. Street pavement maintenance		44.2%	42.9%	49.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	199	44
		41.7%	42.8%	37.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	25	6
		5.3%	5.4%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	15	14	1
		2.5%	2.9%	.9%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	37	27	9
		6.3%	5.9%	8.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	339	258	80

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	55.7%	68.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	146	29
		30.0%	31.4%	24.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	4	1
		1.0%	.9%	1.1%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11	0
		1.8%	2.3%	.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	53	45	8
		9.1%	9.7%	6.8%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	141	105	35
		24.2%	22.7%	30.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	230	49
		47.9%	49.6%	41.2%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	83	15
		16.8%	17.8%	13.0%
	Very dissatisfied	55	37	18
		9.4%	7.9%	15.3%
	DK/NA	10	9	1
		1.7%	2.0%	.6%
	Total	582	464	118
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	209	158	51
		35.9%	34.0%	43.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	216	53
		46.2%	46.6%	44.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	38	7
		7.8%	8.2%	6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	23	19	5
6I. Economic development efforts		4.0%	4.0%	4.0%
	DK/NA	35	33	2
		6.1%	7.2%	1.6%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	183	136	46
		31.4%	29.4%	39.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	218	47
6J. Street tree maintenance		45.6%	47.0%	40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	66	11
		13.3%	14.3%	9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28	19	9
		4.9%	4.2%	7.5%
	DK/NA	28	24	4
		4.8%	5.1%	3.7%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	86	59	27

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	12.8%	22.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	192	40
		39.9%	41.4%	33.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	61	21
		14.1%	13.2%	17.7%
	Very dissatisfied	75	62	12
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	13.5%	10.5%
	DK/NA	106	89	18
		18.3%	19.1%	15.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	169	127	43
		29.1%	27.3%	36.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	206	46
		43.3%	44.5%	38.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	79	16
		16.4%	17.1%	13.8%
	Very dissatisfied	41	30	12
		7.1%	6.4%	10.1%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	22	2
		4.1%	4.8%	1.4%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	171	133	38
		29.3%	28.7%	32.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	216	51
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	46.6%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	56	14
		12.0%	12.0%	12.1%
	Very dissatisfied	15	7	8
		2.6%	1.4%	7.2%
	DK/NA	60	53	7
6N. Neighborhood programs		10.3%	11.4%	6.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	142	103	39
		24.4%	22.1%	33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	186	32
		37.3%	40.0%	26.7%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	22	9
		5.3%	4.7%	7.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	7	3
		1.9%	1.6%	2.9%
	DK/NA	181	146	35
		31.1%	31.6%	29.2%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	82	67	15

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.5%	12.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	105	22
		21.8%	22.6%	18.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	13	5
		3.1%	2.7%	4.6%
	Very dissatisfied	13	10	3
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	2.2%	2.2%
	DK/NA	342	269	73
		58.7%	57.9%	62.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	116	93	23
		19.9%	20.0%	19.7%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	149	38
		32.1%	32.0%	32.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	56	14
		12.1%	12.1%	12.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24	20	4
		4.1%	4.3%	3.1%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	146	39
		31.8%	31.6%	32.7%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	47	36	10
		8.0%	7.8%	8.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	146	34
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	31.5%	29.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	102	30
		22.7%	22.0%	25.6%
	Very dissatisfied	95	81	14
		16.3%	17.4%	11.6%
	DK/NA	128	98	29
6R. Senior Center programs		22.0%	21.2%	25.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	133	104	29
		22.9%	22.4%	24.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	162	49
		36.2%	34.9%	41.3%
6S. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	58	12
		12.1%	12.6%	10.2%
	Very dissatisfied	25	22	4
		4.4%	4.7%	3.1%
	DK/NA	142	118	24
		24.4%	25.4%	20.7%
6T. Senior Center programs	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	126	92	35

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.8%	29.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	154 33.2%	32 27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	26 5.5%	7 6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	16 3.4%	3 2.7%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	177 38.1%	41 34.5%
	Total	582	464	118
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	100 21.7%	34 28.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	123 26.5%	15 12.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	15 3.1%	5 3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	8 1.6%	4 3.0%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	218 47.1%	61 51.9%
	Total	582	464	118

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	149 43.0%	101 43.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	119 34.4%	93 39.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	28 8.2%	23 9.9%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	23 6.6%	5 2.1%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	27 7.8%	13 5.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	103 29.8%	86 36.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	114 32.8%	67 28.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	14 4.0%	12 4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	2 .5%	1 .3%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	114 33.0%	70 29.8%
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	198 56.9%	119 50.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	115 33.0%	98 41.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	16 4.6%	10 4.2%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	14 4.0%	5 2.2%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	5 1.4%	3 1.5%
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	168 48.4%	89 37.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	131 37.9%	111 47.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	15 4.4%	16 6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	13 3.8%	2 .7%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	19 5.6%	18 7.5%
6E. Library services	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	339	191	147

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	55.2%	62.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	174 30.0%	104 30.0%	70 29.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6 1.0%	6 1.6%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.8%	9 2.6%	1 .6%
	DK/NA	53 9.1%	37 10.6%	16 6.9%
	Total	582	347	235
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	141 24.2%	70 20.1%	71 30.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	279 47.9%	165 47.5%	114 48.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98 16.8%	70 20.3%	28 11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	55 9.4%	35 10.2%	19 8.2%
	DK/NA	10 1.7%	7 2.0%	3 1.3%
	Total	582	347	235
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	209 35.9%	123 35.5%	86 36.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	269 46.2%	157 45.1%	112 47.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45 7.8%	31 8.9%	14 6.1%
	Very dissatisfied	23 4.0%	15 4.4%	8 3.4%
	DK/NA	35 6.1%	21 6.1%	14 6.0%
	Total	582	347	235
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	183 31.4%	96 27.7%	86 36.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	265 45.6%	160 46.2%	105 44.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78 13.3%	57 16.4%	21 8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.9%	16 4.6%	12 5.2%
	DK/NA	28 4.8%	17 5.0%	11 4.6%
	Total	582	347	235
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	43	43

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	12.4%	18.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	232 39.9%	133 38.4%	99 42.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82 14.1%	61 17.5%	21 9.1%
	Very dissatisfied	75 12.9%	49 14.2%	26 10.9%
	DK/NA	106 18.3%	60 17.4%	46 19.5%
	Total	582	347	235
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	169 29.1%	93 26.8%	76 32.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	252 43.3%	150 43.3%	102 43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95 16.4%	63 18.2%	32 13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41 7.1%	25 7.3%	16 6.8%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	15 4.4%	9 3.8%
	Total	582	347	235
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	171 29.3%	107 30.8%	64 27.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267 45.8%	159 45.7%	108 45.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70 12.0%	35 10.1%	35 14.8%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.6%	8 2.3%	7 3.0%
	DK/NA	60 10.3%	39 11.1%	21 9.0%
	Total	582	347	235
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	142 24.4%	72 20.7%	70 29.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	217 37.3%	128 36.9%	89 37.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	16 4.5%	15 6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	6 1.7%	5 2.1%
	DK/NA	181 31.1%	125 36.1%	56 23.6%
	Total	582	347	235
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	82	52	30

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	15.0%	12.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	79	47
		21.8%	22.9%	20.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	9	9
		3.1%	2.7%	3.8%
	Very dissatisfied	13	11	2
		2.2%	3.2%	.9%
	DK/NA	342	195	147
		58.7%	56.3%	62.4%
	Total	582	347	235
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116	63	53
		19.9%	18.3%	22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	108	79
		32.1%	31.2%	33.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	42	29
		12.1%	12.0%	12.3%
	Very dissatisfied	24	16	8
		4.1%	4.6%	3.3%
	DK/NA	185	118	67
		31.8%	34.0%	28.6%
	Total	582	347	235
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47	24	22
		8.0%	7.0%	9.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	98	82
		31.0%	28.3%	35.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	94	38
		22.7%	27.0%	16.4%
	Very dissatisfied	95	66	29
		16.3%	18.9%	12.3%
	DK/NA	128	65	63
		22.0%	18.7%	26.8%
	Total	582	347	235
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133	83	50
		22.9%	23.9%	21.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	116	94
		36.2%	33.5%	40.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	46	24
		12.1%	13.3%	10.3%
	Very dissatisfied	25	19	6
		4.4%	5.5%	2.7%
	DK/NA	142	83	60
		24.4%	23.8%	25.3%
	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	126	66	60

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.0%	25.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	107	80
		32.0%	30.7%	34.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	17	16
		5.6%	4.9%	6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19	18	1
		3.3%	5.1%	.6%
	DK/NA	217	140	78
		37.3%	40.3%	33.0%
	Total	582	347	235
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135	79	56
		23.1%	22.8%	23.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	88	49
		23.6%	25.4%	20.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	11	8
		3.3%	3.3%	3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	7	4
		1.9%	2.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	280	161	118
		48.0%	46.5%	50.3%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6A. Police services	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	250	3	26	38	43
		43.0%	27.1%	52.0%	40.8%	32.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	4	16	33	54
		36.5%	35.7%	33.3%	34.7%	41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	0	2	13	14
		8.9%	.0%	4.6%	13.6%	10.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	0	1	4	11
		4.8%	.0%	2.7%	4.4%	8.3%
	DK/NA	40	4	4	6	10
		6.9%	37.2%	7.5%	6.6%	7.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	189	2	16	24	37
		32.5%	18.3%	32.4%	25.8%	28.3%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	0	12	27	47
		31.0%	.9%	24.5%	28.5%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	0	3	4	10
		4.3%	.0%	6.7%	4.0%	7.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	0	1
		.4%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.0%
	DK/NA	185	9	17	39	36
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	80.8%	35.2%	41.8%	27.2%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	316	7	28	59	53
		54.4%	62.8%	56.5%	62.4%	40.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	0	18	19	66
		36.5%	.0%	36.0%	20.2%	50.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	1	7	5
6E. Library services		4.4%	.0%	2.5%	7.8%	4.2%
	Very dissatisfied	19	1	1	7	7
		3.3%	10.7%	2.5%	7.5%	5.4%
	DK/NA	8	3	1	2	0
		1.4%	26.5%	2.6%	2.1%	.0%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	257	4	25	46	44
6F. Other community services		44.2%	33.9%	50.4%	48.8%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	3	16	34	68
		41.7%	27.1%	32.3%	36.0%	51.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	3	3	9
		5.3%	.0%	5.3%	3.3%	6.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	5	3
		2.5%	.0%	2.5%	4.9%	2.3%
6G. Other city services	DK/NA	37	4	5	6	8
		6.3%	39.0%	9.5%	6.9%	5.8%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6H. Other city services	Very satisfied	339	7	22	62	73

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6A. Police services	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	66	75	1
		40.1%	56.4%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	65	40	0
		40.0%	30.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	3	0
		12.0%	2.2%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	6	5	0
		4.0%	3.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	6	10	0
		3.9%	7.7%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	61	49	0
		37.5%	36.7%	.0%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	59	35	0
		36.3%	26.4%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8	0	0
		4.8%	.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0
		.0%	.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	48	1
6D. Recycling program		21.4%	36.1%	100.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	88	82	1
		53.9%	61.5%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	63	46	0
		38.8%	34.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	2	0
6E. Library services		5.9%	1.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	2	0
		.6%	1.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	1	0
		.8%	.7%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	68	70	1
6F. Other community services		41.6%	52.8%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	75	47	0
		46.1%	35.2%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	6	0
		6.5%	4.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	3	0
		2.0%	1.9%	.0%
6G. Other city services	DK/NA	6	8	0
		3.8%	5.8%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
6H. Other city services	Very satisfied	96	78	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	63.4%	45.7%	65.4%	55.9%
		174	4	15	17	48
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	33.0%	30.5%	17.7%	36.9%
		6	0	1	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1.0%	.0%	2.5%	.2%	1.1%
		11	0	3	0	2
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	1.8%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	1.8%
		53	0	8	16	6
	DK/NA	9.1%	3.6%	15.5%	16.7%	4.4%
		9.1%	3.6%	15.5%	16.7%	4.4%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
		141	1	18	26	27
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	24.2%	10.7%	37.5%	27.1%	20.4%
		279	4	21	44	69
	Somewhat satisfied	47.9%	35.7%	42.5%	47.1%	52.8%
		98	0	7	14	25
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.8%	.9%	14.1%	15.1%	18.8%
		55	3	3	8	9
6G. Street sweeping services	Very dissatisfied	9.4%	27.1%	5.9%	9.0%	6.5%
		10	3	0	2	2
	DK/NA	1.7%	25.6%	.0%	1.7%	1.5%
		582	11	49	94	131
	Total	209	3	23	36	33
		35.9%	25.5%	47.3%	38.3%	24.7%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	269	2	16	40	76
		46.2%	21.9%	32.3%	42.1%	58.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	0	2	8	13
		7.8%	.0%	5.0%	8.5%	10.0%
	Very dissatisfied	23	0	6	0	7
		4.0%	.0%	11.5%	.2%	5.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	35	6	2	10	3
		6.1%	52.7%	4.0%	10.8%	2.2%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
		183	6	20	35	42
	Very satisfied	31.4%	52.5%	40.6%	36.9%	31.9%
		265	2	16	38	65
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	45.6%	21.0%	32.1%	40.2%	49.4%
		78	0	7	15	17
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.3%	.0%	13.9%	15.8%	12.8%
		28	0	4	5	4
	Very dissatisfied	4.9%	.0%	7.3%	5.8%	3.1%
		28	3	3	1	4
6I. Economic development efforts	DK/NA	4.8%	26.5%	6.1%	1.4%	2.8%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	86	1	7	15	18

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.8%	58.5%	100.0%
		55	36	0
	Somewhat satisfied	33.7%	26.9%	.0%
		2	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.9%	.9%	.0%
		3	2	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	2.0%	1.6%	.0%
		7	16	0
	DK/NA	4.5%	12.1%	.0%
		163	133	1
	Total	41	27	1
		25.3%	20.4%	100.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	74	67	0
		45.1%	50.2%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	27	25	0
		16.5%	18.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	18	13	0
		11.3%	10.0%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	3	1	0
		1.8%	.6%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
		60	54	1
	Very satisfied	37.0%	40.4%	100.0%
		82	53	0
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	50.2%	39.8%	.0%
		11	10	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.0%	7.7%	.0%
		3	8	0
	Very dissatisfied	1.7%	6.0%	.0%
		7	8	0
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	4.1%	6.1%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
		46	34	1
	Very satisfied	28.2%	25.6%	100.0%
		86	59	0
	Somewhat satisfied	52.6%	44.1%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	27	0
		7.3%	20.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	0
		7.0%	2.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	9	0
		4.8%	7.0%	.0%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	29	16	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.6%	14.0%	15.5%	13.7%
		232	4	16	32	55
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	33.0%	33.0%	34.4%	42.2%
		82	3	6	13	21
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	27.1%	11.3%	14.2%	15.8%
	Very dissatisfied	75	0	4	11	21
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	.0%	9.0%	12.1%	16.0%
	DK/NA	106	3	16	22	16
		18.3%	28.3%	32.8%	23.8%	12.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	169	1	18	39	30
		29.1%	10.7%	37.3%	41.7%	23.0%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	4	15	37	63
		43.3%	33.0%	30.9%	39.1%	48.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	0	10	11	28
		16.4%	.0%	20.1%	11.5%	21.1%
	Very dissatisfied	41	3	5	7	5
		7.1%	27.1%	9.2%	7.0%	3.5%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	3	1	1	6
		4.1%	29.2%	2.6%	.7%	4.4%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	171	1	16	32	36
		29.3%	10.7%	31.8%	34.5%	27.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	2	18	31	68
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	15.6%	36.0%	32.7%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	3	7	20	13
		12.0%	27.1%	14.9%	21.2%	10.0%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	2	5
		2.6%	.0%	1.8%	1.9%	3.6%
	DK/NA	60	5	8	9	10

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	17.8%	12.1%	100.0%
		75	49	0
	Somewhat satisfied	45.9%	37.3%	.0%
		21	19	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.7%	14.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	20	18	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.4%	13.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	18	30	0
		11.1%	22.9%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	50	30	1
		30.3%	22.8%	100.0%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	72	61	0
		44.3%	45.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	23	24	0
		14.0%	18.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13	10	0
		7.9%	7.5%	.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	6	7	0
		3.5%	5.5%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	46	39	1
		28.4%	29.3%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	82	67	0
6M. Senior Center programs		50.0%	50.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	9	0
		11.0%	6.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	0
		3.1%	2.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	12	16	0

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	14.7%	9.8%	8.3%	9.6%
		127	0	8	7	24
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	.9%	15.8%	7.8%	18.2%
		18	0	1	1	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	.0%	2.4%	1.4%	3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	13	0	2	1	3
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	.0%	3.5%	.7%	2.5%
	DK/NA	342	9	34	77	87
		58.7%	84.4%	68.5%	81.7%	66.4%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	116	2	16	23	14
		19.9%	18.3%	32.2%	24.4%	10.4%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	9	30	47
		32.1%	.0%	18.8%	31.8%	36.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	3	5	7	23
		12.1%	27.1%	9.2%	7.9%	17.8%
	Very dissatisfied	24	0	2	5	8
		4.1%	.0%	4.8%	5.4%	6.4%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	6	17	29	39
		31.8%	54.6%	35.0%	30.5%	29.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	47	0	4	13	11
		8.0%	.0%	7.7%	13.8%	8.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	11	31	48
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	.0%	22.7%	33.1%	36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	3	11	21	33
		22.7%	27.1%	21.7%	22.4%	25.3%
	Very dissatisfied	95	0	7	16	17
		16.3%	.0%	14.8%	17.4%	12.8%
	DK/NA	128	8	16	13	23
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		22.0%	72.9%	33.1%	13.3%	17.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	133	1	12	20	26
		22.9%	10.7%	23.4%	21.0%	20.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	4	13	33	53
		36.2%	33.0%	25.4%	35.4%	40.6%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	3	7	14	13
		12.1%	27.1%	15.0%	14.8%	9.6%
	Very dissatisfied	25	0	1	4	6
		4.4%	.0%	1.2%	3.8%	4.2%
	DK/NA	142	3	17	23	33
		24.4%	29.2%	34.9%	25.0%	25.4%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	13.1%	25.8%	.0%
		48	39	1
	Somewhat satisfied	29.4%	29.2%	100.0%
		6	5	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.8%	3.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	0
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.9%	1.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	83	52	0
		50.8%	39.2%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	29	32	0
		18.0%	24.1%	.0%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	62	39	0
		37.7%	29.2%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22	10	0
		13.3%	7.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	6	2	0
		3.4%	1.6%	.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	45	49	1
		27.5%	37.1%	100.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	6	13	0
		3.8%	9.9%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	56	33	1
6Q. Sports Center programs		34.6%	25.0%	100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	42	22	0
		25.9%	16.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	26	28	0
		16.1%	21.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	32	37	0
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		19.5%	27.6%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	34	40	0
		20.7%	30.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	65	42	1
		39.9%	31.8%	100.0%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	22	12	0
		13.5%	8.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	10	6	0
		6.2%	4.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	32	33	0
		19.7%	24.7%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	25.5%	10.1%	20.6%	18.6%
		187	2	16	29	51
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	19.2%	32.6%	30.4%	38.5%
		33	0	4	1	12
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	.0%	7.6%	1.5%	9.3%
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	.0%	3.5%	6.0%	2.9%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	217	6	23	39	40
		37.3%	55.4%	46.2%	41.5%	30.7%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	23.1%	27.1%	26.8%	22.7%	19.2%
		137	0	10	17	36
	Somewhat satisfied	23.6%	.9%	20.1%	18.0%	27.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.3%	.0%	6.4%	7.0%	.0%
		19	0	3	7	0
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.0%	3.0%	3.0%	5.5%
		11	0	0	3	7
	DK/NA	280	8	23	46	63
		48.0%	72.0%	46.7%	49.4%	48.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	26.4%	23.5%	100.0%
		58	31	0
	Somewhat satisfied	35.5%	23.6%	.0%
		12	4	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.2%	2.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3.6%	1.6%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	45	64	0
		27.4%	48.6%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	22.7%	26.3%	.0%
		37	35	0
	Somewhat satisfied	29.9%	19.6%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.0%	2.2%	.0%
		7	3	0
	Very dissatisfied	.7%	.0%	.0%
		1	0	0
	DK/NA	70	69	1
		42.7%	52.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		F	F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	C D E F			
	DK/NA				
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b	
	Very dissatisfied	D E		E	
	DK/NA			D	
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	.b			C
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	E		E	
	Very dissatisfied	C E F			.b
	DK/NA				
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	C D E F			
	DK/NA				
6E. Library services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			C
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b	
	Very dissatisfied			D E	
	DK/NA				
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	C D E F	.b		
	DK/NA				
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			B F
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	C E		
	Very dissatisfied	B C D E F			
	DK/NA				
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	C D			
	DK/NA				
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied		D E		
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied		D	a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	F		a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		a, b
	DK/NA	.		a, b
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied		D	a, b
	Somewhat satisfied	C		a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied		D	a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6E. Library services	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied		E	a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			D F	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	D			
	DK/NA	B C D E			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			F	
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	C D E F			
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.			
	DK/NA				
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA		F D	E F	F
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.			
	DK/NA				
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	.b		E	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	C D E F			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	.b			
	DK/NA	.			
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			
	Very dissatisfied	.			
	DK/NA				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	.b			.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b		E
	Very dissatisfied	.			
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	C	C D	a, b
	Somewhat satisfied		C	a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied		D	a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA			a, b
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied			a, b
	DK/NA		D E	a, b
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat satisfied			a, b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a, b
	Very dissatisfied		b	a, b
	DK/NA			a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6A. Police services	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	250	1	20	94	60	33	38
		43.0%	70.0%	44.2%	44.8%	42.4%	29.2%	64.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	1	17	84	58	35	16
		36.5%	30.0%	36.9%	39.8%	41.5%	30.6%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	0	4	12	7	24	0
		8.9%	.0%	9.7%	5.7%	4.9%	21.4%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	0	1	4	4	13	3
		4.8%	.0%	1.4%	2.1%	3.1%	11.5%	5.9%
	DK/NA	40	0	4	16	11	8	1
		6.9%	.0%	7.8%	7.6%	8.1%	7.2%	1.6%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	189	1	10	58	54	39	26
		32.5%	38.8%	22.2%	27.5%	38.1%	34.6%	44.3%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	1	16	58	47	37	14
		31.0%	30.0%	35.3%	27.6%	33.7%	32.5%	24.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	0	0	9	6	7	2
		4.3%	.0%	.5%	4.1%	4.0%	6.5%	3.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	0	0	1	0
		.4%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	1	18	86	34	29	16
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	31.1%	39.3%	40.8%	24.2%	25.2%	27.5%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	316	2	24	110	79	63	36
		54.4%	96.2%	52.8%	52.1%	56.0%	55.5%	61.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	0	18	75	51	39	21
		36.5%	3.8%	40.1%	35.8%	36.4%	33.9%	35.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	2	10	9	3	2
6E. Library services		4.4%	.0%	4.3%	4.7%	6.7%	2.4%	2.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	0	10	1	8	0
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.7%	7.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	0	1	6	0	1	0
		1.4%	.0%	2.7%	2.7%	.3%	.9%	.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	257	1	21	93	69	43	27
6F. Other services		44.2%	42.6%	47.7%	44.1%	49.3%	37.5%	46.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	0	17	91	59	49	26
		41.7%	.0%	37.8%	43.2%	41.7%	42.9%	45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	1	2	4	6	12	3
		5.3%	30.0%	3.5%	2.1%	4.0%	10.4%	4.5%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	0	7	1	6	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.9%	5.4%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	1	5	15	6	4	2
		6.3%	27.4%	11.1%	7.2%	4.0%	3.8%	3.7%
6H. Other services	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	339	1	22	117	85	71	37

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6A. Police services	Total	12
	Very satisfied	4
		34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	2
		14.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		34.3%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	2
		16.8%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	2
		14.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	7
		61.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	2
6D. Recycling program		13.3%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	3
		28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	8
		70.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
6E. Library services		1.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	3
6F. Other services		22.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
		6.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		35.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	4
		35.8%
6H. Other services	Total	12
	Very satisfied	5

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	61.8%	49.6%	55.4%	60.4%	62.6%	64.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	0	12	64	44	35	13
		30.0%	4.4%	27.2%	30.3%	31.6%	30.5%	22.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	1	2	1	0	1
		1.0%	.0%	3.0%	.8%	.8%	.0%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	1	3	2	3	2
6F. Street pavement maintenance		1.8%	.0%	1.3%	1.5%	1.2%	3.1%	2.7%
	DK/NA	53	1	9	25	8	4	5
		9.1%	33.8%	18.9%	11.9%	6.0%	3.9%	8.5%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	141	1	9	55	40	22	14
		24.2%	36.2%	20.5%	25.9%	28.5%	19.0%	23.4%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	279	1	22	88	74	55	33
		47.9%	60.1%	49.4%	41.7%	52.3%	47.9%	56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	0	11	44	14	19	9
		16.8%	.0%	23.9%	20.7%	9.9%	17.0%	15.6%
	Very dissatisfied	55	0	1	21	13	14	2
		9.4%	.0%	2.9%	10.0%	9.1%	12.5%	4.1%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	10	0	2	3	0	4	0
		1.7%	3.8%	3.4%	1.7%	.2%	3.6%	.7%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	209	0	17	76	62	30	23
		35.9%	8.8%	37.0%	36.2%	43.9%	26.5%	39.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	0	17	84	69	64	31
6I. Economic development efforts		46.2%	.0%	38.1%	39.9%	48.8%	56.4%	53.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	1	4	22	6	10	0
		7.8%	30.0%	9.5%	10.4%	4.2%	8.8%	.6%
	Very dissatisfied	23	0	3	9	1	6	4
		4.0%	3.8%	6.3%	4.4%	.9%	5.2%	6.9%
	DK/NA	35	1	4	19	3	4	0
6J. Economic development efforts		6.1%	57.4%	9.1%	9.2%	2.1%	3.2%	.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	183	2	12	69	45	30	22
		31.4%	91.8%	27.1%	33.0%	32.1%	26.7%	38.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	0	20	94	64	54	28
		45.6%	4.4%	44.6%	44.6%	45.4%	47.2%	48.3%
6K. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	0	5	33	14	18	6
		13.3%	.0%	11.7%	15.5%	9.8%	16.0%	9.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28	0	4	5	10	7	2
		4.9%	.0%	8.2%	2.5%	7.3%	6.5%	2.7%
	DK/NA	28	0	4	9	8	4	1
		4.8%	3.8%	8.4%	4.4%	5.4%	3.6%	.9%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	86	0	7	22	19	11	26

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	41.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	6
		49.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance		.0%
	DK/NA	1
		7.1%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		8.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	6
		56.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		10.5%
	Very dissatisfied	3
		25.3%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0
		.0%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		10.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	4
6I. Economic development efforts		35.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2
		18.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	4
6J. Economic development efforts		35.8%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		9.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	5
		47.3%
6K. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	2
		18.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	3
		25.3%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	.0%	15.7%	10.6%	13.8%	9.7%	44.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	1	18	94	67	35	18
		39.9%	30.0%	39.2%	44.5%	47.3%	30.8%	31.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	0	5	36	16	20	5
		14.1%	4.4%	11.7%	17.2%	11.1%	17.2%	9.3%
	Very dissatisfied	75	0	5	13	16	27	6
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	3.8%	12.2%	6.2%	11.2%	23.9%	11.1%
	DK/NA	106	1	10	45	23	21	2
		18.3%	61.8%	21.1%	21.4%	16.5%	18.4%	3.8%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	169	1	14	63	45	25	21
		29.1%	36.2%	30.5%	29.7%	32.3%	22.4%	35.8%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	1	19	88	63	50	24
		43.3%	60.1%	42.0%	41.8%	45.1%	43.8%	40.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	0	6	34	17	25	11
		16.4%	.0%	14.4%	16.3%	12.3%	22.3%	19.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41	0	2	16	10	10	2
		7.1%	.0%	5.3%	7.5%	7.0%	8.8%	3.7%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	0	4	10	5	3	0
		4.1%	3.8%	7.8%	4.6%	3.4%	2.6%	.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	171	1	11	66	36	32	24
		29.3%	36.2%	23.5%	31.5%	25.6%	28.4%	41.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	1	22	84	79	52	25
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	60.1%	49.8%	39.7%	55.9%	45.6%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	0	6	31	9	17	3
		12.0%	3.8%	13.0%	14.8%	6.1%	14.8%	5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	2	5	3	3	2
		2.6%	.0%	4.2%	2.5%	2.2%	2.6%	2.8%
	DK/NA	60	0	4	24	14	10	4
6N. Senior Center programs		10.3%	.0%	9.6%	11.5%	10.2%	8.5%	7.2%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	142	1	5	54	30	26	27
		24.4%	27.4%	10.0%	25.5%	21.0%	22.4%	46.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	1	14	69	55	51	18
		37.3%	60.1%	31.1%	32.6%	39.4%	44.8%	30.7%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	2	11	5	11	1
		5.3%	.0%	4.4%	5.0%	3.7%	9.6%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	2	4	3	1
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.9%	3.1%	3.1%	1.8%
	DK/NA	181	0	25	76	46	23	11
		31.1%	12.6%	54.5%	36.0%	32.7%	20.2%	18.8%
6P. Senior Center programs	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	82	1	15	26	21	14	4

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0
		2.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		58.7%
	DK/NA	4
		33.8%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		5.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	6
		56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	1
		9.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	3
		25.3%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		5.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	4
6M. Senior Center programs		32.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		34.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		1.4%
	DK/NA	3
6N. Senior Center programs		26.7%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		8.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9
		79.1%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		10.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	0
		2.4%
6P. Senior Center programs	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	64.5%	33.5%	12.4%	15.0%	12.7%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	0	14	47	31	13	17
		21.8%	.0%	31.5%	22.5%	22.1%	11.0%	29.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	0	0	4	2	12	0
		3.1%	3.8%	.7%	1.7%	1.4%	10.7%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13	0	2	4	3	4	0
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	.0%	5.5%	2.0%	2.0%	3.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	342	1	13	129	84	71	37
		58.7%	31.8%	28.7%	61.4%	59.6%	62.5%	63.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	116	0	9	42	27	23	15
		19.9%	.0%	19.8%	19.8%	19.5%	20.3%	25.6%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	1	13	70	58	23	21
		32.1%	30.0%	29.2%	33.2%	40.9%	20.5%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	4	20	15	23	5
		12.1%	.0%	8.3%	9.3%	10.4%	20.5%	8.0%
	Very dissatisfied	24	0	2	6	4	8	3
		4.1%	.0%	3.8%	3.0%	2.8%	6.9%	4.5%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	1	17	73	37	36	15
		31.8%	70.0%	38.8%	34.7%	26.5%	31.8%	26.3%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	47	1	5	17	10	8	6
		8.0%	30.0%	11.2%	8.0%	7.0%	7.2%	10.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	16	71	53	23	17
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	.0%	35.4%	33.5%	37.8%	20.1%	28.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	1	11	50	27	32	11
		22.7%	34.4%	25.4%	23.8%	19.2%	28.1%	18.3%
	Very dissatisfied	95	0	7	23	23	27	8
		16.3%	3.8%	16.6%	10.7%	16.2%	24.0%	13.9%
	DK/NA	128	1	5	51	28	23	17
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		22.0%	31.8%	11.4%	24.0%	19.8%	20.6%	28.6%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	133	1	11	43	34	20	23
		22.9%	38.8%	24.1%	20.5%	24.1%	17.3%	40.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	1	17	70	56	46	20
		36.2%	30.0%	37.7%	33.1%	40.1%	40.3%	34.1%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	6	25	14	16	6
		12.1%	.0%	12.8%	11.7%	10.1%	13.7%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25	0	1	6	6	10	2
		4.4%	3.8%	2.7%	2.8%	4.4%	8.6%	3.6%
	DK/NA	142	1	10	67	30	23	7
		24.4%	27.4%	22.7%	32.0%	21.4%	20.1%	11.4%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	126	1	8	33	33	20	29

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	4
		36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0
6N. Neighborhood programs		.0%
	DK/NA	7
		63.4%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0
		.0%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	1
		12.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5
		39.2%
	Very dissatisfied	1
		10.5%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	4
		37.4%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0
		.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
6Q. Sports Center programs		10.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	6
		55.3%
	DK/NA	4
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		31.0%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	1
		11.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	1
		12.6%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	4
		35.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		1.4%
	DK/NA	4
		38.6%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	12
	Very satisfied	2

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	30.0%	18.1%	15.7%	23.6%	17.5%	50.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	13	67	45	43	11
		32.0%	.0%	29.3%	31.8%	31.8%	37.3%	18.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	0	0	9	8	12	2
		5.6%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	6.0%	10.9%	3.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	1	3	5	5	5
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		3.3%	.0%	1.4%	1.4%	3.6%	4.3%	9.4%
	DK/NA	217	1	23	98	49	34	10
		37.3%	70.0%	51.2%	46.5%	35.0%	30.0%	17.7%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	135	0	8	54	33	25	14
		23.1%	.0%	18.8%	25.4%	23.7%	22.2%	23.5%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	137	0	11	42	34	26	18
		23.6%	.0%	23.6%	20.0%	24.1%	22.6%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	0	1	7	2	7	1
		3.3%	.0%	2.9%	3.1%	1.4%	6.3%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	4	1	7	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.8%	5.7%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	280	2	25	105	70	49	25
		48.0%	100.0%	54.7%	49.8%	50.0%	43.2%	43.9%

		People in Household with Cell Phone
		Not sure
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	8
		70.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0
		3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		.0%
	DK/NA	1
		10.0%
	Total	12
	Very satisfied	0
		2.4%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	7
		62.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1
		10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0
		.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	3
		24.1%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6A. Police services	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	250 43.0%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	49 25.7%	1 48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	103 54.2%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52 8.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	19 9.8%	0 .0%
	Very dissatisfied	28 4.8%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	40 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.3%	1 51.2%
		582	3	3	189	2
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	189 32.5%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	43 22.5%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	180 31.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	83 44.1%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.6%	1 51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	3 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	185 31.7%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	55 28.9%	1 48.8%
		582	3	3	189	2
6C. Garbage collection	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	316 54.4%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	79 41.9%	1 48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	212 36.5%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	96 50.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 4.1%	1 51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	5 2.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	8 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%
		582	3	3	189	2
6D. Recycling program	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	257 44.2%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	59 31.4%	0 .0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242 41.7%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	101 53.2%	0 .0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31 5.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 7.2%	1 51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	9 4.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	37 6.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	7 3.5%	1 48.8%
		582	3	3	189	2
6E. Library services		339	3	0	104	2

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6A. Police services	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	40	4	14	5
		37.5%	26.7%	55.7%	58.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	28	6	7	1
		26.5%	41.1%	28.8%	12.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14	5	1	1
		13.7%	32.2%	4.4%	11.8%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	9	0	0	0
		8.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	14	0	3	1
		13.5%	.0%	11.1%	17.2%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	37	6	13	4
		34.6%	40.5%	54.0%	53.8%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	41	4	2	1
		38.9%	28.7%	6.4%	11.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	2	0	0
		4.8%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	22	3	10	3
6D. Recycling program		20.7%	16.6%	39.6%	34.4%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	50	8	16	8
		47.4%	50.4%	62.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	43	4	7	0
		40.7%	24.5%	26.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	1	0	0
6E. Library services		5.9%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	6	3	0	0
		6.0%	18.4%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	45	5	15	6
6F. Other services		42.1%	33.8%	58.4%	75.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	47	8	6	2
		43.9%	49.2%	23.6%	24.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0	0	0
		6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	0
		2.2%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	5	2	4	0
		4.8%	10.3%	18.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6A. Police services	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	12	4	92	14
		87.4%	39.9%	62.1%	62.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	4	42	6
		12.6%	38.5%	28.4%	26.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	5	3
		.0%	21.6%	3.1%	11.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	14	1	55	5
		100.0%	12.0%	37.0%	21.9%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	0	4	31	6
		.0%	38.5%	20.9%	27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	1
		.0%	.0%	4.1%	3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	5	55	11
6D. Recycling program		.0%	49.6%	37.1%	47.0%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	11	6	103	12
		79.0%	56.2%	69.5%	52.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	2	35	8
		21.0%	20.5%	23.3%	34.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	5	1
6E. Library services		.0%	23.4%	3.5%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	2
		.0%	.0%	.7%	10.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	5	0
		.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	9	1	89	10
6F. Other services		64.2%	11.4%	60.3%	43.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	7	38	9
		35.8%	72.3%	25.7%	38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	1
		.0%	.0%	3.9%	5.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	0	2	13	3
		.0%	16.3%	8.7%	12.6%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	3 27.1%	3 46.2%	8 46.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	5 46.7%	1 15.9%	4 22.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0 2.2%	0 6.6%	1 8.5%
	Very dissatisfied	1 7.0%	1 24.7%	1 4.1%
	DK/NA	2 17.1%	0 6.6%	3 18.7%
	6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Total	12	6
Very satisfied		3 24.8%	0 6.6%	7 37.3%
Somewhat satisfied		2 12.9%	3 43.8%	1 3.5%
Somewhat dissatisfied		0 2.7%	0 6.6%	1 3.2%
Very dissatisfied		0 .0%	0 3.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA		7 59.5%	2 39.4%	10 56.1%
6C. Garbage collection		Total	12	6
	Very satisfied	7 62.1%	4 61.6%	9 50.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	4 30.9%	1 14.7%	8 47.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1 7.0%	0 3.6%	0 2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0 .0%	1 20.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	6D. Recycling program	Total	12	6
Very satisfied		6 51.4%	3 56.4%	6 31.9%
Somewhat satisfied		5 39.8%	2 35.5%	11 63.0%
Somewhat dissatisfied		1 8.8%	0 .0%	1 3.5%
Very dissatisfied		0 .0%	0 3.6%	0 .0%
DK/NA		0 .0%	0 4.5%	0 1.6%
6E. Library services		Total	12	6
Very satisfied	5	4	11	

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	57.0%	50.4%	75.7%	71.0%
		32	7	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	30.1%	42.5%	17.6%	11.8%
		2	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		6	0	0	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		6	1	2	1
	DK/NA	5.5%	7.1%	6.6%	17.2%
		106	15	25	8
	Total	24	4	15	3
	Very satisfied	22.5%	26.7%	60.9%	40.9%
6G. Street sweeping services		46	7	7	1
	Somewhat satisfied	43.4%	42.9%	28.0%	12.8%
		20	2	0	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.3%	13.5%	.0%	46.2%
		16	3	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	14.9%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	42	4	13	6
		39.2%	24.0%	51.8%	71.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	9	8	0
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	42.3%	55.8%	32.9%	.0%
		9	2	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	8.5%	9.9%	.0%	11.8%
		9	0	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	8.1%	.0%	.0%	17.2%
		2	2	4	0
6J. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	1.9%	10.3%	15.3%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	31	5	14	3
		28.9%	33.4%	56.2%	40.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	44	8	7	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	41.6%	49.6%	28.5%	41.9%
6K. Street tree maintenance		20	0	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.1%	.0%	.0%	17.2%
		7	3	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	6.7%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
		4	0	4	0
	DK/NA	3.8%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	20	1	7	6

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	100.0%	45.6%	59.7%	43.3%
		0	3	33	9
	Somewhat satisfied	.0%	26.5%	21.9%	37.5%
		0	0	1	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.4%	5.1%
		0	0	2	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%
		0	3	25	3
	DK/NA	.0%	27.9%	16.6%	14.0%
		14	10	148	23
	Total	4	0	48	2
	Very satisfied	30.1%	.0%	32.4%	9.5%
6G. Street sweeping services		9	7	56	11
	Somewhat satisfied	69.9%	66.4%	37.9%	48.1%
		0	2	30	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	22.2%	20.1%	14.0%
		0	0	13	7
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	8.7%	28.4%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0	1	1	0
		.0%	11.4%	.9%	.0%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	9	0	68	8
		62.9%	.0%	45.5%	34.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	9	50	5
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	37.1%	88.6%	33.6%	20.3%
		0	0	13	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	8.6%	3.5%
		0	0	7	0
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%
		0	1	11	10
6J. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	.0%	11.4%	7.7%	41.9%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	5	1	55	11
		39.8%	11.4%	37.2%	46.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	8	60	8
	Somewhat dissatisfied	60.2%	78.4%	40.2%	35.3%
6K. Street tree maintenance		0	1	15	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	10.2%	10.2%	.0%
		0	0	9	1
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	5.7%	2.4%
		0	0	10	4
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	6.6%	16.1%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	2	3	20	2

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	43.8%	65.1%	61.6%
		5	1	5
	Somewhat satisfied	43.9%	10.2%	29.5%
		0	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	2.9%
		0	0	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.0%
		1	1	1
	DK/NA	12.2%	24.7%	6.1%
		12	6	17
	Total	2	0	4
	Very satisfied	13.0%	6.6%	22.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	45.7%	78.2%	31.8%
		5	5	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	1	5
		37.6%	10.7%	28.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	3
		.0%	4.5%	17.2%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0	0	0
		3.8%	.0%	.5%
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	3	6
		18.4%	52.8%	34.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	2	6
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied	74.7%	27.0%	37.2%
		1	1	4
	Very dissatisfied	7.0%	16.6%	22.8%
		0	0	1
	DK/NA	.0%	3.6%	5.2%
		0	0	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	3	6
		18.4%	52.8%	34.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	2	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	74.7%	27.0%	37.2%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very dissatisfied	7.0%	16.6%	22.8%
		0	0	1
	DK/NA	.0%	3.6%	5.2%
		0	0	0
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	12	6	17
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	2	3	3
		18.7%	48.2%	18.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	1	5
		42.1%	21.3%	27.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	6
		27.3%	22.3%	35.1%
6M. Senior Center programs	Very dissatisfied	1	0	2
		11.9%	3.6%	13.9%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	4.5%	5.2%
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	0	0	7

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	50.0%	.0%	7.9%	.0%
		232	0	3	90	0
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	.0%	100.0%	47.3%	.0%
		82	1	0	30	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	50.0%	.0%	15.9%	.0%
		75	0	0	26	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	.0%	.0%	14.0%	.0%
		106	0	0	28	2
	DK/NA	18.3%	.0%	.0%	15.0%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	169	3	0	40	1
		29.1%	100.0%	.0%	21.1%	48.8%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	0	0	107	1
		43.3%	.0%	.0%	56.7%	51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	0	0	32	0
		16.4%	.0%	.0%	16.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	41	0	0	8	0
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	0	3	2	0
		4.1%	.0%	100.0%	1.1%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	171	3	0	39	1
		29.3%	100.0%	.0%	20.7%	48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	0	3	109	1
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	45.8%	.0%	100.0%	57.3%	51.2%
		70	0	0	23	0
	Very dissatisfied	12.0%	.0%	.0%	12.2%	.0%
		15	0	0	1	0
	DK/NA	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%
		60	0	0	17	0
6N. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	10.3%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	142	0	0	20	1
		24.4%	.0%	.0%	10.4%	48.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	1	3	101	0
		37.3%	50.0%	100.0%	53.4%	.0%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	0	0	9	0
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	4	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	181	1	0	55	1
		31.1%	50.0%	.0%	29.2%	51.2%
6P. Senior Center programs	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	82	0	0	19	0

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	19.3%	9.4%	29.2%	75.3%
		41	2	12	1
	Somewhat satisfied	38.3%	16.1%	49.2%	12.8%
		13	5	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.8%	32.6%	4.2%	.0%
		19	4	0	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	17.9%	23.7%	.0%	.0%
		13	3	4	1
	DK/NA	12.7%	18.2%	17.5%	11.8%
		106	15	25	8
	Total	37	4	10	5
	Very satisfied	35.2%	25.5%	40.7%	58.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		38	7	10	1
	Somewhat satisfied	35.7%	47.2%	41.3%	12.8%
		19	1	0	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.7%	7.1%	.0%	29.0%
		11	2	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	10.5%	9.9%	.0%	.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	1	2	4	0
		.9%	10.3%	18.0%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	36	4	7	3
		34.5%	26.7%	29.1%	40.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	30	8	13	3
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	28.6%	49.2%	53.2%	41.9%
		21	1	2	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20.1%	7.1%	6.6%	.0%
		4	0	0	0
	Very dissatisfied	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	14	3	3	1
6N. Senior Center programs		13.2%	17.0%	11.1%	17.2%
	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	31	5	11	0
		29.0%	33.9%	42.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	38	6	6	3
		35.8%	41.6%	22.1%	40.9%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	1	0	0
		6.7%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	0
		3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	27	3	9	5
		25.3%	17.4%	35.4%	59.1%
6P. Senior Center programs	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	11	1	3	1

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	18.4%	27.9%	13.3%	8.9%
		9	4	53	6
	Somewhat satisfied	69.9%	38.5%	35.8%	24.5%
		2	1	22	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.7%	10.2%	15.1%	21.8%
		0	1	16	2
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	.0%	12.0%	11.0%	8.1%
		0	1	37	9
	DK/NA	.0%	11.4%	24.8%	36.7%
		14	10	148	23
	Total	4	2	50	4
	Very satisfied	30.1%	23.4%	33.6%	19.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		9	7	56	3
	Somewhat satisfied	69.9%	66.4%	37.6%	12.5%
		0	1	25	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	10.2%	16.8%	22.2%
		0	0	10	8
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	6.8%	33.6%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	0	0	8	3
		.0%	.0%	5.3%	12.6%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	5	0	55	7
		39.8%	.0%	37.3%	29.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	7	62	6
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	60.2%	72.3%	42.1%	23.6%
		0	0	11	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	7.4%	26.5%
		0	2	5	1
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	16.3%	3.4%	2.4%
	DK/NA	0	1	14	4
6N. Senior Center programs		.0%	11.4%	9.7%	18.1%
	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	10	1	48	7
		76.9%	12.0%	32.2%	31.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	3	34	5
		23.1%	26.5%	22.8%	20.6%
6O. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	1	9	2
		.0%	10.2%	5.9%	6.8%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	5	57	9
		.0%	51.3%	38.5%	40.8%
6P. Senior Center programs	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	3	0	35	2

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	2.7%	.0%	42.9%
		5	3	4
	Somewhat satisfied	40.3%	48.2%	21.0%
		1	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.0%	18.3%	1.6%
		3	2	2
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very dissatisfied	25.9%	26.9%	10.5%
		3	0	4
	DK/NA	25.1%	6.6%	24.0%
		12	6	17
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	18.4%	48.2%	20.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		6	1	5
	Somewhat satisfied	53.0%	10.2%	29.0%
		2	2	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.2%	30.4%	36.5%
		0	0	2
	Very dissatisfied	2.7%	4.5%	13.9%
6L. Youth sports fields		1	0	0
	DK/NA	8.8%	6.6%	.5%
		12	6	17
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	50.5%	.0%	16.3%
		3	2	12
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	22.6%	31.6%	66.5%
		2	3	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19.9%	48.2%	1.4%
		0	0	3
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	3.6%	14.9%
		1	1	0
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA	7.0%	16.6%	.9%
		12	6	17
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	22.1%	.0%	31.2%
		5	1	8
	Somewhat satisfied	46.7%	13.7%	45.7%
6O. Managing land use		1	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9.7%	.0%	5.4%
		0	0	2
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	13.9%
		3	5	1
	DK/NA	21.6%	86.3%	3.7%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		12	6	17
	Total	12	6	17
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	3	0	5
		3	0	5

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%
		127	0	0	59	0
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	.0%	.0%	31.1%	.0%
		18	0	0	6	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.0%
		13	0	0	4	0
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
		342	3	3	103	2
	DK/NA	58.7%	100.0%	100.0%	54.1%	100.0%
		582	3	3	189	2
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	116	1	0	22	0
6O. Managing land use		19.9%	50.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	0	3	78	0
		32.1%	.0%	100.0%	41.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	0	20	0
		12.1%	.0%	.0%	10.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	24	0	0	8	0
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		4.1%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	1	0	61	2
		31.8%	50.0%	.0%	32.3%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	47	1	0	6	0
		8.0%	50.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	181	0	3	79	0
		31.0%	.0%	100.0%	41.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	1	0	36	1
		22.7%	50.0%	.0%	19.2%	51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	95	0	0	32	1
		16.3%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	48.8%
6R. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	128	0	0	35	0
		22.0%	.0%	.0%	18.6%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	133	1	0	25	0
		22.9%	50.0%	.0%	13.3%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	0	0	93	0
6S. Senior Center programs		36.2%	.0%	.0%	48.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	0	0	26	0
		12.1%	.0%	.0%	13.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	25	0	0	9	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	142	1	3	37	2
6T. Senior Center programs		24.4%	50.0%	100.0%	19.5%	100.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
6U. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	126	0	0	22	0
		21.6%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	10.5%	9.4%	11.4%	17.2%
		14	2	4	1
	Somewhat satisfied	13.6%	14.2%	17.5%	12.8%
		6	0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		5	0	0	0
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		69	12	18	6
	DK/NA	65.2%	76.4%	71.1%	70.0%
		106	15	25	8
	Total				
		24	3	7	4
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	22.8%	18.8%	29.4%	47.2%
		36	2	9	3
	Somewhat satisfied	33.9%	10.3%	35.1%	40.9%
		17	4	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.5%	23.7%	.0%	.0%
		9	1	0	0
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very dissatisfied	8.5%	7.9%	.0%	.0%
		19	6	9	1
	DK/NA	18.2%	39.3%	35.4%	11.8%
		106	15	25	8
	Total				
		8	3	3	1
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	8.0%	18.8%	11.4%	17.2%
		25	4	9	2
	Somewhat satisfied	23.4%	25.1%	35.1%	24.7%
		32	4	4	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30.1%	28.8%	17.5%	.0%
		22	3	0	0
6R. Other programs	Very dissatisfied	20.5%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
		19	2	9	5
	DK/NA	18.0%	10.3%	36.0%	58.1%
		106	15	25	8
	Total				
		28	6	6	4
6S. Other programs	Very satisfied	26.4%	36.6%	22.6%	47.2%
		34	0	9	3
	Somewhat satisfied	32.4%	.0%	37.2%	40.9%
		17	1	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15.7%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
		7	3	0	0
6T. Other programs	Very dissatisfied	6.2%	18.4%	.0%	.0%
		21	6	10	1
	DK/NA	19.4%	38.3%	40.3%	11.8%
		106	15	25	8
	Total				
		27	3	6	5

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18.8%	.0%	23.5%	9.1%
		9	1	24	2
	Somewhat satisfied	69.4%	10.2%	16.2%	9.5%
		0	0	3	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	2.0%	6.7%
		0	0	3	1
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	2.2%	3.5%
		2	9	83	17
	DK/NA	11.7%	89.8%	56.2%	71.2%
		14	10	148	23
	Total				
		3	1	40	4
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	19.7%	12.0%	26.9%	15.6%
		3	3	37	3
	Somewhat satisfied	23.1%	32.4%	25.2%	11.6%
		0	2	16	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	16.3%	10.6%	18.9%
		0	0	5	0
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%
		8	4	51	13
	DK/NA	57.2%	39.4%	34.2%	53.9%
		14	10	148	23
	Total				
		2	0	17	1
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	18.4%	.0%	11.7%	4.6%
		0	5	36	9
	Somewhat satisfied	.0%	50.1%	24.5%	40.4%
		5	1	34	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	36.2%	10.2%	22.8%	27.3%
		0	1	30	1
6R. Other programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	12.0%	20.0%	2.8%
		6	3	31	6
	DK/NA	45.4%	27.7%	21.1%	25.0%
		14	10	148	23
	Total				
		6	4	41	2
6S. Other programs	Very satisfied	41.9%	39.9%	27.8%	8.9%
		5	3	47	4
	Somewhat satisfied	33.6%	32.4%	31.9%	16.8%
		0	2	15	5
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	16.3%	10.1%	21.8%
		0	0	5	0
6T. Other programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%
		3	1	40	12
	DK/NA	24.5%	11.4%	26.7%	52.5%
		14	10	148	23
	Total				
		14	0	36	5

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	22.6%	.0%	27.6%
		2	3	4
	Somewhat satisfied	21.5%	48.2%	22.2%
		1	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9.2%	6.7%	.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA	46.8%	41.5%	49.8%
		5	2	9
	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	5.5%	.0%	33.7%
		6	1	4
	Somewhat satisfied	47.8%	13.7%	23.0%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.2%	61.8%	20.9%
		0	4	4
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	1.6%
	DK/NA	5	1	4
		41.8%	24.5%	20.7%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	0	0	2
		2.7%	.0%	13.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	3	2
		29.1%	48.2%	11.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	3
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied	14.0%	24.9%	19.6%
		2	1	1
	DK/NA	20.7%	22.3%	8.3%
		4	0	8
	Total	33.5%	4.5%	47.0%
	Very satisfied	2	0	9
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		14.1%	.0%	50.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	6	0	6
		50.9%	3.6%	35.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	3	1
		15.7%	49.7%	4.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
6Q. Sports Center programs	DK/NA	0	26.8%	1.4%
		2	1	1
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	2	6

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	.0%
		187	1	0	77	0
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	50.0%	.0%	40.8%	.0%
		33	0	0	17	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	.0%	.0%	8.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	0	3	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	3.3%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
		217	1	3	71	2
	Total	37.3%	50.0%	100.0%	37.2%	100.0%
		582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	135	1	0	24	0
		23.1%	50.0%	.0%	12.7%	.0%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	137	0	0	75	1
		23.6%	.0%	.0%	39.6%	51.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	0	0	10	0
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	2	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	280	1	3	78	1
		48.0%	50.0%	100.0%	41.2%	48.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	25.5%	17.3%	22.6%	58.1%
		38	4	9	0
	Somewhat satisfied	36.0%	27.8%	35.4%	.0%
		5	2	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4.8%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	8	2	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	7.3%	9.9%	.0%	.0%
		28	5	10	3
	Total	26.4%	30.8%	42.0%	41.9%
		106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	17	5	9	0
		16.4%	33.8%	35.8%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	23	3	0	0
		21.7%	20.2%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	0	0	0
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	9	0	0	0
		8.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	50	7	16	8
		47.6%	46.0%	64.2%	100.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	100.0%	.0%	24.4%	20.2%
		0	2	36	7
	Somewhat satisfied	.0%	22.2%	24.6%	28.1%
		0	3	4	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	26.5%	2.4%	.0%
		0	3	4	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	27.9%	2.5%	.0%
		0	2	68	12
	DK/NA	.0%	23.4%	46.1%	51.8%
		14	10	148	23
	Total	1	3	60	6
		7.1%	27.9%	40.6%	27.4%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	7.1%	27.9%	40.6%	27.4%
		2	5	18	4
	Somewhat satisfied	11.3%	50.4%	12.0%	18.9%
		0	0	2	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	1.0%	3.3%
		0	0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%
		11	2	69	12
	DK/NA	81.6%	21.6%	46.3%	50.4%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	18.3%	41.6%	32.1%
		5	1	6
	Somewhat satisfied	42.6%	13.7%	32.0%
		1	1	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.0%	19.3%	5.7%
		0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	.0%
		4	1	5
	DK/NA	34.1%	25.4%	30.2%
		12	6	17
	Total	3	1	3
		27.8%	23.2%	15.2%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	27.8%	23.2%	15.2%
		1	0	5
	Somewhat satisfied	9.9%	6.6%	27.3%
		0	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	1.3%
		0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very dissatisfied	.0%	3.6%	.0%
		7	4	10
	DK/NA	62.2%	66.6%	56.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a	E K	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	C K	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
			.a		.a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied		.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a	G K	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied		.a	.a	.a
	DK/NA		.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a	K	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		K
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a	K	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		K
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		C
		.a	.a		.a
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a	K	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied		.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a	K L	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied		.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.a	.a		.a
		.a	.a		.a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		K		
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA		a		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA				
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied				a
	Somewhat satisfied				a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA	a	C K	C	a
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA				
6E. Library services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			C E L	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	K	a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied				a
	Somewhat satisfied			a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	
	Very dissatisfied		a		a
	DK/NA				
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	
	DK/NA		a		
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied				C E K L M
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	C E		C E	C
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	a			
	Somewhat satisfied	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a			
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied			C E	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		K
	DK/NA	a	a		a
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a			
6E. Library services	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a		C	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		a		
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		C
	DK/NA	a			a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied		a	C	
	Somewhat satisfied		K L		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a			C E K
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	a		
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a			
	DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied		K	
	DK/NA			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		a
	DK/NA	.		.
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	K	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	.	.
	DK/NA	.		.
6E. Library services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	.
	DK/NA			
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.	a	
	DK/NA		.	
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a		
	DK/NA	a	a	a
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA	a		
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied		a	C
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	E K L	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	E	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		.
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied			K	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	C	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	K	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	.		.
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	a		a
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	a	a	K	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	a		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			a	
	Somewhat satisfied			.	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			.	a
	DK/NA			C E	.
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				a
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	.
	DK/NA		.	.	.
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	C		C	a
	Somewhat satisfied				.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA	I	I	I	.
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA			.	.
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				a
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a
	Very dissatisfied			a	.
	DK/NA			.	.
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied		a		
	Somewhat satisfied		.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied				C
	Somewhat satisfied				a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	a
	Very dissatisfied			a	a
	DK/NA			.	.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied				a
	Somewhat satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	C K	a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	L			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		C K
	DK/NA	.	a		C
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	C		
	DK/NA	.	.		
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	C E K		C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	E K L			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		
	DK/NA	.	.		I
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied			C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		E
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	.	.		
	DK/NA	.	.		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	.		.
	DK/NA	.	.		C
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied	a	.		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	K		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	C K		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			C E	
	Somewhat satisfied		K		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	a	K	C
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	a	a	C K
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	a	a	a
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	a	C K	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	a	a	C
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	a		
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	a	a	a
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	a	a	a
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	250	132	46	46	15	7	4
		43.0%	42.0%	44.3%	40.6%	48.7%	65.7%	46.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	123	35	39	11	2	3
		36.5%	38.9%	33.3%	34.9%	34.4%	23.1%	30.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	33	4	11	3	0	0
		8.9%	10.5%	4.2%	9.9%	9.1%	.0%	1.8%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	12	3	9	2	1	0
		4.8%	3.8%	3.3%	8.1%	6.4%	11.3%	2.5%
	DK/NA	40	15	16	7	0	0	2
		6.9%	4.8%	14.9%	6.6%	1.3%	.0%	19.0%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	189	85	41	45	13	3	2
		32.5%	27.0%	39.8%	39.7%	42.3%	24.7%	26.0%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	108	31	27	9	3	2
		31.0%	34.2%	29.9%	24.2%	29.0%	28.7%	26.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	7	8	8	1	2	1
		4.3%	2.1%	7.3%	6.9%	2.7%	18.1%	6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	0	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.3%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	115	24	31	8	3	4
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	36.5%	23.0%	27.5%	26.0%	28.4%	40.9%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	316	168	50	64	23	6	6
		54.4%	53.2%	48.5%	57.0%	74.7%	53.1%	64.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	117	46	37	7	3	2
		36.5%	37.0%	43.9%	33.2%	22.6%	28.7%	26.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	12	5	6	1	2	0
6E. Library services		4.4%	3.8%	4.7%	5.2%	2.7%	18.1%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	19	12	2	4	0	0	0
		3.3%	3.9%	2.3%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	7	1	1	0	0	1
		1.4%	2.1%	.6%	.6%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	257	133	51	50	15	3	6
6F. Street pavement maintenance		44.2%	42.1%	48.7%	44.2%	47.6%	31.1%	69.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	132	44	47	15	3	2
		41.7%	42.0%	42.4%	41.3%	48.6%	28.7%	17.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	18	3	6	1	2	1
		5.3%	5.6%	3.0%	5.0%	3.8%	18.1%	13.4%
	Very dissatisfied	15	8	1	5	0	0	0
		2.5%	2.7%	1.4%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	37	24	5	6	0	2	0
		6.3%	7.7%	4.4%	5.1%	.0%	22.0%	.0%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	339	166	68	73	18	8	6
		58.2%	52.7%	65.2%	64.6%	58.3%	76.9%	65.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	105	27	32	9	1	1
		30.0%	33.2%	25.5%	28.3%	28.7%	13.4%	12.7%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	3	2	0	0	1	0
		1.0%	.8%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	9.6%	2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	3	2	2	0	0
		1.8%	1.2%	2.8%	2.1%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	53	38	5	6	2	0	2
		9.1%	12.1%	4.9%	5.0%	7.4%	.0%	19.8%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	141	73	20	30	12	3	3
		24.2%	23.2%	19.1%	26.3%	39.4%	31.4%	32.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	148	58	49	15	6	3
		47.9%	47.0%	55.7%	43.4%	48.6%	53.3%	33.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	55	16	21	2	2	3
		16.8%	17.3%	15.1%	19.0%	5.4%	15.3%	34.1%
	Very dissatisfied	55	34	8	13	0	0	0
6J. Street lighting		9.4%	10.7%	7.7%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	10	5	3	0	2	0	0
		1.7%	1.7%	2.4%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	209	108	41	44	10	3	3
		35.9%	34.2%	39.4%	39.3%	31.7%	31.4%	36.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	138	51	51	18	6	4
6K. Street cleaning		46.2%	43.9%	49.1%	45.6%	60.2%	56.5%	44.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	26	10	7	2	0	1
		7.8%	8.2%	9.3%	6.1%	5.4%	.9%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23	14	2	6	0	1	0
		4.0%	4.5%	1.9%	5.3%	.0%	11.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	29	0	4	1	0	1
		6.1%	9.3%	.3%	3.8%	2.7%	.0%	7.3%
6L. Street maintenance	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	183	96	30	35	14	3	4
		31.4%	30.4%	28.9%	31.2%	45.3%	31.4%	50.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	152	49	42	12	6	3
		45.6%	48.2%	47.6%	37.3%	40.2%	56.5%	37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	35	19	20	2	1	1
		13.3%	11.0%	18.4%	17.6%	5.2%	12.1%	11.8%
6M. Street repairs	Very dissatisfied	28	13	2	13	1	0	0
		4.9%	4.0%	2.2%	11.3%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	28	20	3	3	2	0	0
		4.8%	6.4%	3.0%	2.6%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	86	49	13	13	4	4	3
		14.8%	15.6%	12.5%	11.5%	12.9%	36.4%	33.3%

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	52.7%	65.2%	64.6%	58.3%	76.9%	65.0%
		174	105	27	32	9	1	1
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	33.2%	25.5%	28.3%	28.7%	13.4%	12.7%
		6	3	2	0	0	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied		1.0%	.8%	1.6%	.0%	9.6%	2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	3	2	2	0	0
		1.8%	1.2%	2.8%	2.1%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	53	38	5	6	2	0	2
		9.1%	12.1%	4.9%	5.0%	7.4%	.0%	19.8%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	141	73	20	30	12	3	3
		24.2%	23.2%	19.1%	26.3%	39.4%	31.4%	32.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	148	58	49	15	6	3
		47.9%	47.0%	55.7%	43.4%	48.6%	53.3%	33.3%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	55	16	21	2	2	3
		16.8%	17.3%	15.1%	19.0%	5.4%	15.3%	34.1%
	Very dissatisfied	55	34	8	13	0	0	0
		9.4%	10.7%	7.7%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	10	5	3	0	2	0	0
		1.7%	1.7%	2.4%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	209	108	41	44	10	3	3
		35.9%	34.2%	39.4%	39.3%	31.7%	31.4%	36.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	138	51	51	18	6	4
		46.2%	43.9%	49.1%	45.6%	60.2%	56.5%	44.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	26	10	7	2	0	1
		7.8%	8.2%	9.3%	6.1%	5.4%	.9%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	23	14	2	6	0	1	0
6I. Street cleaning		4.0%	4.5%	1.9%	5.3%	.0%	11.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	29	0	4	1	0	1
		6.1%	9.3%	.3%	3.8%	2.7%	.0%	7.3%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	183	96	30	35	14	3	4
		31.4%	30.4%	28.9%	31.2%	45.3%	31.4%	50.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	152	49	42	12	6	3
6J. Street repairs		45.6%	48.2%	47.6%	37.3%	40.2%	56.5%	37.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	35	19	20	2	1	1
		13.3%	11.0%	18.4%	17.6%	5.2%	12.1%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	28	13	2	13	1	0	0
		4.9%	4.0%	2.2%	11.3%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	28	20	3	3	2	0	0
		4.8%	6.4%	3.0%	2.6%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
6K. Street maintenance	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	86	49	13	13	4	4	3

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	15.6%	12.7%	11.3%	14.4%	35.9%	32.6%
		232	126	47	42	14	1	2
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	40.1%	44.8%	37.7%	44.3%	13.4%	20.0%
		82	46	15	17	5	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	14.4%	14.3%	14.8%	16.9%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	11.4%	10.5%	17.0%	9.3%	29.4%	32.0%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		106	58	18	22	5	2	1
	DK/NA	18.3%	18.4%	17.6%	19.1%	15.1%	21.2%	15.4%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	29.1%	27.9%	32.3%	28.2%	26.6%	46.7%	32.6%
		252	140	46	42	18	4	2
	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	44.6%	43.9%	37.4%	57.4%	41.2%	17.9%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		95	44	19	25	4	1	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	13.8%	18.4%	22.1%	13.3%	11.3%	30.7%
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	8.0%	2.1%	11.7%	2.8%	.9%	.0%
		41	25	2	13	1	0	0
	DK/NA	4.1%	5.8%	3.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	18.8%
		24	18	3	1	0	0	2
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	29.3%	26.7%	32.0%	34.5%	29.1%	31.4%	25.5%
		267	156	46	37	19	5	3
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	49.4%	44.4%	33.2%	61.6%	50.5%	34.2%
		70	35	11	20	1	2	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	11.2%	10.2%	17.3%	2.7%	18.1%	19.8%
6M. Senior Center programs	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	2.4%	.5%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		15	8	1	7	0	0	0
	DK/NA	10.3%	10.4%	12.8%	8.9%	6.6%	.0%	20.5%
		60	33	13	10	2	0	2
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	24.4%	19.9%	29.5%	32.1%	28.2%	26.0%	13.9%
6N. Neighborhood programs		217	110	42	43	15	5	3
	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	34.9%	40.7%	37.9%	48.7%	43.7%	31.1%
		31	15	5	6	3	2	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	4.6%	5.2%	5.2%	8.8%	18.1%	5.7%
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.9%	3.0%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		11	3	3	5	0	0	0
6O. Managing land use	DK/NA	31.1%	39.8%	21.5%	20.6%	14.3%	12.1%	49.3%
		181	125	22	23	4	1	4
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	22.9%	23.1%	22.6%	21.9%	25.0%	20.6%	26.0%
		211	117	40	32	14	4	3
	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	37.2%	38.0%	28.4%	47.2%	41.2%	33.6%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		71	39	9	15	3	2	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	12.4%	8.6%	13.7%	8.8%	15.3%	29.5%
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	4.2%	3.6%	5.7%	5.2%	.0%	1.8%
		25	13	4	6	2	0	0
	DK/NA	24.4%	23.0%	27.2%	30.2%	13.9%	22.9%	9.1%
		142	72	28	34	4	2	1
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	126	62	32	21	8	0	3

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	17.0%	16.2%	6.1%	4.0%	13.4%	25.3%
		127	86	18	11	7	1	3
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	27.4%	17.6%	9.7%	22.6%	13.4%	29.1%
		18	11	4	2	1	0	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	3.4%	4.0%	2.1%	2.7%	.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	1.7%	2.8%	2.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%
6N. Neighborhood programs		13	5	3	2	3	0	0
	DK/NA	58.7%	50.4%	59.5%	80.2%	62.5%	72.3%	45.7%
		342	159	62	90	19	8	4
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	19.9%	17.1%	21.1%	23.3%	25.2%	35.9%	26.0%
		187	105	32	32	14	1	3
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%	33.2%	30.5%	28.2%	45.3%	13.4%	38.6%
		71	38	17	11	2	2	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	12.0%	16.1%	10.2%	6.1%	18.1%	8.1%
	Very dissatisfied	4.1%	2.4%	7.1%	7.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		24	7	7	9	0	0	0
	DK/NA	31.8%	35.4%	25.2%	30.5%	23.3%	32.5%	27.3%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		185	112	26	34	7	4	2
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	8.0%	7.2%	13.4%	5.0%	5.0%	28.7%	.0%
		47	23	14	6	2	3	0
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	33.8%	28.7%	18.8%	57.9%	20.6%	35.7%
		181	106	30	21	18	2	3
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	21.7%	29.0%	26.1%	10.1%	.9%	13.2%
		132	68	30	29	3	0	1
	Very dissatisfied	16.3%	15.8%	11.7%	19.7%	12.2%	40.1%	25.1%
		95	50	12	22	4	4	2
	DK/NA	22.0%	21.5%	17.2%	30.4%	14.7%	9.6%	26.0%
		128	68	18	34	4	1	2
6R. Senior Center programs	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	22.9%	23.1%	22.6%	21.9%	25.0%	20.6%	26.0%
		133	73	23	25	8	2	2
	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	37.2%	38.0%	28.4%	47.2%	41.2%	33.6%
		211	117	40	32	14	4	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	12.4%	8.6%	13.7%	8.8%	15.3%	29.5%
6S. Environmental and sustainability programs		71	39	9	15	3	2	3
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	4.2%	3.6%	5.7%	5.2%	.0%	1.8%
		25	13	4	6	2	0	0
	DK/NA	24.4%	23.0%	27.2%	30.2%	13.9%	22.9%	9.1%
		142	72	28	34	4	2	1
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
6T. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	62	32	21	8	0	3

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.8%	30.7%	18.3%	27.5%	.0%	32.6%
		187	97	29	38	13	7	2
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	30.9%	28.3%	33.9%	43.5%	60.3%	20.4%
		33	14	9	9	0	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	4.5%	8.9%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	8.1%
	Very dissatisfied	3.3%	3.7%	1.1%	4.1%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	217	130	32	41	7	4	3
		37.3%	41.1%	31.0%	36.2%	23.4%	39.7%	38.9%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	135	67	24	31	10	1	1
		23.1%	21.4%	23.0%	27.3%	34.3%	13.4%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	72	19	31	9	5	1
		23.6%	22.9%	18.1%	27.4%	30.7%	49.6%	12.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	12	4	3	0	0	0
		3.3%	3.7%	3.5%	3.0%	.0%	.9%	2.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	6	3	0	0	0
		1.9%	.7%	5.4%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	280	162	52	44	11	4	7
		48.0%	51.4%	50.0%	39.3%	35.0%	36.0%	77.6%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	250	91	41	91	17	2	8
		43.0%	45.4%	38.1%	40.5%	51.2%	52.1%	69.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	63	47	90	9	1	2
		36.5%	31.3%	44.0%	40.2%	25.8%	24.6%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	26	6	14	5	0	0
		8.9%	12.8%	5.8%	6.4%	14.8%	.0%	1.5%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	6	5	14	2	1	0
		4.8%	3.2%	4.2%	6.3%	4.7%	23.3%	2.0%
	DK/NA	40	15	8	15	1	0	1
		6.9%	7.3%	7.8%	6.6%	3.4%	.0%	9.4%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	189	66	33	69	15	2	3
		32.5%	32.8%	30.8%	30.9%	46.0%	50.0%	27.2%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	64	35	67	13	1	0
		31.0%	31.9%	32.5%	30.1%	38.1%	24.6%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	12	3	8	1	0	1
		4.3%	6.0%	3.1%	3.7%	3.1%	.0%	5.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	59	36	77	4	1	7
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	29.3%	33.0%	34.4%	12.8%	25.4%	67.7%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	316	105	59	127	18	3	4
		54.4%	52.5%	55.0%	56.8%	52.8%	57.9%	37.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	70	45	79	12	1	6
		36.5%	34.8%	41.5%	35.3%	35.2%	24.6%	50.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	10	3	8	4	0	0
6E. Library services		4.4%	5.1%	2.9%	3.7%	11.7%	.0%	1.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19	11	0	7	0	1	1
		3.3%	5.3%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	17.5%	11.0%
	DK/NA	8	5	1	3	0	0	0
		1.4%	2.3%	.6%	1.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	257	89	46	100	18	2	3
6F. Other services		44.2%	44.1%	43.1%	44.6%	52.9%	34.6%	25.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	76	54	95	12	1	6
		41.7%	37.6%	49.7%	42.3%	34.4%	24.6%	52.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	12	5	9	4	0	1
		5.3%	6.0%	4.4%	4.0%	11.7%	.0%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	4	0	9	0	1	1
		2.5%	2.0%	.2%	3.8%	.0%	17.5%	11.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	21	3	12	0	1	0
		6.3%	10.4%	2.6%	5.3%	1.1%	23.3%	.0%
6H. Other services	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	339	122	57	133	20	2	4

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	60.5%	53.1%	59.4%	59.8%	52.1%	36.3%
		174	53	37	69	11	1	4
	Somewhat satisfied	30.0%	26.3%	34.0%	30.7%	31.8%	23.3%	39.4%
		6	1	0	2	0	0	1
		1.0%	.7%	.3%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	13.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	4	3	2	2	0	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance		1.8%	2.0%	2.5%	1.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	53	21	11	17	1	1	1
		9.1%	10.4%	10.2%	7.8%	3.7%	24.6%	11.3%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	141	63	26	41	7	1	3
		24.2%	31.1%	24.1%	18.5%	19.6%	32.5%	25.9%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	279	76	53	127	12	2	8
		47.9%	37.8%	49.6%	56.6%	36.4%	42.9%	74.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	39	18	35	7	0	0
		16.8%	19.2%	16.9%	15.4%	19.7%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	55	20	9	18	7	1	0
		9.4%	10.0%	8.3%	8.0%	19.5%	24.6%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	10	4	1	3	2	0	0
		1.7%	2.0%	1.1%	1.4%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	209	82	44	63	14	2	4
		35.9%	40.7%	40.5%	28.0%	42.3%	55.8%	40.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	74	53	125	12	0	6
6I. Economic development efforts		46.2%	36.8%	49.0%	55.7%	35.5%	.0%	50.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	19	5	17	3	0	1
		7.8%	9.3%	4.4%	7.6%	10.1%	2.1%	9.4%
	Very dissatisfied	23	8	2	9	4	1	0
		4.0%	3.8%	2.0%	3.9%	11.9%	17.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	19	4	11	0	1	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		6.1%	9.4%	4.1%	4.8%	.3%	24.6%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	183	73	37	55	12	2	4
		31.4%	36.1%	33.9%	24.7%	35.5%	55.8%	35.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	84	50	112	15	1	4
		45.6%	41.6%	46.7%	49.9%	44.5%	17.5%	33.4%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	23	10	35	6	0	3
		13.3%	11.7%	9.2%	15.7%	16.6%	2.1%	30.9%
	Very dissatisfied	28	11	5	10	1	1	0
		4.9%	5.6%	4.8%	4.3%	3.1%	24.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	28	10	6	12	0	0	0
		4.8%	5.0%	5.4%	5.5%	.3%	.0%	.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	86	23	22	26	12	1	2

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.6%	20.4%	11.7%	35.2%	32.5%	14.9%
		232	73	43	103	12	0	2
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	36.1%	39.6%	45.9%	35.4%	.0%	18.0%
		82	30	17	31	3	1	0
		14.1%	14.9%	15.7%	14.0%	8.2%	24.6%	1.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	30	17	31	3	1	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		14.1%	14.9%	15.7%	14.0%	8.2%	24.6%	1.4%
	Very dissatisfied	75	24	10	33	4	2	2
		12.9%	11.7%	9.5%	14.8%	10.9%	40.8%	22.2%
	DK/NA	106	52	16	30	3	0	5
		18.3%	25.7%	14.8%	13.6%	10.2%	2.1%	43.6%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied	169	69	30	56	8	2	5
		29.1%	34.2%	27.7%	24.9%	24.1%	50.0%	42.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	71	52	110	13	1	5
		43.3%	35.1%	48.5%	49.2%	37.3%	23.3%	45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	35	17	35	8	0	0
		16.4%	17.6%	16.0%	15.5%	23.1%	.0%	3.1%
6L. Youth sports fields	Very dissatisfied	41	16	5	14	5	1	0
		7.1%	7.9%	4.8%	6.3%	15.2%	26.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	24	10	3	9	0	0	1
		4.1%	5.2%	3.0%	4.1%	.3%	.0%	9.2%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	171	67	32	53	15	1	2
6M. Senior Center programs		29.3%	33.1%	29.4%	23.8%	45.5%	32.5%	21.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	68	57	122	13	1	6
		45.8%	33.8%	53.1%	54.3%	39.0%	26.7%	50.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	33	7	24	5	1	0
		12.0%	16.6%	6.4%	10.5%	15.2%	17.5%	1.5%
	Very dissatisfied	15	4	4	6	0	1	0
6N. Youth sports fields		2.6%	2.2%	3.3%	2.7%	.0%	23.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	60	29	8	19	0	0	3
		10.3%	14.4%	7.9%	8.7%	.3%	.0%	26.2%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	142	62	18	51	10	0	0
		24.4%	31.1%	16.8%	22.8%	30.6%	.0%	1.4%
6O. Youth sports fields	Somewhat satisfied	217	65	42	85	17	2	6
		37.3%	32.6%	39.2%	37.7%	50.7%	50.0%	50.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	11	7	13	0	0	1
		5.3%	5.3%	6.5%	5.7%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	5	3	0	1	0
		1.9%	1.2%	4.4%	1.2%	.0%	23.3%	.0%
6P. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	181	60	36	73	6	1	5
		31.1%	29.9%	33.1%	32.5%	18.7%	26.7%	43.3%
6Q. Senior Center programs	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	82	17	20	35	9	1	0

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	8.4%	18.4%	15.7%	26.0%	32.5%	1.4%
		127	20	26	66	10	1	3
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	10.0%	24.3%	29.7%	29.2%	24.6%	26.5%
		18	2	2	9	3	1	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	1.2%	2.2%	4.1%	10.0%	19.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13	3	7	3	0	0	0
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	1.4%	6.3%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	342	159	53	110	12	1	8
		58.7%	79.0%	48.8%	49.0%	34.9%	23.3%	72.1%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	116	50	19	36	8	1	2
		19.9%	24.8%	17.9%	15.9%	23.3%	32.5%	16.3%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	51	41	79	10	0	5
		32.1%	25.6%	37.8%	35.3%	31.0%	.0%	45.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	26	9	26	7	1	2
		12.1%	12.8%	8.7%	11.6%	20.1%	17.5%	17.5%
	Very dissatisfied	24	7	5	7	3	1	0
		4.1%	3.7%	4.2%	3.3%	9.9%	23.3%	.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	67	34	76	5	1	2
		31.8%	33.1%	31.3%	33.9%	15.7%	26.7%	20.5%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	47	17	13	13	3	1	0
		8.0%	8.3%	11.9%	5.6%	9.2%	32.5%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	56	42	69	12	0	2
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	27.7%	39.4%	30.7%	34.8%	.0%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	47	25	51	8	0	2
		22.7%	23.3%	23.0%	22.8%	22.6%	2.1%	15.8%
	Very dissatisfied	95	28	17	41	5	2	2
		16.3%	13.7%	15.9%	18.4%	13.9%	40.8%	20.0%
	DK/NA	128	54	11	50	7	1	5

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	19.8%	18.7%	23.1%	35.1%	.0%	26.1%
		187	62	40	61	15	3	6
	Somewhat satisfied	32.0%	30.8%	37.2%	27.3%	43.2%	57.1%	56.6%
		33	9	6	15	2	0	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.6%	4.6%	5.2%	6.7%	7.0%	.0%	6.5%
	Very dissatisfied	19	5	5	5	2	2	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		3.3%	2.6%	4.8%	2.4%	4.7%	40.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	217	85	37	91	3	0	1
		37.3%	42.2%	34.2%	40.6%	10.0%	2.1%	10.8%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	135	54	31	35	12	2	0
		23.1%	27.0%	28.8%	15.6%	35.7%	50.0%	1.4%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	137	43	33	51	8	0	3
		23.6%	21.5%	30.3%	22.8%	22.4%	.0%	27.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	4	1	9	2	1	1
		3.3%	2.1%	.6%	4.2%	7.0%	25.4%	13.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	6	1	4	0	0	0
		1.9%	2.8%	1.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6A. Police services	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	250	201	9	19	4	1	19
		43.0%	44.9%	35.2%	20.7%	47.9%	100.0%	43.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	150	11	44	3	0	14
		36.5%	33.5%	42.1%	47.2%	38.8%	.0%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	45	4	15	0	0	4
		8.9%	10.0%	15.0%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	10.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	22	2	8	1	0	2
		4.8%	4.9%	7.8%	8.6%	13.2%	.0%	5.0%
	DK/NA	40	30	0	6	0	0	4
		6.9%	6.7%	.0%	6.8%	.0%	.0%	10.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	189	141	4	23	3	1	16
		32.5%	31.4%	16.6%	24.3%	46.7%	69.2%	37.4%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	121	16	49	1	0	16
		31.0%	27.0%	61.7%	52.6%	19.8%	.0%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	24	0	3	1	0	1
		4.3%	5.3%	.0%	3.4%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	185	160	6	18	1	0	10
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	35.6%	21.6%	19.8%	17.7%	30.8%	22.5%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	316	258	7	39	5	1	16
		54.4%	57.6%	28.7%	42.2%	67.8%	100.0%	35.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	145	17	50	0	0	25
		36.5%	32.4%	66.8%	54.1%	.0%	.0%	56.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	20	1	2	0	0	1
6E. Library services		4.4%	4.5%	4.4%	2.6%	3.2%	.0%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19	19	0	0	2	0	2
		3.3%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	29.1%	.0%	5.4%
	DK/NA	8	6	0	1	0	0	0
		1.4%	1.3%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	257	206	9	22	4	1	15
6F. Other services		44.2%	45.9%	34.2%	24.2%	47.9%	100.0%	35.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	173	12	57	2	0	26
		41.7%	38.5%	46.1%	61.7%	33.0%	.0%	59.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	24	4	5	1	0	1
		5.3%	5.3%	15.0%	5.2%	15.8%	.0%	3.3%
	Very dissatisfied	15	14	0	2	0	0	1
		2.5%	3.0%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	37	33	1	6	0	0	0
		6.3%	7.3%	4.7%	6.2%	3.2%	.0%	.0%
6H. Other services	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	339	269	14	57	5	1	23

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6A. Police services	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	14	9	9	1	6
		23.2%	62.8%	18.5%	65.8%	100.0%	47.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	5	29	0	0	3
		7.0%	24.7%	60.2%	1.1%	.0%	24.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	0	4	3	0	1
		58.2%	.0%	8.2%	19.8%	.0%	7.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	0	0	3	1	0	1
		.0%	.0%	6.6%	7.3%	.0%	9.8%
	DK/NA	1	3	3	1	0	1
		11.7%	12.5%	6.5%	5.9%	.0%	11.2%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	11	7	8	0	7
		33.5%	48.2%	15.3%	57.0%	25.0%	58.6%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	3	2	23	2	1	1
		42.6%	7.2%	47.6%	14.0%	75.0%	7.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	10	18	4	0	4
6D. Recycling program		24.0%	44.7%	37.1%	29.0%	.0%	33.7%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	14	20	7	1	12
		40.8%	65.6%	41.4%	54.0%	100.0%	98.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	5	25	2	0	0
		13.1%	21.9%	53.3%	18.9%	.0%	1.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	3	1	0	0
6E. Library services		3.5%	.0%	5.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	1	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	3	0	2	0	0
		.0%	12.5%	.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	13	18	8	1	8
6F. Other services		26.6%	60.9%	37.0%	60.7%	100.0%	62.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	22	2	0	2
		42.6%	26.6%	46.8%	13.6%	.0%	16.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	7	1	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	14.1%	4.9%	.0%	1.9%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	0	0	0
		15.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6G. Other services	DK/NA	1	3	1	3	0	2
		11.7%	12.5%	2.2%	20.8%	.0%	19.1%
6H. Other services	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	19	14	7	1	10

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	12	24	2
		87.4%	42.3%	31.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	12	2
		12.6%	22.1%	30.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	8	1
		.0%	14.0%	15.7%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	0	5	1
		.0%	9.9%	7.6%
	DK/NA	0	7	1
		.0%	11.7%	15.7%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	20	1
		100.0%	35.2%	15.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	0	16	0
		.0%	28.7%	2.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	0
		.0%	13.5%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	12	5
6D. Recycling program		.0%	22.3%	76.7%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	11	29	3
		79.0%	52.3%	52.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	18	3
		21.0%	33.2%	45.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	5	0
6E. Library services		.0%	8.2%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	3	0
		.0%	6.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	9	29	3
6F. Street pavement maintenance		64.2%	52.4%	44.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	15	2
		35.8%	26.2%	35.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	8	1
		.0%	13.9%	20.3%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	0	4	0
		.0%	7.0%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	38	4
		100.0%	67.9%	57.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	16	0
		.0%	28.7%	2.4%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	0
		.0%	13.5%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	12	5
		.0%	22.3%	76.7%
	Total	14	56	7
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14	20	1
		100.0%	35.2%	15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	16	0
		.0%	28.7%	2.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	0
		.0%	13.5%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%
6J. Public safety	DK/NA	0	12	5
		.0%	22.3%	76.7%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	20	1
		100.0%	35.2%	15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	16	0
		.0%	28.7%	2.4%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	59.9%	53.6%	61.8%	70.9%	75.7%	51.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	116	12	29	0	0	18
		30.0%	25.9%	46.4%	31.0%	.0%	24.3%	40.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	6	0	1	0	0	1
		1.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	11	0	2	1	0	1
		1.8%	2.4%	.0%	1.8%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
DK/NA	53	48	0	4	1	0	1	
	9.1%	10.6%	.0%	4.3%	13.2%	.0%	2.5%	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	141	111	2	15	5	1	9
		24.2%	24.8%	7.9%	15.7%	66.5%	69.2%	20.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	204	23	51	1	0	17
		47.9%	45.6%	87.7%	55.0%	14.5%	6.5%	37.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	78	0	19	0	0	13
		16.8%	17.3%	.0%	20.1%	3.2%	.0%	28.6%
Very dissatisfied	55	49	1	6	1	0	6	
	9.4%	10.9%	4.4%	6.3%	15.8%	24.3%	12.8%	
	DK/NA	10	6	0	3	0	0	0
1.7%		1.3%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	209	176	5	22	3	1	14
		35.9%	39.2%	21.0%	24.2%	41.0%	100.0%	30.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	187	19	57	2	0	21
		46.2%	41.7%	74.4%	61.8%	29.9%	.0%	48.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	41	0	4	2	0	2
		7.8%	9.1%	.0%	4.8%	29.1%	.0%	5.3%
Very dissatisfied	23	15	1	5	0	0	6	
	4.0%	3.4%	4.7%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	
DK/NA	35	29	0	3	0	0	1	
	6.1%	6.5%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	183	153	2	21	4	1	11
		31.4%	34.0%	7.8%	23.0%	47.9%	75.7%	25.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	181	21	50	2	0	15
		45.6%	40.4%	78.7%	53.5%	23.0%	.0%	35.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	63	4	18	2	0	9
		13.3%	14.1%	13.5%	19.1%	29.1%	24.3%	21.6%
Very dissatisfied	28	27	0	1	0	0	4	
	4.9%	6.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	
DK/NA	28	25	0	3	0	0	4	
	4.8%	5.5%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	86	62	1	10	1	1	13

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	37.3%	85.3%	30.2%	55.8%	100.0%	81.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	4	2	30	2	0	1
		55.7%	7.2%	62.9%	15.8%	.0%	7.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0	0	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance		.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	2	2	4	0	1
		7.0%	7.5%	3.3%	28.5%	.0%	11.2%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	13	6	5	1	4
		25.2%	60.9%	12.7%	38.2%	100.0%	36.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	32	7	0	4
		47.5%	26.6%	66.6%	55.8%	.0%	33.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	0	5	1	0	4
		27.3%	.0%	11.5%	5.9%	.0%	30.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0	3	3	0	0	0
		.0%	12.5%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	12	8	7	1	7
		19.1%	53.4%	16.2%	54.0%	100.0%	55.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	6	38	2	0	2
6I. Economic development efforts		67.8%	29.4%	79.0%	17.9%	.0%	15.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0	1
		1.4%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	7.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.0%
	DK/NA	1	4	2	3	0	0
6J. Street tree maintenance		11.7%	17.2%	4.9%	20.8%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	12	17	5	1	4
		40.8%	55.6%	36.3%	41.1%	75.0%	36.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	24	4	0	5
		47.5%	27.2%	50.0%	30.8%	25.0%	41.1%
6K. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	7	1	0	3
		.0%	.0%	13.8%	7.3%	.0%	21.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	4	0	1	0	0
		11.7%	17.2%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	1.9%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	0	7	4	2	0	6

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	100.0%	68.5%	67.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	11	2
		.0%	20.2%	30.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0
6F. Street pavement maintenance		.0%	2.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	5	0
		.0%	8.7%	2.4%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	4	16	1
		30.1%	28.4%	14.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat satisfied	9	26	6
		69.9%	45.9%	86.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	9	0
		.0%	16.1%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	5	0
		.0%	9.5%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	9	23	2
		62.9%	41.2%	23.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	21	3
6I. Economic development efforts		37.1%	38.5%	45.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	7	2
		.0%	13.1%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	6.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
6J. Street tree maintenance		.0%	.4%	.0%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	5	20	1
		39.8%	35.5%	16.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	19	3
		60.2%	34.0%	52.2%
6K. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	15	2
		.0%	27.4%	31.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0
		.0%	2.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.6%	.0%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	2	10	1

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	13.9%	4.4%	11.1%	19.9%	69.2%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	153	16	41	1	0	12
		39.9%	34.1%	62.5%	44.5%	19.8%	.0%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	75	2	14	0	0	6
		14.1%	16.7%	5.9%	15.5%	3.2%	24.3%	12.8%
	Very dissatisfied	75	64	6	12	2	0	8
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	14.3%	22.8%	12.9%	29.1%	.0%	17.7%
	DK/NA	106	94	1	15	2	0	6
		18.3%	21.0%	4.4%	16.0%	28.0%	6.5%	12.7%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	169	133	6	22	3	1	12
		29.1%	29.7%	21.8%	24.0%	39.7%	69.2%	27.0%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	183	15	43	3	0	16
		43.3%	40.8%	58.4%	46.2%	43.9%	6.5%	35.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	79	1	23	1	0	14
		16.4%	17.6%	4.7%	25.0%	16.4%	24.3%	32.7%
	Very dissatisfied	41	37	1	3	0	0	1
		7.1%	8.3%	4.4%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	17	3	1	0	0	1
		4.1%	3.7%	10.7%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	171	145	5	17	2	1	13
		29.3%	32.3%	17.5%	18.7%	21.2%	75.7%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	180	14	63	2	0	15
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	40.2%	54.3%	67.5%	29.9%	24.3%	35.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	58	4	8	3	0	11
		12.0%	12.8%	15.0%	9.0%	35.7%	.0%	25.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	15	0	0	0	0	0
		2.6%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	60	51	3	4	1	0	4

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	.0%	32.9%	8.3%	15.7%	.0%	49.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	9	25	2	0	3
		44.3%	42.7%	52.7%	17.4%	.0%	22.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	4	0	0	0
		44.0%	4.7%	9.2%	1.1%	25.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	7	4	1	1
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		.0%	.0%	14.6%	33.0%	75.0%	9.8%
	DK/NA	1	4	7	4	0	2
		11.7%	19.7%	15.2%	32.7%	.0%	18.9%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	10	10	5	0	6
		40.8%	45.9%	20.6%	36.4%	25.0%	47.3%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	3	9	31	4	1	3
		47.5%	41.6%	64.2%	32.5%	75.0%	24.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	2	0	4
		.0%	.0%	11.9%	17.2%	.0%	28.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.4%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	1	3	0	1	0	0
		11.7%	12.5%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	6	10	7	0	4
		25.2%	25.0%	21.1%	55.3%	25.0%	36.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	12	26	3	1	6
6M. Senior Center programs		47.5%	55.0%	53.5%	26.5%	75.0%	50.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	7	0	0	0
		.0%	7.5%	14.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	3	5	2	0	2

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	18.4%	17.8%	8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	20	2
		69.9%	35.6%	34.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	8	0
		11.7%	14.4%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	12	3
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		.0%	21.8%	38.7%
	DK/NA	0	6	1
		.0%	10.4%	18.1%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	4	22	2
		30.1%	39.3%	33.8%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	9	22	3
		69.9%	40.2%	38.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	8	1
		.0%	13.8%	12.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	6.7%	.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	15.4%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	5	24	2
		39.8%	42.5%	24.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	8	5
6M. Senior Center programs		60.2%	14.5%	70.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	20	0
		.0%	36.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	0
		.0%	2.2%	2.4%
	DK/NA	0	3	0
6N. Neighborhood programs		.0%	4.5%	2.4%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	10	15	1
		76.9%	26.4%	14.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	20	3
		23.1%	35.4%	45.6%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.4%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
		.0%	4.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	15	2
		.0%	26.8%	35.4%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	3	3	0

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	13.4%	7.5%	10.2%	.0%	24.3%	20.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	76	9	28	0	1	5
		21.8%	17.0%	36.1%	30.6%	.0%	69.2%	12.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	15	0	4	0	0	0
		3.1%	3.4%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13	13	0	3	1	0	1
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA	2.2%	2.9%	.0%	2.8%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
		342	284	15	49	6	0	28
	58.7%	63.3%	56.3%	52.6%	84.2%	6.5%	65.0%	
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	116	86	2	12	3	1	11
	6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	19.9%	19.1%	8.4%	12.6%	46.7%	69.2%
187			119	11	45	0	0	11
32.1%		26.4%	43.7%	48.4%	.0%	24.3%	25.8%	
Somewhat dissatisfied		71	61	5	12	2	0	9
		12.1%	13.6%	20.9%	12.9%	29.1%	.0%	19.5%
Very dissatisfied		24	21	1	4	0	0	3
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	4.1%	4.7%	4.4%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
		185	162	6	20	2	0	11
	31.8%	36.2%	22.5%	22.0%	24.3%	6.5%	24.2%	
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	47	32	0	6	1	0	4
	6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	8.0%	7.2%	.0%	6.6%	19.9%	.0%
181			120	13	33	2	0	7
31.0%		26.8%	51.5%	35.4%	28.0%	30.8%	16.2%	
Somewhat dissatisfied		132	104	1	23	2	0	17
		22.7%	23.2%	3.5%	25.3%	23.0%	.0%	38.7%
Very dissatisfied		95	84	9	11	2	0	10
6R. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	16.3%	18.8%	36.0%	11.7%	29.1%	.0%	22.5%
		128	108	2	19	0	1	6
	22.0%	24.1%	9.1%	21.0%	.0%	69.2%	13.5%	
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	133	100	2	16	2	1	11
	6S. Senior Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	22.9%	22.4%	7.4%	16.8%	24.4%	75.7%
211			148	12	39	1	0	12
36.2%		33.0%	45.6%	42.1%	19.8%	24.3%	28.1%	
Somewhat dissatisfied		71	59	5	12	0	0	10
		12.1%	13.2%	18.1%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	22.8%
Very dissatisfied		25	24	1	6	2	0	1
6T. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	4.4%	5.4%	4.4%	6.2%	29.1%	.0%	2.6%
		142	117	6	20	2	0	9
	24.4%	26.0%	24.4%	21.6%	26.8%	.0%	21.2%	
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	126	94	0	14	0	1	12

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	.0%	12.8%	8.1%	32.7%	25.0%	11.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	3	25	2	1	3
		1.4%	14.7%	52.5%	13.6%	75.0%	22.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	16	17	6	0	8
		98.6%	72.4%	35.9%	46.1%	.0%	66.6%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	7	4	5	0	6
		23.2%	33.2%	7.8%	39.9%	.0%	44.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	8	22	2	1	3
		11.7%	34.6%	46.1%	18.1%	100.0%	26.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	6	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	12.4%	12.3%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	7	16	4	0	4
		65.1%	32.2%	33.8%	29.7%	.0%	28.7%
6O. Managing land use	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	3	3	3	0	1
		21.7%	12.8%	6.8%	19.7%	.0%	11.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	8	21	2	0	2
		46.1%	34.6%	44.3%	13.3%	25.0%	16.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	4	5	2	1	0
		17.0%	19.7%	11.3%	15.8%	75.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	8	1	0	2
		.0%	.0%	15.9%	7.3%	.0%	19.1%
	DK/NA	1	7	10	6	0	7
		15.2%	32.8%	21.7%	43.9%	.0%	53.5%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	2	6	7	4	0	4
		33.5%	25.4%	13.7%	27.2%	25.0%	30.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	1	8	24	3	0	5
		8.4%	36.9%	49.5%	24.2%	.0%	40.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	4	1	1	0
		15.6%	.0%	9.1%	5.9%	75.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	1	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	2.4%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	8	12	5	0	4
		.0%	37.7%	25.2%	35.3%	.0%	28.7%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	6	2	5	0	6

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	18.8%	5.1%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	9	8	1
		69.4%	14.7%	14.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	6	0
		.0%	10.9%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
		.0%	4.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	36	6
		11.7%	64.7%	86.0%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	3	11	0
		19.7%	20.7%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	15	4
		23.1%	26.3%	63.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	17	1
		.0%	30.1%	10.2%
	Very dissatisfied	0	5	0
		.0%	9.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	8	2
		57.2%	13.9%	26.7%
6O. Managing land use	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	2	6	0
		18.4%	10.6%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	17	3
		.0%	29.8%	48.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	9	0
		36.2%	16.5%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	14	3
		.0%	25.1%	49.3%
	DK/NA	6	10	0
		45.4%	18.0%	.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	6	18	1
		41.9%	32.2%	20.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	18	4
		33.6%	32.3%	54.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	10	1
		.0%	17.7%	20.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	6	0
		24.5%	10.2%	4.9%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	14	14	2

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	20.9%	.0%	15.0%	.0%	69.2%	28.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	114	14	38	2	0	20
		32.0%	25.5%	54.0%	40.5%	23.1%	24.3%	44.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	32	1	8	0	0	1
		5.6%	7.2%	4.4%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19	18	1	1	1	0	1
		3.3%	4.0%	4.4%	1.2%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
	DK/NA	217	190	10	32	4	0	9
	37.3%	42.4%	37.2%	34.5%	61.1%	6.5%	21.6%	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	135	115	1	12	6	0	5
		23.1%	25.7%	3.1%	13.0%	79.7%	.0%	11.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	77	16	40	0	0	15
		23.6%	17.2%	59.4%	43.4%	.0%	24.3%	33.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	17	0	5	0	0	1
		3.3%	3.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	11	9	0	0	1	0	3
1.9%		2.1%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	.0%	6.4%	
	280	229	10	36	0	1	20	
	48.0%	51.1%	37.5%	38.7%	4.5%	75.7%	46.2%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	25.4%	4.3%	35.0%	25.0%	51.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	8	25	2	1	0
		42.6%	35.0%	53.1%	12.2%	75.0%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	9	20	7	0	6
		35.7%	39.6%	42.6%	52.8%	.0%	48.3%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	9	3	5	1	0
		21.7%	40.3%	7.0%	38.9%	75.0%	.0%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	0	0	23	1	0	1
		.0%	.0%	48.2%	9.8%	.0%	9.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	5	13	21	7	0	11
		78.3%	59.7%	44.8%	51.3%	25.0%	90.2%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	100.0%	24.6%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	20	3
		.0%	36.3%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	3	0
		.0%	6.1%	5.1%
	Very dissatisfied	0	2	0
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		.0%	4.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	16	2
		.0%	29.0%	23.3%
	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	1	11	0
		7.1%	19.8%	4.3%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	2	8	4
		11.3%	14.8%	53.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	6	0
		.0%	10.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.6%	.0%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	11	27	3
		81.6%	47.8%	42.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	C I				a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied				.b	a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a, b	
	Very dissatisfied				.b	a, b	
	DK/NA		.b			a	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied		A H	A H		a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a, b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA		.b			a	
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied		A L	A L	.b	a, b	A L
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a, b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b	.b	A	a, b	
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a, b	.b
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied	C				a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A N		a, b	N
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a, b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a, b	
	DK/NA					a	.b
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	I		I		a	
	Somewhat satisfied				.b	a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a, b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a, b	
	DK/NA		.b			a, b	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied				B	a	
	Somewhat satisfied		A D F H L N			a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a	
	Very dissatisfied					a, b	
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a, b	.b
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied		L	A		a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a, b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a, b	A
	DK/NA		.b			a, b	
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied		A F H N			a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a	
	Very dissatisfied		.b		.b	a, b	
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a, b	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a	
	Very dissatisfied					a, b	
	DK/NA					a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied		C I		C	a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A J N		a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	A I	.b			a, b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a, b	
	DK/NA					a, b	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA					a, b	
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied					a, b	B C F I
	Somewhat satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a, b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	A F N	.b	.b		a, b	.b
	DK/NA	.b		.b	A C	a, b	.b
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied		A C			a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b			a, b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA					a, b	
6E. Library services	Very satisfied		I			a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A C H L N		a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b		.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA					a, b	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		A B C I			a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b		.b	a, b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b		.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	A		.b	a, b	
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied					a, b	
	Somewhat satisfied			A H J L N		a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b		a, b	A
	DK/NA				N	a, b	.b
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied		B			a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b			a, b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b		a, b	.b
	Very dissatisfied			.b		a, b	
	DK/NA	.b		.b		a, b	
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					a, b	A B C I
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b			a	.b
	Very dissatisfied					a, b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b			a, b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied	C I		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		C
	DK/NA	.b		
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied		C	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	.b	I	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	I		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b		
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a	
	Very dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	DK/NA				.b	a,b	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	N	N	A F N		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b
	DK/NA					a,b	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied		A	A		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied				.b	a	
	Somewhat satisfied			A	.b	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.b		.b	a,b	.b
	Very dissatisfied		.b			a,b	
	DK/NA	I M				a	M
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied			A	.b	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied	N	.b			a	
	DK/NA					a,b	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b	
	Very dissatisfied				.b	a	
	DK/NA					a	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied				.b	a,b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b	
	Very dissatisfied					a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied		.b		.b	a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.b	a,b	
	Very dissatisfied					a,b	
	DK/NA					a	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied				B C F I M N	a,b	
	Somewhat satisfied	A N		A N	.b	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			.b	a,b	
	DK/NA	.b		.b		a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,.b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,.b	.b
	DK/NA			.b		a	.b
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	N	N	.b	a,.b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a,.b	
	DK/NA					a,.b	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied		C I			a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b	A H J		a,.b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a	
	DK/NA	.b				a	
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	A F N		a,.b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a,.b	
	DK/NA	M	M			a,.b	
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied					a,.b	
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b			a,.b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a,.b	
	DK/NA					a	
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied					a	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b			a,.b	
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a	
	DK/NA					a,.b	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied					a	
	Somewhat satisfied		.b			a	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	A C F I	.b			a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a,.b	
	DK/NA					a	
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied					a	I
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	A	.b	a,.b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a,.b	
	DK/NA					a	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	.b	.b			a	.b
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	.b	A N		a,.b	.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b				a	
	DK/NA					a	C D

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	N		N
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	A C	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	A B C I N		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		.b
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	A F N		.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b	A	.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA		M	.b
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b	A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	N		.b
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		
	Very dissatisfied	.b		.b
	DK/NA			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA			
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA	.b		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.b		.b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b		.b
	Very dissatisfied	.b		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6A. Police services	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	250	25	24	16	19	11
		43.0%	41.0%	45.5%	54.1%	51.7%	33.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	32	25	10	5	11
		36.5%	52.2%	48.0%	33.9%	13.5%	33.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	2	0	0	4	5
		8.9%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%	14.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	1	1	2	4	1
		4.8%	1.5%	1.4%	6.4%	10.9%	3.2%
	DK/NA	40	1	3	2	4	5
		6.9%	1.3%	5.1%	5.7%	12.1%	15.5%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	189	13	23	11	9	13
		32.5%	22.0%	44.5%	37.9%	23.7%	40.9%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	32	21	7	14	10
		31.0%	53.7%	41.2%	23.5%	39.3%	30.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	1	0	0	1	0
		4.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	1	0	0	0
		.4%	1.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	185	13	7	11	12	9
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	21.4%	13.0%	38.6%	33.8%	28.0%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	316	25	30	17	20	14
		54.4%	42.2%	57.6%	59.3%	54.6%	44.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	34	16	11	12	14
		36.5%	56.7%	31.8%	38.1%	32.3%	44.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	1	1	1	0
6E. Library services		4.4%	.0%	2.7%	2.5%	2.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	3	0	1	3
		3.3%	.0%	6.7%	.0%	3.2%	10.8%
	DK/NA	8	1	1	0	3	0
		1.4%	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	7.8%	.9%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	257	22	29	19	16	10
6D. Recycling program		44.2%	36.3%	56.7%	63.5%	42.5%	30.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	29	16	6	12	15
		41.7%	48.8%	31.1%	19.2%	32.1%	45.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	1	3	0	5	2
		5.3%	1.9%	5.8%	.3%	13.8%	6.4%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	1	0	1	3
		2.5%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	3.8%	10.8%
6E. Library services	DK/NA	37	8	2	5	3	2
		6.3%	13.0%	4.5%	17.0%	7.8%	7.0%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	339	20	28	20	21	21

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	25	16	16	41	59
		59.7%	41.4%	46.7%	31.2%	46.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	11	19	9	48	43
		26.7%	49.2%	28.0%	36.1%	34.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	4	3	1	19	14
		10.8%	7.4%	3.1%	14.0%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	5	12	2
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		.0%	.0%	14.6%	9.1%	2.0%
	DK/NA	1	1	3	13	8
		2.8%	1.9%	7.7%	9.7%	6.5%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	22	14	15	34	36
		52.1%	35.3%	44.0%	25.7%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	7	6	8	46	29
		16.9%	15.8%	23.2%	34.8%	22.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	3	0	10	8
		4.9%	7.4%	.0%	7.9%	6.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	16	11	41	54
		26.2%	41.4%	32.8%	30.8%	42.6%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	33	26	14	68	70
6D. Recycling program		79.1%	67.4%	40.5%	51.0%	55.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	6	12	18	44	44
		15.2%	32.0%	52.4%	33.0%	35.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	2	14	5
		2.8%	.6%	7.1%	10.6%	3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0	6	4
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	3.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	1	3
6E. Library services		.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	2.2%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	27	22	15	49	49
		64.5%	58.7%	44.4%	36.9%	38.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	13	15	13	62	61
		32.6%	40.3%	40.2%	46.8%	47.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	2	11	6
		.0%	.6%	6.4%	8.5%	4.7%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very dissatisfied	0	0	2	5	2
		.0%	.4%	5.0%	3.5%	1.8%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	6	8
		2.8%	.0%	4.0%	4.3%	6.7%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	32	29	16	86	67

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied	58.2%	33.6%	53.5%	67.3%	58.2%	64.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	31	19	6	13	10
		30.0%	51.8%	37.2%	21.2%	36.2%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	1	0	0	0	0
		1.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	1	0	0	1	0
		1.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	53	7	5	3	1	1
6H. Street tree maintenance		9.1%	11.3%	9.3%	11.5%	2.5%	4.4%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	141	9	16	12	8	8
		24.2%	14.4%	30.4%	39.7%	22.0%	25.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	41	30	13	14	14
		47.9%	68.0%	57.3%	45.4%	38.5%	44.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	7	5	2	5	6
		16.8%	11.0%	10.5%	8.4%	14.3%	19.2%
6I. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied	55	4	1	2	6	3
		9.4%	5.9%	1.8%	6.6%	17.7%	10.1%
	DK/NA	10	0	0	0	3	0
		1.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.9%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	209	15	22	13	11	12
		35.9%	25.6%	42.8%	45.2%	29.0%	35.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	35	20	12	15	15
6J. Street lighting		46.2%	57.2%	39.0%	41.6%	39.8%	47.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	5	3	1	2	4
		7.8%	7.6%	4.8%	4.5%	6.4%	11.0%
	Very dissatisfied	23	0	1	2	6	0
		4.0%	.4%	2.0%	5.2%	15.3%	.6%
	DK/NA	35	6	6	1	4	2
		6.1%	9.3%	11.4%	3.5%	9.5%	5.2%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
6K. Street cleaning	Very satisfied	183	13	19	10	15	6
		31.4%	21.2%	37.2%	33.3%	40.3%	19.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	35	28	15	12	19
		45.6%	58.6%	53.7%	52.6%	31.5%	60.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	4	2	3	7	4
		13.3%	5.9%	4.3%	11.1%	18.2%	13.6%
	Very dissatisfied	28	2	2	0	1	0
		4.9%	4.1%	3.5%	.0%	2.2%	.6%
6L. Street maintenance	DK/NA	28	6	1	1	3	2
		4.8%	10.2%	1.2%	3.0%	7.8%	5.8%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	86	7	4	7	5	1

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	77.2%	75.4%	46.2%	64.9%	52.6%
		7	5	15	31	37
	Somewhat satisfied	18.0%	12.9%	43.6%	23.4%	28.9%
		1	0	0	1	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%	1.6%
		0	0	2	1	6
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.1%	4.6%
6F. Street pavement maintenance		1	4	2	13	15
	DK/NA	2.3%	11.7%	5.5%	9.7%	12.2%
		41	38	34	132	126
	Total	16	9	6	20	38
	Very satisfied	37.8%	22.8%	18.6%	15.1%	30.1%
		12	21	13	73	47
	Somewhat satisfied	29.1%	55.0%	37.5%	55.4%	37.4%
6G. Street sweeping services		5	6	11	23	28
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	14.8%	31.9%	17.5%	21.8%
		8	3	4	11	13
	Very dissatisfied	18.3%	7.3%	11.9%	8.2%	10.5%
		1	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	2.8%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.2%
		41	38	34	132	126
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	16	23	13	38	46
	Very satisfied	39.5%	58.9%	38.6%	29.0%	36.5%
		18	15	15	74	51
	Somewhat satisfied	43.4%	38.1%	45.0%	55.5%	40.6%
		3	0	0	13	15
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7.2%	.9%	.0%	9.7%	11.6%
		0	0	4	5	5
6I. Economic development efforts	Very dissatisfied	.0%	.4%	12.8%	4.0%	4.0%
		4	1	1	2	9
	DK/NA	9.9%	1.7%	3.6%	1.8%	7.4%
		41	38	34	132	126
	Total	17	17	14	35	36
	Very satisfied	42.0%	44.3%	42.8%	26.3%	28.7%
		18	14	10	61	53
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	43.0%	35.6%	29.6%	46.2%	42.2%
		5	5	6	20	21
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	13.4%	18.1%	15.2%	16.8%
		1	2	3	12	5
	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	6.4%	9.3%	8.8%	3.8%
		0	0	0	5	11
	DK/NA	.4%	.4%	.3%	3.5%	8.4%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	8	7	14	9	25

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.1%	8.6%	24.0%	13.4%	1.8%
		232	36	33	11	11	16
	Somewhat satisfied	39.9%	59.9%	63.3%	39.2%	29.6%	48.7%
		82	5	1	5	4	6
	Somewhat dissatisfied	14.1%	9.0%	2.6%	15.7%	10.5%	18.1%
		75	1	3	1	8	6
	Very dissatisfied	12.9%	1.5%	5.0%	2.2%	20.8%	18.7%
6M. Senior Center programs		106	11	11	6	9	4
	DK/NA	18.3%	18.5%	20.6%	18.9%	25.7%	12.7%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
	Total	169	12	17	13	13	4
	Very satisfied	29.1%	19.4%	33.2%	43.5%	36.2%	12.6%
		252	39	24	11	13	19
	Somewhat satisfied	43.3%	64.3%	46.7%	36.8%	34.3%	58.6%
6N. Senior Center programs		95	5	0	3	5	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	16.4%	8.1%	.2%	10.6%	13.9%	21.8%
		41	0	5	0	3	1
	Very dissatisfied	7.1%	.0%	8.9%	.3%	7.7%	1.8%
		24	5	6	3	3	2
	DK/NA	4.1%	8.2%	10.9%	8.8%	7.8%	5.2%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
6O. Senior Center programs	Total	171	13	19	13	14	6
	Very satisfied	29.3%	21.6%	36.3%	43.6%	37.1%	18.7%
		267	32	25	10	12	18
	Somewhat satisfied	45.8%	52.9%	49.1%	35.9%	32.5%	57.6%
		70	6	3	3	7	4
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.0%	9.4%	6.7%	11.7%	19.7%	12.2%
		15	0	2	1	0	0
6P. Senior Center programs	Very dissatisfied	2.6%	.2%	3.5%	2.7%	.0%	.6%
		60	10	2	2	4	3
	DK/NA	10.3%	16.0%	4.5%	6.2%	10.8%	10.9%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
	Total	142	7	11	9	8	4
	Very satisfied	24.4%	10.8%	20.4%	32.3%	21.4%	11.9%
		217	39	21	8	12	16
6Q. Senior Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	37.3%	63.9%	40.0%	27.7%	33.5%	49.3%
		31	1	2	1	1	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5.3%	1.1%	3.2%	2.2%	2.5%	5.7%
		11	0	0	0	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	1.9%	.3%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
		181	14	19	11	14	11
	DK/NA	31.1%	23.9%	36.4%	37.7%	39.4%	33.1%
6R. Senior Center programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	82	12	7	7	4	5

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	18.7%	17.3%	42.3%	7.0%	19.7%
		12	20	11	45	37
	Somewhat satisfied	30.2%	51.4%	32.6%	34.3%	29.1%
		12	4	3	20	22
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28.2%	11.0%	9.3%	15.4%	17.3%
		5	5	3	29	16
	Very dissatisfied	11.5%	13.8%	8.0%	21.6%	12.4%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		5	2	3	29	27
	DK/NA	11.5%	6.5%	7.8%	21.6%	21.4%
		41	38	34	132	126
	Total	14	12	14	37	33
	Very satisfied	34.4%	30.9%	42.6%	27.8%	26.2%
		15	10	6	54	61
	Somewhat satisfied	36.5%	26.4%	19.3%	40.6%	48.5%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		7	14	10	24	21
	Somewhat dissatisfied	17.3%	36.4%	29.4%	18.0%	16.3%
		5	2	3	14	10
	Very dissatisfied	11.8%	6.4%	7.8%	10.4%	7.6%
		0	0	0	4	2
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.9%	3.2%	1.4%
		41	38	34	132	126
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	11	15	15	37	29
	Very satisfied	25.7%	39.7%	43.3%	28.1%	23.0%
		24	14	11	55	65
	Somewhat satisfied	58.0%	35.3%	33.5%	41.6%	51.1%
		4	6	4	18	14
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10.6%	15.8%	11.8%	13.3%	11.2%
		0	2	1	6	3
6M. Senior Center programs	Very dissatisfied	.4%	6.4%	1.7%	4.9%	2.1%
		2	1	3	16	16
	DK/NA	5.3%	2.7%	9.6%	12.2%	12.6%
		41	38	34	132	126
	Total	20	8	12	36	28
	Very satisfied	48.7%	20.2%	35.4%	27.5%	22.0%
		10	15	13	42	41
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied	24.5%	38.3%	39.5%	31.9%	32.8%
		0	0	0	15	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.4%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	8.0%
		0	2	0	4	2
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	6.4%	.9%	3.3%	1.9%
		11	13	8	34	45
	DK/NA	26.5%	35.1%	24.2%	26.0%	35.3%
6O. Managing land use	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	48.7%	20.2%	35.4%	27.5%	22.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		10	15	13	42	41
	Somewhat satisfied	24.5%	38.3%	39.5%	31.9%	32.8%
		0	0	0	15	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.4%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	8.0%
		0	2	0	4	2
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	6.4%	.9%	3.3%	1.9%
		11	13	8	34	45
6Q. Sports Center programs	DK/NA	26.5%	35.1%	24.2%	26.0%	35.3%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
6R. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	6	0	5	11	25

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	20.4%	13.3%	25.5%	10.8%	14.4%
		127	29	16	4	8	11
	Somewhat satisfied	21.8%	48.3%	30.9%	14.3%	20.6%	34.9%
		18	0	2	0	2	0
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3.1%	.5%	3.4%	.3%	6.2%	.0%
		13	1	1	0	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	2.2%	1.4%	2.1%	.0%	4.9%	.9%
6N. Neighborhood programs		342	18	26	17	21	16
	DK/NA	58.7%	29.5%	50.2%	59.9%	57.5%	49.8%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
	Total	116	13	16	13	6	3
	Very satisfied	19.9%	21.3%	31.4%	43.0%	15.5%	8.8%
		187	27	19	6	12	20
	Somewhat satisfied	32.1%	44.9%	37.4%	20.6%	31.5%	61.3%
6O. Managing land use		71	5	4	0	4	1
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	7.9%	7.6%	.8%	11.1%	2.7%
		24	0	2	1	2	0
	Very dissatisfied	4.1%	.0%	3.8%	2.2%	5.1%	.0%
		185	16	10	10	14	9
	DK/NA	31.8%	25.8%	19.8%	33.4%	36.7%	27.3%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	47	8	2	6	2	2
	Very satisfied	8.0%	13.2%	2.9%	20.1%	4.2%	5.1%
		181	27	27	5	9	9
	Somewhat satisfied	31.0%	45.0%	52.3%	18.3%	23.7%	29.1%
		132	8	5	6	9	13
	Somewhat dissatisfied	22.7%	13.2%	9.3%	21.3%	24.9%	40.6%
		95	3	5	3	6	1
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied	16.3%	5.1%	8.8%	11.2%	15.8%	4.3%
		128	14	14	8	12	7
	DK/NA	22.0%	23.4%	26.6%	29.0%	31.4%	20.9%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
	Total	133	11	14	8	10	4
	Very satisfied	22.9%	17.7%	27.9%	28.9%	28.5%	13.8%
		211	35	19	11	8	10
6R. Senior Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	36.2%	58.4%	36.7%	38.2%	20.9%	30.4%
		71	5	1	2	5	10
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12.1%	8.3%	1.8%	7.6%	12.9%	29.8%
		25	1	1	0	1	0
	Very dissatisfied	4.4%	1.3%	1.7%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
		142	9	16	7	13	8
	DK/NA	24.4%	14.4%	31.8%	25.2%	34.1%	26.0%
6S. Sports Center programs	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	126	10	13	8	6	3

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	15.6%	.4%	14.5%	8.2%	19.6%
		10	11	6	12	20
	Somewhat satisfied	24.1%	28.9%	17.6%	8.9%	15.7%
		0	3	1	7	3
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.0%	7.4%	1.7%	5.4%	2.5%
		1	0	2	1	5
	Very dissatisfied	2.5%	.0%	6.0%	.9%	3.7%
6N. Neighborhood programs		24	24	20	101	74
	DK/NA	57.8%	63.2%	60.2%	76.6%	58.5%
		41	38	34	132	126
	Total	7	7	6	17	28
	Very satisfied	17.7%	18.5%	16.6%	13.1%	22.5%
		13	6	16	37	31
	Somewhat satisfied	30.6%	14.9%	48.5%	28.3%	24.5%
6O. Managing land use		5	3	4	26	18
	Somewhat dissatisfied	11.9%	7.4%	13.3%	20.0%	14.3%
		0	3	3	7	7
	Very dissatisfied	.0%	7.4%	8.0%	5.4%	5.2%
		16	20	5	44	42
	DK/NA	39.8%	51.8%	13.6%	33.3%	33.5%
		41	38	34	132	126
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	0	2	3	11	12
	Very satisfied	.2%	6.1%	8.0%	8.2%	9.7%
		4	13	8	37	40
	Somewhat satisfied	10.3%	34.8%	24.4%	28.3%	31.4%
		21	4	8	35	23
	Somewhat dissatisfied	50.9%	9.7%	25.2%	26.2%	18.3%
		4	8	5	33	26
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very dissatisfied	9.1%	22.0%	14.9%	24.9%	20.9%
		12	10	9	16	25
	DK/NA	29.4%	27.4%	27.6%	12.4%	19.6%
		41	38	34	132	126
	Total	8	6	10	21	40
	Very satisfied	19.4%	15.4%	30.1%	15.8%	31.5%
		18	15	10	47	37
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	44.1%	40.3%	30.4%	35.3%	29.5%
		6	4	4	20	14
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13.9%	10.1%	13.3%	15.1%	11.1%
		3	0	3	11	5
	Very dissatisfied	7.5%	1.0%	9.4%	8.0%	4.1%
		6	13	6	34	30
	DK/NA	15.1%	33.2%	16.7%	25.7%	23.9%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	18	12	14	17	27

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	16.1%	24.4%	25.8%	15.6%	8.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	33	15	7	13	15
		32.0%	54.0%	28.9%	23.1%	35.2%	46.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	0	1	0	0	2
		5.6%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	19	1	1	0	2	0
		3.3%	2.1%	1.5%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
DK/NA	217	17	23	15	16	12	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	37.3%	27.8%	43.8%	51.1%	44.3%	37.6%
		582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	135	11	15	10	9	10
		23.1%	17.7%	28.0%	34.7%	24.5%	32.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	137	28	15	5	10	9
		23.6%	46.3%	28.1%	15.7%	25.9%	29.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	0	0	1	1	4
3.3%		.4%	.0%	3.0%	3.8%	11.0%	
Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	0	1	0	
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.5%	3.2%	.6%	
DK/NA	280	21	23	13	16	9	
		48.0%	35.6%	43.8%	46.1%	42.6%	27.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	43.0%	30.5%	42.0%	13.0%	21.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	10	8	9	40	37
		25.1%	20.9%	25.7%	30.2%	29.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	5	2	12	9
		2.5%	13.4%	7.0%	9.4%	7.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	3	5	8
		.0%	.0%	8.0%	3.5%	6.2%
DK/NA	12	13	6	58	45	
	29.4%	35.2%	17.3%	43.8%	35.8%	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	10	6	6	28	30
		24.9%	15.0%	17.7%	21.0%	24.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	4	2	4	37	24
		9.8%	5.2%	11.5%	28.3%	19.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	1	2	6	4
		.0%	2.7%	6.3%	4.8%	2.9%
Very dissatisfied	2	3	1	4	0	
	4.0%	7.4%	3.3%	3.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	25	27	21	57	68	
		61.2%	69.7%	61.1%	43.0%	54.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	D	D ^a	^a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	F G J	^a	^a		^a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	F ^a				^a
	Somewhat satisfied	^a				
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	F J				
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA		^a	^a		
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied			^a		
	Somewhat satisfied					
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied	I J	I J			
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	I				
	Somewhat dissatisfied		D			
	Very dissatisfied	^a	^a			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied			^a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		^a
6C. Garbage collection	Very dissatisfied	^a	^a			
	DK/NA					B
	Very satisfied	A H				
	Somewhat satisfied			F		
6D. Recycling program	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied		^a	^a		
	DK/NA	^a	^a	^a		
	Very satisfied					
6E. Library services	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	^a				
	Very dissatisfied		^a			
	DK/NA					
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied	A	A		A	
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	^a	^a	^a		
	Very dissatisfied					
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied		A I			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			^a		
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very dissatisfied	^a				
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6I. Economic development efforts	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			A B E I		
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	G H				H
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					B
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very dissatisfied				a	
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6L. Youth sports fields	Somewhat dissatisfied	F I J				
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6M. Senior Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	I J	I			I
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6N. Neighborhood programs	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6O. Managing land use	Very dissatisfied	F	F			B
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	D J				B
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	a		a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	F G H J				
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	a	A B	B		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	A E J	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied	a	a	a		
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6M. Senior Center programs	DK/NA	a	A		A B	A
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very dissatisfied	a	H			
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	A B G J				
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
	Very satisfied					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied	I		I		
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	a	a			
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	a	A E			a
	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6A. Police services	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	250	71	98	81
		43.0%	42.5%	64.2%	31.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	71	45	97
		36.5%	42.2%	29.3%	37.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	14	4	34
		8.9%	8.2%	2.5%	13.1%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	6	0	22
		4.8%	3.6%	.0%	8.3%
	DK/NA	40	6	6	28
		6.9%	3.4%	4.1%	10.7%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	189	42	69	78
		32.5%	25.2%	45.4%	29.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	80	28	73
		31.0%	47.5%	18.1%	27.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	3	1	22
		4.3%	1.7%	.6%	8.2%
	Very dissatisfied	3	1	1	1
		.4%	.4%	.4%	.5%
	DK/NA	185	42	54	88
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	25.3%	35.5%	33.6%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	316	84	101	131
		54.4%	50.2%	66.1%	50.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	73	44	95
		36.5%	43.8%	28.7%	36.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	6	2	18
6E. Library services		4.4%	3.5%	1.1%	6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19	4	5	11
		3.3%	2.2%	3.3%	4.1%
	DK/NA	8	1	1	7
		1.4%	.3%	.8%	2.5%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	257	65	84	107
6F. Other community services		44.2%	39.1%	55.3%	40.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	86	52	104
		41.7%	51.4%	34.2%	39.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	8	0	22
		5.3%	5.0%	.0%	8.6%
	Very dissatisfied	15	2	3	9
		2.5%	1.3%	2.1%	3.5%
6G. Other community services	DK/NA	37	5	13	19
		6.3%	3.2%	8.4%	7.1%
6H. Other community services	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	339	80	100	159

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	47.6%	65.2%	60.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	71	39	64
		30.0%	42.6%	25.4%	24.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	1	4
		1.0%	.0%	.8%	1.7%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	3	4
		1.8%	2.0%	2.2%	1.4%
	DK/NA	53	13	10	30
		9.1%	7.8%	6.4%	11.5%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	141	28	58	55
		24.2%	16.6%	37.9%	21.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	94	56	128
		47.9%	56.1%	36.9%	49.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	31	17	50
		16.8%	18.2%	11.3%	19.2%
	Very dissatisfied	55	14	20	21
		9.4%	8.2%	12.9%	8.1%
	DK/NA	10	2	2	7
		1.7%	.9%	1.0%	2.6%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	209	44	79	86
		35.9%	26.5%	51.9%	32.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	99	50	120
		46.2%	59.3%	32.8%	45.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	7	10	29
		7.8%	4.1%	6.4%	10.9%
	Very dissatisfied	23	11	4	8
		4.0%	6.7%	2.7%	3.0%
	DK/NA	35	6	9	20
		6.1%	3.4%	6.1%	7.8%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	183	42	77	64
		31.4%	25.1%	50.2%	24.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	91	54	120
		45.6%	54.4%	35.2%	46.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	23	9	46
		13.3%	13.5%	6.0%	17.5%
	Very dissatisfied	28	3	9	17
		4.9%	1.6%	5.7%	6.5%
	DK/NA	28	9	4	15
		4.8%	5.4%	2.9%	5.6%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	86	19	48	19

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	11.5%	31.4%	7.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	94	61	77
		39.9%	56.4%	39.9%	29.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	15	12	56
		14.1%	8.7%	7.6%	21.4%
	Very dissatisfied	75	20	7	48
		12.9%	11.6%	4.7%	18.4%
	DK/NA	106	20	25	62
		18.3%	11.8%	16.3%	23.6%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	169	39	68	62
		29.1%	23.4%	44.5%	23.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	252	88	51	113
		43.3%	52.6%	33.1%	43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	23	16	56
		16.4%	13.7%	10.7%	21.5%
	Very dissatisfied	41	9	10	22
		7.1%	5.7%	6.8%	8.2%
	DK/NA	24	8	8	9
		4.1%	4.5%	4.9%	3.4%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	171	36	60	74
		29.3%	21.7%	39.3%	28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	93	62	112
		45.8%	55.5%	40.5%	42.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	19	11	40
		12.0%	11.3%	7.3%	15.2%
	Very dissatisfied	15	4	7	4
		2.6%	2.4%	4.4%	1.7%
	DK/NA	60	15	13	31
		10.3%	9.1%	8.5%	12.0%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	142	22	70	50
		24.4%	13.2%	45.9%	19.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	83	35	99
		37.3%	49.5%	23.1%	37.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	12	0	19
		5.3%	7.1%	.0%	7.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	1	4	6
		1.9%	.4%	2.7%	2.3%
	DK/NA	181	50	43	88
		31.1%	29.8%	28.3%	33.6%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	82	36	15	32

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	21.4%	9.8%	12.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	127 21.8%	61 36.6%	28 18.3%	38 14.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18 3.1%	7 4.0%	1 .7%	10 4.0%
	Very dissatisfied	13 2.2%	2 1.4%	4 2.7%	7 2.5%
	DK/NA	342 58.7%	61 36.6%	105 68.6%	176 67.2%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6N. Neighborhood programs	Very satisfied	116 19.9%	31 18.2%	44 28.6%	42 15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.1%	70 41.9%	47 31.1%	69 26.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	10 6.3%	18 11.9%	42 16.0%
	Very dissatisfied	24 4.1%	8 5.1%	1 .6%	14 5.5%
	DK/NA	185 31.8%	48 28.5%	42 27.8%	95 36.2%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6O. Managing land use	Very satisfied	47 8.0%	19 11.5%	16 10.3%	12 4.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	181 31.0%	75 44.7%	39 25.7%	66 25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132 22.7%	25 14.6%	31 20.5%	76 29.2%
	Very dissatisfied	95 16.3%	25 14.8%	12 7.9%	58 22.0%
	DK/NA	128 22.0%	24 14.4%	54 35.6%	49 18.9%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied	133 22.9%	35 20.7%	43 28.2%	55 21.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	211 36.2%	83 49.6%	46 29.9%	82 31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71 12.1%	12 7.4%	20 12.9%	38 14.7%
	Very dissatisfied	25 4.4%	6 3.8%	2 1.4%	17 6.4%
	DK/NA	142 24.4%	31 18.4%	42 27.6%	69 26.4%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	126	23	68	35

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	14.0%	44.5%	13.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	187 32.0%	71 42.2%	35 22.8%	81 30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33 5.6%	8 4.9%	2 1.1%	23 8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	19 3.3%	4 2.6%	7 4.4%	8 3.1%
	DK/NA	217 37.3%	61 36.3%	41 27.2%	115 43.9%
	Total	582	167	153	262
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Very satisfied	135 23.1%	40 23.6%	49 32.4%	46 17.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	137 23.6%	50 30.0%	24 15.5%	64 24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19 3.3%	2 1.2%	3 2.1%	14 5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11 1.9%	3 1.7%	3 2.2%	5 1.9%
	DK/NA	280 48.0%	73 43.5%	73 47.8%	134 51.1%
	Total	582	167	153	262

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
 b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
 c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6A. Police services	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	250	64	49	27	33	78
		43.0%	53.4%	38.5%	29.7%	42.6%	46.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	35	50	40	30	56
		36.5%	29.2%	40.1%	44.6%	38.9%	33.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	52	16	15	8	3	9
		8.9%	13.7%	11.9%	9.2%	3.3%	5.6%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	28	1	3	6	9	8
		4.8%	.7%	2.1%	7.2%	12.2%	5.0%
	DK/NA	40	4	9	8	2	16
		6.9%	3.0%	7.4%	9.4%	3.0%	9.7%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	189	51	35	26	26	52
		32.5%	42.5%	27.4%	29.0%	33.5%	30.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	27	48	24	28	52
		31.0%	22.8%	38.4%	27.0%	36.5%	30.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	7	6	3	6	4
		4.3%	6.0%	4.7%	2.9%	7.1%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0	0	2	1
		.4%	.0%	.2%	.0%	2.2%	.4%
	DK/NA	185	34	37	37	16	60
6D. Recycling program		31.7%	28.7%	29.3%	41.1%	20.7%	35.7%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	316	65	62	45	40	103
		54.4%	53.9%	49.6%	50.4%	51.8%	61.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	51	48	38	23	53
		36.5%	42.4%	38.0%	41.8%	29.0%	31.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	4	3	4	8	7
6E. Library services		4.4%	3.7%	2.5%	4.5%	9.7%	3.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	8	2	7	2
		3.3%	.0%	6.2%	2.6%	9.4%	1.0%
	DK/NA	8	0	5	1	0	3
		1.4%	.0%	3.7%	.6%	.0%	1.8%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	257	52	46	44	33	83
6F. Street pavement maintenance		44.2%	43.3%	36.3%	48.6%	42.4%	49.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	57	57	31	31	67
		41.7%	47.6%	44.9%	34.0%	39.4%	40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	9	7	4	6	5
		5.3%	7.1%	5.8%	4.6%	7.9%	2.8%
	Very dissatisfied	15	0	0	2	7	5
		2.5%	.0%	.2%	2.8%	8.6%	3.2%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	37	2	16	9	1	8
		6.3%	2.0%	12.8%	10.0%	1.8%	4.8%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	339	76	75	54	39	95
		58.2%	63.6%	59.7%	59.3%	49.8%	56.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	35	36	24	32	47
		30.0%	29.4%	28.6%	26.7%	40.6%	28.2%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	0	0	1	4
		1.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	1.8%	2.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	1	1	2	3	4
		1.8%	1.0%	1.1%	1.9%	3.5%	2.1%
	DK/NA	53	7	13	11	3	18
		9.1%	6.0%	10.4%	12.1%	4.3%	11.0%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	141	42	23	19	21	35
		24.2%	35.0%	18.3%	21.3%	27.5%	20.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	51	74	44	27	82
		47.9%	42.8%	58.9%	48.9%	34.3%	49.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	16	15	17	15	34
		16.8%	13.4%	12.1%	18.7%	19.7%	20.5%
	Very dissatisfied	55	11	10	9	13	12
6J. Other community services		9.4%	8.8%	8.2%	9.9%	16.2%	7.3%
	DK/NA	10	0	3	1	2	4
		1.7%	.0%	2.5%	1.3%	2.3%	2.3%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	209	53	26	33	30	68
		35.9%	44.0%	20.3%	36.8%	38.1%	40.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	54	71	39	36	70
6K. Other community services		46.2%	44.7%	56.1%	42.7%	46.6%	41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	15	10	7	9
		7.8%	3.0%	12.3%	11.0%	8.8%	5.5%
	Very dissatisfied	23	6	1	3	4	9
		4.0%	5.1%	.8%	3.2%	5.6%	5.2%
	DK/NA	35	4	13	6	1	12
		6.1%	3.1%	10.5%	6.3%	.8%	7.2%
6L. Other community services	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	183	55	28	28	25	47
		31.4%	45.6%	21.9%	31.2%	32.7%	27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	43	68	39	37	78
		45.6%	35.8%	54.2%	43.7%	47.3%	46.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	16	16	13	8	25
		13.3%	12.9%	12.7%	14.4%	10.0%	15.0%
6M. Other community services	Very dissatisfied	28	3	7	8	5	6
		4.9%	2.3%	5.2%	8.4%	6.3%	3.8%
	DK/NA	28	4	8	2	3	12
		4.8%	3.3%	6.1%	2.2%	3.7%	6.9%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	86	30	14	15	12	16
		14.8%	25.0%	11.1%	16.7%	15.4%	9.6%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.2%	63.6%	59.7%	59.3%	49.8%	56.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	35	36	24	32	47
		30.0%	29.4%	28.6%	26.7%	40.6%	28.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	0	0	1	4
		1.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	1.8%	2.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	1	1	2	3	4
		1.8%	1.0%	1.1%	1.9%	3.5%	2.1%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	53	7	13	11	3	18
		9.1%	6.0%	10.4%	12.1%	4.3%	11.0%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	141	42	23	19	21	35
		24.2%	35.0%	18.3%	21.3%	27.5%	20.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	279	51	74	44	27	82
		47.9%	42.8%	58.9%	48.9%	34.3%	49.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	16	15	17	15	34
		16.8%	13.4%	12.1%	18.7%	19.7%	20.5%
	Very dissatisfied	55	11	10	9	13	12
		9.4%	8.8%	8.2%	9.9%	16.2%	7.3%
	DK/NA	10	0	3	1	2	4
		1.7%	.0%	2.5%	1.3%	2.3%	2.3%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	209	53	26	33	30	68
		35.9%	44.0%	20.3%	36.8%	38.1%	40.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	269	54	71	39	36	70
		46.2%	44.7%	56.1%	42.7%	46.6%	41.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	45	4	15	10	7	9
		7.8%	3.0%	12.3%	11.0%	8.8%	5.5%
	Very dissatisfied	23	6	1	3	4	9
6I. Economic development efforts		4.0%	5.1%	.8%	3.2%	5.6%	5.2%
	DK/NA	35	4	13	6	1	12
		6.1%	3.1%	10.5%	6.3%	.8%	7.2%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	183	55	28	28	25	47
		31.4%	45.6%	21.9%	31.2%	32.7%	27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	265	43	68	39	37	78
6J. Other community services		45.6%	35.8%	54.2%	43.7%	47.3%	46.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	78	16	16	13	8	25
		13.3%	12.9%	12.7%	14.4%	10.0%	15.0%
	Very dissatisfied	28	3	7	8	5	6
		4.9%	2.3%	5.2%	8.4%	6.3%	3.8%
	DK/NA	28	4	8	2	3	12
		4.8%	3.3%	6.1%	2.2%	3.7%	6.9%
6K. Other community services	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	86	30	14	15	12	16
		14.8%	25.0%	11.1%	16.7%	15.4%	9.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	242	57	57	31	31	67
		41.7%	47.6%	44.9%	34.0%	39.4%	40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	9	7	4	6	5
		5.3%	7.1%	5.8%	4.6%	7.9%	2.8%
6L. Other community services	Very dissatisfied	15	0	0	2	7	5
		2.5%	.0%	.2%	2.8%	8.6%	3.2%
	DK/NA	37	2	16	9	1	8
		6.3%	2.0%	12.8%	10.0%	1.8%	4.8%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	339	76	75	54	39	95
		58.2%	63.6%	59.7%	59.3%	49.8%	56.4%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	24.6%	11.2%	16.5%	15.8%	9.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	49	52	31	30	69
		39.9%	40.8%	41.2%	34.9%	39.1%	41.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	9	19	13	8	34
		14.1%	7.3%	15.4%	14.3%	9.8%	20.0%
	Very dissatisfied	75	15	10	13	15	21
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		12.9%	12.8%	8.2%	14.2%	19.9%	12.4%
	DK/NA	106	17	30	18	12	28
		18.3%	14.5%	24.1%	20.1%	15.4%	17.0%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	169	44	41	24	20	40
		29.1%	36.4%	32.5%	26.5%	26.2%	24.1%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	252	49	51	45	35	72
		43.3%	41.2%	40.7%	49.5%	44.4%	42.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	17	20	13	16	30
		16.4%	14.0%	16.1%	13.9%	20.1%	17.9%
	Very dissatisfied	41	7	7	6	7	14
		7.1%	5.7%	5.9%	7.0%	9.0%	8.2%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	24	3	6	3	0	12
		4.1%	2.6%	4.7%	3.1%	.4%	7.0%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	171	35	33	33	23	47
		29.3%	28.8%	26.6%	36.9%	29.0%	27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	267	52	54	38	40	83
6M. Senior Center programs		45.8%	43.2%	42.9%	42.3%	50.8%	49.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	23	19	8	5	15
		12.0%	18.9%	15.3%	8.7%	7.0%	8.7%
	Very dissatisfied	15	3	0	3	4	4
		2.6%	2.9%	.2%	3.9%	5.0%	2.4%
	DK/NA	60	8	19	7	6	20
6N. Neighborhood programs		10.3%	6.3%	15.0%	8.2%	8.2%	11.6%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	142	44	26	23	16	33
		24.4%	36.7%	20.5%	25.8%	20.9%	19.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	217	42	53	33	35	55
		37.3%	34.7%	42.0%	36.6%	44.6%	32.7%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	11	3	3	4	11
		5.3%	8.9%	2.8%	2.9%	4.7%	6.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	1	6	2	1
		1.9%	.0%	.9%	7.0%	3.2%	.5%
	DK/NA	181	24	43	25	21	69
		31.1%	19.7%	33.9%	27.7%	26.6%	41.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	82	20	6	12	9	36

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.1%	16.7%	4.7%	12.8%	11.7%	21.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	20	22	16	27	41
		21.8%	16.8%	17.6%	17.9%	34.7%	24.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	5	3	3	2	5
		3.1%	4.3%	2.7%	3.5%	2.2%	2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	13	1	1	3	1	7
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	.9%	1.2%	2.8%	1.5%	4.1%
	DK/NA	342	74	93	57	39	80
		58.7%	61.3%	73.9%	63.0%	49.9%	47.3%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	116	31	22	20	12	31
		19.9%	26.0%	17.2%	22.3%	15.3%	18.4%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	187	32	39	33	34	48
		32.1%	26.6%	31.2%	37.1%	43.4%	28.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	20	16	9	7	19
		12.1%	16.3%	12.5%	10.0%	9.4%	11.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24	6	4	3	4	7
		4.1%	4.7%	3.3%	3.8%	4.9%	4.0%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	185	32	45	24	21	63
		31.8%	26.4%	35.7%	26.8%	26.9%	37.7%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	47	12	6	12	8	9
		8.0%	10.4%	4.9%	12.8%	10.0%	5.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	35	47	35	18	46
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.0%	28.8%	37.4%	38.3%	23.4%	27.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	17	28	17	27	43
		22.7%	13.8%	22.1%	19.1%	35.2%	25.7%
	Very dissatisfied	95	17	16	14	12	36
		16.3%	14.3%	12.6%	15.1%	16.0%	21.2%
	DK/NA	128	39	29	13	12	34
6R. Senior Center programs		22.0%	32.8%	23.0%	14.7%	15.5%	20.4%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	133	32	19	22	16	45
		22.9%	26.6%	14.9%	24.6%	20.3%	26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	38	54	31	30	58
		36.2%	31.3%	42.8%	34.4%	38.5%	34.7%
6S. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	71	16	14	7	11	22
		12.1%	13.1%	11.2%	8.2%	14.8%	13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	25	2	6	4	4	9
		4.4%	1.9%	4.9%	4.3%	4.9%	5.5%
	DK/NA	142	33	33	26	17	34
		24.4%	27.3%	26.2%	28.6%	21.5%	20.2%
6T. Senior Center programs	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	126	38	22	19	15	32

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.7%	31.4%	17.4%	21.5%	19.3%	19.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	187	32	55	26	28	46
		32.0%	26.7%	43.5%	29.1%	35.9%	27.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	12	2	6	3	10
		5.6%	10.1%	1.3%	6.4%	4.3%	5.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19	0	6	1	3	9
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		3.3%	.0%	4.4%	1.5%	4.4%	5.3%
	DK/NA	217	38	42	38	28	72
		37.3%	31.8%	33.4%	41.6%	36.0%	42.6%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	135	31	21	17	25	41
		23.1%	25.9%	16.5%	18.7%	31.7%	24.5%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	137	21	36	20	20	42
		23.6%	17.1%	28.3%	21.9%	25.1%	25.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	1	6	3	6	4
		3.3%	1.0%	4.8%	2.8%	7.4%	2.2%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	1	3	3	1
		1.9%	2.4%	1.1%	3.1%	3.7%	.7%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	DK/NA	280	64	62	48	25	80
		48.0%	53.6%	49.4%	53.4%	32.1%	47.5%

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6A. Police services	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	249	61	53	22	16	50
		43.1%	49.3%	44.4%	56.4%	40.0%	39.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	212	37	46	15	16	46
		36.6%	29.7%	38.4%	37.7%	39.0%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	51	8	10	0	2	16
		8.7%	6.3%	8.6%	.0%	4.7%	12.9%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	27	7	5	2	3	5
		4.6%	5.9%	4.2%	3.8%	7.3%	3.9%
	DK/NA	40	11	5	1	4	10
		6.9%	8.7%	4.4%	2.1%	9.0%	8.2%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	188	61	31	9	8	41
		32.5%	49.2%	26.2%	22.4%	19.2%	32.4%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	180	27	31	12	10	61
		31.2%	22.0%	26.4%	29.9%	24.8%	47.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	25	5	3	2	2	7
		4.4%	4.1%	2.3%	5.0%	5.3%	5.6%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	1	1	0	0
		.4%	.0%	1.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	183	30	53	16	21	18
6D. Recycling program		31.5%	24.7%	44.2%	41.0%	50.7%	14.2%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	315	75	61	26	25	63
		54.5%	60.5%	51.0%	64.1%	62.1%	49.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	36	47	12	12	55
		36.5%	28.9%	39.0%	30.9%	29.8%	43.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	7	3	1	2	5
6E. Library services		4.5%	5.3%	2.3%	3.0%	5.4%	3.7%
	Very dissatisfied	18	5	6	1	0	4
		3.2%	4.3%	4.9%	2.0%	.0%	3.0%
	DK/NA	8	1	3	0	1	1
		1.5%	1.0%	2.9%	.0%	2.8%	.5%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	256	60	50	20	15	54
6F. Other community services		44.2%	49.0%	41.6%	50.8%	35.8%	42.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	241	50	48	15	18	60
		41.7%	40.6%	40.2%	37.1%	44.5%	47.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	5	8	0	2	5
		5.1%	3.8%	6.4%	.0%	5.5%	4.1%
	Very dissatisfied	15	3	7	1	2	1
		2.5%	2.2%	6.0%	2.0%	3.7%	1.0%
6G. Other community services	DK/NA	37	5	7	4	4	7
		6.4%	4.4%	5.7%	10.1%	10.6%	5.8%
6H. Other community services	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	336	81	67	21	20	67

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6A. Police services	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	40	3	4
		35.4%	45.0%	44.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	48	2	3
		42.5%	35.7%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	1	0
		11.4%	19.3%	.0%
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	7	0	2
		6.3%	.0%	22.5%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	32	3	3
		28.7%	40.5%	33.7%
6C. Garbage collection	Somewhat satisfied	35	1	3
		31.2%	15.3%	30.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	0	0
		5.6%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	38	3	3
6D. Recycling program		33.8%	44.2%	35.5%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	56	4	6
		49.7%	58.0%	68.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	2	3
		39.8%	30.4%	28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	7	1	0
6E. Library services		6.5%	11.6%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	47	5	6
6F. Street pavement maintenance		41.6%	69.1%	66.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	46	2	3
		40.7%	28.7%	31.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	0	0
		8.7%	2.2%	1.8%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	DK/NA	9	0	0
		7.9%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	73	4	4

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	58.1%	65.9%	56.3%	51.5%	50.1%	52.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	174	28	41	12	12	51
		30.1%	23.1%	34.5%	29.9%	30.0%	39.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	2	1	0	1	0
		1.0%	1.3%	.9%	.0%	2.5%	.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	3	4	1	0
		1.8%	1.5%	2.4%	10.2%	1.4%	.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	DK/NA	52	10	7	3	7	10
		9.0%	8.2%	5.9%	8.4%	16.0%	7.5%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	141	36	29	10	9	22
		24.3%	29.5%	24.4%	24.8%	22.1%	17.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	276	42	55	17	19	72
		47.6%	34.1%	46.0%	42.1%	45.6%	56.2%
6G. Street sweeping services	Somewhat dissatisfied	98	27	15	11	8	23
		16.9%	22.0%	12.4%	26.5%	20.7%	17.9%
	Very dissatisfied	55	15	15	3	4	10
		9.4%	12.0%	12.4%	6.6%	9.1%	8.2%
	DK/NA	10	3	6	0	1	0
		1.7%	2.4%	4.8%	.0%	2.5%	.2%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	209	54	45	17	15	36
		36.1%	44.1%	37.3%	42.6%	36.6%	28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	268	47	55	14	15	68
		46.3%	37.9%	45.7%	34.2%	36.5%	53.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	43	3	9	5	5	11
		7.4%	2.2%	7.4%	11.5%	12.4%	8.4%
	Very dissatisfied	23	7	4	2	3	6
6I. Economic development efforts		4.0%	5.8%	3.5%	5.9%	7.5%	4.7%
	DK/NA	35	12	7	2	3	6
		6.1%	10.0%	6.1%	5.8%	7.1%	4.7%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	183	49	42	15	9	27
		31.6%	40.1%	35.0%	37.6%	23.0%	21.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	264	46	52	19	17	72
6J. Economic development efforts		45.6%	37.5%	43.3%	46.9%	42.3%	56.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	76	15	13	2	11	17
		13.1%	11.9%	10.9%	5.1%	25.8%	13.7%
	Very dissatisfied	28	7	6	0	1	4
		4.9%	5.7%	4.9%	.7%	3.1%	2.8%
	DK/NA	28	6	7	4	2	7
		4.9%	4.8%	5.9%	9.7%	5.9%	5.8%
6L. Economic development efforts	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	86	24	23	8	6	9

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6E. Library services	Very satisfied	64.6%	55.8%	42.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	24	0	5
		21.8%	2.2%	57.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	1	0
		.3%	17.1%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	14	2	0
		12.3%	24.9%	.0%
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	30	1	3
		27.0%	17.5%	29.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	62	3	6
		55.6%	48.3%	64.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	1	0
		11.7%	11.6%	2.4%
	Very dissatisfied	6	2	0
		5.7%	22.7%	4.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
6G. Street sweeping services	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	33	4	5
		29.8%	51.7%	57.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	63	3	4
		56.5%	36.7%	42.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1	0
		9.2%	11.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	0	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%
6H. Street tree maintenance	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	35	2	3
		31.0%	26.8%	35.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	52	4	3
		46.2%	59.4%	28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	0	3
		13.0%	2.2%	35.5%
	Very dissatisfied	9	1	0
		8.4%	11.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0
		1.4%	.0%	.0%
6I. Economic development efforts	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	15	1	0

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	14.9%	19.8%	19.6%	21.3%	14.8%	6.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	232	33	35	16	19	71
		40.1%	26.6%	29.6%	39.7%	46.6%	55.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	82	27	12	4	5	22
		14.2%	22.3%	10.0%	9.4%	11.5%	17.4%
	Very dissatisfied	72	15	22	8	5	9
		12.4%	12.0%	18.0%	20.8%	13.1%	6.9%
	DK/NA	106	24	27	4	6	17
		18.4%	19.3%	22.9%	8.9%	14.0%	13.4%
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	169	44	43	8	10	23
		29.2%	36.1%	36.3%	20.9%	24.7%	18.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	251	40	45	19	15	73
		43.3%	32.1%	37.9%	48.8%	36.4%	57.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	95	21	18	8	9	20
		16.3%	17.0%	15.2%	19.6%	21.5%	15.3%
	Very dissatisfied	41	14	9	2	5	5
		7.2%	11.1%	7.4%	5.0%	11.4%	4.0%
	DK/NA	23	5	4	2	2	7
		4.0%	3.7%	3.1%	5.7%	6.0%	5.6%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	170	43	44	9	9	33
		29.3%	35.1%	37.2%	21.8%	23.0%	25.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	266	47	40	24	23	64
		45.9%	38.0%	33.5%	59.4%	57.1%	50.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	16	15	4	3	19
		12.1%	13.4%	12.6%	9.7%	6.3%	14.5%
	Very dissatisfied	15	8	2	0	2	1
		2.6%	6.1%	1.5%	.0%	5.3%	.7%
	DK/NA	59	9	18	4	3	11
		10.2%	7.5%	15.3%	9.2%	8.2%	8.3%
6L. Youth sports fields	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	142	28	37	10	8	29
		24.5%	22.7%	31.1%	24.1%	20.7%	22.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	216	43	33	13	12	62
		37.3%	34.8%	28.0%	31.4%	28.6%	48.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	31	4	7	3	3	1
		5.4%	3.4%	5.6%	8.1%	8.3%	.5%
	Very dissatisfied	11	2	2	2	2	0
		1.9%	2.0%	1.9%	5.9%	5.1%	.3%
	DK/NA	179	46	40	12	15	36
		30.9%	37.2%	33.4%	30.5%	37.2%	28.0%
6M. Senior Center programs	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	82	31	10	12	6	11

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied	13.1%	11.2%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	49	5	4
		43.8%	69.2%	49.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	10	1	1
		9.3%	13.3%	10.6%
	Very dissatisfied	13	0	0
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		11.3%	.0%	4.2%
	DK/NA	25	0	3
		22.6%	6.3%	35.6%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	32	4	4
		28.9%	55.8%	40.4%
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Somewhat satisfied	53	2	3
		47.6%	30.4%	38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1	1
		15.7%	13.7%	8.2%
	Very dissatisfied	6	0	1
		5.3%	.0%	13.1%
6L. Youth sports fields	DK/NA	3	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	27	2	3
		23.9%	22.7%	29.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	59	3	5
6M. Senior Center programs		52.8%	43.8%	55.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	0	0
		11.7%	2.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	3	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	10	2	1
6N. Neighborhood programs		9.3%	31.4%	13.1%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	28	1	1
		24.9%	17.8%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	46	2	6
		41.0%	22.7%	68.9%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied	11	1	1
		9.7%	11.6%	13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	26	3	1
		23.4%	47.9%	6.6%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	8	3	2

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	14.2%	25.0%	8.7%	29.1%	14.1%	8.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	127	12	30	6	10	41
		21.9%	9.9%	25.2%	16.3%	24.0%	31.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1	3	1	3	8
		3.1%	.9%	2.4%	3.0%	6.3%	5.9%
	Very dissatisfied	13	4	1	5	1	0
6N. Neighborhood programs		2.2%	3.0%	1.0%	11.8%	2.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	339	75	75	16	22	68
		58.5%	61.2%	62.8%	39.9%	53.0%	53.5%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	116	25	22	7	8	26
		20.0%	20.0%	18.0%	17.7%	18.7%	20.2%
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied	186	34	41	15	13	44
		32.1%	27.7%	34.2%	36.9%	32.5%	34.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	18	11	2	4	9
		12.0%	14.5%	9.1%	5.7%	10.0%	6.9%
	Very dissatisfied	24	6	3	1	3	6
		4.1%	5.2%	2.9%	3.0%	8.2%	4.4%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	DK/NA	184	40	43	15	13	43
		31.8%	32.5%	35.8%	36.6%	30.6%	34.1%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	47	17	10	5	3	6
		8.1%	13.7%	8.0%	11.5%	6.7%	4.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	181	26	30	9	15	49
6Q. Sports Center programs		31.2%	21.3%	25.0%	23.4%	37.2%	38.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	132	34	25	8	7	32
		22.8%	27.6%	21.2%	20.5%	18.3%	24.8%
	Very dissatisfied	92	17	29	11	7	11
		15.8%	13.7%	23.9%	27.8%	17.4%	8.9%
	DK/NA	128	29	26	7	8	30
6R. Senior Center programs		22.1%	23.7%	22.0%	16.8%	20.4%	23.4%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	132	33	29	9	11	21
		22.8%	27.1%	24.3%	22.0%	26.2%	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	211	44	39	11	14	55
		36.4%	36.0%	32.8%	27.8%	34.9%	43.2%
6S. Senior Center programs	Somewhat dissatisfied	70	15	20	2	2	14
		12.0%	11.8%	16.7%	5.5%	4.7%	11.2%
	Very dissatisfied	24	4	5	2	3	4
		4.2%	3.0%	3.8%	5.9%	7.5%	3.4%
	DK/NA	142	27	27	15	11	33
		24.6%	22.0%	22.4%	38.7%	26.7%	25.7%
6T. Senior Center programs	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	125	34	23	5	10	26

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	6.9%	38.4%	26.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	24	2	1
		21.3%	30.4%	15.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	1	1
		.9%	11.6%	11.4%
	Very dissatisfied	2	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	77	1	4
		68.8%	19.6%	46.5%
6N. Neighborhood programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	26	2	1
		23.5%	26.8%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	34	1	4
		30.2%	13.3%	46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	26	0	0
		22.8%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	2	2	0
		1.9%	22.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	24	3	4
		21.7%	37.1%	42.3%
6O. Managing land use	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	7	0	0
		6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	1	5
		40.3%	13.3%	53.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	5	1
		18.2%	66.6%	6.6%
	Very dissatisfied	16	0	0
		14.4%	2.2%	4.2%
	DK/NA	23	1	3
		20.5%	17.9%	35.6%
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	26	1	2
		23.4%	11.2%	22.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	41	3	3
		36.2%	42.0%	37.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	0	1
		13.6%	2.2%	13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	5	1	0
		4.6%	15.7%	2.4%
	DK/NA	25	2	2
		22.3%	29.0%	24.3%
6Q. Sports Center programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	26	1	1

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	21.6%	27.8%	19.1%	12.2%	23.8%	20.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	185	28	38	7	13	59
		32.0%	22.6%	31.5%	18.5%	32.4%	46.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	33	5	6	0	3	6
		5.7%	3.8%	5.1%	.0%	6.3%	4.9%
	Very dissatisfied	19	4	2	4	1	3
		3.3%	3.2%	1.8%	10.2%	2.5%	2.2%
	DK/NA	216	52	51	24	14	34
		37.4%	42.6%	42.5%	59.1%	34.9%	26.7%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	134	51	24	6	7	16
		23.1%	41.3%	20.4%	15.4%	18.0%	12.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	136	15	26	7	8	44
		23.6%	11.8%	22.1%	17.1%	18.8%	34.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	19	2	7	0	5	4
		3.3%	1.6%	5.9%	.7%	12.2%	3.0%
	Very dissatisfied	11	3	4	0	0	3
		1.9%	2.3%	3.3%	.4%	.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	279	53	58	26	21	60
		48.1%	43.0%	48.2%	66.4%	51.0%	47.4%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
6Q. Sports Center programs	Very satisfied	23.0%	15.7%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	33	4	4
		29.5%	51.4%	46.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	13	0	0
		11.6%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0
		4.5%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	35	2	4
		31.4%	32.9%	42.3%
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	23	3	3
		20.6%	38.4%	33.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	34	0	2
		30.7%	2.2%	21.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	52	4	4
		46.5%	59.5%	44.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied			a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.			
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied	B D F				A B	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			a	a	
	Very dissatisfied	.			.	.	
	DK/NA		A E	E	E		E
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a		
	Very dissatisfied			a	.		
	DK/NA			.	.		
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
	Very dissatisfied			.			
	DK/NA						
6E. Library services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied			a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.		a	
	Very dissatisfied					.	
	DK/NA						
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied					A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			a		.	a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied	E					
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied					A B	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
6A. Police services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		.
	Very dissatisfied	a	
	DK/NA	a	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.
6C. Garbage collection	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a
6D. Recycling program	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a
6E. Library services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	B E F	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a
6F. Street pavement maintenance	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	a
6G. Street sweeping services	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a
6H. Street tree maintenance	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		a
	DK/NA	a	a
6I. Economic development efforts	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied	E	E			A	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a			
	Very dissatisfied			.			
	DK/NA						
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied					B	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						E
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied	B E F		B E F			
	Somewhat satisfied		A			A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			B		a	
	DK/NA					.	
	Very satisfied						C
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						E
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat satisfied						A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied		E				
	DK/NA						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						
	Very satisfied						
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied			a		A	
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.			
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA			E			
	Very satisfied	B E F					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied					A	A
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied				F		
	DK/NA				a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	
	DK/NA	a	a
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a
	Very dissatisfied	a	
	DK/NA	.	
6L. Youth sports fields	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		E
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.
6M. Senior Center programs	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.
	Very satisfied		
6N. Neighborhood programs	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a
	Very dissatisfied	F	a
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied	a	a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	.
6O. Managing land use	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		
	Very satisfied		
6Q. Sports Center programs	Somewhat satisfied	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a
	Very dissatisfied	a	.
	DK/NA	.	.
	Very satisfied		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	Somewhat satisfied	a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	179	179
		30.7%	30.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	262
		45.1%	45.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	30
		5.2%	5.2%
	Very dissatisfied	12	12
		2.0%	2.0%
	DK/NA	99	99
		17.0%	17.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	179	79	99	1
		30.7%	28.1%	33.1%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	130	132	0
		45.1%	46.3%	44.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	18	11	1
		5.2%	6.4%	3.8%	31.9%
	Very dissatisfied	12	4	8	0
		2.0%	1.5%	2.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	99	50	48	1
		17.0%	17.7%	16.1%	33.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			B
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	179	20	40	45	32	38	4
		30.7%	26.7%	39.4%	31.7%	21.0%	37.1%	43.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	34	49	65	66	45	3
		45.1%	44.0%	48.8%	46.3%	43.5%	44.0%	31.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	2	3	10	14	1	0
		5.2%	3.0%	2.7%	7.0%	9.4%	1.2%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12	0	0	5	1	5	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.9%	4.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	99	20	9	16	39	13	2
		17.0%	26.4%	9.0%	11.1%	25.3%	12.8%	24.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		D				
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						a
	Very dissatisfied	a	a				.
	DK/NA	B			B C		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	179	116	63
		30.7%	27.3%	40.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	203	59
		45.1%	47.9%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	23	8
		5.2%	5.4%	4.8%
	Very dissatisfied	12	8	4
		2.0%	1.9%	2.4%
	DK/NA	99	75	24
		17.0%	17.6%	15.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	179	82	26	3	68
		30.7%	33.7%	31.7%	21.9%	27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	98	32	7	125
		45.1%	40.2%	40.1%	42.2%	51.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	15	4	1	11
		5.2%	6.0%	4.8%	7.3%	4.4%
	Very dissatisfied	12	7	4	0	1
		2.0%	3.0%	4.6%	.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	99	42	15	5	38
		17.0%	17.1%	18.7%	28.5%	15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		D	a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
		179	44	20	11	7	37	59
	Very satisfied	30.7%	36.2%	30.3%	35.1%	24.3%	29.0%	28.9%
		262	49	22	8	16	65	102
		45.1%	40.4%	32.7%	25.9%	57.1%	51.0%	49.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	30	12	3	1	1	3	11
		5.2%	9.7%	4.0%	3.2%	3.3%	2.6%	5.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	12	4	1	2	1	3	1
		2.0%	3.7%	1.3%	5.3%	3.7%	2.3%	.4%
	Very dissatisfied	99	12	21	10	3	19	33
		17.0%	10.0%	31.8%	30.5%	11.6%	15.1%	16.1%
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		A	A			
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	179	87	17	23	13
		30.7%	33.2%	22.0%	28.1%	27.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	109	49	41	18
		45.1%	41.3%	62.2%	50.4%	38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	17	3	2	5
		5.2%	6.3%	4.0%	2.8%	10.7%
	Very dissatisfied	12	1	1	6	1
		2.0%	.5%	1.1%	7.7%	3.0%
	DK/NA	99	49	8	9	10
		17.0%	18.6%	10.7%	11.0%	20.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	10	3	15	10
		39.1%	14.1%	38.4%	40.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	12	12	15	7
		43.6%	52.3%	40.2%	27.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	0	2	0
		5.1%	.0%	5.3%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	1	1	0
		.0%	4.0%	2.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	7	5	8
		12.2%	29.5%	13.6%	32.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied		A			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied			A		a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a		a
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	179	1	39	10	22	4	7	12
		30.7%	9.9%	22.3%	23.0%	46.7%	22.1%	49.7%	28.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	5	76	15	22	6	6	18
		45.1%	78.2%	44.1%	35.8%	47.8%	35.9%	41.7%	43.7%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	17	8	0	0	1	1
		5.2%	.0%	9.6%	19.5%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	2.9%
	Very dissatisfied	12	0	2	3	0	1	0	2
		2.0%	.0%	1.0%	6.1%	.0%	8.4%	.0%	5.8%
	DK/NA	99	1	40	7	3	6	0	8
		17.0%	12.0%	22.9%	15.6%	5.6%	33.7%	.0%	19.2%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	38	18	29	0	1
		39.2%	24.1%	44.8%	9.8%	21.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	43	42	26	1	2
		44.4%	56.6%	40.6%	46.3%	40.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	2	1	0	0	0
		2.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
	Very dissatisfied	2	1	0	0	0
		2.4%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	12	9	1	2
		11.9%	16.3%	14.7%	43.9%	31.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied								
	Somewhat satisfied	a		H I	a	a			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a			a		a		
	Very dissatisfied						a		
	DK/NA						a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		B		
	Somewhat satisfied		a	a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a	
	Very dissatisfied				a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	179	138	41
		30.7%	29.8%	34.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	220	42
		45.1%	47.4%	35.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	22	8
		5.2%	4.8%	7.1%
	Very dissatisfied	12	9	3
		2.0%	1.9%	2.3%
	DK/NA	99	75	24
		17.0%	16.1%	20.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	179	103	76
		30.7%	29.7%	32.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	156	107
		45.1%	44.9%	45.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	15	15
		5.2%	4.4%	6.4%
	Very dissatisfied	12	11	1
		2.0%	3.1%	.4%
	DK/NA	99	62	37
		17.0%	17.8%	15.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	B	
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	179	4	15	31	22
		30.7%	33.0%	30.8%	32.5%	16.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	3	22	42	77
		45.1%	28.0%	44.0%	44.6%	58.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	7	4	7
		5.2%	.0%	14.3%	4.4%	5.3%
	Very dissatisfied	12	0	2	2	3
		2.0%	.0%	3.5%	2.4%	2.2%
	DK/NA	99	4	4	15	23
		17.0%	39.0%	7.5%	16.2%	17.3%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	53	54	1
		32.7%	40.4%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	73	46	0
		44.8%	34.3%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	4	0
		5.4%	2.7%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	1	4	0
		.6%	3.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	27	26	0
		16.6%	19.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	F		F

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA	D	D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very satisfied	177	15	61	47	26	26	2
		30.5%	32.7%	29.1%	33.3%	23.1%	44.5%	16.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	20	99	65	48	24	6
		45.2%	44.7%	47.1%	45.8%	42.5%	40.8%	53.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	7	9	8	4	3
		5.3%	.5%	3.2%	6.2%	7.3%	6.3%	23.9%
	Very dissatisfied	12	2	6	0	4	0	0
		2.0%	5.2%	2.8%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	99	8	37	21	27	5	1
		17.0%	16.8%	17.8%	14.7%	24.0%	8.4%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied Somewhat satisfied Somewhat dissatisfied Very dissatisfied DK/NA			A B .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
		179	1	0	39	0
	Very satisfied	30.7%	50.0%	.0%	20.6%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	0	3	100	2
		45.1%	.0%	100.0%	52.8%	100.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	0	13	0
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	6.6%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12	0	0	1	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	99	1	0	36	0
		17.0%	50.0%	.0%	19.2%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	106	15	25	8
		25	5	12	5
	Very satisfied	24.0%	33.4%	49.4%	58.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	50	7	9	2
		47.5%	42.9%	35.4%	30.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	9	3	0	0
		8.9%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	0	0
		4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	16	1	4	1
		15.2%	6.7%	15.3%	11.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	12	4	58	6
		88.3%	35.3%	38.9%	26.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	3	54	13
		11.7%	26.5%	36.5%	56.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	3	1
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	3.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	5	1
		.0%	.0%	3.2%	4.6%
	DK/NA	0	4	29	2
		.0%	38.2%	19.5%	9.1%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	3	1	7
		29.0%	10.2%	41.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	5	3	9
		43.6%	50.9%	53.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	2	0
		.0%	34.3%	.9%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	0	1
		27.4%	4.5%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied		K	.a	.a
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	.a	.a
	Very dissatisfied		.	.	.
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	C E K L		C	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	.a		
	Very dissatisfied	.a	.a		
	DK/NA	.a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied	.a	K	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.a	a	.a
	Very dissatisfied	.	.	.
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	82	24	42	9	3	4
		30.7%	22.9%	37.6%	28.6%	31.9%	39.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	133	62	47	15	7	2
		49.9%	59.6%	41.9%	48.9%	67.2%	18.8%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	5	8	1	0	0
		5.5%	5.2%	7.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	5	0	2	3	0	0
		1.8%	.3%	1.7%	8.4%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	33	12	13	3	0	4
		12.2%	12.0%	11.4%	11.4%	.9%	41.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied				a	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied				a	a
	Very dissatisfied			A	a	a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	117	35	64	11	1	4
		30.7%	32.8%	28.8%	33.6%	32.5%	37.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	163	46	100	14	0	3
		42.8%	42.8%	44.8%	41.4%	.0%	22.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	18	1	10	3	3	1
		4.6%	1.1%	4.3%	7.8%	65.4%	11.0%
	Very dissatisfied	7	2	6	0	0	0
		2.0%	1.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	76	23	44	6	0	3
		20.0%	21.4%	19.7%	17.2%	2.1%	28.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied				a	
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				A B C	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very satisfied	179	135	4	18	5	1	10
		30.7%	30.2%	15.6%	19.0%	67.8%	75.7%	22.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	191	17	55	0	0	22
		45.1%	42.6%	65.1%	59.1%	.0%	24.3%	49.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	29	4	4	2	0	4
		5.2%	6.5%	15.2%	4.4%	29.1%	.0%	8.1%
	Very dissatisfied	12	11	0	1	0	0	0
		2.0%	2.4%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	99	82	1	15	0	0	9
		17.0%	18.3%	4.0%	16.1%	3.2%	.0%	20.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	3	12	7	8	0	8
		49.0%	55.6%	15.1%	57.7%	25.0%	61.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	6	28	1	1	4
		42.6%	27.2%	58.3%	5.9%	75.0%	28.9%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	3	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	5.8%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	4	10	3	0	1
		8.4%	17.2%	20.8%	20.9%	.0%	9.6%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	12	17	2
		88.3%	31.4%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	2	26	3
		11.7%	46.6%	40.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	4	0
		.0%	7.8%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	8	2
		.0%	14.2%	31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied							
	Somewhat satisfied		J	J M	b	a		b
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a,b		b
	Very dissatisfied		b		b	a,b	b	
	DK/NA					a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	C	I		a		A B C F I N	
	Somewhat satisfied	b			a,b	b	b	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	b	b		a,b	b	b	b
	Very dissatisfied				a,b		b	
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	b
	Somewhat dissatisfied	b
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	179	15	21	14	8	9
		30.7%	24.8%	40.3%	48.5%	21.2%	27.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	38	25	11	13	19
		45.1%	62.2%	48.2%	36.6%	34.7%	60.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	1	1	0	4	0
		5.2%	2.0%	1.5%	.5%	10.7%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	12	1	1	1	3	0
		2.0%	1.1%	1.4%	2.2%	8.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	99	6	4	4	9	4
		17.0%	10.0%	8.6%	12.2%	24.9%	12.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	23	8	15	27	39
		55.3%	20.0%	45.5%	20.4%	31.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	14	21	14	61	47
		32.8%	55.7%	40.8%	46.3%	37.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	1	3	3	11	7
		2.5%	7.0%	8.3%	8.4%	5.4%
	Very dissatisfied	0	0	0	4	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	2.1%
	DK/NA	4	7	2	29	30
		9.4%	17.3%	5.4%	22.0%	24.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					a
	Somewhat dissatisfied					a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
		I				
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied	a	a	a		
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	179	47	69	63
		30.7%	28.0%	45.1%	24.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	86	61	116
		45.1%	51.2%	39.9%	44.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	2	2	26
		5.2%	1.4%	1.6%	9.8%
	Very dissatisfied	12	5	5	2
		2.0%	3.0%	3.2%	.7%
	DK/NA	99	27	16	56
		17.0%	16.3%	10.2%	21.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied		A C	
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			A B
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	135	28	25	34	48
		29.3%	22.1%	27.7%	43.6%	28.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	69	46	25	73
		46.1%	55.1%	51.3%	31.5%	43.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21	4	5	4	7
		4.5%	3.0%	6.0%	5.6%	4.3%
	Very dissatisfied	11	0	0	4	7
		2.4%	.0%	.3%	4.8%	4.2%
	DK/NA	82	25	13	11	33
		17.8%	19.9%	14.7%	14.5%	19.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			A	
	Somewhat satisfied	C			
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a			
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
		178	48	34	12	14	37
	Very satisfied	30.7%	38.9%	28.6%	28.9%	34.4%	28.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	262	52	46	14	18	64
		45.3%	42.0%	38.7%	35.8%	44.3%	50.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	5	9	1	3	6
		5.1%	3.8%	7.5%	2.3%	7.3%	4.6%
	Very dissatisfied	12	4	3	4	0	0
		2.0%	3.2%	2.8%	9.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	98	15	27	9	6	21
		16.9%	12.1%	22.4%	23.6%	13.9%	16.7%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Total	112	7	9
		30	2	1
	Very satisfied	26.6%	35.3%	11.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	61	2	5
		54.7%	28.7%	53.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	0	1
		4.4%	.0%	13.1%
	Very dissatisfied	1	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	15	3	2
		13.7%	36.0%	22.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied			F	a	a
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
7. Are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the overall job the Cupertino Recreation and Community Services Department is doing?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied		a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		a	a
	Very dissatisfied			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	582
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	116 19.9%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	137 23.5%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	115 19.7%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	65 11.2%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	86 14.8%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	20 3.4%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	18 3.1%
	Never	22 3.9%	22 3.9%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	3 .6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	.
	Once a week	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	281	298	3
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	53 19.0%	61 20.6%	1 33.7%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	71 25.2%	64 21.5%	2 66.3%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	59 21.1%	55 18.5%	0 .0%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	33 11.8%	32 10.7%	0 .0%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	36 12.7%	51 17.0%	0 .0%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	11 4.0%	9 2.9%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	5 1.9%	13 4.2%	0 .0%
	Never	22 3.9%	10 3.6%	12 4.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	2 .8%	1 .4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			a
	A few times a year			a
	Once a year			a
	Less than once a year			a
	Never			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	More than once a week	116	10	25	32	30	18	1
		19.9%	12.9%	24.3%	22.8%	19.9%	17.4%	11.8%
	Once a week	137	13	30	43	31	17	3
		23.5%	16.6%	29.9%	30.7%	20.0%	17.0%	32.1%
	A few times a month	115	15	21	28	33	17	1
		19.7%	18.9%	21.2%	19.9%	21.6%	16.2%	12.5%
	Once a month	65	12	8	13	16	15	1
		11.2%	15.9%	8.1%	9.2%	10.5%	14.4%	11.8%
	A few times a year	86	11	12	14	30	17	3
		14.8%	14.4%	11.6%	9.8%	19.5%	16.9%	31.8%
	Once a year	20	8	2	3	3	2	0
		3.4%	10.9%	2.4%	2.4%	2.0%	2.3%	.0%
	Less than once a year	18	0	0	5	6	7	0
		3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	3.8%	7.0%	.0%
	Never	22	8	2	1	2	9	0
		3.9%	10.3%	2.4%	.8%	1.4%	8.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.4%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week						
	Once a week						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						a
	Once a year	D					a
	Less than once a year	a	a				a
	Never	C D				C D	a
	DK/NA	a	a			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	424	158
	More than once a week	116	78	37
		19.9%	18.4%	23.8%
	Once a week	137	99	38
		23.5%	23.2%	24.3%
	A few times a month	115	84	31
		19.7%	19.7%	19.5%
	Once a month	65	49	16
		11.2%	11.5%	10.4%
	A few times a year	86	71	15
		14.8%	16.8%	9.5%
	Once a year	20	15	5
		3.4%	3.4%	3.3%
	Less than once a year	18	16	2
		3.1%	3.8%	1.1%
	Never	22	11	12
		3.9%	2.6%	7.4%
	DK/NA	3	2	1
		.6%	.5%	.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	B	A
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	More than once a week	116	48	11	2	54
		19.9%	19.7%	14.2%	13.5%	22.4%
	Once a week	137	59	15	3	59
		23.5%	24.2%	18.9%	21.6%	24.6%
	A few times a month	115	59	16	4	36
		19.7%	24.0%	20.3%	22.7%	14.9%
	Once a month	65	25	4	5	31
		11.2%	10.3%	4.6%	31.1%	13.0%
	A few times a year	86	28	19	1	38
		14.8%	11.4%	23.8%	6.0%	15.8%
	Once a year	20	6	6	0	7
		3.4%	2.6%	7.4%	.0%	3.1%
	Less than once a year	18	7	4	1	6
		3.1%	2.9%	4.7%	5.1%	2.6%
	Never	22	12	4	0	7
		3.9%	4.9%	4.7%	.0%	2.8%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	0	2
		.6%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a	A	B	
	Once a week				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year				
	Never				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	More than once a week	116	18	14	3	4	29	48
		19.9%	14.9%	20.8%	8.0%	15.4%	22.4%	23.4%
	Once a week	137	34	11	6	4	35	47
		23.5%	28.3%	16.0%	18.4%	14.8%	26.9%	23.1%
	A few times a month	115	31	16	6	7	24	32
		19.7%	25.4%	23.6%	17.8%	24.7%	18.5%	15.4%
	Once a month	65	12	9	2	1	9	31
		11.2%	10.2%	14.2%	6.3%	3.3%	7.2%	15.1%
	A few times a year	86	10	9	7	7	23	30
		14.8%	8.3%	14.2%	21.6%	24.1%	18.2%	14.4%
	Once a year	20	3	1	5	1	6	4
		3.4%	2.5%	1.6%	14.9%	4.1%	4.7%	1.7%
	Less than once a year	18	4	3	1	3	2	5
		3.1%	3.4%	4.4%	3.6%	9.2%	1.5%	2.5%
	Never	22	8	3	2	1	1	7
		3.9%	7.0%	5.1%	5.8%	4.4%	.5%	3.3%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
		.6%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week						
	Once a week						
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						
	Once a year			F			
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	More than once a week	116 19.9%	60 22.8%	19 24.6%	8 10.4%	8 17.5%
	Once a week	137 23.5%	59 22.5%	25 31.9%	19 22.7%	11 24.0%
	A few times a month	115 19.7%	51 19.3%	16 20.1%	21 26.3%	5 11.6%
	Once a month	65 11.2%	33 12.4%	7 8.7%	7 9.1%	7 14.2%
	A few times a year	86 14.8%	33 12.6%	10 13.1%	12 14.4%	11 24.3%
	Once a year	20 3.4%	10 3.9%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	2 3.6%
	Less than once a year	18 3.1%	5 1.9%	0 .2%	5 5.9%	0 .5%
	Never	22 3.9%	10 3.8%	1 1.5%	7 9.0%	1 1.9%
	DK/NA	3 .6%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	27	23	38	24
	More than once a week	3 12.0%	4 18.2%	9 24.3%	3 13.7%
	Once a week	8 28.6%	2 8.3%	6 16.5%	7 29.0%
	A few times a month	9 33.4%	4 16.2%	4 10.4%	5 18.6%
	Once a month	2 8.0%	5 20.5%	3 7.6%	2 7.7%
	A few times a year	2 9.3%	3 11.8%	10 27.3%	4 17.3%
	Once a year	1 2.1%	4 18.3%	1 1.5%	1 2.6%
	Less than once a year	2 6.6%	1 3.9%	4 10.1%	1 5.1%
	Never	0 .0%	1 2.8%	1 2.3%	1 6.0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year		a			
	Less than once a year					
	Never					a
DK/NA		a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	More than once a week	116	0	41	13	4	4	0	6
		19.9%	.0%	23.7%	31.9%	9.3%	22.7%	.0%	14.5%
	Once a week	137	3	42	7	12	4	8	6
		23.5%	43.5%	24.2%	16.5%	25.7%	26.6%	58.4%	14.2%
	A few times a month	115	1	32	11	10	2	0	14
		19.7%	21.8%	18.4%	25.5%	22.0%	9.8%	1.1%	33.2%
	Once a month	65	1	11	0	7	0	3	5
		11.2%	15.8%	6.3%	.0%	14.3%	.0%	20.3%	11.6%
	A few times a year	86	1	34	8	6	5	2	7
		14.8%	9.0%	19.4%	17.9%	12.3%	32.6%	15.5%	15.5%
	Once a year	20	0	3	0	3	1	0	0
		3.4%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	6.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	18	0	6	0	2	1	0	2
		3.1%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	3.8%	4.8%	.0%	4.9%
	Never	22	1	2	2	3	0	1	3
		3.9%	9.9%	1.3%	5.5%	6.6%	.0%	4.8%	6.1%
DK/NA	3	0	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	.6%	.0%	1.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	More than once a week	21	12	12	0	2
		21.9%	16.5%	18.2%	.0%	41.4%
	Once a week	20	24	8	1	1
		21.2%	32.6%	12.6%	56.1%	25.5%
	A few times a month	20	10	14	0	1
		20.6%	13.0%	21.8%	.0%	21.3%
	Once a month	14	10	16	0	0
		14.2%	13.0%	24.2%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	11	6	6	1	1
		11.7%	8.2%	9.2%	43.9%	11.8%
	Once a year	3	3	8	0	0
		2.9%	4.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	3	2	1	0	0
		3.4%	3.2%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Never	4	7	0	0	0
		4.2%	9.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a					a		
	Once a week						J		
	A few times a month								
	Once a month			a		a			
	A few times a year								
	Once a year	a		a			a	a	
	Less than once a year	a		a			a		
	Never								
	DK/NA	a			a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			a	
	Once a week				
	A few times a month			a	
	Once a month		B	a	a
	A few times a year				
	Once a year		B	a	a
	Less than once a year			a	a
	Never			a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	464	118
	More than once a week	116	89	27
		19.9%	19.2%	22.7%
	Once a week	137	113	24
		23.5%	24.3%	20.4%
	A few times a month	115	90	25
		19.7%	19.3%	21.0%
	Once a month	65	47	18
		11.2%	10.1%	15.3%
	A few times a year	86	73	14
		14.8%	15.7%	11.5%
	Once a year	20	12	8
		3.4%	2.6%	6.4%
	Less than once a year	18	15	3
		3.1%	3.3%	2.2%
	Never	22	22	1
		3.9%	4.7%	.5%
	DK/NA	3	3	0
		.6%	.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		A
	Less than once a year		
	Never	B	
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	347	235
	More than once a week	116	73	43
		19.9%	21.0%	18.3%
	Once a week	137	76	60
		23.5%	22.0%	25.7%
	A few times a month	115	62	53
		19.7%	17.8%	22.4%
	Once a month	65	30	35
		11.2%	8.6%	15.0%
	A few times a year	86	66	21
		14.8%	18.9%	8.8%
	Once a year	20	10	10
		3.4%	2.8%	4.3%
	Less than once a year	18	14	4
		3.1%	4.0%	1.8%
	Never	22	15	8
		3.9%	4.3%	3.2%
	DK/NA	3	2	1
		.6%	.6%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year	B	
	Less than once a year		A
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	More than once a week	116	5	11	24	24
		19.9%	41.8%	22.3%	25.1%	18.4%
	Once a week	137	6	9	23	34
		23.5%	54.7%	17.7%	24.7%	25.7%
	A few times a month	115	0	13	22	27
		19.7%	.0%	26.8%	22.9%	20.3%
	Once a month	65	0	4	11	13
		11.2%	.0%	8.5%	11.4%	9.9%
	A few times a year	86	0	3	6	22
		14.8%	.9%	6.7%	6.1%	16.8%
	Once a year	20	0	0	0	8
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%
	Less than once a year	18	0	2	3	3
		3.1%	2.7%	3.5%	3.0%	2.4%
	Never	22	0	6	5	1
		3.9%	.0%	12.1%	5.6%	.5%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	1	0
		.6%	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	163	133	1
	More than once a week	22	30	1
		13.5%	22.6%	100.0%
	Once a week	45	20	0
		27.7%	15.1%	.0%
	A few times a month	30	23	0
		18.2%	17.6%	.0%
	Once a month	28	9	0
		17.3%	6.8%	.0%
	A few times a year	20	35	0
		12.3%	26.4%	.0%
	Once a year	7	5	0
		4.2%	3.7%	.0%
	Less than once a year	5	5	0
		3.1%	3.6%	.0%
	Never	5	6	0
		3.1%	4.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	F			
	Once a week	.b			
	A few times a month	.b			
	Once a month	.b			
	A few times a year				
	Once a year	.b	.b	.b	
	Less than once a year				
	Never	.b	D		
	DK/NA	.b			.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			a,.b
	Once a week			a,.b
	A few times a month			a,.b
	Once a month			a,.b
	A few times a year			a,.b
	Once a year			a,.b
	Less than once a year			a,.b
	Never			a,.b
	DK/NA		.b	a,.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	More than once a week	116	4	52	27	24	8	2
	Once a week	137	12	47	24	29	19	6
	A few times a month	114	3	41	40	19	11	1
	Once a month	65	5	19	18	18	5	0
	A few times a year	86	8	32	18	17	7	3
	Once a year	20	1	9	5	0	5	0
	Less than once a year	18	3	6	5	4	0	0
	Never	21	8	5	3	3	3	0
	DK/NA	3	0	1	1	1	0	0
		.6%	.0%	.5%	.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week						
	Once a week						
	A few times a month			A			
	Once a month						
	A few times a year				a		a
	Once a year					a	a
	Less than once a year					a	a
	Never					a	a
	DK/NA	B C D					
		a					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	More than once a week	116	0	0	35	0
	Once a week	137	0	0	47	0
	A few times a month	115	1	0	34	1
	Once a month	65	0	0	27	1
	A few times a year	86	0	0	32	0
	Once a year	20	0	3	6	0
	Less than once a year	18	1	0	2	0
	Never	22	0	0	5	0
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	106	15	25	8
	More than once a week	23	1	5	1
	Once a week	32	4	3	0
	A few times a month	26	4	7	3
	Once a month	9	1	3	0
	A few times a year	10	2	1	2
	Once a year	3	0	3	0
	Less than once a year	3	1	2	1
	Never	0	2	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	4.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	14	10	148	23
	More than once a week	0	0	39	4
	Once a week	.0%	.0%	26.4%	16.1%
	A few times a month	7	3	25	5
	Once a month	48.9%	28.2%	17.1%	23.2%
	A few times a year	2	1	26	4
	Once a year	11.3%	12.0%	17.4%	16.3%
	Less than once a year	2	0	14	4
	Never	11.7%	.0%	9.4%	19.3%
	DK/NA	3	1	23	4
		21.0%	10.2%	15.5%	18.1%
		0	0	5	1
		.0%	.0%	3.5%	2.4%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	12	6	17
	More than once a week	4	3	1
	Once a week	32.3%	50.4%	6.8%
	A few times a month	3	2	6
	Once a month	25.8%	26.8%	31.9%
	A few times a year	0	1	4
	Once a year	.0%	11.1%	21.9%
	Less than once a year	1	0	2
	Never	9.8%	3.6%	11.8%
	DK/NA	3	0	5
		23.7%	3.6%	27.6%
		0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a	a		a
	Once a week	a	a		a
	A few times a month	.	a		.
	Once a month	a	a		.
	A few times a year	a	a		a
	Once a year	a	a		a
	Less than once a year	C E K	a		a
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	a		a
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week				a
	Once a week				.
	A few times a month				.
	Once a month				a
	A few times a year		a		a
	Once a year		.		.
	Less than once a year			a	C
	Never	a		.	a
	DK/NA	a	a	.	a
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	a	a		
	Once a week	.	.		
	A few times a month		a		
	Once a month		.		
	A few times a year	a	a		
	Once a year	.	a		a
	Less than once a year	a	C K		.
	Never	a	C	a	a
	DK/NA
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month	a		
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			
	Once a year	a	a	a
	Less than once a year		a	a
	Never		a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	More than once a week	67	16	39	6	2	3
		25.0%	15.4%	34.6%	21.2%	19.3%	36.2%
	Once a week	71	26	33	8	1	2
		26.6%	25.4%	29.2%	27.1%	13.4%	21.2%
	A few times a month	55	23	18	8	4	1
		20.5%	22.5%	15.9%	25.6%	38.5%	14.5%
	Once a month	29	9	12	4	3	0
		10.9%	8.9%	10.8%	14.6%	28.7%	1.8%
	A few times a year	33	23	7	2	0	2
		12.4%	22.2%	5.9%	5.2%	.0%	19.0%
	Once a year	6	4	2	0	0	0
		2.2%	3.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	4	2	1	1	0	0
		1.4%	1.6%	1.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Never	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	1	0	0
		.8%	.0%	1.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week		A			
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year				a	
	Once a year	B		a	a	a
	Less than once a year			a	a	a
	Never	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	More than once a week	68	15	41	9	1	1
		17.8%	14.3%	18.3%	27.6%	19.6%	10.6%
	Once a week	78	18	44	9	2	4
		20.5%	17.0%	19.5%	28.2%	55.8%	38.4%
	A few times a month	72	19	40	9	0	4
		19.0%	17.7%	17.7%	27.0%	.0%	40.2%
	Once a month	49	16	29	4	0	0
		12.8%	14.7%	12.8%	12.4%	.0%	1.5%
	A few times a year	71	21	47	1	0	1
		18.6%	19.8%	21.0%	4.0%	.0%	9.4%
	Once a year	15	6	10	0	0	0
		4.0%	5.1%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	16	5	10	0	1	0
		4.1%	4.4%	4.3%	.0%	24.6%	.0%
	Never	12	8	4	0	0	0
		3.0%	7.0%	1.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week				a	
	A few times a month				a	
	Once a month				a	
	A few times a year			a	a	a
	Once a year			a	a	a
	Less than once a year				a	a
	Never	B			a	a
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	More than once a week	116	93	4	23	2	0	9
		19.9%	20.8%	13.5%	25.0%	26.8%	.0%	19.7%
	Once a week	137	101	8	23	4	0	10
		23.5%	22.4%	30.2%	25.2%	48.9%	.0%	23.4%
	A few times a month	115	89	2	19	2	1	11
		19.7%	19.9%	8.9%	19.9%	23.1%	93.5%	24.2%
	Once a month	65	37	4	10	0	0	9
		11.2%	8.4%	16.1%	11.3%	1.3%	6.5%	20.4%
	A few times a year	86	73	5	16	0	0	3
		14.8%	16.3%	20.6%	17.1%	.0%	.0%	7.8%
	Once a year	20	17	3	0	0	0	1
		3.4%	3.8%	10.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Less than once a year	18	15	0	0	0	0	1
3.1%		3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	
Never	22	20	0	0	0	0	0	
	3.9%	4.5%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	3	3	0	1	0	0	0	
	.6%	.7%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	More than once a week	1	5	11	2	0	2
		15.6%	22.4%	22.7%	11.7%	.0%	18.2%
	Once a week	3	3	9	2	0	2
		42.6%	12.5%	19.4%	17.2%	.0%	13.8%
	A few times a month	2	7	7	5	0	4
		36.9%	32.8%	14.8%	35.8%	.0%	36.0%
	Once a month	0	3	6	3	1	0
		3.5%	15.0%	12.4%	22.3%	100.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	0	1	13	1	0	3
		1.4%	4.7%	28.3%	4.9%	.0%	20.8%
	Once a year	0	3	0	0	0	0
		.0%	12.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	0	0	0	0	0	1
.0%		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%	
Never	0	0	1	1	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	2.4%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	14	56	7
		0	16	2
	More than once a week	.0%	28.7%	29.4%
		7	18	1
	Once a week	48.9%	32.8%	15.7%
		2	14	2
	A few times a month	11.3%	24.5%	36.8%
		2	0	0
	Once a month	11.7%	.4%	2.4%
		3	6	1
	A few times a year	21.0%	11.0%	15.7%
		0	0	0
	Once a year	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	1	0	
Less than once a year	7.1%	2.1%	.0%	
	0	0	0	
Never	.0%	.5%	.0%	
	0	0	0	
DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					a,,b	
	Once a week					a,,b	
	A few times a month					a	
	Once a month					a	N
	A few times a year				.b	a,,b	
	Once a year			.b	.b	a,,b	
	Less than once a year		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	
	Never		.b		.b	a,,b	.b
	DK/NA		.b			a,,b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					a,,b	
	Once a week					a,,b	
	A few times a month					a,,b	
	Once a month				N	a,,b	.b
	A few times a year					a,,b	
	Once a year	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Less than once a year	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	
	Never	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	.b		
	Once a week	.b		
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			
	A few times a year			
	Once a year	.b	.b	.b
	Less than once a year			.b
	Never	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	More than once a week	116	7	12	2	6	5
		19.9%	12.0%	22.9%	7.6%	17.6%	16.3%
	Once a week	137	17	11	6	12	7
		23.5%	27.6%	21.7%	20.0%	31.5%	20.7%
	A few times a month	115	11	6	4	8	7
		19.7%	17.5%	11.9%	13.5%	22.8%	20.5%
	Once a month	65	6	13	5	1	5
		11.2%	10.3%	25.3%	18.7%	2.9%	14.8%
	A few times a year	86	9	3	5	4	5
		14.8%	15.7%	5.7%	16.2%	9.8%	16.3%
	Once a year	20	3	3	3	2	2
		3.4%	5.5%	5.4%	11.5%	4.6%	5.3%
	Less than once a year	18	1	1	3	2	1
		3.1%	2.0%	1.5%	10.3%	6.2%	2.8%
	Never	22	6	3	1	2	0
		3.9%	9.3%	5.6%	2.2%	4.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	1
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	More than once a week	8	9	8	34	24
		20.0%	22.3%	23.8%	25.8%	18.9%
	Once a week	12	9	10	33	22
		28.7%	22.9%	28.6%	24.6%	17.7%
	A few times a month	5	8	11	27	28
		13.0%	20.7%	31.5%	20.6%	22.0%
	Once a month	9	2	0	14	10
		21.3%	4.2%	.0%	10.7%	7.9%
	A few times a year	6	6	3	18	28
		14.2%	16.5%	8.7%	13.3%	21.8%
	Once a year	0	3	1	2	2
		.0%	7.4%	1.7%	1.4%	1.3%
	Less than once a year	0	0	2	5	3
		.0%	.0%	5.7%	3.7%	2.3%
	Never	1	2	0	0	8
		2.8%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	582	167	153	262
	More than once a week	116	32	25	58
		19.9%	19.4%	16.6%	22.2%
	Once a week	137	36	44	56
		23.5%	21.8%	29.0%	21.5%
	A few times a month	115	23	33	58
		19.7%	13.5%	21.9%	22.3%
	Once a month	65	32	17	16
		11.2%	19.4%	10.8%	6.2%
	A few times a year	86	20	11	55
		14.8%	11.9%	7.5%	21.0%
	Once a year	20	9	7	3
		3.4%	5.7%	4.8%	1.1%
	Less than once a year	18	5	6	8
3.1%		2.7%	3.7%	2.9%	
Never	22	10	9	4	
	3.9%	5.7%	5.7%	1.6%	
DK/NA	3	0	0	3	
	.6%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month	C		
	A few times a year			A B
	Once a year	C		
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
	DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	More than once a week	89	27	14	16	31
		19.2%	21.3%	16.0%	21.0%	18.4%
	Once a week	113	39	27	19	28
		24.4%	30.9%	29.7%	24.1%	16.7%
	A few times a month	90	19	24	20	27
		19.5%	14.9%	26.3%	26.2%	16.2%
	Once a month	47	14	9	8	16
		10.1%	11.0%	10.5%	10.0%	9.2%
	A few times a year	75	18	10	12	35
		16.2%	13.9%	11.6%	15.8%	20.6%
	Once a year	11	2	0	2	8
		2.5%	1.4%	.0%	2.5%	4.6%
	Less than once a year	16	2	2	0	11
		3.4%	2.0%	1.9%	.5%	6.7%
	Never	20	6	4	0	11
		4.4%	4.7%	4.0%	.0%	6.3%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week	D			
	Once a week				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year		a		
	Once a year		.		
	Less than once a year			a	
	Never			.	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	More than once a week	115	31	17	4	8	27
		19.8%	25.1%	14.3%	9.5%	18.9%	20.8%
	Once a week	135	21	37	7	8	34
		23.3%	16.8%	31.1%	17.8%	20.1%	26.9%
	A few times a month	115	30	28	8	8	14
		19.8%	24.7%	23.6%	20.1%	20.5%	10.6%
	Once a month	65	10	15	2	2	19
		11.2%	8.2%	12.5%	5.4%	3.7%	14.6%
	A few times a year	86	18	10	12	7	21
		14.9%	14.3%	8.5%	29.5%	18.2%	16.6%
	Once a year	20	3	3	2	4	3
		3.4%	2.7%	2.5%	5.9%	8.9%	2.2%
	Less than once a year	18	5	2	2	2	5
		3.1%	4.2%	1.5%	3.9%	5.4%	3.9%
	Never	22	5	7	3	1	4
		3.9%	3.9%	5.9%	7.9%	1.4%	3.4%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	1	1
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.9%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	Total	112	7	9
	More than once a week	27	0	2
		23.7%	2.2%	22.5%
	Once a week	24	2	1
		21.5%	29.0%	15.8%
	A few times a month	22	3	1
		20.0%	44.0%	5.8%
	Once a month	13	1	4
		11.3%	11.2%	46.9%
	A few times a year	17	0	1
		15.3%	2.2%	9.0%
	Once a year	5	0	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	1	1	0
		1.0%	11.6%	.0%
	Never	2	0	0
		2.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year			B		
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA	a	a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
8. How often do you visit Cupertino City Parks?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month			A C D
	A few times a year			
	Once a year		a	a
	Less than once a year		a	a
	Never		a	a
	DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	582
	More than once a week	23	23
		4.0%	4.0%
	Once a week	33	33
		5.7%	5.7%
	A few times a month	26	26
		4.5%	4.5%
	Once a month	47	47
		8.0%	8.0%
	A few times a year	145	145
		25.0%	25.0%
	Once a year	33	33
		5.7%	5.7%
	Less than once a year	76	76
		13.1%	13.1%
	Never	172	172
		29.6%	29.6%
	DK/NA	26	26
		4.4%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.
	Once a week	.
	A few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	A few times a year	.
	Once a year	.
	Less than once a year	.
	Never	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	281	298	3
	More than once a week	23	11	13	0
		4.0%	3.7%	4.3%	.0%
	Once a week	33	12	21	0
		5.7%	4.3%	7.2%	.0%
	A few times a month	26	11	16	0
		4.5%	3.8%	5.2%	.0%
	Once a month	47	25	21	1
		8.0%	9.0%	6.9%	34.4%
	A few times a year	145	64	81	0
		25.0%	22.8%	27.3%	.0%
	Once a year	33	18	15	0
		5.7%	6.5%	5.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	76	40	34	2
		13.1%	14.2%	11.4%	65.6%
	Never	172	88	84	0
		29.6%	31.3%	28.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	26	13	13	0
		4.4%	4.4%	4.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a
	Once a week			a
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			a
	A few times a year			a
	Once a year			a
	Less than once a year			A B
	Never			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	More than once a week	23	2	4	7	5	6	0
		4.0%	2.6%	3.9%	4.8%	3.0%	6.0%	.0%
	Once a week	33	2	0	17	8	4	2
		5.7%	3.0%	.0%	12.0%	5.5%	4.0%	19.6%
	A few times a month	26	5	3	9	6	4	0
		4.5%	6.7%	2.8%	6.3%	3.7%	3.7%	.0%
	Once a month	47	5	11	9	7	15	0
		8.0%	6.1%	10.5%	6.5%	4.8%	14.8%	.0%
	A few times a year	145	15	35	38	44	10	2
		25.0%	19.8%	34.8%	27.1%	29.2%	10.0%	24.9%
	Once a year	33	3	0	13	11	6	0
		5.7%	3.6%	.0%	9.4%	7.4%	5.7%	.0%
	Less than once a year	76	10	9	14	29	13	1
13.1%		13.3%	9.0%	10.0%	18.9%	12.6%	11.8%	
Never	172	33	32	25	39	41	3	
	29.6%	43.0%	31.4%	17.4%	25.3%	40.1%	36.8%	
DK/NA	26	2	8	9	3	3	1	
	4.4%	2.0%	7.6%	6.7%	2.2%	3.1%	6.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a				a
	Once a week		a				a
	A few times a month						a
	Once a month						a
	A few times a year		E	E	E		a
	Once a year		a				a
	Less than once a year						a
	Never	C				C	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	424	158
	More than once a week	23	18	5
		4.0%	4.3%	3.4%
	Once a week	33	21	13
		5.7%	4.9%	7.9%
	A few times a month	26	15	12
		4.5%	3.5%	7.3%
	Once a month	47	28	19
		8.0%	6.6%	11.9%
	A few times a year	145	118	27
		25.0%	27.9%	17.3%
	Once a year	33	28	5
		5.7%	6.7%	3.1%
	Less than once a year	76	66	10
		13.1%	15.4%	6.7%
	Never	172	113	59
		29.6%	26.5%	37.7%
	DK/NA	26	18	7
		4.4%	4.3%	4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		A
	Once a month		A
	A few times a year	B	
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year	B	
	Never		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	More than once a week	23	15	4	0	5
		4.0%	6.1%	4.6%	.0%	2.0%
	Once a week	33	10	6	2	15
		5.7%	4.3%	7.4%	9.8%	6.4%
	A few times a month	26	11	2	0	13
		4.5%	4.6%	2.6%	.0%	5.4%
	Once a month	47	13	3	0	31
		8.0%	5.2%	4.2%	.0%	12.7%
	A few times a year	145	53	12	3	78
		25.0%	21.8%	14.3%	19.1%	32.1%
	Once a year	33	14	5	2	13
		5.7%	5.5%	6.3%	11.7%	5.3%
	Less than once a year	76	25	18	7	25
		13.1%	10.3%	22.3%	47.0%	10.5%
	Never	172	89	26	2	55
		29.6%	36.4%	32.2%	12.4%	22.9%
	DK/NA	26	14	5	0	7
		4.4%	5.7%	6.2%	.0%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a	
	Once a week			.	
	A few times a month			.	
	Once a month			a	A
	A few times a year			.	B
	Once a year			.	
	Less than once a year		A D	A D	
	Never	D			
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	More than once a week	23	5	7	2	0	4	5
		4.0%	3.9%	10.6%	7.6%	.0%	3.4%	2.4%
	Once a week	33	9	1	5	0	1	17
		5.7%	7.6%	1.9%	14.0%	.5%	1.0%	8.3%
	A few times a month	26	5	3	2	0	5	11
		4.5%	3.8%	4.6%	5.9%	.5%	3.9%	5.6%
	Once a month	47	5	3	0	2	10	26
		8.0%	4.0%	4.9%	.3%	7.7%	7.8%	12.8%
	A few times a year	145	31	12	3	7	35	59
		25.0%	25.2%	17.6%	9.8%	24.3%	26.9%	28.5%
	Once a year	33	6	5	1	2	5	13
		5.7%	5.0%	7.8%	4.2%	8.6%	4.1%	6.2%
	Less than once a year	76	14	5	5	6	25	21
		13.1%	11.9%	7.0%	14.1%	22.9%	19.1%	10.4%
	Never	172	41	28	13	8	34	49
		29.6%	33.5%	42.3%	39.5%	27.3%	26.6%	23.7%
	DK/NA	26	6	2	1	2	9	4
		4.4%	5.0%	3.3%	4.5%	8.1%	7.1%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		F		a		
	Once a week			E	.		
	A few times a month						
	Once a month						
	A few times a year						
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	More than once a week	23	8	5	2	3
		4.0%	3.0%	6.6%	2.4%	7.2%
	Once a week	33	16	4	5	3
		5.7%	6.1%	5.3%	5.8%	5.4%
	A few times a month	26	12	4	6	2
		4.5%	4.4%	5.1%	7.6%	5.1%
	Once a month	47	21	3	6	5
		8.0%	8.1%	4.4%	7.5%	10.5%
	A few times a year	145	71	25	16	12
		25.0%	27.0%	31.6%	19.5%	24.5%
	Once a year	33	10	6	4	4
		5.7%	3.6%	8.1%	5.4%	8.9%
	Less than once a year	76	30	8	10	8
		13.1%	11.2%	10.5%	12.3%	17.5%
	Never	172	81	17	29	10
		29.6%	30.9%	21.9%	36.2%	20.8%
	DK/NA	26	15	5	3	0
		4.4%	5.6%	6.4%	3.4%	.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	27	23	38	24
	More than once a week	0	0	3	3
		.0%	.0%	6.7%	10.4%
	Once a week	0	2	2	2
		.0%	7.6%	6.3%	7.1%
	A few times a month	1	1	0	0
		4.1%	2.8%	.4%	.0%
	Once a month	5	3	2	1
		19.7%	13.2%	5.8%	2.6%
	A few times a year	10	2	7	4
		36.3%	9.8%	17.3%	15.3%
	Once a year	4	2	2	1
		14.6%	9.2%	5.2%	2.8%
	Less than once a year	3	4	9	4
		13.2%	19.0%	22.5%	14.5%
	Never	2	9	14	10
		7.6%	38.5%	35.5%	40.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	2
		4.5%	.0%	.2%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					a
	Once a week					a
	A few times a month					
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a		
	Once a week	.		
	A few times a month			a
	Once a month			.
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year			
	Never			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	More than once a week	23	0	8	3	0	0	1	3
		4.0%	.0%	4.5%	7.9%	.0%	.9%	7.8%	6.5%
	Once a week	33	0	11	5	5	0	3	0
		5.7%	.0%	6.3%	11.1%	10.0%	.9%	24.0%	.0%
	A few times a month	26	1	10	0	1	0	0	4
		4.5%	9.9%	6.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	9.5%
	Once a month	47	0	8	0	1	0	3	1
		8.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	19.7%	1.6%
	A few times a year	145	3	57	7	6	1	3	10
		25.0%	43.5%	33.0%	17.6%	12.2%	5.4%	22.1%	23.7%
	Once a year	33	1	10	4	6	0	0	3
		5.7%	12.0%	6.0%	9.8%	14.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
	Less than once a year	76	1	29	5	4	5	0	5
		13.1%	9.0%	16.7%	11.8%	9.2%	31.4%	1.1%	11.9%
	Never	172	2	31	16	21	10	2	15
		29.6%	25.7%	18.1%	38.4%	45.3%	61.3%	12.6%	36.2%
	DK/NA	26	0	8	1	2	0	2	2
		4.4%	.0%	4.6%	3.5%	4.8%	.0%	12.6%	4.5%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	More than once a week	2	5	2	0	0
		1.9%	6.2%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	5	3	2	0	0
		4.9%	4.4%	2.6%	9.8%	.0%
	A few times a month	7	2	0	0	1
		7.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	21.3%
	Once a month	16	10	9	0	0
		16.2%	13.6%	13.8%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	22	11	23	1	2
		23.0%	14.4%	35.0%	46.3%	40.4%
	Once a year	1	7	1	0	0
		1.4%	9.0%	.9%	.0%	4.3%
	Less than once a year	17	6	4	1	0
		17.2%	7.5%	5.5%	43.9%	5.3%
	Never	25	25	25	0	0
		25.7%	33.6%	39.0%	.0%	6.5%
	DK/NA	2	7	0	0	1
		2.5%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	22.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a			a				
	Once a week	a						a	
	A few times a month			a		a	a		
	Once a month	a		a		a			B
	A few times a year								
	Once a year					a	a		
	Less than once a year								
	Never				B	B			
	DK/NA	a				a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a	a
	Once a week				a
	A few times a month		a	a	
	Once a month			a	a
	A few times a year				
	Once a year			a	
	Less than once a year				
	Never		B	a	
	DK/NA		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	464	118
	More than once a week	23	19	4
		4.0%	4.2%	3.5%
	Once a week	33	27	6
		5.7%	5.8%	5.3%
	A few times a month	26	21	5
		4.5%	4.5%	4.6%
	Once a month	47	44	3
		8.0%	9.5%	2.5%
	A few times a year	145	113	33
		25.0%	24.3%	27.7%
	Once a year	33	23	10
		5.7%	5.0%	8.6%
	Less than once a year	76	68	8
		13.1%	14.6%	7.2%
	Never	172	132	40
		29.6%	28.4%	34.1%
	DK/NA	26	18	8
		4.4%	3.8%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month	B	
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year	B	
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	347	235
	More than once a week	23	15	9
		4.0%	4.2%	3.8%
	Once a week	33	19	15
		5.7%	5.4%	6.2%
	A few times a month	26	16	11
		4.5%	4.5%	4.5%
	Once a month	47	24	23
		8.0%	6.9%	9.7%
	A few times a year	145	84	62
		25.0%	24.1%	26.2%
	Once a year	33	22	11
		5.7%	6.4%	4.7%
	Less than once a year	76	58	18
		13.1%	16.7%	7.7%
	Never	172	99	73
		29.6%	28.5%	31.1%
	DK/NA	26	11	14
		4.4%	3.2%	6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	B	
	Once a week		
	A few times a month		
	Once a month		
	A few times a year		
	Once a year		
	Less than once a year		
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	More than once a week	23	0	5	7	3
		4.0%	.0%	9.3%	7.6%	2.0%
	Once a week	33	0	7	7	9
		5.7%	.0%	14.0%	7.1%	7.0%
	A few times a month	26	2	3	6	3
		4.5%	14.7%	5.8%	6.9%	2.4%
	Once a month	47	0	1	10	16
		8.0%	.0%	2.9%	11.0%	12.4%
	A few times a year	145	0	10	19	36
		25.0%	.0%	21.0%	19.8%	27.6%
	Once a year	33	0	4	4	5
		5.7%	.0%	8.1%	3.8%	4.0%
	Less than once a year	76	0	2	9	19
		13.1%	2.7%	3.4%	9.4%	14.3%
	Never	172	8	15	22	39
		29.6%	71.9%	30.0%	23.3%	29.6%
	DK/NA	26	1	3	10	1
		4.4%	10.7%	5.6%	11.1%	.8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	163	133	1
	More than once a week	4	5	0
		2.5%	3.8%	.0%
	Once a week	6	5	0
		3.7%	3.5%	.0%
	A few times a month	8	4	0
		5.0%	3.0%	.0%
	Once a month	14	5	0
		8.7%	3.4%	.0%
	A few times a year	50	30	0
		30.5%	22.9%	.0%
	Once a year	12	8	0
		7.3%	6.3%	.0%
	Less than once a year	20	27	0
		12.1%	20.1%	.0%
	Never	41	47	1
		25.3%	35.2%	100.0%
	DK/NA	8	2	0
		5.0%	1.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a			
	Once a week	a			
	A few times a month				
	Once a month	a			
	A few times a year	a			
	Once a year	a			
	Less than once a year				
	Never	C E		D F	
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a,,b
	Once a week			a,,b
	A few times a month			a,,b
	Once a month			a,,b
	A few times a year			a,,b
	Once a year			a,,b
	Less than once a year			a,,b
	Never			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	More than once a week	23	3	12	5	4	0	0
		4.0%	6.5%	5.5%	3.7%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	33	3	10	12	6	3	0
		5.8%	6.9%	4.6%	8.2%	4.9%	5.8%	.0%
	A few times a month	26	0	9	10	6	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	4.3%	7.3%	5.5%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	47	6	13	21	4	2	1
		8.1%	12.6%	6.1%	14.8%	3.8%	3.3%	10.9%
	A few times a year	145	3	51	34	30	23	5
		25.1%	6.8%	24.1%	24.0%	26.3%	39.6%	42.6%
	Once a year	33	3	12	6	7	4	2
		5.7%	6.8%	5.5%	4.1%	6.1%	7.1%	14.7%
	Less than once a year	76	5	24	23	17	8	0
		13.1%	10.2%	11.3%	16.4%	14.6%	13.8%	.0%
	Never	171	21	70	23	34	17	4
		29.4%	47.6%	33.4%	16.7%	30.0%	30.0%	31.8%
	DK/NA	26	1	11	7	6	0	0
		4.4%	2.6%	5.3%	4.8%	5.6%	.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					a	a
	Once a week					a	a
	A few times a month	a				a	a
	Once a month			D			
	A few times a year					A	A
	Once a year						
	Less than once a year						a
	Never	C	C				a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	More than once a week	23	0	0	7	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	.0%
	Once a week	33	0	0	19	0
		5.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%
	A few times a month	26	1	0	13	0
		4.5%	50.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%
	Once a month	47	0	0	29	0
		8.0%	.0%	.0%	15.1%	.0%
	A few times a year	145	0	0	40	0
		25.0%	.0%	.0%	21.2%	.0%
	Once a year	33	0	0	14	1
		5.7%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	51.2%
	Less than once a year	76	0	0	20	0
		13.1%	.0%	.0%	10.6%	.0%
	Never	172	1	3	43	0
		29.6%	50.0%	100.0%	22.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	26	0	0	5	1
		4.4%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	48.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	106	15	25	8
	More than once a week	1	1	0	0
		1.0%	9.4%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	5	0	0	0
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a month	6	0	3	0
		6.1%	.0%	11.4%	.0%
	Once a month	5	0	0	0
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	45	1	7	4
		42.7%	6.7%	26.4%	53.8%
	Once a year	5	0	1	0
		4.7%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
	Less than once a year	13	7	4	0
		12.2%	47.6%	17.2%	.0%
	Never	19	6	10	4
		17.7%	36.3%	40.6%	46.2%
	DK/NA	6	0	0	0
		6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	14	10	148	23
	More than once a week	0	0	12	1
		.0%	.0%	7.9%	4.6%
	Once a week	0	3	5	1
		.0%	28.2%	3.4%	3.5%
	A few times a month	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
	Once a month	0	0	7	0
		.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	10	0	26	4
		76.1%	.0%	17.6%	18.3%
	Once a year	2	0	8	1
		12.6%	.0%	5.7%	2.4%
	Less than once a year	0	1	22	0
		.0%	10.2%	15.0%	.0%
	Never	2	4	57	17
		11.3%	39.4%	38.3%	71.1%
	DK/NA	0	2	8	0
		.0%	22.2%	5.3%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	12	6	17
	More than once a week	0	0	0
		3.8%	6.6%	.0%
	Once a week	1	0	0
		8.1%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a month	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	0	2	3
		.0%	41.6%	18.1%
	A few times a year	3	1	4
		23.7%	10.2%	23.2%
	Once a year	1	0	1
		8.0%	.0%	3.7%
	Less than once a year	3	2	3
		28.0%	37.0%	15.6%
	Never	3	0	4
		28.4%	4.5%	25.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	14.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a		a
	Once a week	a	a		a
	A few times a month	C E K	a		a
	Once a month	a	a	K	a
	A few times a year	a	a		a
	Once a year	a	a		a
	Less than once a year	a	a		a
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		C
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a	a
	Once a week		a	a	a
	A few times a month		a	a	a
	Once a month		a	a	a
	A few times a year	C K	a		a
	Once a year		a		a
	Less than once a year		C E		a
	Never		a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	.	a
			.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a		
	Once a week	a	K		
	A few times a month	a	a		a
	Once a month	a	a		a
	A few times a year	C F K L	a		
	Once a year		a		
	Less than once a year	a	.		a
	Never	a		E	C E I
	DK/NA	a	C		a
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week		a	a
	Once a week		a	a
	A few times a month	a	a	a
	Once a month	a	E K	
	A few times a year		a	
	Once a year		a	
	Less than once a year			
	Never		a	
	DK/NA	a	a	
		.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	More than once a week	17 6.3%	1 1.4%	9 8.1%	5 15.7%	1 13.4%	0 .0%
	Once a week	19 7.3%	3 3.0%	11 9.6%	4 13.9%	1 11.3%	0 .0%
	A few times a month	14 5.1%	4 3.7%	8 7.2%	2 5.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Once a month	20 7.5%	8 8.1%	7 6.6%	4 12.8%	0 .0%	0 2.5%
	A few times a year	75 28.1%	32 30.7%	32 28.8%	7 23.4%	3 31.6%	0 1.8%
	Once a year	16 6.0%	5 5.0%	8 7.4%	3 8.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Less than once a year	38 14.1%	20 18.9%	11 10.2%	3 9.9%	1 9.6%	2 27.1%
	Never	49 18.2%	26 24.9%	11 9.7%	3 10.6%	3 23.3%	6 68.5%
	DK/NA	20 7.3%	4 4.2%	14 12.4%	0 .0%	1 10.7%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			A		a
	Once a week				a	a
	A few times a month				a	a
	Once a month				a	
	A few times a year				a	a
	Once a year				a	
	Less than once a year					
	Never	B				B C
	DK/NA			a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	More than once a week	11	4	3	3	1	0
		2.9%	4.0%	1.3%	7.8%	32.5%	.0%
	Once a week	19	9	7	3	0	0
		5.0%	8.2%	3.1%	9.6%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a month	15	6	7	2	0	0
		3.9%	5.4%	3.1%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	35	9	20	5	0	2
		9.3%	8.5%	8.7%	14.3%	.0%	16.9%
	A few times a year	92	17	57	13	1	4
		24.1%	15.9%	25.2%	39.7%	24.6%	34.1%
	Once a year	23	7	16	0	0	0
		5.9%	6.5%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Less than once a year	53	11	36	2	1	2
		13.8%	10.6%	16.2%	5.0%	17.5%	21.6%
	Never	121	39	73	5	1	2
		31.7%	36.3%	32.7%	16.1%	25.4%	16.4%
	DK/NA	12	5	6	0	0	1
		3.3%	4.7%	2.7%	.4%	.0%	11.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				B	a
	Once a week				a	a
	A few times a month				a	a
	Once a month				a	
	A few times a year			A	a	a
	Once a year			a		
	Less than once a year					
	Never				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	More than once a week	23	22	1	4	2	0	0
		4.0%	5.0%	4.4%	4.2%	26.8%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	33	24	4	9	0	0	1
		5.7%	5.3%	13.8%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	A few times a month	26	17	0	8	3	0	5
		4.5%	3.8%	.0%	8.7%	35.7%	.0%	12.1%
	Once a month	47	22	3	11	0	0	0
		8.0%	4.9%	12.1%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	145	113	7	18	0	0	20
		25.0%	25.2%	28.1%	19.8%	.0%	24.3%	46.0%
	Once a year	33	24	1	5	0	0	4
		5.7%	5.4%	4.4%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	8.9%
	Less than once a year	76	61	6	10	1	0	3
		13.1%	13.6%	22.5%	10.4%	14.5%	6.5%	7.2%
	Never	172	141	4	25	2	1	8
		29.6%	31.4%	14.6%	26.4%	23.0%	69.2%	18.3%
	DK/NA	26	24	0	3	0	0	2
		4.4%	5.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	More than once a week	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	0	0	3	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
	A few times a month	0	3	0	0	0	0
		.0%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	0	0	10	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	21.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	2	7	10	3	0	4
		27.3%	29.7%	21.0%	22.0%	25.0%	35.1%
	Once a year	0	0	0	1	1	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	75.0%	13.8%
	Less than once a year	3	4	8	3	0	0
		42.6%	19.4%	16.6%	19.8%	.0%	1.9%
	Never	2	8	10	7	0	4
		28.7%	38.1%	20.8%	52.3%	.0%	30.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	4	0	0	1
		1.4%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	.0%	9.3%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	14	56	7
	More than once a week	0	0	0
		.0%	.5%	.0%
	Once a week	0	1	0
		.0%	2.2%	.0%
	A few times a month	0	4	0
		.0%	6.3%	.0%
	Once a month	0	7	3
		.0%	12.9%	40.7%
	A few times a year	10	24	1
		76.1%	42.3%	11.8%
	Once a year	2	2	0
		12.6%	3.5%	.0%
	Less than once a year	0	6	2
		.0%	10.5%	36.2%
	Never	2	9	1
		11.3%	16.7%	11.3%
	DK/NA	0	3	0
		.0%	5.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				N .b	a,.b	.b
	Once a week					a,.b	
	A few times a month		.b		A	a,.b	
	Once a month				.b	a,.b	.b
	A few times a year				.b	a	
	Once a year					a,.b	
	Less than once a year					a	
	Never					a	
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a,.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.b	.b		.b	a,.b	.b
	Once a week	.b	.b			a,.b	
	A few times a month	.b		.b	.b	a,.b	.b
	Once a month	.b	.b	A	.b	a,.b	.b
	A few times a year					a	
	Once a year	.b	.b	.b		a	
	Less than once a year					a,.b	
	Never					a,.b	
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a,.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	.b		.b
	Once a week	.b		.b
	A few times a month	.b		.b
	Once a month	.b		A
	A few times a year	A C I		.b
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year	.b		
	Never			
	DK/NA	.b		.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	More than once a week	23	3	1	0	1	0
		4.0%	4.4%	1.4%	.5%	3.1%	.0%
	Once a week	33	5	3	0	1	2
		5.7%	8.4%	4.9%	.0%	1.6%	5.3%
	A few times a month	26	3	2	1	1	3
		4.5%	5.8%	3.2%	4.7%	3.6%	8.8%
	Once a month	47	14	12	1	0	3
		8.0%	22.9%	23.5%	2.2%	.0%	10.4%
	A few times a year	145	12	5	6	11	5
		25.0%	19.1%	9.4%	19.6%	29.7%	15.2%
	Once a year	33	1	0	6	0	1
		5.7%	2.4%	.6%	19.0%	.4%	4.3%
	Less than once a year	76	2	5	3	6	8
		13.1%	2.9%	9.5%	11.2%	15.8%	24.0%
	Never	172	20	24	9	15	8
		29.6%	33.0%	46.1%	31.4%	41.4%	23.5%
DK/NA	26	1	1	3	2	3	
	4.4%	1.1%	1.4%	11.4%	4.4%	8.6%	

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	More than once a week	3	2	1	8	5
		7.6%	5.3%	3.5%	5.8%	3.7%
	Once a week	2	2	4	9	6
		3.8%	6.0%	13.0%	7.2%	4.6%
	A few times a month	0	0	3	5	8
		.0%	.0%	9.1%	3.7%	6.1%
	Once a month	2	2	1	6	5
		5.9%	6.3%	3.6%	4.5%	3.8%
	A few times a year	18	15	13	34	27
		44.7%	39.6%	37.4%	25.8%	21.5%
	Once a year	3	4	1	10	5
		6.8%	11.6%	4.4%	7.9%	4.0%
	Less than once a year	3	0	3	21	25
		7.4%	1.3%	9.0%	16.2%	19.5%
	Never	9	8	7	31	42
		20.9%	20.3%	19.4%	23.4%	33.6%
	DK/NA	1	4	0	7	4
		2.8%	9.5%	.7%	5.6%	3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			a		a
	Once a week			.		.
	A few times a month					
	Once a month	I J	I J		a	
	A few times a year				.	
	Once a year					
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					
	Once a week					
	A few times a month	a	a			
	Once a month					
	A few times a year					
	Once a year	B	B			
	Less than once a year					
	Never					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	582	167	153	262
	More than once a week	23	4	6	13
		4.0%	2.3%	4.0%	5.1%
	Once a week	33	6	10	17
		5.7%	3.7%	6.5%	6.6%
	A few times a month	26	5	6	16
		4.5%	2.7%	3.8%	6.0%
	Once a month	47	24	14	9
		8.0%	14.3%	9.3%	3.3%
	A few times a year	145	31	31	84
		25.0%	18.6%	20.1%	31.9%
	Once a year	33	8	8	18
		5.7%	4.5%	5.2%	6.8%
	Less than once a year	76	22	6	47
		13.1%	13.3%	4.2%	18.1%
	Never	172	66	63	43
		29.6%	39.4%	41.1%	16.5%
	DK/NA	26	2	9	15
		4.4%	1.1%	5.8%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week			
	Once a week			
	A few times a month			
	Once a month	C	C	A B
	A few times a year			
	Once a year			
	Less than once a year	B		B
	Never	C	C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	More than once a week	21	3	3	6	9
		4.6%	2.8%	3.7%	7.4%	5.1%
	Once a week	24	5	5	1	12
		5.2%	4.3%	5.9%	1.9%	7.0%
	A few times a month	19	5	4	4	6
		4.2%	4.0%	4.5%	5.3%	3.6%
	Once a month	39	17	6	7	9
		8.5%	13.7%	6.5%	9.5%	5.3%
	A few times a year	106	21	22	25	37
		22.9%	16.8%	24.7%	32.2%	22.0%
	Once a year	29	7	5	5	12
		6.4%	5.7%	5.5%	6.5%	7.2%
	Less than once a year	67	16	13	13	26
		14.5%	12.5%	14.1%	16.8%	15.3%
	Never	135	48	23	11	54
		29.2%	37.9%	25.1%	14.3%	31.9%
	DK/NA	21	3	9	5	4
		4.5%	2.3%	10.0%	6.1%	2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week				
	Once a week				
	A few times a month				
	Once a month				
	A few times a year				
	Once a year				
	Less than once a year				
	Never	C			C
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	More than once a week	23	12	3	1	2	0
		4.0%	9.4%	2.6%	3.2%	5.9%	.0%
	Once a week	33	7	3	2	4	12
		5.8%	5.8%	2.8%	4.7%	10.0%	9.6%
	A few times a month	26	9	3	1	1	6
		4.5%	6.9%	2.2%	2.0%	3.1%	4.8%
	Once a month	46	1	12	2	1	18
		7.9%	.8%	9.8%	5.2%	3.2%	13.7%
	A few times a year	145	28	25	4	8	48
		25.1%	23.0%	20.8%	9.2%	19.3%	37.7%
	Once a year	33	5	9	3	2	5
		5.7%	4.0%	7.2%	8.1%	4.5%	3.9%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	Total	112	7	9
	More than once a week	5	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	3	0	1
		2.9%	2.2%	15.8%
	A few times a month	7	0	0
		6.0%	.0%	.0%
	Once a month	12	0	0
		10.8%	.0%	.0%
	A few times a year	30	1	2
		26.4%	19.3%	19.0%
	Once a year	8	2	0
		6.9%	26.5%	.0%

	Less than once a year	16	3	5
		14.5%	38.4%	53.9%
	Never	27	1	1
		24.2%	13.7%	11.4%
	DK/NA	4	0	0
		3.8%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week					a	
	Once a week					.	
	A few times a month						
	Once a month		A			A	A
	A few times a year					C	
	Once a year			E			
	Less than once a year						
	Never						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
9. How often do you participate in Cupertino Recreation Department activities?	More than once a week	a	a
	Once a week	.	.
	A few times a month	a	a
	Once a month	a	a
	A few times a year	.	.
	Once a year		a
	Less than once a year		A B E
	Never		.
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	582
	Bicycle	39	39
		6.7%	6.7%
	Bus	38	38
		6.5%	6.5%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	80
		13.8%	13.8%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	508
		87.3%	87.3%
	Lightrail	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%
	Train	14	14
		2.3%	2.3%
	Walk	77	77
		13.2%	13.2%
	Other	10	10
		1.7%	1.7%
	DK/NA	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	.
	Bus	.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.
	Lightrail	.
	Train	.
	Walk	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Bicycle	39	25	13	1
		6.7%	8.9%	4.4%	31.9%
	Bus	38	12	26	0
		6.5%	4.2%	8.7%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	39	41	1
		13.8%	13.7%	13.7%	33.7%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	248	257	3
		87.3%	88.1%	86.3%	100.0%
	Lightrail	7	1	6	0
		1.2%	.4%	1.9%	.0%
	Train	14	5	9	0
		2.3%	1.6%	3.0%	.0%
	Walk	77	37	38	2
		13.2%	13.1%	12.7%	65.6%
	Other	10	3	7	0
		1.7%	1.0%	2.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a
	Bus		A	.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			.
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			a
	Lightrail			a
	Train			.
	Walk			A B
	Other			a
	DK/NA		a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Bicycle	39	4	6	16	10	2	1
		6.7%	5.6%	6.3%	11.2%	6.4%	1.7%	12.5%
	Bus	38	10	5	6	2	14	0
		6.5%	12.9%	5.2%	4.6%	1.3%	13.8%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	12	20	20	17	9	2
		13.8%	16.0%	20.2%	14.3%	10.9%	9.0%	19.3%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	64	93	127	142	75	8
		87.3%	82.8%	91.7%	89.8%	93.2%	73.9%	87.5%
	Lightrail	7	3	3	1	0	0	0
		1.2%	3.7%	2.8%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	3	3	5	3	0	0
		2.3%	3.7%	2.8%	3.7%	1.7%	.1%	.0%
	Walk	77	9	13	22	22	10	0
		13.2%	11.3%	13.3%	15.9%	14.3%	10.0%	.0%
	Other	10	6	0	1	2	1	0
		1.7%	7.3%	.0%	.6%	1.2%	1.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle						
	Bus	D				D	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		E	E	E	a	a
	Lightrail				a		a
	Train						a
	Walk		a				a
	Other	C	a				a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	424	158
	Bicycle	39	25	14
		6.7%	5.8%	9.0%
	Bus	38	19	19
		6.5%	4.5%	11.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	58	23
		13.8%	13.6%	14.3%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	377	131
		87.3%	88.8%	83.2%
	Lightrail	7	4	3
		1.2%	.9%	1.8%
	Train	14	9	4
		2.3%	2.2%	2.8%
	Walk	77	49	27
		13.2%	11.6%	17.3%
	Other	10	10	0
		1.7%	2.2%	.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		A
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Bicycle	39	18	3	0	18
		6.7%	7.4%	3.9%	2.0%	7.3%
	Bus	38	16	2	1	19
		6.5%	6.4%	2.1%	8.8%	7.9%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	31	9	2	39
		13.8%	12.6%	11.6%	11.0%	16.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	212	72	14	210
		87.3%	87.0%	89.7%	87.5%	86.7%
	Lightrail	7	3	0	0	4
		1.2%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.7%
	Train	14	6	2	0	5
		2.3%	2.6%	2.6%	.0%	2.1%
	Walk	77	33	8	1	34
		13.2%	13.7%	9.5%	9.3%	14.1%
	Other	10	7	0	0	3
		1.7%	2.8%	.2%	.0%	1.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	
	Lightrail		.	.	
	Train			a	
	Walk			a	
	Other			a	
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Bicycle	39	10	5	0	1	6	17
		6.7%	8.4%	6.9%	.7%	3.8%	4.4%	8.4%
	Bus	38	6	5	0	1	7	18
		6.5%	5.3%	7.8%	.7%	5.1%	5.3%	8.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	9	9	5	2	20	36
		13.8%	7.3%	14.1%	14.5%	5.5%	15.7%	17.4%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	109	54	29	26	119	172
		87.3%	89.8%	80.5%	89.3%	91.8%	92.3%	83.9%
	Lightrail	7	3	0	0	0	3	1
		1.2%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.6%
	Train	14	6	0	1	1	3	2
		2.3%	5.2%	.2%	3.2%	3.7%	2.2%	1.1%
	Walk	77	16	8	5	0	15	33
		13.2%	13.6%	11.5%	14.6%	1.1%	11.6%	15.9%
	Other	10	2	5	0	0	3	0
		1.7%	1.3%	7.9%	.5%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle						
	Bus						
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	a		
	Lightrail		.	.	.		
	Train						
	Walk				a		a
	Other				.		a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Bicycle	39	21	3	7	2
		6.7%	8.0%	4.1%	8.1%	4.6%
	Bus	38	11	10	9	4
		6.5%	4.3%	13.1%	11.4%	8.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	39	14	5	11
		13.8%	14.8%	17.5%	5.7%	22.3%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	243	68	65	35
		87.3%	92.5%	87.0%	79.9%	73.4%
	Lightrail	7	1	6	0	0
		1.2%	.4%	7.2%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	4	7	2	1
		2.3%	1.5%	8.8%	2.1%	2.2%
	Walk	77	37	10	10	11
		13.2%	14.1%	13.2%	12.0%	24.2%
	Other	10	0	3	3	2
		1.7%	.1%	3.6%	3.6%	3.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Bicycle	2	1	2	1
		8.6%	3.4%	4.3%	4.9%
	Bus	1	0	1	0
		5.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	4	3	3	3
		14.1%	11.6%	7.3%	14.1%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	20	22	32	22
		77.1%	95.4%	84.3%	91.7%
	Lightrail	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Walk	2	1	4	1
		6.2%	5.1%	11.4%	3.0%
	Other	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	5.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	C D		a	a
	Lightrail			.	.
	Train		A		
	Walk				
	Other		A a	A a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		a		a
	Bus		.		.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a	a	a	a
	Lightrail
	Train	a	a	a	a
	Walk
	Other	a	a	A	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Bicycle	39	0	19	3	0	1	0	0
		6.7%	.0%	11.1%	6.4%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	1.0%
	Bus	38	3	9	4	0	0	0	2
		6.5%	53.4%	5.0%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	1	34	7	2	2	2	5
		13.8%	18.8%	19.4%	17.7%	3.3%	12.1%	17.4%	12.7%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	5	161	39	44	14	13	38
		87.3%	81.2%	93.3%	93.3%	95.7%	86.2%	98.9%	90.6%
	Lightrail	7	3	4	0	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	43.5%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	3	8	0	1	0	0	0
		2.3%	43.5%	4.4%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.6%
Walk	77	0	40	8	2	2	1	4	
	13.2%	.0%	22.9%	18.4%	4.1%	14.7%	10.2%	9.2%	
Other	10	3	0	2	0	0	0	1	
	1.7%	43.5%	.2%	3.8%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	
DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Bicycle	7	4	3	1	1
		6.9%	5.6%	4.3%	46.3%	21.3%
	Bus	8	12	0	0	0
		8.6%	15.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	13	11	0	0	2
		13.9%	15.1%	.0%	.0%	45.7%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	69	57	62	2	3
		70.8%	77.5%	95.6%	100.0%	54.3%
	Lightrail	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	2	0	0	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Walk	6	9	5	0	0
		6.6%	11.6%	7.5%	.0%	.0%
	Other	3	1	0	0	0
		3.5%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a			a		a	
	Bus	B C G H			a	a	a	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others							
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		H I		H			
	Lightrail	B		a		a	a	a
	Train	B D G H		a		a	a	
	Walk	a						
	Other	B C D G H I	H			a	a	
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a	G	
	Bus			a	a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a	a	D
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			H L	a	
	Lightrail	a	a	a	a	a
	Train		a	a	a	a
	Walk				a	a
	Other			a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	464	118
	Bicycle	39	35	4
		6.7%	7.5%	3.7%
	Bus	38	32	5
		6.5%	6.9%	4.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	73	7
		13.8%	15.8%	6.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	397	111
		87.3%	85.6%	93.7%
	Lightrail	7	7	0
		1.2%	1.5%	.0%
	Train	14	11	2
		2.3%	2.5%	1.9%
	Walk	77	64	13
		13.2%	13.8%	10.8%
	Other	10	10	0
		1.7%	2.1%	.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	B	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A
	Lightrail		.
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		.
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	347	235
	Bicycle	39	27	12
		6.7%	7.7%	5.3%
	Bus	38	17	21
		6.5%	4.8%	9.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	55	26
		13.8%	15.8%	11.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	295	213
		87.3%	85.1%	90.5%
	Lightrail	7	3	4
		1.2%	.8%	1.7%
	Train	14	5	8
		2.3%	1.6%	3.5%
	Walk	77	52	24
		13.2%	15.1%	10.3%
	Other	10	7	3
		1.7%	1.9%	1.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		
	Bus		
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		A
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		
	Lightrail		
	Train		
	Walk		
	Other		
	DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Bicycle	39	0	4	5	9
		6.7%	.9%	7.4%	5.0%	6.9%
	Bus	38	0	3	4	15
		6.5%	.0%	6.0%	4.2%	11.3%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	0	7	21	24
		13.8%	.0%	14.4%	22.1%	18.2%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	11	45	82	117
		87.3%	100.0%	91.1%	87.1%	89.3%
	Lightrail	7	0	0	4	3
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	2.2%
	Train	14	0	1	8	3
		2.3%	.0%	2.5%	8.4%	2.2%
	Walk	77	0	11	17	19
		13.2%	.0%	21.6%	18.2%	14.1%
	Other	10	0	0	0	3
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	163	133	1
	Bicycle	12	9	0
		7.6%	6.9%	.0%
	Bus	12	4	0
		7.0%	3.3%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	10	19	0
		6.1%	14.1%	.0%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	137	115	1
		84.0%	87.0%	100.0%
	Lightrail	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	1	0	0
		.8%	.3%	.0%
	Walk	20	10	0
		12.3%	7.7%	.0%
	Other	5	2	0
		3.1%	1.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus	.b			
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	.b		E	E
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.b			
	Lightrail	.b	.b		
	Train	.b		E F	
	Walk	.b			
	Other	.b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a,b
	Bus			a,b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			a,b
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)			a,b
	Lightrail	.b	.b	a,b
	Train			a,b
	Walk			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA	.b		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Bicycle	39	0	13	13	9	3	2
		6.7%	.2%	5.9%	9.2%	7.7%	5.3%	13.3%
	Bus	38	5	10	11	10	2	0
		6.5%	11.0%	4.5%	7.8%	8.7%	4.1%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	79	3	31	12	19	9	4
		13.6%	7.0%	14.6%	8.4%	17.1%	15.4%	37.2%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	507	34	185	127	102	49	12
		87.5%	75.5%	87.6%	89.9%	89.3%	84.3%	100.0%
	Lightrail	7	0	4	0	3	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	0	7	2	5	0	0
		2.4%	.0%	3.2%	1.3%	4.4%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle						a
	Bus						.
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others						C
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)						a
	Lightrail	a		a		a	.
	Train	.				a	.
	Walk						A B C D E
	Other	B		a	B		a
DK/NA		.	a		.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Bicycle	39	0	0	9
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	4.7%
	Bus	38	0	0	22
		6.5%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	0	0	30
		13.8%	.0%	.0%	16.1%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	3	3	147
		87.3%	100.0%	100.0%	77.8%
	Lightrail	7	0	0	4
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Train	14	0	0	8
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	4.1%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Bicycle	0	10	1	0
		.0%	9.6%	7.1%	.0%
	Bus	0	8	0	0
		.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	1	15	0	1
		48.8%	14.4%	.0%	4.4%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	2	95	15	25
		100.0%	90.2%	100.0%	100.0%
	Lightrail	0	3	0	0
		.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Train	1	4	0	0
		51.2%	3.8%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Bicycle	0	0	0	15
	Bus	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.3%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	0	0	1	3
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	.0%	.0%	12.0%	1.8%
	Lightrail	1	0	3	18
	Train	11.8%	.0%	27.9%	12.1%
	Walk	8	14	7	133
	Other	100.0%	100.0%	72.1%	89.8%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Bicycle	1	1	1	1
	Bus	3.5%	5.0%	23.2%	4.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	1	0	0	2
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	4.6%	2.7%	.0%	13.9%
	Lightrail	3	2	0	5
	Train	12.6%	18.3%	4.5%	31.1%
	Walk	22	12	6	15
	Other	95.4%	100.0%	100.0%	84.8%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a	a		a
	Bus	a	a		a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	a	a	K	a
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a	a		a
	Lightrail	a	a		a
	Train	a	a		C E K
	Walk	a	a		
	Other	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			a	a
	Bus		a	a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		a		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	a
	Lightrail		a	a	a
	Train		a		a
	Walk		a	a	a
	Other		a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a	a		
	Bus	a			
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	a			
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a			
	Lightrail	a	a	a	a
	Train	a	a		a
	Walk	a	a		
	Other	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		a	
	Bus		.	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others			
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	a	a	
	Lightrail	a	a	a
	Train	a	a	a
	Walk			
	Other	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Bicycle	22	11	8	2	0	0
		8.2%	10.8%	7.5%	6.4%	.0%	3.8%
	Bus	13	9	1	1	1	0
		4.9%	8.5%	1.1%	4.8%	13.4%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	33	10	13	6	3	1
		12.5%	10.1%	11.4%	19.6%	28.9%	11.5%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	248	97	109	24	9	9
		93.0%	92.7%	96.9%	80.3%	85.7%	100.0%
	Lightrail	3	3	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	8	6	2	0	0	0
		3.0%	5.4%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Walk	42	14	17	7	3	2
		15.9%	13.0%	15.4%	22.3%	28.9%	17.9%
	Other	3	3	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				a	
	Bus				B	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					.
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		C			a
	Lightrail	a	a	a	a	a
	Train	.	.	a	.	a
	Walk					
	Other	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Bicycle	25	8	13	2	0	2
		6.5%	7.3%	5.6%	7.3%	.0%	18.0%
	Bus	31	11	16	5	0	0
		8.2%	9.8%	6.9%	15.3%	.0%	.0%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	51	19	23	5	0	5
		13.5%	17.9%	10.2%	14.0%	.0%	41.9%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	321	87	198	25	4	8
		84.3%	80.7%	88.2%	73.5%	97.9%	68.7%
	Lightrail	4	1	3	0	0	0
		1.0%	1.1%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	8	3	5	0	0	0
		2.2%	3.1%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Walk	40	15	19	5	0	2
		10.6%	13.7%	8.3%	15.4%	.0%	15.6%
	Other	7	2	3	2	0	0
		1.9%	2.3%	1.3%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				a	
	Bus				a	a
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				a	B
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)				.	
	Lightrail			a	a	a
	Train			a	a	a
	Walk			.	a	.
	Other			.	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	.	.
	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	Bicycle	39	36	4	3	4	0
	Bus	38	20	5	7	1	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	71	1	16	2	0
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	401	19	79	6	1
	Lightrail	7	7	0	0	0	0
	Train	14	14	0	1	0	0
	Walk	77	62	5	14	4	0
	Other	10	10	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		6.7%	7.9%	13.5%	3.3%	60.3%	6.5%
		6.5%	4.5%	17.6%	7.0%	19.8%	.0%
		13.8%	15.9%	4.4%	16.7%	26.8%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household				
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	44	7	22	48	13
	Bicycle	6	0	0	1	4
	Bus	1	0	0	7	1
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	7	0	0	7	1
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	41	7	22	40	11
	Lightrail	0	0	0	1	0
	Train	0	0	0	1	0
	Walk	10	1	1	4	5
	Other	0	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		12.6%	1.4%	.0%	2.4%	29.2%
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	8.1%
		15.9%	.0%	.0%	14.7%	5.9%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Bicycle	0	0	6	2
	Bus	0	0	6	0
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	2	0	10	1
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	12	14	51	7
	Lightrail	0	0	3	0
	Train	0	0	4	0
	Walk	1	2	8	2
	Other	0	0	3	0
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		100.0%	100.0%	92.3%	100.0%
		.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%
		.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				A C I N	a	
	Bus					a,b	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					a,b	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)					a	
	Lightrail		b	b	b	a,b	b
	Train		b		b	a,b	b
	Walk		b	b	b	a,b	b
	Other		b	b	b	a,b	b
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				C	a,b	b
	Bus	b	b			a,b	b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	b	b			a	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	b	b			a	b
	Lightrail	b	b		b	a,b	b
	Train	b	b		b	a,b	b
	Walk	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	Other	b	b	b	b	a,b	b
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	b		C I
	Bus	b		b
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	b		
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	b		b
	Lightrail	b		b
	Train	b		b
	Walk	b		b
	Other	b	b	b
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Bicycle	39	1	2	1	2
		6.7%	2.3%	4.8%	3.2%	5.9%
	Bus	38	18	1	3	0
		6.5%	30.5%	2.2%	9.5%	.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	9	1	1	1
		13.8%	15.7%	2.7%	4.6%	2.6%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	37	49	25	34
		87.3%	61.4%	94.0%	85.7%	93.6%
	Lightrail	7	3	1	0	0
		1.2%	4.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	3	1	0	0
		2.3%	4.7%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Walk	77	7	5	2	8
		13.2%	11.9%	9.4%	7.9%	20.5%
	Other	10	1	0	1	0
		1.7%	1.5%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Bicycle	1	1	0	3	10
		3.6%	2.7%	1.0%	10.4%	7.2%
	Bus	1	0	3	0	2
		3.4%	.0%	8.2%	.7%	1.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	8	2	1	5	23
		23.4%	5.3%	1.3%	14.3%	17.6%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	28	39	38	30	126
		86.7%	95.1%	100.0%	90.5%	95.1%
	Lightrail	0	0	3	0	0
		.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	.0%
	Train	0	0	3	0	7
		.0%	.4%	7.4%	.0%	5.0%
	Walk	1	3	4	3	20
		3.2%	7.4%	11.5%	10.1%	14.9%
	Other	0	0	3	2	0
		.0%	.0%	7.4%	4.7%	.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	126
	Bicycle	16
		13.0%
	Bus	8
		6.5%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	29
		22.9%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	101
		80.1%
	Lightrail	0
		.0%
	Train	0
		.0%
	Walk	23
		18.3%
	Other	3
		2.2%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	B D H I J				
	Bus					
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A		A	
	Lightrail			a	a	a
	Train			a	a	a
	Walk		a		a	a
	Other		a		a	a
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	a			
	Bus	a			
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	A	a		A J
	Lightrail	a		a	a
	Train			a	
	Walk	a			
	Other	a			
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle	
	Bus	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	
	Lightrail	a
	Train	a
	Walk	
	Other	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Bicycle	39	6	4	29
		6.7%	3.3%	2.7%	11.2%
	Bus	38	23	2	12
		6.5%	13.9%	1.6%	4.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	80	15	13	52
		13.8%	9.1%	8.6%	19.9%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	508	122	144	243
		87.3%	72.8%	94.1%	92.6%
	Lightrail	7	3	0	4
		1.2%	1.7%	.0%	1.5%
	Train	14	3	2	9
		2.3%	1.7%	1.1%	3.5%
	Walk	77	12	6	58
		13.2%	7.4%	4.1%	22.2%
	Other	10	9	0	0
		1.7%	5.6%	.0%	.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle			A B
	Bus			
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	B C		A B
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		A	A
	Lightrail		a	
	Train			
	Walk		a	A B
	Other		a	
	DK/NA	C a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Bicycle	30	8	4	4	15
		6.6%	6.3%	4.1%	4.5%	9.1%
	Bus	29	10	5	6	8
		6.3%	8.1%	5.4%	7.4%	4.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	64	18	9	11	26
		13.8%	14.5%	9.5%	14.7%	15.2%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	394	105	85	61	143
		85.2%	83.0%	94.5%	78.9%	84.9%
	Lightrail	4	0	1	3	0
		.9%	.0%	1.3%	3.6%	.0%
	Train	10	1	3	5	0
		2.1%	1.1%	3.2%	6.8%	.1%
	Walk	65	14	10	12	28
		14.0%	11.0%	11.3%	15.6%	16.9%
	Other	7	0	0	2	5
		1.5%	.2%	.0%	2.0%	3.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle				
	Bus				
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others				
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		C		a
	Lightrail	a			
	Train			D	
	Walk		a		
	Other			a	a
	DK/NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Bicycle	38	5	12	2	1
		6.6%	4.2%	9.9%	4.7%	3.1%
	Bus	38	8	8	1	0
		6.5%	6.5%	6.4%	3.6%	.6%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	79	13	17	6	3
		13.7%	10.8%	14.6%	15.9%	7.3%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	505	111	100	34	39
		87.2%	90.3%	83.6%	84.4%	94.9%
	Lightrail	7	3	0	0	0
		1.2%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Train	14	6	0	0	2
		2.4%	5.0%	.2%	.0%	5.1%
	Walk	75	22	11	3	4
		12.9%	17.5%	9.1%	8.7%	10.4%
	Other	10	4	3	0	0
		1.7%	3.3%	2.3%	.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Bicycle	6	12	0	0
		4.6%	10.5%	2.2%	1.8%
	Bus	16	3	0	1
		12.9%	2.3%	.0%	15.8%
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others	21	16	0	2
		16.6%	14.7%	.0%	19.8%
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)	105	103	7	7
		82.4%	91.4%	100.0%	77.6%
	Lightrail	3	1	0	0
		2.2%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
Train		3	2	0	0
		2.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
Walk		13	20	0	1
		10.0%	18.1%	2.2%	15.0%
Other		3	0	0	0
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA		0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle					F
	Bus					
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others					
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	a	a	
	Lightrail		.	.	.	
	Train			.		
	Walk				a	
	Other				.	
DK/NA		a	a	a		a
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
10. In general, what type of transportation do you use to go to work, school or other places you visit frequently?	Bicycle		a	
	Bus		.	
	Carpool / vanpool / ride with others		a	
			.	
	Drive alone (car, truck, motorcycle, scooter)		a	
	Lightrail		a	a
	Train		a	.
	Walk		.	
	Other	a	a	a
		.	.	.
DK/NA		a	a	a
		.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	582
	Daily	34	34
		5.8%	5.8%
	Few times a week	70	70
		12.0%	12.0%
	Once a week	43	43
		7.3%	7.3%
	Few times a month	71	71
		12.1%	12.1%
	Once a month	39	39
		6.7%	6.7%
Few times a year or less		98	98
		16.8%	16.8%
Never		225	225
		38.7%	38.7%
DK/NA		3	3
		.5%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	.
	Few times a week	.
	Once a week	.
	Few times a month	.
	Once a month	.
	Few times a year or less	.
	Never	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Daily	34	13	20	1
		5.8%	4.6%	6.6%	31.9%
	Few times a week	70	38	31	1
		12.0%	13.4%	10.5%	33.7%
	Once a week	43	20	21	1
		7.3%	7.2%	7.1%	34.4%
	Few times a month	71	34	37	0
		12.1%	11.9%	12.4%	.0%
	Once a month	39	14	25	0
		6.7%	5.0%	8.4%	.0%
	Few times a year or less	98	54	44	0
		16.8%	19.2%	14.7%	.0%
	Never	225	107	118	0
		38.7%	38.1%	39.7%	.0%
DK/NA		3	1	1	0
		.5%	.5%	.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			
	Few times a week			
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			a
	Once a month			a
	Few times a year or less			a
	Never			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Daily	34	6	5	5	8	9	0
		5.8%	8.3%	5.2%	3.9%	5.1%	8.6%	.0%
	Few times a week	70	14	8	14	22	11	1
		12.0%	17.9%	8.3%	9.9%	14.4%	10.8%	12.5%
	Once a week	43	10	8	11	7	5	1
		7.3%	13.6%	7.5%	7.8%	4.7%	5.2%	12.5%
	Few times a month	71	7	14	17	19	13	1
		12.1%	9.2%	13.6%	11.9%	12.4%	12.7%	12.5%
	Once a month	39	2	2	10	14	9	1
		6.7%	3.1%	2.4%	7.4%	9.0%	9.0%	11.8%
	Few times a year or less	98	9	20	29	26	13	1
		16.8%	12.3%	19.9%	20.3%	17.2%	12.6%	6.8%
	Never	225	27	44	53	57	40	4
		38.7%	35.6%	43.1%	37.9%	37.3%	39.6%	43.9%
DK/NA		3	0	0	1	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						a
	Few times a week						
	Once a week						
	Few times a month						
	Once a month						
	Few times a year or less						
	Never						
	DK/NA	a	a		a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	424	158
	Daily	34	16	17
		5.8%	3.8%	11.0%
	Few times a week	70	45	25
		12.0%	10.6%	16.0%
	Once a week	43	32	10
		7.3%	7.6%	6.6%
	Few times a month	71	49	22
		12.1%	11.5%	13.8%
	Once a month	39	30	9
		6.7%	7.0%	6.0%
	Few times a year or less	98	81	17
		16.8%	19.1%	10.6%
	Never	225	169	57
		38.7%	39.7%	36.1%
	DK/NA	3	3	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		A
	Few times a week		
	Once a week		
	Few times a month		
	Once a month		
	Few times a year or less	B	
	Never		a
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Daily	34	18	3	0	13
		5.8%	7.5%	3.5%	.0%	5.2%
	Few times a week	70	38	9	1	22
		12.0%	15.8%	10.7%	4.9%	9.2%
	Once a week	43	12	3	1	26
		7.3%	4.9%	4.0%	9.3%	10.8%
	Few times a month	71	31	8	5	28
		12.1%	12.5%	9.5%	31.1%	11.4%
	Once a month	39	7	5	2	26
		6.7%	2.8%	5.7%	11.4%	10.7%
	Few times a year or less	98	39	14	0	45
		16.8%	16.0%	17.2%	1.8%	18.5%
	Never	225	99	40	7	80
		38.7%	40.4%	49.4%	41.5%	33.2%
		3	1	0	0	2
		.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a	
	Few times a week				
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				A
	Few times a year or less				
	Never		a	a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Daily	34	11	1	1	1	8	12
		5.8%	8.9%	1.7%	3.2%	2.6%	5.9%	6.0%
	Few times a week	70	14	15	4	2	16	19
		12.0%	11.2%	22.7%	12.8%	5.6%	12.8%	9.4%
	Once a week	43	6	3	0	3	8	23
		7.3%	5.1%	3.9%	1.4%	9.9%	6.2%	11.0%
	Few times a month	71	13	10	2	5	16	25
		12.1%	10.6%	14.7%	4.8%	19.2%	12.4%	12.2%
	Once a month	39	3	1	1	2	5	27
		6.7%	2.8%	1.6%	1.8%	5.5%	4.1%	13.3%
	Few times a year or less	98	21	8	3	4	27	34
		16.8%	17.5%	11.8%	10.2%	14.3%	21.1%	16.8%
	Never	225	53	29	21	12	48	62
		38.7%	43.9%	43.7%	65.9%	43.0%	37.0%	30.3%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	1	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	1.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						
	Few times a week						
	Once a week						
	Few times a month						
	Once a month						
	Few times a year or less						
	Never						
	DK/NA	a	a	E F	a		A
		.	.	a	.		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	Registration Date			
			2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Daily	34	14	2	6	2
		5.8%	5.5%	2.3%	7.8%	5.2%
	Few times a week	70	36	7	7	7
		12.0%	13.7%	9.5%	8.9%	14.0%
	Once a week	43	21	9	3	3
		7.3%	7.9%	12.0%	4.1%	6.9%
	Few times a month	71	25	13	12	7
		12.1%	9.5%	16.6%	15.0%	13.8%
	Once a month	39	15	3	7	5
		6.7%	5.5%	4.1%	8.8%	10.9%
	Few times a year or less	98	44	16	13	9
		16.8%	16.7%	20.7%	15.8%	19.1%
	Never	225	108	26	32	13
		38.7%	41.2%	33.2%	39.7%	28.3%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	0	1
		.5%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	1.9%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Daily	5	0	2	1
		18.8%	.0%	5.5%	6.1%
	Few times a week	3	1	5	4
		11.7%	3.4%	12.3%	17.1%
	Once a week	1	0	3	2
		4.5%	1.3%	6.9%	7.5%
	Few times a month	4	2	6	2
		16.6%	8.8%	15.1%	6.9%
	Once a month	3	4	1	1
		11.2%	16.6%	2.8%	4.6%
	Few times a year or less	4	3	6	3
	Never	6	13	15	11
		22.4%	57.4%	40.7%	44.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily				
	Few times a week				
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less				
	Never				
	DK/NA	a		a	
		.		.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a		
	Few times a week		.		
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less				
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Daily	34	0	7	6	2	2	0	4
		5.8%	.0%	3.9%	13.5%	4.3%	13.8%	.0%	9.2%
	Few times a week	70	1	25	7	3	2	0	6
		12.0%	12.0%	14.3%	17.0%	6.7%	13.6%	1.6%	13.1%
	Once a week	43	3	11	2	2	1	1	3
		7.3%	43.5%	6.4%	3.7%	4.3%	5.6%	8.6%	7.8%
	Few times a month	71	0	21	5	8	2	1	4
		12.1%	.0%	11.9%	11.6%	16.9%	9.2%	6.5%	8.9%
	Once a month	39	1	8	5	0	0	5	2
		6.7%	15.8%	4.7%	12.1%	.0%	.0%	38.0%	3.7%
	Few times a year or less	98	0	44	7	6	4	0	6
		16.8%	.0%	25.4%	15.7%	12.4%	24.4%	1.1%	15.1%
	Never	225	2	57	11	26	6	6	16
		38.7%	28.7%	32.8%	26.5%	55.4%	33.4%	44.2%	38.6%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Daily	8	3	0	1	1
		8.3%	3.9%	.0%	46.3%	21.3%
	Few times a week	15	8	4	0	0
		15.7%	10.4%	5.7%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	3	12	4	0	0
		3.2%	17.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a month	17	6	7	0	1
		17.1%	8.4%	10.5%	9.8%	26.6%
	Once a month	3	3	10	0	1
		3.6%	4.7%	15.8%	.0%	22.3%
	Few times a year or less	7	15	8	1	0
		7.4%	20.8%	11.9%	43.9%	.0%
	Never	43	26	32	0	2
		44.6%	34.9%	49.6%	.0%	29.8%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a					a		
	Few times a week								
	Once a week	B C D H							
	Few times a month	a							
	Once a month				a	a	B G H I		
	Few times a year or less	a	H						
	Never								
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a		a
	Few times a week			a	.
	Once a week			a	.
	Few times a month				.
	Once a month			a	
	Few times a year or less			.	a
	Never			a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	464	118
	Daily	34	28	5
		5.8%	6.1%	4.6%
	Few times a week	70	55	15
		12.0%	11.9%	12.6%
	Once a week	43	41	1
		7.3%	8.9%	1.1%
	Few times a month	71	53	18
		12.1%	11.4%	15.1%
	Once a month	39	34	5
		6.7%	7.4%	4.0%
	Few times a year or less	98	73	25
		16.8%	15.8%	21.0%
	Never	225	176	49
		38.7%	38.0%	41.6%
	DK/NA	3	3	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		
	Few times a week		
	Once a week		
	Few times a month		
	Once a month		
	Few times a year or less		
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	347	235
	Daily	34	24	9
		5.8%	7.0%	4.0%
	Few times a week	70	40	30
		12.0%	11.6%	12.7%
	Once a week	43	22	21
		7.3%	6.2%	8.9%
	Few times a month	71	45	25
		12.1%	13.0%	10.8%
	Once a month	39	20	19
		6.7%	5.8%	8.0%
	Few times a year or less	98	61	37
		16.8%	17.7%	15.5%
	Never	225	131	94
		38.7%	37.8%	40.1%
	DK/NA	3	3	0
		.5%	.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		
	Few times a week		
	Once a week		
	Few times a month		
	Once a month		
	Few times a year or less		
	Never		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Daily	34	5	2	4	3
	Few times a week	5.8%	45.4%	4.2%	4.1%	2.7%
	Once a week	70	2	12	9	12
		12.0%	14.7%	23.8%	9.9%	9.4%
	Once a month	43	0	6	4	13
		7.3%	.9%	11.5%	4.2%	9.7%
	Few times a month	71	0	1	13	15
		12.1%	.0%	2.0%	14.2%	11.2%
	Once a month	39	0	2	6	15
		6.7%	.0%	4.7%	6.4%	11.4%
	Few times a year or less	98	1	9	24	22
		16.8%	10.7%	17.5%	25.6%	16.4%
	Never	225	3	18	33	50
		38.7%	28.3%	36.3%	35.5%	38.3%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	163	133	1
	Daily	7	12	0
	Few times a week	4.5%	9.0%	.0%
	Once a week	13	22	0
		8.1%	16.5%	.0%
	Once a month	12	8	0
		7.5%	5.9%	.0%
	Few times a month	28	13	0
		17.2%	10.1%	.0%
	Once a month	10	5	1
		6.3%	3.7%	100.0%
	Few times a year or less	21	22	0
		12.5%	16.6%	.0%
	Never	72	49	0
		43.8%	37.1%	.0%
		0	1	0
		.0%	1.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	B C D E F	E		
	Few times a week				
	Once a week				
	Few times a month	.b			
	Once a month	.b			
	Few times a year or less				
	Never				
		.b	.b	.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a,,b
	Few times a week			a,,b
	Once a week			a,,b
	Few times a month			a,,b
	Once a month			a,,b
	Few times a year or less			a,,b
	Never			a,,b
		.b		.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Daily	34	2	13	9	6	3	1
		5.8%	4.0%	6.3%	6.4%	5.2%	4.9%	5.4%
	Few times a week	70	8	22	16	17	5	2
		12.1%	18.4%	10.4%	11.2%	14.7%	9.4%	16.6%
	Once a week	43	3	14	5	11	3	7
		7.3%	6.8%	6.7%	3.3%	9.9%	4.5%	59.7%
	Few times a month	71	3	24	18	17	9	0
		12.2%	7.3%	11.2%	12.7%	15.3%	14.7%	.0%
	Once a month	39	4	14	11	6	4	1
		6.7%	8.4%	6.7%	8.0%	5.1%	6.1%	5.1%
	Few times a year or less	98	7	36	27	17	9	2
		16.9%	14.9%	17.3%	18.9%	15.0%	16.3%	13.3%
	Never	223	18	86	54	40	26	0
		38.5%	40.2%	40.6%	38.7%	34.9%	44.1%	.0%
		3	0	1	1	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						A
	Few times a week						B
	Once a week						C
	Few times a month						D
	Once a month						E
	Few times a year or less						F
	Never	a			a	a	a
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Daily	34	0	0	8	0
		5.8%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%
	Few times a week	70	0	0	15	0
		12.0%	.0%	.0%	7.8%	.0%
	Once a week	43	0	0	15	0
		7.3%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	.0%
	Few times a month	71	0	0	26	1
		12.1%	.0%	.0%	13.7%	48.8%
	Once a month	39	0	0	21	0
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	11.2%	.0%
	Few times a year or less	98	1	0	35	0
		16.8%	50.0%	.0%	18.2%	.0%
	Never	225	1	3	69	1
		38.7%	50.0%	100.0%	36.3%	51.2%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Daily	6	1	0	0
		5.6%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a week	13	4	3	0
		12.6%	23.2%	11.4%	.0%
	Once a week	7	0	1	0
		7.1%	.0%	4.4%	.0%
	Few times a month	10	1	4	0
		9.6%	9.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Once a month	6	2	2	0
		5.5%	9.9%	6.9%	.0%
	Few times a year or less	24	1	2	0
		22.2%	7.9%	6.6%	.0%
	Never	38	7	13	8
		36.3%	42.9%	53.5%	100.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Daily	0	3	8	4
		.0%	27.9%	5.2%	17.1%
	Few times a week	2	0	31	0
		11.7%	.0%	21.1%	.0%
	Once a week	2	1	10	3
		11.7%	12.0%	7.0%	12.6%
	Few times a month	2	1	16	3
		11.3%	10.2%	11.0%	14.7%
	Once a month	0	2	6	1
		.0%	16.3%	3.9%	3.3%
	Few times a year or less	3	2	22	4
		21.0%	22.2%	14.9%	15.1%
	Never	6	1	54	9
		44.2%	11.4%	36.5%	37.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	12	6	17
	Daily	0	1	3
	Few times a week	.0%	16.6%	17.2%
	Once a week	2	0	1
		14.2%	.0%	5.5%
	Few times a month	1	1	1
		8.0%	11.1%	6.9%
	Once a month	2	0	3
		14.2%	2.2%	20.0%
	Few times a year or less	0	1	0
		.0%	10.2%	.0%
	Never	1	0	3
		9.8%	8.1%	18.7%
	DK/NA	6	3	6
		53.9%	51.8%	31.8%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a	a		a
	Few times a week	.	a		a
	Once a week	.	a		a
	Few times a month	.	a		
	Once a month	.	a		a
	Few times a year or less	.	a		a
	Never	.	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a	a
	Few times a week				a
	Once a week		a		a
	Few times a month		.		a
	Once a month				a
	Few times a year or less				a
	Never				a
	DK/NA		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a	C		
	Few times a week	.	a		a
	Once a week		.	C	.
	Few times a month	a			
	Once a month	.			
	Few times a year or less				
	Never	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	a		
	Few times a week	.	a	
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			
	Once a month	a		a
	Few times a year or less			
	Never			
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Daily	9	6	3	0	0	0
	Few times a week	31	10	12	5	1	3
	Once a week	20	9	7	5	0	0
	Few times a month	31	9	13	5	5	0
	Once a month	18	7	6	5	0	1
	Few times a year or less	56	22	28	2	2	2
	Never	100	40	44	9	3	4
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0	0
		.8%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a	.	.
	Few times a week			.	.	.
	Once a week			.	a	.
	Few times a month			.	A B	.
	Once a month			.	a	.
	Few times a year or less			.	.	.
	Never			.	.	.
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Daily	23	7	12	2	0	2
	Few times a week	43	8	24	5	2	3
	Once a week	28	9	15	4	0	0
	Few times a month	53	10	34	6	0	3
	Once a month	26	5	14	6	0	0
	Few times a year or less	57	25	29	0	1	2
	Never	148	41	95	10	1	1
	DK/NA	3	1	1	0	0	0
		.7%	1.1%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily				a	.
	Few times a week				A	.
	Once a week				a	.
	Few times a month				.	.
	Once a month			A B	a	.
	Few times a year or less	C		.	.	.
	Never			.	.	.
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Daily	34	31	1	5	3	0	3
		5.8%	6.8%	4.4%	5.9%	34.3%	6.5%	6.6%
	Few times a week	70	60	0	6	0	0	7
		12.0%	13.3%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	15.3%
	Once a week	43	31	3	6	3	0	3
		7.3%	6.9%	10.6%	6.3%	42.6%	.0%	7.9%
	Few times a month	71	53	7	16	0	0	5
		12.1%	11.9%	25.4%	16.9%	3.2%	24.3%	11.5%
	Once a month	39	22	3	11	0	0	3
		6.7%	4.9%	12.1%	11.4%	.0%	.0%	7.9%
	Few times a year or less	98	74	6	14	0	0	9
		16.8%	16.6%	22.1%	15.5%	.0%	.0%	20.4%
	Never	225	175	7	34	1	1	12
		38.7%	39.0%	25.4%	36.5%	19.9%	69.2%	27.6%
	DK/NA	3	3	0	1	0	0	1
		.5%	.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Daily	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.0%	17.3%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a week	1	3	1	2	0	0
		19.1%	12.8%	2.9%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	Once a week	0	0	9	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	19.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a month	2	4	5	2	0	2
		34.9%	19.4%	10.1%	12.2%	25.0%	19.1%
	Once a month	0	0	5	1	1	0
		.0%	.0%	11.3%	5.9%	75.0%	.0%
	Few times a year or less	0	2	11	3	0	0
		.0%	7.5%	22.4%	21.5%	.0%	1.9%
	Never	3	13	14	4	0	10
		46.1%	60.2%	28.6%	28.2%	.0%	79.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	14	56	7
	Daily	0	3	1
		.0%	4.6%	9.4%
	Few times a week	2	7	1
		11.7%	11.8%	15.4%
	Once a week	2	5	1
		11.7%	8.4%	18.2%
	Few times a month	2	6	2
		11.3%	10.3%	24.9%
	Once a month	0	6	1
		.0%	10.8%	8.9%
	Few times a year or less	3	16	2
		21.0%	28.1%	23.3%
	Never	6	14	0
		44.2%	26.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily						
	Few times a week		b		b	a,b	
	Once a week				A C	a,b	
	Few times a month					a	
	Once a month				b	a,b	
	Few times a year or less				b	a,b	
	Never					a	
	DK/NA		b		b	a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	b	b			a,b	b
	Few times a week					a,b	b
	Once a week	b	b	A	b	a	
	Few times a month					a	
	Once a month	b	b			a,b	b
	Few times a year or less	b				a,b	
	Never					a,b	N
	DK/NA	b	b		b	a,b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily	b		
	Few times a week			
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			
	Once a month	b		
	Few times a year or less			b
	Never	b	b	b
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Daily	34	6	1	0	3	0
		5.8%	9.2%	1.4%	.0%	8.9%	.7%
	Few times a week	70	8	4	5	3	2
		12.0%	13.1%	8.6%	16.3%	7.8%	7.1%
	Once a week	43	7	5	1	6	1
		7.3%	12.3%	10.3%	2.7%	17.6%	1.8%
	Few times a month	71	15	2	2	1	11
		12.1%	25.7%	3.3%	7.2%	2.0%	34.0%
	Once a month	39	4	7	2	2	2
		6.7%	7.4%	13.7%	5.8%	4.7%	5.8%
	Few times a year or less	98	5	3	7	6	6
		16.8%	8.0%	5.4%	22.5%	17.4%	19.5%
	Never	225	15	30	13	15	10
		38.7%	24.3%	57.2%	45.4%	41.5%	31.0%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Daily	4	0	1	8	11
		9.3%	.0%	2.0%	6.1%	9.0%
	Few times a week	5	5	5	12	21
		11.2%	13.3%	15.1%	9.2%	16.5%
	Once a week	3	6	0	6	8
		6.7%	14.8%	.0%	4.5%	6.0%
	Few times a month	0	0	6	16	17
		.4%	.2%	17.7%	12.4%	13.5%
	Once a month	3	3	2	7	6
		8.4%	6.9%	7.0%	5.6%	5.1%
	Few times a year or less	5	10	6	33	17
		11.9%	27.1%	17.3%	25.1%	13.2%
	Never	22	14	14	49	44
		52.2%	37.6%	40.9%	37.1%	34.7%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			a		
	Few times a week			.		
	Once a week					
	Few times a month	B F G				B D F G
	Once a month					
	Few times a year or less					
	Never	a	A a	a	a	a
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a			
	Few times a week					
	Once a week			a		
	Few times a month					
	Once a month					
	Few times a year or less					
	Never	a	a	a	a	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Daily	34	11	9	13
		5.8%	6.7%	6.2%	5.0%
	Few times a week	70	20	16	34
		12.0%	11.7%	10.5%	13.2%
	Once a week	43	14	11	18
		7.3%	8.4%	7.1%	6.8%
	Few times a month	71	28	12	30
		12.1%	16.7%	8.0%	11.6%
	Once a month	39	14	9	16
		6.7%	8.6%	5.8%	6.0%
	Few times a year or less	98	19	14	65
		16.8%	11.3%	9.0%	24.9%
	Never	225	61	82	83
		38.7%	36.3%	53.4%	31.7%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	2
		.5%	.3%	.0%	.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily			
	Few times a week			
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			
	Once a month			
	Few times a year or less			
	Never		A C ^a	A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Daily	27	6	4	7	10
		5.9%	4.6%	4.2%	9.6%	6.1%
	Few times a week	58	20	2	12	23
		12.5%	15.6%	2.5%	15.7%	14.0%
	Once a week	26	9	6	3	8
		5.5%	7.0%	7.0%	3.6%	4.5%
	Few times a month	62	16	9	15	22
		13.4%	12.8%	10.1%	18.7%	13.2%
	Once a month	33	9	12	3	10
		7.2%	6.8%	13.8%	3.3%	5.7%
	Few times a year or less	77	12	25	11	29
		16.7%	9.3%	27.9%	14.0%	17.5%
	Never	176	54	31	27	64
		38.2%	42.9%	34.5%	35.0%	38.1%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	0	1
		.6%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily				
	Few times a week	B		B	B
	Once a week				
	Few times a month				
	Once a month				
	Few times a year or less		A		
	Never		a	a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative trasportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Daily	33	11	6	0	3	8
		5.6%	9.2%	4.9%	.4%	6.5%	6.4%
	Few times a week	69	19	19	5	3	6
		11.9%	15.5%	16.1%	13.5%	7.9%	4.7%
	Once a week	42	2	10	3	0	16
		7.2%	1.8%	8.0%	8.1%	.0%	12.2%
	Few times a month	71	17	13	2	6	17
		12.2%	14.1%	11.0%	4.7%	14.1%	13.0%
	Once a month	39	5	2	2	3	17
		6.8%	3.7%	1.8%	5.0%	6.3%	13.3%
	Few times a year or less	98	19	20	9	5	15
		16.9%	15.7%	16.3%	23.2%	11.4%	11.7%
	Never	225	49	49	18	22	48
		38.9%	39.9%	41.3%	45.0%	53.7%	37.8%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Total	112	7	9
	Daily	5	0	0
		4.1%	.0%	.0%
	Few times a week	15	1	0
		13.6%	11.2%	.0%
	Once a week	9	0	1
		8.4%	2.2%	15.0%
	Few times a month	11	1	4
		9.8%	17.1%	42.2%
	Once a month	9	2	0
		8.1%	22.7%	2.4%
	Few times a year or less	30	0	0
		26.7%	4.1%	.0%
	Never	32	3	4
		28.7%	42.7%	40.5%
	DK/NA	1	0	0
		.8%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily					
	Few times a week					
	Once a week				a	A
	Few times a month				.	
	Once a month					B
	Few times a year or less					
	Never					
	DK/NA	a		a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
11. In the last twelve months, how often have you used alternative transportation, including walking, biking, and public transit, for your trips within the city?	Daily		a	a
	Few times a week		.	a
	Once a week			
	Few times a month			C
	Once a month		B	a
	Few times a year or less			
	Never		a	a
	DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	548
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	37
		6.8%	6.8%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	101
		18.5%	18.5%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	23
		4.1%	4.1%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	196
		35.8%	35.8%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	155
		28.3%	28.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	50
		9.1%	9.1%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	31
		5.6%	5.6%
	Prefer driving	230	230
		42.0%	42.0%
	Weather	30	30
		5.5%	5.5%
	Other	27	27
		4.9%	4.9%
	DK/NA	10	10
		1.8%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a, b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	.
	Feel unsafe using public transit	.
	Inconvenient public transit routes	.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	.
	Prefer driving	.
	Weather	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	268	278	2
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	26	0
		6.8%	4.2%	9.4%	.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	52	47	2
		18.5%	19.4%	17.0%	100.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	13	9	1
		4.1%	4.7%	3.2%	49.5%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	111	84	1
		35.8%	41.4%	30.3%	50.5%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	90	64	1
		28.3%	33.7%	23.0%	50.5%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	27	22	1
		9.1%	10.1%	7.8%	50.5%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	17	14	0
		5.6%	6.3%	5.0%	.0%
	Prefer driving	230	108	121	1
		42.0%	40.4%	43.5%	50.5%
	Weather	30	12	18	0
		5.5%	4.6%	6.4%	.0%
	Other	27	15	12	0
		4.9%	5.5%	4.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	10	5	5	0
		1.8%	1.8%	1.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		A	a
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			a
	Feel unsafe using public transit			A B
	Inconvenient public transit routes	B		
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	B		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			.
	Prefer driving			a
	Weather			a
	Other			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	70	96	135	145	93	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	8	0	5	3	18	3
		6.8%	11.3%	.0%	4.1%	1.9%	19.2%	37.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	5	17	35	32	12	0
		18.5%	6.7%	18.0%	25.7%	22.4%	13.2%	.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	2	3	4	7	5	1
		4.1%	3.3%	3.4%	3.0%	4.6%	5.8%	11.8%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	24	25	56	67	22	2
		35.8%	34.4%	26.3%	41.5%	46.3%	23.6%	19.3%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	29	16	38	50	19	3
		28.3%	41.7%	17.1%	28.2%	34.4%	20.1%	31.1%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	5	7	21	12	4	0
		9.1%	6.6%	7.5%	15.6%	8.5%	4.7%	.0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	2	6	11	9	1	1
		5.6%	3.3%	6.7%	8.0%	6.3%	1.2%	12.5%
	Prefer driving	230	24	56	57	53	36	4
		42.0%	33.5%	58.0%	42.4%	36.4%	39.2%	50.8%
	Weather	30	2	6	12	8	2	0
		5.5%	3.2%	6.2%	8.6%	5.6%	2.1%	.0%
	Other	27	4	7	7	8	1	0
		4.9%	6.2%	7.0%	4.9%	5.3%	1.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	10	2	3	1	3	1	0
		1.8%	2.7%	3.5%	.9%	1.9%	1.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	D	^a			C D	C D
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			A	A		^a
	Feel unsafe using public transit						^a
	Inconvenient public transit routes				B E		
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	B E			B		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths						^a
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths						^a
	Prefer driving		A D				^a
	Weather						^a
	Other						^a
	DK/NA						^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	408	140
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	29	8
		6.8%	7.2%	5.7%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	81	20
		18.5%	19.9%	14.3%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	18	5
		4.1%	4.4%	3.4%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	162	35
		35.8%	39.6%	24.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	123	32
		28.3%	30.2%	22.9%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	44	6
		9.1%	10.7%	4.2%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	27	4
		5.6%	6.5%	3.2%
	Prefer driving	230	163	67
		42.0%	40.0%	48.0%
	Weather	30	20	10
		5.5%	4.9%	7.0%
	Other	27	22	5
		4.9%	5.3%	3.5%
	DK/NA	10	9	1
		1.8%	2.1%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles		
	Feel unsafe using public transit		
	Inconvenient public transit routes	B	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	B	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		
	Prefer driving		
	Weather		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	226	78	16	229
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	20	7	1	9
		6.8%	9.1%	8.8%	8.3%	3.8%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	43	11	2	46
		18.5%	19.2%	13.6%	10.6%	20.1%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	11	3	0	9
		4.1%	5.0%	3.2%	.0%	3.9%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	81	26	5	85
		35.8%	35.8%	32.8%	33.6%	36.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	72	17	4	63
		28.3%	31.9%	21.6%	24.2%	27.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	21	7	1	20
		9.1%	9.5%	8.7%	8.3%	8.9%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	11	5	2	13
		5.6%	4.8%	6.8%	9.6%	5.8%
	Prefer driving	230	95	39	7	89
		42.0%	42.1%	49.9%	45.9%	39.0%
	Weather	30	7	4	0	18
		5.5%	3.3%	5.6%	1.3%	7.8%
	Other	27	13	2	1	11
		4.9%	5.7%	2.2%	3.7%	5.0%
	DK/NA	10	1	0	0	9
		1.8%	.3%	.0%	.0%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other		a	a	A
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	110	65	31	28	121	193
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	8	6	1	5	6
		6.8%	9.9%	12.9%	20.0%	1.9%	4.1%	3.3%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	21	9	2	2	29	38
		18.5%	19.3%	13.0%	8.0%	6.5%	24.2%	19.7%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	3	4	1	2	4	9
		4.1%	2.9%	6.6%	1.7%	7.2%	3.1%	4.6%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	40	14	7	9	60	67
		35.8%	35.8%	21.0%	23.7%	33.8%	49.5%	34.5%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	35	21	7	5	36	52
		28.3%	31.3%	31.9%	22.6%	18.8%	29.6%	26.9%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	12	3	2	1	14	17
		9.1%	10.9%	5.1%	7.2%	5.2%	11.5%	8.7%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	9	2	2	1	6	11
		5.6%	8.2%	2.3%	7.6%	2.5%	5.0%	5.8%
	Prefer driving	230	48	24	15	16	53	75
		42.0%	43.0%	36.4%	47.9%	57.3%	44.1%	38.9%
	Weather	30	3	3	1	2	5	16
		5.5%	2.3%	3.9%	3.9%	8.3%	4.3%	8.4%
	Other	27	4	4	0	0	10	8
		4.9%	4.0%	6.5%	.7%	.0%	8.2%	4.1%
	DK/NA	10	1	0	0	0	3	6
		1.8%	.6%	.1%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons			E F			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles						
	Feel unsafe using public transit						
	Inconvenient public transit routes					B	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules						
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths						
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths						
	Prefer driving						
	Weather				a		
	Other			a	a		
	DK/NA			a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	249	77	75	45
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	8	2	8	4
		6.8%	3.4%	2.9%	10.0%	9.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	45	17	15	11
		18.5%	18.0%	22.3%	20.0%	25.3%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	10	1	2	5
		4.1%	4.2%	1.4%	2.8%	12.1%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	93	26	31	14
		35.8%	37.5%	33.9%	41.0%	32.2%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	82	18	19	11
		28.3%	32.9%	23.8%	25.1%	24.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	19	11	10	4
		9.1%	7.5%	14.1%	13.6%	8.7%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	13	5	4	2
		5.6%	5.2%	7.0%	5.9%	3.6%
	Prefer driving	230	110	31	25	17
		42.0%	44.3%	40.9%	33.8%	39.1%
	Weather	30	14	4	5	1
		5.5%	5.6%	5.4%	6.6%	3.3%
	Other	27	17	3	1	2
		4.9%	6.6%	4.4%	1.7%	5.6%
	DK/NA	10	9	0	0	0
		1.8%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	22	23	36	23
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	1	0	4	11
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	3	6	4	0
	Feel unsafe using public transit	0	1	1	1
	Inconvenient public transit routes	3	11	13	5
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	2	11	8	4
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	2	3	0
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	2	1	2	1
	Prefer driving	12	6	19	9
	Weather	2	1	2	0
	Other	0	0	2	0
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		10.2%	3.4%	6.9%	.4%
		1.2%	1.3%	6.7%	.4%
		0	0	2	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit				
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit				
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	7	166	36	44	14	14	38
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	1	5	3	3	0	1	3
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	0	51	5	8	1	3	9
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	1	10	0	1	1	2	0
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	0	90	13	5	8	9	20
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	0	77	10	4	2	3	13
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	27	4	1	3	1	3
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	14	4	1	0	1	3
	Prefer driving	230	1	59	13	28	4	4	19
	Weather	30	0	19	5	1	0	0	0
	Other	27	3	23	0	0	0	0	1
	DK/NA	10	0	3	0	1	0	1	0
		4.9%	52.5%	13.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
		10	0	3	0	1	0	1	0
		1.8%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	4.8%	.0%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	89	70	64	1	4
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	15	6	0	0	1
		16.5%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	24.2%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	13	8	4	0	0
		14.3%	10.7%	5.6%	.0%	6.7%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4	1	3	0	0
		4.6%	.9%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	20	19	8	1	4
		22.4%	26.9%	12.8%	81.7%	87.8%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	12	19	14	0	0
		13.2%	27.6%	22.5%	.0%	8.2%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	5	4	0	0	2
		5.5%	5.9%	.6%	.0%	35.2%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	4	2	0	1
		.7%	5.8%	2.5%	.0%	27.0%
	Prefer driving	31	29	40	1	1
		35.2%	41.6%	62.2%	100.0%	13.6%
	Weather	3	2	0	0	0
		3.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	5	0	0	0	0
		5.9%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons								B
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	a	J						
	Feel unsafe using public transit	.							
	Inconvenient public transit routes	a	D H I J			J	D H J	D J	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a	D H J						
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a							
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a				a			
	Prefer driving				B				
	Weather	a						a	
	Other	B G		a	a	a	a		a
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		a	a,b	
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles		.	a,b	
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a,b	a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			b	D J
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			a,b	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b	J
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b	H
	Prefer driving		B	a,b	
	Weather		a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a,b	a
	DK/NA	.	a	a,b	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	436	113
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	33	5
		6.8%	7.5%	4.1%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	82	19
		18.5%	18.9%	16.9%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	17	6
		4.1%	3.8%	5.2%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	162	34
		35.8%	37.2%	30.3%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	118	37
		28.3%	27.1%	33.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	38	12
		9.1%	8.8%	10.2%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	21	10
		5.6%	4.9%	8.6%
	Prefer driving	230	181	49
		42.0%	41.6%	43.6%
	Weather	30	25	5
		5.5%	5.8%	4.1%
	Other	27	19	7
		4.9%	4.4%	6.5%
	DK/NA	10	10	0
		1.8%	2.3%	.1%

a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles Feel unsafe using public transit Inconvenient public transit routes Inconvenient public transit schedules Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths Prefer driving Weather Other DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	323	226
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	23	14
		6.8%	7.1%	6.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	66	35
		18.5%	20.6%	15.5%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	17	5
		4.1%	5.4%	2.3%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	125	72
		35.8%	38.7%	31.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	94	62
		28.3%	29.0%	27.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	40	10
		9.1%	12.3%	4.4%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	23	8
		5.6%	7.1%	3.6%
	Prefer driving	230	131	99
		42.0%	40.6%	44.1%
	Weather	30	21	9
		5.5%	6.4%	4.1%
	Other	27	14	13
		4.9%	4.3%	5.7%
	DK/NA	10	7	3
		1.8%	2.2%	1.4%

a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles Feel unsafe using public transit Inconvenient public transit routes Inconvenient public transit schedules Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths Prefer driving Weather Other DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	6	47	90	128
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	0	3	1	4
		6.8%	.0%	6.4%	1.5%	3.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	0	10	19	17
		18.5%	.0%	20.3%	21.3%	12.9%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	0	0	5	3
		4.1%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	2.1%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	0	9	40	48
		35.8%	4.9%	18.6%	44.7%	37.3%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	1	12	24	42
		28.3%	19.7%	24.4%	26.7%	32.7%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	4	10	11
		9.1%	.0%	8.1%	11.2%	8.6%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	1	6	6
		5.6%	.0%	2.5%	6.5%	4.9%
	Prefer driving	230	4	23	38	49
		42.0%	75.4%	49.4%	41.6%	38.1%
	Weather	30	0	3	6	8
		5.5%	.0%	6.8%	7.1%	6.6%
	Other	27	0	2	7	11
		4.9%	.0%	4.5%	8.0%	8.3%
	DK/NA	10	0	2	3	1
		1.8%	.0%	3.6%	3.7%	.9%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	156	121	1
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	12	17	0
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	7.9%	13.9%	.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	42	14	0
		26.9%	11.8%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	9	6	0
		6.0%	4.9%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	50	49	0
		32.3%	40.5%	.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	37	39	0
		23.9%	32.6%	.0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	19	6	0
		12.2%	4.7%	.0%
	Prefer driving	15	3	0
		9.5%	2.4%	.0%
	Weather	55	61	1
		35.1%	50.6%	100.0%
	Other	7	5	0
		4.2%	4.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	4	0
		1.9%	3.1%	.0%
		3	1	0
		1.9%	.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	a			
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a	a		
	Inconvenient public transit routes			B	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a			
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a			
	Prefer driving				
	Weather	a			
	Other	a			
	DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons		C D	a,b
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	D F		a,b
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a,b
	Inconvenient public transit routes			a,b
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			a,b
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a,b
	Prefer driving			a,b
	Weather			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	546	43	197	132	108	55	11
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	13	4	8	2	0
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	6.7%	25.5%	6.4%	2.8%	7.0%	2.9%	1.5%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	101	3	32	29	22	11	3
		18.6%	7.9%	16.2%	21.8%	20.8%	20.9%	31.6%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	23	4	11	3	4	1	0
		4.1%	8.1%	5.8%	2.3%	3.4%	2.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	196	12	57	59	48	12	8
		35.9%	28.0%	29.1%	45.0%	44.0%	21.2%	74.6%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	155	8	50	39	40	13	6
		28.4%	18.4%	25.1%	29.3%	36.9%	23.2%	58.9%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	14	15	12	7	2
		9.1%	.0%	7.0%	11.3%	11.1%	12.2%	22.1%
	Prefer driving	31	1	7	15	6	0	1
		5.7%	2.5%	3.7%	11.4%	5.9%	.0%	11.1%
	Weather	229	14	103	46	32	31	3
		41.9%	33.5%	52.1%	35.0%	29.7%	55.8%	27.3%
	Other	30	0	12	7	9	0	1
		5.5%	.0%	6.2%	5.1%	8.6%	.0%	13.1%
	DK/NA	27	1	11	5	8	2	0
		4.9%	1.4%	5.4%	4.0%	7.3%	4.1%	.0%
		10	1	5	0	2	2	0
		1.8%	1.5%	2.7%	.0%	2.1%	3.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	B C D E					
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles						
	Feel unsafe using public transit						a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			B E			B E
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	.				a	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths					a	
	Prefer driving	a	C D			D a	a
	Weather	.				.	.
	Other			a			a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	3	3	181
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	0	0	6
		6.8%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	0	0	38
		18.5%	.0%	.0%	21.1%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	0	0	13
		4.1%	.0%	.0%	7.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	0	3	71
		35.8%	.0%	100.0%	39.1%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	0	0	64
		28.3%	.0%	.0%	35.2%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	0	0	17
		9.1%	.0%	.0%	9.6%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	0	10
		5.6%	.0%	.0%	5.6%
	Prefer driving	230	1	0	61
		42.0%	50.0%	.0%	33.4%
	Weather	30	0	0	9
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	5.0%
	Other	27	1	0	8
		4.9%	50.0%	.0%	4.7%
	DK/NA	10	0	0	2
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	1.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	2	100	14	25
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	5	1	3
		.0%	5.0%	10.1%	11.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	1	20	2	1
		48.8%	19.8%	15.7%	4.7%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	0	1	0	0
		.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	1	49	4	6
		51.2%	49.1%	25.9%	24.5%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	0	39	4	1
		.0%	39.5%	25.5%	4.2%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	16	2	0
		48.8%	16.5%	15.7%	.0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	9	0	0
		.0%	9.1%	.0%	.0%
	Prefer driving	2	27	8	18
		100.0%	26.8%	53.4%	73.2%
	Weather	0	6	1	1
		.0%	5.7%	7.6%	4.4%
	Other	0	6	0	1
		.0%	6.2%	.0%	4.2%
	DK/NA	0	3	0	0
		.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	8	14	7	141
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	0	0	20
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	0	5	4	19
		.0%	34.8%	53.4%	13.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	1	0	3	4
		11.8%	.0%	36.7%	2.6%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	2	0	2	40
		24.7%	.0%	32.4%	28.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	1	0	1	29
		11.8%	.0%	16.6%	20.6%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	0	0	9
		.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	0	1	8
		.0%	.0%	14.2%	5.5%
	Prefer driving	6	9	0	75
		75.3%	65.2%	.0%	53.3%
	Weather	0	0	1	9
		.0%	.0%	16.6%	6.3%
	Other	0	0	0	8
		.0%	.0%	.0%	5.7%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	14.2%	.6%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	19	12	5	14
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	1	1	0	0
		5.2%	6.8%	7.9%	1.1%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	5	2	1	4
		26.5%	16.5%	14.8%	25.2%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	0	1	1	0
		.0%	8.8%	13.4%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	8	3	3	3
		41.6%	30.0%	71.8%	18.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	7	3	3	3
		35.1%	26.2%	67.6%	21.1%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	1	0	1
		4.3%	8.7%	2.6%	8.3%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	0	0	2
		.0%	2.2%	5.4%	16.8%
	Prefer driving	9	6	1	8
		47.0%	48.3%	12.3%	55.6%
	Weather	1	1	0	1
		4.3%	6.0%	4.3%	6.9%
	Other	0	0	1	0
		.0%	2.2%	17.6%	2.9%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	2
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	13.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	a	a		
		.	.		.
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Inconvenient public transit routes	a	a		
		.	.		.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a	a		
		.	.		.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Prefer driving	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Weather	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Other	C	a		a
		.	.		.
	DK/NA	a	a		a
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				a
					.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				a
					.
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a	a	
			.	.	.
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
					.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
					.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a	a
				.	.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		a	a	a
			.	.	.
	Prefer driving			C E	
				.	.
	Weather		a		a
			.		.
	Other		a	a	a
			.	.	.
	DK/NA				.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a	a	C	
		.	.		.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
					.
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a	E K		a
		.	.		.
	Inconvenient public transit routes	a			
		.			.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a			
		.			.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a	a		
		.	.		.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a			a
		.			.
	Prefer driving		a	C E	
			.	.	.
	Weather	a			
		.			.
	Other	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	DK/NA	a	K		
		.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			
	Feel unsafe using public transit			a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		G	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			
	Prefer driving			
	Weather			
	Other			
	DK/NA	a	a	C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	258	99	109	31	11	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	4	0	0	2	1	0
		1.4%	.2%	.4%	5.4%	13.4%	.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	64	22	30	8	3	1
		24.9%	22.3%	27.6%	25.2%	31.6%	11.5%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	7	2	3	1	0	1
		2.6%	1.8%	2.8%	2.8%	.0%	11.5%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	90	38	35	9	3	5
		34.9%	38.6%	31.8%	30.3%	27.8%	56.6%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	65	26	29	4	5	1
		25.2%	26.6%	26.5%	13.4%	48.4%	8.1%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	30	11	14	2	2	0
		11.7%	11.4%	12.9%	7.8%	22.0%	1.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	18	5	13	0	0	0
		7.0%	5.2%	11.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Prefer driving	111	38	48	15	6	4
		42.9%	38.7%	44.1%	47.9%	56.3%	40.7%
	Weather	18	4	10	1	3	0
		7.1%	3.9%	9.6%	3.6%	25.3%	2.5%
	Other	16	6	8	1	1	0
		6.1%	5.6%	6.9%	4.0%	11.3%	3.8%
	DK/NA	8	4	3	0	0	1
		3.1%	4.4%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				A B	a
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					
	Feel unsafe using public transit				a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths					
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			a	a	a
	Prefer driving					
	Weather				A	
	Other					
	DK/NA			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	358	100	212	32	4	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	31	12	12	5	1	1
		8.7%	12.1%	5.4%	15.5%	32.5%	12.5%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	58	17	27	11	1	2
		16.3%	16.6%	12.9%	33.3%	24.6%	27.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	19	4	13	1	0	1
		5.2%	3.7%	5.9%	4.3%	.0%	11.7%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	126	32	73	15	1	5
		35.3%	32.0%	34.6%	46.8%	24.6%	54.9%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	104	27	66	10	1	1
		29.2%	26.5%	31.2%	30.4%	24.6%	8.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	27	4	15	5	2	1
		7.6%	3.9%	7.0%	15.5%	47.9%	15.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	15	7	4	2	1	0
		4.2%	7.0%	2.0%	7.8%	24.6%	.0%
	Prefer driving	148	36	100	7	1	3
		41.3%	36.3%	47.1%	22.3%	19.6%	37.2%
	Weather	14	3	8	1	1	0
		3.8%	3.0%	3.9%	3.4%	24.6%	2.5%
	Other	15	3	11	0	0	0
		4.1%	2.8%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
	DK/NA	4	2	2	0	0	0
		1.0%	1.7%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons					
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			B		
	Feel unsafe using public transit				a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes				.	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				A B	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				B	a
	Prefer driving					.
	Weather			a	a	
	Other			a	a	a
	DK/NA			.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	418	25	87	5	1
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37 6.8%	30 7.2%	2 6.8%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101 18.5%	81 19.4%	3 13.1%	22 25.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23 4.1%	17 4.1%	0 .0%	9 9.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196 35.8%	154 36.9%	13 52.2%	31 35.2%	3 69.7%	1 100.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155 28.3%	129 30.8%	9 37.6%	28 32.1%	1 29.0%	1 100.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50 9.1%	40 9.7%	5 19.9%	7 8.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31 5.6%	27 6.5%	1 4.6%	4 4.5%	1 24.1%	0 26.0%
	Prefer driving	230 42.0%	178 42.6%	10 38.5%	29 33.6%	3 71.0%	0 26.0%
	Weather	30 5.5%	26 6.3%	0 .0%	7 7.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	27 4.9%	26 6.3%	2 9.5%	3 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	10 1.8%	9 2.2%	0 .0%	3 3.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	41	7	22	46	11	1
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	0	3	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	9.2%	.0%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	10	1	1	14	3	0
		24.0%	15.6%	5.2%	30.9%	23.9%	.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	18	1	4	27	5	0
		43.7%	20.5%	19.9%	58.0%	44.9%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	15	1	1	17	4	1
		37.2%	20.5%	4.7%	36.2%	36.5%	75.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	5	1	0	3	0	0
		12.2%	15.6%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	3	0	0	2	2	0
		8.5%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	18.0%	.0%
	Prefer driving	15	6	18	10	3	0
		37.5%	83.0%	82.5%	22.3%	26.7%	25.0%
	Weather	5	0	0	0	3	0
		11.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	25.5%	25.0%
	Other	1	0	1	3	0	0
		2.8%	.0%	4.7%	6.0%	.0%	25.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	12	14	53	6
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	2.7%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	2	5	9	2
		19.1%	34.8%	16.4%	37.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	1	0	1	1
		7.7%	.0%	1.2%	17.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	2	0	36	2
		18.0%	.0%	67.6%	40.3%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	1	0	29	1
		9.6%	.0%	55.1%	23.0%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	2	0	8	1
		19.1%	.0%	14.7%	17.3%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	0	0	4	0
		.0%	.0%	8.0%	.0%
	Prefer driving	10	9	15	5
		83.9%	65.2%	28.7%	77.4%
	Weather	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%
	Other	1	0	8	0
		9.8%	.0%	16.0%	5.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				a	a,b	a
					.	.	.
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				a	a,b	.
					.	.	.
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a		a	a,b	a
		
	Inconvenient public transit routes					a,b	.
						.	.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					a,b	.
						.	.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths				a	a,b	.
					.	.	.
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths					.b	.
						.	.
	Prefer driving					.b	.
						.	.
	Weather		a		a	a,b	.
		
	Other				a	a,b	.
		
	DK/NA		a		a	a,b	a
		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a		a		a,b	a
	
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					a,b	.
						.	.
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a	a		a	a,b	.
	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					a,b	.
						.	.
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					.b	.
						.	.
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths		a		a	a,b	.
		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a	a			a,b	a
	
	Prefer driving		A C I N			.b	I N
			a	a		.	a
	Weather		.			.b	.
	
	Other	a	.		a	.b	.
	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	a		
		.		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			
		.		
	Feel unsafe using public transit	a		
		.		
	Inconvenient public transit routes	a	A C H	
		.	.	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	a	A H	
		.	.	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	a		
		.		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a		a
		.		.
	Prefer driving			
	Weather	a		a
		.		.
	Other	a		.
		.		.
	DK/NA	a	a	a
		.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	55	51	29	33
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	11	6	2	1
		6.8%	19.8%	11.5%	7.3%	4.4%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	6	7	1	1
		18.5%	10.2%	14.0%	4.5%	4.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	3	1	0	0
		4.1%	5.8%	2.8%	1.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	11	13	7	12
		35.8%	20.4%	25.6%	23.9%	34.7%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	9	11	9	6
		28.3%	16.2%	21.2%	29.5%	18.4%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	3	1	0	2
		9.1%	5.2%	2.7%	.0%	4.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	0	3	0	1
		5.6%	.0%	5.1%	1.2%	3.5%
Prefer driving		230	20	21	17	18
		42.0%	37.0%	41.8%	57.1%	55.0%
Weather		30	0	1	1	0
		5.5%	.4%	2.0%	2.8%	1.0%
Other		27	1	0	2	4
		4.9%	1.2%	.0%	6.9%	10.7%
DK/NA		10	0	1	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.5%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	32	38	38	33	124
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	0	1	0	2	3
		.3%	2.8%	1.0%	4.8%	2.1%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	5	6	10	8	40
		16.0%	15.1%	27.1%	23.4%	31.8%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4	1	0	0	8
		13.2%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	10	16	11	6	67
		31.0%	41.6%	28.0%	18.1%	54.2%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	10	7	14	8	45
		32.5%	19.0%	37.3%	23.6%	36.4%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	2	2	1	9	21
		6.3%	5.8%	3.9%	25.9%	16.7%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	1	2	4	0	12
		1.8%	5.4%	9.4%	.5%	9.8%
Prefer driving		12	21	17	14	41
		36.7%	56.4%	43.7%	43.1%	33.1%
Weather		3	2	0	2	6
		10.2%	5.4%	.6%	7.5%	5.1%
Other		1	2	4	0	8
		4.6%	5.2%	10.1%	1.4%	6.6%
DK/NA		1	0	0	1	4
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	3.5%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	115
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	11
		9.9%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	18
		15.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4
		3.7%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	44
		38.2%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	36
		31.3%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	9
		7.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	8
		7.2%
Prefer driving		49
		42.4%
Weather		13
		11.5%
Other		4
		3.9%
DK/NA		3
		2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	1				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					
	Feel unsafe using public transit				a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules					
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			a		
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	a				
	Prefer driving					
	Weather		a			
	Other					
	DK/NA	a		a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a	a	
	Inconvenient public transit routes				A B H
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			B	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other	a	a		
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	
	Feel unsafe using public transit	
	Inconvenient public transit routes	
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	
	Prefer driving	
	Weather	
	Other	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	548	156	143	249
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	25	6	6
		6.8%	15.9%	4.4%	2.5%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	101	10	18	73
		18.5%	6.6%	12.6%	29.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	23	5	6	12
		4.1%	2.9%	4.0%	5.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	196	38	26	132
		35.8%	24.6%	18.0%	53.1%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	155	26	26	103
		28.3%	16.5%	18.2%	41.5%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	50	3	2	44
		9.1%	1.8%	1.7%	17.8%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	3	4	24
		5.6%	2.1%	2.8%	9.5%
	Prefer driving	230	60	78	92
		42.0%	38.4%	54.6%	37.0%
	Weather	30	2	0	28
		5.5%	1.0%	.0%	11.4%
	Other	27	3	1	23
		4.9%	2.2%	.4%	9.1%
	DK/NA	10	3	3	4
		1.8%	2.1%	1.8%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	B C		
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			A B
	Feel unsafe using public transit			
	Inconvenient public transit routes			A B
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			A B
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			A B
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths			A B
	Prefer driving		A C	
	Weather		a	A
	Other			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	435	120	86	70	158
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	33	5	2	5	21
		7.6%	4.3%	2.8%	6.4%	13.3%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	88	23	19	17	29
		20.3%	19.1%	22.3%	24.1%	18.4%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	21	7	0	5	9
		4.8%	5.7%	.3%	6.5%	5.9%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	156	41	34	25	56
		36.0%	34.5%	39.4%	34.9%	35.8%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	125	35	30	17	42
		28.8%	29.4%	35.2%	24.6%	26.7%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	46	6	13	13	14
		10.6%	5.2%	14.6%	19.0%	8.9%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	28	8	4	5	11
		6.4%	6.5%	4.2%	7.5%	7.0%
	Prefer driving	178	51	34	28	65
		41.0%	42.8%	39.8%	39.4%	41.1%
	Weather	27	8	5	5	10
		6.2%	6.6%	5.5%	6.5%	6.1%
	Other	19	3	4	5	6
		4.3%	2.8%	4.5%	7.2%	4.0%
	DK/NA	7	5	0	0	2
		1.6%	3.8%	.0%	.1%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons				B
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles				
	Feel unsafe using public transit				
	Inconvenient public transit routes				
	Inconvenient public transit schedules				
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			A	
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths				
	Prefer driving				
	Weather				
	Other				
	DK/NA		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	546	112	114	40	38
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	37	15	5	5	2
		6.8%	13.7%	4.6%	11.7%	5.8%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	99	19	24	6	4
		18.2%	17.3%	21.0%	16.0%	11.0%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	22	4	7	1	1
		4.0%	3.5%	6.4%	3.1%	3.3%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	195	35	46	15	11
		35.7%	31.1%	40.5%	37.0%	28.5%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	154	23	49	10	7
		28.2%	20.5%	43.2%	24.6%	18.5%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	49	9	12	5	2
		8.9%	8.1%	10.8%	11.8%	5.4%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	31	7	4	3	2
		5.7%	6.2%	3.5%	8.1%	5.4%
	Prefer driving	229	53	42	14	25
		42.0%	47.7%	36.6%	35.7%	64.7%
	Weather	30	4	4	4	0
		5.5%	3.3%	3.3%	10.6%	.5%
	Other	27	6	7	2	0
		4.9%	5.1%	6.4%	3.8%	.6%
	DK/NA	10	0	1	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Total	119	108	7	9
	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons	6	3	0	1
		5.0%	2.6%	2.2%	13.2%
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles	21	23	0	2
		17.9%	20.9%	2.2%	17.3%
	Feel unsafe using public transit	4	4	0	0
		3.2%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	Inconvenient public transit routes	33	50	1	4
		27.9%	46.7%	19.6%	44.6%
	Inconvenient public transit schedules	30	32	1	2
		24.9%	29.6%	21.3%	26.5%
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths	8	12	0	1
		6.4%	10.8%	2.2%	13.1%
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths	4	9	0	2
		3.2%	8.7%	.0%	17.1%
	Prefer driving	49	39	5	3
		41.0%	36.5%	65.2%	30.6%
	Weather	10	8	0	0
		8.2%	7.5%	.0%	2.4%
	Other	5	7	0	1
		4.0%	6.2%	.0%	6.6%
	DK/NA	5	4	0	0
		4.5%	3.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons					
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles					
	Feel unsafe using public transit					
	Inconvenient public transit routes					
	Inconvenient public transit schedules		A			
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths					
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths					
	Prefer driving					
	Weather					
	Other					
	DK/NA	a		a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
12. What prevents you from using alternative transportation more often for your trips within the city?	Elderly, disabled, or health reasons			
	Feel unsafe due to traffic / automobiles			
	Feel unsafe using public transit		a	a
	Inconvenient public transit routes			
	Inconvenient public transit schedules			
	Not enough sidewalks / lanes / paths			
	Poorly maintained sidewalks / lanes / paths		a	
	Prefer driving			
	Weather		a	
	Other		a	
	DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	582
	Very likely	274	274
		47.1%	47.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	183
		31.4%	31.4%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	43
		7.4%	7.4%
	Very unlikely	67	67
		11.5%	11.5%
	DK/NA	15	15
		2.5%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	.
	Somewhat likely	.
	Somewhat unlikely	.
	Very unlikely	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very likely	274	131	142	1
		47.1%	46.5%	47.9%	33.7%
	Somewhat likely	183	87	95	0
		31.4%	31.0%	32.1%	.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	19	24	0
		7.4%	6.8%	8.0%	.0%
	Very unlikely	67	39	26	2
		11.5%	13.8%	8.8%	66.3%
	DK/NA	15	5	10	0
		2.5%	1.8%	3.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely DK/NA			a .a .a A B a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very likely	274	41	53	71	74	33	2
		47.1%	53.6%	52.5%	50.1%	48.5%	32.7%	24.9%
	Somewhat likely	183	23	28	45	48	34	4
		31.4%	30.5%	27.7%	32.0%	31.5%	33.6%	43.9%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	4	10	8	10	11	0
		7.4%	5.7%	9.5%	5.9%	6.2%	11.1%	.0%
	Very unlikely	67	8	8	11	19	20	2
		11.5%	10.2%	7.6%	7.8%	12.2%	19.4%	24.3%
	DK/NA	15	0	3	6	2	3	1
		2.5%	.0%	2.7%	4.1%	1.5%	3.2%	6.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely DK/NA						a .a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	424	158
	Very likely	274	189	85
		47.1%	44.5%	54.2%
	Somewhat likely	183	135	48
		31.4%	31.8%	30.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	31	12
		7.4%	7.3%	7.6%
	Very unlikely	67	55	12
		11.5%	12.9%	7.9%
	DK/NA	15	15	0
		2.5%	3.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely Somewhat likely Somewhat unlikely Very unlikely DK/NA		A a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very likely	274	121	26	8	119
		47.1%	49.7%	32.8%	49.7%	49.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	63	27	6	87
		31.4%	25.8%	34.0%	36.4%	35.8%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	24	7	0	12
		7.4%	9.7%	9.0%	1.3%	5.0%
	Very unlikely	67	30	16	2	19
		11.5%	12.4%	19.8%	12.5%	7.9%
	DK/NA	15	6	4	0	5
		2.5%	2.4%	4.4%	.0%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	B			
	Somewhat likely				
	Somewhat unlikely				
	Very unlikely		D	a	
	DK/NA			.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very likely	274	70	26	10	10	60	99
		47.1%	57.7%	39.4%	30.2%	33.6%	46.8%	48.2%
	Somewhat likely	183	27	18	7	13	42	77
		31.4%	22.1%	26.9%	20.2%	44.3%	32.8%	37.5%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	12	9	5	2	10	6
		7.4%	9.5%	13.2%	14.3%	5.4%	7.9%	3.1%
	Very unlikely	67	13	12	9	3	11	19
		11.5%	10.7%	18.1%	28.1%	12.3%	8.5%	9.1%
	DK/NA	15	0	2	2	1	5	4
		2.5%	.0%	2.4%	7.3%	4.4%	4.0%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely						
	Somewhat likely						
	Somewhat unlikely		F				
	Very unlikely	a		E F			
	DK/NA	.					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very likely	274	130	48	36	23
		47.1%	49.2%	61.0%	44.4%	48.9%
	Somewhat likely	183	87	18	31	8
		31.4%	32.9%	23.2%	37.5%	17.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	20	3	3	8
		7.4%	7.6%	3.5%	3.8%	16.3%
	Very unlikely	67	20	10	9	7
		11.5%	7.6%	12.3%	10.7%	14.1%
	DK/NA	15	7	0	3	2
		2.5%	2.6%	.0%	3.6%	3.4%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very likely	8	7	15	8
		30.9%	31.3%	38.3%	32.1%
	Somewhat likely	10	10	11	8
		38.8%	44.1%	29.7%	31.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	2	1	6	1
		5.8%	2.8%	15.4%	5.8%
	Very unlikely	5	5	5	6
		20.1%	21.8%	14.1%	25.9%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	1
		4.4%	.0%	2.5%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					
	Somewhat likely					
	Somewhat unlikely					
	Very unlikely					
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely			
	Somewhat likely			
	Somewhat unlikely			
	Very unlikely			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very likely	274	4	83	22	21	10	9	20	38
		47.1%	55.5%	48.0%	52.9%	44.5%	58.9%	67.9%	47.3%	39.3%
	Somewhat likely	183	0	52	10	19	2	3	12	34
		31.4%	.0%	30.1%	24.5%	41.5%	13.1%	21.4%	27.7%	35.1%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	1	11	7	2	1	1	3	11
		7.4%	9.9%	6.5%	15.7%	4.2%	3.9%	4.8%	6.5%	11.4%
	Very unlikely	67	2	22	1	4	4	1	6	12
		11.5%	34.7%	12.9%	2.4%	7.7%	24.2%	4.8%	15.3%	12.9%
	DK/NA	15	0	4	2	1	0	0	1	1
		2.5%	.0%	2.5%	4.5%	2.1%	.0%	1.1%	3.1%	1.3%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very likely	36	28	1	2
		49.6%	43.8%	56.1%	42.6%
	Somewhat likely	25	23	0	3
		34.3%	35.0%	.0%	52.1%
	Somewhat unlikely	2	5	0	0
		3.0%	7.8%	.0%	5.3%
	Very unlikely	6	7	1	0
		8.7%	10.8%	43.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	2	0	0
		4.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	a							
	Somewhat likely	.							
	Somewhat unlikely								
	Very unlikely	a				a			
	DK/NA	.				.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		a	
	Somewhat likely		.	
	Somewhat unlikely			a
	Very unlikely		a	.
	DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	464	118
	Very likely	274	214	60
		47.1%	46.1%	51.2%
	Somewhat likely	183	150	33
		31.4%	32.3%	28.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	36	7
		7.4%	7.8%	5.8%
	Very unlikely	67	53	14
		11.5%	11.5%	11.8%
	DK/NA	15	11	4
		2.5%	2.4%	3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		
	Somewhat likely		
	Somewhat unlikely		
	Very unlikely		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	347	235
	Very likely	274	162	113
		47.1%	46.6%	47.9%
	Somewhat likely	183	98	85
		31.4%	28.1%	36.2%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	26	17
		7.4%	7.5%	7.3%
	Very unlikely	67	52	15
		11.5%	15.0%	6.4%
	DK/NA	15	10	5
		2.5%	2.8%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		A
	Somewhat likely		
	Somewhat unlikely		
	Very unlikely	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very likely	274	9	22	42	69
		47.1%	85.3%	44.4%	44.4%	52.6%
	Somewhat likely	183	0	18	32	33
		31.4%	.0%	35.9%	33.9%	25.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	0	8	7	13
		7.4%	.0%	16.9%	7.9%	9.9%
	Very unlikely	67	2	1	10	12
		11.5%	14.7%	2.9%	10.7%	9.0%
	DK/NA	15	0	0	3	5
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	163	133	1
	Very likely	86	47	0
		52.6%	35.2%	.0%
	Somewhat likely	56	44	1
		34.1%	33.2%	100.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	1	13	0
		.9%	9.7%	.0%
	Very unlikely	15	27	0
		9.4%	20.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	5	2	0
		3.0%	1.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	F				F
	Somewhat likely	.b				
	Somewhat unlikely	.b				
	Very unlikely		E	E	E	
	DK/NA	.b	.b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		a,.b
	Somewhat likely		a,.b
	Somewhat unlikely		a,.b
	Very unlikely	E	a,.b
	DK/NA		.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very likely	274	10	92	62	70	35	5
		47.2%	21.2%	43.6%	44.2%	61.4%	60.5%	41.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	20	64	55	23	16	5
		31.5%	44.9%	30.2%	39.2%	20.4%	27.6%	39.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	42	4	21	8	7	1	1
		7.3%	9.7%	10.2%	5.4%	6.3%	1.0%	10.5%
	Very unlikely	66	10	25	15	10	5	1
		11.5%	22.4%	12.0%	10.9%	8.7%	8.2%	9.0%
	DK/NA	15	1	8	0	4	2	0
		2.5%	1.8%	4.0%	.2%	3.2%	2.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				A B	A	
	Somewhat likely	D		D			
	Somewhat unlikely						
	Very unlikely						a
	DK/NA						.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very likely	274	1	0	70	1
		47.1%	50.0%	.0%	37.1%	48.8%
	Somewhat likely	183	0	3	71	0
		31.4%	.0%	100.0%	37.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	1	0	11	1
		7.4%	50.0%	.0%	5.9%	51.2%
	Very unlikely	67	0	0	29	0
		11.5%	.0%	.0%	15.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	15	0	0	8	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very likely	65	8	12	3	11
		61.2%	49.1%	49.1%	40.9%	80.3%
	Somewhat likely	32	6	7	2	2
		30.0%	36.7%	28.6%	30.0%	12.6%
	Somewhat unlikely	3	0	4	1	0
		2.5%	.0%	15.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Very unlikely	5	2	0	1	0
		4.7%	14.2%	.0%	11.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	2	0	1
		1.5%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	7.1%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very likely	8	64	14	4	3
		76.6%	43.0%	60.4%	37.9%	58.4%
	Somewhat likely	1	44	9	4	1
		12.0%	29.8%	36.8%	33.2%	12.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	0	15	1	2	0
		.0%	10.1%	2.8%	21.5%	8.1%
	Very unlikely	1	23	0	1	1
		11.4%	15.5%	.0%	7.5%	16.6%
	DK/NA	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	4.5%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	17
	Very likely	9
		52.7%
	Somewhat likely	2
		10.4%
	Somewhat unlikely	3
		15.6%
	Very unlikely	4
		20.5%
	DK/NA	0
		.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	.	a		a
	Somewhat likely	.	a		.
	Somewhat unlikely	E	.		E
	Very unlikely	a	a		a
		.	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	C				
	Somewhat likely					
	Somewhat unlikely		a			a
	Very unlikely			a		a
	DK/NA		a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					
	Somewhat likely					
	Somewhat unlikely	a				
	Very unlikely			a		
	DK/NA	a		a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(O)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	
	Somewhat likely	
	Somewhat unlikely	
	Very unlikely	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very likely	148	59	64	15	5	4
		55.5%	56.7%	56.7%	49.6%	50.8%	50.0%
	Somewhat likely	78	30	30	11	5	2
		29.3%	28.7%	26.5%	37.6%	48.4%	19.8%
	Somewhat unlikely	16	7	6	3	0	0
		5.9%	6.6%	5.3%	8.8%	.0%	3.8%
Very unlikely		20	7	9	1	0	2
		7.3%	6.6%	8.0%	4.0%	.9%	26.3%
	DK/NA	5	1	4	0	0	0
		2.0%	1.4%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					
	Somewhat likely				a	
	Somewhat unlikely					
	Very unlikely			a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very likely	172	46	94	20	4	7
		45.0%	42.5%	42.1%	59.4%	97.9%	62.7%
	Somewhat likely	120	34	75	10	0	0
		31.5%	31.6%	33.6%	30.9%	.0%	3.4%
	Somewhat unlikely	26	6	19	0	0	0
		6.8%	5.7%	8.5%	.8%	.0%	3.1%
Very unlikely		52	20	28	0	0	3
		13.5%	18.2%	12.6%	.6%	2.1%	30.9%
	DK/NA	12	2	7	3	0	0
		3.1%	2.0%	3.2%	8.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				a	
	Somewhat likely				a	
	Somewhat unlikely				.	
	Very unlikely				a	C
	DK/NA				.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very likely	274	219	8	40	6	1	24
		47.1%	48.9%	30.9%	43.5%	86.8%	75.7%	54.4%
	Somewhat likely	183	123	12	32	0	0	16
		31.4%	27.4%	45.6%	34.0%	.0%	24.3%	36.8%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	37	0	5	0	0	1
		7.4%	8.2%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Very unlikely	67	56	5	16	1	0	3
		11.5%	12.4%	19.1%	17.2%	13.2%	.0%	6.3%
	DK/NA	15	14	1	0	0	0	0
		2.5%	3.1%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very likely	5	12	20	10	1	6
		71.3%	55.3%	41.0%	74.8%	75.0%	47.7%
	Somewhat likely	2	7	17	2	0	4
		25.2%	32.3%	35.3%	12.9%	25.0%	33.4%
	Somewhat unlikely	0	3	1	1	0	1
		.0%	12.4%	2.4%	4.9%	.0%	11.2%
	Very unlikely	0	0	6	1	0	1
		3.5%	.0%	13.5%	7.3%	.0%	7.7%
	DK/NA	0	0	4	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	56	7
	Very likely	35	3
		63.4%	52.2%
	Somewhat likely	15	1
		26.7%	11.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	2	0
		4.3%	5.1%
	Very unlikely	3	2
		5.6%	31.4%
	DK/NA	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				b	a		
	Somewhat likely		b		.	a		
	Somewhat unlikely		.		b	a,b		b
	Very unlikely		.		.	a,b		.
	DK/NA			b	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				a			
	Somewhat likely				a			
	Somewhat unlikely	b			a,b		b	
	Very unlikely	.			a,b		b	
	DK/NA	b		b	a,b	b	.	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	
	Somewhat likely	
	Somewhat unlikely	
	Very unlikely	
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very likely	274	16	21	13	12	20
		47.1%	25.9%	41.1%	43.8%	33.9%	61.3%
	Somewhat likely	183	32	27	8	11	8
		31.4%	52.7%	51.4%	26.5%	30.6%	25.5%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	3	1	3	7	4
		7.4%	5.8%	1.2%	9.1%	19.9%	12.9%
	Very unlikely	67	9	3	3	4	0
		11.5%	15.6%	6.2%	10.6%	11.0%	.3%
	DK/NA	15	0	0	3	2	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	4.6%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very likely	23	23	17	73	57
		55.3%	60.0%	50.3%	54.8%	45.3%
	Somewhat likely	15	9	9	29	36
		35.4%	24.4%	25.7%	21.8%	28.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	0	4	2	10	9
		.2%	11.1%	5.0%	7.5%	7.0%
	Very unlikely	4	2	4	17	21
		9.0%	4.5%	11.6%	12.9%	16.5%
	DK/NA	0	0	2	4	4
		.0%	.0%	7.4%	3.0%	2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely					A	
	Somewhat likely	I	I				
	Somewhat unlikely						
	Very unlikely						
	DK/NA	a	a			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely	A		A	
	Somewhat likely				
	Somewhat unlikely				
	Very unlikely				
	DK/NA	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very likely	274	51	95	129
		47.1%	30.2%	62.2%	49.2%
	Somewhat likely	183	70	39	74
		31.4%	42.0%	25.3%	28.2%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	14	7	22
		7.4%	8.6%	4.5%	8.3%
	Very unlikely	67	28	10	29
		11.5%	16.5%	6.8%	11.1%
	DK/NA	15	5	2	8
		2.5%	2.7%	1.1%	3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		A C	A
	Somewhat likely	B C		
	Somewhat unlikely			
	Very unlikely	B		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very likely	213	62	45	38	68
		46.1%	49.3%	49.4%	49.2%	40.7%
	Somewhat likely	152	51	35	20	46
		32.9%	40.8%	39.0%	25.6%	27.2%
	Somewhat unlikely	30	2	5	9	14
		6.5%	1.5%	5.7%	12.0%	8.2%
	Very unlikely	57	9	5	8	34
		12.3%	7.2%	5.8%	10.3%	20.5%
	DK/NA	10	2	0	2	6
		2.1%	1.4%	.2%	2.8%	3.5%

a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely				
	Somewhat likely				
	Somewhat unlikely			A	
	Very unlikely				A B
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very likely	273	62	60	15	12	63
		47.2%	50.0%	49.9%	36.9%	28.8%	49.1%
	Somewhat likely	183	34	29	11	16	48
		31.6%	27.6%	24.3%	28.7%	39.2%	37.6%
	Somewhat unlikely	43	14	10	5	3	5
		7.4%	11.1%	8.2%	11.7%	6.3%	4.3%
	Very unlikely	65	11	18	5	11	8
		11.2%	8.8%	15.3%	13.7%	25.7%	6.6%
	DK/NA	15	3	3	4	0	3
		2.5%	2.5%	2.3%	9.0%	.0%	2.4%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Total	112	7	9
	Very likely	55	4	4
		49.2%	51.0%	48.7%
	Somewhat likely	39	2	4
		34.4%	29.0%	42.3%
	Somewhat unlikely	7	0	0
		5.9%	.0%	2.4%
	Very unlikely	10	1	1
		8.5%	20.0%	6.6%
	DK/NA	2	0	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely						
	Somewhat likely						
	Somewhat unlikely						
	Very unlikely				E		
	DK/NA				a		

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
13. If additional paths and roads were available in Cupertino, would you or members of your household be likely to use them for your trips within the city?	Very likely		
	Somewhat likely	a	
	Somewhat unlikely		
	Very unlikely	a	a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	582
	Yes	276	276
		47.4%	47.4%
	No	56	56
		9.5%	9.5%
	DK/NA	251	251
		43.1%	43.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	.
	No	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	276	136	140	0
		47.4%	48.4%	46.9%	.0%
	No	56	19	34	2
		9.5%	6.8%	11.5%	65.6%
	DK/NA	251	126	124	1
		43.1%	44.8%	41.5%	34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes			^a
	No			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	276	37	51	65	53	64	5
		47.4%	48.0%	50.8%	46.4%	35.0%	62.7%	56.4%
	No	56	8	8	12	19	8	0
		9.5%	10.0%	8.1%	8.8%	12.5%	8.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	251	32	42	63	80	30	4
		43.1%	42.0%	41.1%	44.9%	52.5%	29.2%	43.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					D	
	No						^a
	DK/NA				E		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	276	187	88
		47.4%	44.1%	56.1%
	No	56	41	15
		9.5%	9.6%	9.4%
	DK/NA	251	196	54
		43.1%	46.3%	34.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		A
	No		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	276	116	42	7	111
		47.4%	47.6%	51.5%	44.2%	46.1%
	No	56	24	11	1	20
		9.5%	9.9%	13.1%	5.1%	8.3%
	DK/NA	251	104	29	8	110
		43.1%	42.5%	35.4%	50.7%	45.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	276	65	23	15	19	52	101
		47.4%	53.8%	34.7%	47.1%	67.9%	40.7%	49.1%
	No	56	11	11	5	2	9	16
		9.5%	9.1%	16.7%	16.6%	8.5%	7.3%	7.9%
	DK/NA	251	45	32	12	7	67	88
		43.1%	37.1%	48.6%	36.3%	23.6%	52.0%	43.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				B		
	No						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	276	124	29	47	18	14
		47.4%	47.3%	36.5%	57.3%	38.3%	51.3%
	No	56	23	4	6	13	3
		9.5%	8.6%	5.0%	8.0%	27.6%	12.2%
	DK/NA	251	116	46	28	16	10
		43.1%	44.1%	58.5%	34.7%	34.1%	36.5%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	11	17	17
		46.7%	44.8%	68.6%
	No	2	3	1
		10.6%	7.0%	4.6%
DK/NA	10	18	7	
	42.7%	48.3%	26.8%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				A B		
	No						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	276	4	45	17	30	8	8	24	55	43
		47.4%	56.5%	26.3%	41.2%	65.8%	46.9%	55.6%	56.2%	56.6%	58.8%
	No	56	0	14	5	2	0	2	2	10	7
		9.5%	.0%	8.2%	11.5%	4.9%	2.6%	15.5%	5.4%	10.2%	9.0%
	DK/NA	251	3	113	20	14	8	4	16	32	24
		43.1%	43.5%	65.5%	47.3%	29.4%	50.4%	28.9%	38.4%	33.1%	32.2%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	41	0	1
		63.2%	.0%	25.5%
	No	13	0	0
		19.6%	.0%	5.3%
DK/NA	11	2	4	
	17.1%	100.0%	69.1%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				B			B	B	B	B
	No	a									
	DK/NA		D H I J	J							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	a	
	No	a	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	276	217	58
		47.4%	46.9%	49.4%
	No	56	43	12
		9.5%	9.3%	10.4%
	DK/NA	251	203	47
		43.1%	43.8%	40.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	276	153	123
		47.4%	44.0%	52.4%
	No	56	31	24
		9.5%	9.0%	10.4%
	DK/NA	251	163	87
		43.1%	47.1%	37.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		A
	No		
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
						16 to 25 years
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Yes	276	6	24	36	69
		47.4%	58.8%	49.8%	38.3%	52.5%
	No	56	0	9	3	11
		9.5%	.0%	18.0%	3.7%	8.2%
	DK/NA	251	4	16	55	52
		43.1%	41.2%	32.2%	58.0%	39.3%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	133	1
	Yes	66	1
		49.6%	100.0%
	No	16	0
		11.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	51	0
		38.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				
	No	. ^b	C		
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		a, b
	No		a, b
	DK/NA		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	274	26	106	69	37	36	1
		47.3%	58.8%	50.3%	49.0%	32.3%	61.4%	6.5%
	No	56	3	15	10	18	7	1
		9.6%	7.8%	7.2%	7.2%	16.0%	12.0%	11.9%
DK/NA		250	15	90	62	59	15	9
		43.1%	33.5%	42.5%	43.8%	51.7%	26.6%	81.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	D F	D F			D F	
	No				E		
	DK/NA						A E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	276	1	3	85	0	34
		47.4%	50.0%	100.0%	44.8%	.0%	32.3%
	No	56	0	0	11	0	14
		9.5%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	13.1%
	DK/NA	251	1	0	94	2	58
		43.1%	50.0%	.0%	49.7%	100.0%	54.6%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	7	19	6	8	6
		43.3%	75.7%	71.0%	60.2%	56.2%
	No	0	1	0	4	0
		.0%	4.4%	.0%	32.7%	.0%
DK/NA		9	5	2	1	4
		56.7%	19.9%	29.0%	7.1%	43.8%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	85	11	4	1	6
		57.2%	48.7%	37.1%	14.7%	34.6%
	No	15	1	4	1	5
		9.8%	4.6%	33.4%	16.6%	29.7%
DK/NA		49	11	3	4	6
		33.0%	46.8%	29.5%	68.7%	35.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		a		a	
	No	a	a		a	
	DK/NA		a		a	K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes		E			
	No	a		a	C	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	E				
	No			C		C
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	118	44	47	18	6	3
		44.3%	42.1%	41.7%	59.7%	57.7%	34.2%
	No	34	14	16	2	1	1
		12.6%	13.0%	13.8%	6.2%	13.4%	13.4%
DK/NA		115	47	50	10	3	5
		43.1%	44.9%	44.5%	34.1%	28.9%	52.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	185	53	112	15	2	3
		48.6%	49.6%	50.0%	44.3%	34.6%	31.2%
	No	43	13	25	2	2	1
		11.2%	12.4%	11.0%	4.9%	40.8%	10.7%
DK/NA		153	41	87	17	1	6
		40.1%	38.0%	39.0%	50.7%	24.6%	58.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	276	186	13	46	3	1	14	3
		47.4%	41.5%	48.5%	49.7%	39.7%	93.5%	32.3%	49.0%
	No	56	47	1	7	2	0	9	0
		9.5%	10.4%	5.6%	7.0%	29.1%	.0%	20.2%	.0%
DK/NA		251	216	12	40	2	0	21	3
		43.1%	48.1%	46.0%	43.3%	31.2%	6.5%	47.5%	51.0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	17	23	8	1	9	8	20	2
		77.5%	48.4%	59.6%	100.0%	69.8%	60.2%	35.5%	36.2%
	No	0	0	1	0	0	4	3	1
		.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	1.9%	32.7%	5.7%	15.4%
DK/NA		5	25	4	0	4	1	33	3
		22.5%	51.6%	33.1%	.0%	28.3%	7.1%	58.8%	48.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
						a			F
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes					a			
	No					a,b		b	b
	DK/NA					a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
				a,b				
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes			a,b				
	No			a,b				
	DK/NA			a,b			M	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	276	39	38	12	16	18	32
		47.4%	64.4%	73.5%	42.5%	44.7%	55.2%	76.3%
	No	56	5	1	2	7	0	3
		9.5%	8.6%	2.0%	7.6%	20.0%	.0%	7.8%
	DK/NA	251	16	13	15	13	14	7
		43.1%	27.0%	24.5%	49.9%	35.3%	44.8%	15.9%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	12	14	44	51
		30.4%	43.0%	33.1%	40.3%
	No	6	3	11	16
		15.1%	10.3%	8.6%	12.6%
	DK/NA	21	16	77	59
		54.5%	46.7%	58.3%	47.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	G I	G I J			a	G I J	
	No					.		
	DK/NA							F

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes			
	No			
	DK/NA		A B F	F

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	276	103	102	71
		47.4%	61.5%	67.0%	27.0%
	No	56	21	17	18
		9.5%	12.4%	10.9%	6.9%
	DK/NA	251	44	34	173
		43.1%	26.2%	22.1%	66.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	C	C	
	No			
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	217	66	37	39	76
		47.1%	52.3%	40.5%	50.2%	45.2%
	No	40	5	9	5	20
		8.6%	4.2%	9.9%	6.8%	12.0%
	DK/NA	205	55	45	33	72
		44.3%	43.5%	49.6%	43.0%	42.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	276	58	58	22	20	58	54
		47.6%	46.7%	48.8%	54.6%	48.4%	45.3%	47.7%
	No	54	15	9	4	7	15	4
		9.2%	11.8%	7.1%	10.0%	16.2%	11.7%	3.6%
	DK/NA	250	51	53	14	14	55	55
		43.1%	41.4%	44.1%	35.4%	35.4%	43.0%	48.6%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Total	7	9
	Yes	3	4
		36.0%	50.6%
	No	1	0
		11.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	4
		52.4%	49.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes							
	No							
	DK/NA							
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
14. Four creeks flow in the City. Do you think the City is doing a good job in preventing pollution from getting into these creeks?	Yes	
	No	a
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	582
	Strongly support	176	176
		30.2%	30.2%
	Somewhat support	185	185
		31.8%	31.8%
	Somewhat oppose	78	78
		13.5%	13.5%
	Strongly oppose	99	99
		17.0%	17.0%
	DK/NA	43	43
		7.5%	7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	.
	Somewhat support	.
	Somewhat oppose	.
	Strongly oppose	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Strongly support	176	76	100	0
		30.2%	27.0%	33.6%	.0%
	Somewhat support	185	88	95	2
		31.8%	31.3%	31.9%	66.3%
	Somewhat oppose	78	41	37	0
		13.5%	14.7%	12.5%	.0%
	Strongly oppose	99	53	45	1
		17.0%	18.8%	15.2%	33.7%
	DK/NA	43	23	20	0
		7.5%	8.3%	6.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support			a
	Somewhat support			.
	Somewhat oppose			a
	Strongly oppose			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
		176	30	44	37	38	27	1
	Strongly support	30.2%	38.6%	43.8%	26.0%	24.6%	26.3%	12.5%
		185	21	20	57	45	39	3
	Somewhat support	31.8%	27.7%	19.5%	40.4%	29.2%	38.5%	36.8%
		78	11	20	15	22	11	0
	Somewhat oppose	13.5%	13.7%	19.6%	10.8%	14.6%	10.4%	.0%
		99	5	10	25	39	18	3
	Strongly oppose	17.0%	6.6%	9.4%	18.0%	25.4%	17.3%	31.5%
		43	10	8	7	9	8	2
	DK/NA	7.5%	13.4%	7.7%	4.9%	6.1%	7.4%	19.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		D				
	Somewhat support			B		B	a
	Somewhat oppose				A B		.
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	424	158
		176	123	53
	Strongly support	30.2%	29.1%	33.4%
		185	132	53
	Somewhat support	31.8%	31.1%	33.7%
		78	67	11
	Somewhat oppose	13.5%	15.8%	7.2%
		99	81	18
	Strongly oppose	17.0%	19.1%	11.5%
		43	21	22
	DK/NA	7.5%	5.0%	14.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose	B	
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
		176	97	16	4	59
	Strongly support	30.2%	39.8%	19.9%	26.0%	24.4%
		185	58	23	5	99
	Somewhat support	31.8%	23.9%	28.6%	29.3%	41.0%
		78	30	15	1	31
	Somewhat oppose	13.5%	12.4%	19.1%	8.6%	13.0%
		99	35	25	4	34
	Strongly oppose	17.0%	14.4%	31.5%	27.4%	14.2%
		43	23	1	1	18
	DK/NA	7.5%	9.5%	.9%	8.6%	7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	B D			
	Somewhat support				A
	Somewhat oppose		A D		
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Strongly support	176	54	22	6	5	43	45
		30.2%	44.9%	32.9%	19.7%	16.6%	33.9%	22.0%
	Somewhat support	185	33	11	11	9	26	95
		31.8%	27.0%	16.7%	33.6%	32.4%	20.5%	46.2%
	Somewhat oppose	78	9	15	2	7	23	22
		13.5%	7.0%	22.6%	7.1%	25.0%	18.0%	10.9%
	Strongly oppose	99	17	8	13	7	26	28
		17.0%	14.4%	12.7%	39.3%	23.9%	20.4%	13.5%
	DK/NA	43	8	10	0	1	9	15
		7.5%	6.7%	15.1%	.3%	2.1%	7.2%	7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	F					
	Somewhat support						A B E
	Somewhat oppose		A				
	Strongly oppose			A B F			
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Strongly support	176	88	32	20	13
		30.2%	33.5%	41.2%	25.0%	27.1%
	Somewhat support	185	84	18	35	6
		31.8%	32.0%	23.5%	42.6%	13.6%
	Somewhat oppose	78	34	12	6	11
		13.5%	12.9%	15.6%	7.1%	22.8%
	Strongly oppose	99	39	7	15	14
		17.0%	14.8%	8.6%	18.2%	29.9%
	DK/NA	43	18	9	6	3
		7.5%	6.8%	11.0%	7.1%	6.6%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Strongly support	3	1	12	6
		12.0%	2.8%	32.3%	25.6%
	Somewhat support	11	12	12	7
		40.3%	49.9%	30.3%	30.3%
	Somewhat oppose	5	4	6	1
		18.0%	15.7%	15.8%	5.4%
	Strongly oppose	7	4	6	7
		26.3%	18.9%	16.3%	28.9%
	DK/NA	1	3	2	2
		3.4%	12.6%	5.2%	9.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		F			
	Somewhat support			D		
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support	D		
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	
	Strongly support	176	1	50	16	15	10	9	10	15	
		30.2%	9.9%	28.8%	37.7%	32.4%	60.9%	66.7%	23.8%	15.6%	
	Somewhat support	185	0	50	12	18	2	1	10	34	
		31.8%	.0%	29.0%	28.1%	39.5%	14.0%	4.5%	23.3%	35.2%	
	Somewhat oppose	78	3	30	4	3	1	2	5	15	
		13.5%	43.5%	17.2%	10.0%	7.3%	5.8%	16.3%	12.8%	15.8%	
	Strongly oppose	99	2	34	8	7	2	2	16	19	
		17.0%	36.8%	19.9%	19.1%	14.3%	10.1%	12.6%	37.0%	19.3%	
	DK/NA	43	1	9	2	3	2	0	1	14	
		7.5%	9.9%	5.1%	5.0%	6.4%	9.2%	.0%	3.1%	14.2%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Strongly support	24	26	0	1
		32.7%	39.6%	.0%	19.1%
	Somewhat support	30	25	1	2
		41.1%	38.8%	46.3%	32.0%
	Somewhat oppose	2	10	1	1
		3.3%	15.1%	43.9%	21.3%
	Strongly oppose	7	1	0	2
		9.7%	2.2%	9.8%	27.6%
	DK/NA	10	3	0	0
		13.2%	4.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	
	Somewhat support	a				H	H				
	Somewhat oppose	I									
	Strongly oppose	J	J					I J			
	DK/NA						a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	H	a	
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose		a	a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	464	118
	Strongly support	176	138	38
		30.2%	29.7%	32.2%
	Somewhat support	185	139	46
		31.8%	30.1%	38.5%
	Somewhat oppose	78	66	13
		13.5%	14.1%	10.9%
	Strongly oppose	99	87	13
		17.0%	18.7%	10.6%
	DK/NA	43	34	9
		7.5%	7.4%	7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	(A)	(B)
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose		
	Strongly oppose	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	347	235
	Strongly support	176	97	79
		30.2%	27.9%	33.7%
	Somewhat support	185	102	83
		31.8%	29.4%	35.3%
	Somewhat oppose	78	44	34
		13.5%	12.7%	14.6%
	Strongly oppose	99	74	26
		17.0%	21.2%	10.9%
	DK/NA	43	30	13
		7.5%	8.7%	5.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Strongly support	176 30.2%	6 53.6%	19 38.5%	27 28.9%	42 32.0%
	Somewhat support	185 31.8%	3 31.7%	13 27.3%	24 25.7%	39 29.6%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	0 .0%	9 17.5%	19 20.4%	14 10.3%
	Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	0 .0%	8 16.2%	12 13.1%	28 21.0%
	DK/NA	43 7.5%	2 14.7%	0 .4%	11 12.0%	9 7.1%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	163	133	1
	Strongly support	47 28.6%	35 26.6%	0 .0%
	Somewhat support	61 37.2%	44 32.9%	1 100.0%
	Somewhat oppose	19 11.7%	18 13.6%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	27 16.2%	25 18.6%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	10 6.2%	11 8.3%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA	.b .b .b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support Somewhat support Somewhat oppose Strongly oppose DK/NA		a.,b a.,b a.,b a.,b a.,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Strongly support	175 30.1%	11 23.5%	66 31.3%	33 23.7%	33 28.7%	31 54.2%	1 5.7%
	Somewhat support	185 31.9%	14 30.2%	67 31.6%	58 41.3%	33 28.7%	11 19.8%	2 21.2%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	4 9.1%	33 15.7%	18 12.7%	20 17.6%	3 5.6%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	99 17.1%	14 31.1%	33 15.4%	21 14.9%	21 18.5%	6 9.5%	5 43.5%
	DK/NA	43 7.4%	3 6.1%	13 5.9%	10 7.4%	7 6.5%	6 10.9%	3 29.6%

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					A B C D F	
	Somewhat support						a
	Somewhat oppose						.
	Strongly oppose						E
	DK/NA						B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Strongly support	176 30.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	42 22.1%	2 100.0%
	Somewhat support	185 31.8%	3 100.0%	0 .0%	80 42.3%	0 .0%
	Somewhat oppose	78 13.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	28 14.7%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	99 17.0%	0 .0%	3 100.0%	28 15.0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	43 7.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	11 5.9%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Strongly support	30 28.8%	8 50.4%	6 22.3%	5 58.1%	13 92.9%
	Somewhat support	26 24.9%	3 17.4%	11 45.0%	0 .0%	1 7.1%
	Somewhat oppose	17 15.6%	3 18.4%	7 28.0%	2 24.7%	0 .0%
	Strongly oppose	25 23.8%	2 13.8%	1 4.7%	1 17.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	7 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Strongly support	2 16.3%	50 33.9%	8 33.7%	4 33.8%	1 10.2%
	Somewhat support	1 12.0%	47 31.8%	4 17.6%	2 15.0%	2 26.8%
	Somewhat oppose	2 22.2%	12 7.8%	4 17.3%	3 23.0%	1 19.3%
	Strongly oppose	2 21.6%	30 19.9%	1 2.8%	3 26.0%	0 2.2%
	DK/NA	3 27.9%	10 6.6%	7 28.6%	0 2.2%	2 41.6%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	17
	Strongly support	6 36.4%
	Somewhat support	5 28.7%
	Somewhat oppose	1 3.2%
	Strongly oppose	3 14.5%
	DK/NA	3 17.1%

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	a	a		a
	Somewhat support	a	a		a
	Somewhat oppose	a	a		a
	Strongly oppose	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

^{b,c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support				a
	Somewhat support				.
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose		a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	C E G J K L N			
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose	a			
	Strongly oppose	a			
	DK/NA	a			C K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support			
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose			
	Strongly oppose		C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Strongly support	99	37	44	13	4	1
		37.1%	35.9%	38.8%	42.2%	39.5%	7.3%
	Somewhat support	79	29	32	10	5	3
		29.6%	27.4%	28.5%	33.6%	50.0%	30.8%
	Somewhat oppose	34	13	16	3	1	2
		12.7%	12.3%	13.8%	9.2%	10.5%	19.0%
	Strongly oppose	39	21	13	4	0	2
		14.7%	20.2%	11.3%	12.2%	.0%	20.4%
	DK/NA	16	4	8	1	0	2
		5.8%	4.1%	7.5%	2.8%	.0%	22.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose				a	
	Strongly oppose				a	
	DK/NA				a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Strongly support	114	33	67	12	1	0
		29.8%	31.0%	29.9%	34.8%	32.5%	1.4%
	Somewhat support	125	34	76	11	1	2
		32.9%	32.0%	34.1%	32.7%	24.6%	19.8%
	Somewhat oppose	46	10	32	2	0	1
		12.0%	9.6%	14.2%	7.0%	2.1%	9.4%
	Strongly oppose	74	24	36	7	2	5
		19.4%	22.6%	16.3%	19.5%	40.8%	41.7%
	DK/NA	23	5	12	2	0	3
		6.0%	4.8%	5.5%	5.9%	.0%	27.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support					
	Somewhat support					
	Somewhat oppose					
	Strongly oppose				a	
	DK/NA				a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Strongly support	176	142	3	15	2	1	14
		30.2%	31.6%	13.2%	15.8%	26.8%	69.2%	32.1%
	Somewhat support	185	125	10	42	3	0	6
		31.8%	27.8%	39.6%	45.1%	37.6%	30.8%	14.1%
	Somewhat oppose	78	62	3	17	0	0	12
		13.5%	13.9%	12.3%	18.3%	.0%	.0%	26.5%
	Strongly oppose	99	81	8	16	3	0	8
		17.0%	18.0%	30.2%	16.9%	35.7%	.0%	19.2%
DK/NA		43	39	1	4	0	0	4
		7.5%	8.7%	4.7%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	8.2%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Strongly support	3	6	7	3	0	8	13
		40.8%	25.1%	14.6%	24.2%	.0%	62.9%	92.9%
	Somewhat support	0	10	21	3	0	1	1
		4.9%	43.0%	44.9%	23.7%	.0%	9.8%	7.1%
	Somewhat oppose	3	6	7	0	0	2	0
		42.6%	26.6%	14.0%	.0%	.0%	16.1%	.0%
	Strongly oppose	0	1	10	4	1	1	0
		.0%	5.2%	21.3%	26.9%	75.0%	11.2%	.0%
DK/NA		1	0	2	3	0	0	0
		11.7%	.0%	5.1%	25.2%	25.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	56	7
	Strongly support	22	0
		39.4%	.0%
	Somewhat support	14	4
		25.7%	56.2%
	Somewhat oppose	4	1
		7.9%	15.7%
	Strongly oppose	10	1
		18.8%	15.4%
DK/NA		5	1
		8.1%	12.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	C				a		
	Somewhat support			A F		a		
	Somewhat oppose				b	a,b		
	Strongly oppose					a,b		b
	DK/NA				b	a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support				a,b	C I	A B C F H I ...	
	Somewhat support				a,b			
	Somewhat oppose			b	a,b		b	
	Strongly oppose				a		b	
	DK/NA	b			a	b	b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	b
	Somewhat support	
	Somewhat oppose	
	Strongly oppose	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Strongly support	176	10	13	12	9	5
		30.2%	16.4%	24.9%	41.1%	24.3%	15.0%
	Somewhat support	185	31	29	6	11	9
		31.8%	51.8%	56.1%	19.4%	30.4%	27.5%
	Somewhat oppose	78	3	4	1	5	9
		13.5%	5.7%	8.4%	3.8%	13.7%	27.6%
	Strongly oppose	99	9	5	6	11	7
		17.0%	15.4%	10.4%	20.3%	29.7%	21.9%
DK/NA		43	6	0	4	1	3
		7.5%	10.7%	.2%	15.4%	1.9%	8.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Strongly support	21	20	16	41	29
		50.4%	51.8%	47.8%	31.3%	23.2%
	Somewhat support	10	10	8	41	30
		24.7%	26.5%	22.5%	30.9%	24.0%
	Somewhat oppose	7	5	1	20	22
		17.8%	12.2%	2.7%	15.4%	17.7%
	Strongly oppose	3	1	5	25	26
		7.1%	3.2%	16.1%	18.6%	20.9%
	DK/NA	0	2	4	5	18
		.0%	6.3%	10.9%	3.8%	14.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support						A J
	Somewhat support	J	J				
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose						
	DK/NA						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	A J	A		
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Strongly support	176	28	73	75
		30.2%	16.6%	47.8%	28.7%
	Somewhat support	185	65	42	77
		31.8%	38.9%	27.8%	29.6%
	Somewhat oppose	78	26	9	44
		13.5%	15.5%	5.8%	16.6%
	Strongly oppose	99	37	9	53
		17.0%	22.2%	6.2%	20.0%
	DK/NA	43	11	19	13
		7.5%	6.8%	12.4%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		A C	A
	Somewhat support			
	Somewhat oppose	B		B
	Strongly oppose	B		B
	DK/NA		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Strongly support	133	37	31	24	41
		28.7%	29.3%	34.2%	31.2%	24.2%
	Somewhat support	149	42	31	20	56
		32.3%	33.2%	34.5%	26.1%	33.2%
	Somewhat oppose	59	15	9	16	18
		12.7%	11.9%	10.5%	20.3%	11.0%
	Strongly oppose	88	23	12	15	38
		19.1%	18.2%	13.8%	19.0%	22.6%
	DK/NA	33	9	6	3	15
		7.2%	7.3%	7.1%	3.4%	9.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support				
	Somewhat support				
	Somewhat oppose				
	Strongly oppose				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Strongly support	176	59	38	8	8	33
		30.4%	47.5%	32.1%	20.1%	19.8%	26.1%
	Somewhat support	183	28	29	12	11	53
		31.6%	22.9%	24.3%	30.7%	26.5%	41.2%
	Somewhat oppose	78	13	17	5	10	19
		13.5%	10.7%	14.2%	12.5%	25.7%	14.9%
Strongly oppose		98	14	21	14	11	13
		16.9%	11.4%	17.7%	35.2%	27.8%	10.5%
	DK/NA	43	9	14	1	0	9
		7.5%	7.5%	11.7%	1.5%	.2%	7.3%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Total	112	7	9
	Strongly support	26	0	4
		22.8%	2.2%	44.9%
	Somewhat support	45	2	3
		40.5%	27.1%	31.1%
	Somewhat oppose	12	0	1
		11.0%	.0%	15.5%
Strongly oppose		20	4	1
		17.6%	53.7%	6.6%
DK/NA		9	1	0
		8.0%	17.1%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support	D E F					
	Somewhat support						
	Somewhat oppose						
	Strongly oppose			A E			
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
15. To continue this program, including the environmental education, would you support or oppose increasing this fee to \$24 dollars per year?	Strongly support		
	Somewhat support		
	Somewhat oppose	a	
	Strongly oppose	A E	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	582
	\$16-\$20	41	41
		7.0%	7.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	31
		5.4%	5.4%
	\$6-\$10	119	119
		20.5%	20.5%
	\$1-\$5	145	145
		24.9%	24.9%
I would not be willing to pay more		198	198
		34.0%	34.0%
DK/NA		48	48
		8.2%	8.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	.
	\$11-\$15	.
	\$6-\$10	.
	\$1-\$5	.
	I would not be willing to pay more	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	281	298	3
	\$16-\$20	41	24	17	0
		7.0%	8.5%	5.7%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	17	14	0
		5.4%	6.2%	4.7%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	119	49	70	0
		20.5%	17.3%	23.6%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	71	73	1
		24.9%	25.3%	24.4%	34.4%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	94	102	2
		34.0%	33.3%	34.3%	65.6%
	DK/NA	48	26	22	0
		8.2%	9.4%	7.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a
	\$11-\$15			a
	\$6-\$10			a
	\$1-\$5			a
	I would not be willing to pay more			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	\$16-\$20	41	2	16	6	11	6	0
		7.0%	2.6%	16.0%	4.1%	7.4%	5.5%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	5	0	13	10	3	0
		5.4%	6.7%	.0%	9.3%	6.8%	2.7%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	119	30	23	26	25	14	0
		20.5%	39.7%	22.9%	18.5%	16.3%	14.2%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	16	28	29	35	34	3
		24.9%	20.2%	27.5%	20.9%	22.9%	33.9%	31.1%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	10	27	56	65	36	4
		34.0%	13.5%	26.5%	39.7%	42.7%	35.1%	43.9%
	DK/NA	48	13	7	11	6	9	2
		8.2%	17.3%	7.2%	7.5%	3.9%	8.6%	24.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		A C				a
	\$11-\$15		a				a
	\$6-\$10						a
	\$1-\$5						a
	I would not be willing to pay more			A	A	A	
	DK/NA	D					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	424	158
	\$16-\$20	41	23	18
		7.0%	5.4%	11.4%
	\$11-\$15	31	28	4
		5.4%	6.5%	2.4%
	\$6-\$10	119	77	42
		20.5%	18.2%	26.6%
	\$1-\$5	145	108	37
		24.9%	25.5%	23.2%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	159	38
		34.0%	37.6%	24.3%
	DK/NA	48	29	19
		8.2%	6.8%	12.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		A
	\$11-\$15		
	\$6-\$10		A
	\$1-\$5		
	I would not be willing to pay more	B	
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	\$16-\$20	41	24	4	0	12
		7.0%	10.0%	5.6%	.0%	4.9%
	\$11-\$15	31	11	4	2	14
		5.4%	4.6%	5.4%	14.7%	5.6%
	\$6-\$10	119	63	4	1	51
		20.5%	26.0%	4.6%	7.2%	21.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	56	22	6	62
		24.9%	23.0%	26.9%	35.6%	25.5%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	66	42	6	84
		34.0%	27.0%	52.2%	35.0%	34.8%
	DK/NA	48	23	4	1	20
		8.2%	9.4%	5.4%	7.6%	8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	B	A D	a	B
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	\$16-\$20	41	21	3	3	1	3	10
		7.0%	17.7%	4.0%	10.3%	2.1%	2.2%	4.9%
	\$11-\$15	31	6	1	0	0	10	14
		5.4%	4.9%	.9%	.9%	.0%	7.9%	7.0%
	\$6-\$10	119	30	16	3	1	27	41
		20.5%	25.1%	24.7%	9.1%	2.3%	21.3%	20.1%
	\$1-\$5	145	25	20	4	11	23	61
		24.9%	20.9%	30.7%	12.7%	38.1%	18.2%	29.7%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	26	22	21	14	48	66
		34.0%	21.8%	33.4%	64.6%	50.3%	37.6%	32.0%
	DK/NA	48	12	4	1	2	16	13
		8.2%	9.5%	6.3%	2.5%	7.2%	12.8%	6.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	E F			a		
	\$11-\$15						
	\$6-\$10						
	\$1-\$5						
	I would not be willing to pay more						
	DK/NA					A F	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	\$16-\$20	41	14	7	7	1
		7.0%	5.4%	8.9%	8.3%	2.5%
	\$11-\$15	31	19	5	2	2
		5.4%	7.3%	6.6%	2.9%	4.4%
	\$6-\$10	119	61	23	13	9
		20.5%	23.3%	29.8%	16.0%	19.8%
	\$1-\$5	145	63	13	22	10
		24.9%	24.0%	16.8%	27.5%	21.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	79	24	32	23
		34.0%	29.9%	30.5%	39.7%	48.7%
	DK/NA	48	26	6	5	2
		8.2%	10.1%	7.5%	5.6%	3.6%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	27	23	38	24
	\$16-\$20	2	2	5	2
		6.6%	10.6%	14.2%	8.5%
	\$11-\$15	0	1	2	0
		.0%	3.4%	4.2%	.4%
	\$6-\$10	2	1	7	1
		7.8%	5.3%	19.5%	5.4%
	\$1-\$5	11	6	12	8
		39.8%	25.3%	32.8%	31.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	11	11	7	11
		40.6%	47.4%	19.4%	44.4%
	DK/NA	1	2	4	2
		5.2%	8.0%	9.8%	10.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a			
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	\$16-\$20	41	0	11	4	6	4	2	3
		7.0%	.0%	6.3%	9.2%	12.4%	22.0%	11.7%	8.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	15	4	2	0	0	2
		5.4%	9.0%	8.5%	8.5%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	5.2%
	\$6-\$10	119	0	38	4	14	3	1	6
		20.5%	.0%	22.0%	9.5%	29.3%	17.8%	6.5%	13.2%
	\$1-\$5	145	1	32	12	10	3	6	6
		24.9%	15.8%	18.5%	28.1%	20.7%	19.6%	45.4%	13.5%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	1	67	13	11	5	4	22
		34.0%	21.8%	38.6%	31.5%	23.7%	31.3%	30.5%	51.6%
	DK/NA	48	3	11	6	4	2	1	4
		8.2%	53.4%	6.1%	13.2%	8.6%	9.3%	5.9%	8.4%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	\$16-\$20	9	0	2	0	0
		9.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	2	4	2	0	0
		2.0%	6.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	23	17	12	0	2
		23.9%	22.8%	18.8%	.0%	40.4%
	\$1-\$5	23	22	28	2	1
		23.5%	30.2%	43.1%	90.2%	10.7%
	I would not be willing to pay more	34	21	17	0	1
		35.4%	28.9%	26.7%	9.8%	26.6%
	DK/NA	5	9	3	0	1
		5.5%	12.1%	5.0%	.0%	22.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a				a	a		
	\$11-\$15								
	\$6-\$10	a							
	\$1-\$5								
	I would not be willing to pay more								
	DK/NA	B H J							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a		a	a
	\$11-\$15			a	a
	\$6-\$10			a	
	\$1-\$5			a	
	I would not be willing to pay more		B		
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	464	118
	\$16-\$20	41	35	6
		7.0%	7.5%	5.3%
	\$11-\$15	31	25	7
		5.4%	5.3%	5.7%
	\$6-\$10	119	87	32
		20.5%	18.8%	27.0%
	\$1-\$5	145	113	32
		24.9%	24.3%	27.4%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	166	32
		34.0%	35.7%	27.2%
	DK/NA	48	39	9
		8.2%	8.5%	7.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		A
	\$11-\$15		
	\$6-\$10		
	\$1-\$5		
	I would not be willing to pay more		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	347	235
	\$16-\$20	41	27	13
		7.0%	7.9%	5.7%
	\$11-\$15	31	18	13
		5.4%	5.3%	5.6%
	\$6-\$10	119	64	55
		20.5%	18.5%	23.3%
	\$1-\$5	145	80	65
		24.9%	23.2%	27.5%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	131	67
		34.0%	37.6%	28.6%
	DK/NA	48	26	22
		8.2%	7.5%	9.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	B	
	\$11-\$15		
	\$6-\$10		
	\$1-\$5		
	I would not be willing to pay more		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	\$16-\$20	41	6	1	9	6
		7.0%	52.7%	2.6%	10.1%	4.3%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	0	4	14
		5.4%	13.4%	.9%	4.4%	10.5%
	\$6-\$10	119	0	14	26	16
		20.5%	.9%	29.3%	27.2%	12.4%
	\$1-\$5	145	0	8	17	40
		24.9%	.0%	15.7%	17.9%	30.4%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	2	20	29	48
		34.0%	14.7%	40.5%	30.4%	36.3%
	DK/NA	48	2	5	9	8
		8.2%	18.3%	11.0%	10.0%	6.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	163	133	1
	\$16-\$20	11	7	0
		7.0%	5.5%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	6	5	0
		3.9%	3.9%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	41	22	0
		25.0%	16.5%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	48	32	1
		29.1%	24.3%	100.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	50	50	0
		30.7%	37.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	16	0
		4.3%	12.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	B C D E F			
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a,,b
	\$11-\$15			a,,b
	\$6-\$10			a,,b
	\$1-\$5			a,,b
	I would not be willing to pay more			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
		41	2	20	8	4	7	1
	\$16-\$20	7.0%	4.2%	9.4%	5.6%	3.7%	11.3%	5.7%
		31	1	7	9	8	7	0
	\$11-\$15	5.4%	1.8%	3.1%	6.6%	7.1%	11.7%	.0%
		118	6	37	25	27	22	0
	\$6-\$10	20.4%	14.3%	17.7%	18.0%	23.9%	38.0%	.0%
		145	12	57	39	21	11	5
	\$1-\$5	25.0%	27.5%	27.1%	28.0%	18.4%	18.2%	39.4%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	20	74	48	41	9	6
		34.1%	44.2%	35.0%	34.4%	35.8%	14.8%	52.5%
	DK/NA	47	4	16	10	13	3	0
		8.0%	8.1%	7.7%	7.4%	11.1%	5.9%	2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	E	E			B C	a
	\$11-\$15						a
	\$6-\$10						a
	\$1-\$5						a
	I would not be willing to pay more						a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	3	3	189
		41	1	0	9
	\$16-\$20	7.0%	50.0%	.0%	4.7%
		31	0	0	13
	\$11-\$15	5.4%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
		119	0	0	33
	\$6-\$10	20.5%	.0%	.0%	17.3%
		145	1	0	60
	\$1-\$5	24.9%	50.0%	.0%	31.7%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	0	3	63
		34.0%	.0%	100.0%	33.1%
	DK/NA	48	0	0	12
		8.2%	.0%	.0%	6.4%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	2	106	15	25
		0	8	1	3
	\$16-\$20	.0%	7.9%	6.7%	11.1%
		0	9	0	0
	\$11-\$15	.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%
		1	25	2	3
	\$6-\$10	48.8%	23.5%	15.0%	11.4%
		1	10	7	12
	\$1-\$5	51.2%	9.0%	42.5%	48.1%
	I would not be willing to pay more	0	43	4	7
		.0%	40.7%	28.7%	29.4%
	DK/NA	0	11	1	0
		.0%	10.0%	7.1%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	8	14	10	148
	\$16-\$20	0	0	0	15
		.0%	.0%	.0%	9.9%
	\$11-\$15	0	0	1	5
		.0%	.0%	12.0%	3.5%
	\$6-\$10	0	14	3	33
		.0%	100.0%	27.9%	22.1%
	\$1-\$5	6	0	2	29
I would not be willing to pay more		71.0%	.0%	16.3%	19.5%
		2	0	4	50
DK/NA		29.0%	.0%	43.8%	33.7%
		0	0	0	17
		.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	23	12	6	17
	\$16-\$20	3	0	0	1
		12.6%	.0%	.0%	3.7%
	\$11-\$15	1	0	1	1
		4.3%	.0%	16.8%	4.4%
	\$6-\$10	0	0	3	2
		.0%	3.8%	51.8%	13.9%
	\$1-\$5	6	7	0	4
I would not be willing to pay more		25.4%	61.9%	4.5%	25.3%
		9	4	2	7
DK/NA		36.8%	32.1%	26.9%	38.7%
		5	0	0	2
		21.0%	2.2%	.0%	13.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	C	a		a
	\$11-\$15	a	a		a
	\$6-\$10	a	a		a
	\$1-\$5	.	a		.
	I would not be willing to pay more	a	a	E	a
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				a
	\$11-\$15		a	a	a
	\$6-\$10				a
	\$1-\$5				.
	I would not be willing to pay more		E	E	E
	DK/NA			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	.	a		
	\$11-\$15	a	.		a
	\$6-\$10	a			.
	\$1-\$5	a			.
	I would not be willing to pay more	a	a		
	DK/NA	.	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a	a	
	\$11-\$15	.	.	
	\$6-\$10	a		
	\$1-\$5	E		
	I would not be willing to pay more		a	
	DK/NA		.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	\$16-\$20	16	11	5	0	0	0
		5.9%	10.3%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	15	4	4	4	2	0
		5.5%	4.0%	3.9%	12.0%	22.5%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	65	29	25	6	3	1
		24.3%	28.3%	22.5%	20.2%	28.9%	8.8%
	\$1-\$5	51	17	16	13	3	2
		18.9%	16.1%	14.5%	41.6%	26.9%	20.2%
	I would not be willing to pay more	99	33	54	6	2	4
		37.0%	31.3%	48.0%	18.8%	21.8%	44.9%
	DK/NA	23	10	8	2	0	2
		8.5%	10.1%	6.7%	7.5%	.0%	26.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a	a	a
	\$11-\$15					a
	\$6-\$10					a
	\$1-\$5			A B		
	I would not be willing to pay more		C		a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	\$16-\$20	25	8	12	5	0	0
		6.6%	7.2%	5.4%	15.7%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	24	9	10	5	0	0
		6.3%	8.5%	4.5%	13.7%	.0%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	76	21	45	7	1	2
		19.8%	19.9%	20.0%	19.7%	24.6%	14.9%
	\$1-\$5	109	27	71	8	2	1
		28.6%	24.8%	31.7%	23.6%	50.0%	10.8%
	I would not be willing to pay more	121	37	69	8	1	5
		31.8%	34.2%	31.0%	24.5%	25.4%	48.2%
	DK/NA	26	6	17	1	0	3
		6.9%	5.3%	7.5%	2.7%	.0%	26.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20				a	a
	\$11-\$15				a	a
	\$6-\$10					
	\$1-\$5					
	I would not be willing to pay more				a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	\$16-\$20	41	34	0	2	1	0
		7.0%	7.5%	.0%	2.1%	19.9%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	31	21	0	9	0	1
		5.4%	4.8%	.0%	10.1%	.0%	69.2%
	\$6-\$10	119	88	3	22	0	0
		20.5%	19.7%	13.2%	23.1%	.0%	24.3%
	\$1-\$5	145	96	12	23	2	0
		24.9%	21.3%	44.2%	24.4%	26.8%	.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	164	10	29	4	0
		34.0%	36.5%	39.1%	31.5%	52.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	48	46	1	8	0	0
		8.2%	10.2%	3.5%	8.7%	1.3%	6.5%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	\$16-\$20	4	1	3	0	0	0
		8.9%	15.6%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	5	0	0	1	1	0
		11.5%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	7.6%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	8	0	3	5	2	0
		19.4%	1.4%	12.8%	9.8%	11.7%	.0%
	\$1-\$5	5	2	12	21	2	0
		10.3%	28.7%	54.2%	43.7%	12.5%	.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	20	3	5	19	6	1
		46.6%	42.6%	20.4%	40.3%	46.7%	75.0%
	DK/NA	1	1	0	2	3	0
		3.2%	11.7%	.0%	3.8%	21.5%	25.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	12	14	56	7
	\$16-\$20	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	11.2%	.0%
	\$6-\$10	3	14	11	2
		25.0%	100.0%	20.4%	24.9%
	\$1-\$5	6	0	12	3
		46.3%	.0%	21.1%	40.3%
	I would not be willing to pay more	4	0	17	2
		28.7%	.0%	31.0%	30.5%
	DK/NA	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	10.8%	4.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		a			a,b	
	\$11-\$15		a		a	b	
	\$6-\$10				a	b	
	\$1-\$5					a,b	
	I would not be willing to pay more					a,b	
	DK/NA					b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			a	a	a,b	a
	\$11-\$15	a	a			a,b	a
	\$6-\$10					a,b	
	\$1-\$5		A F	A F		a,b	
	I would not be willing to pay more					b	
	DK/NA		a			b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	a		a
	\$11-\$15	a		a
	\$6-\$10	a		
	\$1-\$5	a		
	I would not be willing to pay more	a		
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	\$16-\$20	41	9	2	3	5
		7.0%	14.4%	3.1%	10.1%	12.9%
	\$11-\$15	31	1	3	0	2
		5.4%	1.2%	5.9%	.0%	5.9%
	\$6-\$10	119	4	3	4	5
		20.5%	7.3%	6.1%	13.1%	14.2%
	\$1-\$5	145	21	22	9	8
		24.9%	34.3%	43.2%	29.3%	23.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	19	19	9	14
		34.0%	31.2%	36.5%	32.2%	39.0%
	DK/NA	48	7	3	4	2
		8.2%	11.6%	5.3%	15.3%	5.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	\$16-\$20	0	7	0	4	10
		.3%	16.8%	.0%	11.6%	7.4%
	\$11-\$15	0	1	2	4	13
		.0%	2.8%	5.2%	11.8%	10.2%
	\$6-\$10	7	13	18	8	32
		22.0%	32.4%	48.0%	23.7%	24.0%
	\$1-\$5	8	13	7	5	22
		24.3%	32.4%	18.6%	15.6%	16.9%
	I would not be willing to pay more	14	6	3	13	50
		42.7%	15.6%	8.9%	37.4%	37.7%
	DK/NA	3	0	7	0	5
		10.7%	.0%	19.4%	.0%	3.8%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	126
	\$16-\$20	2
		1.7%
	\$11-\$15	5
		3.9%
	\$6-\$10	24
		18.9%
	\$1-\$5	29
		23.0%
	I would not be willing to pay more	50
		39.8%
	DK/NA	16
		12.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	J		a		a
	\$11-\$15					
	\$6-\$10					
	\$1-\$5		I			
	I would not be willing to pay more					G
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	J	a		
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10	B	A B J		
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				G
	DK/NA	a	I	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	
	\$11-\$15	
	\$6-\$10	
	\$1-\$5	
	I would not be willing to pay more	G
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	582	167	153	262
		41	9	14	18
	\$16-\$20	7.0%	5.1%	9.4%	6.8%
	\$11-\$15	31	8	4	19
		5.4%	4.8%	2.7%	7.3%
	\$6-\$10	119	20	46	53
		20.5%	12.1%	30.0%	20.2%
	\$1-\$5	145	59	30	56
		24.9%	35.4%	19.4%	21.4%
	I would not be willing to pay more	198	61	41	96
		34.0%	36.2%	27.2%	36.6%
	DK/NA	48	11	17	20
		8.2%	6.4%	11.3%	7.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20			
	\$11-\$15			
	\$6-\$10		A	
	\$1-\$5			
	I would not be willing to pay more	B C		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	\$16-\$20	35	7	5	9	15
		7.6%	5.6%	5.1%	11.5%	8.7%
	\$11-\$15	27	8	9	3	7
		5.8%	6.3%	10.2%	3.7%	4.0%
	\$6-\$10	93	36	18	16	24
		20.2%	28.2%	20.0%	20.2%	14.3%
	\$1-\$5	114	32	20	22	41
		24.7%	25.2%	22.1%	27.7%	24.3%
	I would not be willing to pay more	158	36	28	24	70
		34.3%	28.6%	31.0%	31.1%	41.8%
	DK/NA	34	8	10	4	12
		7.4%	6.2%	11.5%	5.8%	6.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	D			
	\$11-\$15				
	\$6-\$10				
	\$1-\$5				
	I would not be willing to pay more				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	\$16-\$20	41	12	12	0	4
		7.1%	10.1%	10.0%	.7%	10.3%
	\$11-\$15	31	4	8	2	2
		5.4%	2.9%	6.4%	5.7%	5.1%
	\$6-\$10	119	33	30	2	1
		20.6%	26.9%	25.3%	5.6%	3.6%
	\$1-\$5	144	32	24	10	12
		24.9%	25.9%	20.1%	24.4%	29.2%
	I would not be willing to pay more	196	34	31	22	20
		33.8%	27.6%	25.9%	55.7%	48.7%
	DK/NA	48	8	15	3	1
		8.3%	6.5%	12.4%	7.8%	3.1%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	Total	128	112	7	9
	\$16-\$20	4	8	0	0
		3.3%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	\$11-\$15	6	7	2	1
		5.1%	6.4%	24.9%	6.6%
	\$6-\$10	34	17	1	0
		26.8%	14.9%	11.2%	4.0%
	\$1-\$5	30	31	1	4
		23.3%	27.5%	17.9%	49.7%
	I would not be willing to pay more	44	39	2	4
		34.3%	35.1%	29.0%	39.7%
	DK/NA	9	10	1	0
		7.2%	9.2%	17.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20	D		A B	D	
	\$11-\$15					
	\$6-\$10					
	\$1-\$5					
	I would not be willing to pay more					
DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
16. How much more would you be willing to pay per month for your garbage collection if it would increase the amount recycled by 30%?	\$16-\$20		a	a
	\$11-\$15			
	\$6-\$10			
	\$1-\$5			
	I would not be willing to pay more			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	582
	Yes	195	195
		33.5%	33.5%
	No	338	338
		58.1%	58.1%
	DK/NA	49	49
		8.5%	8.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	.
	No	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	195	96	97	2
		33.5%	34.0%	32.6%	65.6%
	No	338	164	173	1
		58.1%	58.3%	58.1%	34.4%
	DK/NA	49	22	28	0
		8.5%	7.7%	9.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			
	No			a
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	195	16	34	52	49	40	4
		33.5%	21.2%	33.2%	36.9%	32.4%	39.0%	43.9%
	No	338	52	60	73	92	59	3
		58.1%	67.1%	59.3%	51.6%	60.1%	57.7%	36.8%
	DK/NA	49	9	8	16	12	3	2
		8.5%	11.7%	7.4%	11.5%	7.6%	3.3%	19.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	195	151	44
		33.5%	35.5%	27.9%
	No	338	237	101
		58.1%	55.9%	64.0%
	DK/NA	49	37	13
		8.5%	8.6%	8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	195	85	33	4	72
		33.5%	34.9%	40.9%	27.2%	29.9%
	No	338	129	42	9	157
		58.1%	53.0%	52.1%	59.9%	65.0%
	DK/NA	49	29	6	2	12
		8.5%	12.1%	6.9%	12.9%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes				A
	No				
	DK/NA	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	195	40	24	12	15	43	61
		33.5%	32.8%	36.6%	38.7%	53.1%	33.3%	29.5%
	No	338	69	40	16	13	66	133
		58.1%	57.3%	60.9%	50.5%	44.8%	51.1%	65.0%
	DK/NA	49	12	2	3	1	20	11
		8.5%	10.0%	2.5%	10.8%	2.1%	15.6%	5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No					F	
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	195	76	23	28	19	13
		33.5%	28.7%	29.2%	34.4%	40.0%	48.4%
	No	338	160	44	47	28	13
		58.1%	60.7%	55.8%	58.3%	59.4%	47.5%
	DK/NA	49	28	12	6	0	1
		8.5%	10.6%	15.0%	7.3%	.6%	4.1%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	4	20	13
		18.2%	52.1%	52.3%
	No	19	18	10
		81.2%	46.9%	40.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	2
		.7%	1.0%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	195	4	60	17	18	7	10	19	30	17
		33.5%	68.3%	34.7%	40.5%	39.3%	41.3%	70.5%	45.8%	30.6%	23.2%
	No	338	2	89	21	24	10	4	14	65	50
		58.1%	31.7%	51.3%	50.9%	52.1%	58.7%	29.5%	34.0%	67.6%	67.7%
	DK/NA	49	0	24	4	4	0	0	9	2	7
		8.5%	.0%	14.0%	8.6%	8.7%	.0%	.0%	20.2%	1.8%	9.1%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	10	1	2
		15.1%	46.3%	40.4%
	No	55	1	3
		84.9%	53.7%	50.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	9.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						I J	J			
	No								G	G	B C D F G
	DK/NA	a	H			a	a	H			a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	195	154	41
		33.5%	33.3%	34.3%
	No	338	270	68
		58.1%	58.2%	57.5%
	DK/NA	49	40	10
		8.5%	8.5%	8.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	195	127	68
		33.5%	36.6%	28.8%
	No	338	191	147
		58.1%	55.1%	62.4%
	DK/NA	49	29	20
		8.5%	8.3%	8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	195	3	8	31	45	50
		33.5%	27.1%	16.8%	32.5%	34.5%	30.7%
	No	338	8	34	58	78	94
		58.1%	72.9%	69.5%	61.2%	59.2%	57.7%
	DK/NA	49	0	7	6	8	19
		8.5%	.0%	13.7%	6.3%	6.3%	11.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	133	1
	Yes	58	0
		43.4%	.0%
	No	66	0
		50.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	1
		6.6%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	No					
	DK/NA	. ^b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	(F)	(G)
	No	B	a, ^{a,b}
	DK/NA		a, ^{a,b}

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	194	16	75	48	36	17	2
		33.5%	35.1%	35.7%	34.3%	31.5%	29.6%	14.7%
	No	337	28	120	79	72	32	5
		58.1%	62.2%	57.0%	56.4%	63.2%	55.3%	44.4%
	DK/NA	49	1	16	13	6	9	5
		8.5%	2.6%	7.4%	9.3%	5.3%	15.1%	40.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	No						
	DK/NA						A B C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	195	0	0	55	1	39
		33.5%	.0%	.0%	29.0%	48.8%	36.8%
	No	338	1	3	112	0	57
		58.1%	50.0%	100.0%	58.9%	.0%	53.5%
	DK/NA	49	1	0	23	1	10
		8.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.0%	51.2%	9.6%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	1	7	1	3	4
		6.7%	26.4%	17.2%	23.9%	35.3%
	No	12	18	7	10	7
		75.9%	73.6%	82.8%	76.1%	64.7%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0	0
		17.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	61	4	7	2	9
		41.0%	18.6%	60.8%	40.1%	52.7%
	No	79	17	5	3	8
		53.1%	75.0%	39.2%	49.7%	46.4%
	DK/NA	9	1	0	1	0
		5.9%	6.3%	.0%	10.2%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	a	a			
	No		a		a	
	DK/NA		a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA			a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	91	34	34	11	6	6
		34.0%	32.3%	30.0%	36.7%	57.9%	66.6%
	No	150	60	64	18	5	2
		56.2%	58.1%	57.1%	59.9%	42.1%	26.8%
	DK/NA	26	10	14	1	0	1
		9.8%	9.6%	12.9%	3.5%	.0%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA			a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	137	38	76	15	2	7
		36.0%	35.5%	33.8%	43.7%	40.8%	61.0%
	No	215	64	131	13	3	4
		56.5%	59.7%	58.7%	37.9%	59.2%	39.0%
	DK/NA	28	5	17	6	0	0
		7.4%	4.9%	7.5%	18.5%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA			A	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	195	156	7	32	2	0	22	0
		33.5%	34.7%	26.6%	34.5%	33.5%	30.8%	49.2%	.0%
	No	338	252	14	52	3	1	16	6
		58.1%	56.3%	54.0%	55.9%	46.6%	69.2%	37.2%	96.5%
	DK/NA	49	40	5	9	1	0	6	0
		8.5%	9.0%	19.4%	9.6%	19.9%	.0%	13.6%	3.5%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	7	9	2	1	5	3	20	1
		29.7%	19.5%	18.9%	75.0%	44.1%	23.9%	36.4%	20.5%
	No	16	30	10	0	7	10	30	5
		70.3%	63.5%	76.2%	25.0%	55.9%	76.1%	54.4%	68.2%
	DK/NA	0	8	1	0	0	0	5	1
		.0%	17.1%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	11.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes					a		b	
	No					a			
	DK/NA					a,b			b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			a				
	No			a				
	DK/NA			a,b	b	b		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	195	17	14	6	8	8	19
		33.5%	27.8%	26.8%	19.7%	21.6%	24.1%	46.9%
	No	338	38	35	22	24	21	20
		58.1%	63.5%	68.0%	75.3%	66.3%	64.2%	47.7%
	DK/NA	49	5	3	1	4	4	2
		8.5%	8.7%	5.2%	5.0%	12.1%	11.7%	5.4%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	13	9	52	50
		34.9%	25.6%	39.0%	39.3%
	No	25	19	66	68
		65.1%	56.9%	49.8%	53.7%
	DK/NA	0	6	15	9
		.0%	17.5%	11.2%	7.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes							
	No							
	DK/NA							a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			
	No			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	195	65	40	90
		33.5%	38.6%	26.4%	34.3%
	No	338	98	105	135
		58.1%	58.6%	68.6%	51.6%
	DK/NA	49	5	8	37
		8.5%	2.8%	5.1%	14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes			
	No		C	
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	159	32	31	31	65
		34.5%	25.6%	34.1%	39.8%	38.8%
	No	263	83	47	38	95
		57.0%	65.6%	52.6%	49.0%	56.5%
	DK/NA	40	11	12	9	8
		8.6%	8.8%	13.3%	11.2%	4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Yes	193	42	43	16	17	38
		33.3%	33.8%	35.6%	39.2%	42.7%	29.5%
	No	337	67	62	22	20	81
		58.2%	54.2%	52.2%	56.3%	48.1%	63.3%
	DK/NA	49	15	15	2	4	9
		8.5%	12.0%	12.2%	4.5%	9.2%	7.2%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Total	7	9
	Yes	2	2
		31.6%	23.8%
	No	3	7
		41.6%	74.4%
	DK/NA	2	0
		26.8%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
17. Have you seen, heard or read anything about a new choice in electricity provider called Silicon Valley Clean Energy?	Yes	
	No	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Very satisfied	95	95
		16.3%	16.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	213
		36.6%	36.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	140
		24.1%	24.1%
	Very dissatisfied	125	125
		21.5%	21.5%
	DK/NA	8	8
		1.5%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	.
	Somewhat satisfied	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.
	Very dissatisfied	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very satisfied	95	46	47	2
		16.3%	16.5%	15.6%	66.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	103	110	0
		36.6%	36.7%	36.9%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	65	74	1
		24.1%	23.2%	24.9%	33.7%
	Very dissatisfied	125	63	62	0
		21.5%	22.4%	20.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	3	5	0
		1.5%	1.2%	1.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a
	Somewhat satisfied			.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very satisfied	95	8	24	18	22	22	1
		16.3%	9.9%	23.7%	13.1%	14.4%	21.6%	11.8%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	29	41	54	46	42	2
		36.6%	37.3%	40.4%	38.4%	30.1%	41.0%	19.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	23	21	31	43	17	5
		24.1%	30.2%	21.2%	21.7%	28.4%	16.4%	56.1%
	Very dissatisfied	125	17	10	38	41	19	1
		21.5%	22.6%	9.5%	26.7%	26.6%	18.7%	12.5%
	DK/NA	8	0	5	0	1	2	0
		1.5%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	.5%	2.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA	a	D	B	B		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Very satisfied	95	63	32
		16.3%	14.7%	20.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	145	68
		36.6%	34.1%	43.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	108	32
		24.1%	25.5%	20.2%
	Very dissatisfied	125	104	21
		21.5%	24.5%	13.6%
	DK/NA	8	5	4
		1.5%	1.1%	2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	B	A
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very satisfied	95	34	18	4	38
		16.3%	14.1%	22.8%	23.9%	15.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	91	21	6	95
		36.6%	37.3%	25.7%	40.7%	39.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	52	23	2	63
		24.1%	21.5%	28.4%	13.3%	26.0%
	Very dissatisfied	125	61	19	3	42
		21.5%	25.2%	23.1%	22.1%	17.3%
	DK/NA	8	5	0	0	4
		1.5%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		a	a	
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
		95	23	5	8	8	19	32
	Very satisfied	16.3%	19.0%	7.7%	24.5%	27.1%	14.8%	15.7%
		213	48	23	12	4	38	88
		36.6%	39.4%	35.1%	37.5%	13.1%	29.7%	42.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	140	17	19	5	10	38	52
		24.1%	13.8%	29.1%	14.5%	33.6%	29.5%	25.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	125	33	18	8	7	30	29
		21.5%	27.3%	26.7%	23.4%	26.2%	23.5%	14.3%
	Very dissatisfied	8	1	1	0	0	3	4
		1.5%	.6%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	1.7%
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a	a	A	D
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very satisfied	95	44	10	19	5
		16.3%	16.9%	12.7%	23.1%	10.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	102	29	35	17
		36.6%	38.8%	37.0%	42.4%	36.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	64	21	10	11
		24.1%	24.4%	26.7%	12.1%	23.3%
	Very dissatisfied	125	52	16	18	13
		21.5%	19.8%	19.9%	21.7%	28.2%
	DK/NA	8	0	3	1	1
		1.5%	.1%	3.7%	.8%	1.4%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very satisfied	3	4	4	5
		13.2%	17.9%	11.2%	19.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	8	5	9	8
		31.6%	21.3%	23.8%	33.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	6	7	14	7
		21.5%	31.8%	36.7%	29.6%
	Very dissatisfied	7	6	10	4
		24.7%	25.0%	26.3%	17.7%
	DK/NA	2	1	1	0
		9.0%	3.9%	2.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied		C	
	Very dissatisfied			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Very satisfied	95	0	19	3	11	4	6	11
		16.3%	.0%	11.2%	7.6%	23.7%	26.9%	44.7%	26.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	1	45	18	14	5	1	9
		36.6%	21.8%	25.8%	44.0%	29.7%	29.6%	7.6%	22.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	2	64	5	14	2	6	3
		24.1%	34.7%	36.8%	11.6%	31.1%	9.3%	41.8%	8.3%
	Very dissatisfied	125	3	44	15	6	6	1	18
		21.5%	43.5%	25.2%	36.6%	13.8%	34.2%	5.9%	42.5%
	DK/NA	8	0	2	0	1	0	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	.9%	.2%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Very satisfied	20	8	12	0	0
		20.9%	10.8%	18.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	39	44	34	1	2
		39.8%	59.5%	53.4%	56.1%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	21	11	10	1	1
		21.5%	14.6%	16.1%	43.9%	25.5%
	Very dissatisfied	14	8	8	0	3
		14.6%	11.1%	12.5%	.0%	46.8%
	DK/NA	3	3	0	0	0
		3.1%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	a					B C		
	Somewhat satisfied	.	G I						
	Somewhat dissatisfied							H I J	
	Very dissatisfied	a				a	a	a	
	DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			a	a
	Somewhat satisfied	B F G	B	.	.
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a	.
	Very dissatisfied		a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Very satisfied	95	73	21
		16.3%	15.8%	18.1%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	168	45
		36.6%	36.2%	38.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	111	29
		24.1%	23.9%	24.9%
	Very dissatisfied	125	104	21
		21.5%	22.4%	18.0%
	DK/NA	8	8	1
		1.5%	1.7%	.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Very satisfied	95	58	37
		16.3%	16.7%	15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	104	109
		36.6%	29.9%	46.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	92	48
		24.1%	26.5%	20.6%
	Very dissatisfied	125	85	40
		21.5%	24.5%	17.1%
	DK/NA	8	8	0
		1.5%	2.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		
	Somewhat satisfied		
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very satisfied	95	4	4	23	11
		16.3%	36.4%	7.1%	24.3%	8.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	0	24	38	58
		36.6%	.9%	48.1%	40.3%	44.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	4	12	19	34
		24.1%	35.7%	23.6%	19.9%	26.2%
	Very dissatisfied	125	3	10	15	27
		21.5%	27.1%	21.0%	15.5%	20.9%
	DK/NA	8	0	0	0	1
		1.5%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Very satisfied	24	29	1
		14.8%	21.7%	100.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	62	31	0
		38.1%	23.7%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	40	31	0
		24.7%	23.5%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	36	34	0
		21.8%	26.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	7	0
		.6%	5.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		F	D	F
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA	.b		.b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		D	a,,b
	Somewhat satisfied			a,,b
	Somewhat dissatisfied			a,,b
	Very dissatisfied			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58
	Very satisfied	94	9	36	21	9	18
		16.3%	19.5%	17.0%	14.8%	8.0%	31.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	17	81	58	37	18
		36.7%	38.2%	38.5%	41.5%	32.5%	31.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	139	10	57	30	27	14
		24.1%	21.4%	27.0%	21.5%	23.4%	25.0%
	Very dissatisfied	125	9	33	30	41	7
		21.6%	20.6%	15.7%	21.6%	36.1%	12.2%
	DK/NA	8	0	4	1	0	0
		1.3%	.2%	1.9%	.6%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					D	
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied				B E	a	
	DK/NA						A B C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very satisfied	95	1	0	14	0
		16.3%	50.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	0	3	85	0
		36.6%	.0%	100.0%	45.1%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	1	0	53	1
		24.1%	50.0%	.0%	28.1%	51.2%
	Very dissatisfied	125	0	0	36	1
		21.5%	.0%	.0%	19.1%	48.8%
	DK/NA	8	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Very satisfied	19	1	7	6
		18.1%	9.4%	28.8%	71.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	35	3	17	0
		32.9%	19.7%	66.8%	.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	20	2	1	1
		18.5%	15.0%	4.4%	11.8%
	Very dissatisfied	31	9	0	1
		29.7%	56.0%	.0%	17.2%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Very satisfied	39.4%	27.9%	17.4%	13.4%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	3	44	7
	Somewhat dissatisfied	24.4%	33.6%	29.6%	30.6%
	Very dissatisfied	5	3	44	2
	Very dissatisfied	36.2%	26.5%	29.8%	9.6%
	DK/NA	0	1	32	8
		.0%	12.0%	21.7%	33.8%
		0	0	2	3
		.0%	.0%	1.5%	12.6%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	12	6	17
	Very satisfied	2	1	5
	Somewhat satisfied	21.4%	23.2%	26.1%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	3	1	9
	Somewhat dissatisfied	28.4%	11.7%	50.4%
	Very dissatisfied	2	3	1
	Very dissatisfied	17.5%	56.4%	5.2%
		4	1	1
		32.7%	8.8%	4.7%
		0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	13.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	a	a		a
	Somewhat satisfied	.	a		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.	a		.
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				C E K
	Somewhat satisfied			K	a
	Somewhat dissatisfied			.	.
	Very dissatisfied		C	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C			
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied	a	.		
	DK/NA	a	a		E K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied			
	Somewhat satisfied			
	Somewhat dissatisfied			
	Very dissatisfied	a	a	
	DK/NA	.	.	E K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Very satisfied	40	21	11	7	1	1
	Somewhat satisfied	15.2%	19.9%	9.8%	23.9%	7.2%	6.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	101	38	41	14	6	2
	Somewhat dissatisfied	37.7%	36.2%	36.8%	44.6%	54.3%	22.5%
	Very dissatisfied	70	27	32	5	3	3
		26.2%	25.8%	28.7%	15.2%	27.8%	36.5%
		56	19	28	5	1	3
		20.9%	18.1%	24.7%	16.3%	10.7%	34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Very satisfied	63	23	34	6	0	0
		16.5%	21.4%	15.3%	17.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	142	43	78	15	3	2
		37.2%	40.1%	35.0%	46.0%	57.9%	18.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	90	19	59	7	0	5
		23.7%	17.3%	26.4%	22.2%	.0%	46.8%
	Very dissatisfied	80	22	50	5	2	2
		21.1%	20.4%	22.4%	14.7%	42.1%	13.8%
	DK/NA	6	1	2	0	0	2
		1.5%	.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	21.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				a	a
	Somewhat satisfied				a	
	Somewhat dissatisfied				.	
	Very dissatisfied			a	a	
	DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	Very satisfied	95	70	1	13	4	1
		16.3%	15.6%	3.1%	13.9%	52.9%	69.2%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	140	13	31	2	0
		36.6%	31.1%	51.2%	32.8%	26.8%	24.3%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	121	6	34	0	0
		24.1%	27.0%	21.6%	36.8%	.0%	.0%
	Very dissatisfied	125	110	6	15	1	0
		21.5%	24.6%	24.1%	16.4%	20.3%	6.5%
	DK/NA	8	8	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Very satisfied	1	7	4	5	0	6
		21.7%	32.5%	8.7%	37.9%	25.0%	46.3%
	Somewhat satisfied	0	15	27	1	0	3
		3.5%	67.5%	57.0%	7.6%	.0%	23.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	0	0	5	5	1	1
		4.9%	.0%	10.0%	34.9%	75.0%	9.6%
	Very dissatisfied	4	0	12	2	0	3
		58.2%	.0%	24.3%	13.7%	.0%	20.6%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	1	0	0
		11.7%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	14	56	7
	Very satisfied	5	14	2
		39.4%	25.9%	24.6%
	Somewhat satisfied	3	12	1
		24.4%	21.9%	9.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	5	14	4
		36.2%	25.9%	58.5%
	Very dissatisfied	0	15	1
		.0%	26.3%	7.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					a		
	Somewhat satisfied					a		
	Somewhat dissatisfied			l	.b	a,b		
	Very dissatisfied			.	.	a		
	DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				a			
	Somewhat satisfied	A J N	A N		a,b			
	Somewhat dissatisfied	.b			a			
	Very dissatisfied	.b			a,b		.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b		a,b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	
	Somewhat satisfied	
	Somewhat dissatisfied	
	Very dissatisfied	
	DK/NA	.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very satisfied	95	11	4	5	12	3
		16.3%	18.5%	7.9%	17.3%	31.6%	9.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	32	35	16	13	10
		36.6%	53.3%	67.8%	55.0%	34.4%	32.4%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	10	5	6	3	15
		24.1%	16.2%	10.1%	21.5%	6.9%	45.4%
	Very dissatisfied	125	4	7	2	10	2
		21.5%	6.0%	12.8%	6.2%	27.1%	7.3%
	DK/NA	8	4	1	0	0	2
		1.5%	6.1%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	5.2%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very satisfied	9	5	6	15	25
		22.9%	12.9%	17.7%	11.1%	19.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	10	13	9	35	40
		23.3%	33.4%	25.4%	26.7%	32.0%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	15	12	11	39	25
		35.1%	31.9%	33.5%	29.5%	19.7%
	Very dissatisfied	8	8	8	43	34
		18.7%	21.8%	23.5%	32.7%	26.7%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied	I	F H I J			
	Somewhat dissatisfied					B D
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA			a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied					
	Somewhat satisfied					
	Somewhat dissatisfied					
	Very dissatisfied					
	DK/NA	a	a	a	A a	A
		.b	.b	.b	.b	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very satisfied	95	34	31	30
		16.3%	20.4%	20.1%	11.5%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	77	62	74
		36.6%	46.0%	40.7%	28.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	140	17	36	87
		24.1%	10.2%	23.6%	33.3%
	Very dissatisfied	125	35	21	70
		21.5%	20.7%	13.7%	26.6%
	DK/NA	8	4	3	1
		1.5%	2.7%	1.9%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied	C		
	Somewhat satisfied	C	C	
	Somewhat dissatisfied		A	A
	Very dissatisfied			B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Very satisfied	80	22	16	18	23
		17.2%	17.7%	17.7%	23.1%	13.9%
	Somewhat satisfied	159	46	38	28	46
		34.5%	36.9%	42.4%	36.2%	27.6%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	118	37	18	11	53
		25.6%	29.0%	19.8%	14.1%	31.6%
	Very dissatisfied	96	18	18	18	42
		20.9%	14.0%	20.1%	23.6%	25.1%
	DK/NA	8	3	0	2	3
		1.8%	2.4%	.0%	3.1%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied				
	Somewhat satisfied				
	Somewhat dissatisfied				
	Very dissatisfied				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very satisfied	93	17	17	6	12	20
		16.0%	13.6%	13.8%	15.0%	30.4%	15.7%
	Somewhat satisfied	213	47	44	11	9	50
		36.8%	37.9%	37.0%	28.7%	22.8%	39.2%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	139	30	22	12	11	32
		24.0%	24.7%	18.3%	29.6%	27.2%	24.8%
	Very dissatisfied	125	28	34	11	8	22
		21.6%	22.6%	28.0%	26.7%	19.7%	17.5%
	DK/NA	8	1	3	0	0	4
		1.5%	1.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	2.8%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Total	112	7	9
	Very satisfied	17	4	0
		15.5%	54.1%	.0%
	Somewhat satisfied	45	2	5
		40.1%	24.5%	53.5%
	Somewhat dissatisfied	30	0	2
		27.0%	2.2%	22.1%
	Very dissatisfied	19	1	2
		17.3%	19.3%	24.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied						
	Somewhat satisfied						
	Somewhat dissatisfied						
	Very dissatisfied			a	a		
	DK/NA			.	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
18. Compared to the neighboring cities in the Bay Area, are you satisfied or dissatisfied with the shopping environment in Cupertino?	Very satisfied		a
	Somewhat satisfied		.
	Somewhat dissatisfied		
	Very dissatisfied	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	85
		14.6%	14.6%
	Book stores	36	36
		6.2%	6.2%
	Department stores	89	89
		15.3%	15.3%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	86
		14.8%	14.8%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	37
		6.3%	6.3%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	39
		6.7%	6.7%
	Fast food	28	28
		4.8%	4.8%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	50
		8.6%	8.6%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	65
		11.1%	11.1%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	73
		12.5%	12.5%
	Pet stores	2	2
		.3%	.3%
	Restaurants / High end	113	113
		19.4%	19.4%
	Shopping / Retail	85	85
		14.7%	14.7%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	34
		5.9%	5.9%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	15
		2.6%	2.6%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	23
		4.0%	4.0%
	Vallco - General mention	31	31
		5.3%	5.3%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	8
		1.3%	1.3%
	Auto related	1	1
		.2%	.2%
	Medical related	3	3
		.5%	.5%
	Other Mention	36	36
		6.3%	6.3%
	Nothing	47	47
		8.1%	8.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	27
		4.7%	4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a, b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	.
	Book stores	.
	Department stores	.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	.
	Electronics / Software / High tech	.
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	.
	Fast food	.
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	.
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	.
	Pet stores	.
	Restaurants / High end	.
	Shopping / Retail	.
	Sports / Sports facilities	.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.
	Entertainment venues/Night life	.
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.
	Vallco - General mention	.
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	.
	Auto related	.
	Medical related	.
	Other Mention	.
	Nothing	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	34	52	0
		14.6%	12.0%	17.3%	.0%
	Book stores	36	21	15	0
		6.2%	7.3%	5.1%	.0%
	Department stores	89	28	60	1
		15.3%	10.1%	20.0%	34.4%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	34	52	0
		14.8%	12.2%	17.5%	.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	25	12	0
		6.3%	8.8%	4.0%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	5	34	0
		6.7%	1.7%	11.4%	.0%
	Fast food	28	14	14	0
		4.8%	5.1%	4.6%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	27	23	0
		8.6%	9.6%	7.9%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	26	39	0
		11.1%	9.3%	13.0%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	20	52	0
		12.5%	7.3%	17.5%	.0%
	Pet stores	2	0	2	0
		.3%	.0%	.7%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	52	61	0
		19.4%	18.5%	20.4%	.0%
	Shopping / Retail	85	34	50	1
		14.7%	12.1%	16.9%	34.4%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	21	13	0
		5.9%	7.5%	4.4%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	4	2	0
		1.2%	1.6%	.8%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	9	6	0
		2.6%	3.3%	2.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	10	13	0
		4.0%	3.7%	4.2%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	31	20	11	0
		5.3%	7.1%	3.6%	.0%
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	8	6	1	0
		1.3%	2.2%	.5%	.0%
	Auto related	1	0	1	0
		.2%	.1%	.4%	.0%
	Medical related	3	2	1	0
		.5%	.7%	.4%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	16	19	1
		6.3%	5.7%	6.5%	33.7%
	Nothing	47	23	23	1
		8.1%	8.2%	7.8%	31.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	22	6	0
		4.7%	7.7%	1.9%	.0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	B	A	a
	Book stores			a
	Department stores			
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco			a
	Electronics / Software / High tech			a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a
	Fast food			a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		A	a
	Pet stores	a	a	
	Restaurants / High end		a	
	Shopping / Retail		a	
	Sports / Sports facilities		a	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		a	
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	
	Mall/Outdoor mall		a	
	Vallco - General mention		a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a	
	Auto related		a	
	Medical related		a	
	Other Mention			
	Nothing			
	Not Sure/DK/NA		B	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ Not coded
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	5	12	17	14	35 3
		14.6%	6.3%	11.4%	12.1%	9.3%	33.9% 37.4%
	Book stores	36	6	5	6	10	7 2
		6.2%	7.2%	5.3%	4.0%	6.8%	6.7% 24.3%
	Department stores	89	17	11	12	23	21 6
		15.3%	21.9%	10.6%	8.2%	15.0%	20.8% 68.5%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	14	18	22	14	14 4
		14.8%	18.9%	17.8%	15.3%	9.1%	14.2% 44.6%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	11	10	7	5	3 0
		6.3%	14.6%	10.1%	5.0%	3.6%	2.8% .0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	3	19	6	8	3 0
		6.7%	3.7%	18.8%	4.1%	5.2%	3.0% .0%
	Fast food	28	6	7	5	7	3 0
		4.8%	7.3%	6.8%	3.7%	4.7%	3.1% .0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	10	14	10	5	10 0
		8.6%	13.6%	14.2%	6.8%	3.6%	10.2% .0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	14	16	13	11	10 1
		11.1%	18.3%	15.8%	9.5%	7.0%	9.5% 11.8%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	15	14	13	18	12 0
		12.5%	20.0%	13.8%	9.5%	11.8%	11.6% .0%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	2	0 0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.3%	1.0%	.0% .0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	10	24	35	31	11 1
		19.4%	13.0%	24.0%	25.0%	20.6%	10.4% 12.5%
	Shopping / Retail	85	9	19	23	22	9 2
		14.7%	12.2%	19.1%	16.5%	14.3%	9.3% 24.9%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	5	5	13	10	1 0
		5.9%	6.6%	4.8%	9.4%	6.4%	1.3% .0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	0	3	4	1 0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	2.4%	.6% .0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	2	5	4	4	0 0
		2.6%	3.1%	4.7%	2.7%	2.7%	.0% .0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	7	8	7	2 0
		4.0%	.0%	6.6%	5.4%	4.3%	2.1% .0%
	Vallco - General mention	31	0	5	12	10	4 0
		5.3%	.0%	4.7%	8.3%	6.6%	4.2% .0%
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	8	0	6	0	1	0 0
		1.3%	.0%	5.5%	.3%	.9%	.3% .0%
	Auto related	1	0	0	1	0	0 0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.2%	.0% .0%
	Medical related	3	0	0	0	3	0 0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0% .0%
	Other Mention	36	6	8	10	6	7 1
		6.3%	7.3%	7.6%	7.0%	3.7%	6.4% 12.5%
	Nothing	47	5	3	15	13	11 0
		8.1%	6.7%	2.9%	10.7%	8.6%	11.0% .0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	7	4	4	6	5 0
		4.7%	8.7%	4.3%	3.1%	4.2%	5.1% .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					Not coded (F)
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					A B C D	A
	Book stores						A B C D E
	Department stores						D
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco						a
	Electronics / Software / High tech	D E					a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A C D E				a
	Fast food						a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	D	D				a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's						a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a	a			a	a
	Pet stores
	Restaurants / High end						.
	Shopping / Retail						a
	Sports / Sports facilities						a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a			.	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a				a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a				.	a
	Vallco - General mention	a				.	a
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a				.	a
	Auto related	a	a			a	a
	Medical related	a	a	a		.	a
	Other Mention
	Nothing						a
	Not Sure/DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	54	31
		14.6%	12.7%	19.8%
	Book stores	36	27	8
		6.2%	6.5%	5.3%
	Department stores	89	65	24
		15.3%	15.2%	15.5%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	68	19
		14.8%	15.9%	11.8%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	26	10
		6.3%	6.2%	6.6%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	25	14
		6.7%	5.8%	8.8%
	Fast food	28	24	4
		4.8%	5.6%	2.6%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	32	18
		8.6%	7.6%	11.5%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	51	14
		11.1%	12.1%	8.6%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	55	18
		12.5%	12.9%	11.3%
	Pet stores	2	2	0
		.3%	.4%	.3%
	Restaurants / High end	113	76	36
		19.4%	18.0%	23.0%
	Shopping / Retail	85	68	18
		14.7%	16.0%	11.1%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	22	12
		5.9%	5.2%	7.7%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.4%	.7%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	12	3
		2.6%	2.9%	1.8%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	19	4
		4.0%	4.4%	2.8%
	Vallco - General mention	31	25	5
		5.3%	6.0%	3.3%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	4	3
		1.3%	1.0%	2.1%
	Auto related	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	Medical related	3	3	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	26	11
		6.3%	6.1%	6.8%
	Nothing	47	35	13
		8.1%	8.2%	8.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	22	5
		4.7%	5.2%	3.3%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		A
	Book stores		
	Department stores		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		
	Electronics / Software / High tech		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		
	Fast food		
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		
	Pet stores		
	Restaurants / High end		
	Shopping / Retail		
	Sports / Sports facilities		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		
	Mall/Outdoor mall		
	Vallco - General mention		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a
	Auto related		a
	Medical related		.
	Other Mention		.
	Nothing		
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	41	12	1	32
		14.6%	16.7%	14.5%	8.8%	13.0%
	Book stores	36	20	6	1	9
		6.2%	8.1%	6.8%	7.6%	3.9%
	Department stores	89	38	17	4	30
		15.3%	15.7%	20.8%	24.1%	12.5%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	35	6	1	44
		14.8%	14.3%	7.8%	7.2%	18.2%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	19	5	0	13
		6.3%	7.7%	6.4%	.0%	5.3%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	13	3	0	22
		6.7%	5.4%	4.1%	.0%	9.3%
	Fast food	28	14	3	1	10
		4.8%	5.9%	3.3%	3.7%	4.3%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	23	6	2	19
		8.6%	9.6%	7.2%	11.5%	8.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	34	9	1	21
		11.1%	14.1%	11.0%	4.9%	8.6%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	41	8	1	22
		12.5%	16.8%	10.1%	6.8%	9.3%
	Pet stores	2	2	0	0	0
		.3%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	51	13	6	43
		19.4%	20.9%	16.0%	35.6%	17.9%
	Shopping / Retail	85	36	15	2	32
		14.7%	14.7%	18.9%	15.0%	13.2%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	13	4	0	17
		5.9%	5.2%	5.6%	.0%	7.1%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	1	0	0	5
		1.2%	.5%	.4%	2.2%	2.1%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	7	1	1	6
		2.6%	2.7%	1.6%	7.3%	2.5%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	8	4	2	10
		4.0%	3.1%	4.4%	12.5%	4.1%
	Vallco - General mention	31	7	6	2	16
		5.3%	2.8%	6.9%	12.5%	6.8%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	6	0	0	1
		1.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Medical related	3	1	0	0	2
		.5%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	Other Mention	36	16	4	1	16
		6.3%	6.5%	5.2%	5.0%	6.5%
	Nothing	47	20	7	0	20
		8.1%	8.3%	9.2%	.0%	8.2%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	9	2	3	13
		4.7%	3.5%	3.1%	16.3%	5.6%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
	Book stores				
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech			a	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a	
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				
	Pet stores		a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end				
	Shopping / Retail			a	
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				
	Entertainment venues/Night life				
	Mall/Outdoor mall				
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a	a	
	Auto related	a	a	a	
	Medical related		a	a	
	Other Mention				
	Nothing			a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party					
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	14	10	6	4	18
	14.6%	11.7%	14.8%	19.4%	15.5%	14.1%	15.8%
	Book stores	36	9	6	2	3	8
	6.2%	7.0%	9.6%	6.4%	11.8%	6.6%	3.4%
	Department stores	89	16	18	5	6	19
	15.3%	12.9%	26.6%	15.7%	20.3%	15.2%	12.4%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	12	14	3	2	21
	14.8%	9.6%	20.6%	9.4%	8.3%	16.1%	17.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	9	6	2	2	11
	6.3%	7.5%	9.6%	5.4%	5.3%	8.5%	3.5%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	8	0	2	1	10
	6.7%	6.7%	.0%	6.9%	3.7%	8.0%	8.3%
	Fast food	28	3	6	0	1	10
	4.8%	2.6%	9.1%	.0%	2.3%	7.8%	4.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	12	7	2	4	13
	8.6%	9.6%	11.0%	5.3%	14.4%	10.4%	5.9%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	17	13	4	2	18
	11.1%	13.6%	19.0%	12.6%	8.4%	14.1%	5.4%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	18	10	3	2	19
	12.5%	14.9%	14.6%	9.6%	8.3%	14.8%	9.9%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0	2
	.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	25	14	4	7	24
	19.4%	20.7%	21.6%	12.7%	24.9%	19.0%	18.4%
	Shopping / Retail	85	14	6	7	6	26
	14.7%	11.5%	9.2%	21.2%	21.5%	20.0%	13.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	7	1	3	1	5
	5.9%	5.6%	2.0%	9.6%	3.7%	4.0%	8.2%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	1	0	0	0	1
	1.2%	1.0%	.1%	.3%	.0%	1.1%	2.1%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	5	0	1	0	4
	2.6%	4.3%	.3%	3.9%	.0%	2.7%	2.4%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	6	1	1	2	4
	4.0%	5.2%	2.0%	3.8%	7.0%	3.5%	3.8%
	Vallco - General mention	31	4	2	4	0	10
	5.3%	3.2%	2.6%	12.0%	.0%	8.1%	5.3%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	3	0	0	0	4
	1.3%	2.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	.1%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	0	0
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	Medical related	3	1	0	0	0	1
	.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.5%
	Other Mention	36	12	4	1	2	4
	6.3%	9.8%	5.9%	3.6%	8.1%	3.2%	6.4%
	Nothing	47	10	5	4	1	10
	8.1%	8.1%	7.0%	12.8%	3.3%	8.0%	8.6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	2	4	1	0	10
	4.7%	1.9%	5.9%	4.0%	.3%	8.1%	4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway						
	Book stores						
	Department stores						
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco						
	Electronics / Software / High tech		a				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a			
	Fast food						
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied						
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		F				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop						
	Pet stores		a	a	a		a
	Restaurants / High end						
	Shopping / Retail						
	Sports / Sports facilities						
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a		
	Entertainment venues/Night life				a		
	Mall/Outdoor mall				a		
	Vallco - General mention				a		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods			a	a		
	Auto related	a	a	a	a	a	
	Medical related		a	a	a		
	Other Mention						
	Nothing						
	Not Sure/DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	33	6	15	4
		14.6%	12.4%	7.2%	18.1%	7.9%
	Book stores	36	16	6	3	2
		6.2%	5.9%	7.1%	4.1%	5.1%
	Department stores	89	36	14	5	7
		15.3%	13.8%	18.4%	5.9%	13.9%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	38	14	12	8
		14.8%	14.6%	18.3%	14.7%	16.2%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	19	7	3	4
		6.3%	7.2%	8.7%	4.1%	8.2%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	27	2	7	2
		6.7%	10.2%	2.0%	8.0%	3.4%
	Fast food	28	11	6	4	2
		4.8%	4.1%	7.2%	5.4%	5.2%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	22	6	12	2
		8.6%	8.3%	8.1%	15.0%	3.4%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	28	12	5	8
		11.1%	10.6%	14.7%	6.6%	17.5%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	38	3	5	9
		12.5%	14.3%	3.9%	5.9%	18.8%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	51	22	13	11
		19.4%	19.3%	28.7%	15.7%	23.5%
	Shopping / Retail	85	42	6	13	6
		14.7%	15.8%	8.1%	16.5%	13.3%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	14	13	3	2
		5.9%	5.5%	16.0%	3.3%	4.6%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	3	1	0	1
		1.2%	1.2%	1.6%	.0%	2.5%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	10	4	1	0
		2.6%	3.7%	5.1%	1.3%	.5%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	11	2	2	3
		4.0%	4.0%	3.1%	2.8%	5.7%
	Vallco - General mention	31	12	7	2	5
		5.3%	4.6%	8.7%	2.4%	9.8%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	6	0	0	0
		1.3%	2.3%	.0%	.3%	.0%
	Auto related	1	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.5%	.0%	.3%	.0%
	Medical related	3	2	0	1	0
		.5%	.8%	.0%	1.3%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	19	6	4	1
		6.3%	7.2%	7.0%	5.4%	2.1%
	Nothing	47	15	8	11	7
		8.1%	5.8%	10.1%	13.3%	14.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	16	2	3	0
		4.7%	6.0%	3.0%	3.4%	.6%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	5	7	8	8
		19.0%	31.6%	21.2%	32.9%
	Book stores	0	1	2	6
		.0%	2.8%	5.4%	25.8%
	Department stores	2	5	9	11
		7.1%	22.5%	22.5%	46.2%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	2	1	5	6
		5.9%	6.2%	12.1%	26.1%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	1	0	1	1
		3.5%	1.3%	3.3%	5.1%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0	0	2	1
		.0%	.0%	4.2%	2.4%
	Fast food	0	0	3	1
		.0%	.0%	9.1%	5.3%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	5	0	3	0
		18.1%	.0%	8.5%	1.4%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	0	2	6	3
		.0%	9.9%	16.0%	14.2%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	4	4	9	1
		14.0%	18.0%	24.8%	3.7%
	Pet stores	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	5	1	6	3
		19.9%	2.3%	16.9%	13.6%
	Shopping / Retail	4	5	6	3
		16.5%	20.3%	15.4%	11.8%
	Sports / Sports facilities	1	0	1	0
		5.6%	1.3%	1.5%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	1	0	0
		.0%	4.5%	.7%	.8%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	0	0	0	0
		.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	1	2	2	0
		4.1%	8.7%	5.2%	.4%
	Vallco - General mention	1	2	2	0
		3.4%	10.2%	4.3%	1.2%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1	0	0	0
		4.1%	.0%	.4%	.0%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	2	1	3	2
		6.2%	3.4%	7.0%	6.9%
	Nothing	1	2	2	2
		4.6%	7.0%	4.3%	7.7%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	4	1	1	0
		13.5%	3.9%	3.5%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
	Book stores				
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch				
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a		a	a
	Pet stores				
	Restaurants / High end				
	Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			a	
	Entertainment venues/Night life				
	Mall/Outdoor mall				
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a			a
	Auto related	a			a
	Medical related	a			a
	Other Mention				
	Nothing				
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				B
	Book stores	a			A C
	Department stores	.			A C E
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a	a		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a	a		
	Fast food	.	a		
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		.		
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a			
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			B	
	Pet stores	a	a		a
	Restaurants / High end	.	.		.
	Shopping / Retail				a
	Sports / Sports facilities				.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a			
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a		a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.		.	.
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a		a
	Auto related	a	a	a	a
	Medical related	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention
	Nothing				
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	1	10	4	10	3	3	4
		14.6%	9.9%	5.7%	10.7%	22.1%	16.3%	19.1%	10.6%
	Book stores	36	1	2	3	7	1	2	3
		6.2%	9.9%	1.1%	7.8%	14.9%	6.3%	12.2%	6.2%
	Department stores	89	2	16	7	8	4	1	9
		15.3%	37.6%	9.1%	16.0%	16.6%	24.1%	8.6%	20.4%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	1	10	2	14	2	0	5
		14.8%	9.9%	5.7%	5.8%	30.8%	9.3%	1.1%	11.7%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	6	3	7	1	1	1
		6.3%	.0%	3.2%	8.3%	15.4%	3.5%	4.5%	2.9%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Fast food	28	1	4	5	11	0	0	0
		4.8%	9.0%	2.1%	12.5%	24.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	0	3	7	4	3	3	1
		8.6%	.0%	1.5%	16.7%	8.8%	19.4%	24.2%	3.4%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	5	14	4	8	4	0	1
		11.1%	71.3%	8.3%	10.3%	18.0%	24.7%	1.1%	2.2%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	1	18	9	4	5	3	3
		12.5%	12.0%	10.1%	22.6%	7.9%	30.2%	24.4%	7.7%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	3	24	21	16	2	5	5
		19.4%	52.5%	13.7%	50.1%	33.8%	12.1%	35.1%	11.1%
	Shopping / Retail	85	1	17	7	6	4	0	10
		14.7%	12.0%	9.7%	16.2%	12.9%	26.2%	1.6%	23.2%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	0	9	3	6	0	0	6
		5.9%	.0%	5.0%	8.1%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	13.8%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	4	1	0	0	0	2
		1.2%	.0%	2.4%	2.6%	.0%	.9%	1.1%	3.6%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	11	1	0	0	1	2
		2.6%	.0%	6.2%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	3.7%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	18	1	1	1	0	1
		4.0%	.0%	10.7%	2.5%	2.6%	5.8%	.0%	3.4%
	Vallco - General mention	31	0	19	0	0	4	0	2
		5.3%	.0%	10.8%	.9%	.4%	24.1%	.0%	5.8%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.2%
	Auto related	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	3	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	3	8	0	6	0	2	0
		6.3%	52.5%	4.6%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	17.4%	.6%
	Nothing	47	0	16	4	2	0	1	5
		8.1%	.0%	9.5%	9.8%	4.8%	.0%	7.8%	12.6%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	1	10	3	2	0	0	2
		4.7%	9.9%	5.5%	7.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	3.7%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	16	13	20	0	0
		16.9%	18.2%	31.6%	.0%	5.3%
	Book stores	4	12	2	0	0
		4.4%	16.6%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Department stores	20	12	10	0	0
		20.7%	16.8%	15.8%	.0%	4.3%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	20	12	21	0	0
		20.7%	16.0%	32.2%	.0%	.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	1	10	7	0	0
		.6%	14.2%	11.3%	.0%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	1	6	29	0	0
		1.5%	7.8%	45.2%	.0%	.0%
	Fast food	4	0	3	0	0
		4.3%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	21	3	5	0	0
		21.3%	4.4%	7.6%	.0%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	4	13	11	0	0
		4.4%	17.1%	17.3%	.0%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	9	4	14	0	2
		9.7%	4.8%	22.1%	.0%	43.6%
	Pet stores	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	14	12	10	0	2
		14.8%	16.1%	15.6%	.0%	27.7%
	Shopping / Retail	6	16	17	0	2
		6.3%	22.4%	25.8%	.0%	32.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	2	6	2	0	0
		2.5%	8.6%	2.6%	.0%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	0	2	0	0	3
		.0%	2.7%	.6%	.0%	47.9%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%
	Medical related	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	19.1%
	Other Mention	5	8	3	0	0
		5.5%	10.6%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	5	11	0	2	0
		5.5%	14.8%	.0%	90.2%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	5	0	5	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				B			
	Book stores				B			
	Department stores							
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				B			
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a		a	H	a	a	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a	a			a	a	a
	Fast food			B	B H J			
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	a		B		B	B	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	B C F G H I						
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Pet stores							
	Restaurants / High end			B G H I J				
	Shopping / Retail	a				a	a	
	Sports / Sports facilities	a						
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a			a			
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a			a	a		
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a					a	
	Vallco - General mention	a				D I J		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a		a	a	a		
	Auto related	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Medical related	a		a	a	a		a
	Other Mention	B G H J		a		a		
	Nothing	a				a		
	Not Sure/DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			B	a	
	Book stores		B		a	a
	Department stores				a	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	B		B	a	a
	Electronics / Software / High tech		B H		a	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			D G H I	a	a
	Fast food		a		a	a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	B			a	a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				a	a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				a	
	Pet stores	a	a		a	a
	Restaurants / High end				a	
	Shopping / Retail			H	a	a
	Sports / Sports facilities				a	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a	a	a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a		a	a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a	a	a	a	a
	Vallco - General mention				a	C D I J
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods		a	a	a	a
	Auto related	a	a	a	a	a
	Medical related	a	a	a	a	B
	Other Mention				a	a
	Nothing			a	B C D H	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	67	19
		14.6%	14.4%	15.8%
	Book stores	36	22	14
		6.2%	4.7%	12.1%
	Department stores	89	70	19
		15.3%	15.1%	16.2%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	66	20
		14.8%	14.3%	16.9%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	24	13
		6.3%	5.1%	11.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	28	11
		6.7%	6.1%	9.0%
	Fast food	28	16	12
		4.8%	3.5%	9.9%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	41	9
		8.6%	8.9%	7.7%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	49	16
		11.1%	10.5%	13.6%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	59	13
		12.5%	12.8%	11.2%
	Pet stores	2	2	0
		.3%	.3%	.3%
	Restaurants / High end	113	94	19
		19.4%	20.3%	15.9%
	Shopping / Retail	85	67	18
		14.7%	14.5%	15.4%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	24	10
		5.9%	5.2%	8.4%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	7	0
		1.2%	1.4%	.3%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	11	4
		2.6%	2.3%	3.6%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	20	3
		4.0%	4.3%	2.8%
	Vallco - General mention	31	28	3
		5.3%	6.0%	2.3%
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	8	7	0
		1.3%	1.6%	.2%
	Auto related	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	Medical related	3	3	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	25	12
		6.3%	5.3%	10.1%
	Nothing	47	42	5
		8.1%	9.1%	4.3%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	22	5
		4.7%	4.7%	4.5%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		A
	Book stores		A
	Department stores		A
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		A
	Electronics / Software / High tech		A
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A
	Fast food		A
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		A
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		A
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		A
	Pet stores		A
	Restaurants / High end		A
	Shopping / Retail		A
	Sports / Sports facilities		A
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		A
	Entertainment venues/Night life		A
	Mall/Outdoor mall		A
	Vallco - General mention		A
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		A
	Auto related		A
	Medical related		A
	Other Mention		A
	Nothing		A
	Not Sure/DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	46	40
		14.6%	13.2%	16.8%
	Book stores	36	19	17
		6.2%	5.3%	7.4%
	Department stores	89	58	31
		15.3%	16.7%	13.2%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	46	40
		14.8%	13.4%	17.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	20	17
		6.3%	5.7%	7.2%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	11	28
		6.7%	3.1%	11.9%
	Fast food	28	15	13
		4.8%	4.4%	5.5%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	30	20
		8.6%	8.8%	8.4%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	38	27
		11.1%	11.0%	11.4%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	40	32
		12.5%	11.6%	13.8%
	Pet stores	2	2	0
		.3%	.6%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	65	48
		19.4%	18.7%	20.3%
	Shopping / Retail	85	57	29
		14.7%	16.3%	12.2%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	20	15
		5.9%	5.6%	6.2%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	3	4
		1.2%	.9%	1.6%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	11	4
		2.6%	3.3%	1.6%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	14	9
		4.0%	4.1%	3.8%
	Vallco - General mention	31	25	6
		5.3%	7.1%	2.6%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	2	6
		1.3%	.6%	2.4%
	Auto related	1	1	0
		.2%	.4%	.0%
	Medical related	3	2	1
		.5%	.6%	.4%
	Other Mention	36	14	23
		6.3%	4.0%	9.6%
	Nothing	47	35	12
		8.1%	10.2%	5.2%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	17	10
		4.7%	5.0%	4.2%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		
	Book stores		
	Department stores		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		
	Electronics / Software / High tech		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A
	Fast food		
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a
	Pet stores		.
	Restaurants / High end		
	Shopping / Retail		
	Sports / Sports facilities		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		
	Mall/Outdoor mall	B	
	Vallco - General mention		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a
	Auto related		.
	Medical related		
	Other Mention		A
	Nothing	B	
	Not Sure/DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	0	11	4	15
		14.6%	.0%	22.6%	4.5%	11.5%
	Book stores	36	2	4	1	10
		6.2%	14.7%	7.2%	1.2%	7.3%
	Department stores	89	6	7	15	21
		15.3%	60.1%	13.6%	15.5%	15.7%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	0	2	11	19
		14.8%	.0%	3.5%	12.2%	14.6%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	3	2	10
		6.3%	.0%	5.8%	2.4%	8.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	0	8	0	7
		6.7%	.0%	16.4%	.0%	5.6%
	Fast food	28	0	3	2	10
		4.8%	.0%	6.3%	1.8%	7.5%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	3	4	7	10
		8.6%	27.1%	8.5%	7.0%	7.8%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	0	0	7	26
		11.1%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	20.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	5	9	4	16
		12.5%	45.4%	18.5%	4.3%	12.5%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Restaurants / High end	113	3	7	20	33
		19.4%	27.1%	14.7%	21.0%	25.3%
	Shopping / Retail	85	0	8	7	24
		14.7%	2.7%	17.2%	7.4%	18.3%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	1	3	8	6
		5.9%	11.6%	6.0%	8.0%	4.8%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	1	1	1
		1.2%	.0%	2.2%	1.2%	.9%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	1	5	1
		2.6%	.0%	2.4%	5.7%	1.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	2	2	6
		4.0%	.0%	3.2%	2.3%	4.5%
	Vallco - General mention	31	0	0	8	9
		5.3%	.0%	.2%	8.0%	6.5%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	3	0	3	0
		1.3%	25.6%	.7%	2.9%	.0%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.9%
	Medical related	3	0	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	Other Mention	36	0	3	4	7
		6.3%	.0%	5.8%	4.3%	5.6%
	Nothing	47	0	3	13	10
		8.1%	.0%	5.4%	13.8%	7.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	0	2	5	5
		4.7%	.0%	3.5%	5.1%	3.8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	26	29	0
		15.9%	21.7%	.0%
	Book stores	9	11	0
		5.8%	8.0%	.0%
	Department stores	14	27	0
		8.5%	20.2%	.0%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	30	24	0
		18.3%	18.2%	.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	12	9	0
		7.5%	6.8%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	13	10	0
		8.1%	7.6%	.0%
	Fast food	10	3	0
		6.1%	2.6%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	11	15	0
		6.7%	11.7%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	20	11	0
		12.3%	8.5%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	16	21	1
		9.9%	16.1%	100.0%
	Pet stores	0	2	0
		.0%	1.2%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	32	17	0
		19.8%	13.0%	.0%
	Shopping / Retail	24	22	0
		14.6%	16.3%	.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	9	8	0
		5.3%	5.8%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	2	1	0
		1.3%	1.1%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	5	2	0
		3.3%	1.5%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	7	6	0
		4.4%	4.7%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	8	6	0
		5.1%	4.7%	.0%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1	0	0
		.8%	.3%	.0%
	Auto related	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	2	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	17	5	0
		10.4%	4.0%	.0%
	Nothing	10	11	0
		6.1%	8.6%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	8	7	0
		5.2%	5.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	C		
	Book stores				
	Department stores	B C D E F			
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	a			
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a			
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a		a	
	Fast food	a			
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	a		F
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	C E			
	Pet stores	a	a	a	
	Restaurants / High end				
	Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a			
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a			
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a			
	Vallco - General mention	a			
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	B C E F			a
	Auto related	a	a		
	Medical related	a	a	a	
	Other Mention	a			
	Nothing	a			
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		C	a,,b
	Book stores			a,,b
	Department stores			a,,b
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco			a,,b
	Electronics / Software / High tech			a,,b
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch			a,,b
	Fast food			a,,b
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			a,,b
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			a,,b
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a		a,,b
	Pet stores			a,,b
	Restaurants / High end			a,,b
	Shopping / Retail			a,,b
	Sports / Sports facilities			a,,b
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			a,,b
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a,,b
	Mall/Outdoor mall			a,,b
	Vallco - General mention			a,,b
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods			a,,b
	Auto related	a	a	a,,b
	Medical related		a	a,,b
	Other Mention			a,,b
	Nothing			a,,b
	Not Sure/DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	16	27	24	8	10	0
		14.6%	35.4%	12.8%	17.1%	6.8%	16.9%	.0%
	Book stores	35	5	17	4	5	5	1
		6.1%	10.0%	8.0%	2.6%	4.3%	7.9%	5.7%
	Department stores	88	9	37	17	22	1	2
		15.2%	20.8%	17.7%	12.1%	19.0%	2.4%	14.7%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	85	7	23	15	19	20	0
		14.7%	14.7%	11.0%	11.0%	17.0%	35.0%	.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	11	2	10	14	0
		6.3%	.7%	5.1%	1.2%	9.1%	23.4%	1.9%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	2	11	11	6	9	0
		6.7%	3.7%	5.1%	8.1%	4.9%	16.2%	.0%
	Fast food	28	3	5	5	9	6	0
		4.8%	6.9%	2.4%	3.4%	8.0%	10.3%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	3	28	5	7	7	0
		8.7%	6.9%	13.3%	3.8%	6.0%	12.0%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	4	19	12	20	9	1
		11.2%	8.7%	9.2%	8.3%	17.7%	15.1%	9.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	7	24	18	16	6	1
		12.5%	14.9%	11.6%	13.0%	14.3%	9.6%	10.7%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	4	46	24	21	17	0
		19.4%	8.7%	21.9%	17.3%	18.6%	29.6%	.0%
	Shopping / Retail	85	4	35	19	18	7	3
		14.7%	9.0%	16.5%	13.3%	16.1%	11.8%	22.3%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	0	7	12	7	8	0
		5.9%	.0%	3.3%	8.6%	6.5%	13.5%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	2	0	3	1	0
		1.2%	.3%	.9%	.3%	3.0%	1.9%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	9	2	5	0	0
		2.6%	.0%	4.1%	1.1%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	1	8	5	3	2	4
		4.0%	2.3%	3.9%	3.4%	2.7%	3.6%	34.5%
	Vallco - General mention	31	2	10	6	7	4	3
		5.3%	3.6%	4.7%	4.0%	6.3%	6.3%	23.9%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	6	1	0	0	0
		1.3%	.8%	2.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	2	6	9	12	6	0
		6.3%	5.3%	3.0%	6.6%	10.5%	11.1%	.0%
	Nothing	47	5	12	17	4	6	3
		8.1%	11.4%	5.5%	12.4%	3.3%	9.9%	26.7%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	2	11	6	5	3	0
		4.7%	5.3%	5.2%	4.0%	4.6%	4.8%	1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	B D					a
	Book stores						.
	Department stores	E	E		E		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					B C	a
	Electronics / Software / High tech				C	A B C	.
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch					B	a
	Fast food						.
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		C				a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's						.
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a			a	a	a
	Pet stores	.			.	.	a
	Restaurants / High end						.
	Shopping / Retail	a				B	a
	Sports / Sports facilities	.					.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot						a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a				a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.					A B C D E
	Vallco - General mention						
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods				a	a	.
	Auto related	a	a			a	a
	Medical related	.	.			.	a
	Other Mention
	Nothing						D
	Not Sure/DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	0	0	33
		14.6%	.0%	.0%	17.2%
	Book stores	36	0	0	8
		6.2%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	Department stores	89	1	0	22
		15.3%	50.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	1	0	23
		14.8%	50.0%	.0%	12.3%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	0	0	8
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	0	0	11
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
	Fast food	28	1	0	5
		4.8%	50.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	0	3	10
		8.6%	.0%	100.0%	5.1%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	0	0	12
		11.1%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	1	0	23
		12.5%	50.0%	.0%	12.1%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	0	0	26
		19.4%	.0%	.0%	13.5%
	Shopping / Retail	85	0	0	23
		14.7%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	0	0	12
		5.9%	.0%	.0%	6.5%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	0	4
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	0	4
		2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	0	12
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	6.2%
	Vallco - General mention	31	0	0	12
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	6.2%
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	8	0	0	3
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	Auto related	1	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Medical related	3	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	Other Mention	36	0	0	6
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Nothing	47	0	0	13
		8.1%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	0	0	7
		4.7%	.0%	.0%	3.5%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	0	16	4	2
		.0%	15.5%	25.9%	6.9%
	Book stores	0	4	3	0
		.0%	3.5%	17.3%	.0%
	Department stores	0	12	4	3
		.0%	10.9%	25.5%	11.4%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	0	8	5	3
		.0%	7.8%	34.9%	13.3%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	0	5	0	3
		.0%	4.7%	.0%	11.2%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0	3	0	7
		.0%	3.1%	.0%	26.5%
	Fast food	0	2	3	2
		.0%	1.6%	18.4%	6.6%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0	13	2	3
		.0%	12.3%	9.9%	13.1%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	0	16	3	6
		.0%	15.1%	18.4%	24.5%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	0	12	1	2
		.0%	11.3%	6.7%	6.9%
	Pet stores	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	6.4%
	Restaurants / High end	1	23	6	2
		48.8%	22.0%	37.5%	6.6%
	Shopping / Retail	1	14	3	5
		48.8%	13.1%	17.0%	20.2%
	Sports / Sports facilities	0	5	1	1
		.0%	4.3%	7.1%	4.7%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	1	5	1	0
		48.8%	4.4%	6.7%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	1	1	2	0
		51.2%	1.0%	13.8%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	0	10	1	0
		.0%	9.1%	7.1%	.0%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	1	3
		.0%	.0%	7.1%	11.1%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	0	2	0	0
		.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	11	0	0
		.0%	10.2%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	0	12	0	0
		.0%	11.6%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	3	0	1
		.0%	3.2%	.0%	4.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	0	0	0	28
		.0%	.0%	.0%	19.0%
	Book stores	0	2	2	12
		.0%	11.3%	16.3%	8.2%
	Department stores	3	0	0	39
		34.4%	.0%	.0%	26.0%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	3	11	0	24
		40.9%	80.3%	.0%	16.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	0	4	0	10
		.0%	32.3%	.0%	6.5%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	3	9	0	3
		40.9%	69.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Fast food	0	3	0	9
		.0%	23.1%	.0%	5.8%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0	3	0	9
		.0%	21.0%	.0%	5.9%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	5	0	0	20
		58.1%	.0%	.0%	13.2%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	5	3	0	19
		58.1%	21.0%	.0%	12.9%
	Pet stores	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Restaurants / High end	0	6	2	29
		.0%	47.6%	16.3%	19.6%
	Shopping / Retail	1	3	0	24
		17.2%	23.1%	.0%	16.2%
	Sports / Sports facilities	1	3	0	6
		12.8%	24.4%	.0%	4.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	0	0	1	3
		.0%	.0%	10.2%	1.8%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	1	0	1	3
		11.8%	.0%	12.0%	1.7%
	Vallco - General mention	1	0	0	3
		11.8%	.0%	.0%	2.3%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	Medical related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	3	0	12
		.0%	24.5%	.0%	7.9%
	Nothing	0	0	4	12
		.0%	.0%	39.9%	7.8%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	1	2	8
		.0%	7.1%	21.6%	5.3%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	2	1	0	0
		7.0%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	Book stores	4	0	0	1
		17.5%	.0%	6.6%	8.2%
	Department stores	4	0	0	2
		16.1%	.0%	.0%	9.7%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	3	2	0	2
		10.9%	15.6%	.0%	13.6%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	3	1	0	3
		12.3%	8.0%	.0%	16.1%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	1	0	0	1
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	Fast food	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	18.4%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	4	4	0	1
		16.9%	31.5%	.0%	4.8%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	1	0	0	2
		3.5%	.0%	6.6%	13.9%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	3	0	0	3
		15.0%	2.7%	.0%	17.7%
	Pet stores	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	8	3	2	5
		33.9%	25.7%	41.6%	28.0%
	Shopping / Retail	5	2	0	4
		19.6%	15.5%	6.6%	23.7%
	Sports / Sports facilities	1	0	4	0
		3.5%	.0%	63.0%	1.9%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	0
		.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	0	0	0	0
		.0%	2.7%	6.6%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	0	2	0	0
		.0%	17.1%	3.6%	.0%
	Valco - General mention	1	2	0	1
		3.5%	19.3%	.0%	5.4%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	2	1	3
		.0%	13.7%	10.2%	15.6%
	Nothing	5	0	1	0
		21.4%	.0%	23.3%	.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	1	0	0	4
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	25.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Book stores	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Department stores	.	a		a
		.	.		.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco		a		a
		.	.		.
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	.	a		a
		C E	.		.
	Fast food	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a		a
		.	.		.
	Pet stores	a	a	a	a
	
	Restaurants / High end	a	a		.
		.	.		.
	Shopping / Retail	a	a		.
		.	.		.
	Sports / Sports facilities	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a	a		C K
		.	.		.
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a	a		E K
		.	.		.
	Valco - General mention	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Auto related	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Medical related	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Other Mention	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Nothing	a	a		a
		.	.		.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			a	a
	Book stores			.	.
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech		a		a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		a	CE K	CE K
	Fast food		E		a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				CK L
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				CE K
	Pet stores	a	a	K	a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail				.
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a		a
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall			a	.
	Vallco - General mention			a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a		CK	a
	Auto related	a	a	a	a
	Medical related	.	a	a	a
	Other Mention		a	a	a
	Nothing	.	a	.	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	a		
	Book stores	.	.		
	Department stores	a	a	C	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	CE G K L O	a		
	Electronics / Software / High tech	CE K	a		
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	CE K L O	a		
	Fast food	CE	a		a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		a		.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	a		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		a		
	Pet stores	a	a		a
	Restaurants / High end Shopping / Retail	C	a		
	Sports / Sports facilities		a		
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a		a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a	.		a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a			a
	Vallco - General mention	a	a		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a		a
	Auto related	a	a		a
	Medical related	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention	C	a	.	a
	Nothing	a	CK		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	a	a	a
	Book stores	a	.	.
	Department stores	a	a	.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	.	a	.
	Electronics / Software / High tech	.	a	.
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a	a	.
	Fast food	a	a	E
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	C	a	.
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	a	.	.
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	.	a	.
	Pet stores	a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end	.	.	.
	Shopping / Retail	.	CEGKLO	.
	Sports / Sports facilities	a	.	.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.	a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life	.	.	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall	E	.	a
	Vallco - General mention	.	a	.
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	.
	Auto related	a	a	a
	Medical related	a	a	a
	Other Mention	.	.	.
	Nothing	a	.	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA	a	a	CE

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	30	9	17	1	3	0
		11.3%	8.6%	15.3%	2.7%	28.7%	.0%
	Book stores	17	9	4	2	2	0
		6.4%	9.1%	3.5%	5.0%	20.6%	.0%
	Department stores	35	14	17	3	0	1
		13.0%	13.4%	14.8%	8.7%	.0%	16.1%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	45	14	20	7	3	0
		16.7%	13.8%	17.7%	23.8%	28.7%	.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	15	11	3	2	0	0
		5.7%	10.4%	2.3%	5.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	26	7	13	5	1	0
		9.6%	6.7%	11.8%	15.2%	7.2%	.0%
	Fast food	14	3	5	3	2	0
		5.1%	3.1%	4.2%	10.2%	22.5%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	19	13	0	6	0	0
		7.0%	12.4%	.0%	19.0%	.0%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	26	9	12	1	4	0
		9.6%	8.8%	10.6%	2.7%	33.4%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	32	20	10	0	0	2
		12.2%	19.1%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	24.6%
	Restaurants / High end	62	24	26	8	5	0
		23.4%	23.5%	22.7%	25.1%	44.2%	.0%
	Shopping / Retail	44	15	20	4	3	2
		16.6%	14.9%	17.7%	13.8%	26.0%	20.5%
	Sports / Sports facilities	16	6	7	4	0	0
		6.1%	5.5%	5.8%	12.3%	.0%	3.8%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	3	1	1	1	0	0
		1.3%	1.1%	1.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	7	2	4	0	1	0
		2.5%	1.6%	3.4%	.0%	10.7%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	14	2	9	0	3	0
		5.2%	1.6%	8.1%	.0%	27.8%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	23	10	9	4	0	0
		8.6%	9.8%	7.9%	12.2%	.0%	1.8%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	3	0	3	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	.0%
	Other Mention	27	11	12	2	0	2
		9.9%	10.2%	10.9%	6.4%	.0%	18.8%
	Nothing	22	12	7	0	0	3
		8.2%	11.6%	6.1%	.0%	.9%	32.3%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	7	2	5	0	0	0
		2.5%	1.7%	4.2%	.8%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					a
	Book stores					a
	Department stores				a	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					a
	Electronics / Software / High tech				a	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch					a
	Fast food				A	a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		a		a	a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				C	a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			a	a	
	Restaurants / High end					a
	Shopping / Retail				a	
	Sports / Sports facilities					a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a		a
	Mall/Outdoor mall				A	a
	Vallco - General mention				a	
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	a		a	a	
	Auto related		a		A	a
	Other Mention					a
	Nothing			a		B
	Not Sure/DK/NA				a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household				
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	66	22	33	7	3
	17.3%	20.2%	14.9%	21.3%	57.1%	9.8%
	Book stores	23	4	15	2	1
	6.0%	4.0%	6.8%	5.3%	32.5%	.0%
	Department stores	56	18	33	3	0
	14.6%	16.9%	14.9%	8.4%	.0%	11.2%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	65	12	47	6	0
	17.1%	10.8%	20.8%	16.9%	.0%	9.8%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	29	7	18	3	1
	7.5%	6.2%	7.9%	8.7%	23.3%	2.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	26	0	22	5	0
	6.9%	.0%	9.6%	14.0%	.0%	.0%
	Fast food	18	5	11	2	0
	4.7%	5.1%	4.8%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	27	2	21	3	0
	7.0%	1.6%	9.6%	10.3%	.0%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	46	10	33	3	1
	12.1%	9.1%	14.7%	8.0%	17.5%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	54	18	29	5	0
	14.2%	16.8%	13.1%	15.4%	.0%	12.3%
	Pet stores	2	0	2	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	65	16	43	4	0
	17.0%	15.2%	19.1%	13.1%	.0%	12.3%
	Shopping / Retail	53	19	28	6	0
	13.9%	17.2%	12.4%	16.4%	.0%	11.8%
	Sports / Sports facilities	21	4	15	2	0
	5.6%	3.9%	6.5%	6.9%	.0%	3.1%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	5	2	2	1	0
	1.2%	1.5%	.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	5	0	3	2	0
	1.4%	.4%	1.2%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	13	3	9	1	0
	3.4%	3.2%	3.8%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	15	5	9	1	0
	4.1%	5.0%	3.9%	3.1%	.0%	1.5%
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	2	0	1	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.6%	.3%	.0%	2.0%
	Auto related	1	0	1	0	0
	.4%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	3	1	2	0	0
	.8%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	28	11	15	0	3
	7.4%	10.1%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	24.1%
	Nothing	33	15	14	2	0
	8.8%	13.7%	6.1%	5.8%	2.1%	25.7%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	16	3	10	0	2
	4.2%	3.1%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	21.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					a
	Book stores				a	.
	Department stores				a	.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				a	.
	Electronics / Software / High tech					
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	a			a	a
	Fast food				a	a
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied		A		a	a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's					a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop				a	.
	Pet stores	a		a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end				a	.
	Shopping / Retail				a	.
	Sports / Sports facilities				a	.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life				a	a
	Mall/Outdoor mall				a	.
	Vallco - General mention				a	.
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	a			a	.
	Auto related	a		a	a	a
	Medical related	.		a	a	a
	Other Mention			a	a	.
	Nothing			.	.	.
	Not Sure/DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household				
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	50	4	12	1
		14.6%	11.2%	15.2%	12.5%	19.8%
	Book stores	36	33	1	4	0
		6.2%	7.4%	4.4%	4.6%	.0%
	Department stores	89	75	4	13	0
		15.3%	16.7%	14.7%	13.7%	.0%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	59	3	16	0
		14.8%	13.2%	10.0%	17.1%	24.3%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	35	0	7	0
		6.3%	7.9%	.0%	7.5%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	18	0	6	0
		6.7%	4.1%	.0%	6.8%	.0%
	Fast food	28	23	0	6	0
		4.8%	5.2%	.0%	6.3%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	43	3	4	1
		8.6%	9.5%	10.7%	4.6%	19.8%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	55	0	1	0
		11.1%	12.2%	.0%	1.5%	6.5%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	63	2	9	1
		12.5%	14.0%	8.9%	10.1%	19.9%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	89	2	18	0
		19.4%	19.8%	8.4%	18.9%	3.2%
	Shopping / Retail	85	59	2	9	0
		14.7%	13.2%	8.9%	10.1%	3.2%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	25	0	9	0
		5.9%	5.5%	.0%	10.0%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	7	1	0	0
		1.2%	1.6%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	14	0	1	0
		2.6%	3.1%	.0%	1.1%	3.2%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	20	4	6	0
		4.0%	4.4%	15.2%	6.9%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	31	26	0	9	1
		5.3%	5.8%	.0%	10.1%	15.8%
	Ethnic food restaurants/Diversity of foods	8	5	0	0	0
		1.3%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	Auto related	1	1	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	4.7%	1.3%	.0%
	Medical related	3	3	0	1	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%	1.1%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	28	0	6	2
		6.3%	6.2%	.0%	6.1%	26.8%
	Nothing	47	40	3	4	1
		8.1%	9.0%	13.2%	4.8%	13.2%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	23	1	6	0
		4.7%	5.0%	3.5%	6.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	10	1	2	13	2	0
		23.3%	21.7%	7.7%	28.1%	12.9%	.0%
	Book stores	2	0	0	0	0	0
		3.6%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Department stores	6	3	3	2	1	0
		14.7%	42.6%	12.8%	5.2%	7.4%	.0%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	7	4	3	2	3	1
		16.1%	64.3%	15.0%	3.6%	20.3%	75.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	3	0	3	0	0	0
		7.0%	.0%	12.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	3	0	7	0	1	0
		7.6%	.0%	29.9%	.0%	8.1%	.0%
	Fast food	2	4	2	0	1	0
		3.8%	54.3%	7.5%	.0%	5.9%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	2	0	3	2	1	0
		3.8%	.0%	14.7%	5.1%	7.6%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	6	3	6	1	0	0
		13.1%	42.6%	27.6%	2.3%	1.9%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	11	2	0	6	2	0
		24.5%	30.8%	.0%	12.4%	17.6%	.0%
	Pet stores	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	7.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	8	2	2	3	1	0
		19.3%	34.9%	7.5%	6.0%	5.9%	.0%
	Shopping / Retail	4	1	5	3	2	0
		8.9%	11.7%	22.7%	5.5%	18.9%	.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	1	0	1	0	0	0
		2.6%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	2	1	0	1	0	0
		5.3%	15.6%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	1	0	0	7	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	14.8%	.0%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	3	0	0	5	0	0
		7.7%	4.9%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	.0%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	3	3	0	0
		.2%	.0%	12.5%	5.8%	.7%	.0%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	4	0	0	3	0	0
		9.1%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	25.0%
	Nothing	7	0	0	4	2	0
		16.4%	.0%	.0%	7.8%	15.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	0	1	4	3	0
		5.4%	.0%	4.7%	8.4%	19.8%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	10.2%	.0%
	Book stores	0	2	0	0
		.0%	11.3%	.2%	.0%
	Department stores	3	0	1	1
		22.4%	.0%	1.0%	15.7%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	4	11	0	0
		28.6%	80.3%	.6%	.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	0	4	1	0
		.0%	32.3%	2.2%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	3	9	0	0
		26.7%	69.0%	.0%	.0%
	Fast food	0	3	0	0
		.0%	23.1%	.0%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0	3	4	0
		.0%	21.0%	7.0%	.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	5	0	9	0
		37.9%	.0%	15.5%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	5	3	5	1
		37.9%	21.0%	9.4%	8.9%
	Pet stores	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	1	6	15	0
		9.3%	47.6%	26.2%	.0%
	Shopping / Retail	3	3	6	1
		20.6%	23.1%	10.1%	20.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	3	3	5	0
		22.1%	24.4%	9.9%	5.1%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	1	0	3	0
		9.3%	.0%	5.7%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	1	0	4	0
		7.7%	.0%	7.1%	.0%
	Vallco - General mention	1	0	8	1
		7.7%	.0%	13.8%	7.6%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%
	Auto related	1	0	1	0
		9.8%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	Medical related	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%
	Other Mention	0	3	5	3
		.0%	24.5%	9.3%	40.3%
	Nothing	0	0	4	1
		.0%	.0%	6.6%	15.7%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	0	1	2	0
		.0%	7.1%	4.4%	2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					a,,b	
	Book stores				.b	a,,b	
	Department stores	N			.b	a,,b	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				.b	a	
	Electronics / Software / High tech		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Fast food		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied					a,,b	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	C	.b			a	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop					a,,b	
	Pet stores		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Restaurants / High end					a,,b	
	Shopping / Retail		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Sports / Sports facilities					a,,b	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot			.b	.b	a	.b
	Entertainment venues/Night life		.b			a,,b	
	Mall/Outdoor mall		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Vallco - General mention		.b			a,,b	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		.b	.b		a	
	Auto related		A		.b	a,,b	.b
	Medical related		.b		.b	a,,b	.b
	Other Mention		.b			a,,b	
	Nothing					a	
	Not Sure/DK/NA				.b	a,,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway			A		a,,b	.b
	Book stores		.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Department stores	N				a,,b	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	A I N				a	N
	Electronics / Software / High tech	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A C	.b		a,,b	A
	Fast food	A C F		.b		a,,b	.b
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	.b				a,,b	.b
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	C I	C			a,,b	C I
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop		.b			a,,b	
	Pet stores	.b	A	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Restaurants / High end					a,,b	
	Shopping / Retail	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	
	Sports / Sports facilities	.b		.b	.b	a,,b	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.b	.b		.b	a,,b	.b
	Entertainment venues/Night life		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.b	.b	A	.b	a,,b	
	Vallco - General mention		.b		.b	a,,b	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	.b	A			a,,b	.b
	Auto related	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	A
	Medical related	.b	.b	.b	.b	a,,b	.b
	Other Mention	.b	.b		.b	a	.b
	Nothing	.b	.b			a,,b	.b
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.b				a,,b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	.b		.b
	Book stores			.b
	Department stores	.b		
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	A B C F H I N		.b
	Electronics / Software / High tech	A N		.b
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	A C F J	.b	.b
	Fast food		.b	.b
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			.b
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	.b	C	.b
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			.b
	Pet stores	.b		.b
	Restaurants / High end	I		.b
	Shopping / Retail			
	Sports / Sports facilities			
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	.b		.b
	Entertainment venues/Night life	.b		.b
	Mall/Outdoor mall	.b		.b
	Vallco - General mention	.b		
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	.b		.b
	Auto related	.b		.b
	Medical related	.b		.b
	Other Mention			A C
	Nothing	.b		
	Not Sure/DK/NA	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	23	18	1	6
		14.6%	38.5%	33.9%	4.4%	16.6%
	Book stores	36	5	5	5	1
		6.2%	7.7%	10.0%	17.2%	1.6%
	Department stores	89	8	10	9	3
		15.3%	12.8%	18.5%	29.9%	7.7%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	7	8	3	5
		14.8%	11.3%	16.1%	10.7%	14.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	1	8	3	0
		6.3%	1.4%	15.1%	10.3%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	1	10	1	6
		6.7%	2.4%	19.2%	2.0%	16.2%
	Fast food	28	3	1	0	4
		4.8%	5.1%	2.7%	.0%	9.7%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	4	4	2	2
		8.6%	7.0%	8.6%	7.5%	6.5%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	0	4	10	4
		11.1%	.5%	8.0%	33.3%	10.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	7	6	5	4
		12.5%	11.5%	11.9%	16.6%	10.8%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	0	2
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	Restaurants / High end	113	3	10	3	5
		19.4%	5.5%	19.3%	10.4%	13.3%
	Shopping / Retail	85	4	13	2	8
		14.7%	5.9%	25.9%	5.4%	21.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	1	5	0	0
		5.9%	2.3%	9.3%	.0%	.8%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	.2%	.2%	1.2%	.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	3	0	0	0
		2.6%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	1	2	0	3
		4.0%	2.0%	3.8%	.0%	7.8%
	Vallco - General mention	31	0	2	0	1
		5.3%	.5%	3.8%	1.0%	3.4%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	0	0	3
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	3	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	36	0	3	1	1
		6.3%	.0%	4.9%	4.9%	1.6%
	Nothing	47	8	2	3	3
		8.1%	13.4%	3.2%	10.7%	7.9%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	1	1	0	0
		4.7%	2.3%	2.4%	.0%	1.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	2	1	2	4	12
		7.1%	1.8%	5.3%	12.9%	9.3%
	Book stores	0	1	3	5	5
		.0%	3.1%	8.5%	14.9%	3.4%
	Department stores	7	6	5	3	13
		23.0%	14.6%	13.5%	8.9%	9.5%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	5	15	9	7	11
		16.0%	36.0%	24.1%	21.0%	8.5%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	0	1	6	5	6
		.0%	2.2%	14.9%	14.4%	4.5%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0	12	6	2	2
		.0%	27.9%	15.7%	4.9%	1.2%
	Fast food	1	3	3	3	3
		3.2%	6.4%	8.9%	8.3%	2.4%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	0	7	3	3	10
		.0%	17.5%	7.4%	10.0%	7.5%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	3	8	6	2	12
		8.1%	20.1%	15.2%	6.7%	9.4%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	5	10	4	5	12
		14.3%	24.2%	11.6%	15.5%	8.9%
	Pet stores	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	2	11	15	10	28
		7.8%	25.5%	39.5%	30.9%	21.0%
	Shopping / Retail	7	5	7	5	18
		20.3%	11.4%	19.1%	16.4%	13.5%
	Sports / Sports facilities	3	3	8	6	7
		9.4%	6.6%	21.9%	17.5%	5.3%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	0	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.2%	.0%	.7%	1.9%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	1	2	0	3	6
		3.2%	4.7%	.0%	9.7%	4.3%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	1	1	0	0	12
		3.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	8.7%
	Vallco - General mention	0	1	2	1	12
		.9%	1.5%	5.0%	3.0%	9.3%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	3.3%
	Auto related	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.9%
	Medical related	0	1	0	0	2
		.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	Other Mention	1	4	7	4	7
		3.7%	9.8%	17.3%	12.1%	5.5%
	Nothing	1	3	1	2	13
		2.1%	7.7%	3.8%	7.4%	10.1%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	2	1	1	1	6
		6.4%	2.8%	2.7%	2.2%	4.4%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	126
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	15
		12.1%
	Book stores	6
		5.0%
	Department stores	26
		20.6%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	15
		12.2%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	8
		6.1%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	0
		.0%
	Fast food	7
		5.5%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	14
		10.9%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	16
		12.3%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	15
		11.6%
	Pet stores	0
		.3%
	Restaurants / High end	25
		19.9%
	Shopping / Retail	17
		13.7%
	Sports / Sports facilities	1
		.6%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	4
		2.8%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	0
		.3%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	4
		2.8%
	Vallco - General mention	11
		8.6%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	0
		.2%
	Auto related	0
		.0%
	Medical related	0
		.0%
	Other Mention	9
		7.0%
	Nothing	11
		8.4%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	13
		10.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	C F G I J	F I J			a
	Book stores					.
	Department stores					.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					.
	Electronics / Software / High tech				a	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		I	a	I	a
	Fast food			.		.
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied					a
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			A I		.
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a	a	a		a
	Pet stores
	Restaurants / High end					.
	Shopping / Retail			a		.
	Sports / Sports facilities			.		.
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				a	a
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	a	a	.
	Mall/Outdoor mall		.	a	.	.
	Vallco - General mention					.
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	a	J	a
	Auto related
	Medical related	a	a	a	a	a
	Other Mention
	Nothing
	Not Sure/DK/NA			a	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				
	Book stores				
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	I J			
	Electronics / Software / High tech				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	A I	I		
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	A			
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a	a	a	a
	Pet stores
	Restaurants / High end		A	A	
	Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities		J	J	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		a		
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	J	
	Mall/Outdoor mall		a	a	
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a		
	Auto related	a	a		
	Medical related	.	.	a	.
	Other Mention				
	Nothing				
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	
	Book stores	
	Department stores	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	
	Electronics / Software / High tech	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	.
	Fast food	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	
	Pet stores	
	Restaurants / High end	
	Shopping / Retail	
	Sports / Sports facilities	
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	
	Entertainment venues/Night life	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	
	Vallco - General mention	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a
	Auto related	.
	Medical related	a
	Other Mention	.
	Nothing	
	Not Sure/DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	48	24	13
		14.6%	28.6%	16.0%	4.9%
	Book stores	36	13	16	7
		6.2%	8.0%	10.3%	2.5%
	Department stores	89	34	30	25
		15.3%	20.4%	19.5%	9.6%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	25	44	18
		14.8%	14.7%	28.7%	6.8%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	5	24	7
		6.3%	3.1%	16.0%	2.7%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	9	30	0
		6.7%	5.2%	19.6%	.0%
	Fast food	28	6	17	5
		4.8%	3.7%	11.1%	1.9%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	24	22	5
		8.6%	14.0%	14.6%	1.7%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	21	27	17
		11.1%	12.8%	17.6%	6.3%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	20	22	30
		12.5%	12.1%	14.3%	11.6%
	Pet stores	2	2	0	0
		.3%	.9%	.0%	.2%
	Restaurants / High end	113	22	45	46
		19.4%	13.2%	29.3%	17.5%
	Shopping / Retail	85	19	39	27
		14.7%	11.3%	25.7%	10.4%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	1	16	16
		5.9%	.8%	10.8%	6.3%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	0	7
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	0	0	15
		2.6%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	0	0	23
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	8.8%
	Vallco - General mention	31	0	0	31
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	11.7%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	0	8
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Auto related	1	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Medical related	3	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Other Mention	36	12	14	10
		6.3%	7.3%	9.1%	3.9%
	Nothing	47	14	12	21
		8.1%	8.5%	8.1%	8.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	6	5	17
		4.7%	3.5%	3.1%	6.3%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	B C	C	
	Book stores	C	C	
	Department stores	C	C	
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	C	A C	
	Electronics / Software / High tech		A C	
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		A	a
	Fast food		A C	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	C	C	
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		C	
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			
	Pet stores		a	
	Restaurants / High end		A C	
	Shopping / Retail		A C	
	Sports / Sports facilities		A	A
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a	a	
	Entertainment venues/Night life	a	a	
	Mall/Outdoor mall	a	a	
	Vallco - General mention	a	a	
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	
	Auto related	a	a	
	Medical related	a	a	
	Other Mention			
	Nothing			
	Not Sure/DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	65	14	12	11	28
		14.1%	11.4%	12.8%	14.4%	16.6%
	Book stores	29	9	5	3	12
		6.2%	7.0%	5.5%	4.2%	7.1%
	Department stores	71	20	9	5	38
		15.4%	16.0%	9.5%	6.0%	22.3%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	65	25	10	12	19
		14.2%	19.7%	11.0%	15.3%	11.2%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	24	5	9	3	7
		5.2%	4.3%	10.5%	3.5%	3.9%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	18	9	2	4	3
		3.8%	7.0%	1.8%	5.1%	1.9%
	Fast food	22	10	0	5	7
		4.7%	7.8%	.0%	6.4%	4.1%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	40	12	3	9	15
		8.6%	9.9%	3.6%	11.5%	9.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	48	18	8	5	18
		10.4%	14.2%	8.7%	6.1%	10.6%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	56	7	13	6	30
		12.2%	5.4%	14.8%	7.4%	18.0%
	Pet stores	2	0	0	2	0
		.4%	.0%	.5%	2.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	82	22	15	14	31
		17.7%	17.2%	17.0%	17.6%	18.5%
	Shopping / Retail	69	18	15	10	26
		15.0%	14.7%	16.5%	12.9%	15.3%
	Sports / Sports facilities	28	10	8	4	7
		6.1%	7.8%	8.5%	4.7%	4.1%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	4	1	1	0	2
		.9%	.9%	1.5%	.1%	1.1%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	14	3	3	5	3
		3.0%	2.0%	3.7%	6.1%	1.7%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	17	5	2	4	6
		3.7%	4.2%	2.7%	4.6%	3.5%
	Vallco - General mention	28	8	7	4	9
		6.2%	6.6%	7.8%	5.1%	5.4%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	5	3	0	0	2
		1.0%	2.2%	.0%	.5%	1.0%
	Auto related	1	0	0	1	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.1%
	Medical related	2	0	1	0	1
		.5%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.7%
	Other Mention	23	5	6	4	8
		5.0%	3.9%	7.2%	4.8%	4.9%
	Nothing	42	14	6	5	17
		9.2%	11.5%	6.9%	6.4%	10.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	18	5	4	6	3
		3.9%	4.0%	4.9%	7.2%	1.8%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway				C
	Book stores				
	Department stores				
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco				
	Electronics / Software / High tech				
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		a		
	Fast food				
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied				
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's				
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	a			A
	Pet stores				a
	Restaurants / High end				
	Shopping / Retail				
	Sports / Sports facilities				
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot				
	Entertainment venues/Night life				
	Mall/Outdoor mall				
	Vallco - General mention				
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		a		
	Auto related	a	a		
	Medical related	a			
	Other Mention				
	Nothing				
	Not Sure/DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	85	26	15	5	6
		14.7%	21.2%	12.2%	13.5%	15.5%
	Book stores	36	8	12	3	2
		6.2%	6.7%	9.6%	7.6%	6.1%
	Department stores	88	26	12	10	6
		15.2%	21.0%	10.4%	26.1%	15.6%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	86	20	14	4	2
		14.9%	16.5%	12.1%	9.5%	6.1%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	37	4	15	1	4
		6.4%	3.0%	12.7%	3.1%	9.7%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	39	11	2	1	2
		6.7%	9.2%	1.4%	2.7%	5.5%
	Fast food	28	8	6	3	0
		4.8%	6.6%	5.2%	6.7%	.0%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	50	11	13	3	3
		8.7%	8.7%	10.6%	6.3%	8.0%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	65	14	21	7	2
		11.2%	11.1%	17.4%	16.9%	5.3%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	73	32	9	6	2
		12.5%	25.9%	7.5%	15.4%	4.8%
	Pet stores	2	2	0	0	0
		.3%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	113	29	22	9	4
		19.5%	23.4%	18.5%	23.0%	9.2%
	Shopping / Retail	84	19	17	8	7
		14.6%	15.4%	14.1%	20.4%	17.5%
	Sports / Sports facilities	34	3	10	1	3
		5.9%	2.4%	8.1%	3.0%	8.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	7	0	1	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.9%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	15	3	3	0	1
		2.6%	2.6%	2.8%	.0%	3.1%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	23	4	4	2	1
		4.0%	2.9%	3.4%	5.4%	3.4%
	Vallco - General mention	31	4	3	3	2
		5.3%	3.3%	2.3%	8.3%	5.5%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	8	0	6	0	0
		1.3%	.1%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	3	1	0	0	0
		.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	35	9	7	2	2
		6.1%	7.2%	5.8%	6.2%	4.3%
	Nothing	46	10	10	3	4
		8.0%	7.7%	8.1%	7.4%	11.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	27	1	8	0	2
		4.7%	.8%	6.4%	.4%	5.7%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway	20	11	0	1
		15.7%	10.2%	.0%	15.8%
	Book stores	3	7	1	0
		2.2%	5.9%	17.1%	.0%
	Department stores	21	9	3	1
		16.1%	7.6%	38.0%	13.1%
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco	27	17	1	0
		21.3%	15.1%	11.2%	4.0%
	Electronics / Software / High tech	7	6	0	0
		5.5%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	22	1	0	0
		16.9%	.7%	.0%	.0%
	Fast food	3	8	0	1
		2.2%	6.7%	.0%	6.6%
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied	9	10	1	1
		7.3%	8.9%	11.6%	11.4%
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's	18	3	1	0
		13.7%	2.9%	11.2%	.0%
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	13	10	1	0
		10.1%	8.5%	15.5%	.0%
	Pet stores	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Restaurants / High end	19	24	4	2
		14.7%	21.8%	55.5%	19.8%
	Shopping / Retail	21	10	2	0
		16.2%	9.0%	33.9%	.0%
	Sports / Sports facilities	9	8	0	0
		7.0%	7.2%	.0%	.0%
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	2	3	0	0
		2.0%	2.2%	.0%	4.0%
	Entertainment venues/Night life	3	3	0	1
		2.1%	3.1%	.0%	13.1%
	Mall/Outdoor mall	7	3	0	2
		5.4%	2.7%	.0%	22.5%
	Vallco - General mention	3	13	0	2
		2.7%	11.5%	.0%	22.5%
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Auto related	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	Medical related	0	2	0	0
		.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Other Mention	8	7	0	1
		6.3%	5.9%	.0%	9.0%
	Nothing	11	9	0	0
		8.5%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
	Not Sure/DK/NA	4	9	0	2
		3.2%	8.4%	6.3%	24.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway					
	Book stores					
	Department stores					
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco					
	Electronics / Software / High tech					
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch	F			a	B F
	Fast food				.	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied					
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's		F	F		
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop	B E F			a	a
	Pet stores		a	a	.	.
	Restaurants / High end					
	Shopping / Retail					
	Sports / Sports facilities					
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot	a		a	.	.
	Entertainment venues/Night life			a	.	.
	Mall/Outdoor mall					
	Vallco - General mention					
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods		A	a	a	.
	Auto related	a	a	a	a	.
	Medical related	.	a	.	.	.
	Other Mention					
	Nothing					
	Not Sure/DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
19. What businesses would you like to see in Cupertino?	Traditional grocery store / Lucky's / Safeway		a	
	Book stores		.	a
	Department stores			.
	Discount stores / Walmart / Costco			
	Electronics / Software / High tech		a	a
	Ethnic grocery store / Ranch		a	a
	Fast food		a	
	Have a good mix / Good as is / Satisfied			
	High end retail / Nordstrom's / Dillard's			a
	Local retail stores/Small/Mom and Pop			a
	Pet stores	a	a	a
	Restaurants / High end	.	.	.
	Shopping / Retail			a
	Sports / Sports facilities		a	a
	Home improvement/Lowe's/Home Depot		a	.
	Entertainment venues/Night life		a	.
	Mall/Outdoor mall		a	.
	Vallco - General mention		.	B
	Ethnic food resturands/Diversity of foods	a	a	a
	Auto related	.	a	a
	Medical related		a	a
	Other Mention		.	.
	Nothing		a	a
	Not Sure/DK/NA		.	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	582
	Eureka	82	82
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	219
		37.5%	37.5%
	Lazy Dog	165	165
		28.3%	28.3%
	Meet Fresh	56	56
		9.6%	9.6%
	Panino Guisto	42	42
		7.2%	7.2%
	Safeway	281	281
		48.2%	48.2%
	Sprouts	246	246
		42.3%	42.3%
	Steinmart	69	69
		11.9%	11.9%
	Target Express	257	257
		44.2%	44.2%
	Ulta	63	63
		10.8%	10.8%
	Other	84	84
		14.5%	14.5%
	Nothing	46	46
		7.9%	7.9%
	DK/NA	13	13
		2.2%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	.
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.
	Lazy Dog	.
	Meet Fresh	.
	Panino Guisto	.
	Safeway	.
	Sprouts	.
	Steinmart	.
	Target Express	.
	Ulta	.
	Other	.
	Nothing	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Eureka	82	36	46	0
		14.1%	12.6%	15.6%	.0%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	91	126	1
		37.5%	32.4%	42.4%	33.7%
	Lazy Dog	165	90	74	1
		28.3%	31.9%	24.9%	33.7%
	Meet Fresh	56	30	26	0
		9.6%	10.7%	8.6%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	42	29	13	0
		7.2%	10.2%	4.5%	.0%
	Safeway	281	136	144	1
		48.2%	48.4%	48.2%	33.7%
	Sprouts	246	121	125	1
		42.3%	42.8%	41.8%	33.7%
	Steinmart	69	26	42	1
		11.9%	9.3%	14.2%	33.7%
	Target Express	257	128	129	1
		44.2%	45.3%	43.3%	33.7%
	Ulta	63	12	51	0
		10.8%	4.4%	17.0%	.0%
	Other	84	29	55	1
		14.5%	10.3%	18.3%	33.7%
	Nothing	46	33	12	1
		7.9%	11.7%	4.0%	31.9%
	DK/NA	13	4	8	1
		2.2%	1.4%	2.7%	34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		A	.
	Lazy Dog			.
	Meet Fresh			a
	Panino Guisto	B		a
	Safeway			.
	Sprouts			.
	Steinmart			.
	Target Express			.
	Ulta		A	a
	Other		A	.
	Nothing	B		.
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Eureka	82	15	19	23	19	5	1
		14.1%	19.1%	18.7%	16.6%	12.1%	5.2%	12.5%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	14	41	70	70	22	1
		37.5%	18.7%	40.0%	50.0%	46.2%	21.3%	12.5%
	Lazy Dog	165	23	31	50	44	16	2
		28.3%	29.4%	30.8%	35.2%	29.0%	15.4%	19.3%
	Meet Fresh	56	17	8	17	11	3	0
		9.6%	21.6%	8.2%	12.1%	7.4%	2.5%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	42	7	5	17	11	2	0
		7.2%	9.2%	4.7%	11.9%	7.4%	2.1%	.0%
	Safeway	281	16	49	82	75	54	6
		48.2%	20.5%	48.1%	57.9%	49.5%	52.9%	63.2%
	Sprouts	246	21	36	71	82	32	3
		42.3%	27.0%	35.9%	50.2%	54.1%	31.8%	38.3%
	Steinmart	69	5	9	16	27	11	1
		11.9%	6.3%	9.2%	11.3%	17.9%	10.7%	12.5%
Target Express	257	34	54	71	55	37	6	
	44.2%	44.9%	52.9%	50.5%	36.2%	36.3%	68.9%	
Ulta	63	15	10	17	19	3	0	
	10.8%	19.8%	9.6%	11.8%	12.2%	2.9%	.0%	
Other	84	5	8	23	30	14	4	
	14.5%	6.2%	7.6%	16.7%	19.9%	14.0%	43.6%	
Nothing	46	9	10	6	13	8	0	
	7.9%	12.4%	9.5%	4.5%	8.2%	7.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	13	3	3	3	0	3	1	
	2.2%	3.6%	3.3%	2.2%	.0%	2.7%	12.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		E				
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		A	A E	A E		
	Lazy Dog			E			a
	Meet Fresh	D E					a
	Panino Guisto			E			.
	Safeway		A	A	A	A	.
	Sprouts			A	A E		.
	Steinmart						.
	Target Express						.
	Ulta	E					a
	Other						A B
	Nothing						a
	DK/NA				a		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	424	158
	Eureka	82	62	20
		14.1%	14.6%	12.7%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	157	61
		37.5%	37.0%	39.0%
	Lazy Dog	165	130	35
		28.3%	30.6%	22.2%
	Meet Fresh	56	48	8
		9.6%	11.4%	4.8%
	Panino Guisto	42	31	11
		7.2%	7.2%	7.2%
	Safeway	281	189	92
		48.2%	44.5%	58.4%
	Sprouts	246	175	71
		42.3%	41.2%	45.2%
	Steinmart	69	50	19
		11.9%	11.8%	12.2%
	Target Express	257	174	83
		44.2%	41.1%	52.7%
	Ulta	63	48	15
		10.8%	11.3%	9.5%
	Other	84	69	15
		14.5%	16.3%	9.6%
	Nothing	46	31	14
		7.9%	7.4%	9.0%
	DK/NA	13	12	1
		2.2%	2.9%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		
	Lazy Dog	B	
	Meet Fresh	B	
	Panino Guisto		
	Safeway		A
	Sprouts		
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		A
	Ulta		
	Other	B	
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Eureka	82	44	11	1	26
		14.1%	17.9%	13.9%	8.6%	10.6%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	106	28	3	81
		37.5%	43.5%	35.3%	21.9%	33.4%
	Lazy Dog	165	83	21	5	55
		28.3%	34.1%	26.6%	32.8%	22.8%
	Meet Fresh	56	26	5	1	24
		9.6%	10.6%	5.7%	4.9%	10.1%
	Panino Guisto	42	26	4	1	11
		7.2%	10.5%	4.8%	8.6%	4.6%
	Safeway	281	116	45	9	111
		48.2%	47.5%	55.3%	57.4%	46.0%
	Sprouts	246	111	35	7	93
		42.3%	45.4%	43.6%	45.0%	38.5%
	Steinmart	69	34	12	1	22
		11.9%	13.8%	15.3%	8.1%	9.2%
	Target Express	257	126	31	7	94
		44.2%	51.9%	38.0%	41.2%	38.8%
	Ulta	63	27	8	2	27
		10.8%	10.9%	9.3%	13.7%	11.1%
	Other	84	35	17	5	28
		14.5%	14.5%	20.5%	30.0%	11.4%
	Nothing	46	17	6	2	20
		7.9%	6.8%	8.1%	13.6%	8.5%
	DK/NA	13	8	1	0	5
		2.2%	3.1%	.8%	.0%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	D			
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog				
	Meet Fresh				
	Panino Guisto				
	Safeway	D			
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta				
	Other				
	Nothing				
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Eureka	82	22	13	5	3	20	19
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	50	30	12	6	59	62
	Lazy Dog	165	37	20	8	6	47	47
	Meet Fresh	56	8	9	1	0	17	21
	Panino Guisto	42	17	4	3	1	8	9
	Safeway	281	63	30	12	17	63	96
	Sprouts	246	63	24	13	9	61	76
	Steinmart	69	16	13	2	6	14	19
	Target Express	257	65	30	14	9	58	81
	Ulta	63	14	6	2	1	27	13
	Other	84	20	10	7	5	16	25
	Nothing	46	8	6	5	1	10	15
	DK/NA	13	4	3	0	1	1	4
		2.2%	3.0%	4.2%	.3%	2.1%	1.1%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	F					
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx						
	Lazy Dog						
	Meet Fresh						
	Panino Guisto						
	Safeway						
	Sprouts						
	Steinmart						
	Target Express						
	Ulta						
	Other						
	Nothing						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Eureka	82	46	12	8	7
		14.1%	17.3%	15.2%	9.6%	14.7%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	111	25	30	24
		37.5%	42.2%	31.4%	37.4%	49.9%
	Lazy Dog	165	89	20	14	15
		28.3%	33.7%	24.9%	17.7%	32.4%
	Meet Fresh	56	30	15	5	4
		9.6%	11.5%	18.8%	6.0%	7.5%
	Panino Guisto	42	25	6	1	5
		7.2%	9.5%	7.9%	1.3%	11.6%
	Safeway	281	123	41	38	21
		48.2%	46.9%	52.6%	46.6%	44.0%
	Sprouts	246	119	33	34	21
		42.3%	45.4%	42.1%	42.1%	44.7%
	Steinmart	69	24	14	11	8
		11.9%	9.1%	17.6%	13.7%	16.3%
	Target Express	257	136	30	33	20
		44.2%	51.9%	37.7%	40.3%	43.1%
	Ulta	63	22	15	13	7
		10.8%	8.3%	19.0%	15.6%	14.3%
	Other	84	31	16	10	10
		14.5%	11.7%	20.3%	11.8%	21.1%
	Nothing	46	20	3	7	2
		7.9%	7.6%	4.3%	9.0%	5.3%
	DK/NA	13	6	1	3	1
		2.2%	2.3%	1.3%	3.2%	1.4%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Eureka	2	0	5	3
		8.0%	1.3%	12.2%	10.5%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	4	8	13	4
		15.8%	33.1%	35.0%	15.9%
	Lazy Dog	7	2	13	6
		25.8%	7.7%	33.0%	23.6%
	Meet Fresh	1	0	1	1
		3.6%	.0%	2.7%	2.4%
	Panino Guisto	0	0	4	1
		.0%	1.0%	9.3%	2.4%
	Safeway	20	8	18	12
		75.0%	34.2%	47.8%	47.7%
	Sprouts	7	7	13	11
		28.2%	30.6%	33.2%	45.5%
	Steinmart	4	1	4	4
		16.7%	4.0%	10.3%	14.5%
	Target Express	9	8	10	12
		34.3%	33.6%	25.6%	48.6%
	Ulta	1	1	3	1
		4.1%	6.3%	9.2%	2.8%
	Other	4	1	5	8
		14.9%	6.0%	13.9%	31.0%
	Nothing	1	6	4	1
		5.5%	25.0%	10.6%	5.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	3.7%	4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka					
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
	Lazy Dog					
	Meet Fresh					
	Panino Guisto					
	Safeway					
	Sprouts					
	Steinmart					
	Target Express					
	Ulta					
	Other					
	Nothing					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	a		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			
	Lazy Dog			
	Meet Fresh			
	Panino Guisto			
	Safeway			
	Sprouts			
	Steinmart			
	Target Express			
	Ulta			
	Other			
	Nothing			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Eureka	82	1	44	2	2	1	3	5	7
		14.1%	9.0%	25.6%	3.9%	3.9%	7.2%	19.2%	11.5%	7.1%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	1	93	20	12	7	1	21	23
		37.5%	9.0%	53.7%	48.2%	25.3%	44.8%	10.4%	51.0%	23.8%
	Lazy Dog	165	1	89	10	7	3	3	17	18
		28.3%	21.0%	51.3%	23.6%	14.2%	19.2%	21.1%	39.5%	19.1%
	Meet Fresh	56	0	31	4	3	0	1	1	6
		9.6%	.0%	17.7%	8.6%	6.1%	.0%	6.1%	2.8%	6.2%
	Panino Guisto	42	1	15	2	6	0	0	5	6
		7.2%	9.0%	8.6%	5.2%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	12.8%	6.0%
	Safeway	281	1	117	25	9	8	6	23	44
		48.2%	18.8%	67.5%	59.4%	19.3%	47.5%	43.1%	55.0%	45.0%
	Sprouts	246	1	104	33	10	8	5	21	20
		42.3%	15.8%	60.2%	79.4%	22.1%	50.7%	38.8%	50.0%	20.6%
	Steinmart	69	0	28	4	1	4	0	8	10
		11.9%	.0%	16.2%	9.2%	2.7%	23.8%	1.1%	19.8%	10.2%
	Target Express	257	1	81	20	20	7	8	15	22
		44.2%	9.9%	46.8%	47.0%	43.2%	39.7%	62.2%	36.5%	22.5%
	Ulta	63	3	27	6	1	1	0	5	8
		10.8%	52.5%	15.8%	15.4%	2.2%	6.3%	.0%	10.9%	7.9%
	Other	84	2	34	0	6	0	3	13	11
		14.5%	36.8%	19.7%	.0%	12.3%	1.7%	23.5%	31.0%	11.1%
	Nothing	46	0	14	2	6	3	2	2	9
		7.9%	.0%	8.1%	4.2%	13.2%	20.1%	11.7%	4.8%	9.3%
	DK/NA	13	1	2	0	0	0	1	0	7
		2.2%	9.9%	1.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	6.9%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Eureka	8	9	0	1
		11.1%	13.6%	.0%	21.3%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	20	15	2	3
		26.7%	23.8%	90.2%	52.1%
	Lazy Dog	14	1	0	2
		19.5%	2.2%	.0%	27.7%
	Meet Fresh	9	1	0	1
		11.8%	1.6%	.0%	21.3%
	Panino Guisto	5	1	0	1
		6.6%	1.6%	.0%	21.3%
	Safeway	30	12	2	4
		41.0%	18.6%	100.0%	76.7%
	Sprouts	24	11	2	5
		33.1%	17.6%	100.0%	89.3%
	Steinmart	11	2	0	0
		15.3%	3.8%	.0%	5.3%
	Target Express	37	42	1	4
		49.9%	66.0%	46.3%	73.4%
	Ulta	4	7	0	0
		5.6%	11.2%	.0%	5.3%
	Other	7	8	0	0
		8.9%	12.6%	.0%	5.3%
	Nothing	5	3	0	0
		6.3%	4.7%	.0%	4.3%
	DK/NA	0	3	0	0
		.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		H						
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		D H I J						
	Lazy Dog		D H I J	J				J	
	Meet Fresh	a	J			a			
	Panino Guisto	.				a	a		
	Safeway		D H I J	D J				D J	J
	Sprouts		D H I J	A D H I J				H J	
	Steinmart	a							
	Target Express		H						
	Ulta	D H I							
	Other			a					
	Nothing	a							
	DK/NA	.			a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog			a	
	Meet Fresh			a	
	Panino Guisto			a	
	Safeway			a	
	Sprouts			a	
	Steinmart			a	
	Target Express	H	H		H J
	Ulta			a	
	Other			a	
	Nothing			a	
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	464	118
	Eureka	82	67	15
		14.1%	14.4%	12.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	173	46
		37.5%	37.2%	39.0%
	Lazy Dog	165	139	26
		28.3%	30.0%	21.7%
	Meet Fresh	56	46	10
		9.6%	9.9%	8.5%
	Panino Guisto	42	34	8
		7.2%	7.2%	7.2%
	Safeway	281	236	45
		48.2%	50.9%	37.7%
	Sprouts	246	207	39
		42.3%	44.6%	33.3%
	Steinmart	69	50	19
		11.9%	10.9%	16.1%
	Target Express	257	203	54
		44.2%	43.8%	46.0%
	Ulta	63	57	6
		10.8%	12.3%	5.2%
	Other	84	63	22
		14.5%	13.5%	18.4%
	Nothing	46	40	6
		7.9%	8.5%	5.1%
	DK/NA	13	9	4
		2.2%	2.0%	3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		
	Lazy Dog		
	Meet Fresh		
	Panino Guisto		
	Safeway	B	
	Sprouts	B	
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		
	Ulta	B	
	Other		
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	347	235
	Eureka	82	43	38
		14.1%	12.5%	16.4%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	145	73
		37.5%	41.8%	31.2%
	Lazy Dog	165	110	55
		28.3%	31.6%	23.5%
	Meet Fresh	56	30	26
		9.6%	8.6%	11.1%
	Panino Guisto	42	23	19
		7.2%	6.6%	8.1%
	Safeway	281	195	86
		48.2%	56.1%	36.7%
	Sprouts	246	162	84
		42.3%	46.8%	35.7%
	Steinmart	69	49	21
		11.9%	14.1%	8.8%
	Target Express	257	132	126
		44.2%	37.9%	53.6%
	Ulta	63	40	23
		10.8%	11.6%	9.7%
	Other	84	58	26
		14.5%	16.8%	11.1%
	Nothing	46	31	15
		7.9%	8.9%	6.3%
	DK/NA	13	8	5
		2.2%	2.2%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	B	
	Lazy Dog	B	
	Meet Fresh		
	Panino Guisto		
	Safeway	B	
	Sprouts	B	
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		A
	Ulta		
	Other		
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Eureka	82	0	7	18	20
		14.1%	.0%	14.2%	18.8%	14.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	2	23	40	55
		37.5%	14.7%	46.0%	43.1%	42.1%
	Lazy Dog	165	0	16	32	43
		28.3%	.0%	32.1%	34.4%	32.5%
	Meet Fresh	56	0	5	16	16
		9.6%	.0%	10.8%	17.1%	12.2%
	Panino Guisto	42	0	6	9	11
		7.2%	.0%	11.9%	9.2%	8.6%
	Safeway	281	7	27	49	60
		48.2%	63.4%	54.6%	52.6%	45.5%
	Sprouts	246	4	22	47	58
		42.3%	37.8%	45.6%	50.2%	44.2%
	Steinmart	69	1	5	7	17
		11.9%	10.7%	10.2%	7.7%	12.9%
	Target Express	257	7	27	47	66
		44.2%	63.4%	55.8%	50.4%	50.3%
	Ulta	63	0	6	7	27
		10.8%	.0%	11.4%	7.0%	20.5%
	Other	84	0	3	12	19
		14.5%	.0%	7.0%	13.2%	14.7%
	Nothing	46	2	5	8	4
		7.9%	21.9%	9.6%	8.3%	3.2%
	DK/NA	13	0	0	6	3
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	6.4%	2.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	163	133	1
	Eureka	27	11	0
		16.4%	8.2%	.0%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	59	40	0
		36.1%	29.8%	.0%
	Lazy Dog	37	37	0
		22.7%	27.7%	.0%
	Meet Fresh	12	7	0
		7.2%	5.0%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	8	8	0
		5.0%	6.2%	.0%
	Safeway	70	68	0
		42.8%	51.3%	.0%
	Sprouts	64	51	0
		39.0%	38.2%	.0%
	Steinmart	24	15	0
		14.4%	11.6%	.0%
	Target Express	63	47	0
		38.6%	35.3%	.0%
	Ulta	12	12	0
		7.5%	8.8%	.0%
	Other	29	20	0
		17.6%	15.4%	.0%
	Nothing	13	13	1
		7.9%	9.9%	100.0%
	DK/NA	1	3	0
		.5%	1.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	Eureka	a			
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.			
	Lazy Dog	a			
	Meet Fresh	a		F	
	Panino Guisto	a			
	Safeway	.			
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta	a			E
	Other	a			
	Nothing	.			
	DK/NA	a	a	E	
		.	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a,b
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			a,b
	Lazy Dog			a,b
	Meet Fresh			a,b
	Panino Guisto			a,b
	Safeway			a,b
	Sprouts			a,b
	Steinmart			a,b
	Target Express			a,b
	Ulta			a,b
	Other			a,b
	Nothing			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Eureka	82	3	31	23	20	1	4
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	218	9	73	61	52	19	4
	Lazy Dog	165	10	66	42	37	6	4
	Meet Fresh	56	1	16	13	17	6	3
	Panino Guisto	42	2	10	16	8	3	3
	Safeway	279	24	105	67	57	18	8
	Sprouts	246	20	86	71	47	16	6
	Steinmart	69	4	18	24	15	8	0
	Target Express	257	19	94	61	48	31	4
	Ulta	63	2	14	24	10	13	0
	Other	84	8	23	36	13	4	0
	Nothing	46	3	15	6	10	10	1
	DK/NA	12	1	9	2	0	0	1
		2.1%	1.4%	4.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	11.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				E		E
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				A		
	Lazy Dog		E		E		
	Meet Fresh						
	Panino Guisto						
	Safeway						
	Sprouts						a
	Steinmart						.
	Target Express					B	
	Ulta			B			a
	Other			B E			.
	Nothing				a	a	.
	DK/NA				.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Eureka	82	0	0	25	1
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	3	0	65	2
	Lazy Dog	165	0	0	60	1
	Meet Fresh	56	0	0	37	0
	Panino Guisto	42	0	0	17	0
	Safeway	281	3	0	77	1
	Sprouts	246	1	3	74	1
	Steinmart	69	0	0	15	0
	Target Express	257	1	3	81	1
	Ulta	63	1	0	7	0
	Other	84	1	0	31	1
	Nothing	46	0	0	11	0
	DK/NA	13	0	0	4	0
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Eureka	12	4	3	3
		11.7%	28.4%	11.4%	40.9%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	57	3	10	3
		53.5%	21.7%	40.4%	41.9%
	Lazy Dog	28	5	4	1
		26.2%	35.5%	15.6%	11.8%
	Meet Fresh	2	0	5	0
		2.2%	.0%	18.3%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	8	2	4	0
		7.3%	15.0%	18.0%	.0%
	Safeway	56	12	14	4
		53.1%	80.3%	55.4%	46.2%
	Sprouts	55	9	8	3
		51.6%	58.0%	33.7%	41.9%
	Steinmart	12	3	3	2
		11.6%	21.3%	11.4%	30.0%
	Target Express	50	6	16	7
		47.2%	38.2%	64.4%	87.2%
	Ulta	17	6	3	3
		16.2%	40.5%	11.4%	40.9%
	Other	11	2	0	0
		10.7%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	9	0	0	0
		8.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	0
		3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Eureka	0	0	27	4
		.0%	.0%	18.4%	17.3%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	1	3	53	9
		7.1%	34.2%	36.0%	36.7%
	Lazy Dog	0	4	45	8
		.0%	36.7%	30.4%	33.9%
	Meet Fresh	0	2	7	0
		.0%	22.2%	4.9%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	0	0	10	1
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	3.3%
	Safeway	3	3	74	18
		18.8%	33.6%	50.2%	75.8%
	Sprouts	7	5	61	12
		54.6%	45.6%	40.8%	50.8%
	Steinmart	0	1	23	2
		.0%	12.0%	15.8%	7.1%
	Target Express	10	4	55	12
		76.9%	38.5%	37.4%	50.7%
	Ulta	5	1	15	2
		33.6%	12.0%	10.1%	10.4%
	Other	0	1	25	2
		.0%	10.2%	16.9%	10.6%
	Nothing	0	3	15	2
		.0%	27.9%	10.4%	8.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	4	0
		.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	12	6	17
	Eureka	0	1	0
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	2.2%	16.8%	.0%
	Lazy Dog	3	2	4
		29.9%	27.0%	21.1%
	Meet Fresh	2	2	5
		21.3%	30.5%	25.9%
	Panino Guisto	0	0	2
		.0%	6.6%	10.1%
	Safeway	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Sprouts	6	2	8
		50.8%	30.6%	43.3%
	Steinmart	4	2	2
		31.4%	33.6%	9.5%
	Target Express	2	0	5
		15.8%	6.6%	27.9%
	Ulta	5	1	4
		45.5%	20.3%	20.9%
	Other	0	1	1
		2.7%	8.8%	2.9%
	Nothing	1	3	4
		10.3%	58.5%	21.6%
	DK/NA	2	1	3
		17.1%	21.1%	15.4%
		0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	.a	.a		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	.a	.a		.a
	Lazy Dog	.a	.a		.
	Meet Fresh	.a	.a	E K	.a
	Panino Guisto	.a	.a		.a
	Safeway	.a	.a		.
	Sprouts	.	.a		.
	Steinmart	a	.a		a
	Target Express	.	.a		.
	Ulta	C	.a		a
	Other	.	.a		.
	Nothing	a	.a		a
		.a	.a		.a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog				
	Meet Fresh		a	E	a
	Panino Guisto		.		a
	Safeway				.
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta	C	C		C
	Other			a	a
	Nothing		a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a
			.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	a	a		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog	a			
	Meet Fresh	a	E		a
	Panino Guisto	a	a		.
	Safeway	.	.		
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart	a			
	Target Express				
	Ulta	C			
	Other	a			
	Nothing	a			
	DK/NA	a	a		a
		.	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			
	Lazy Dog			
	Meet Fresh	a		
	Panino Guisto	a	a	a
	Safeway			
	Sprouts			
	Steinmart			
	Target Express			
	Ulta			
	Other		E	
	Nothing			
	DK/NA	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Eureka	35	8	16	6	4	0
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	121	45	50	12	6	7
		45.3%	43.7%	44.2%	39.5%	55.4%	84.2%
	Lazy Dog	79	32	34	6	5	1
		29.5%	30.6%	30.6%	19.7%	47.3%	15.9%
	Meet Fresh	28	5	20	1	1	0
		10.5%	5.3%	18.1%	4.7%	7.2%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	23	15	6	2	0	0
		8.6%	14.6%	5.2%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Safeway	137	60	43	23	7	4
		51.3%	57.4%	38.6%	76.5%	63.2%	40.6%
	Sprouts	124	48	49	15	7	5
		46.5%	46.2%	43.1%	50.7%	63.2%	57.2%
	Steinmart	36	18	13	4	0	1
		13.4%	17.0%	11.7%	12.8%	.0%	11.5%
	Target Express	127	43	65	12	3	4
		47.7%	41.4%	57.6%	40.0%	26.0%	48.8%
	Ulta	32	20	10	1	1	1
		12.1%	18.9%	8.7%	4.0%	9.6%	5.7%
	Other	41	18	15	4	1	3
		15.3%	17.6%	13.2%	12.0%	11.3%	29.9%
	Nothing	17	5	10	1	0	1
		6.4%	5.3%	8.6%	3.7%	.9%	6.6%
	DK/NA	6	5	1	0	0	0
		2.4%	4.8%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				A	a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					
	Lazy Dog					
	Meet Fresh		A			a
	Panino Guisto				a	a
	Safeway			B		
	Sprouts				a	
	Steinmart					
	Target Express					
	Ulta					
	Other					
	Nothing					
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Eureka	39	8	27	5	0	0
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	126	31	71	16	1	6
		33.0%	29.1%	31.9%	47.4%	24.6%	52.3%
	Lazy Dog	94	25	55	8	2	4
		24.6%	23.3%	24.6%	22.7%	42.1%	35.5%
	Meet Fresh	30	6	21	3	0	0
		7.9%	5.2%	9.4%	10.0%	.0%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	19	5	13	0	1	0
		5.0%	4.5%	5.8%	.3%	24.6%	.0%
	Safeway	165	41	94	19	1	8
		43.2%	38.5%	42.1%	58.0%	24.6%	75.3%
	Sprouts	143	32	88	15	2	6
		37.5%	29.7%	39.2%	44.2%	55.8%	50.9%
	Steinmart	50	16	25	7	0	1
		13.2%	15.1%	11.3%	21.8%	.0%	10.6%
	Target Express	149	36	99	11	1	3
		39.2%	33.4%	44.0%	32.1%	23.3%	26.1%
	Ulta	39	10	24	3	1	1
		10.2%	9.3%	10.6%	10.2%	24.6%	4.5%
	Other	66	28	33	4	0	2
		17.3%	25.6%	14.9%	10.5%	.0%	13.6%
	Nothing	29	11	14	3	0	0
		7.6%	10.5%	6.4%	10.2%	2.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	5	3	1	0	0	1
		1.4%	2.8%	.6%	.3%	.0%	9.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				a	a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				.	.
	Lazy Dog				a	a
	Meet Fresh				C	a
	Panino Guisto				.	.
	Safeway				.	.
	Sprouts				a	.
	Steinmart				a	.
	Target Express				a	a
	Ulta				a	a
	Other				a	a
	Nothing				a	a
	DK/NA				.	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Eureka	82 14.1%	76 16.9%	5 19.2%	10 10.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 12.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219 37.5%	174 38.8%	11 40.4%	29 30.9%	3 35.7%	0 24.3%	27 60.5%
	Lazy Dog	165 28.3%	148 33.0%	10 36.9%	29 31.7%	0 4.5%	1 75.7%	13 28.7%
	Meet Fresh	56 9.6%	45 10.0%	7 27.8%	17 17.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 5.3%
	Panino Guisto	42 7.2%	33 7.4%	4 14.6%	6 6.8%	0 .0%	0 0%	5 11.4%
	Safeway	281 48.2%	225 50.1%	15 56.0%	42 45.3%	6 85.5%	0 24.3%	21 48.2%
	Sprouts	246 42.3%	200 44.7%	15 55.7%	38 41.1%	3 35.7%	0 24.3%	17 39.2%
	Steinmart	69 11.9%	57 12.8%	1 4.0%	7 7.0%	1 19.8%	0 24.3%	5 12.5%
	Target Express	257 44.2%	184 41.1%	16 62.0%	36 39.0%	4 55.6%	0 24.3%	25 56.4%
	Ulta	63 10.8%	54 12.1%	1 4.0%	4 4.6%	1 19.9%	0 24.3%	5 10.8%
	Other	84 14.5%	73 16.4%	4 15.0%	14 15.4%	1 19.9%	1 69.2%	5 12.5%
	Nothing	46 7.9%	41 9.2%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	1 13.2%	0 .0%	2 5.1%
	DK/NA	13 2.2%	12 2.6%	0 .0%	3 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Eureka	2	3	4	1	0	6
		32.2%	12.8%	9.0%	5.9%	.0%	45.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	1	10	16	3	1	6
		15.2%	45.5%	32.6%	19.3%	100.0%	46.5%
	Lazy Dog	2	4	11	3	0	3
		32.2%	17.5%	22.3%	26.0%	25.0%	26.9%
	Meet Fresh	1	3	10	1	0	0
		11.7%	12.8%	21.8%	5.9%	.0%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	1	4	4	1	0	0
		13.1%	20.3%	9.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%
	Safeway	6	13	19	6	0	6
		96.5%	57.5%	40.6%	42.7%	.0%	49.3%
	Sprouts	2	6	17	3	0	6
		34.3%	25.3%	36.6%	25.7%	25.0%	48.3%
	Steinmart	1	3	6	1	0	2
		11.7%	12.8%	12.9%	5.9%	.0%	19.6%
	Target Express	2	16	23	4	0	10
		36.9%	72.6%	49.2%	33.8%	25.0%	80.0%
	Ulta	4	3	2	1	0	5
		54.3%	12.8%	4.2%	5.9%	.0%	40.5%
	Other	0	0	9	2	0	1
		1.4%	.0%	18.6%	13.7%	.0%	9.8%
	Nothing	0	0	5	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	10.7%	12.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	14	56	7
	Eureka	0	15	0
		.0%	27.4%	.0%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	1	25	2
		7.1%	45.3%	36.2%
	Lazy Dog	0	19	1
		.0%	33.3%	17.8%
	Meet Fresh	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	0	0	0
		.0%	.2%	.0%
	Safeway	3	35	4
		18.8%	63.6%	63.6%
	Sprouts	7	33	2
		54.6%	58.7%	36.2%
	Steinmart	0	6	1
		.0%	10.9%	15.4%
	Target Express	10	26	1
		76.9%	47.5%	15.4%
	Ulta	5	9	0
		33.6%	16.4%	5.1%
	Other	0	11	1
		.0%	20.0%	20.5%
	Nothing	0	6	1
		.0%	11.3%	16.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	20.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				a	a,,b		
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx					.b	M	
	Lazy Dog					.b		
	Meet Fresh				a	a,,b		
	Panino Guisto				a	a,,b		
	Safeway					.b		
	Sprouts					.b		
	Steinmart					.b		
	Target Express					.b		
	Ulta					.b		C I
	Other		a			.b		a
	Nothing		a		a	a,,b	a	a
	DK/NA		.		.	a,,b	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				a,,b	C	a	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				a,,b			
	Lazy Dog				,b		a	
	Meet Fresh				a,,b	a	a	a
	Panino Guisto	N			a,,b	a	a	
	Safeway				a,,b			
	Sprouts				,b			
	Steinmart				a,,b		a	
	Target Express				,b			
	Ulta				a,,b	C I	C	
	Other	a			a,,b		a	
	Nothing	a			a,,b	a	a	
	DK/NA	a		a	a,,b	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka	a
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	
	Lazy Dog	
	Meet Fresh	a
	Panino Guisto	a
	Safeway	
	Sprouts	
	Steinmart	
	Target Express	
	Ulta	
	Other	
	Nothing	
	DK/NA	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Eureka	82	2	4	5	5	2
		14.1%	2.6%	7.0%	16.9%	14.3%	5.2%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	12	18	10	17	8
		37.5%	20.4%	34.9%	35.0%	45.6%	25.1%
	Lazy Dog	165	4	8	8	8	16
		28.3%	7.0%	16.1%	27.0%	20.8%	48.8%
	Meet Fresh	56	1	1	3	3	6
		9.6%	2.0%	1.9%	10.0%	7.5%	20.2%
	Panino Guisto	42	2	1	0	5	3
		7.2%	2.7%	1.2%	.0%	14.7%	9.6%
	Safeway	281	33	17	11	19	9
		48.2%	54.3%	33.0%	36.7%	51.2%	27.6%
	Sprouts	246	19	16	16	13	11
		42.3%	31.5%	31.7%	55.8%	36.5%	32.9%
	Steinmart	69	1	4	3	2	3
		11.9%	2.3%	8.3%	10.2%	6.3%	8.0%
	Target Express	257	23	30	11	22	9
		44.2%	38.5%	58.3%	36.0%	61.1%	27.5%
	Ulta	63	2	2	4	3	1
		10.8%	2.7%	4.7%	13.6%	6.8%	4.3%
	Other	84	10	5	4	5	6
		14.5%	16.1%	9.1%	13.6%	13.5%	18.5%
	Nothing	46	8	3	0	0	3
		7.9%	12.6%	6.7%	.3%	.0%	8.1%
	DK/NA	13	1	0	0	1	1
		2.2%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	1.8%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Eureka	13	4	2	35	10
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	31.9%	11.7%	5.5%	26.6%	7.9%
	Lazy Dog	15	8	16	67	47
	Meet Fresh	36.9%	20.9%	48.3%	50.7%	36.9%
	Panino Guisto	11	10	4	65	31
	Safeway	27.3%	26.4%	11.7%	48.8%	24.7%
	Sprouts	3	3	1	24	10
	Steinmart	6.6%	8.0%	3.6%	18.2%	8.1%
	Target Express	3	1	2	14	11
	Ulta	8.4%	2.7%	4.9%	10.6%	8.8%
	Other	19	13	15	74	72
	Nothing	45.0%	33.9%	45.0%	55.9%	56.9%
	DK/NA	25	16	10	70	50
		59.9%	42.1%	28.5%	52.8%	39.7%
		6	6	7	14	22

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka						A J
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx						
	Lazy Dog					A H	
	Meet Fresh			a			
	Panino Guisto			.			
	Safeway						
	Sprouts						
	Steinmart						
	Target Express						
	Ulta						
	Other				a		
	Nothing		a	a	.		a
	DK/NA		.	.			.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			A J	
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			A	
	Lazy Dog			A B H J	
	Meet Fresh				
	Panino Guisto				
	Safeway				
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta	A I			
	Other				
	Nothing	a	a		
	DK/NA	.	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Eureka	82	9	16	57
		14.1%	5.4%	10.6%	21.6%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	219	29	43	146
		37.5%	17.5%	28.4%	55.7%
	Lazy Dog	165	22	19	124
		28.3%	13.4%	12.1%	47.3%
	Meet Fresh	56	7	10	39
		9.6%	4.2%	6.4%	14.9%
	Panino Guisto	42	3	14	26
		7.2%	1.6%	9.0%	9.8%
	Safeway	281	60	46	175
		48.2%	36.1%	29.8%	66.8%
	Sprouts	246	34	44	168
		42.3%	20.3%	29.0%	64.1%
	Steinmart	69	10	17	43
		11.9%	6.2%	10.8%	16.3%
	Target Express	257	61	76	120
		44.2%	36.3%	50.1%	45.9%
	Ulta	63	8	13	41
		10.8%	5.0%	8.6%	15.8%
	Other	84	25	13	46
		14.5%	15.0%	8.5%	17.7%
	Nothing	46	12	13	20
		7.9%	7.2%	8.7%	7.8%
	DK/NA	13	6	5	2
		2.2%	3.7%	3.4%	.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka			A B
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx			A B
	Lazy Dog			A B
	Meet Fresh			A B
	Panino Guisto		A	A
	Safeway			A B
	Sprouts			A B
	Steinmart			A
	Target Express		A	
	Ulta			A
	Other			B
	Nothing			
	DK/NA	C		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Eureka	64	18	14	14	18
		13.8%	14.3%	15.3%	17.8%	10.9%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	177	41	38	38	61
		38.3%	32.4%	41.8%	48.7%	36.1%
	Lazy Dog	132	32	25	29	46
		28.6%	25.6%	27.4%	37.3%	27.6%
	Meet Fresh	42	22	6	6	9
		9.1%	17.2%	6.5%	7.2%	5.3%
	Panino Guisto	32	10	6	7	10
		7.0%	8.1%	6.2%	9.0%	5.8%
	Safeway	242	64	42	50	86
		52.4%	50.8%	46.8%	64.6%	51.0%
	Sprouts	202	46	42	38	75
		43.8%	36.6%	46.9%	49.3%	44.9%
	Steinmart	63	13	14	13	23
		13.7%	10.0%	15.4%	17.3%	13.8%
	Target Express	187	54	41	37	55
		40.5%	42.6%	45.6%	48.0%	32.8%
	Ulta	48	12	13	9	15
		10.4%	9.2%	14.6%	11.1%	8.8%
	Other	72	11	16	14	32
		15.7%	8.6%	17.2%	17.5%	19.3%
	Nothing	40	13	5	3	19
		8.6%	10.1%	5.1%	3.9%	11.5%
	DK/NA	10	3	3	0	3
		2.2%	2.6%	3.8%	.4%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka				
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx				
	Lazy Dog				
	Meet Fresh				
	Panino Guisto				
	Safeway				
	Sprouts				
	Steinmart				
	Target Express				
	Ulta				
	Other				
	Nothing				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Eureka	82	25	18	9	2	11
		14.1%	20.7%	15.3%	22.2%	5.8%	8.8%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	218	59	47	18	10	47
		37.6%	47.5%	39.7%	45.4%	25.4%	37.1%
	Lazy Dog	164	33	50	12	9	24
		28.3%	27.0%	41.7%	30.6%	22.7%	18.9%
	Meet Fresh	56	11	15	2	2	12
		9.6%	8.6%	12.9%	6.1%	5.4%	9.3%
	Panino Guisto	42	8	18	2	2	3
		7.3%	6.4%	14.8%	4.7%	5.0%	2.2%
	Safeway	280	59	57	22	22	57
		48.3%	47.5%	47.9%	56.2%	54.4%	44.5%
	Sprouts	245	60	51	14	21	46
		42.3%	48.3%	42.7%	34.7%	52.3%	35.9%
	Steinmart	68	23	11	7	5	11
		11.8%	18.7%	8.9%	18.3%	12.3%	8.7%
	Target Express	256	60	66	16	14	49
		44.3%	48.9%	55.4%	40.9%	35.1%	38.3%
	Ulta	63	25	2	4	3	20
		10.9%	20.0%	1.6%	10.9%	7.7%	16.0%
	Other	83	26	10	10	7	17
		14.4%	20.8%	8.2%	25.0%	16.1%	13.1%
	Nothing	45	6	10	3	4	3
		7.7%	4.8%	8.1%	6.3%	9.7%	2.7%
	DK/NA	12	5	3	0	1	3
		2.1%	3.8%	2.3%	.0%	1.7%	2.6%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Total	112	7	9
	Eureka	14	1	1
		12.8%	11.2%	6.6%
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx	32	2	1
		28.8%	33.1%	13.0%
	Lazy Dog	30	4	1
		26.7%	62.9%	9.0%
	Meet Fresh	13	1	0
		11.2%	11.2%	.0%
	Panino Guisto	8	1	1
		7.4%	11.2%	6.6%
	Safeway	54	6	3
		47.7%	82.5%	37.5%
	Sprouts	46	5	2
		41.4%	77.3%	19.5%
	Steinmart	10	1	0
		9.0%	13.3%	4.0%
	Target Express	44	4	3
		39.3%	51.7%	32.9%
	Ulta	6	1	1
		5.7%	17.5%	10.6%
	Other	10	2	3
		8.8%	31.2%	29.0%
	Nothing	17	0	2
		15.2%	.0%	24.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka						
	HomeGoods/TJ Maxx						
	Lazy Dog		E				
	Meet Fresh						
	Panino Guisto		E				
	Safeway						
	Sprouts						
	Steinmart						
	Target Express						
	Ulta	B F				B	
	Other						
	Nothing			a			E
	DK/NA			.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
20. In 2016 which businesses did you visit at Crossroads, Homestead Square, or Main Street?	Eureka HomeGoods/TJ Maxx		
	Lazy Dog		
	Meet Fresh		a
	Panino Guisto		.
	Safeway		
	Sprouts		
	Steinmart		
	Target Express		
	Ulta		
	Other	a	E
	Nothing	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	582
	Increased	241 41.4%	241 41.4%
	Decreased	53 9.2%	53 9.2%
	Stayed about same	225 38.6%	225 38.6%
	DK/NA	63 10.9%	63 10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	.
	Decreased	.
	Stayed about same	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Increased	241 41.4%	115 41.0%	124 41.8%	1 34.4%
	Decreased	53 9.2%	28 9.8%	25 8.4%	1 31.9%
	Stayed about same	225 38.6%	112 39.9%	111 37.4%	1 33.7%
	DK/NA	63 10.9%	26 9.3%	37 12.5%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased			
	Stayed about same			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Increased	241 41.4%	20 26.3%	22 21.5%	76 53.6%	84 55.2%	32 31.5%	7 80.4%
	Decreased	53 9.2%	7 8.6%	14 13.8%	19 13.2%	5 3.4%	9 8.8%	0 .0%
	Stayed about same	225 38.6%	35 45.4%	53 52.0%	39 27.5%	45 29.5%	52 50.8%	2 19.6%
	DK/NA	63 10.9%	15 19.7%	13 12.7%	8 5.7%	18 11.9%	9 8.8%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			A B E	A B E		A B E
	Decreased		D	D			. ^a
	Stayed about same		C D			C D	
	DK/NA	C					. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	424	158
	Increased	241	210	30
	Decreased	53	25	28
	Stayed about same	225	151	74
	DK/NA	63	38	25
		10.9%	8.9%	16.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	B	
	Decreased		A
	Stayed about same		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Increased	241	98	36	5	102
	Decreased	53	25	4	2	22
	Stayed about same	225	88	36	8	93
	DK/NA	63	33	5	2	24
		41.4%	40.1%	44.4%	30.4%	42.4%
		9.2%	10.4%	5.3%	11.0%	9.1%
		38.6%	36.2%	44.4%	48.9%	38.5%
		10.9%	13.4%	5.9%	9.7%	10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased				
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Increased	241	44	31	14	12	53	88
	Decreased	53	15	3	3	1	10	21
	Stayed about same	225	44	24	12	14	53	78
	DK/NA	63	19	9	3	2	13	19
		41.4%	35.9%	46.2%	44.0%	41.2%	41.2%	42.8%
		9.2%	12.1%	4.6%	10.4%	3.3%	8.1%	10.2%
		38.6%	36.6%	36.2%	36.0%	50.0%	40.9%	38.0%
		10.9%	15.4%	13.0%	9.5%	5.5%	9.8%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased						
	Decreased						
	Stayed about same						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Increased	241	93	38	31	25
		41.4%	35.5%	48.6%	37.9%	52.9%
	Decreased	53	26	6	14	5
		9.2%	9.8%	7.0%	16.9%	11.0%
	Stayed about same	225	97	31	32	15
		38.6%	36.8%	38.9%	39.7%	31.7%
	DK/NA	63	47	4	4	2
		10.9%	17.9%	5.4%	5.4%	4.5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Increased	10	9	20	14
		38.7%	40.2%	53.5%	55.9%
	Decreased	1	0	1	1
		3.5%	.0%	2.2%	5.4%
	Stayed about same	15	13	14	8
		57.8%	54.7%	35.9%	34.5%
	DK/NA	0	1	3	1
		.0%	5.1%	8.5%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					
	Decreased					
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased	a		
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Increased	241	2	95	17	14	10	8	21	37
		41.4%	27.8%	54.8%	40.7%	29.5%	63.0%	60.7%	51.1%	38.7%
	Decreased	53	0	7	4	10	0	0	1	14
		9.2%	.0%	4.1%	8.7%	21.2%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	14.0%
	Stayed about same	225	2	54	13	20	6	4	15	43
		38.6%	28.7%	31.2%	31.1%	42.8%	37.0%	28.7%	36.5%	44.4%
	DK/NA	63	3	17	8	3	0	1	4	3
		10.9%	43.5%	9.9%	19.5%	6.6%	.0%	10.6%	10.2%	2.9%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Increased	22	9	2	3
		29.7%	14.4%	90.2%	52.1%
	Decreased	7	11	0	0
		9.7%	17.5%	.0%	.0%
	Stayed about same	37	29	0	1
		50.5%	45.1%	9.8%	25.5%
	DK/NA	7	15	0	1
		10.1%	23.1%	.0%	22.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		I J			J	J	J		
	Decreased	a			B	a	a			
	Stayed about same									
	DK/NA	H		H		a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		a	a
	Decreased	B	a	a
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA	H	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	464	118
	Increased	241	195	46
	Decreased	53	40	14
	Stayed about same	225	178	46
	DK/NA	63	51	12
		41.4%	42.0%	39.0%
		9.2%	8.6%	11.6%
		38.6%	38.4%	39.4%
		10.9%	11.1%	10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	347	235
	Increased	241	160	81
	Decreased	53	27	27
	Stayed about same	225	141	83
	DK/NA	63	19	44
		41.4%	46.1%	34.4%
		9.2%	7.7%	11.3%
		38.6%	40.7%	35.5%
		10.9%	5.5%	18.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	B	
	Decreased		
	Stayed about same		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Increased	241	3	11	51	56
	Decreased	53	0	5	4	11
	Stayed about same	225	2	19	29	49
	DK/NA	63	6	14	10	15
		41.4%	25.6%	23.4%	54.5%	42.9%
		9.2%	.0%	9.4%	4.3%	8.1%
		38.6%	18.3%	39.4%	31.1%	37.3%
		10.9%	56.1%	27.9%	10.2%	11.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	163	133	1
	Increased	67	52	0
	Decreased	21	13	0
	Stayed about same	64	60	1
	DK/NA	11	8	0
		41.1%	39.1%	.0%
		13.0%	9.7%	.0%
		39.3%	45.4%	100.0%
		6.6%	5.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	.b		B		
	Decreased	.				
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA	C D E F	E F			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		a.,b
	Decreased		a.,b
	Stayed about same		a.,b
	DK/NA		a.,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Increased	241	18	73	68	61	14	7
		41.5%	40.7%	34.7%	48.0%	53.4%	23.7%	59.6%
	Decreased	53	3	14	9	6	22	0
		9.2%	6.2%	6.7%	6.3%	5.1%	37.9%	.0%
	Stayed about same	223	19	91	54	37	18	4
		38.5%	42.6%	43.3%	38.4%	32.1%	31.6%	34.7%
	DK/NA	63	5	32	10	11	4	1
		10.8%	10.5%	15.3%	7.3%	9.4%	6.8%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			E	B E		a
	Decreased					A B C D	
	Stayed about same						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Increased	241	1	0	97	1
		41.4%	50.0%	.0%	51.1%	48.8%
	Decreased	53	0	0	19	0
		9.2%	.0%	.0%	10.1%	.0%
	Stayed about same	225	1	3	61	1
		38.6%	50.0%	100.0%	32.0%	51.2%
	DK/NA	63	0	0	13	0
		10.9%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Increased	59	5	9	1
		55.8%	31.1%	37.7%	11.8%
	Decreased	11	1	3	0
		10.7%	6.7%	13.3%	.0%
	Stayed about same	24	8	11	2
		23.1%	51.9%	44.6%	30.0%
	DK/NA	11	2	1	5
		10.4%	10.3%	4.4%	58.1%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Increased	2	6	43	3
		11.3%	61.8%	29.0%	11.6%
	Decreased	5	0	9	0
		37.1%	.0%	6.2%	.0%
	Stayed about same	1	3	78	16
		7.1%	27.9%	52.6%	66.7%
	DK/NA	6	1	18	5
		44.5%	10.2%	12.2%	21.7%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	12	6	17
	Increased	3	4	7
		28.4%	60.9%	39.4%
	Decreased	1	1	2
		8.0%	16.6%	13.9%
	Stayed about same	6	1	7
		55.6%	20.3%	43.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	1
		8.0%	2.2%	3.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	a	a	K L	
	Decreased	.	a		a
	Stayed about same	.	a		
	DK/NA	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	K L			
	Decreased				a
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				C E G K

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		^a		^a
	Decreased	K	.		.
	Stayed about same			C E	E I
	DK/NA	C E			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			
	Decreased			
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Increased	124	48	53	12	6	5
		46.5%	46.5%	47.2%	40.5%	52.3%	52.1%
	Decreased	33	12	13	6	2	0
		12.2%	11.3%	11.4%	21.0%	15.3%	.0%
	Stayed about same	81	34	37	6	2	4
		30.4%	32.3%	32.7%	18.4%	14.3%	40.6%
	DK/NA	29	10	10	6	2	1
		10.8%	9.9%	8.7%	20.1%	18.1%	7.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					^a
	Decreased					.
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Increased	160	44	88	18	4	5
		42.0%	40.9%	39.4%	53.4%	97.9%	49.4%
	Decreased	41	10	23	6	0	2
		10.8%	9.2%	10.5%	18.3%	.0%	14.9%
	Stayed about same	141	41	90	7	0	4
		37.1%	38.0%	40.0%	19.7%	2.1%	35.7%
	DK/NA	39	13	23	3	0	0
		10.1%	11.9%	10.1%	8.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased					
	Decreased				^a	
	Stayed about same					
	DK/NA				^a	^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Increased	241	187	11	58	1	0	25
		41.4%	41.8%	41.7%	62.8%	17.1%	6.5%	57.7%
	Decreased	53	25	3	14	1	0	6
		9.2%	5.6%	13.2%	15.4%	13.2%	24.3%	14.5%
	Stayed about same	225	181	11	15	3	1	9
		38.6%	40.4%	40.7%	16.0%	42.9%	69.2%	21.5%
	DK/NA	63	55	1	5	2	0	3
		10.9%	12.2%	4.4%	5.8%	26.8%	.0%	6.3%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Increased	0	9	32	3	0	3	2
		3.5%	42.5%	67.5%	21.1%	.0%	26.9%	11.3%
	Decreased	1	3	3	3	0	2	5
		15.6%	15.0%	5.5%	19.0%	.0%	13.8%	37.1%
	Stayed about same	5	9	9	6	1	2	1
		69.2%	42.5%	18.9%	49.0%	100.0%	19.6%	7.1%
	DK/NA	1	0	4	1	0	5	6
		11.7%	.0%	8.1%	10.9%	.0%	39.8%	44.5%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	56	7
	Increased	25	3
		44.4%	41.4%
	Decreased	3	2
		5.3%	24.9%
	Stayed about same	18	2
		32.6%	33.6%
	DK/NA	10	0
		17.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased			A M		a		
	Decreased			A		a		
	Stayed about same	C				a		
	DK/NA					a,b		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased		A M		a,b				
	Decreased				a,b		A		
	Stayed about same				a,b				
	DK/NA	b			a,b	C	A C F		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	
	Decreased	
	Stayed about same	
	DK/NA	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Increased	241	22	9	12	22	15
		41.4%	36.4%	17.1%	39.8%	58.6%	47.5%
	Decreased	53	5	6	1	0	2
		9.2%	8.0%	10.8%	2.0%	.0%	6.6%
	Stayed about same	225	28	35	17	13	11
		38.6%	46.7%	67.7%	57.2%	34.9%	35.1%
	DK/NA	63	5	2	0	2	3
		10.9%	8.9%	4.3%	1.0%	6.5%	10.8%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Increased	11	8	8	84	49
		27.6%	20.3%	24.8%	63.8%	39.2%
	Decreased	10	3	13	8	6
		24.6%	8.6%	38.1%	6.2%	4.6%
	Stayed about same	11	12	9	28	61
		26.0%	30.9%	26.4%	21.3%	48.3%
	DK/NA	9	15	4	11	10
		21.7%	40.2%	10.8%	8.7%	8.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased				B G		
	Decreased				a		
	Stayed about same	I	F G H I	I			I J
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	A B C D I J	A C I J	A B F G H J	I
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Increased	241	68	32	140
		41.4%	40.8%	21.2%	53.5%
	Decreased	53	8	35	10
		9.2%	4.7%	23.1%	3.9%
	Stayed about same	225	85	59	81
		38.6%	50.8%	38.3%	30.9%
	DK/NA	63	6	26	31
		10.9%	3.7%	17.3%	11.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	B	A C	A B
	Decreased			
	Stayed about same			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Increased	204	52	42	37	74
		44.1%	41.0%	46.1%	47.2%	43.9%
	Decreased	43	22	8	4	9
		9.4%	17.8%	8.5%	4.9%	5.6%
	Stayed about same	187	45	37	33	72
		40.4%	35.3%	41.1%	41.9%	43.0%
	DK/NA	28	7	4	5	13
		6.2%	5.9%	4.2%	6.0%	7.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	C D			
	Decreased				
	Stayed about same				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Increased	240	54	44	16	20	51
		41.4%	43.5%	36.9%	40.2%	48.6%	40.1%
	Decreased	52	6	19	3	2	17
		9.1%	4.6%	15.5%	6.6%	4.0%	13.0%
	Stayed about same	224	46	42	20	16	44
		38.6%	37.3%	35.3%	49.0%	39.9%	34.4%
	DK/NA	63	18	15	2	3	16
		10.9%	14.6%	12.3%	4.2%	7.5%	12.5%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Total	112	7	9
	Increased	50	3	1
		44.8%	49.8%	15.0%
	Decreased	6	0	2
		5.0%	.0%	19.8%
	Stayed about same	48	2	6
		42.9%	28.3%	65.2%
	DK/NA	8	2	0
		7.4%	22.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased						
	Decreased						
	Stayed about same						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
21. In general, do you feel crime in the City of Cupertino has increased, decreased or stayed about the same in the past five years?	Increased	a	
	Decreased	.	
	Stayed about same		a
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
22A. At home	Total	582	582
	Very safe	343	343
		59.0%	59.0%
	Somewhat safe	190	190
		32.7%	32.7%
	Neither	16	16
		2.8%	2.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	27
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	4.6%
	Very unsafe	4	4
		.7%	.7%
	DK/NA	2	2
		.3%	.3%
	Total	582	582
	Very safe	88	88
		15.2%	15.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	198
		34.1%	34.1%
	Neither	62	62
		10.6%	10.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	70
		11.9%	11.9%
	Very unsafe	36	36
		6.3%	6.3%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	128
		22.0%	22.0%
	Total	582	582
	Very safe	378	378
		64.9%	64.9%
	Somewhat safe	174	174
		29.8%	29.8%
	Neither	9	9
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		1.5%	1.5%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	17
		3.0%	3.0%
	Very unsafe	2	2
		.4%	.4%
	DK/NA	2	2
		.4%	.4%
	Total	582	582
	Very safe	154	154

		Total	
		Total	Total
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	26.5%
	Somewhat safe	243	243
		41.7%	41.7%
	Neither	49	49
		8.4%	8.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	86
		14.8%	14.8%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	42
		7.2%	7.2%
	DK/NA	9	9
		1.5%	1.5%
	Total	582	582
	Very safe	159	159
		27.4%	27.4%
	Somewhat safe	213	213
		36.6%	36.6%
	Neither	24	24
		4.1%	4.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	94
		16.2%	16.2%
	Very unsafe	91	91
		15.6%	15.6%
	DK/NA	1	1
		.2%	.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
22A. At home	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.
	Very safe	.
	Somewhat safe	.
	Neither	.
	Somewhat unsafe	.
	Very unsafe	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
22A. At home	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	343	163	179	1
		59.0%	57.9%	60.3%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	190	95	93	2
		32.7%	33.7%	31.4%	68.1%
	Neither	16	9	8	0
		2.8%	3.0%	2.5%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	12	15	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	4.3%	4.9%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	2	2	0
		.7%	.8%	.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	1	0
		.3%	.3%	.2%	.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	88	46	41	1
		15.2%	16.5%	13.8%	31.9%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	84	114	1
		34.1%	29.8%	38.2%	33.7%
	Neither	62	27	33	1
		10.6%	9.7%	11.2%	34.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	37	32	0
		11.9%	13.2%	10.9%	.0%
	Very unsafe	36	16	20	0
		6.3%	5.8%	6.7%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	70	57	0
		22.0%	25.0%	19.3%	.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	378	182	192	3
		64.9%	64.8%	64.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	174	84	89	0
		29.8%	30.0%	30.0%	.0%
	Neither	9	2	7	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1.5%	.6%	2.3%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	10	7	0
		3.0%	3.6%	2.5%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	1	1	0
		.4%	.5%	.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	2	1	0
		.4%	.6%	.2%	.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	154	95	59	1

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	33.6%	19.7%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	243	114	126	2
		41.7%	40.7%	42.4%	68.1%
	Neither	49	23	26	0
		8.4%	8.2%	8.7%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	28	58	0
		14.8%	10.0%	19.4%	.0%
	Very unsafe	42	19	23	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	6.9%	7.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	2	7	0
		1.5%	.7%	2.2%	.0%
	Total	582	281	298	3
	Very safe	159	82	77	1
		27.4%	29.0%	25.7%	31.9%
	Somewhat safe	213	107	105	1
		36.6%	37.9%	35.4%	33.7%
22F. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	24	8	16	0
		4.1%	2.8%	5.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	51	42	1
		16.2%	18.2%	14.1%	34.4%
	Very unsafe	91	34	57	0
		15.6%	11.9%	19.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0
		.2%	.1%	.2%	.0%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
	Neither			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a
	Very unsafe			a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA			a
	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
	Neither			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe			a
	DK/NA			a
	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
	Neither			a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe			a
	Very unsafe			a
	DK/NA			a
	Very safe			a
	Somewhat safe			a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a
	Very unsafe			a
	DK/NA			a
	Very safe			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+
22A. At home	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
	Very safe	343	49	65	83	78	61
	59.0%	64.3%	64.2%	58.7%	51.0%	60.0%	87.5%
	Somewhat safe	190	22	25	45	61	36
	32.7%	29.0%	24.5%	31.8%	40.3%	35.2%	12.5%
	Neither	16	2	3	6	4	0
	2.8%	3.1%	2.9%	4.5%	2.9%	.1%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	3	9	6	6	3
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	4.6%	3.7%	8.4%	4.0%	4.2%	3.2%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	1	3	0
	.7%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	2	0
	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
	Very safe	88	18	15	21	20	12
	15.2%	23.6%	15.3%	14.7%	13.4%	11.8%	19.6%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	15	31	51	65	36
	34.1%	19.6%	31.1%	36.2%	42.7%	35.1%	.0%
	Neither	62	10	8	17	11	14
	10.6%	13.0%	7.6%	11.9%	7.4%	13.8%	19.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	3	16	28	20	4
	11.9%	3.6%	15.5%	19.8%	12.8%	3.5%	.0%
	Very unsafe	36	5	2	16	10	3
	6.3%	6.7%	1.9%	11.3%	6.8%	2.9%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	26	29	8	26	34
	22.0%	33.3%	28.6%	6.0%	17.0%	33.0%	61.1%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
	Very safe	378	54	65	94	94	63
	64.9%	70.0%	64.4%	67.0%	61.8%	61.7%	80.7%
	Somewhat safe	174	21	28	39	47	37
	29.8%	26.9%	27.5%	27.8%	31.1%	36.1%	19.3%
	Neither	9	2	3	1	2	1
22E. Leaving your home unattended	1.5%	3.1%	2.9%	.6%	1.2%	.7%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	5	4	8	1
	3.0%	.0%	5.1%	2.5%	5.1%	.9%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	1	1	0
	.4%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.8%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	2	0	1
	.4%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.6%	.0%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	154	20	32	38	32	29
							4

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	26.0%	31.6%	27.1%	20.8%	28.1%	43.3%
	Somewhat safe	243	38	35	49	74	44	2
		41.7%	50.0%	35.0%	34.6%	48.3%	43.4%	24.9%
	Neither	49	6	14	13	6	9	2
		8.4%	7.3%	13.6%	9.2%	3.9%	8.6%	19.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	4	12	33	26	10	1
		14.8%	5.7%	11.4%	23.3%	17.0%	10.0%	12.5%
	Very unsafe	42	8	9	8	12	4	0
		7.2%	10.9%	8.5%	5.9%	8.1%	4.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	0	3	6	0
1.5%		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	5.6%	.0%	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Very safe	159	27	34	27	30	34	7
		27.4%	35.5%	34.0%	18.8%	19.7%	33.2%	81.3%
	Somewhat safe	213	29	35	51	53	43	2
		36.6%	37.5%	34.9%	36.4%	34.5%	42.7%	18.7%
	Neither	24	5	0	7	9	2	0
		4.1%	6.7%	.0%	5.0%	6.0%	2.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	3	14	34	30	13	0
		16.2%	3.6%	13.7%	24.2%	19.8%	13.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	91	13	18	22	30	8	0
15.6%		16.6%	17.5%	15.5%	19.7%	8.1%	.0%	
DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	
	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.6%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						a
	Somewhat unsafe						a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a	a				
	Very safe						a
	Somewhat safe				A		
	Neither		E	A E			a
	Somewhat unsafe						a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe						C D
	DK/NA	C	C			C D	C D
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						a
	Neither	a					a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	a	a			a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a		a		a
	DK/NA	a	a				a
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither		a				a
	Somewhat unsafe			A	A		a
	Very unsafe						a
	DK/NA	a	a	a			a
	Very safe						C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
22A. At home	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	343	251	93
		59.0%	59.1%	58.8%
	Somewhat safe	190	139	51
		32.7%	32.7%	32.6%
	Neither	16	12	5
		2.8%	2.7%	2.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	20	6
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	4.8%	4.0%
	Very unsafe	4	3	1
		.7%	.7%	.7%
	DK/NA	2	0	2
		.3%	.0%	1.0%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	88	61	28
		15.2%	14.3%	17.5%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	149	49
		34.1%	35.1%	31.3%
	Neither	62	41	21
		10.6%	9.5%	13.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	59	11
		11.9%	13.8%	6.9%
	Very unsafe	36	30	6
		6.3%	7.1%	3.9%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	85	43
		22.0%	20.1%	27.0%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	378	277	101
		64.9%	65.2%	64.0%
	Somewhat safe	174	125	48
		29.8%	29.5%	30.6%
	Neither	9	5	4
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1.5%	1.2%	2.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	14	3
		3.0%	3.4%	1.9%
	Very unsafe	2	2	0
		.4%	.5%	.1%
	DK/NA	2	1	2
		.4%	.2%	1.0%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	154	106	48

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	25.0%	30.6%
	Somewhat safe	243	175	67
		41.7%	41.3%	42.6%
	Neither	49	35	14
		8.4%	8.2%	8.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	71	15
		14.8%	16.7%	9.4%
	Very unsafe	42	32	10
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	7.6%	6.2%
	DK/NA	9	5	4
		1.5%	1.1%	2.4%
	Total	582	424	158
	Very safe	159	105	54
		27.4%	24.8%	34.3%
	Somewhat safe	213	149	64
		36.6%	35.2%	40.4%
22F. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	24	19	5
		4.1%	4.5%	3.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	74	20
		16.2%	17.5%	12.5%
	Very unsafe	91	76	14
		15.6%	17.9%	9.2%
	DK/NA	1	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
22A. At home	Very safe	a	
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	B	
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	B	
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	B	A
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
	Very safe		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
22A. At home	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	343	155	54	10	125
		59.0%	63.5%	66.7%	63.7%	51.6%
	Somewhat safe	190	71	21	5	93
		32.7%	29.0%	26.5%	31.2%	38.5%
	Neither	16	6	2	1	7
		2.8%	2.5%	2.7%	5.1%	2.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	9	2	0	15
		4.6%	3.8%	2.8%	.0%	6.2%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very unsafe	4	2	1	0	1
		.7%	.8%	1.3%	.0%	.5%
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	1
		.3%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	88	38	15	2	33
		15.2%	15.4%	18.8%	13.8%	13.8%
	Somewhat safe	198	60	24	5	110
		34.1%	24.5%	29.1%	33.9%	45.3%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	62	35	8	2	17
		10.6%	14.2%	9.7%	12.8%	7.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	25	4	3	38
		11.9%	10.2%	4.7%	18.4%	15.7%
	Very unsafe	36	11	8	0	17
		6.3%	4.7%	10.4%	.0%	6.9%
	DK/NA	128	75	22	3	27
		22.0%	31.0%	27.3%	21.1%	11.2%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	378	168	57	11	141
		64.9%	68.8%	71.2%	69.2%	58.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	64	19	5	86
		29.8%	26.3%	23.2%	30.8%	35.5%
	Neither	9	2	0	0	6
		1.5%	.9%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	8	3	0	7
		3.0%	3.2%	3.5%	.0%	2.8%
	Very unsafe	2	0	1	0	1
22E. Leaving your home unattended		.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	2	2	1	0	0
		.4%	.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	154	66	27	7	55
		26.5%	27.0%	33.3%	43.8%	22.7%
	Somewhat safe	270	108	36	11	125
		46.4%	44.3%	44.4%	68.8%	51.6%
	Neither	15	6	2	1	7

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	27.0%	33.2%	44.7%	22.6%
	Somewhat safe	243	99	26	5	114
		41.7%	40.4%	31.8%	30.9%	47.0%
	Neither	49	23	10	0	15
		8.4%	9.6%	12.3%	1.0%	6.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	32	12	2	39
		14.8%	13.0%	15.4%	15.2%	16.3%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	18	4	1	18
		7.2%	7.6%	5.2%	8.3%	7.4%
	DK/NA	9	6	2	0	1
		1.5%	2.4%	2.1%	.0%	.4%
	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Very safe	159	78	29	7	45
		27.4%	32.1%	36.3%	42.8%	18.6%
	Somewhat safe	213	85	29	4	95
		36.6%	35.1%	35.5%	26.0%	39.2%
	Neither	24	7	3	1	13
		4.1%	2.8%	3.6%	6.8%	5.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	45	9	2	38
		16.2%	18.7%	11.1%	13.4%	15.6%
	Very unsafe	91	28	11	2	50
		15.6%	11.4%	13.2%	11.0%	20.9%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home	Very safe	D			
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe			a	
	Very unsafe		a	a	
	DK/NA		.	.	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				A
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe			a	
	DK/NA	D	D	.	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe		a	a	
	Neither		.	a	
	Somewhat unsafe			a	
	Very unsafe	a		a	a
	DK/NA	.		a	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe			a	
	DK/NA	D	D	.	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe				A
	Very unsafe				
	DK/NA	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
22A. At home	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	343	80	37	20	22	76	108
		59.0%	66.1%	55.6%	62.2%	78.2%	59.1%	52.7%
	Somewhat safe	190	32	20	9	5	43	81
		32.7%	26.7%	30.6%	27.2%	18.1%	33.6%	39.2%
	Neither	16	6	0	1	1	4	4
		2.8%	4.7%	.1%	3.6%	3.7%	3.5%	1.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	2	8	2	0	4	11
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	1.5%	11.3%	7.0%	.0%	3.0%	5.4%
	Very unsafe	4	0	2	0	0	1	1
		.7%	.3%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.6%
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.3%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	88	19	4	9	3	28	26
		15.2%	15.3%	5.8%	28.7%	10.9%	21.5%	12.7%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	29	16	6	10	40	98
		34.1%	23.6%	23.9%	19.5%	34.8%	30.9%	47.8%
	Neither	62	17	8	1	3	20	13
		10.6%	13.6%	11.9%	2.3%	12.0%	15.5%	6.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	12	7	1	1	12	35
		11.9%	10.3%	11.0%	4.3%	3.7%	9.5%	17.1%
	Very unsafe	36	6	5	6	1	8	10
		6.3%	5.3%	7.4%	17.8%	4.2%	6.0%	5.1%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	39	27	9	10	22	22
		22.0%	31.9%	40.0%	27.4%	34.4%	16.8%	11.0%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	378	86	41	21	22	87	120
		64.9%	71.2%	61.6%	63.9%	79.1%	67.6%	58.7%
	Somewhat safe	174	33	16	10	5	35	75
		29.8%	27.2%	24.1%	30.9%	17.2%	26.9%	36.7%
	Neither	9	0	2	0	0	3	3
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1.5%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	1.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	7	1	1	3	5
		3.0%	.3%	10.8%	3.2%	3.7%	2.1%	2.5%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.7%
	DK/NA	2	2	0	1	0	0	0
		.4%	1.4%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	154	36	11	9	8	37	53

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	29.9%	16.6%	28.0%	28.6%	29.1%	25.6%
	Somewhat safe	243	44	29	9	11	50	99
		41.7%	36.2%	43.3%	27.0%	40.4%	39.2%	48.4%
	Neither	49	11	9	5	2	13	10
		8.4%	8.7%	13.1%	16.6%	6.8%	9.8%	4.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	18	9	7	5	16	31
		14.8%	14.7%	14.0%	20.8%	16.2%	12.5%	15.3%
	Very unsafe	42	10	6	1	2	12	11
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	7.9%	8.9%	3.2%	7.5%	9.4%	5.5%
	DK/NA	9	3	3	1	0	0	1
		1.5%	2.6%	4.0%	4.4%	.5%	.1%	.5%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Very safe	159	42	12	14	8	39	44
		27.4%	34.9%	18.5%	44.2%	29.4%	30.0%	21.2%
	Somewhat safe	213	43	22	8	14	44	81
		36.6%	35.3%	33.7%	25.6%	49.2%	34.5%	39.7%
22F. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	24	2	3	1	0	10	8
		4.1%	1.5%	4.0%	4.1%	.0%	7.8%	3.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	26	14	4	4	12	34
		16.2%	21.9%	20.4%	12.5%	13.9%	9.6%	16.5%
	Very unsafe	91	8	16	4	2	23	38
		15.6%	6.5%	23.3%	13.0%	7.6%	17.9%	18.4%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.1%	.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe		A	a	a		
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	a	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA						
	Very safe			B			
	Somewhat safe						A B C E
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe				F		
	DK/NA	F	E F				
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe	a		a	a		
	Neither	a		a	a		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		A				
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a				
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
22A. At home	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	343	160	41	51	22
		59.0%	60.8%	52.9%	62.1%	45.8%
	Somewhat safe	190	75	31	28	17
		32.7%	28.5%	39.9%	33.8%	36.6%
	Neither	16	12	0	3	1
		2.8%	4.5%	.0%	3.7%	2.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	14	6	0	5
		4.6%	5.2%	7.2%	.0%	9.9%
	Very unsafe	4	1	0	0	3
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		.7%	.4%	.0%	.4%	5.6%
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
		.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	88	40	15	14	4
		15.2%	15.3%	19.6%	16.6%	8.9%
	Somewhat safe	198	100	13	25	21
		34.1%	38.1%	16.9%	30.8%	44.9%
	Neither	62	25	8	12	3
		10.6%	9.6%	10.3%	14.6%	7.3%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat unsafe	70	30	18	9	4
		11.9%	11.3%	23.3%	11.3%	8.9%
	Very unsafe	36	14	4	6	6
		6.3%	5.2%	5.5%	7.3%	13.5%
	DK/NA	128	54	19	16	8
		22.0%	20.5%	24.4%	19.5%	16.5%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	378	174	53	54	25
		64.9%	66.1%	68.2%	66.5%	53.9%
	Somewhat safe	174	76	21	25	15
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		29.8%	28.8%	26.8%	30.6%	31.4%
	Neither	9	4	4	1	0
		1.5%	1.5%	5.0%	1.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	7	0	2	6
		3.0%	2.5%	.0%	2.0%	12.5%
	Very unsafe	2	1	0	0	1
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	2	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	154	73	18	20	7

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
22A. At home	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	16 60.0%	9 40.3%	27 70.3%	18 74.3%
	Somewhat safe	11 40.0%	11 48.9%	11 29.0%	6 25.7%
	Neither	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .8%	0 .0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0 .0%	2 10.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Very unsafe	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	3 12.3%	4 17.5%	4 10.1%	4 16.1%
	Somewhat safe	12 46.3%	10 44.5%	12 30.9%	4 17.7%
	Neither	3 12.4%	2 9.6%	4 9.8%	4 15.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	2 8.3%	1 4.5%	4 11.4%	1 2.6%
	Very unsafe	1 4.4%	3 11.2%	2 4.0%	1 2.8%
	DK/NA	4 16.4%	3 12.7%	13 33.7%	11 45.0%
	DK/NA	4 16.4%	3 12.7%	13 33.7%	11 45.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	15 57.3%	11 46.1%	27 71.5%	18 72.6%
	Somewhat safe	11 42.7%	11 46.6%	9 23.9%	6 24.8%
	Neither	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0 .0%	2 7.3%	2 4.6%	0 .0%
	Very unsafe	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.6%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	7	6	15	8

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	27.8%	22.6%	25.1%	15.7%
	Somewhat safe	243 41.7%	116 44.0%	30 37.8%	26 32.2%	23 48.8%
	Neither	49 8.4%	18 6.8%	9 11.2%	9 10.9%	6 12.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	86 14.8%	35 13.3%	17 21.5%	16 19.9%	2 4.5%
	Very unsafe	42 7.2%	17 6.6%	4 5.6%	9 11.0%	7 14.1%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	4 1.5%	1 1.3%	1 1.0%	2 4.0%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	4 1.5%	1 1.3%	1 1.0%	2 4.0%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	4 1.5%	1 1.3%	1 1.0%	2 4.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Very safe	159 27.4%	71 27.0%	17 21.9%	28 33.8%	7 14.6%
	Somewhat safe	213 36.6%	102 38.9%	29 36.9%	23 27.9%	13 27.4%
	Neither	24 4.1%	10 3.9%	4 5.7%	2 2.1%	3 7.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94 16.2%	36 13.5%	11 14.3%	21 26.0%	10 21.7%
	Very unsafe	91 15.6%	43 16.4%	17 21.2%	8 10.2%	14 28.7%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	25.5%	24.3%	39.7%	33.1%
	Somewhat safe	15 57.9%	12 50.1%	13 34.8%	8 32.1%
	Neither	1 4.6%	0 .0%	3 7.0%	3 13.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	1 4.1%	6 25.7%	5 14.0%	3 14.2%
	Very unsafe	2 6.9%	0 .0%	2 4.3%	1 5.1%
	DK/NA	0 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	0 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	1 2.6%
	DK/NA	0 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	1 2.6%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	27	23	38	24
	Very safe	6 23.1%	5 23.0%	13 33.6%	12 51.2%
	Somewhat safe	13 48.2%	10 45.2%	16 42.7%	7 27.7%
	Neither	2 7.4%	1 4.5%	0 .0%	1 4.5%
	Somewhat unsafe	3 11.6%	4 19.5%	6 14.8%	3 11.3%
	Very unsafe	3 9.7%	2 7.8%	3 8.9%	1 5.3%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither		a			a
	Somewhat unsafe			a		a
	Very unsafe		a		A	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe	B			B	
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither				a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		a		A	a
	Very unsafe		a	a		a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA		a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
22A. At home	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a	a	a
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe			
	DK/NA			
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither	a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe			
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe	a		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe			
	Very unsafe			
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
22A. At home	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	343	4	81	26	31	9	7	25	61
		59.0%	56.5%	46.8%	62.7%	67.7%	55.2%	54.7%	60.2%	63.5%
	Somewhat safe	190	0	73	9	11	3	4	14	29
		32.7%	.0%	42.2%	21.3%	24.8%	20.6%	28.3%	34.2%	29.8%
	Neither	16	0	7	4	1	1	0	1	2
		2.8%	.0%	4.2%	9.7%	2.3%	6.3%	.0%	2.5%	1.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	3	10	0	2	3	2	0	5
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	43.5%	6.0%	.0%	5.3%	17.9%	12.2%	.9%	5.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	1	3	0	0	0	0	0
		.7%	.0%	.9%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	2.1%	.0%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	88	3	20	6	2	2	1	9	13
		15.2%	43.5%	11.6%	13.6%	5.2%	12.7%	7.8%	22.0%	13.8%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	0	66	13	12	2	6	9	26
		34.1%	.0%	38.1%	30.2%	26.2%	14.9%	44.1%	20.4%	26.8%
	Neither	62	1	11	5	4	3	1	6	20
		10.6%	12.0%	6.2%	11.3%	9.7%	16.9%	7.7%	15.3%	20.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	21	5	10	3	2	7	8
		11.9%	.0%	12.3%	12.5%	21.2%	16.6%	14.7%	17.0%	8.5%
	Very unsafe	36	0	20	3	0	1	2	2	6
		6.3%	.0%	11.8%	7.8%	.0%	6.3%	12.2%	5.3%	6.5%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	3	35	10	17	5	2	8	23
		22.0%	44.5%	20.0%	24.6%	37.8%	32.6%	13.5%	19.9%	23.4%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	378	6	105	31	32	8	8	29	62
		64.9%	90.1%	60.4%	73.4%	70.1%	46.7%	58.2%	69.7%	63.8%
	Somewhat safe	174	1	57	7	14	8	4	10	29
		29.8%	9.9%	32.8%	15.6%	29.9%	48.6%	29.6%	23.9%	30.3%
	Neither	9	0	4	0	0	1	0	0	0
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		1.5%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.2%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	6	4	0	0	2	2	4
		3.0%	.0%	3.6%	8.5%	.0%	.0%	12.2%	4.7%	4.2%
	Very unsafe	2	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.7%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	1.7%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	154	2	39	13	14	3	4	14	27

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
22A. At home	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	46	48	1	3
		63.3%	73.8%	53.7%	52.1%
	Somewhat safe	27	17	1	2
		36.7%	26.2%	46.3%	28.8%
	Neither	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	0	0	1
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		.0%	.0%	.0%	19.1%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	11	19	0	1
		15.3%	29.6%	9.8%	21.3%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	29	30	2	4
		39.9%	46.0%	90.2%	66.9%
	Neither	9	1	0	0
		12.1%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	6	7	0	0
		8.5%	10.3%	.0%	6.5%
	Very unsafe	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	.6%	.0%	5.3%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	17	7	0	0
		23.2%	11.3%	.0%	.0%
	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	48	44	2	3
		65.0%	68.9%	100.0%	54.3%
	Somewhat safe	22	20	0	2
		29.9%	31.1%	.0%	45.7%
	Neither	4	0	0	0
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	18	18	0	2

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	36.8%	22.4%	30.0%	30.6%	19.3%	27.7%	33.7%	28.2%
	Somewhat safe	243	0	78	24	15	5	5	13	44
		41.7%	.0%	44.8%	56.8%	32.1%	28.6%	37.9%	31.2%	45.4%
	Neither	49	3	14	1	3	2	0	6	11
		8.4%	43.5%	8.3%	3.4%	6.3%	14.0%	1.6%	13.2%	11.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	1	31	1	12	5	1	5	6
		14.8%	9.9%	18.0%	3.5%	25.0%	29.8%	6.1%	11.1%	5.8%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	0	9	3	3	1	3	2	6
		7.2%	.0%	5.1%	6.3%	6.0%	8.4%	20.8%	4.7%	6.7%
	DK/NA	9	1	2	0	0	0	1	3	2
		1.5%	9.9%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	6.1%	2.4%
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Very safe	159	1	31	8	14	4	5	15	41
		27.4%	18.8%	18.0%	19.0%	29.5%	25.0%	38.6%	36.6%	42.3%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat safe	213	5	63	13	16	5	3	11	38
		36.6%	69.2%	36.7%	30.9%	33.9%	29.7%	20.5%	26.6%	39.6%
	Neither	24	1	7	5	1	1	0	1	5
		4.1%	12.0%	3.8%	11.1%	2.2%	6.4%	.0%	2.6%	5.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	0	32	10	10	4	3	11	9
		16.2%	.0%	18.5%	23.8%	21.0%	24.3%	24.0%	26.5%	9.5%
	Very unsafe	91	0	40	6	6	2	2	3	3
22E. Leaving your home unattended		15.6%	.0%	22.9%	15.1%	13.0%	14.6%	12.2%	7.7%	3.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.4%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	23.9%	28.0%	9.8%	42.6%
	Somewhat safe	30	27	2	1
		41.0%	41.4%	90.2%	10.7%
	Neither	8	0	0	0
		10.2%	.6%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	12	10	0	3
		17.0%	15.5%	.0%	46.7%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	6	9	0	0
		7.9%	14.5%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	73	64	2	5
	Very safe	25	14	0	0
		34.6%	21.6%	9.8%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat safe	28	28	1	2
		38.4%	44.1%	46.3%	30.9%
	Neither	1	2	1	0
		.8%	2.7%	43.9%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	7	4	0	4
		9.3%	6.8%	.0%	69.1%
	Very unsafe	12	16	0	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		17.0%	24.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	
22A. At home	Very safe	a									
	Somewhat safe	a									
	Neither	a									
	Somewhat unsafe	B G H		a						a	
	Very unsafe	a		B	a	a	a	a	a	a	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a			a	a	
	Very safe	a									
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe	a									
	Neither	a							B J		
	Somewhat unsafe	a									
	Very unsafe	a			a						
	DK/NA				J						
	Very safe										
	Somewhat safe	a		a	a		a		a		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	a			a						
	Somewhat unsafe	a			a	a				a	
	Very unsafe	a			a	a	a	a	a		
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a			a	
	Very safe										
	Somewhat safe	a									
	Neither	C J									
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	a									
	Very unsafe										
	DK/NA			a	a	a				a	
	Very safe										
	Somewhat safe								B		
	Neither						a				
	Somewhat unsafe	a									
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	a	H								
	DK/NA	a		a		a	B	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
22A. At home	Very safe	B		
	Somewhat safe	a	a	a
	Neither	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe		a	a
	Neither		a	.
	Somewhat unsafe		a	.
	Very unsafe		a	a
	DK/NA		a	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe		a	.
	Somewhat safe	a	a	a
	Neither	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe		a	a
	Neither		a	C H
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe			.
	Somewhat safe			.
	Neither		I	a
	Somewhat unsafe		a	H I J
	Very unsafe	H	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	Total	582	464	118
	Very safe	343	263	80
		59.0%	56.7%	68.2%
	Somewhat safe	190	160	30
		32.7%	34.5%	25.6%
	Neither	16	12	4
		2.8%	2.7%	3.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	24	3
		4.6%	5.2%	2.2%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very unsafe	4	3	1
		.7%	.7%	.9%
	DK/NA	2	2	0
		.3%	.3%	.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very safe	88	66	22
		15.2%	14.3%	18.7%
	Somewhat safe	198	167	31
		34.1%	36.1%	26.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	62	51	11
		10.6%	10.9%	9.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	52	18
		11.9%	11.1%	15.1%
	Very unsafe	36	30	7
		6.3%	6.4%	5.5%
	DK/NA	128	98	30
		22.0%	21.2%	25.1%
	Total	582	464	118
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	378	295	82
		64.9%	63.6%	69.8%
	Somewhat safe	174	146	28
		29.8%	31.4%	23.7%
	Neither	9	6	2
		1.5%	1.3%	2.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	13	4
		3.0%	2.9%	3.5%
	Very unsafe	2	1	1
22E. Leaving your home unattended		.4%	.3%	1.1%
	DK/NA	2	2	0
		.4%	.5%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	582	464	118
	Very safe	154	116	38

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	25.0%	32.3%
	Somewhat safe	243	202	40
		41.7%	43.6%	34.2%
	Neither	49	41	8
		8.4%	8.9%	6.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	64	22
		14.8%	13.8%	18.5%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	36	6
		7.2%	7.7%	5.5%
	DK/NA	9	5	4
		1.5%	1.1%	3.2%
	Total	582	464	118
	Very safe	159	128	31
		27.4%	27.6%	26.3%
	Somewhat safe	213	174	39
		36.6%	37.6%	32.8%
	Neither	24	22	2
		4.1%	4.7%	1.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	75	19
		16.2%	16.1%	16.4%
	Very unsafe	91	64	27
		15.6%	13.8%	22.7%
	DK/NA	1	1	0
		.2%	.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
22A. At home	Very safe		A
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		a
	DK/NA		.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	B	
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		a
	DK/NA		.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		A
	DK/NA		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	343	211	132
		59.0%	60.9%	56.2%
	Somewhat safe	190	112	78
		32.7%	32.4%	33.2%
	Neither	16	7	9
		2.8%	2.0%	3.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	13	14
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	3.7%	5.9%
	Very unsafe	4	3	1
		.7%	.9%	.4%
	DK/NA	2	1	1
		.3%	.2%	.4%
	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	88	53	35
		15.2%	15.3%	15.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	123	76
		34.1%	35.3%	32.3%
	Neither	62	36	26
		10.6%	10.3%	11.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	37	33
		11.9%	10.6%	13.9%
	Very unsafe	36	25	11
		6.3%	7.3%	4.7%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	73	54
		22.0%	21.2%	23.2%
	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	378	242	135
		64.9%	69.8%	57.6%
	Somewhat safe	174	84	89
		29.8%	24.3%	37.9%
	Neither	9	5	3
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1.5%	1.6%	1.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	13	4
		3.0%	3.8%	1.8%
	Very unsafe	2	1	1
		.4%	.4%	.5%
	DK/NA	2	1	2
		.4%	.2%	.7%
	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	154	102	52

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	29.4%	22.3%
	Somewhat safe	243	144	99
		41.7%	41.5%	42.0%
	Neither	49	28	21
		8.4%	8.0%	8.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	50	36
		14.8%	14.3%	15.4%
	Very unsafe	42	21	21
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	6.1%	8.8%
	DK/NA	9	2	6
		1.5%	.7%	2.6%
	Total	582	347	235
	Very safe	159	106	53
		27.4%	30.5%	22.7%
	Somewhat safe	213	118	95
		36.6%	34.0%	40.5%
22F. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	24	17	7
		4.1%	4.9%	2.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	55	39
		16.2%	15.8%	16.8%
	Very unsafe	91	50	40
		15.6%	14.5%	17.1%
	DK/NA	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.1%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
22A. At home	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	343	2	33	51	70
		59.0%	14.3%	67.6%	54.1%	53.0%
	Somewhat safe	190	5	13	32	49
		32.7%	43.9%	26.2%	34.1%	37.1%
	Neither	16	3	0	3	4
		2.8%	27.1%	.0%	2.7%	3.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	2	2	7	7
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	14.7%	5.0%	8.0%	5.5%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	1	1
		.7%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.1%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0
		.3%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	88	0	6	8	26
		15.2%	.0%	11.8%	8.0%	19.6%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	0	11	31	52
		34.1%	.0%	21.6%	33.1%	39.5%
	Neither	62	0	6	11	16
		10.6%	.0%	11.9%	11.7%	12.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	1	9	15	14
		11.9%	10.7%	17.9%	15.4%	10.8%
	Very unsafe	36	0	3	11	10
		6.3%	.0%	5.9%	11.4%	7.4%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	10	15	19	14
		22.0%	89.3%	30.8%	20.3%	10.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	378	5	33	60	85
		64.9%	48.1%	67.2%	63.4%	64.8%
	Somewhat safe	174	6	14	25	43
		29.8%	51.9%	29.1%	26.9%	32.7%
	Neither	9	0	1	0	0
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		1.5%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	0	8	3
		3.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%	2.6%
	Very unsafe	2	0	1	1	0
		.4%	.0%	2.5%	1.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	154	2	15	23	26

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
22A. At home	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	90	97	1
		55.2%	73.5%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	63	29	0
		38.4%	22.0%	.0%
	Neither	5	1	0
		3.1%	.9%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	4	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		2.4%	3.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	0
		.0%	.7%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	19	30	0
		11.7%	22.8%	.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	70	34	1
		42.7%	25.8%	100.0%
	Neither	9	19	0
		5.7%	14.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	25	6	0
		15.5%	4.2%	.0%
	Very unsafe	9	4	0
		5.5%	3.0%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	31	40	0
		18.9%	29.9%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	90	104	1
		54.9%	78.7%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	62	24	0
		37.9%	17.7%	.0%
	Neither	5	3	0
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		3.1%	2.3%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	5	1	0
		3.1%	.8%	.0%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	0
		1.0%	.5%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	37	51	0

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	18.3%	31.4%	24.0%	19.7%
		243	3	22	46	67
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	28.0%	43.8%	48.7%	51.0%
		49	0	3	11	11
	Neither	8.4%	2.7%	6.8%	11.5%	8.6%
		86	1	7	11	20
	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	10.7%	13.7%	11.8%	15.3%
22E. Leaving your home unattended		42	3	1	4	6
	Very unsafe	7.2%	25.6%	2.9%	3.9%	4.8%
		9	2	1	0	1
	DK/NA	1.5%	14.7%	1.3%	.0%	.6%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Very safe	159	0	16	24	27
		213	5	22	33	55
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat safe	36.6%	43.9%	45.5%	35.5%	41.6%
		24	0	3	2	7
	Neither	4.1%	.0%	5.5%	2.4%	5.7%
		94	4	6	20	17
	Somewhat unsafe	16.2%	37.8%	13.0%	21.4%	13.0%
		91	2	1	14	25
	Very unsafe	15.6%	14.7%	2.5%	14.9%	19.4%
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1	0	1	0	0
	DK/NA	.2%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	22.9%	38.5%	.0%
		69	35	1
	Somewhat safe	42.3%	26.7%	100.0%
		12	11	0
	Neither	7.3%	8.4%	.0%
		26	21	0
	Somewhat unsafe	15.9%	15.6%	.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended		18	10	0
	Very unsafe	10.9%	7.5%	.0%
		1	4	0
	DK/NA	.8%	3.3%	.0%
	Total	163	133	1
	Very safe	39	54	0
		23.6%	40.4%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat safe	56	42	1
		34.1%	31.4%	100.0%
	Neither	8	3	0
		5.2%	2.2%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	30	16	0
		18.4%	12.4%	.0%
	Very unsafe	31	18	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		18.7%	13.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.1%	.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home	Very safe		A			
	Somewhat safe					F
	Neither	C D E F	.b			
	Somewhat unsafe		.b			
	Very unsafe	.b				
	DK/NA	.b		.b	.b	.b
	Very safe	.b				
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe					F
	Neither	.b				
	Somewhat unsafe		F	F		F
	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA	B C D E F	D			
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					F
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	.b		.b	.b	
	Somewhat unsafe	.b	.b	F	.b	.b
	Very unsafe	.b		.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe			F	F	
	Neither					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe	D E		.b		
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe	.b				
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	.b		.b	.b	
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
22A. At home	Very safe	A C D E	a,,b
	Somewhat safe		a,,b
	Neither		a,,b
	Somewhat unsafe		a,,b
	Very unsafe	.b	a,,b
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA		a,,b
	Very safe	C	a,,b
	Somewhat safe		a,,b
	Neither		a,,b
	Somewhat unsafe		a,,b
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe		a,,b
	DK/NA	D	a,,b
	Very safe	E	a,,b
	Somewhat safe		a,,b
	Neither		a,,b
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		a,,b
	Very unsafe	.b	a,,b
	DK/NA		a,,b
	Very safe	D	a,,b
	Somewhat safe		a,,b
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither		a,,b
	Somewhat unsafe		a,,b
	Very unsafe		a,,b
	DK/NA		a,,b
	Very safe	D E	a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more
22A. At home	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very safe	343	2	25	139	76	54	46
		59.0%	96.2%	54.8%	65.8%	54.0%	47.6%	79.6%
	Somewhat safe	190	0	17	50	60	45	8
		32.7%	3.8%	38.3%	23.7%	42.5%	39.7%	14.3%
	Neither	16	0	0	9	4	4	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	2.7%	3.1%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	0	2	13	1	10	0
		4.6%	.0%	5.4%	6.3%	.7%	8.6%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	0	1	3
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	4.5%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
		.3%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very safe	88	0	8	26	21	18	15
		15.2%	4.4%	17.9%	12.3%	15.2%	16.1%	25.2%
	Somewhat safe	198	0	11	53	63	49	19
		34.1%	.0%	24.0%	25.0%	44.5%	43.1%	33.1%
	Neither	62	1	7	23	15	6	4
		10.6%	27.4%	15.9%	11.0%	11.0%	4.9%	9.6%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	1	27	18	12	11
		11.9%	.0%	1.4%	12.7%	12.6%	10.8%	18.4%
	Very unsafe	36	0	0	16	7	11	1
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	5.1%	9.3%	1.8%
	DK/NA	128	1	18	66	16	18	7
		22.0%	68.2%	40.8%	31.4%	11.6%	15.7%	11.8%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very safe	378	2	26	145	93	67	42
		64.9%	72.6%	58.2%	68.6%	66.1%	59.1%	72.6%
	Somewhat safe	174	0	18	54	44	38	13
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		29.8%	.0%	40.0%	25.8%	31.3%	33.4%	22.9%
	Neither	9	1	1	0	0	4	0
		1.5%	27.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	0	11	1	4	2
		3.0%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	.6%	3.6%	2.7%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	1	0	1
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.2%	1.8%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58
	Very safe	154	0	12	70	39	21	13

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	12.6%	26.7%	33.0%	27.7%	18.1%	21.6%	2.4%
	Somewhat safe	243	0	17	84	66	55	17	4
		41.7%	.0%	37.4%	39.8%	47.2%	48.1%	29.3%	33.1%
	Neither	49	1	5	17	12	6	1	6
		8.4%	27.4%	11.6%	8.1%	8.6%	5.5%	2.4%	53.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	1	9	25	20	19	11	1
		14.8%	30.0%	19.4%	11.9%	13.9%	16.9%	19.8%	10.5%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	0	0	13	2	13	15	0
		7.2%	.0%	.3%	6.1%	1.1%	11.2%	25.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	1	2	3	2	0	1	0
		1.5%	30.0%	4.6%	1.2%	1.5%	.2%	1.6%	.0%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Very safe	159	1	17	66	34	23	17	1
		27.4%	60.1%	38.7%	31.4%	24.1%	20.3%	28.5%	8.1%
	Somewhat safe	213	0	18	89	55	37	9	5
		36.6%	3.8%	39.4%	42.3%	39.1%	32.8%	15.2%	42.3%
	Neither	24	1	2	4	5	8	4	0
		4.1%	27.4%	4.8%	1.9%	3.4%	7.2%	7.3%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	0	7	32	22	22	8	4
		16.2%	4.4%	15.2%	15.0%	15.4%	18.9%	14.3%	36.2%
	Very unsafe	91	0	0	20	25	24	20	1
		15.6%	4.4%	.5%	9.5%	17.8%	20.8%	34.8%	11.9%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	
22A. At home	Very safe				EG		DEG		
	Somewhat safe	a	a						CF
	Neither	a					a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a							a
	Very unsafe	a							a
	DK/NA	a							a
	Very safe	a							a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe				C	C			
	Neither	a							E
	Somewhat unsafe	a							
	Very unsafe	a							
	DK/NA		DEF		DE				
	Very safe				G				
	Somewhat safe	a							
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	C							BCE
	Somewhat unsafe	a							a
	Very unsafe	a							a
	DK/NA	a							a
	Very safe								
	Somewhat safe	a							
	Neither								BCDEF
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe								
	Very unsafe	a							a
	DK/NA	CDE							a
	Very safe								
	Somewhat safe				F	F			
	Neither								
	Somewhat unsafe								
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	a							
	DK/NA								
	Very unsafe								

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
22A. At home	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	343	0	0	78	2
		59.0%	.0%	.0%	41.1%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	190	3	3	94	0
		32.7%	100.0%	100.0%	49.4%	.0%
	Neither	16	0	0	3	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	0	0	15	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	88	0	0	27	0
		15.2%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	3	0	96	0
		34.1%	100.0%	.0%	50.5%	.0%
	Neither	62	0	3	7	1
		10.6%	.0%	100.0%	3.5%	48.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	0	24	0
		11.9%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	.0%
	Very unsafe	36	0	0	10	0
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	0	0	26	1
		22.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	51.2%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	378	1	0	94	2
		64.9%	50.0%	.0%	49.6%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	174	0	3	89	0
		29.8%	.0%	100.0%	47.0%	.0%
	Neither	9	0	0	0	0
22E. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	1	0	7	0
		3.0%	50.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	154	0	0	35	1

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
22A. At home	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	58	13	18	6	13
		55.3%	83.5%	73.7%	75.3%	92.9%
	Somewhat safe	29	3	7	2	1
		27.4%	16.5%	26.3%	24.7%	7.1%
	Neither	7	0	0	0	0
		6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	6	0	0	0	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		6.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	0
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	13	3	2	0	3
		12.1%	16.6%	6.9%	.0%	21.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	31	4	10	5	9
		29.6%	23.6%	41.8%	58.1%	67.7%
	Neither	15	3	3	1	0
		13.9%	17.3%	11.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	24	2	1	0	2
		23.1%	13.8%	4.7%	.0%	11.3%
	Very unsafe	12	3	0	0	0
		11.0%	18.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	11	2	9	2	0
		10.2%	10.3%	35.3%	24.7%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	60	11	21	7	10
		57.0%	73.2%	84.2%	88.2%	75.5%
	Somewhat safe	34	4	4	1	3
		32.2%	26.8%	15.8%	11.8%	24.5%
	Neither	3	0	0	0	0
22E. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	0	0	0	0
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
		1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	24	1	6	3	0

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
22A. At home	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	5	116	14	7	3
		50.1%	77.9%	59.4%	56.9%	47.2%
	Somewhat safe	2	29	6	4	3
		23.4%	19.8%	24.5%	36.2%	46.2%
	Neither	1	0	3	1	0
		10.2%	.3%	12.6%	7.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	2	1	0	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		16.3%	1.6%	3.5%	.0%	6.6%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	0	33	4	1	1
		.0%	22.2%	16.9%	12.1%	20.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	5	21	3	7	0
		50.1%	13.9%	15.0%	58.0%	7.1%
	Neither	1	15	8	1	0
		10.2%	10.4%	35.4%	8.5%	6.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	1	10	0	1	0
		12.0%	6.7%	.0%	9.2%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	8	1	0	1
		16.3%	5.3%	3.5%	.0%	11.1%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	1	62	7	1	3
		11.4%	41.5%	29.2%	12.2%	55.0%
	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	6	122	14	10	5
		60.4%	82.0%	61.2%	84.9%	77.7%
	Somewhat safe	2	21	5	2	1
		23.4%	14.4%	22.7%	15.1%	15.7%
	Neither	0	1	4	0	0
22E. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		.0%	1.0%	16.1%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	3	0	0	0
		16.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	10	148	23	12	6
22F. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	2	66	7	3	1

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	17
	Very safe	11
		63.7%
	Somewhat safe	6
		31.8%
	Neither	0
		.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		1.6%
	Very unsafe	0
		1.9%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%
	Total	17
	Very safe	2
		13.6%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	4
		24.1%
	Neither	3
		19.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	4
		20.6%
	Very unsafe	1
		5.2%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	3
		17.0%
	Total	17
	Very safe	13
		76.5%
	Somewhat safe	4
		21.9%
	Neither	0
22E. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0
		1.6%
	Very unsafe	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%
	Total	17
22F. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	5

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	.0%	.0%	18.5%	51.2%
	Somewhat safe	243	1	0	105	1
		41.7%	50.0%	.0%	55.5%	48.8%
	Neither	49	0	3	14	0
		8.4%	.0%	100.0%	7.5%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	1	0	23	0
		14.8%	50.0%	.0%	12.2%	.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	0	0	12	0
		7.2%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Very safe	159	0	0	29	2
		27.4%	.0%	.0%	15.3%	100.0%
	Somewhat safe	213	1	3	89	0
		36.6%	50.0%	100.0%	46.9%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	24	0	0	8	0
		4.1%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	1	0	34	0
		16.2%	50.0%	.0%	17.8%	.0%
	Very unsafe	91	0	0	30	0
		15.6%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	22.5%	6.7%	24.7%	34.4%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	35	10	10	1	1
		33.0%	68.0%	39.7%	12.8%	7.1%
	Neither	13	1	1	0	0
		11.9%	7.9%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	23	3	5	4	3
		22.1%	17.4%	20.2%	52.8%	23.9%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	8	0	3	0	9
		7.2%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	69.0%
	DK/NA	4	0	0	0	0
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Very safe	21	2	7	1	0
		19.8%	13.8%	29.4%	17.2%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	27	8	12	2	1
		25.1%	54.0%	48.2%	30.0%	7.1%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	5	3	1	0	0
		4.8%	18.4%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	28	1	1	1	2
		26.2%	6.7%	4.7%	11.8%	11.7%
	Very unsafe	25	1	3	3	11
		24.0%	7.1%	13.3%	40.9%	81.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	22.2%	44.2%	28.8%	26.9%	22.3%
	Somewhat safe	4	45	11	6	3
		38.2%	30.5%	46.3%	53.9%	55.4%
	Neither	0	12	4	0	0
		.0%	8.1%	17.1%	1.5%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	14	1	1	1
		23.4%	9.6%	3.5%	9.7%	11.1%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	2	8	0	1	0
		16.3%	5.1%	.0%	8.0%	6.6%
	DK/NA	0	4	1	0	0
		.0%	2.5%	4.3%	.0%	4.5%
	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Very safe	4	73	7	4	1
		38.2%	49.2%	30.9%	36.9%	18.8%
	Somewhat safe	2	45	12	5	4
		23.4%	30.1%	49.4%	41.7%	63.5%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	0	7	0	0	0
		.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	1	13	5	2	0
		10.2%	9.0%	19.6%	18.7%	6.6%
	Very unsafe	3	10	0	0	1
		28.2%	6.6%	.0%	2.7%	11.1%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	29.3%
	Somewhat safe	8
		46.3%
	Neither	1
		4.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	4
		20.3%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	0
		.0%
	Total	17
	Very safe	6
		37.0%
	Somewhat safe	3
		15.2%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	0
		.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	5
		28.5%
	Very unsafe	3
		18.4%
	DK/NA	0
		.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E K	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E K	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a		a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	K	a
	Neither	a	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	C E K	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E I K	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	a	a		a
	Somewhat safe	a	a	E	a
	Neither	a	a		a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a		a
	Very unsafe	a	a		a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
22A. At home	Very safe					C
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	K	a	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a	a	a
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe			K		K
	Neither					a
	Somewhat unsafe	K		a	a	a
	Very unsafe					a
	DK/NA					a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe	K				
	Neither		a	a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a	a	a
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither				a	a
	Somewhat unsafe				K	
	Very unsafe		a		a	C E G K M
	DK/NA		a	a	a	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither				a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	K				
	Very unsafe	K			K	C E F G K M O
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
22A. At home	Very safe		C E			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	K		K		a
	Somewhat unsafe				a	
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe				K	
	Neither			C		a
	Somewhat unsafe			a		
	Very unsafe				a	
	DK/NA		C E			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe		C E			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	a		E K	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe			a	a	
	Very unsafe	a		a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe		C E			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	a				a
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe			a		
	DK/NA	a			a	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe		C E			
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither	a		a	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe			a		
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA	
		(O)	
22A. At home	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA	a	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither	a	
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe	a	
	DK/NA	a	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe	a	
	DK/NA	a	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither	a	
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	343	183	62	70	17	8	4
		59.0%	58.1%	59.5%	61.9%	54.5%	73.1%	46.2%
	Somewhat safe	190	110	32	32	11	3	3
		32.7%	35.0%	30.5%	28.3%	36.3%	26.9%	28.5%
	Neither	16	7	3	5	2	0	0
		2.8%	2.1%	2.9%	4.1%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	13	5	6	1	0	2
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	4.0%	5.2%	5.4%	2.7%	.0%	18.0%
	Very unsafe	4	3	1	0	0	0	0
		.7%	.8%	1.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0	1
		.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	88	48	20	17	1	0	2
		15.2%	15.4%	19.3%	15.4%	3.0%	.0%	18.7%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	100	35	37	16	5	5
		34.1%	31.7%	34.1%	32.6%	52.3%	49.3%	54.2%
	Neither	62	33	16	9	2	1	1
		10.6%	10.3%	15.6%	7.8%	6.4%	10.7%	8.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	18	19	24	6	2	0
		11.9%	5.8%	18.2%	21.0%	20.4%	20.9%	1.8%
	Very unsafe	36	13	7	12	4	0	0
		6.3%	4.3%	6.5%	11.0%	12.4%	.0%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	102	7	14	2	2	1
		22.0%	32.5%	6.3%	12.1%	5.4%	19.0%	16.4%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	378	207	68	67	22	6	7
		64.9%	65.7%	65.0%	60.0%	73.6%	57.8%	73.9%
	Somewhat safe	174	89	32	40	6	5	2
		29.8%	28.1%	30.3%	35.9%	20.3%	42.2%	26.1%
	Neither	9	7	0	0	2	0	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1.5%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	11	3	3	0	0	0
		3.0%	3.5%	3.1%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.3%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	154	89	24	30	9	0	2

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	28.1%	23.5%	26.7%	28.3%	.0%	27.5%
	Somewhat safe	243	135	38	49	9	8	3
		41.7%	43.0%	37.0%	43.1%	29.2%	74.2%	35.6%
	Neither	49	24	14	7	3	0	0
		8.4%	7.8%	13.6%	6.2%	8.9%	.9%	4.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	43	15	16	7	3	2
		14.8%	13.6%	14.5%	14.6%	23.2%	24.9%	18.0%
	Very unsafe	42	18	11	10	3	0	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	5.6%	10.4%	9.3%	10.4%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	6	1	0	0	0	1
		1.5%	2.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.6%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	Very safe	159	99	23	23	6	6	2
		27.4%	31.4%	22.2%	20.8%	19.0%	51.4%	26.0%
	Somewhat safe	213	123	36	38	9	4	3
		36.6%	39.0%	34.5%	33.9%	30.4%	39.0%	30.9%
22F. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	24	12	5	3	3	0	1
		4.1%	3.8%	4.5%	2.6%	11.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	39	21	23	8	1	2
		16.2%	12.3%	20.6%	20.5%	25.4%	9.6%	22.3%
	Very unsafe	91	42	19	25	4	0	0
		15.6%	13.4%	18.3%	22.2%	14.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household					
		None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe					a	a
	Somewhat safe					a	a
	Neither					a	a
	Somewhat unsafe				a	a	a
	Very unsafe				a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a		a		a	a
	Very safe					a	a
	Somewhat safe					a	a
	Neither					a	a
	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe					a	a
	DK/NA	B C D	A	A	A	a	a
	Very safe					a	a
	Somewhat safe					a	a
	Neither					a	a
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
	Very unsafe					a	a
	DK/NA					a	a
	Very safe					a	a
	Somewhat safe					a	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither					a	a
	Somewhat unsafe					a	a
	Very unsafe					a	a
	DK/NA					a	a
	Very safe					a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	343	115	69	135	15	2	8
	Somewhat safe	190	68	37	69	14	1	2
	Neither	32.7%	33.6%	34.4%	30.7%	41.1%	32.5%	15.7%
	Very unsafe	16	7	1	4	2	0	2
	DK/NA	2.8%	3.6%	1.1%	1.6%	7.0%	.0%	14.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	10	0	17	0	0	0
	Very unsafe	4.6%	4.8%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	1	0	0	2	1	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Total	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
	Very safe	.3%	.0%	.6%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat safe	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	88	27	18	35	6	1	2
	Somewhat safe	15.2%	13.6%	16.3%	15.7%	17.5%	17.5%	14.9%
	Neither	198	48	45	87	13	1	3
	Somewhat unsafe	34.1%	24.1%	42.0%	38.9%	39.4%	32.5%	25.0%
	Very unsafe	62	27	8	17	3	0	5
	DK/NA	10.6%	13.6%	7.9%	7.7%	10.1%	.0%	46.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Total	70	28	17	20	3	0	1
	Very safe	11.9%	14.1%	15.8%	9.0%	8.0%	.0%	12.4%
	Somewhat safe	36	20	3	10	2	2	0
	Very unsafe	6.3%	9.8%	2.3%	4.3%	7.0%	47.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	128	50	17	55	6	0	0
	Very safe	22.0%	24.8%	15.8%	24.4%	18.1%	2.1%	1.5%
	Somewhat safe	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	378	131	72	146	18	2	9
	Somewhat safe	64.9%	65.0%	66.8%	65.1%	54.4%	44.2%	78.6%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Total	174	60	33	67	11	1	1
	Very safe	29.8%	29.8%	30.5%	30.1%	33.8%	32.5%	6.5%
	Neither	9	4	1	1	2	0	0
	Somewhat unsafe	1.5%	1.9%	1.0%	.7%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	17	5	2	9	2	0	0
	DK/NA	3.0%	2.7%	1.5%	3.9%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
	Very unsafe	.4%	.6%	.2%	.0%	.0%	23.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	1	0	0	2
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Total	.4%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	14.9%
	Very safe	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
22F. Leaving your home unattended	Total	154	59	29	55	7	1	4
	Very safe							

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	29.1%	26.7%	24.6%	21.2%	17.5%	36.4%
		243	80	51	94	13	1	3
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	39.6%	47.7%	42.0%	39.3%	32.5%	24.5%
		49	23	6	16	1	0	3
	Neither	8.4%	11.2%	5.3%	7.3%	3.1%	2.1%	28.2%
		86	28	15	33	8	1	1
	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	13.9%	13.8%	14.6%	24.2%	24.6%	11.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended		42	12	4	21	3	1	0
	Very unsafe	7.2%	6.2%	3.8%	9.4%	9.4%	23.3%	.0%
		9	0	3	5	1	0	0
	DK/NA	1.5%	.0%	2.7%	2.2%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	Very safe	159	51	41	57	5	1	5
		27.4%	25.3%	38.1%	25.4%	13.4%	17.5%	46.2%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	213	80	30	88	12	2	1
		36.6%	39.9%	28.0%	39.2%	35.7%	34.6%	12.3%
	Neither	24	6	7	5	2	0	3
		4.1%	3.2%	6.6%	2.3%	7.4%	.0%	24.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	39	16	31	6	0	2
		16.2%	19.4%	14.7%	13.9%	19.1%	.0%	15.8%
	Very unsafe	91	25	13	43	8	2	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended		15.6%	12.3%	12.1%	19.0%	24.4%	47.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.6%	.1%	.0%	.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household					
		None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA						
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
22A. At home	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	343	285	14	34	3	1	22
		59.0%	63.7%	53.2%	36.3%	37.5%	100.0%	49.3%
	Somewhat safe	190	126	12	48	3	0	17
		32.7%	28.1%	46.8%	51.3%	46.7%	.0%	39.7%
	Neither	16	11	0	2	0	0	1
		2.8%	2.5%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	21	0	9	0	0	2
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	4.8%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	5.7%
	Very unsafe	4	4	0	0	1	0	1
		.7%	.8%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	88	82	6	4	3	1	0
		15.2%	18.3%	23.5%	4.1%	34.3%	75.7%	.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	130	13	54	1	0	11
		34.1%	29.0%	49.2%	57.9%	19.9%	24.3%	25.4%
	Neither	62	36	4	5	0	0	5
		10.6%	8.1%	13.8%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	49	4	16	3	0	17
		11.9%	11.0%	13.5%	17.0%	45.8%	.0%	38.3%
	Very unsafe	36	34	0	6	0	0	2
		6.3%	7.5%	.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	117	0	8	0	0	8
		22.0%	26.1%	.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	19.1%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	378	313	14	46	6	1	19
		64.9%	69.7%	53.2%	49.4%	84.2%	100.0%	43.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	111	12	45	1	0	23
		29.8%	24.7%	46.8%	48.2%	15.8%	.0%	52.1%
	Neither	9	9	0	0	0	0	1
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		1.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	13	0	2	0	0	1
		3.0%	3.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Very unsafe	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Very safe	154	141	8	6	5	0	4

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
22A. At home	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	6	16	13	10	1	10	13
		96.5%	70.4%	28.3%	78.9%	100.0%	83.9%	92.9%
	Somewhat safe	0	7	29	3	0	2	1
		3.5%	29.6%	61.2%	21.1%	.0%	16.1%	7.1%
	Neither	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	1	2	5	6	1	0	3
		15.2%	7.7%	10.9%	44.3%	100.0%	.0%	21.0%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	1	8	29	2	0	7	9
		15.2%	34.4%	61.4%	12.2%	.0%	53.5%	67.7%
	Neither	1	3	3	3	0	3	0
		21.7%	12.8%	6.9%	21.0%	.0%	20.6%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	1	3	0	0	1	2
		3.5%	5.2%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	11.3%
	Very unsafe	3	0	1	2	0	0	0
		42.6%	.0%	2.4%	14.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	0	9	6	1	0	2	0
		1.4%	39.8%	12.7%	7.6%	.0%	16.1%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	7	18	20	10	1	11	10
		100.0%	82.2%	42.8%	78.9%	100.0%	92.3%	75.5%
	Somewhat safe	0	4	27	1	0	1	3
		.0%	17.8%	57.2%	6.3%	.0%	7.7%	24.5%
	Neither	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Very safe	2	6	8	5	0	3	0

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	56	7
		32	2
	Very safe	57.7%	28.8%
		14	3
	Somewhat safe	24.8%	41.1%
		2	2
	Neither	4.2%	24.9%
		4	0
	Somewhat unsafe	7.7%	.0%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		2	0
	Very unsafe	4.0%	5.1%
		1	0
	DK/NA	1.6%	.0%
	Total	56	7
		10	0
	Very safe	17.9%	.0%
		17	3
	Somewhat safe	30.3%	49.3%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		5	3
	Neither	9.3%	40.7%
		10	0
	Somewhat unsafe	17.5%	2.4%
		5	0
	Very unsafe	8.9%	5.1%
		9	0
	DK/NA	16.2%	2.4%
	Total	56	7
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		40	4
	Very safe	72.0%	59.9%
		9	1
	Somewhat safe	16.0%	15.1%
		3	0
	Neither	6.1%	.0%
		2	0
	Somewhat unsafe	3.5%	.0%
		1	0
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very unsafe	2.3%	.0%
		0	2
	DK/NA	.0%	24.9%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	31.5%	31.9%	6.7%	64.3%	30.8%	8.9%
		243	171	11	53	1	0	20
	Somewhat safe	41.7%	38.2%	42.4%	57.1%	19.9%	.0%	45.3%
		49	40	7	2	0	0	3
	Neither	8.4%	9.0%	25.7%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	5.8%
		86	60	0	22	1	0	14
	Somewhat unsafe	14.8%	13.3%	.0%	24.0%	15.8%	.0%	31.3%
		42	28	0	10	0	0	1
	Very unsafe	7.2%	6.2%	.0%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
22E. Leaving your home unattended		9	8	0	0	0	1	3
	DK/NA	1.5%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.2%	6.1%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
		159	145	6	5	2	1	2
	Very safe	27.4%	32.2%	21.7%	5.6%	33.0%	93.5%	3.8%
		213	148	14	36	2	0	9
	Somewhat safe	36.6%	33.0%	55.2%	38.3%	28.0%	6.5%	20.3%
		24	16	0	4	0	0	3
	Neither	4.1%	3.6%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	7.7%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		94	70	6	20	2	0	21
	Somewhat unsafe	16.2%	15.7%	23.1%	21.3%	23.1%	.0%	47.0%
		91	69	0	28	1	0	9
	Very unsafe	15.6%	15.3%	.0%	30.5%	15.8%	.0%	21.3%
		1	1	0	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	32.2%	27.8%	16.1%	35.6%	25.0%	24.3%	.0%
		5	10	28	6	0	3	1
	Somewhat safe	67.8%	44.7%	59.5%	42.8%	.0%	27.5%	7.1%
		0	0	5	1	0	0	0
	Neither	.0%	.0%	10.7%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		0	3	7	1	1	6	3
	Somewhat unsafe	.0%	15.0%	13.7%	5.9%	75.0%	48.2%	23.9%
		0	3	0	0	0	0	9
	Very unsafe	.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended		0	0	0	1	0	0	0
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
		2	7	8	7	1	3	0
	Very safe	30.8%	33.2%	17.6%	51.5%	75.0%	20.6%	.0%
		2	10	23	6	0	4	1
	Somewhat safe	23.2%	46.6%	48.7%	47.3%	25.0%	31.3%	7.1%
		3	0	3	0	0	0	0
	Neither	42.6%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		0	1	13	0	0	1	2
	Somewhat unsafe	3.5%	5.2%	27.5%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	11.7%
		0	3	0	0	0	5	11
	Very unsafe	.0%	15.0%	.5%	1.1%	.0%	40.5%	81.2%
		0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	DK/NA	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	30.0%	4.3%
	Somewhat safe	20	5
	Neither	35.1%	68.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	4	2
	Very unsafe	7.2%	27.4%
	DK/NA	10	0
		18.8%	.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	3	0
	Somewhat safe	5.4%	.0%
	Neither	2	0
	Somewhat unsafe	3.4%	.0%
	Very unsafe	56	7
	DK/NA	16	0
		28.0%	4.3%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	15	2
	Somewhat safe	26.5%	31.8%
	Neither	4	3
	Somewhat unsafe	6.8%	40.6%
	Very unsafe	12	1
	DK/NA	21.2%	15.7%
		10	0
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very safe	17.6%	5.1%
	Somewhat safe	0	0
	Neither	.0%	2.4%
	Somewhat unsafe		
	Very unsafe		
	DK/NA		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English (A)	Cantonese (B)	Chinese (C)	French (D)	German (E)	Hindi (F)	Japanese (G)
22A. At home	Very safe	C I				a, b		I
	Somewhat safe		.b	A	.b	a, b		.b
	Neither		.b		.b	a, b		.b
	Somewhat unsafe		.b	.b	A	a, b	.b	.b
	Very unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a, b		.b
	DK/NA					a		
	Very safe	C			F	a		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe			A F	.b	a, b		
	Neither				.b	a, b	A I	
	Somewhat unsafe		.b		.b	a, b		A C I
	Very unsafe	C	.b		.b	a, b		
	DK/NA	C F I				a, b		.b
	Very safe			A N	.b	a, b	A N	.b
	Somewhat safe		.b	.b	.b	a, b		.b
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither		.b		.b	a, b		.b
	Somewhat unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b	.b
	Very unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b	.b
	DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a	.b	.b
	Very safe	C	C		C F	a		
	Somewhat safe			A M	.b	a, b		.b
	Neither		C		.b	a, b	A	.b
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe		.b		.b	a, b		.b
	Very unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a		.b
	DK/NA		.b		.b	a		
	Very safe	C F				a		
	Somewhat safe		.b		.b	a, b		
	Neither		.b		.b	a, b	A H	A C
	Somewhat unsafe		.b	A I	.b	a, b		.b
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b	.b
	DK/NA		.b	.b	.b	a, b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
22A. At home	Very safe				a,b	I	C I	
	Somewhat safe		A M N		a,b			
	Neither	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Somewhat unsafe	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Very unsafe	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	A
	Very safe			C F	a,b	.b		
	Somewhat safe		A F		a,b		.b	
	Neither			.b	a,b			
	Somewhat unsafe	.b			a,b	.b	.b	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	.b			a,b		.b	
	DK/NA	C			a,b		.b	
	Very safe				a,b			
	Somewhat safe	.b	A N	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Neither	.b	.b		a,b	.b	.b	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Very unsafe	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b
	Very safe				a		.b	C
	Somewhat safe	.b	M		a,b	.b	.b	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither				a	A		
	Somewhat unsafe		.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Very unsafe	.b	.b		a,b		.b	
	DK/NA				a		.b	C F
	Very safe	C		C F	a			
	Somewhat safe	.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b	
	Neither			.b	a,b			
	Somewhat unsafe				a,b			
	Very unsafe	.b	.b	.b	a,b	I	A C F H I J N	
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
22A. At home	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	A
	Somewhat unsafe	.b
	Very unsafe	.b
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	.b
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	
	Somewhat unsafe	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	.b
	Neither	.b
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	.b
	Very unsafe	.b
	DK/NA	A
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	C
	Somewhat unsafe	.b
	Very unsafe	.b
	DK/NA	.b
	Very safe	
	Somewhat safe	
	Neither	A C
	Somewhat unsafe	
	Very unsafe	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
22A. At home	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	343	18	32	22	17	19
		59.0%	30.5%	60.9%	75.2%	45.1%	60.3%
	Somewhat safe	190	38	20	7	16	6
		32.7%	63.4%	37.8%	24.8%	42.6%	17.9%
	Neither	16	0	0	0	2	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	4	0	0	1	7
		4.6%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	21.7%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very unsafe	4	0	0	0	1	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	88	6	14	4	3	9
		15.2%	10.2%	27.6%	13.9%	9.4%	29.4%
	Somewhat safe	198	27	20	9	8	13
		34.1%	45.0%	38.8%	30.3%	21.4%	39.1%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	62	8	7	2	2	2
		10.6%	12.9%	13.8%	7.7%	6.0%	6.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	0	0	2	8	5
		11.9%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	21.1%	15.5%
	Very unsafe	36	0	1	2	1	1
		6.3%	.0%	1.6%	5.2%	2.9%	4.6%
	DK/NA	128	19	9	10	14	2
		22.0%	31.8%	18.3%	35.4%	39.2%	5.4%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	378	22	29	23	18	22
		64.9%	36.9%	55.5%	77.1%	50.1%	69.1%
	Somewhat safe	174	34	23	5	17	6
		29.8%	57.2%	44.5%	18.7%	45.9%	18.0%
	Neither	9	3	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	0	0	0	1	4
		3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	12.9%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	1	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	0
		.4%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	28	26	24	75	83
		66.9%	67.2%	71.5%	56.8%	65.8%
	Somewhat safe	8	10	6	43	37
		18.3%	25.4%	19.0%	32.8%	29.0%
	Neither	4	0	0	7	3
		10.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	2.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	2	3	2	6	2
		4.8%	7.4%	4.7%	4.6%	1.5%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very unsafe	0	0	2	1	0
		.0%	.0%	4.7%	.8%	.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	0	9	9	15	18
		.0%	23.3%	28.2%	11.2%	14.1%
	Somewhat safe	18	14	7	49	34
		43.5%	35.3%	20.4%	37.1%	27.3%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	4	3	6	9	19
		8.9%	7.4%	17.5%	6.4%	15.3%
	Somewhat unsafe	3	5	6	29	12
		6.9%	12.9%	17.8%	21.6%	9.7%
	Very unsafe	1	0	2	15	14
		3.3%	.0%	4.8%	11.1%	10.9%
	DK/NA	15	8	4	17	29
		37.4%	21.1%	11.3%	12.5%	22.8%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	29	32	21	87	94
		69.1%	84.3%	63.0%	65.9%	74.6%
	Somewhat safe	12	6	9	37	24
		28.0%	15.7%	27.6%	28.0%	19.1%
	Neither	0	0	0	4	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	1.2%
	Somewhat unsafe	1	0	3	2	5
		2.9%	.0%	9.5%	1.9%	3.9%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.3%

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	17.0%	32.6%	47.3%	15.0%	32.4%
	Somewhat safe	243	34	21	11	12	12
		41.7%	55.6%	40.9%	38.3%	32.5%	35.8%
	Neither	49	10	5	0	4	5
		8.4%	16.8%	9.3%	1.6%	10.4%	16.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	5	4	4	10	4
		14.8%	7.9%	8.0%	12.2%	27.9%	13.5%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	0	3	0	5	1
		7.2%	.3%	6.1%	.0%	14.2%	1.8%
	DK/NA	9	2	2	0	0	0
		1.5%	2.5%	3.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Very safe	159	13	23	11	4	11
		27.4%	22.2%	43.5%	38.7%	12.1%	34.7%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	213	36	23	12	20	9
		36.6%	60.3%	44.6%	41.3%	53.2%	29.2%
	Neither	24	1	0	1	2	0
		4.1%	2.0%	.3%	2.7%	4.6%	.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	9	2	2	7	7
		16.2%	15.0%	4.1%	5.2%	18.8%	20.7%
	Very unsafe	91	0	3	4	4	5
22E. Leaving your home unattended		15.6%	.4%	6.2%	12.1%	11.3%	14.6%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	15.7%	23.4%	22.9%	27.9%	29.5%
	Somewhat safe	9	13	12	58	61
		22.4%	33.7%	37.0%	43.7%	48.1%
	Neither	5	3	2	4	10
		11.7%	7.8%	6.2%	3.2%	8.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	14	7	4	25	9
		33.2%	19.3%	10.6%	19.2%	6.9%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	7	6	6	7	7
		17.0%	15.7%	18.6%	5.2%	5.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	2	1	3
		.0%	.0%	4.7%	.8%	2.1%
	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Very safe	4	10	8	31	43
		10.9%	26.5%	24.6%	23.4%	33.7%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe	8	11	6	43	44
		20.0%	28.6%	18.1%	32.6%	35.0%
	Neither	2	1	4	3	10
		3.9%	3.6%	10.6%	2.6%	7.7%
	Somewhat unsafe	12	7	6	25	18
		30.1%	17.2%	16.9%	18.8%	14.5%
	Very unsafe	14	9	10	30	11
22E. Leaving your home unattended		35.1%	24.1%	29.0%	22.7%	9.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe			A			A
	Somewhat safe	C E F G H I J					
	Neither	a	a	a		a	
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a		I J	a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a		a	a
	DK/NA				a	a	a
	Very safe						a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Somewhat safe						
	Neither	a	a				
	Somewhat unsafe	a					
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA				E I		I
	Very safe			A			
	Somewhat safe	C E G I J	J	a	J	a	a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	a	a	a			
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a		a	a
	Very unsafe		a		a	a	a
	DK/NA					a	a
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe	F					
	Neither	I					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe				J		J
	Very unsafe			a			A
	DK/NA				a		a
	Very safe		F				
	Somewhat safe	F H I J					
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						B
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe						A B J
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
22A. At home	Very safe	A	A	A	A
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither	a	a		
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe	a			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	a	a	a	
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe	a			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe	.			
	DK/NA				
	Very safe	A		A	A
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither	a	a		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	a			a
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	.
	DK/NA	.	.	.	
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither		A		
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe	a			
	DK/NA	.			
	Very safe				
	Somewhat safe				
	Neither				
	Somewhat unsafe				
	Very unsafe	A	A	A	a
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
22A. At home	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	343	96	114	133
		59.0%	57.3%	75.0%	50.8%
	Somewhat safe	190	63	24	103
		32.7%	37.8%	15.7%	39.3%
	Neither	16	1	5	11
		2.8%	.5%	3.0%	4.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	6	9	12
		4.6%	3.5%	5.9%	4.5%
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very unsafe	4	2	0	3
		.7%	.9%	.0%	1.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	1
		.3%	.0%	.4%	.3%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	88	28	32	29
		15.2%	16.7%	20.9%	10.9%
	Somewhat safe	198	57	45	96
		34.1%	34.2%	29.3%	36.8%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Neither	62	21	17	23
		10.6%	12.4%	11.4%	8.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	10	20	40
		11.9%	6.1%	12.8%	15.1%
	Very unsafe	36	5	4	27
		6.3%	3.0%	2.8%	10.4%
	DK/NA	128	46	35	47
		22.0%	27.6%	22.8%	17.8%
	Total	582	167	153	262
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	378	95	117	166
		64.9%	56.5%	76.4%	63.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	67	27	80
		29.8%	39.9%	17.4%	30.7%
	Neither	9	1	3	4
		1.5%	.8%	1.9%	1.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	4	5	9
		3.0%	2.4%	3.2%	3.3%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	2
22E. Leaving your home unattended		.4%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	DK/NA	2	1	2	0
		.4%	.4%	1.1%	.0%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	154	51	41	62

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	30.5%	27.0%	23.7%
	Somewhat safe	243	75	45	122
		41.7%	44.8%	29.8%	46.6%
	Neither	49	12	14	23
		8.4%	7.0%	9.0%	8.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	15	31	40
		14.8%	9.0%	20.0%	15.4%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Very unsafe	42	11	19	12
		7.2%	6.6%	12.7%	4.4%
	DK/NA	9	4	2	3
		1.5%	2.1%	1.5%	1.1%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	Very safe	159	63	49	48
		27.4%	37.5%	32.0%	18.2%
	Somewhat safe	213	75	41	97
		36.6%	44.7%	26.8%	37.2%
	Neither	24	6	4	13
		4.1%	3.8%	2.8%	5.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	14	24	57
		16.2%	8.1%	15.4%	21.8%
	Very unsafe	91	10	34	46
		15.6%	5.9%	22.6%	17.6%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.4%	.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home	Very safe		A C	
	Somewhat safe	B		B
	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe		a	
	Very unsafe	a	.	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA	.		
	Very safe		C	
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither			A
	Somewhat unsafe			A B
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe			
	DK/NA	C		
	Very safe		A C	
	Somewhat safe	B		B
	Neither			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe			
	Very unsafe	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.
	Very safe			
	Somewhat safe	B		B
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither			
	Somewhat unsafe		A	
	Very unsafe		C	
	DK/NA	C	C	
	Very safe	B		
	Somewhat safe			
	Neither			A
	Somewhat unsafe			A
	Very unsafe		A	
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
22A. At home	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	343	69	67	57	41	110
		59.0%	57.6%	53.1%	62.9%	52.4%	65.4%
	Somewhat safe	190	36	45	29	28	53
		32.7%	29.6%	35.8%	31.8%	35.8%	31.6%
	Neither	16	5	6	1	2	1
		2.8%	4.4%	5.0%	1.2%	2.8%	.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	9	7	3	5	3
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.6%	7.6%	5.2%	3.0%	7.0%	1.6%
	Very unsafe	4	0	1	1	2	0
		.7%	.0%	.9%	1.2%	2.0%	.2%
	DK/NA	2	1	0	0	0	1
		.3%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	88	25	14	13	13	24
		15.2%	20.7%	10.8%	14.3%	16.8%	14.2%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat safe	198	37	47	34	23	58
		34.1%	30.6%	36.9%	37.4%	29.9%	34.6%
	Neither	62	11	13	12	10	16
		10.6%	9.2%	10.0%	13.4%	12.7%	9.4%
	Somewhat unsafe	70	18	18	12	12	9
		11.9%	15.3%	14.2%	13.1%	16.1%	5.3%
	Very unsafe	36	3	11	6	5	11
		6.3%	2.7%	8.9%	6.9%	5.9%	6.7%
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	DK/NA	128	26	24	13	14	50
		22.0%	21.5%	19.1%	14.9%	18.5%	29.8%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	378	74	71	62	53	117
		64.9%	61.7%	56.6%	68.9%	68.6%	69.5%
	Somewhat safe	174	44	39	27	19	46
		29.8%	36.3%	30.6%	30.0%	23.9%	27.3%
	Neither	9	1	7	0	0	1
22E. Leaving your home unattended		1.5%	.7%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	2	6	0	6	4
		3.0%	1.4%	4.8%	.0%	7.6%	2.3%
	Very unsafe	2	0	1	1	0	0
		.4%	.0%	1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	1
		.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	154	30	24	25	24	51

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	24.9%	19.3%	28.1%	30.4%	30.4%
	Somewhat safe	243	51	54	41	25	71
		41.7%	42.4%	42.5%	45.9%	32.5%	42.5%
	Neither	49	14	7	3	13	12
		8.4%	11.6%	5.8%	3.0%	16.8%	7.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	13	26	18	9	19
		14.8%	11.0%	20.9%	19.9%	11.7%	11.5%
	Very unsafe	42	9	14	2	6	11
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	7.5%	10.8%	2.2%	8.2%	6.5%
	DK/NA	9	3	1	1	0	3
		1.5%	2.6%	.8%	.9%	.3%	2.0%
	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	Very safe	159	29	26	25	22	57
		27.4%	24.2%	20.8%	28.0%	28.0%	33.9%
	Somewhat safe	213	50	49	27	23	64
		36.6%	41.6%	39.2%	29.8%	29.7%	38.0%
22F. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	24	4	7	6	0	7
		4.1%	3.2%	5.9%	6.7%	.0%	3.9%
	Somewhat unsafe	94	16	14	22	22	20
		16.2%	13.6%	11.2%	24.5%	28.2%	11.7%
	Very unsafe	91	21	29	10	11	20
		15.6%	17.2%	22.9%	11.1%	14.1%	12.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity				
		0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
	Neither					
	Somewhat unsafe	E				
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe		E	a	a	
	Neither			a	.	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe	a		a	a	a
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
	Somewhat safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither				C	
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe					
	DK/NA					
	Very safe					
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe				a	
	Neither				B E	
	Somewhat unsafe					
	Very unsafe		a	a	a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
22A. At home	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	342	83	71	27	27	66
		59.2%	67.3%	59.2%	68.5%	65.0%	52.0%
	Somewhat safe	188	31	39	11	10	47
		32.5%	25.4%	33.0%	28.5%	24.5%	37.2%
	Neither	16	3	3	0	2	4
		2.8%	2.6%	2.4%	.0%	5.4%	2.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	27	4	6	1	1	10
		4.6%	3.0%	4.6%	3.0%	2.5%	7.5%
	Very unsafe	4	2	0	0	1	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	1
		.3%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.5%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	87	19	18	6	9	16
		15.1%	15.1%	15.1%	15.6%	21.9%	12.6%
	Somewhat safe	197	35	24	9	14	67
		34.1%	28.8%	20.4%	23.1%	35.0%	52.7%
	Neither	61	19	16	5	2	7
		10.5%	15.0%	13.5%	13.4%	6.1%	5.9%
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat unsafe	70	12	13	0	4	19
		12.0%	9.5%	10.9%	.0%	9.4%	14.9%
	Very unsafe	36	5	6	5	3	10
		6.3%	4.3%	5.1%	12.2%	8.6%	7.7%
	DK/NA	128	34	42	14	8	8
		22.1%	27.2%	35.1%	35.7%	19.1%	6.2%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	375	90	77	27	30	70
		64.7%	73.1%	64.2%	68.8%	73.5%	54.8%
	Somewhat safe	174	28	36	11	8	48
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		30.0%	22.6%	30.4%	27.6%	18.9%	37.9%
	Neither	9	2	1	0	0	5
		1.5%	1.3%	.6%	.0%	.0%	4.1%
	Somewhat unsafe	17	4	4	1	2	3
		3.0%	3.0%	3.5%	2.0%	5.1%	2.2%
	Very unsafe	2	0	0	0	1	1
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	1.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	2	1	0	0
		.4%	.0%	1.4%	1.6%	.0%	.0%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	153	26	39	12	15	18

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
22A. At home	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	58	3	7
		52.1%	40.2%	82.4%
	Somewhat safe	44	3	2
		39.0%	48.3%	17.6%
	Neither	3	1	0
		3.0%	11.6%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	5	0	0
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		4.8%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	1	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	17	0	2
		15.4%	.0%	24.7%
	Somewhat safe	41	2	4
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		36.9%	23.4%	42.3%
	Neither	9	2	0
		7.6%	29.0%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	19	2	1
		17.0%	22.7%	15.0%
	Very unsafe	7	0	0
		6.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	19	2	2
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		17.1%	24.9%	18.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	69	5	6
		61.9%	69.1%	69.2%
	Somewhat safe	38	2	3
		33.5%	30.9%	30.8%
	Neither	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat unsafe	4	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	.0%
	Very unsafe	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	36	2	5

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	26.5%	21.3%	32.3%	30.1%	36.3%	14.4%
	Somewhat safe	240	57	42	10	16	57
		41.5%	46.0%	35.0%	24.8%	38.5%	44.9%
	Neither	49	10	14	8	2	8
		8.4%	7.7%	11.6%	19.7%	5.1%	6.6%
	Somewhat unsafe	86	21	11	7	5	27
		14.8%	16.8%	9.2%	18.7%	12.2%	21.3%
	Very unsafe	42	6	12	1	3	15
22E. Leaving your home unattended		7.2%	5.0%	10.3%	2.7%	7.6%	12.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	2	2	0	1
		1.5%	3.2%	1.6%	4.0%	.2%	.8%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Very safe	158	37	40	17	13	21
		27.3%	30.1%	33.5%	42.1%	30.6%	16.3%
	Somewhat safe	212	44	42	15	14	46
		36.6%	35.4%	35.0%	37.1%	34.0%	35.8%
22F. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	24	4	3	2	0	9
		4.1%	3.1%	2.5%	6.1%	1.1%	6.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	93	21	25	3	6	17
		16.1%	16.6%	20.9%	8.1%	14.0%	13.5%
	Very unsafe	91	18	10	3	8	35
		15.7%	14.7%	8.1%	6.6%	19.7%	27.1%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.5%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Very safe	32.3%	31.0%	55.5%
	Somewhat safe	54	2	3
		48.3%	32.6%	29.5%
	Neither	7	0	0
		6.1%	.0%	1.8%
	Somewhat unsafe	12	2	0
		10.8%	34.3%	.0%
	Very unsafe	3	0	1
22E. Leaving your home unattended		2.4%	2.2%	13.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Total	112	7	9
	Very safe	24	2	5
		21.6%	26.8%	55.5%
	Somewhat safe	48	1	3
		43.0%	19.3%	31.3%
22F. Leaving your home unattended	Neither	4	1	0
		3.9%	15.3%	.0%
	Somewhat unsafe	19	1	1
		17.3%	13.7%	13.1%
	Very unsafe	16	2	0
		14.1%	24.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe			a			
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe		a	a		a	
	Very unsafe	a	a	a	a	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	DK/NA
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe					A B C	
	Neither			a			
	Somewhat unsafe			.			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA	E	E	E			
	Very safe						
	Somewhat safe			a	a		
	Neither			.	.		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Somewhat unsafe	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Very unsafe	a
	DK/NA	E
	Very safe		E				
	Somewhat safe						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						a
	DK/NA						.
	Very safe		E	E			
	Somewhat safe						
	Neither						
	Somewhat unsafe						
	Very unsafe						
	DK/NA	a	a	a		B	
	Very safe	.	.	.			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
22A. At home	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		a
	Neither		.
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	Very unsafe	.	.
	DK/NA	a	a
	Very safe	.	.
	Somewhat safe	.	.
	Neither		a
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	Somewhat unsafe		.
	Very unsafe	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	Neither	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	a	a
	Very unsafe	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a
	Very safe	.	E
22E. Leaving your home unattended	Somewhat safe		
	Neither	a	a
	Somewhat unsafe	.	.
	Very unsafe	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.
	Very safe		
	Somewhat safe		
	Neither		a
	Somewhat unsafe		.
	Very unsafe	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a
	Very safe	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	582
	Excellent	122	122
		21.0%	21.0%
	Good	372	372
		63.9%	63.9%
	Poor	46	46
		7.9%	7.9%
	Very poor	12	12
		2.0%	2.0%
	DK/NA	30	30
		5.1%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a_b

		Total
		Total
		(A)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	.
	Good	.
	Poor	.
	Very poor	.
	DK/NA	.
		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Excellent	122	72	51	0
		21.0%	25.5%	17.0%	.0%
	Good	372	162	209	1
		63.9%	57.4%	70.3%	33.7%
	Poor	46	24	21	1
		7.9%	8.4%	7.2%	34.4%
	Very poor	12	7	3	1
		2.0%	2.6%	1.2%	31.9%
	DK/NA	30	17	13	0
		5.1%	6.0%	4.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B		a
	Good		A	
	Poor			A B
	Very poor			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Excellent	122	16	24	32	31	19	0
		21.0%	21.5%	23.6%	22.5%	20.4%	18.8%	.0%
	Good	372	52	65	81	102	65	7
		63.9%	68.2%	64.2%	57.7%	66.8%	63.6%	75.7%
	Poor	46	3	12	13	7	9	2
		7.9%	3.7%	12.3%	9.1%	4.7%	8.6%	24.3%
	Very poor	12	0	0	5	4	2	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	2.8%	2.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	30	5	0	10	8	7	0
		5.1%	6.7%	.0%	6.8%	5.3%	7.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent						a
	Good						.
	Poor	a	a				a
	Very poor	.	.				a
	DK/NA	.	.				.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	424	158
	Excellent	122	93	30
		21.0%	21.8%	18.9%
	Good	372	267	105
		63.9%	62.9%	66.5%
	Poor	46	31	15
		7.9%	7.3%	9.7%
	Very poor	12	8	3
		2.0%	2.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	30	25	4
		5.1%	6.0%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Excellent	122	50	20	5	47
		21.0%	20.7%	24.5%	32.5%	19.5%
	Good	372	148	51	9	164
		63.9%	60.9%	63.1%	57.2%	67.6%
	Poor	46	21	5	1	18
		7.9%	8.8%	6.3%	8.4%	7.6%
	Very poor	12	7	2	0	3
		2.0%	2.9%	2.4%	.0%	1.1%
	DK/NA	30	17	3	0	10
		5.1%	6.8%	3.7%	1.9%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Poor			a	
	Very poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
		122	23	12	8	4	35	40
	Excellent	21.0%	19.1%	18.2%	25.7%	14.6%	27.1%	19.4%
		372	77	38	19	21	72	145
	Good	63.9%	63.3%	57.7%	58.5%	72.9%	56.0%	70.9%
	Poor	46	14	4	1	4	14	10
		7.9%	11.7%	6.5%	1.7%	12.5%	10.5%	4.9%
	Very poor	12	3	3	2	0	1	3
		2.0%	2.5%	4.9%	5.2%	.0%	.9%	1.3%
	DK/NA	30	4	8	3	0	7	7
		5.1%	3.5%	12.8%	8.9%	.0%	5.5%	3.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent						
	Good						
	Poor				a		
	Very poor						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Excellent	122	59	20	17	7	5
		21.0%	22.4%	25.3%	21.1%	13.9%	19.2%
	Good	372	166	45	55	34	16
		63.9%	63.2%	57.1%	68.0%	71.5%	60.7%
	Poor	46	19	8	3	6	4
		7.9%	7.3%	10.7%	3.6%	11.9%	15.7%
	Very poor	12	4	0	3	0	0
		2.0%	1.4%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	30	15	5	3	1	1
5.1%		5.7%	6.9%	3.3%	2.7%	4.4%	

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	23	38	24
	Excellent	5	6	4
		20.8%	17.0%	14.5%
	Good	15	25	16
		63.8%	64.9%	66.2%
	Poor	1	1	3
		5.7%	3.0%	14.2%
Very poor		0	4	1
		1.3%	10.0%	2.6%
DK/NA		2	2	1
		8.4%	4.9%	2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Poor		a		a	a
	Very poor					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			
	Good			
	Poor		A	
	Very poor			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Excellent	122	1	32	8	13	5	1	12	21	11
		21.0%	9.0%	18.7%	19.0%	29.2%	29.4%	10.7%	29.4%	21.8%	14.5%
	Good	372	2	96	29	27	9	9	23	67	59
		63.9%	31.7%	55.5%	70.4%	59.3%	55.9%	65.8%	55.6%	68.8%	81.1%
	Poor	46	4	18	3	3	2	3	5	5	1
		7.9%	59.3%	10.2%	7.6%	6.7%	14.6%	20.8%	12.3%	4.7%	1.2%
Very poor		12	0	9	0	0	0	0	1	2	0
		2.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.4%	2.2%	.3%
DK/NA		30	0	18	1	2	0	0	1	3	2
		5.1%	.0%	10.5%	3.0%	4.9%	.0%	1.6%	1.3%	2.6%	2.8%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	64	2	5
	Excellent	15	0	3
		23.1%	.0%	51.1%
	Good	46	2	1
		71.1%	100.0%	21.3%
	Poor	1	0	2
		1.5%	.0%	27.6%
Very poor		0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA		3	0	0
		4.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent									
	Good									
	Poor	B C D H I J								B
	Very poor	a		a		a				
	DK/NA	a				a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		a	
	Good		a	
	Poor		a	
	Very poor	a	a	
	DK/NA		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	464	118
	Excellent	122	93	29
		21.0%	20.1%	24.5%
	Good	372	303	68
		63.9%	65.4%	57.9%
	Poor	46	41	5
		7.9%	8.9%	4.0%
	Very poor	12	8	4
		2.0%	1.7%	3.1%
	DK/NA	30	17	12
		5.1%	3.7%	10.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	347	235
	Excellent	122	75	48
		21.0%	21.5%	20.3%
	Good	372	223	148
		63.9%	64.4%	63.1%
	Poor	46	23	23
		7.9%	6.6%	9.9%
	Very poor	12	9	3
		2.0%	2.6%	1.2%
	DK/NA	30	17	13
		5.1%	4.9%	5.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		
	Good		
	Poor		
	Very poor		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Excellent	122	4	2	28	26	29
		21.0%	36.4%	4.8%	30.1%	19.7%	17.9%
	Good	372	7	37	49	86	111
		63.9%	63.6%	74.9%	52.3%	65.1%	68.0%
	Poor	46	0	6	12	8	10
		7.9%	.0%	11.4%	12.4%	6.2%	6.2%
	Very poor	12	0	0	2	5	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	3.8%	.2%
	DK/NA	30	0	4	2	7	13
		5.1%	.0%	8.9%	2.6%	5.3%	7.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	133	1
	Excellent	33	0
		24.7%	.0%
	Good	82	1
		61.7%	100.0%
	Poor	11	0
		8.0%	.0%
	Very poor	4	0
		3.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	0
		2.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B		B		
	Good	. _b				
	Poor	. _b	. _b			
	Very poor	. _b				
	DK/NA	. _b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B	_{a, b}
	Good		_{a, b}
	Poor		_{a, b}
	Very poor		_{a, b}
	DK/NA		_{a, b}

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Excellent	122	12	34	37	21	18	1
		21.0%	26.9%	16.1%	26.0%	18.1%	30.3%	6.8%
	Good	371	26	140	91	73	36	5
		64.0%	57.0%	66.5%	64.4%	64.1%	62.9%	46.6%
	Poor	46	5	20	6	10	1	4
		8.0%	10.7%	9.4%	4.6%	8.9%	1.8%	34.3%
	Very poor	12	1	4	2	5	0	0
		2.0%	1.8%	1.9%	1.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	29	2	13	6	5	3	1
		5.0%	3.6%	6.1%	3.9%	4.3%	5.0%	12.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent						
	Good						
	Poor					_a	_{C E}
	Very poor						_a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Excellent	122	0	0	29	0
		21.0%	.0%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
	Good	372	1	3	134	1
		63.9%	50.0%	100.0%	71.0%	48.8%
	Poor	46	0	0	11	0
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%
	Very poor	12	1	0	1	0
		2.0%	50.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	30	0	0	14	1
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	51.2%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Excellent	34	2	6	1	7
		32.2%	15.0%	24.4%	17.2%	52.0%
	Good	55	12	19	7	6
		52.3%	77.9%	75.6%	82.8%	48.0%
	Poor	6	1	0	0	0
		5.9%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Very poor	5	0	0	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	6	0	0	0	0
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Excellent	2	31	3	0	3
		23.4%	20.8%	13.2%	.0%	48.2%
	Good	6	88	15	11	1
		60.4%	59.1%	62.5%	94.5%	15.2%
	Poor	2	18	6	1	1
		16.3%	12.0%	24.3%	5.5%	8.8%
	Very poor	0	4	0	0	1
		.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	16.6%
	DK/NA	0	8	0	0	1
		.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	11.1%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	17
	Excellent	3
		19.0%
	Good	12
		70.5%
	Poor	1
		7.8%
	Very poor	0
		.0%
	DK/NA	0
		2.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	C
	Good	
	Poor	
	Very poor	C E K	.	.	.	
	DK/NA	

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				C	
	Good		.	.	.	
	Poor
	Very poor
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent		.	.		
	Good		.	.		
	Poor		.	.	C	.
	Very poor		.	.		.
	DK/NA		.	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Excellent	57	30	20	3	0	3
		21.2%	28.6%	17.8%	11.4%	.0%	36.6%
	Good	166	57	69	24	11	5
		62.2%	55.1%	61.1%	78.3%	99.1%	59.0%
	Poor	23	8	11	3	0	0
		8.6%	8.2%	10.1%	9.6%	.9%	.0%
	Very poor	7	2	5	0	0	0
		2.7%	2.4%	4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	14	6	8	0	0	0
		5.4%	5.7%	6.8%	.8%	.0%	4.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			.	.	
	Good			.	A	
	Poor	
	Very poor	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Excellent	85	23	49	7	1	4
		22.2%	21.4%	22.0%	22.0%	17.5%	37.8%
	Good	248	75	139	24	4	5
		65.0%	69.6%	62.2%	72.8%	80.4%	48.9%
	Poor	21	3	15	2	0	1
		5.6%	3.0%	6.7%	5.3%	2.1%	9.8%
	Very poor	8	2	6	0	0	0
		2.2%	1.7%	2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	19	5	14	0	0	0
		4.9%	4.2%	6.2%	.0%	.0%	3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent					
	Good					
	Poor					
	Very poor			a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Excellent	122	90	2	15	0	0	10	0
		21.0%	20.1%	8.4%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	23.9%	3.5%
	Good	372	277	21	65	5	1	25	6
		63.9%	61.8%	81.0%	70.4%	69.7%	93.5%	57.9%	96.5%
	Poor	46	43	3	2	0	0	1	0
		7.9%	9.5%	10.6%	2.6%	1.3%	6.5%	2.9%	.0%
	Very poor	12	12	0	1	2	0	3	0
		2.0%	2.6%	.0%	1.1%	29.1%	.0%	7.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	30	27	0	9	0	0	3	0
		5.1%	6.0%	.0%	10.2%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Excellent	6	7	2	1	3	7	18	1
		27.5%	14.8%	12.2%	75.0%	25.0%	52.0%	33.0%	9.4%
	Good	16	37	9	0	9	6	27	5
		72.5%	77.5%	71.7%	25.0%	73.1%	48.0%	48.7%	70.0%
	Poor	0	3	1	0	0	0	4	1
		.0%	5.8%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	18.2%
	Very poor	0	0	1	0	0	0	4	0
		.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	0	0	0	2	0
		.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	4.0%	2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				a	a,b			
	Good					b			
	Poor					a,b		a	a
	Very poor		a		A C	a,b		a	a
	DK/NA		a		a	a,b		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			b				
	Good			a,b				
	Poor			a,b	a	a		
	Very poor	a		a,b	a	a		a
	DK/NA		a	a,b		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Excellent	122	9	1	7	11	11	13
		21.0%	15.7%	1.5%	23.4%	31.2%	34.2%	31.8%
	Good	372	43	46	20	16	20	27
		63.9%	70.6%	89.3%	68.9%	43.5%	62.0%	64.8%
	Poor	46	7	4	1	6	0	1
		7.9%	11.0%	7.5%	2.5%	16.6%	.0%	3.1%
	Very poor	12	0	0	0	3	0	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA	30	2	1	2	1	1	0	
	5.1%	2.8%	1.7%	5.2%	1.6%	3.8%	.4%	

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Excellent	10	10	31	18
		26.4%	29.9%	23.5%	14.6%
	Good	19	21	71	89
		49.7%	63.8%	53.8%	70.2%
	Poor	7	1	14	6
		17.5%	2.8%	10.3%	5.0%
	Very poor	0	0	6	3
		.0%	.0%	4.5%	2.5%
DK/NA		2	1	10	10
		6.4%	3.4%	7.9%	7.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				B	B	B
	Good		D G I			a	
	Poor	a	a	a		a	a
	Very poor						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	B	B	B	
	Good				
	Poor	a	a		
	Very poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Excellent	122	33	33	56
		21.0%	20.0%	21.4%	21.5%
	Good	372	115	110	147
		63.9%	68.6%	71.9%	56.2%
	Poor	46	13	7	27
		7.9%	7.6%	4.4%	10.2%
	Very poor	12	3	0	9
		2.0%	1.6%	.0%	3.5%
DK/NA		30	4	3	23
		5.1%	2.2%	2.2%	8.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent			
	Good	C	C	
	Poor		a	
	Very poor			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Excellent	100	28	25	17	30
		21.6%	22.2%	27.8%	21.5%	18.0%
	Good	295	83	53	48	111
		63.8%	65.5%	59.2%	61.1%	66.1%
	Poor	32	6	7	6	13
		6.8%	4.7%	7.5%	7.2%	7.9%
	Very poor	11	2	2	1	5
		2.3%	1.9%	1.8%	1.5%	3.2%
DK/NA		25	7	3	7	8
		5.5%	5.6%	3.7%	8.7%	4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent				
	Good				
	Poor				
	Very poor				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Excellent	122	18	32	9	11	21	26
		21.1%	14.6%	27.2%	22.4%	26.4%	16.5%	23.2%
	Good	371	83	65	27	24	96	67
		64.1%	67.2%	54.8%	67.2%	59.2%	74.9%	59.7%
	Poor	45	12	9	2	3	8	9
		7.8%	9.6%	7.9%	4.3%	8.3%	6.2%	8.4%
	Very poor	11	2	4	1	1	0	3
		1.9%	1.9%	3.1%	2.3%	2.5%	.1%	2.3%
	DK/NA	30	8	8	2	1	3	7
		5.2%	6.7%	7.0%	3.8%	3.6%	2.2%	6.4%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Total	7	9
	Excellent	3	3
		36.9%	29.0%
	Good	4	5
		58.8%	56.0%
	Poor	0	1
		.0%	15.0%
	Very poor	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0
		4.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent							
	Good					B		a
	Poor							a
	Very poor							.
	DK/NA							.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
23. In general, how would you rate race and ethnic relations in the City of Cupertino? Would you say they are excellent, good, poor or very poor?	Excellent	
	Good	
	Poor	a
	Very poor	a
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	582
	More resentful / closed	40	40
		6.8%	6.8%
	More sympathetic / open	203	203
		34.9%	34.9%
	No change in how I feel	312	312
		53.6%	53.6%
	DK/NA	27	27
		4.6%	4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	.
	More sympathetic / open	.
	No change in how I feel	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	281	298	3
	More resentful / closed	40	22	16	1
		6.8%	8.0%	5.5%	31.9%
	More sympathetic / open	203	88	116	0
		34.9%	31.2%	38.9%	.0%
	No change in how I feel	312	156	155	1
		53.6%	55.5%	52.0%	33.7%
	DK/NA	27	15	11	1
		4.6%	5.3%	3.7%	34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			^a
	More sympathetic / open			.
	No change in how I feel			
	DK/NA			B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	More resentful / closed	40	0	4	18	10	6	2
		6.8%	.0%	3.9%	12.6%	6.7%	6.0%	19.3%
	More sympathetic / open	203	14	37	49	61	41	1
		34.9%	18.3%	36.8%	34.9%	40.0%	39.9%	12.5%
	No change in how I feel	312	54	60	67	72	54	6
		53.6%	69.9%	59.3%	47.3%	47.2%	52.8%	68.2%
	DK/NA	27	9	0	7	9	1	0
		4.6%	11.8%	.0%	5.2%	6.1%	1.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	^a					
	More sympathetic / open	C D			A	A	
	No change in how I feel	E	^a				^a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	424	158
	More resentful / closed	40	25	15
		6.8%	5.8%	9.6%
	More sympathetic / open	203	152	51
		34.9%	35.9%	32.3%
	No change in how I feel	312	226	86
		53.6%	53.2%	54.8%
	DK/NA	27	22	5
		4.6%	5.1%	3.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		
	More sympathetic / open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	More resentful / closed	40	19	7	0	13
		6.8%	7.9%	8.6%	1.0%	5.6%
	More sympathetic / open	203	80	21	7	95
		34.9%	33.0%	26.3%	42.7%	39.2%
	No change in how I feel	312	135	48	9	121
		53.6%	55.3%	59.5%	55.3%	49.9%
	DK/NA	27	9	4	0	13
		4.6%	3.9%	5.6%	1.0%	5.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	11 9.5%	6 9.7%	2 6.4%	3 9.8%	9 7.2%	8 3.7%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	41 33.9%	20 30.6%	7 20.9%	8 29.7%	34 26.7%	92 45.0%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	65 53.4%	35 52.1%	23 69.8%	15 52.6%	77 59.9%	98 47.9%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	4 3.2%	5 7.7%	1 2.9%	2 7.9%	8 6.1%	7 3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA						E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	18 6.7%	2 2.2%	9 11.1%	2 4.4%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	92 35.0%	19 23.8%	31 38.6%	17 35.5%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	143 54.3%	51 64.5%	41 50.3%	26 54.8%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	10 4.0%	7 9.5%	0 .0%	2 5.3%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	27	23	38	24
	More resentful / closed	1 4.3%	1 6.2%	3 9.0%	3 13.4%
	More sympathetic / open	17 65.9%	10 44.2%	12 32.7%	4 17.7%
	No change in how I feel	5 20.2%	10 41.7%	20 52.4%	17 68.9%
	DK/NA	3 9.6%	2 7.9%	2 5.9%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA	E	E	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA	A B H			E a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	0 .0%	12 6.9%	2 5.4%	7 14.6%	1 8.0%	2 12.2%	4 10.3%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	2 30.8%	71 40.8%	21 50.1%	7 16.1%	8 45.7%	9 63.1%	10 24.2%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	2 25.7%	79 45.6%	16 38.0%	32 69.3%	8 46.4%	3 24.6%	26 63.0%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	3 43.5%	12 6.8%	3 6.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 2.5%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	More resentful / closed	8	2	0	0	1
		7.9%	2.8%	.6%	.0%	26.6%
	More sympathetic / open	22	25	26	1	2
		22.9%	34.4%	40.2%	46.3%	29.8%
	No change in how I feel	62	46	35	1	1
		64.3%	62.8%	54.8%	53.7%	22.3%
	DK/NA	5	0	3	0	1
		4.9%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	21.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a							
	More sympathetic / open			D			D		
	No change in how I feel								
	DK/NA	B G H J			a	a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			a	J
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel	a		a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	464	118
	More resentful / closed	40	32	8
		6.8%	6.9%	6.4%
	More sympathetic / open	203	160	43
		34.9%	34.6%	36.3%
	No change in how I feel	312	252	60
		53.6%	54.4%	50.5%
	DK/NA	27	19	8
		4.6%	4.1%	6.9%

a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		
	More sympathetic / open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	347	235
	More resentful / closed	40	25	15
		6.8%	7.1%	6.5%
	More sympathetic / open	203	123	80
		34.9%	35.5%	34.0%
	No change in how I feel	312	186	126
		53.6%	53.6%	53.6%
	DK/NA	27	13	14
		4.6%	3.8%	5.9%

a,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		
	More sympathetic / open		
	No change in how I feel		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	More resentful / closed	40	2	1	12	8
		6.8%	14.7%	1.6%	12.6%	6.2%
	More sympathetic / open	203	6	16	34	57
		34.9%	53.6%	32.2%	36.1%	43.3%
	No change in how I feel	312	2	31	46	57
		53.6%	21.0%	63.2%	48.7%	43.6%
	DK/NA	27	1	2	2	9
		4.6%	10.7%	3.1%	2.6%	6.9%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	163	133	1
	More resentful / closed	5	12	0
		3.3%	9.1%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	53	37	1
		32.6%	27.9%	100.0%
	No change in how I feel	98	78	0
		59.7%	58.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	6	0
		4.4%	4.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				
	More sympathetic / open				
	No change in how I feel				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			a, b
	More sympathetic / open			a, b
	No change in how I feel			a, b
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	More resentful / closed	40	2	17	9	7	5	0
		6.9%	3.6%	8.2%	6.7%	5.8%	8.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	201	16	70	63	33	17	3
		34.7%	34.6%	33.4%	44.6%	28.7%	29.3%	27.0%
	No change in how I feel	312	27	115	64	65	33	7
		53.8%	60.0%	54.5%	45.8%	57.3%	56.4%	62.6%
	DK/NA	27	1	8	4	9	4	1
		4.7%	1.8%	3.9%	2.8%	8.1%	6.3%	10.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed						a
	More sympathetic / open						.
	No change in how I feel						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	More resentful / closed	40	0	0	8	0
		6.8%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	203	1	0	78	1
		34.9%	50.0%	.0%	41.2%	48.8%
	No change in how I feel	312	0	3	96	1
		53.6%	.0%	100.0%	50.9%	51.2%
	DK/NA	27	1	0	7	0
		4.6%	50.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	106	15	25	8
	More resentful / closed	11	0	0	0
		10.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	45	4	13	4
		42.4%	23.2%	50.5%	52.8%
	No change in how I feel	44	9	12	3
		41.3%	59.8%	49.5%	34.4%
	DK/NA	7	3	0	1
		6.3%	17.0%	.0%	12.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	14	10	148	23
	More resentful / closed	0	2	17	1
	More sympathetic / open	.0%	16.3%	11.4%	6.1%
	No change in how I feel	3	3	36	7
		24.4%	33.6%	24.4%	31.2%
	DK/NA	0	0	5	0
		.0%	.0%	3.3%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	12	6	17
	More resentful / closed	0	1	0
	More sympathetic / open	.0%	23.2%	.0%
	No change in how I feel	2	1	5
		16.7%	10.2%	26.9%
	DK/NA	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	17.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a	a		a
	More sympathetic / open	.	a		.
	No change in how I feel	a	a		.
	DK/NA	C K	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		a	a	a
	More sympathetic / open		.	.	.
	No change in how I feel			a	.
	DK/NA			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
	More sympathetic / open	a			
	No change in how I feel	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	(M)	(N)	(O)
	More sympathetic / open	a		a
	No change in how I feel	a	a	
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	More resentful / closed	24	9	13	2	0	0
		9.1%	8.4%	11.9%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	97	29	45	13	6	4
		36.3%	27.8%	39.8%	41.0%	60.1%	45.8%
	No change in how I feel	132	61	46	16	4	5
DK/NA		49.6%	58.8%	41.0%	52.1%	39.9%	54.2%
		13	5	8	0	0	0
		5.0%	5.0%	7.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed				a	a
	More sympathetic / open					
	No change in how I feel			a	a	a
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	More resentful / closed	23	7	15	1	1	0
		6.1%	6.2%	6.7%	3.1%	17.5%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	143	41	77	20	3	2
		37.6%	38.5%	34.3%	60.6%	57.1%	16.9%
	No change in how I feel	199	58	120	12	0	8
DK/NA		52.1%	54.1%	53.8%	36.3%	2.1%	68.2%
		16	1	12	0	1	2
		4.1%	1.3%	5.2%	.0%	23.3%	14.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed			B		a
	More sympathetic / open					
	No change in how I feel			a	A	A
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	More resentful / closed	40	34	0	5	2	0	8
		6.8%	7.6%	.0%	5.3%	29.1%	.0%	19.1%
	More sympathetic / open	203	125	10	41	1	0	16
		34.9%	28.0%	36.8%	43.6%	19.9%	.0%	37.4%
	No change in how I feel	312	266	15	45	4	1	19
		53.6%	59.3%	58.7%	48.1%	51.0%	100.0%	43.5%
	DK/NA	27	23	1	3	0	0	0
4.6%		5.1%	4.4%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	More resentful / closed	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3%	.0%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	1	13	28	4	0	7
		15.6%	56.9%	58.0%	29.2%	.0%	59.4%
	No change in how I feel	6	10	20	8	1	4
DK/NA		84.4%	43.1%	42.0%	58.5%	100.0%	32.2%
		0	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	14	56	7
	More resentful / closed	0	6	0
		.0%	10.4%	.0%
	More sympathetic / open	3	21	1
		24.4%	37.1%	18.2%
	No change in how I feel	10	24	3
DK/NA		75.6%	43.5%	38.7%
		0	5	3
		.0%	9.1%	43.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed		a			a,,b	
	More sympathetic / open					a,,b	
	No change in how I feel				a	a,,b	a
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed	a	a	a		a,,b	a
	More sympathetic / open			A		a,,b	
	No change in how I feel					a,,b	
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA	a . a .		a . A C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	1 2.0%	3 5.5%	3 8.9%	3 7.2%	2 7.3%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	31 50.8%	12 23.7%	7 24.7%	19 50.5%	10 29.8%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	28 47.0%	36 68.9%	19 66.3%	14 37.9%	20 62.8%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	0 .3%	1 2.0%	0 .0%	2 4.4%	0 .0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	More resentful / closed	2 4.0%	2 6.4%	2 5.6%	15 11.5%	7 5.6%
	More sympathetic / open	30 71.5%	4 9.7%	14 42.3%	47 35.2%	31 24.6%
	No change in how I feel	9 21.7%	29 76.5%	17 50.9%	65 49.3%	74 58.5%
	DK/NA	1 2.8%	3 7.4%	0 1.2%	5 4.1%	14 11.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA	G J	F	F a .	G	F a .

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA	B C E G I J	D F			F

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	582	167	153	262
	More resentful / closed	40 6.8%	10 5.9%	10 6.5%	20 7.6%
	More sympathetic / open	203 34.9%	64 38.0%	35 22.7%	105 40.1%
	No change in how I feel	312 53.6%	91 54.1%	100 65.6%	121 46.3%
	DK/NA	27 4.6%	3 2.1%	8 5.2%	16 6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA	B	C	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	More resentful / closed	32 7.0%	7 5.5%	10 11.2%	3 3.2%	13 7.6%
	More sympathetic / open	166 35.9%	47 37.2%	38 42.2%	26 33.5%	55 32.8%
	No change in how I feel	246 53.1%	67 53.4%	38 41.9%	48 61.8%	92 55.0%
	DK/NA	18 3.9%	5 3.9%	4 4.7%	1 1.5%	8 4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3 (A)	4-6 (B)	7-9 (C)	10 or more (D)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	More resentful / closed	39 6.7%	10 8.3%	8 6.7%	4 9.4%	3 7.9%	2 1.8%
	More sympathetic / open	203 35.1%	47 37.9%	34 28.2%	12 31.0%	9 21.8%	53 41.5%
	No change in how I feel	311 53.7%	63 50.8%	72 60.4%	22 56.2%	26 62.7%	66 52.1%
	DK/NA	26 4.5%	4 3.1%	6 4.7%	1 3.4%	3 7.6%	6 4.5%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	Total	112	7	9
	More resentful / closed	11 10.0%	0 2.2%	0 .0%
	More sympathetic / open	42 37.3%	4 51.7%	3 35.6%
	No change in how I feel	53 47.3%	3 46.1%	6 62.6%
	DK/NA	6 5.4%	0 .0%	0 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems (A)	Male Dems (B)	Fem Reps (C)	Male Reps (D)	Fem NPP (E)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP (F)	Fem Oth (G)	Male Oth (H)
24. Has the diversity made you more resentful and closed or more sympathetic and open, or has it had no effect on your feelings?	More resentful / closed More sympathetic / open No change in how I feel DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	582
	Too much	34 5.9%	34 5.9%
	About the right amount	367 63.0%	367 63.0%
	Not enough	85 14.6%	85 14.6%
	DK/NA	96 16.5%	96 16.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	.
	About the right amount	.
	Not enough	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Too much	34 5.9%	16 5.7%	16 5.5%	2 65.6%
	About the right amount	367 63.0%	170 60.3%	196 65.9%	1 34.4%
	Not enough	85 14.6%	43 15.2%	42 14.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	96 16.5%	53 18.8%	43 14.4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			A B
	About the right amount			a
	Not enough			a
	DK/NA			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102
	Too much	34 5.9%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	7 4.8%	16 10.2%	8 7.8%
	About the right amount	367 63.0%	54 69.8%	75 73.7%	83 59.0%	82 53.5%	69 67.7%
	Not enough	85 14.6%	10 12.4%	16 16.1%	27 18.9%	22 14.4%	10 10.3%
	DK/NA	96 16.5%	14 17.8%	8 7.8%	24 17.3%	33 21.9%	14 14.2%
							2 24.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a	D				
	About the right amount	.					
	Not enough						a
	DK/NA				B		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	424	158
	Too much	34 5.9%	24 5.7%	10 6.5%
	About the right amount	367 63.0%	267 62.9%	100 63.4%
	Not enough	85 14.6%	61 14.3%	24 15.4%
	DK/NA	96 16.5%	73 17.1%	23 14.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Too much	34	9	13	0	12
		5.9%	3.6%	16.4%	2.3%	5.0%
	About the right amount	367	163	46	9	149
		63.0%	66.8%	57.0%	55.9%	61.8%
	Not enough	85	35	10	3	38
		14.6%	14.3%	11.9%	17.4%	15.5%
	DK/NA	96	37	12	4	43
		16.5%	15.3%	14.7%	24.4%	17.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		A D		
	About the right amount				
	Not enough				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party					
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128
	Too much	34	4	3	7	4	9
		5.9%	3.6%	5.0%	20.2%	12.6%	7.0%
	About the right amount	367	80	40	17	15	84
		63.0%	66.3%	60.1%	53.7%	52.9%	65.6%
	Not enough	85	20	11	6	3	11
		14.6%	16.7%	16.2%	18.5%	9.7%	8.9%
	DK/NA	96	16	12	2	7	24
		16.5%	13.4%	18.7%	7.6%	24.9%	18.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			A F			
	About the right amount						
	Not enough						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Too much	34	13	2	3	6
		5.9%	4.8%	3.0%	4.2%	12.4%
	About the right amount	367	152	53	59	28
		63.0%	57.8%	68.1%	73.0%	58.4%
	Not enough	85	45	10	11	6
		14.6%	17.0%	12.4%	13.6%	13.2%
	DK/NA	96	54	13	8	8
		16.5%	20.5%	16.5%	9.2%	16.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Too much	1	1	3	5
		2.1%	6.4%	7.8%	21.2%
	About the right amount	19	14	26	15
		72.5%	61.1%	68.5%	62.6%
	Not enough	4	4	5	0
		13.6%	18.0%	13.3%	1.2%
	DK/NA	3	3	4	4
		11.8%	14.4%	10.4%	14.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much About the right amount Not enough DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much About the right amount Not enough DK/NA			A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Too much	34	1	8	3	0	0	0	4
	About the right amount	367	5	90	23	38	9	10	27
	Not enough	85	0	32	7	4	4	2	3
	DK/NA	96	1	42	10	4	4	1	7
		16.5%	12.0%	24.4%	23.5%	8.9%	24.6%	10.9%	16.8%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Too much	5	7	4	0	1
	About the right amount	71	49	42	2	2
	Not enough	14	10	8	0	1
	DK/NA	7	8	10	0	1
		7.2%	10.6%	16.0%	.0%	22.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much About the right amount Not enough DK/NA	a	H		a B				B

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much About the right amount Not enough DK/NA			a a a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	464	118
	Too much	34	27	8
	About the right amount	367	300	67
	Not enough	85	68	17
	DK/NA	96	69	27
		16.5%	14.9%	22.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	347	235
	Too much	34	26	8
		5.9%	7.5%	3.6%
	About the right amount	367	217	150
		63.0%	62.6%	63.6%
	Not enough	85	50	34
		14.6%	14.5%	14.7%
	DK/NA	96	53	43
		16.5%	15.4%	18.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Too much	34	0	1	4	8
		5.9%	.0%	2.9%	4.0%	5.8%
	About the right amount	367	8	22	59	82
		63.0%	71.0%	45.6%	63.1%	62.5%
	Not enough	85	0	10	19	23
		14.6%	.0%	21.3%	20.2%	17.3%
	DK/NA	96	3	15	12	19
		16.5%	29.0%	30.1%	12.7%	14.4%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	163	133	1
	Too much	4	17	0
		2.5%	13.2%	.0%
	About the right amount	111	85	0
		67.9%	63.7%	.0%
	Not enough	19	13	1
		11.5%	9.9%	100.0%
	DK/NA	30	17	0
		18.1%	13.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a			
	About the right amount				
	Not enough	a			
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		E	a,,b
	About the right amount			a,,b
	Not enough			a,,b
	DK/NA			a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Too much	34	3	13	6	7	4	0
		5.8%	7.6%	6.0%	4.6%	5.9%	7.4%	1.4%
	About the right amount	366	27	123	103	63	40	8
		63.1%	60.9%	58.5%	73.5%	55.4%	69.3%	70.7%
	Not enough	85	7	37	15	21	3	2
		14.6%	15.8%	17.5%	10.3%	18.8%	4.9%	17.5%
	DK/NA	96	7	38	16	23	11	1
		16.5%	15.6%	18.0%	11.6%	19.9%	18.4%	10.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much						
	About the right amount			D			
	Not enough						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Too much	34	0	0	9	0
		5.9%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	.0%
	About the right amount	367	1	3	125	0
		63.0%	50.0%	100.0%	66.2%	.0%
	Not enough	85	1	0	19	0
		14.6%	50.0%	.0%	10.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	96	0	0	36	2
		16.5%	.0%	.0%	18.9%	100.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	106	15	25	8
	Too much	1	1	0	0
		1.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%
	About the right amount	63	8	21	6
		59.5%	54.5%	84.3%	71.0%
	Not enough	27	5	1	1
		25.8%	31.3%	4.7%	11.8%
	DK/NA	15	1	3	1
		13.8%	7.1%	11.0%	17.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	14	10	148	23
	Too much	2	0	17	2
		11.7%	.0%	11.5%	8.5%
	About the right amount	11	4	90	13
		81.2%	40.2%	60.8%	56.1%
	Not enough	0	3	15	8
		.0%	27.9%	10.2%	35.4%
	DK/NA	1	3	26	0
		7.1%	31.9%	17.4%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	12	6	17
	Too much	1	1	0
		11.5%	20.2%	.9%
	About the right amount	7	3	11
		57.9%	56.4%	61.7%
	Not enough	1	0	3
		4.5%	2.2%	16.5%
	DK/NA	3	1	4
		26.1%	21.3%	20.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	^a	^a		^a
	About the right amount	.	.		.
	Not enough	.	.		.
	DK/NA	^a	^a		^a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			^a	^a
	About the right amount				
	Not enough	C			
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		^a	E	
	About the right amount				
	Not enough	^a			C
	DK/NA				^a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		E	
	About the right amount			
	Not enough			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Too much	17 6.2%	7 6.8%	6 5.7%	2 6.5%	0 .0%	1 11.5%
	About the right amount	160 59.9%	61 58.3%	65 57.7%	21 70.2%	8 78.8%	4 48.4%
	Not enough	44 16.5%	22 21.1%	18 15.9%	2 5.5%	0 .0%	2 27.1%
	DK/NA	47 17.5%	14 13.8%	23 20.8%	5 17.8%	2 21.2%	1 12.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				^a	
	About the right amount					
	Not enough				^a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Too much	26 6.9%	6 5.2%	16 7.2%	2 4.9%	2 40.8%	1 9.2%
	About the right amount	243 63.9%	71 66.2%	139 62.2%	23 69.7%	3 57.1%	6 58.4%
	Not enough	46 12.1%	11 10.3%	29 12.9%	4 12.2%	0 .0%	2 16.9%
	DK/NA	65 17.2%	20 18.3%	40 17.7%	4 13.3%	0 2.1%	2 15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				A	
	About the right amount					
	Not enough				^a	
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Too much	34	29	0	9	1	0	0
		5.9%	6.6%	.0%	10.0%	13.2%	.0%	.0%
	About the right amount	367	266	22	53	3	1	22
		63.0%	59.2%	85.3%	56.5%	42.9%	69.2%	50.5%
	Not enough	85	69	4	10	1	0	16
		14.6%	15.4%	14.7%	10.7%	15.8%	.0%	37.5%
	DK/NA	96	84	0	21	2	0	5
16.5%		18.8%	.0%	22.7%	28.0%	30.8%	12.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Too much	0	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	13.4%	.0%	.0%
	About the right amount	5	20	33	6	1	9
		76.0%	90.1%	68.2%	43.2%	75.0%	69.8%
Not enough		1	1	3	4	0	1
		15.6%	5.2%	5.8%	27.8%	25.0%	7.7%
DK/NA		1	1	12	2	0	3
		8.4%	4.7%	26.1%	15.6%	.0%	22.4%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	14	56	7
	Too much	2	3	1
		11.7%	5.5%	17.8%
	About the right amount	11	38	3
		81.2%	68.2%	40.8%
Not enough		0	6	2
		.0%	10.3%	33.8%
DK/NA		1	9	1
		7.1%	16.1%	7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much		a			a,b	a
	About the right amount		.			.	.
	Not enough					a,b	A C I N
			a			.	.
	DK/NA		.			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a	a	a		a,b	a
	About the right amountb	.
	Not enough					.b	
	DK/NA					a,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			
	About the right amount			
	Not enough	a		
		.		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Too much	34	2	5	0	1	2
		5.9%	4.0%	9.1%	.0%	1.9%	5.7%
	About the right amount	367	49	39	22	21	22
		63.0%	80.8%	75.6%	76.7%	58.1%	67.2%
Not enough		85	6	3	2	10	3
		14.6%	10.5%	5.4%	7.7%	27.5%	9.4%
DK/NA		96	3	5	5	5	6
		16.5%	4.6%	9.9%	15.5%	12.6%	17.7%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Too much	3	2	3	11	6
		6.3%	4.4%	8.5%	8.5%	5.1%
	About the right amount	33	22	19	71	69
		79.2%	57.6%	57.5%	53.4%	54.6%
Not enough		3	3	5	23	26
		6.4%	8.6%	15.5%	17.5%	20.6%
DK/NA		3	11	6	27	25
		8.1%	29.5%	18.6%	20.7%	19.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			^a		
	About the right amount	I J				
	Not enough					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much					
	About the right amount					
	Not enough					
	DK/NA		A			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Too much	34	9	7	18
		5.9%	5.6%	4.8%	6.8%
	About the right amount	367	125	111	131
		63.0%	74.8%	72.7%	49.9%
	Not enough	85	21	16	47
		14.6%	12.7%	10.6%	18.1%
	DK/NA	96	12	18	66
		16.5%	6.9%	12.0%	25.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much			
	About the right amount	C	C	
	Not enough			
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Too much	31	6	4	3	19
		6.8%	4.7%	3.9%	4.4%	11.1%
	About the right amount	294	79	61	53	101
		63.6%	62.6%	67.3%	68.4%	60.1%
	Not enough	67	23	15	8	22
		14.5%	18.1%	16.3%	10.3%	12.9%
	DK/NA	70	18	11	13	27
		15.1%	14.6%	12.5%	16.9%	16.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				
	About the right amount				
	Not enough				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Too much	32	3	5	5	8	8
		5.6%	2.5%	4.0%	13.2%	19.5%	6.3%
	About the right amount	366	82	81	27	19	83
		63.2%	66.6%	67.4%	67.2%	47.1%	65.4%
	Not enough	85	19	16	4	5	17
		14.6%	15.5%	13.2%	10.6%	13.1%	13.5%
	DK/NA	96	19	18	4	8	19
		16.6%	15.4%	15.4%	9.0%	20.3%	14.8%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Total	112	7	9
	Too much	3	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	4.2%
	About the right amount	65	4	5
		57.8%	55.5%	56.2%
	Not enough	20	2	1
		18.1%	22.7%	13.1%
	DK/NA	24	2	2
		21.3%	21.8%	26.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much				A B F		
	About the right amount						
	Not enough						
	DK/NA						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
25. Do you think the City of Cupertino is doing too much, about the right amount, or not enough to ensure that members of all ethnic groups feel welcome in the City?	Too much	a	
	About the right amount		
	Not enough		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	582
	Brochures or flyers	105	105
		18.0%	18.0%
	City Website	76	76
		13.0%	13.0%
	Cupertino Courier	158	158
		27.1%	27.1%
	Cupertino Scene	105	105
		18.1%	18.1%
	Email	36	36
		6.2%	6.2%
	Facebook	31	31
		5.3%	5.3%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	27
		4.6%	4.6%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	11
		1.9%	1.9%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	59
		10.1%	10.1%
	Local TV news channels	90	90
		15.4%	15.4%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	34
		5.9%	5.9%
	NextDoor	73	73
		12.6%	12.6%
	Non-English media	3	3
		.5%	.5%
	Radio	9	9
		1.5%	1.5%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	34
		5.9%	5.9%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	17
		2.9%	2.9%
	Twitter	1	1
		.1%	.1%
	WeChat	7	7
		1.1%	1.1%
	Word of mouth	67	67
		11.6%	11.6%
	None	8	8
		1.3%	1.3%
	Nothing	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%
	DK/NA	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	.
	City Website	.
	Cupertino Courier	.
	Cupertino Scene	.
	Email	.
	Facebook	.
	Flyers or posters around town	.
	Interaction with City staff at events	.
	Internet (No specific site)	.
	Local TV news channels	.
	Mailers / Postcards	.
	NextDoor	.
	Non-English media	.
	Radio	.
	San Jose Mercury News	.
	The C -- Cupertino news	.
	Twitter	.
	WeChat	.
	Word of mouth	.
	None	.
	Nothing	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Brochures or flyers	105	44	59	1
		18.0%	15.7%	19.9%	34.4%
	City Website	76	33	42	0
		13.0%	11.9%	14.2%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	158	87	70	1
		27.1%	31.0%	23.4%	31.9%
	Cupertino Scene	105	47	59	0
		18.1%	16.6%	19.7%	.0%
	Email	36	23	12	1
		6.2%	8.3%	4.0%	31.9%
	Facebook	31	15	16	0
		5.3%	5.2%	5.4%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	8	19	0
		4.6%	2.9%	6.3%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	1	10	0
		1.9%	.4%	3.3%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	38	20	1
		10.1%	13.6%	6.6%	34.4%
	Local TV news channels	90	36	54	0
		15.4%	12.6%	18.2%	.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	18	16	0
		5.9%	6.3%	5.5%	.0%
	NextDoor	73	40	33	0
		12.6%	14.2%	11.2%	.0%
	Non-English media	3	3	0	0
		.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	1	8	0
		1.5%	.3%	2.7%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	19	15	0
		5.9%	6.9%	5.0%	.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	6	10	0
		2.9%	2.3%	3.5%	.0%
	Twitter	1	1	0	0
		.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	4	2	0
		1.1%	1.5%	.8%	.0%
	Word of mouth	67	31	36	0
		11.6%	11.0%	12.2%	.0%
	None	8	3	5	0
		1.3%	1.1%	1.5%	.0%
	Nothing	7	3	3	1
		1.2%	1.0%	1.0%	33.7%
	DK/NA	7	4	3	0
		1.2%	1.3%	1.1%	.0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			a
	City Website			.
	Cupertino Courier			.
	Cupertino Scene			a
	Email			.
	Facebook			a
	Flyers or posters around town		A	a
	Interaction with City staff at events		A	a
	Internet (No specific site)	B		.
	Local TV news channels			a
	Mailers / Postcards			.
	NextDoor			a
	Non-English media		a	a
	Radio		A	a
	San Jose Mercury News			.
	The C -- Cupertino news			a
	Twitter		a	a
	WeChat			.
	Word of mouth			a
	None			a
	Nothing			A B
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Brochures or flyers	105	13	20	29	22	19	2
		18.0%	16.6%	19.8%	20.5%	14.5%	18.9%	19.0%
	City Website	76	3	13	29	20	10	1
		13.0%	3.7%	13.0%	20.6%	13.0%	9.5%	12.5%
	Cupertino Courier	158	14	14	32	58	34	6
		27.1%	18.6%	14.3%	22.4%	37.7%	33.4%	67.9%
	Cupertino Scene	105	10	13	25	39	16	2
		18.1%	13.1%	13.3%	18.0%	25.3%	15.8%	19.6%
	Email	36	5	5	12	12	3	0
		6.2%	6.0%	4.7%	8.5%	7.6%	3.1%	.0%
	Facebook	31	12	11	8	0	0	0
		5.3%	16.0%	10.5%	5.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	2	5	12	6	2	0
		4.6%	2.0%	5.2%	8.4%	4.0%	2.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	3	5	2	0	1	0
		1.9%	3.7%	5.3%	1.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	15	13	13	13	5	0
		10.1%	19.5%	12.7%	9.3%	8.5%	5.0%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	90	10	5	18	23	31	2
		15.4%	13.5%	5.3%	12.6%	15.0%	30.8%	24.9%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	8	8	6	6	5	1
		5.9%	10.9%	7.9%	4.4%	4.0%	4.5%	11.8%
	NextDoor	73	5	10	33	21	4	0
		12.6%	6.7%	10.1%	23.5%	13.6%	4.0%	.0%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	1	2	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.8%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	0	3	2	3	1	0
		1.5%	.0%	2.5%	1.5%	1.9%	1.2%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	2	4	8	11	7	2
		5.9%	3.0%	4.4%	5.7%	7.1%	7.1%	19.3%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	2	5	3	3	4	0
		2.9%	2.6%	4.8%	2.0%	1.8%	4.2%	.0%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	0	2	4	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	67	12	22	13	15	5	0
		11.6%	16.1%	22.1%	9.1%	9.6%	5.0%	.0%
	None	8	3	3	0	1	1	0
		1.3%	3.7%	2.7%	.0%	.9%	.6%	.0%
	Nothing	7	2	0	2	1	2	0
		1.2%	2.6%	.0%	1.5%	.9%	1.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	2	2	0	1	2	0
		1.2%	2.5%	2.4%	.0%	.7%	1.5%	.0%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			A			
	City Website				A B	B	A B C
	Cupertino Courier						
	Cupertino Scene						
	Email					a	a
	Facebook	D	D	D		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town						a
	Interaction with City staff at events				a		a
	Internet (No specific site)	E					a
	Local TV news channels					B C D	
	Mailers / Postcards						
	NextDoor			A E			a
	Non-English media	a	a			a	a
	Radio	a					a
	San Jose Mercury News						a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a			a	a
	Twitter	a	a			a	a
	WeChat						a
	Word of mouth		C E				a
	None			a			a
	Nothing		a				a
	DK/NA			a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	424	158
	Brochures or flyers	105	70	35
		18.0%	16.5%	22.1%
	City Website	76	56	20
		13.0%	13.2%	12.6%
	Cupertino Courier	158	131	26
		27.1%	30.9%	16.8%
	Cupertino Scene	105	76	29
		18.1%	17.9%	18.7%
	Email	36	22	14
		6.2%	5.3%	8.7%
	Facebook	31	17	13
		5.3%	4.1%	8.5%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	20	7
		4.6%	4.6%	4.6%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	8	3
		1.9%	2.0%	1.6%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	46	13
		10.1%	10.8%	8.2%
	Local TV news channels	90	66	24
		15.4%	15.6%	15.1%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	21	13
		5.9%	4.9%	8.5%
	NextDoor	73	57	17
		12.6%	13.3%	10.6%
	Non-English media	3	3	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%
	Radio	9	6	3
		1.5%	1.3%	2.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	26	8
		5.9%	6.2%	5.2%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	12	5
		2.9%	2.8%	3.1%
	Twitter	1	0	0
		.1%	.1%	.1%
	WeChat	7	7	0
		1.1%	1.6%	.0%
	Word of mouth	67	47	20
		11.6%	11.2%	12.6%
	None	8	5	3
		1.3%	1.1%	1.9%
	Nothing	7	5	2
		1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
	DK/NA	7	4	3
		1.2%	.9%	1.9%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	B	A
	City Website		
	Cupertino Courier		
	Cupertino Scene		
	Email		
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	Interaction with City staff at events		
	Internet (No specific site)		
	Local TV news channels		
	Mailers / Postcards		
	NextDoor		
	Non-English media		
	Radio		
	San Jose Mercury News		
	The C -- Cupertino news		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	Word of mouth		
	None		
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Brochures or flyers	105	50	12	1	42
		18.0%	20.4%	15.0%	3.7%	17.5%
	City Website	76	32	13	1	30
		13.0%	13.0%	15.6%	5.5%	12.6%
	Cupertino Courier	158	72	31	5	50
		27.1%	29.7%	37.8%	29.5%	20.8%
	Cupertino Scene	105	52	13	2	37
		18.1%	21.5%	16.6%	15.5%	15.3%
	Email	36	18	5	0	13
		6.2%	7.2%	6.4%	1.0%	5.5%
	Facebook	31	20	4	0	7
		5.3%	8.0%	5.0%	.0%	3.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	6	5	0	16
		4.6%	2.6%	5.9%	.0%	6.5%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	2	1	0	8
		1.9%	.8%	.7%	.0%	3.5%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	24	11	2	22
		10.1%	10.1%	13.6%	10.0%	9.0%
	Local TV news channels	90	31	13	4	43
		15.4%	12.5%	15.6%	24.0%	17.8%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	16	4	0	15
		5.9%	6.5%	4.5%	1.0%	6.0%
	NextDoor	73	32	8	2	31
		12.6%	13.1%	10.2%	15.4%	12.7%
	Non-English media	3	3	0	0	0
		.5%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	2	2	0	4
		1.5%	.9%	2.7%	.0%	1.8%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	13	6	2	13
		5.9%	5.2%	7.9%	14.7%	5.4%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	10	1	1	5
		2.9%	4.1%	.8%	7.6%	2.0%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.1%	.4%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	3	0	3
		1.1%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	1.4%
	Word of mouth	67	23	2	2	40
		11.6%	9.6%	2.3%	9.6%	16.7%
	None	8	5	0	0	3
		1.3%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Nothing	7	2	0	2	2
		1.2%	1.0%	.5%	12.5%	.9%
	DK/NA	7	2	2	0	3
		1.2%	1.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier		D		
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook	D		a	
	Flyers or posters around town			a	
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	
	Internet (No specific site)				
	Local TV news channels				
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media		a	a	a
	Radio			a	
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news			a	a
	Twitter	a		a	a
	WeChat				
	Word of mouth				B
	None		a	a	
	Nothing			A B D	
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party					
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128
	Brochures or flyers	105	30	12	2	7	17
		18.0%	24.7%	18.5%	5.0%	24.4%	13.1%
	City Website	76	16	8	6	1	15
		13.0%	13.4%	11.8%	18.5%	4.0%	11.5%
	Cupertino Courier	158	31	23	13	15	38
		27.1%	25.9%	34.7%	38.8%	51.8%	29.4%
	Cupertino Scene	105	28	11	5	2	33
		18.1%	23.2%	15.9%	16.8%	7.4%	25.8%
	Email	36	12	2	3	0	8
		6.2%	9.8%	2.7%	8.1%	.0%	6.0%
	Facebook	31	11	4	3	0	9
		5.3%	9.3%	5.5%	8.7%	.0%	6.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	5	2	2	3	0
		4.6%	4.0%	2.3%	6.2%	9.9%	.2%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	0	0	0	1	5
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	3.8%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	10	10	2	8	9
		10.1%	8.1%	14.9%	5.8%	29.1%	7.1%
	Local TV news channels	90	10	11	5	5	17
		15.4%	8.3%	15.9%	15.2%	16.7%	13.5%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	7	6	2	1	9
		5.9%	5.4%	9.0%	7.4%	2.1%	6.8%
	NextDoor	73	17	9	4	1	18
		12.6%	14.1%	13.4%	11.2%	4.8%	14.1%
	Non-English media	3	1	2	0	0	0
		.5%	1.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	2	1	1	1	3
		1.5%	1.3%	.9%	3.8%	3.3%	2.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	5	7	2	2	6
		5.9%	4.2%	10.9%	6.7%	7.0%	5.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	5	2	1	0	5
		2.9%	4.3%	3.0%	2.0%	.0%	3.7%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%
	WeChat	7	0	0	2	0	1
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	7.0%	.0%	.8%
	Word of mouth	67	15	2	0	2	16
		11.6%	12.1%	3.3%	1.2%	5.3%	12.4%
	None	8	1	1	0	0	3
		1.3%	.7%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	Nothing	7	2	1	0	0	2
		1.2%	1.4%	1.1%	.0%	.5%	1.8%
	DK/NA	7	2	0	2	0	2
		1.2%	2.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers						
	City Website				F		
	Cupertino Courier					F	
	Cupertino Scene				a		
	Email				a		
	Facebook	F					
	Flyers or posters around town				E		E
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a	a			
	Internet (No specific site)				A E F		
	Local TV news channels						
	Mailers / Postcards						
	NextDoor						
	Non-English media			a	a	a	a
	Radio						
	San Jose Mercury News				a		
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	a		a
	Twitter	a	a	a	a		
	WeChat		a				
	Word of mouth			a	a		
	None			a			
	Nothing			a			
	DK/NA		a		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Brochures or flyers	105	42	16	16	10
		18.0%	15.9%	21.0%	20.2%	20.9%
	City Website	76	38	13	9	3
		13.0%	14.5%	17.0%	10.7%	7.0%
	Cupertino Courier	158	42	22	22	17
		27.1%	16.1%	27.6%	27.0%	35.2%
	Cupertino Scene	105	48	12	11	10
		18.1%	18.3%	15.6%	13.9%	21.4%
	Email	36	25	1	6	2
		6.2%	9.6%	.8%	7.2%	3.7%
	Facebook	31	23	1	2	4
		5.3%	8.9%	1.8%	3.0%	7.7%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	11	4	6	2
		4.6%	4.3%	5.1%	7.5%	3.2%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	6	5	0	0
		1.9%	2.2%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	36	10	3	3
		10.1%	13.5%	12.8%	3.8%	5.8%
	Local TV news channels	90	26	14	24	6
		15.4%	9.8%	17.4%	29.8%	13.2%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	20	4	1	4
		5.9%	7.8%	4.6%	1.0%	8.0%
	NextDoor	73	36	9	12	6
		12.6%	13.6%	11.3%	14.7%	12.4%
	Non-English media	3	0	2	1	0
		.5%	.0%	2.0%	1.4%	.0%
	Radio	9	5	0	2	0
		1.5%	1.9%	.0%	2.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	12	4	5	5
		5.9%	4.7%	5.1%	5.7%	11.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	7	4	2	1
		2.9%	2.6%	4.9%	2.0%	2.5%
	Twitter	1	0	1	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	4	1	0	1
		1.1%	1.6%	1.6%	.0%	2.2%
	Word of mouth	67	40	7	8	5
		11.6%	15.3%	9.1%	9.6%	10.5%
	None	8	6	0	1	1
		1.3%	2.1%	.0%	.8%	2.3%
	Nothing	7	4	0	0	1
		1.2%	1.6%	.3%	.0%	1.7%
	DK/NA	7	6	1	0	0
		1.2%	2.4%	.7%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Brochures or flyers	4	4	8	5
		15.1%	15.5%	20.8%	19.3%
	City Website	3	3	2	4
		11.5%	13.4%	6.0%	15.4%
	Cupertino Courier	15	10	18	12
		55.3%	43.2%	48.3%	50.8%
	Cupertino Scene	4	4	10	5
		14.5%	18.7%	26.1%	22.0%
	Email	1	0	1	0
		3.9%	.0%	3.9%	.4%
	Facebook	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	1	3	0
		.0%	4.8%	7.5%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	4	1	1	1
		16.4%	4.8%	2.1%	5.3%
	Local TV news channels	8	4	5	3
		29.0%	16.0%	13.7%	14.3%
	Mailers / Postcards	0	1	2	2
		.0%	6.4%	4.7%	9.7%
	NextDoor	3	2	5	0
		12.6%	9.1%	12.8%	1.7%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	2	0	0	1
		5.9%	.0%	.0%	2.4%
	San Jose Mercury News	3	0	3	2
		11.6%	1.3%	6.8%	9.6%
	The C -- Cupertino news	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	13.7%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	1	3	3	0
		3.3%	13.2%	8.1%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%
	Nothing	0	0	1	0
		.0%	1.3%	3.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier				
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				
	Local TV news channels			A	
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media	a			a
	Radio	.	.		a
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news	a		a	a
	Twitter	a		a	a
	WeChat	.		.	.
	Word of mouth		a		
	None				
	Nothing			a	a
	DK/NA			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier	A	A	A	A
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook	a	a	a	a
	Flyers or posters around town	a			a
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a
	Internet (No specific site)				
	Local TV news channels	a			
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media	a	a	a	a
	Radio		a	a	
	San Jose Mercury News				
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	a	A
	Twitter	a	a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a	a
	Word of mouth				
	None	a	a		a
	Nothing				
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Brochures or flyers	105	1	21	7	10	6	4	6
		18.0%	9.0%	12.0%	15.9%	22.0%	37.2%	29.2%	13.5%
	City Website	76	1	30	7	2	2	0	5
		13.0%	18.8%	17.3%	17.6%	4.4%	10.1%	.0%	13.1%
	Cupertino Courier	158	4	55	3	11	5	6	21
		27.1%	59.3%	32.0%	6.8%	23.3%	32.9%	45.8%	50.2%
	Cupertino Scene	105	0	42	14	6	0	7	14
		18.1%	.0%	24.1%	34.5%	13.9%	1.7%	49.0%	33.8%
	Email	36	0	21	8	1	0	0	1
		6.2%	.0%	12.1%	19.7%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Facebook	31	0	8	2	2	0	2	0
		5.3%	.0%	4.8%	5.8%	4.3%	.0%	12.2%	.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	0	12	1	2	0	0	2
		4.6%	.0%	6.8%	2.9%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	3	2	0	2	0	0	1
		1.9%	43.5%	1.2%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	0	20	4	4	0	2	4
		10.1%	.0%	11.4%	10.5%	9.2%	.0%	12.6%	9.7%
	Local TV news channels	90	1	6	1	9	1	1	5
		15.4%	9.9%	3.6%	2.2%	20.1%	7.7%	6.1%	11.4%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	1	9	7	2	1	0	1
		5.9%	15.8%	5.2%	16.6%	4.3%	7.4%	.0%	2.1%
	NextDoor	73	1	33	8	4	1	2	7
		12.6%	12.0%	19.2%	18.5%	8.3%	6.3%	18.0%	16.4%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	0	15	7	2	1	0	3
		5.9%	.0%	8.7%	17.2%	4.5%	3.9%	.0%	8.2%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	0	6	1	5	1	0	1
		2.9%	.0%	3.5%	3.5%	10.4%	4.7%	.0%	2.4%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	6	0	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	67	0	20	4	7	5	1	3
		11.6%	.0%	11.4%	9.1%	16.0%	32.2%	8.6%	6.0%
	None	8	1	4	0	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	9.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Nothing	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	3	0	1	0	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Brochures or flyers	21	18	12	0	0
		22.0%	24.4%	17.9%	.0%	.0%
	City Website	10	11	7	0	1
		9.8%	14.7%	10.1%	.0%	21.3%
	Cupertino Courier	20	16	12	1	4
		20.7%	21.5%	18.0%	53.7%	66.9%
	Cupertino Scene	11	4	1	1	4
		11.9%	6.1%	1.0%	56.1%	69.1%
	Email	4	0	0	1	0
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	46.3%	4.3%
	Facebook	6	2	8	0	0
		6.3%	3.3%	12.1%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	5	2	3	0	0
		5.5%	2.3%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	4	0	0	0	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	9	9	7	0	0
		9.4%	11.7%	10.9%	.0%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	27	24	15	0	0
		27.6%	32.4%	24.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	5	1	7	0	0
		5.3%	.9%	11.0%	.0%	4.3%
	NextDoor	4	9	3	0	1
		4.5%	12.3%	5.0%	.0%	11.8%
	Non-English media	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	1	3	2	0	0
		1.3%	4.7%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	3	2	1	0	0
		2.7%	3.3%	1.6%	.0%	.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	3	0	0	0	0
		2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	43.9%	.0%
	Word of mouth	7	3	18	0	0
		6.8%	3.5%	28.3%	.0%	.0%
	None	0	3	0	0	0
		.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	1	0	2	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	0	0
		2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date						
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers						a	
	City Website						C	CHJ
	Cupertino Courier	C		IJ			H IJ	IJ
	Cupertino Scene	a	J	H		a	a	
	Email	a				a	a	
	Facebook	a				a	a	
	Flyers or posters around town	a				a	a	
	Interaction with City staff at events	B D G H		a		a	a	
	Internet (No specific site)	a				a	a	
	Local TV news channels				B		a	
	Mailers / Postcards						a	
	NextDoor		H a					
	Non-English media	a	a		a	a	a	a
	Radio	a		a	a	a	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News	a					a	
	The C -- Cupertino news	a				a	a	a
	Twitter	a		a		a	a	a
	WeChat	a						
	Word of mouth	a				I	a	
	None	a		a	a	a	a	a
	Nothing	a		a		a	a	a
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a
	City Website				a	.
	Cupertino Courier					C
	Cupertino Scene				J	E H I J
	Email		a	a	D G	
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				a	a
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a	a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a
	Local TV news channels	B C	B C	B	a	a
	Mailers / Postcards				a	.
	NextDoor				a	.
	Non-English media	a		a	a	a
	Radio	.		.	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a	B	a
	Word of mouth			H I	a	a
	None	a		a	a	a
	Nothing	.	a	.	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	464	118
	Brochures or flyers	105	82	23
		18.0%	17.7%	19.2%
	City Website	76	54	21
		13.0%	11.7%	18.2%
	Cupertino Courier	158	125	32
		27.1%	27.0%	27.5%
	Cupertino Scene	105	90	15
		18.1%	19.5%	12.6%
	Email	36	31	5
		6.2%	6.6%	4.6%
	Facebook	31	19	12
		5.3%	4.0%	10.3%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	25	2
		4.6%	5.4%	1.7%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	10	1
		1.9%	2.3%	.5%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	44	15
		10.1%	9.5%	12.7%
	Local TV news channels	90	76	14
		15.4%	16.3%	12.1%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	28	6
		5.9%	6.0%	5.2%
	NextDoor	73	63	11
		12.6%	13.5%	8.9%
	Non-English media	3	3	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%
	Radio	9	9	0
		1.5%	1.9%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	27	7
		5.9%	5.9%	6.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	17	0
		2.9%	3.6%	.0%
	Twitter	1	0	0
		.1%	.1%	.2%
	WeChat	7	6	1
		1.1%	1.2%	.9%
	Word of mouth	67	48	19
		11.6%	10.4%	15.9%
	None	8	6	1
		1.3%	1.4%	.9%
	Nothing	7	6	1
		1.2%	1.3%	.9%
	DK/NA	7	5	2
		1.2%	1.2%	1.3%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers		
	City Website		
	Cupertino Courier		
	Cupertino Scene		
	Email		
	Facebook		A
	Flyers or posters around town		
	Interaction with City staff at events		
	Internet (No specific site)		
	Local TV news channels		
	Mailers / Postcards		
	NextDoor		
	Non-English media	a	
	Radio	.	a
	San Jose Mercury News		
	The C -- Cupertino news		a
	Twitter		.
	WeChat		
	Word of mouth		
	None		
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	347	235
	Brochures or flyers	105	73	32
		18.0%	21.0%	13.6%
	City Website	76	53	22
		13.0%	15.4%	9.5%
	Cupertino Courier	158	119	39
		27.1%	34.3%	16.6%
	Cupertino Scene	105	74	31
		18.1%	21.4%	13.1%
	Email	36	22	14
		6.2%	6.3%	6.1%
	Facebook	31	10	21
		5.3%	2.8%	9.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	13	14
		4.6%	3.7%	6.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	6	5
		1.9%	1.7%	2.2%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	24	35
		10.1%	7.0%	14.7%
	Local TV news channels	90	51	39
		15.4%	14.8%	16.4%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	14	20
		5.9%	4.0%	8.6%
	NextDoor	73	47	26
		12.6%	13.6%	11.0%
	Non-English media	3	3	0
		.5%	.8%	.0%
	Radio	9	8	1
		1.5%	2.2%	.5%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	23	11
		5.9%	6.7%	4.8%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	12	4
		2.9%	3.5%	1.9%
	Twitter	1	0	0
		.1%	.1%	.1%
	WeChat	7	6	1
		1.1%	1.6%	.4%
	Word of mouth	67	28	39
		11.6%	8.2%	16.5%
	None	8	3	5
		1.3%	.9%	1.9%
	Nothing	7	4	3
		1.2%	1.2%	1.2%
	DK/NA	7	1	6
		1.2%	.3%	2.5%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	B	
	City Website	B	
	Cupertino Courier	B	
	Cupertino Scene	B	
	Email		
	Facebook		A
	Flyers or posters around town		
	Interaction with City staff at events		
	Internet (No specific site)		A
	Local TV news channels		A
	Mailers / Postcards		A
	NextDoor		a
	Non-English media		.
	Radio		
	San Jose Mercury News		
	The C -- Cupertino news		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	Word of mouth		A
	None		
	Nothing		
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Brochures or flyers	105	2	13	18	23
		18.0%	14.7%	25.6%	19.1%	17.3%
	City Website	76	2	7	8	25
		13.0%	15.6%	14.7%	8.5%	19.3%
	Cupertino Courier	158	0	4	20	31
		27.1%	.0%	7.8%	20.8%	23.9%
	Cupertino Scene	105	3	7	18	21
		18.1%	27.1%	15.0%	19.3%	15.9%
	Email	36	0	4	9	9
		6.2%	.0%	8.5%	9.4%	7.0%
	Facebook	31	2	3	4	9
		5.3%	18.3%	5.8%	4.1%	6.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	0	7	9	3
		4.6%	2.7%	14.1%	9.5%	2.1%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	0	3	5	3
		1.9%	.0%	5.3%	5.3%	2.2%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	4	5	10	16
		10.1%	36.4%	9.8%	10.2%	12.0%
	Local TV news channels	90	1	4	1	20
		15.4%	13.4%	9.1%	1.5%	15.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	2	4	2	10
		5.9%	18.3%	8.5%	2.0%	8.0%
	NextDoor	73	3	10	17	17
		12.6%	27.1%	20.9%	18.0%	12.8%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Radio	9	0	0	0	4
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	0	1	6	9
		5.9%	.9%	2.7%	6.4%	7.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	0	2	4	3
		2.9%	.0%	4.1%	4.2%	2.4%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	0	4	1
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	4.6%	.9%
	Word of mouth	67	0	13	10	14
		11.6%	.0%	26.5%	10.2%	10.9%
	None	8	0	0	6	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	.0%
	Nothing	7	0	0	0	2
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	1.5%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	2	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	163	133	1
	Brochures or flyers	28	22	0
		17.2%	16.3%	.0%
	City Website	21	12	0
		12.9%	9.3%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	38	65	0
		23.5%	48.7%	.0%
	Cupertino Scene	29	27	0
		17.7%	20.3%	.0%
	Email	9	5	0
		5.4%	3.8%	.0%
	Facebook	7	7	0
		4.2%	5.1%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	4	4	0
		2.4%	3.1%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	1	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	17	8	0
		10.1%	6.2%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	37	26	0
		22.9%	19.2%	.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	8	7	0
		5.1%	5.5%	.0%
	NextDoor	16	11	0
		9.7%	7.9%	.0%
	Non-English media	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	3	2	0
		2.1%	1.1%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	10	8	0
		6.0%	6.1%	.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	4	3	0
		2.6%	2.5%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0
		.0%	.3%	.0%
	WeChat	0	1	0
		.0%	.8%	.0%
	Word of mouth	21	9	0
		12.9%	6.9%	.0%
	None	0	2	0
		.0%	1.5%	.0%
	Nothing	3	2	0
		1.8%	1.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	0	1
		2.4%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier	.b			
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email	.b			
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town		D E		
	Interaction with City staff at events	.b			
	Internet (No specific site)	F			
	Local TV news channels				C
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media	.b	.b	.b	
	Radio	.b	.b	.b	
	San Jose Mercury News	.b			
	The C -- Cupertino news	.b	.b		.b
	Twitter	.b	.b		
	WeChat	.b	.b		
	Word of mouth	.b	F	.b	.b
	None	.b	.b		
	Nothing	.b	.b		.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			a,,b
	City Website			a,,b
	Cupertino Courier		B C D E	a,,b
	Cupertino Scene			a,,b
	Email			a,,b
	Facebook			a,,b
	Flyers or posters around town			a,,b
	Interaction with City staff at events		.b	a,,b
	Internet (No specific site)			a,,b
	Local TV news channels	C	C	a,,b
	Mailers / Postcards			a,,b
	NextDoor	.b	.b	a,,b
	Non-English media	.b		a,,b
	Radio			a,,b
	San Jose Mercury News			a,,b
	The C -- Cupertino news			a,,b
	Twitter	.b		a,,b
	WeChat	.b		a,,b
	Word of mouth			a,,b
	None	.b		a,,b
	Nothing			a,,b
	DK/NA		.b	a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Brochures or flyers	105	7	47	18	21	10	2
		18.0%	16.2%	22.3%	12.6%	18.3%	17.1%	14.8%
	City Website	75	4	30	23	17	1	0
		12.9%	8.8%	14.3%	16.2%	15.3%	1.2%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	157	19	56	38	32	10	1
		27.1%	42.5%	26.6%	27.2%	28.5%	17.8%	6.2%
	Cupertino Scene	105	9	38	32	18	5	2
		18.0%	20.0%	18.1%	23.1%	15.9%	7.9%	19.0%
	Email	36	0	9	12	8	4	3
		6.2%	.2%	4.4%	8.3%	7.1%	6.5%	26.4%
	Facebook	31	0	3	9	9	10	0
		5.3%	.0%	1.3%	6.1%	8.1%	18.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	2	13	5	4	3	0
		4.6%	3.8%	6.1%	3.9%	3.6%	4.8%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	1	6	0	3	2	0
		1.9%	1.3%	2.8%	.0%	2.5%	2.9%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	4	30	10	10	4	1
		10.2%	8.5%	14.2%	7.3%	8.5%	7.3%	9.0%
	Local TV news channels	89	12	27	23	9	15	3
		15.4%	26.2%	12.8%	16.6%	7.7%	26.4%	25.3%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	1	14	9	4	2	5
		5.9%	2.4%	6.5%	6.2%	3.9%	2.7%	41.5%
	NextDoor	73	3	25	19	21	3	1
		12.6%	7.3%	12.1%	13.7%	18.6%	5.2%	9.0%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	2	1	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	1	4	0	3	1	0
		1.5%	1.4%	2.0%	.1%	2.4%	1.6%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	2	12	9	10	1	0
		5.9%	4.4%	5.8%	6.3%	8.8%	2.0%	1.4%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	3	7	2	2	2	0
		2.9%	7.6%	3.1%	1.6%	1.7%	4.2%	.0%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	1	2	2	0	1
		1.1%	.0%	.6%	1.5%	1.8%	.0%	10.5%
	Word of mouth	67	4	23	18	13	9	0
		11.6%	9.0%	10.8%	13.1%	11.3%	16.0%	.0%
	None	8	1	3	1	0	3	0
		1.3%	1.9%	1.3%	.8%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
	Nothing	6	0	2	2	3	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.8%	1.5%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	2	0	2	2	1
		1.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.8%	3.3%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers						a
	City Website			E	E		.
	Cupertino Courier						.
	Cupertino Scene						.
	Email						A B
	Facebook	a			B	B	a
	Flyers or posters around town	.					.
	Interaction with City staff at events			a			a
	Internet (No specific site)			.			.
	Local TV news channels	D				D	
	Mailers / Postcards						A B C D E
	NextDoor						.
	Non-English media	a	a			a	a
	Radio	.				.	a
	San Jose Mercury News						.
	The C -- Cupertino news	a		a	a		a
	Twitter	a		.	.	a	a
	WeChat	.				.	B
	Word of mouth						a
	None				a		.
	Nothing	a				a	a
	DK/NA	a		a		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Brochures or flyers	105	0	0	30
		18.0%	.0%	.0%	15.8%
	City Website	76	0	0	18
		13.0%	.0%	.0%	9.6%
	Cupertino Courier	158	1	0	41
		27.1%	50.0%	.0%	21.4%
	Cupertino Scene	105	0	0	24
		18.1%	.0%	.0%	12.6%
	Email	36	0	0	17
		6.2%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
	Facebook	31	0	0	8
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	0	0	14
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	7.7%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	0	0	2
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	0	0	28
		10.1%	.0%	.0%	14.7%
	Local TV news channels	90	0	0	42
		15.4%	.0%	.0%	22.1%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	0	3	13
		5.9%	.0%	100.0%	6.6%
	NextDoor	73	1	0	14
		12.6%	50.0%	.0%	7.5%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Radio	9	0	0	2
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	0	0	9
		5.9%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	0	0	4
		2.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	0	7
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
	Word of mouth	67	1	0	16
		11.6%	50.0%	.0%	8.3%
	None	8	0	0	3
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	Nothing	7	0	0	1
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	1
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.5%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Brochures or flyers	2	15	7	7
		100.0%	13.8%	45.2%	28.6%
	City Website	0	21	0	4
		.0%	19.6%	.0%	15.8%
	Cupertino Courier	1	33	2	5
		48.8%	31.5%	14.2%	19.5%
	Cupertino Scene	1	30	4	1
		51.2%	28.8%	28.8%	4.4%
	Email	0	11	0	0
		.0%	10.5%	.0%	.0%
	Facebook	0	2	0	3
		.0%	2.2%	.0%	11.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	6	0	0
		.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0	6	0	0
		.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	0	4	2	7
		.0%	4.2%	9.9%	28.8%
	Local TV news channels	0	11	1	3
		.0%	10.4%	9.4%	13.2%
	Mailers / Postcards	0	2	0	3
		.0%	1.5%	.0%	11.0%
	NextDoor	0	23	3	0
		.0%	22.0%	21.7%	.0%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	0	4	1	2
		.0%	3.9%	6.7%	6.6%
	The C -- Cupertino news	0	2	1	0
		.0%	1.6%	9.4%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	0	13	4	9
		.0%	12.7%	25.1%	36.0%
	None	0	1	0	0
		.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Brochures or flyers	2	5	1	21
		30.0%	36.2%	10.2%	14.5%
	City Website	0	0	1	25
		.0%	.0%	12.0%	16.7%
	Cupertino Courier	2	0	2	56
		24.7%	.0%	21.6%	37.9%
	Cupertino Scene	0	0	2	31
		.0%	.0%	16.3%	20.8%
	Email	0	0	0	6
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
	Facebook	3	3	2	8
		40.9%	21.0%	16.3%	5.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Internet (No specific site)	1	0	1	12
		17.2%	.0%	10.2%	7.9%
	Local TV news channels	0	6	1	17
		.0%	47.4%	10.2%	11.4%
	Mailers / Postcards	0	0	0	11
		.0%	.0%	.0%	7.7%
	NextDoor	0	0	4	16
		.0%	.0%	35.3%	10.9%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	0	2	0	4
		.0%	11.7%	.0%	2.5%
	San Jose Mercury News	0	0	1	13
		.0%	.0%	12.0%	8.6%
	The C -- Cupertino news	0	0	0	7
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%
	Twitter	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	WeChat	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	4	3	0	15
		52.8%	21.0%	.0%	9.9%
	None	0	0	3	1
		.0%	.0%	27.9%	.6%
	Nothing	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	1
		.0%	7.1%	.0%	.4%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Brochures or flyers	5	3	1	5
		21.4%	23.1%	13.2%	30.2%
	City Website	1	1	0	5
		3.5%	8.2%	3.6%	27.6%
	Cupertino Courier	5	3	2	3
		22.4%	28.3%	28.9%	19.8%
	Cupertino Scene	7	2	1	1
		29.3%	20.6%	14.7%	8.6%
	Email	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	23.2%	1.6%
	Facebook	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	13.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	1	0	0	0
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	0	0	2	1
		.0%	2.7%	41.6%	6.0%
	Local TV news channels	4	2	0	1
		17.1%	20.2%	.0%	8.6%
	Mailers / Postcards	0	2	0	1
		.0%	19.9%	.0%	4.7%
	NextDoor	8	1	1	1
		35.3%	7.5%	16.9%	6.0%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	0	1	0	0
		.0%	8.0%	.0%	.9%
	San Jose Mercury News	1	1	0	3
		4.3%	9.2%	8.1%	14.9%
	The C -- Cupertino news	2	1	0	0
		9.5%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	1	0	0	0
		6.1%	3.8%	3.6%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	0	1	0	0
		.0%	8.8%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0	2
		10.4%	.0%	.0%	10.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	a	a		a
	City Website	a	a		a
	Cupertino Courier	.	.		.
	Cupertino Scene	a	a		
	Email	.	.		a
	Facebook	a	a		a
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a		a
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a
	Internet (No specific site)	a	a		a
	Local TV news channels	a	a		a
	Mailers / Postcards	.	.		a
	NextDoor	.	a		a
	Non-English media	a	a		a
	Radio	a	a		a
	San Jose Mercury News	a	a		a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	a	a
	Twitter	a	a	.	a
	WeChat	.	.	.	a
	Word of mouth	.	a		a
	None	a	a		a
	Nothing	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers		a		a
	City Website		.		.
	Cupertino Courier				a
	Cupertino Scene	C			.
	Email		a	a	a
	Facebook		a		C E K
	Flyers or posters around town		.	.	a
	Interaction with City staff at events	C	a	a	.
	Internet (No specific site)			E	.
	Local TV news channels				a
	Mailers / Postcards		a		a
	NextDoor	C		a	a
	Non-English media	a	a	a	a
	Radio	a	.	.	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	a
	Twitter
	WeChat	a	a	a	a
	Word of mouth	.	.	C K	C K
	None		a	a	a
	Nothing	a	a	.	.
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website	a			
	Cupertino Courier	.			
	Cupertino Scene	a			
	Email	.	a		a
	Facebook	E			a
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a		.
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a
	Internet (No specific site)	.			a
	Local TV news channels	E K			.
	Mailers / Postcards	.	a		a
	NextDoor	a			C
	Non-English media	a	a	a	a
	Radio	.	.	.	a
	San Jose Mercury News	a			.
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a		.
	Twitter	.	a		a
	WeChat	a	a	a	a
	Word of mouth	.	a	.	.
	None	a	C E K		a
	Nothing	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	.		C K

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			
	City Website			
	Cupertino Courier			
	Cupertino Scene			
	Email	a		
	Facebook	a	a	
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a	a
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a	a
	Internet (No specific site)		E	
	Local TV news channels		a	
	Mailers / Postcards	E		
	NextDoor			
	Non-English media	a	a	a
	Radio		a	
	San Jose Mercury News		a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a
	Word of mouth			
	None	a	a	a
	Nothing	C	a	a
	DK/NA	a		C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Brochures or flyers	51	21	23	3	1	3
	City Website	41	20	17	4	0	0
	Cupertino Courier	63	31	21	7	2	2
	Cupertino Scene	46	19	16	7	0	3
	Email	18	6	9	0	1	2
	Facebook	13	7	5	1	0	0
	Flyers or posters around town	18	3	8	3	3	0
	Interaction with City staff at events	10	6	2	2	0	0
	Internet (No specific site)	19	5	13	1	0	0
	Local TV news channels	27	5	11	10	2	1
	Mailers / Postcards	15	5	9	1	0	0
	NextDoor	41	15	19	5	1	0
	Non-English media	1	0	0	1	0	0
	Radio	5	4	0	2	0	0
	San Jose Mercury News	18	9	7	1	0	0
	The C -- Cupertino news	9	2	5	1	1	0
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0
	WeChat	6	0	5	0	0	1
	Word of mouth	38	13	19	4	2	0
	None	3	0	3	0	0	0
	Nothing	2	0	0	0	1	1
	DK/NA	2	0	2	0	0	1
		.9%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	6.6%
		19.2%	20.6%	20.5%	9.5%	10.7%	29.6%
		15.3%	19.1%	15.0%	12.9%	.0%	.0%
		23.6%	29.4%	18.6%	24.1%	20.4%	23.1%
		17.2%	18.6%	14.6%	23.3%	.9%	33.2%
		6.9%	5.9%	8.0%	.8%	13.4%	18.7%
		4.9%	6.5%	4.7%	4.0%	.0%	.0%
		6.8%	3.1%	7.4%	11.2%	29.4%	.0%
		3.7%	5.9%	1.8%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
		7.0%	4.9%	11.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
		10.3%	4.5%	9.3%	31.6%	15.3%	11.1%
		5.7%	4.4%	8.3%	3.5%	.0%	1.8%
		15.3%	14.7%	17.0%	18.0%	7.2%	.0%
		.4%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
		2.0%	3.6%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
		6.7%	9.0%	6.6%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
		3.4%	1.9%	4.3%	2.7%	13.4%	.0%
		.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		2.1%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
		14.2%	12.8%	17.0%	11.5%	18.1%	.0%
		1.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		.9%	.1%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	11.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a
	City Website				.	.
	Cupertino Courier					
	Cupertino Scene					
	Email					
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				A	a
	Interaction with City staff at events				a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a
	Local TV news channels			A B		
	Mailers / Postcards				a	
	NextDoor	a	a		a	a
	Non-English media	.	.		a	a
	Radio		.		a	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	a	a
	Twitter		.	.	a	a
	WeChat	a	.	.	a	a
	Word of mouth	a		a	a	a
	None
	Nothing		a	a	A	A
	DK/NA	a	.	a	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Brochures or flyers	63	16	37	4	1	4
	City Website	37	18	17	2	0	0
		9.8%	17.0%	7.7%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	127	34	78	11	0	5
		33.4%	31.3%	34.9%	31.3%	.0%	45.2%
	Cupertino Scene	70	22	35	9	1	2
		18.4%	20.7%	15.6%	27.7%	26.7%	19.4%
	Email	24	2	17	2	0	3
		6.3%	1.6%	7.4%	7.0%	.0%	29.8%
	Facebook	19	3	12	4	0	0
		4.9%	2.5%	5.3%	12.2%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	13	5	6	1	1	0
		3.4%	4.4%	2.6%	3.3%	24.6%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	4	1	3	0	0	0
		1.1%	.5%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	35	10	21	4	0	0
		9.3%	9.4%	9.4%	12.5%	.0%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	78	21	42	13	0	1
		20.5%	19.8%	18.8%	39.5%	.0%	12.9%
	Mailers / Postcards	16	4	10	2	0	0
		4.3%	3.8%	4.4%	6.5%	.0%	1.5%
	NextDoor	38	12	20	4	1	1
		10.1%	11.5%	8.8%	11.0%	23.3%	12.3%
	Non-English media	2	0	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	5	1	3	2	0	0
		1.3%	.6%	1.2%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	23	5	16	2	0	0
		6.1%	4.9%	7.0%	6.2%	.0%	.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	10	1	7	0	1	0
		2.5%	.8%	3.2%	.0%	32.5%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	4	1	2	0	0	1
		1.1%	1.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
	Word of mouth	33	8	25	0	0	0
		8.7%	7.3%	11.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	None	5	4	1	0	0	0
		1.3%	3.4%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	7	2	4	0	0	1
		1.8%	1.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
	DK/NA	3	0	3	0	0	0
		.7%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a
	City Website	B			a	.
	Cupertino Courier				a	.
	Cupertino Scene				a	.
	Email				a	A
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				.	a
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	a	a
	Internet (No specific site)				a	a
	Local TV news channels			B	a	.
	Mailers / Postcards				a	.
	NextDoor	a		a	a	a
	Non-English media	.		.	a	a
	Radio				a	a
	San Jose Mercury News			a	a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news			a	A B	a
	Twitter	a		a	a	a
	WeChat	.		a	a	B
	Word of mouth			a	a	a
	None	B		a	a	.
	Nothing			a	a	.
	DK/NA	a		a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	Brochures or flyers	105	75	2	17	0	0
		18.0%	16.7%	8.9%	18.0%	.0%	.0%
	City Website	76	66	2	9	1	0
		13.0%	14.7%	8.9%	10.0%	15.8%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	158	140	4	17	5	0
		27.1%	31.1%	16.3%	18.8%	73.2%	6.5%
	Cupertino Scene	105	96	1	11	0	0
		18.1%	21.4%	4.4%	11.5%	1.3%	6.5%
	Email	36	29	5	5	1	0
		6.2%	6.4%	19.6%	5.7%	13.2%	.0%
	Facebook	31	28	2	4	0	0
		5.3%	6.3%	8.8%	4.3%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	18	4	6	0	0
		4.6%	4.0%	15.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	9	0	2	0	0
		1.9%	2.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	49	4	14	0	0
		10.1%	10.9%	13.4%	15.2%	.0%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	90	42	3	26	1	0
		15.4%	9.4%	12.1%	28.4%	19.8%	.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	29	6	4	0	0
		5.9%	6.4%	21.2%	4.4%	.0%	.0%
	NextDoor	73	65	2	7	4	0
		12.6%	14.6%	8.9%	7.3%	49.8%	24.3%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	1	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	6	0	2	0	0
		1.5%	1.3%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	30	2	3	0	0
		5.9%	6.8%	8.4%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	13	1	4	0	1
		2.9%	2.9%	3.1%	4.3%	.0%	69.2%
	Twitter	1	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	3	0	5	0	0
		1.1%	.7%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	67	54	2	7	2	0
		11.6%	12.0%	9.1%	7.0%	26.8%	24.3%
	None	8	8	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	7	6	1	1	0	0
		1.2%	1.3%	4.7%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	5	0	1	0	0
		1.2%	1.2%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	Brochures or flyers	6	5	7	7	2	0
		12.8%	67.8%	32.3%	14.8%	12.9%	.0%
	City Website	10	0	3	4	3	0
		22.1%	.0%	12.8%	9.3%	21.1%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	15	1	5	10	4	1
		34.4%	20.1%	21.9%	21.2%	30.9%	75.0%
	Cupertino Scene	9	1	0	2	2	0
		20.9%	17.0%	.0%	4.2%	17.3%	25.0%
	Email	5	0	0	5	3	0
		10.8%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	22.2%	.0%
	Facebook	0	0	3	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	3	0	0	3	1	0
		7.6%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	8.1%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	2	0	7	3	0	0
		3.6%	.0%	32.5%	6.0%	.0%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	4	1	2	14	1	0
		9.5%	21.7%	7.2%	30.4%	8.1%	.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	0	0	3	5	2	0
		.0%	.0%	12.4%	9.6%	11.7%	.0%
	NextDoor	14	1	0	3	2	0
		32.4%	11.7%	.0%	6.9%	12.2%	.0%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	3	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	0	1	2	2	1	0
		.0%	19.1%	7.5%	3.8%	7.6%	25.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	2	0	0	0	1	0
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	9	3	9	2	1	0
		19.7%	42.6%	40.6%	4.6%	6.1%	.0%
	None	1	0	0	3	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Brochures or flyers	4	5	2	3
		28.9%	36.2%	4.4%	47.4%
	City Website	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	10.3%	.0%
	Cupertino Courier	3	0	24	2
		25.4%	.0%	42.7%	23.3%
	Cupertino Scene	0	0	20	1
		.0%	.0%	35.4%	10.2%
	Email	0	0	5	2
		1.9%	.0%	8.5%	29.2%
	Facebook	3	3	0	0
		26.7%	21.0%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	1	0	3	0
		9.8%	.0%	5.7%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	1	0	5	1
		11.2%	.0%	9.8%	15.7%
	Local TV news channels	2	6	5	0
		13.8%	47.4%	9.7%	5.1%
	Mailers / Postcards	0	0	0	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	NextDoor	0	0	14	0
		.0%	.0%	24.9%	.0%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	0	2	0	0
		.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	10.4%	2.4%
	The C -- Cupertino news	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	15.7%
	Word of mouth	4	3	3	0
		34.4%	21.0%	5.5%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	1	0	1	1
		9.8%	.0%	2.2%	15.4%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	1
		.0%	7.1%	.0%	8.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				a	a,,b	
	City Website				.	a,,b	
	Cupertino Courier					,b	
	Cupertino Scene					,b	
	Email					a,,b	
	Facebook				a	a,,b	a
	Flyers or posters around town				a	a,,b	
	Interaction with City staff at events		a		a	a,,b	a
	Internet (No specific site)		.		a	a,,b	
	Local TV news channels			A		a,,b	
	Mailers / Postcards				a	a,,b	a
	NextDoor				C I	,b	A C
	Non-English media	a	a		a	a,,b	a
	Radio	.	a		a	a,,b	a
	San Jose Mercury News				a	a,,b	a
	The C -- Cupertino news				a	,b	
	Twitter		a	a	a	a,,b	a
	WeChat		a	A	a	a,,b	a
	Word of mouth				.	,b	
	None		a	a	a	a,,b	a
	Nothing				a	a,,b	a
	DK/NA		a		.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	A B N	N			a,,b	
	City Website	a				a,,b	a
	Cupertino Courier	.				,b	
	Cupertino Scene		a			,b	a
	Email	a	a			a,,b	
	Facebook	a	.		a	a,,b	I
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a			a,,b	
	Interaction with City staff at events	a	a		a	a,,b	a
	Internet (No specific site)	a	F		a	a,,b	
	Local TV news channels			A		a,,b	
	Mailers / Postcards	a				a,,b	
	NextDoor	.	a			a,,b	a
	Non-English media	a	a		a	a,,b	a
	Radio	a	a	a	a	a,,b	a
	San Jose Mercury News					,b	a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	a		a,,b	a
	Twitter	a	a	a		a,,b	a
	WeChat	a	.		a	a,,b	a
	Word of mouth	.			.	a,,b	
	None	a	A C I N		a	a,,b	a
	Nothing	a	a	a	a	a,,b	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,,b	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	N		N
	City Website	a		a
	Cupertino Courier	a		
	Cupertino Scene	a	C I	
	Email	a		
	Facebook	I	a	a
	Flyers or posters around town	a		a
	Interaction with City staff at events	a		a
	Internet (No specific site)	a		
	Local TV news channels	A		a
	Mailers / Postcards	a	a	a
	NextDoor	a		a
	Non-English media	a	a	a
	Radio	A	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News	a		a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a		a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	a		A
	Word of mouth			
	None	a	a	a
	Nothing	a		
	DK/NA		a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Brochures or flyers	105	10	11	10	4
		18.0%	16.3%	21.9%	33.1%	11.5%
	City Website	76	2	4	4	7
		13.0%	3.2%	8.1%	14.7%	19.4%
	Cupertino Courier	158	14	10	8	7
		27.1%	23.9%	20.2%	27.4%	20.2%
	Cupertino Scene	105	14	3	4	3
		18.1%	22.5%	5.6%	13.2%	7.6%
	Email	36	4	1	0	3
		6.2%	6.5%	1.7%	.0%	8.6%
	Facebook	31	2	0	4	0
		5.3%	3.3%	.0%	12.6%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	0	3	0	6
		4.6%	.0%	5.4%	.8%	16.1%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	1	0	0	0
		1.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	3	1	4	6
		10.1%	5.1%	2.9%	13.7%	16.6%
	Local TV news channels	90	23	14	2	8
		15.4%	37.4%	27.1%	8.1%	22.2%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	5	9	0	3
		5.9%	8.2%	17.5%	.0%	7.5%
	NextDoor	73	2	4	2	1
		12.6%	3.8%	7.7%	6.7%	2.0%
	Non-English media	3	0	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Radio	9	0	3	0	0
		1.5%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	1	2	3	1
		5.9%	2.0%	4.0%	10.4%	2.7%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	3	1	0	2
		2.9%	5.5%	1.9%	.0%	5.4%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	7	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	67	3	6	4	6
		11.6%	5.1%	12.3%	12.5%	16.1%
	None	8	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	7	1	0	0	0
		1.2%	1.1%	.0%	.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	2	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Brochures or flyers	5	12	5	4	22
		15.4%	28.6%	14.1%	12.9%	16.4%
	City Website	5	4	5	4	25
		15.1%	9.6%	12.9%	11.8%	18.7%
	Cupertino Courier	6	15	8	5	40
		18.6%	36.4%	20.6%	15.5%	29.9%
	Cupertino Scene	10	10	5	7	26
		30.8%	25.3%	14.0%	19.9%	19.4%
	Email	0	0	2	4	11
		.0%	.6%	6.0%	12.7%	8.4%
	Facebook	0	3	3	4	5
		.0%	8.0%	7.4%	12.2%	3.7%
	Flyers or posters around town	3	0	0	3	5
		8.3%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	4.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	2	2	3	0	3
		7.1%	4.9%	7.4%	.0%	2.5%
	Internet (No specific site)	3	1	11	2	12
		10.4%	2.8%	29.2%	6.8%	9.2%
	Local TV news channels	2	7	5	10	9
		7.6%	16.3%	12.8%	28.7%	6.8%
	Mailers / Postcards	3	1	2	2	3
		9.4%	3.1%	5.1%	5.4%	2.6%
	NextDoor	3	6	4	4	28
		9.9%	15.5%	9.2%	13.4%	21.5%
	Non-English media	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	0	1	2	0	2
		.0%	2.2%	4.2%	.0%	1.9%
	San Jose Mercury News	4	0	1	2	9
		11.3%	.0%	2.7%	6.8%	6.7%
	The C -- Cupertino news	4	2	0	0	3
		11.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	1	0	1	2
		.0%	2.9%	.0%	3.1%	1.6%
	Word of mouth	0	8	4	3	19
		.7%	18.2%	10.1%	9.6%	14.6%
	None	0	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%
	Nothing	2	0	1	0	3
		6.1%	.0%	1.7%	.3%	2.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	126
	Brochures or flyers	21
		17.0%
	City Website	16
		12.4%
	Cupertino Courier	44
		34.7%
	Cupertino Scene	24
		19.2%
	Email	10
		8.2%
	Facebook	10
		8.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	7
		5.8%
	Interaction with City staff at events	0
		.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	14
		11.1%
	Local TV news channels	10
		8.0%
	Mailers / Postcards	6
		4.7%
	NextDoor	18
		14.4%
	Non-English media	0
		.0%
	Radio	1
		.5%
	San Jose Mercury News	11
		9.0%
	The C -- Cupertino news	2
		1.6%
	Twitter	0
		.0%
	WeChat	2
		1.8%
	Word of mouth	14
		11.0%
	None	4
		2.9%
	Nothing	1
		.7%
	DK/NA	3
		2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers					
	City Website					
	Cupertino Courier					
	Cupertino Scene					
	Email			a		a
	Facebook		a		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town	a				
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a	a	
	Internet (No specific site)					
	Local TV news channels	I J	I J			
	Mailers / Postcards		I	a		
	NextDoor					
	Non-English media	a	a	a		a
	Radio	a		a	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News					
	The C -- Cupertino news			a		
	Twitter	a		a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a	a	a
	Word of mouth					
	None	a	a	a	a	a
	Nothing					
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier				
	Cupertino Scene				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town	a	a		
	Interaction with City staff at events			a	
	Internet (No specific site)		A B		
	Local TV news channels			I	
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor				
	Non-English media		a	a	a
	Radio			a	
	San Jose Mercury News	a			
	The C -- Cupertino news		a	a	
	Twitter	a		a	a
	WeChat		a		
	Word of mouth				
	None	a	a	a	
	Nothing				
	DK/NA	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers	
	City Website	
	Cupertino Courier	
	Cupertino Scene	
	Email	
	Facebook	
	Flyers or posters around town	
	Interaction with City staff at events	a
	Internet (No specific site)	.
	Local TV news channels	
	Mailers / Postcards	
	NextDoor	
	Non-English media	a
	Radio	.
	San Jose Mercury News	
	The C -- Cupertino news	
	Twitter	
	WeChat	
	Word of mouth	
	None	
	Nothing	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Brochures or flyers	105	36	34	35
		18.0%	21.2%	22.3%	13.4%
	City Website	76	13	21	42
		13.0%	7.7%	13.5%	16.1%
	Cupertino Courier	158	53	14	90
		27.1%	31.9%	9.3%	34.4%
	Cupertino Scene	105	16	17	72
		18.1%	9.6%	11.1%	27.6%
	Email	36	4	2	31
		6.2%	2.2%	1.1%	11.7%
	Facebook	31	0	22	8
		5.3%	.0%	14.7%	3.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	7	7	13
		4.6%	4.0%	4.4%	5.1%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	7	2	2
		1.9%	4.0%	1.5%	.8%
	Internet (No specific site)	59	12	21	26
		10.1%	7.0%	13.9%	9.9%
	Local TV news channels	90	51	31	7
		15.4%	30.7%	20.3%	2.8%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	11	8	16
		5.9%	6.3%	5.1%	6.0%
	NextDoor	73	6	9	58
		12.6%	3.9%	5.9%	22.1%
	Non-English media	3	0	2	1
		.5%	.0%	1.0%	.4%
	Radio	9	1	5	2
		1.5%	.7%	3.3%	.9%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	5	6	23
		5.9%	3.1%	3.9%	8.9%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	6	5	6
		2.9%	3.5%	3.2%	2.3%
	Twitter	1	0	0	1
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	WeChat	7	0	0	7
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Word of mouth	67	10	23	34
		11.6%	5.7%	15.3%	13.1%
	None	8	1	3	4
		1.3%	.4%	1.9%	1.6%
	Nothing	7	4	0	3
		1.2%	2.5%	.0%	1.1%
	DK/NA	7	1	2	4
		1.2%	.3%	1.2%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers			A
	City Website			B
	Cupertino Courier	B		A B
	Cupertino Scene			A B
	Email			A B
	Facebook	a	C	
	Flyers or posters around town			
	Interaction with City staff at events			
	Internet (No specific site)			
	Local TV news channels	C	C	
	Mailers / Postcards			A B
	NextDoor			
	Non-English media	a		
	Radio			
	San Jose Mercury News			
	The C -- Cupertino news	a	a	
	Twitter	a	a	
	WeChat			
	Word of mouth		A	A
	None			
	Nothing		a	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Brochures or flyers	85	27	15	16	27
		18.3%	21.2%	16.5%	20.7%	16.1%
	City Website	61	11	15	15	20
		13.3%	8.6%	16.7%	19.9%	11.9%
	Cupertino Courier	139	14	25	27	72
		30.1%	11.5%	27.9%	34.7%	43.0%
	Cupertino Scene	90	14	15	15	45
		19.4%	11.5%	16.4%	19.4%	26.9%
	Email	25	10	5	2	8
		5.4%	7.8%	5.3%	2.8%	4.8%
	Facebook	17	7	5	3	2
		3.8%	5.9%	5.4%	3.4%	1.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	22	8	5	2	7
		4.7%	6.3%	5.3%	2.0%	4.3%
	Interaction with City staff at events	8	5	0	1	3
		1.8%	4.0%	.0%	.8%	1.5%
	Internet (No specific site)	48	27	11	2	7
		10.4%	21.4%	12.6%	3.2%	4.4%
	Local TV news channels	77	24	9	13	31
		16.7%	19.3%	9.6%	16.5%	18.6%
	Mailers / Postcards	20	4	3	5	7
		4.2%	3.3%	3.2%	6.8%	4.3%
	NextDoor	57	8	21	13	15
		12.4%	6.3%	23.8%	16.9%	8.8%
	Non-English media	3	2	0	1	0
		.6%	1.2%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
	Radio	9	3	2	3	1
		1.9%	2.0%	2.2%	4.4%	.5%
	San Jose Mercury News	29	3	6	4	16
		6.3%	2.7%	6.6%	4.7%	9.5%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	7	1	4	5
		3.6%	5.9%	.9%	4.9%	2.8%
	Twitter	1	0	1	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	6	2	2	0	1
		1.2%	1.8%	2.5%	.0%	.6%
	Word of mouth	41	13	8	8	12
		8.9%	10.3%	8.3%	10.9%	7.2%
	None	5	3	1	0	1
		1.0%	2.3%	1.0%	.0%	.7%
	Nothing	5	0	0	2	3
		1.1%	.0%	.3%	2.6%	1.6%
	DK/NA	4	2	1	0	1
		.9%	1.9%	.6%	.0%	.6%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers				
	City Website				
	Cupertino Courier		A	A	A
	Cupertino Scene				A
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	Interaction with City staff at events		a		
	Internet (No specific site)	C D			
	Local TV news channels				
	Mailers / Postcards				
	NextDoor		A D		
	Non-English media		a		a
	Radio				
	San Jose Mercury News			a	a
	The C -- Cupertino news	a		a	a
	Twitter	a		a	a
	WeChat				
	Word of mouth			a	
	None			a	
	Nothing	a			
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Brochures or flyers	104	25	25	5	7
		17.9%	20.0%	20.8%	13.0%	17.0%
	City Website	76	19	12	8	5
		13.1%	15.7%	10.4%	19.5%	11.8%
	Cupertino Courier	157	36	35	11	19
		27.1%	29.4%	29.5%	28.4%	47.0%
	Cupertino Scene	105	34	19	7	7
		18.2%	27.2%	15.8%	16.5%	16.8%
	Email	35	6	11	0	5
		6.1%	4.8%	9.0%	1.0%	11.6%
	Facebook	31	12	7	1	3
		5.3%	9.9%	6.2%	3.0%	6.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	27	4	2	5	0
		4.6%	3.5%	1.7%	12.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	11	2	0	0	1
		1.9%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Internet (No specific site)	58	7	18	5	6
		10.0%	5.3%	15.0%	13.7%	13.6%
	Local TV news channels	90	13	18	8	5
		15.5%	10.5%	14.7%	20.1%	11.1%
	Mailers / Postcards	34	9	7	3	1
		5.9%	7.4%	5.6%	7.0%	2.0%
	NextDoor	73	15	17	5	4
		12.7%	12.3%	14.0%	11.7%	8.7%
	Non-English media	3	0	3	0	0
		.5%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	9	2	1	2	0
		1.5%	1.3%	.5%	5.4%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	34	8	5	4	3
		6.0%	6.4%	4.0%	9.2%	6.6%
	The C -- Cupertino news	17	6	4	1	0
		2.9%	4.6%	3.7%	1.6%	.0%
	Twitter	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.9%
	WeChat	7	0	0	1	2
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	3.0%	5.1%
	Word of mouth	67	14	9	0	1
		11.6%	11.7%	7.6%	1.1%	3.5%
	None	8	2	3	0	0
		1.3%	1.4%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	6	1	1	0	0
		1.0%	1.2%	.8%	1.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	2	0	0	2
		1.2%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Brochures or flyers	29	12	0	1
		23.1%	10.6%	.0%	6.6%
	City Website	15	16	0	1
		11.6%	14.0%	4.1%	6.6%
	Cupertino Courier	20	31	2	2
		15.4%	27.2%	33.1%	26.7%
	Cupertino Scene	16	21	2	0
		12.7%	18.6%	34.9%	.0%
	Email	5	8	0	0
		4.2%	7.0%	2.2%	.0%
	Facebook	3	4	0	0
		2.2%	4.0%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	10	6	0	0
		7.6%	5.4%	.0%	.0%
	Interaction with City staff at events	8	1	0	0
		6.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	Internet (No specific site)	6	15	2	0
		4.7%	13.2%	22.7%	.0%
	Local TV news channels	31	12	2	1
		24.2%	10.8%	34.3%	15.8%
	Mailers / Postcards	4	10	0	0
		3.4%	9.2%	2.2%	.0%
	NextDoor	13	18	1	2
		10.0%	16.0%	13.3%	17.1%
	Non-English media	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Radio	4	0	0	0
		3.3%	.1%	.0%	.0%
	San Jose Mercury News	2	11	1	1
		1.9%	9.5%	13.7%	15.6%
	The C -- Cupertino news	3	2	1	0
		2.2%	1.8%	17.1%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	1	2	0	0
		1.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Word of mouth	21	19	0	2
		16.8%	16.9%	.0%	17.1%
	None	3	0	0	0
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Nothing	1	0	0	2
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	22.4%
	DK/NA	1	2	0	0
		.8%	1.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers					
	City Website					
	Cupertino Courier				E	
	Cupertino Scene					
	Email					
	Facebook					
	Flyers or posters around town				a	
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a		
	Internet (No specific site)					
	Local TV news channels					
	Mailers / Postcards					
	NextDoor				a	
	Non-English media	a		a	a	a
	Radio	.		.	a	.
	San Jose Mercury News					
	The C -- Cupertino news				a	
	Twitter	a		a		a
	WeChat
	Word of mouth					
	None			a	a	
	Nothing			.	a	.
	DK/NA		a	a	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
26. What information sources do you use to find out about City news, information and programming?	Brochures or flyers		a	
	City Website		.	
	Cupertino Courier			
	Cupertino Scene			a
	Email			a
	Facebook		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town		a	a
	Interaction with City staff at events		a	a
	Internet (No specific site)		.	a
	Local TV news channels			a
	Mailers / Postcards			.
	NextDoor			
	Non-English media	a	a	a
	Radio	.	a	a
	San Jose Mercury News			
	The C -- Cupertino news			a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	.	a	a
	Word of mouth		a	.
	None	a	a	a
	Nothing	a	a	A B E
	DK/NA	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	582
	Yes	357 61.3%	357 61.3%
	No	202 34.8%	202 34.8%
	DK/NA	23 4.0%	23 4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	.
	No	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	357 61.3%	178 63.4%	175 58.8%	3 100.0%
	No	202 34.8%	93 33.0%	110 36.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	23 4.0%	10 3.6%	13 4.4%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			a
	No			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	357	38	53	111	105	42	7
		61.3%	49.2%	52.8%	79.0%	68.9%	41.5%	75.1%
	No	202	32	48	23	41	57	2
		34.8%	41.5%	47.2%	16.1%	26.8%	56.0%	24.9%
	DK/NA	23	7	0	7	7	3	0
		4.0%	9.3%	.0%	4.9%	4.3%	2.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			A B E	E		
	No	C	C D			C D	
	DK/NA		a				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	357	271	85
		61.3%	63.9%	54.1%
	No	202	134	68
		34.8%	31.5%	43.5%
	DK/NA	23	19	4
		4.0%	4.5%	2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	357	161	51	9	135
		61.3%	66.2%	63.4%	56.2%	55.8%
	No	202	73	27	7	95
		34.8%	30.1%	33.8%	43.8%	39.2%
	DK/NA	23	9	2	0	12
		4.0%	3.6%	2.8%	.0%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	357	81	40	20	16	86	114
		61.3%	66.5%	60.8%	61.9%	54.7%	66.6%	55.7%
	No	202	36	23	12	11	39	81
		34.8%	29.8%	34.8%	38.1%	37.7%	30.5%	39.4%
	DK/NA	23	4	3	0	2	4	10
		4.0%	3.6%	4.4%	.0%	7.6%	2.9%	4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes						
	No			a			
	DK/NA			.			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	357	156	52	50	31	17
		61.3%	59.3%	66.6%	61.2%	66.4%	64.7%
	No	202	96	23	26	15	8
		34.8%	36.6%	29.5%	31.8%	31.1%	31.7%
	DK/NA	23	11	3	6	1	1
		4.0%	4.2%	3.8%	7.0%	2.5%	3.6%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	11	25	14
		46.3%	65.5%	59.4%
	No	12	12	10
		53.7%	31.2%	40.6%
	DK/NA	0	1	0
		.0%	3.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes						
	No						
	DK/NA						a
							.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		a
			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	357	4	144	35	19	7	10	32	57	25
		61.3%	68.3%	83.5%	84.3%	41.3%	43.7%	76.2%	75.3%	59.2%	33.8%
	No	202	2	17	7	27	9	3	8	37	45
		34.8%	31.7%	10.0%	15.7%	58.7%	56.3%	23.8%	18.5%	37.8%	61.4%
	DK/NA	23	0	11	0	0	0	0	3	3	4
		4.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	3.0%	4.8%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	18	1	3
		27.4%	53.7%	56.4%
	No	44	1	2
		68.1%	46.3%	43.6%
	DK/NA	3	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		DEHIJ	DIJ			J	IJ	J		
	No				BCG	B			B	BCG	BCGH
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA	a	a
		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	357	284	73
		61.3%	61.1%	61.8%
	No	202	162	40
		34.8%	35.0%	34.0%
	DK/NA	23	18	5
		4.0%	3.9%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		
	No		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	357	230	126
		61.3%	66.3%	53.8%
	No	202	104	99
		34.8%	29.9%	42.0%
	DK/NA	23	13	10
		4.0%	3.8%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	B	
	No		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	357	4	24	70	84	98
		61.3%	40.5%	48.9%	74.5%	63.8%	60.1%
	No	202	6	22	22	42	58
		34.8%	59.5%	44.9%	23.8%	31.8%	35.2%
	DK/NA	23	0	3	2	6	8
		4.0%	.0%	6.2%	1.7%	4.4%	4.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	133	1
	Yes	76	0
		57.2%	.0%
	No	52	1
		38.9%	100.0%
	DK/NA	5	0
		3.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			B		
	No					
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		a,,b
	No		a,,b
	DK/NA		a,,b
			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	356	17	133	101	74	22	7
		61.3%	37.2%	63.3%	72.1%	65.2%	38.7%	64.0%
	No	201	28	69	37	30	33	4
		34.7%	62.3%	32.7%	26.2%	26.0%	57.7%	36.0%
	DK/NA	23	0	8	2	10	2	0
		4.0%	.5%	4.0%	1.7%	8.7%	3.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		A E	A E	A E		
	No	B C D				B C D	
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	357	3	3	108	2	82
		61.3%	100.0%	100.0%	57.3%	100.0%	77.9%
	No	202	0	0	67	0	21
		34.8%	.0%	.0%	35.2%	.0%	19.4%
DK/NA		23	0	0	14	0	3
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	2.7%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	8	10	0	0	6
		52.6%	39.9%	.0%	.0%	60.6%
	No	7	13	7	14	4
		47.4%	53.2%	87.2%	100.0%	39.4%
DK/NA		0	2	1	0	0
		.0%	6.9%	12.8%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	98	13	7	3	12
		66.3%	56.6%	59.9%	54.8%	66.6%
	No	47	10	5	2	6
		31.6%	43.4%	40.1%	41.6%	33.4%
DK/NA		3	0	0	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	a	a		a	
	No	a	a		a	C G
	DK/NA	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
				a	a	
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No		E	E		
	DK/NA	a			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA		a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	190	76	81	21	7	5
		71.2%	72.8%	71.9%	70.1%	60.8%	60.8%
	No	70	26	27	9	4	3
		26.2%	25.1%	24.0%	29.9%	39.2%	39.2%
DK/NA		7	2	5	0	0	0
		2.5%	2.1%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA			.a	.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household				
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	381	108	224	34	4
	Yes	213	67	114	18	3
	No	152	37	100	13	2
	DK/NA	16	3	10	2	0
		4.2%	3.2%	4.6%	7.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					
	No					
	DK/NA				.a	.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Yes	357	298	23	48	4	1	32
	No	202	129	3	41	3	0	12
	DK/NA	23	21	0	4	0	0	0
		4.0%	4.7%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56
	Yes	9	29	10	1	2	0	47
	No	13	17	3	0	9	14	7
	DK/NA	0	2	0	0	1	0	1
		40.0%	60.0%	76.3%	100.0%	19.1%	.0%	84.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	L	C H L			.a,b	L	
	No			N		.a,b		N
	DK/NA		.b		.b	.a,b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
	No			.a,b		.b	C H L	
	DK/NA		.b	.a,b	B N	.b		.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Yes	357	20	26	15	20	22
	No	202	39	25	11	17	8
	DK/NA	23	2	1	3	0	2
		61.3%	32.7%	50.3%	50.8%	53.1%	68.9%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	20	22	107	85
		52.0%	65.7%	80.5%	67.0%
	No	16	11	22	33
		40.5%	33.8%	16.5%	26.5%
DK/NA	3	0	4	8	
	7.4%	.5%	2.9%	6.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes					A		
	No	E I J	I		I		I	
	DK/NA				a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes		A B C D F G	A
	No			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	357	81	62	214
		61.3%	48.1%	40.8%	81.6%
	No	202	84	84	34
		34.8%	50.2%	55.1%	13.0%
DK/NA	23	3	6	14	
	4.0%	1.7%	4.1%	5.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes			A B
	No	C	C	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	294	70	61	55	108
		63.5%	55.5%	67.3%	71.2%	64.0%
	No	152	52	26	21	53
		32.8%	41.1%	28.4%	26.9%	31.7%
DK/NA	17	4	4	1	7	
	3.6%	3.4%	4.2%	1.9%	4.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes				
	No				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	353	86	74	27	25	60	73
		61.1%	69.8%	62.3%	66.7%	60.3%	47.1%	64.9%
	No	202	36	38	12	15	57	38
		35.0%	29.1%	31.4%	30.5%	37.0%	44.7%	33.7%
	DK/NA	23	1	8	1	1	10	2
		4.0%	1.1%	6.3%	2.8%	2.8%	8.2%	1.3%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Total	7	9
	Yes	2	6
		35.3%	72.8%
	No	5	2
		64.7%	27.2%
DK/NA	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	E					
	No						
	DK/NA						a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
27. Have you or any members in your household ever visited the City of Cupertino website - cupertino.org?	Yes	
	No	
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	582
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	256
		44.0%	44.0%
	City Website	116	116
		20.0%	20.0%
	Email	197	197
		33.8%	33.8%
	Facebook	39	39
		6.7%	6.7%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	66
		11.4%	11.4%
	NextDoor	74	74
		12.7%	12.7%
	Text message	16	16
		2.7%	2.7%
	Twitter	7	7
		1.2%	1.2%
	WeChat	11	11
		1.8%	1.8%
	None	8	8
		1.3%	1.3%
	Other	10	10
		1.7%	1.7%
	DK/NA	24	24
		4.1%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	.
	City Website	.
	Email	.
	Facebook	.
	Flyers or posters around town	.
	NextDoor	.
	Text message	.
	Twitter	.
	WeChat	.
	None	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	121	133	2
		44.0%	43.0%	44.6%	66.3%
	City Website	116	63	53	1
		20.0%	22.3%	17.6%	34.4%
	Email	197	114	82	0
		33.8%	40.6%	27.7%	.0%
	Facebook	39	15	24	0
		6.7%	5.2%	8.2%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	21	44	1
		11.4%	7.5%	14.9%	31.9%
	NextDoor	74	36	37	1
		12.7%	12.8%	12.4%	33.7%
	Text message	16	7	8	0
		2.7%	2.6%	2.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			
	City Website			
	Email	B		a
	Facebook			a
	Flyers or posters around town		A	
	NextDoor			
	Text message			a
	Twitter			a
	WeChat			a
	None			a
	Other			a
	DK/NA			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	35	58	52	60	46	5
		44.0%	44.9%	57.0%	37.0%	39.5%	45.0%	62.6%
	City Website	116	11	21	38	29	16	1
		20.0%	14.2%	20.3%	27.3%	19.1%	15.9%	12.5%
	Email	197	24	24	60	70	18	2
		33.8%	31.3%	23.2%	42.2%	45.8%	17.7%	18.7%
	Facebook	39	17	6	10	4	2	0
		6.7%	22.3%	5.7%	7.4%	2.6%	1.8%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	7	7	14	14	25	0
		11.4%	8.9%	6.5%	10.0%	9.2%	24.4%	.0%
	NextDoor	74	5	12	27	23	6	1
		12.7%	6.7%	11.5%	19.2%	15.2%	5.7%	12.5%
	Text message	16	3	0	5	4	4	0
		2.7%	3.7%	.0%	3.7%	2.4%	3.7%	.0%
	Twitter	7	3	3	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	3.7%	3.2%	.3%	.2%	.0%	.0%
WeChat	11	0	0	2	7	1	0	
	1.8%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	4.7%	1.4%	.0%	
None	8	2	0	0	2	3	1	
	1.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	2.5%	12.5%	
Other	10	3	0	2	2	3	0	
	1.7%	3.7%	.0%	1.4%	1.2%	3.4%	.0%	
DK/NA	24	3	13	1	3	4	1	
	4.1%	3.6%	12.4%	.9%	1.7%	3.8%	12.5%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		C				
	City Website						
	Email			B E	B E		a
	Facebook	B C D E					a
	Flyers or posters around town					B C D	a
	NextDoor			E			a
	Text message		a				a
	Twitter						a
	WeChat	a	a				a
	None		a	a			a
	Other		a				a
	DK/NA		C D				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	424	158
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	179	77
		44.0%	42.1%	48.9%
	City Website	116	91	25
		20.0%	21.4%	16.1%
	Email	197	154	42
		33.8%	36.3%	26.9%
	Facebook	39	33	6
		6.7%	7.7%	4.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	41	25
		11.4%	9.7%	15.9%
	NextDoor	74	59	15
		12.7%	13.8%	9.8%
	Text message	16	10	5
		2.7%	2.5%	3.3%
	Twitter	7	6	0
		1.2%	1.5%	.3%
	WeChat	11	9	1
		1.8%	2.2%	.9%
	None	8	6	2
		1.3%	1.3%	1.4%
	Other	10	10	0
		1.7%	2.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	24	15	9
		4.1%	3.5%	5.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	B	A
	City Website		
	Email		
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	109	39	10	99
		44.0%	44.6%	47.7%	62.0%	40.9%
	City Website	116	45	21	3	48
		20.0%	18.4%	25.9%	18.4%	19.8%
	Email	197	79	26	5	87
		33.8%	32.4%	31.8%	31.1%	36.0%
	Facebook	39	26	4	0	10
		6.7%	10.5%	4.8%	.0%	4.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	20	12	2	33
		11.4%	8.0%	14.5%	15.7%	13.5%
	NextDoor	74	28	11	1	35
		12.7%	11.3%	13.9%	3.2%	14.3%
	Text message	16	6	1	0	8
		2.7%	2.4%	1.6%	1.8%	3.4%
	Twitter	7	4	0	0	3
		1.2%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	WeChat	11	1	2	0	8
		1.8%	.4%	2.6%	.0%	3.1%
	None	8	4	2	0	2
		1.3%	1.6%	2.1%	.0%	.9%
	Other	10	3	3	0	4
		1.7%	1.3%	3.3%	.0%	1.8%
	DK/NA	24	13	1	0	10
		4.1%	5.2%	1.0%	.0%	4.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	D		a	
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter				
	WeChat				
	None				
	Other				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256 44.0%	66 54.7%	24 36.3%	15 45.7%	19 65.4%	50 39.1%	82 39.9%
	City Website	116 20.0%	17 14.3%	12 18.6%	8 23.3%	9 31.0%	28 22.1%	42 20.4%
	Email	197 33.8%	44 36.2%	19 28.6%	10 31.2%	6 20.8%	47 36.9%	70 34.3%
	Facebook	39 6.7%	8 6.6%	11 16.9%	0 1.2%	0 .0%	16 12.3%	4 1.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	66 11.4%	6 4.9%	5 7.1%	3 8.9%	6 19.5%	15 11.6%	32 15.8%
	NextDoor	74 12.7%	13 11.0%	7 10.1%	4 12.8%	3 10.1%	19 14.6%	28 13.7%
	Text message	16 2.7%	3 2.2%	3 4.8%	1 3.9%	0 .0%	1 5%	8 3.8%
	Twitter	7 1.2%	0 .2%	3 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 2.5%	0 .0%
	WeChat	11 1.8%	1 .9%	0 .0%	1 3.2%	0 .0%	1 .8%	8 3.7%
	None	8 1.3%	1 .8%	3 4.3%	1 3.4%	1 2.3%	0 .1%	2 1.0%
	Other	10 1.7%	3 2.6%	0 .0%	2 6.0%	1 2.3%	3 2.3%	1 .7%
	DK/NA	24 4.1%	3 2.5%	4 6.4%	1 2.0%	0 .5%	6 4.3%	10 5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail						
	City Website						
	Email						
	Facebook		F		a	F	
	Flyers or posters around town						A
	NextDoor						
	Text message			a	a		a
	Twitter		a	a	a		
	WeChat						
	None		a				
	Other						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	113	38	39	16
		44.0%	42.9%	48.4%	47.9%	33.9%
	City Website	116	56	15	18	8
		20.0%	21.3%	19.7%	21.8%	17.1%
	Email	197	96	30	21	16
		33.8%	36.4%	38.4%	25.8%	34.3%
	Facebook	39	25	5	4	4
		6.7%	9.6%	6.6%	4.8%	8.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	28	5	11	7
		11.4%	10.6%	6.6%	13.0%	15.4%
	NextDoor	74	31	14	10	7
		12.7%	12.0%	18.4%	11.9%	14.0%
	Text message	16	9	3	1	1
		2.7%	3.5%	3.4%	1.3%	2.5%
	Twitter	7	0	3	0	3
		1.2%	.2%	3.9%	.0%	6.9%
	WeChat	11	5	0	3	1
		1.8%	2.0%	.0%	3.3%	2.2%
	None	8	4	0	1	1
		1.3%	1.5%	.0%	.7%	1.7%
	Other	10	1	3	0	0
		1.7%	.4%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	24	10	6	1	1
		4.1%	3.6%	8.0%	.8%	1.7%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	10	9	17	14
		37.4%	40.6%	43.4%	58.2%
	City Website	7	1	6	4
		27.5%	6.4%	16.3%	16.5%
	Email	10	7	11	5
		39.4%	31.4%	29.9%	18.8%
	Facebook	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	1.9%	.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	4	5	5	2
		15.0%	19.9%	13.1%	8.4%
	NextDoor	3	2	4	2
		10.8%	9.7%	10.9%	10.2%
	Text message	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	3.9%	.4%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	2	0	0
		.0%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	None	1	0	1	1
		2.4%	.0%	2.3%	4.5%
	Other	1	0	3	2
		3.4%	.5%	8.1%	8.3%
	DK/NA	2	1	2	1
		9.0%	4.8%	5.7%	4.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message				
	Twitter		A	a	A
	WeChat		a		
	None				
	Other			a	a
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook	a	a		
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor		a		
	Text message	a	a	a	a
	Twitter	a	a		a
	WeChat	a			
	None		a		
	Other			A	A
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	2	66	13	26	6	5	15
		44.0%	27.8%	38.3%	32.1%	56.8%	35.3%	36.6%	35.4%
	City Website	116	1	44	9	2	2	5	12
		20.0%	9.0%	25.5%	20.7%	4.6%	13.6%	33.5%	29.4%
	Email	197	0	95	24	17	5	5	14
		33.8%	.0%	55.0%	56.6%	35.9%	29.4%	35.3%	32.9%
	Facebook	39	0	15	5	2	0	0	2
		6.7%	.0%	8.8%	11.6%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	1	5	4	1	2	1	6
		11.4%	9.9%	3.0%	10.2%	1.3%	11.3%	7.8%	15.3%
	NextDoor	74	0	39	5	3	1	3	9
		12.7%	.0%	22.4%	12.3%	5.9%	6.3%	19.8%	21.6%
	Text message	16	0	5	0	2	0	0	1
		2.7%	.0%	3.0%	.2%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	1.6%
	Twitter	7	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	43.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	WeChat	11	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	None	8	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
		1.3%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.1%
	Other	10	3	2	0	0	1	2	1
		1.7%	43.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%	11.7%	1.5%
	DK/NA	24	1	6	0	3	3	0	1
		4.1%	9.9%	3.2%	.0%	7.4%	16.6%	.0%	1.5%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	48	36	36	2	0
		49.3%	48.4%	56.7%	100.0%	5.3%
	City Website	19	14	6	0	2
		19.5%	19.2%	10.0%	.0%	40.4%
	Email	10	15	8	1	4
		10.0%	20.8%	11.9%	46.3%	72.4%
	Facebook	6	2	6	0	0
		6.7%	2.8%	9.6%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	16	12	18	0	0
		16.8%	16.7%	27.6%	.0%	.0%
	NextDoor	5	7	2	0	1
		4.8%	9.9%	3.1%	.0%	11.8%
	Text message	2	2	4	0	0
		1.7%	2.8%	6.9%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	3	0	0	0	0
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	3	1	0
		.0%	.0%	4.7%	43.9%	.0%
	None	3	1	0	0	0
		2.6%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	1	2	0	0	0
		.9%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	4	3	3	0	1
		4.2%	4.0%	4.3%	.0%	22.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail								
	City Website								
	Email	a	H I J	H I J	H	a	a		
	Facebook	a				a	a		
	Flyers or posters around town								B
	NextDoor	a	H J						
	Text message	a				a	a		
	Twitter	B G H				a	a		
	WeChat	a				a	a	a	
	None	a				a	a		
	Other	B G H I							
	DK/NA						a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			a	
	City Website			a	
	Email				H J
	Facebook			a	a
	Flyers or posters around town			a	a
	NextDoor			a	
	Text message			a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a	a
	WeChat	a		B	a
	None		a	a	a
	Other		a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	464	118
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	195	61
		44.0%	42.0%	51.8%
	City Website	116	91	25
		20.0%	19.6%	21.5%
	Email	197	160	37
		33.8%	34.4%	31.4%
	Facebook	39	32	7
		6.7%	6.8%	6.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	55	11
		11.4%	11.9%	9.6%
	NextDoor	74	63	11
		12.7%	13.5%	9.7%
	Text message	16	13	2
		2.7%	2.9%	1.8%
	Twitter	7	6	0
		1.2%	1.4%	.3%
	WeChat	11	10	1
		1.8%	2.1%	.9%
	None	8	6	1
		1.3%	1.4%	1.2%
	Other	10	9	2
		1.7%	1.8%	1.3%
	DK/NA	24	18	6
		4.1%	3.9%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		
	City Website		
	Email		
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	347	235
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	149	107
		44.0%	42.9%	45.6%
	City Website	116	78	38
		20.0%	22.5%	16.2%
	Email	197	124	73
		33.8%	35.6%	31.1%
	Facebook	39	18	22
		6.7%	5.1%	9.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	27	39
		11.4%	7.9%	16.6%
	NextDoor	74	50	24
		12.7%	14.4%	10.2%
	Text message	16	11	5
		2.7%	3.0%	2.2%
	Twitter	7	3	3
		1.2%	1.0%	1.4%
	WeChat	11	8	3
		1.8%	2.3%	1.1%
	None	8	5	2
		1.3%	1.6%	1.0%
	Other	10	6	4
		1.7%	1.7%	1.9%
	DK/NA	24	16	8
		4.1%	4.6%	3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		
	City Website		
	Email		
	Facebook		
	Flyers or posters around town		
	NextDoor		
	Text message		
	Twitter		
	WeChat		
	None		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	6	20	33	52
		44.0%	58.8%	40.7%	35.1%	39.6%
	City Website	116	3	5	19	29
		20.0%	26.5%	10.2%	20.1%	21.8%
	Email	197	4	12	45	48
		33.8%	40.5%	24.8%	47.6%	36.9%
	Facebook	39	0	3	7	10
		6.7%	.0%	7.1%	7.2%	7.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	2	9	4	16
		11.4%	14.7%	18.9%	4.2%	12.5%
	NextDoor	74	0	6	18	14
		12.7%	.0%	12.3%	19.4%	10.9%
	Text message	16	0	0	2	5
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	3.9%
	Twitter	7	0	0	4	3
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	4.1%	2.2%
	WeChat	11	0	0	3	1
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	3.3%	.9%
	None	8	0	1	1	2
		1.3%	.0%	1.2%	.9%	1.7%
	Other	10	0	0	0	3
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	DK/NA	24	0	5	4	6
		4.1%	.0%	9.3%	4.2%	4.3%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	163	133	1
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	73	71	1
		44.7%	53.5%	100.0%
	City Website	40	21	0
		24.3%	16.0%	.0%
	Email	48	39	0
		29.6%	29.0%	.0%
	Facebook	11	8	0
		6.5%	6.1%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	15	20	0
		9.4%	14.8%	.0%
	NextDoor	19	16	0
		11.7%	12.3%	.0%
	Text message	7	2	0
		4.1%	1.3%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	5	1	0
		3.2%	.8%	.0%
	None	1	3	0
		.9%	2.0%	.0%
	Other	5	2	0
		3.1%	1.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	2	8	0
		1.3%	5.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook	.b			
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor	.b			
	Text message	.b	.b		
	Twitter	.b	.b		
	WeChat	.b			
	None	.b			
	Other	.b	.b	.b	
	DK/NA	.b	E		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			a,b
	City Website			a,b
	Email			a,b
	Facebook			a,b
	Flyers or posters around town			a,b
	NextDoor			a,b
	Text message			a,b
	Twitter	.b	.b	a,b
	WeChat			a,b
	None			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	22	99	44	46	37	8
		44.1%	49.9%	47.1%	31.0%	40.1%	63.2%	70.5%
	City Website	116	5	42	35	28	6	1
		20.1%	10.2%	20.1%	24.5%	24.4%	10.0%	10.4%
	Email	196	6	70	52	45	18	5
		33.9%	13.7%	33.2%	37.2%	39.9%	30.3%	43.0%
	Facebook	39	1	5	13	14	7	0
		6.7%	1.8%	2.2%	9.3%	12.1%	11.6%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	10	25	19	7	5	0
		11.3%	22.2%	11.7%	13.8%	5.7%	8.7%	.0%
	NextDoor	74	2	24	24	19	4	1
		12.8%	3.9%	11.4%	17.4%	16.5%	6.1%	11.9%
	Text message	16	1	8	6	2	0	0
		2.7%	1.6%	3.6%	4.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	7	0	3	0	3	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	2.5%	.7%	.0%
	WeChat	11	0	2	6	3	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	.8%	4.1%	2.9%	.0%	.0%
	None	7	1	3	0	3	0	0
		1.2%	1.9%	1.5%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	Other	10	2	2	3	3	0	0
		1.7%	4.3%	1.1%	2.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	23	4	12	2	2	0	3
		4.0%	8.5%	5.6%	1.6%	2.1%	.0%	25.3%

^{b, c}
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		C			C	
	City Website						
	Email			A	A		a
	Facebook			B	B	B	a
	Flyers or posters around town	D					a
	NextDoor						.
	Text message	a		a		a	a
	Twitter			.		.	a
	WeChat	a				a	a
	None	.		a		a	a
	Other					.	a
	DK/NA					a	C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	3	3	189
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	0	3	62
		44.0%	.0%	100.0%	32.7%
	City Website	116	1	0	30
		20.0%	50.0%	.0%	15.7%
	Email	197	1	0	77
		33.8%	50.0%	.0%	40.8%
	Facebook	39	0	0	13
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	7.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	0	0	34
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	17.9%
	NextDoor	74	0	0	20
		12.7%	.0%	.0%	10.6%
	Text message	16	0	0	10
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
	Twitter	7	0	0	3
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	1.7%
	WeChat	11	0	0	9
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	5.0%
	None	8	0	0	2
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Other	10	0	0	3
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	DK/NA	24	1	0	4
		4.1%	50.0%	.0%	2.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	2	106	15	25
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	1	33	8	14
		51.2%	31.6%	52.3%	55.4%
	City Website	1	33	0	9
		48.8%	31.2%	.0%	35.7%
	Email	2	41	7	7
		100.0%	39.0%	45.5%	26.6%
	Facebook	0	4	1	3
		.0%	4.2%	7.1%	11.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	14	1	0
		.0%	12.9%	9.4%	.0%
	NextDoor	0	18	4	3
		.0%	17.1%	25.5%	10.8%
	Text message	0	3	1	0
		.0%	2.7%	6.7%	.0%
	Twitter	0	3	0	0
		.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	3	0	0
		.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	4	0	0
		.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	8	14	10	148
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	7	13	1	79
		88.2%	92.9%	10.2%	53.5%
	City Website	0	0	3	30
		.0%	.0%	26.5%	20.4%
	Email	1	0	6	40
		11.8%	.0%	61.5%	26.7%
	Facebook	3	3	1	8
		40.9%	21.0%	12.0%	5.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	2	0	9
		.0%	11.7%	.0%	6.3%
	NextDoor	0	0	2	20
		.0%	.0%	22.2%	13.2%
	Text message	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	Twitter	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.4%
	WeChat	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	12.0%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%
	Other	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	DK/NA	0	1	0	8
		.0%	7.1%	.0%	5.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	23	12	6	17
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	17	8	4	6
		71.1%	73.0%	68.4%	31.8%
	City Website	2	1	0	7
		7.9%	7.5%	.0%	37.3%
	Email	7	3	2	1
		31.0%	28.5%	28.4%	8.5%
	Facebook	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	13.9%
	Flyers or posters around town	2	3	1	0
		9.1%	25.7%	23.2%	.0%
	NextDoor	2	1	1	4
		6.8%	8.8%	14.7%	21.9%
	Text message	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	Other	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	6.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	1	0	2
		12.6%	5.3%	.0%	14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	a	a		
	City Website	.	.		
	Email		a		a
	Facebook	a	a		a
	Flyers or posters around town	.	a	K	a
	NextDoor	a	a		a
	Text message	.	a		a
	Twitter	a	a		a
	WeChat	a	a		a
	None	a	a		a
	Other	.	a		a
	DK/NA	C E K	.		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		a		a
	City Website		.		.
	Email				
	Facebook				C E K
	Flyers or posters around town			a	a
	NextDoor			.	a
	Text message			a	a
	Twitter		a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a	a
	None	a	a	a	a
	Other	.	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	C E J O		C E	C E
	City Website	a			
	Email	a			
	Facebook	.			a
	Flyers or posters around town		a		.
	NextDoor	a			
	Text message	a	a		a
	Twitter	a	a		a
	WeChat	a		a	a
	None	a	a	.	a
	Other	a	a		a
	DK/NA	.	a		.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			
	City Website		a	
	Email		.	
	Facebook	a	a	
	Flyers or posters around town	.		a
	NextDoor			.
	Text message	a	a	a
	Twitter	a	a	a
	WeChat	a	a	a
	None	a	a	.
	Other	a	.	a
	DK/NA	.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	116	39	56	11	5	5
		43.5%	37.4%	49.8%	35.2%	43.9%	62.3%
	City Website	61	34	20	3	3	1
		22.7%	33.0%	17.8%	10.4%	24.2%	5.7%
	Email	102	36	50	11	4	1
		38.1%	35.0%	44.1%	34.9%	38.5%	10.0%
	Facebook	18	9	8	1	0	0
		6.9%	8.3%	7.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	22	4	12	3	1	2
		8.1%	3.7%	10.3%	10.6%	13.4%	18.7%
	NextDoor	43	14	18	8	2	1
		16.1%	13.0%	16.1%	26.9%	18.4%	11.5%
	Text message	7	3	2	2	0	0
		2.6%	3.0%	2.0%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	3	3	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	8	2	5	0	0	1
		3.0%	2.4%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
	None	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.1%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	3	3	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	4	3	0	0	0
		2.7%	3.8%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				.	.
	NextDoor					
	Text message				a	a
	Twitter		a	a	a	a
	WeChat		.	a	a	a
	None			a	a	a
	Other		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	167	45	103	14	2	2
		43.8%	41.4%	46.1%	42.9%	44.2%	22.3%
	City Website	72	20	42	6	1	2
		18.8%	18.9%	18.9%	17.8%	17.5%	19.4%
	Email	120	37	70	9	2	2
		31.6%	34.4%	31.3%	25.9%	47.9%	20.3%
	Facebook	21	3	17	1	0	0
		5.6%	2.9%	7.8%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	57	15	30	9	1	2
		15.0%	13.5%	13.6%	26.9%	32.5%	14.9%
	NextDoor	40	12	24	3	0	1
		10.5%	11.0%	10.7%	8.8%	.0%	10.6%
	Text message	12	5	3	2	0	2
		3.1%	4.8%	1.5%	5.0%	.0%	14.9%
	Twitter	7	1	6	0	0	0
		1.8%	.6%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	8	1	6	0	0	1
		2.2%	1.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
	None	8	2	6	0	0	0
		2.1%	1.5%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	10	4	5	2	0	0
		2.7%	3.7%	2.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	2	3	0	0	3
		2.3%	1.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	31.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook				a	a
	Flyers or posters around town				.	.
	NextDoor				a	
	Text message				a	B
	Twitter			a	a	a
	WeChat		a	a	a	a
	None		a	a	a	a
	Other		.	a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	201	11	41	3	1
		44.0%	44.8%	43.5%	44.1%	34.3%	75.7%
	City Website	116	95	9	11	3	1
		20.0%	21.1%	36.3%	11.6%	35.7%	93.5%
	Email	197	171	8	43	3	0
		33.8%	38.1%	31.6%	46.7%	38.9%	.0%
	Facebook	39	35	2	5	0	0
		6.7%	7.8%	8.8%	5.1%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	36	3	13	1	0
		11.4%	8.0%	12.1%	14.2%	13.2%	.0%
	NextDoor	74	64	6	7	2	0
		12.7%	14.2%	21.4%	7.2%	26.8%	24.3%
	Text message	16	11	0	4	0	0
		2.7%	2.4%	.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	7	7	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	11	4	0	6	0	0
		1.8%	1.0%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	None	8	8	0	2	0	0
		1.3%	1.7%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Other	10	10	1	0	0	0
		1.7%	2.3%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	24	22	0	3	0	0
		4.1%	4.9%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	44	7	22	48	13	1
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	15	5	12	18	9	1
		34.2%	71.3%	54.7%	37.3%	68.0%	100.0%
	City Website	9	0	9	4	3	0
		19.6%	3.5%	40.2%	9.3%	22.5%	25.0%
	Email	22	2	7	20	6	0
		49.4%	32.2%	30.0%	41.3%	48.6%	.0%
	Facebook	2	0	3	0	0	0
		5.3%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	8	0	0	14	2	0
		19.1%	.0%	.0%	30.3%	16.5%	.0%
	NextDoor	8	3	2	4	0	0
		18.2%	44.0%	7.2%	8.7%	.0%	.0%
	Text message	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.0%	15.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Twitter	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	3	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%	.0%
	None	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household			
		Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	12	14	56	7
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	9	13	26	3
		71.3%	92.9%	46.6%	49.6%
	City Website	1	0	14	3
		11.2%	.0%	24.7%	48.2%
	Email	2	0	24	1
		18.9%	.0%	43.7%	14.3%
	Facebook	3	3	2	0
		26.7%	21.0%	3.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	0	2	3	0
		.0%	11.7%	5.9%	.0%
	NextDoor	1	0	14	1
		9.8%	.0%	25.1%	20.5%
	Text message	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.7%	24.9%
	Twitter	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%
	WeChat	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	15.7%
	None	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	1	0
		.0%	7.1%	2.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					a	
	City Website					a	
	Email					a, b	
	Facebook				b	a, b	
	Flyers or posters around town					a, b	
	NextDoor					a	
	Text message		b	b	b	a, b	b
	Twitter		b		b	a, b	b
	WeChat		b	A	b	a, b	b
	None		b		b	a, b	b
	Other			b	b	a, b	b
	DK/NA		b		b	a, b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					a, b	
	City Website					a	
	Email					a, b	
	Facebook	.b		.b	.b	a, b	
	Flyers or posters around town	.b	.b	A N		a, b	.b
	NextDoor				.b	a, b	
	Text message		.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	Twitter	.b	.b		.b	a, b	.b
	WeChat	.b	.b	A	.b	a, b	.b
	None	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	Other	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b
	DK/NA	.b	.b	.b	.b	a, b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	A C F I		
	City Website	.b		
	Email	.b		
	Facebook			.b
	Flyers or posters around town			.b
	NextDoor	.b		
	Text message	.b		A N
	Twitter	.b		.b
	WeChat	.b	.b	A
	None	.b	.b	.b
	Other	.b		.b
	DK/NA			.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	21	32	19	15
		44.0%	35.0%	62.4%	65.3%	40.1%
	City Website	116	10	8	4	10
		20.0%	16.2%	14.8%	15.0%	27.8%
	Email	197	6	8	8	9
		33.8%	10.4%	14.8%	27.3%	24.4%
	Facebook	39	1	0	0	2
		6.7%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	5.6%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	18	14	1	7
		11.4%	30.2%	27.8%	4.2%	19.1%
	NextDoor	74	4	5	2	2
		12.7%	7.0%	9.2%	5.4%	5.0%
	Text message	16	1	0	3	1
		2.7%	2.5%	.4%	8.9%	1.7%
	Twitter	7	0	0	0	0
		1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	11	0	3	0	0
		1.8%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%
	None	8	1	1	1	0
		1.3%	1.8%	2.6%	4.7%	.0%
	Other	10	2	0	1	0
		1.7%	3.2%	.2%	2.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	24	7	0	0	1
		4.1%	11.1%	.2%	.5%	4.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	12	23	18	17	44
		36.7%	56.4%	48.2%	49.4%	33.5%
	City Website	9	4	2	3	39
		29.3%	10.7%	6.4%	9.9%	29.6%
	Email	9	18	12	12	71
		29.4%	42.8%	32.6%	34.8%	53.9%
	Facebook	1	4	5	1	12
		4.1%	10.3%	13.8%	3.6%	9.2%
	Flyers or posters around town	2	3	2	3	5
		6.2%	8.3%	4.2%	10.1%	3.8%
	NextDoor	3	7	4	8	22
		10.7%	17.6%	10.8%	22.9%	16.7%
	Text message	0	0	3	1	2
		1.2%	.2%	7.4%	3.1%	1.6%
	Twitter	3	0	3	0	1
		10.1%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	.5%
	WeChat	0	0	0	2	4
		.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7%	3.3%
	None	2	0	0	0	0
		7.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	1	2	3	0	0
		3.6%	3.8%	7.4%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	2	1	0	3
		.0%	4.9%	3.2%	.0%	2.1%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	126
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	54
		42.9%
	City Website	26
		20.2%
	Email	43
		34.1%
	Facebook	12
		9.3%
	Flyers or posters around town	10
		8.0%
	NextDoor	17
		13.4%
	Text message	4
		3.3%
	Twitter	0
		.0%
	WeChat	1
		.8%
	None	2
		1.3%
	Other	2
		1.5%
	DK/NA	10
		7.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		I			
	City Website					
	Email		a	a		
	Facebook		.	.		
	Flyers or posters around town	I J	I J			
	NextDoor					
	Text message	a	a	a	a	I
	Twitter	a	.	a	a	a
	WeChat	.		.	a	.
	None				a	
	Other				.	
	DK/NA					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email	A			A B
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message	a		a	
	Twitter	a	l a	a	
	WeChat	a	a	a	a
	None	a	a	a	a
	Other	.	.	a	.
	DK/NA			a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail	
	City Website	
	Email	A
	Facebook	
	Flyers or posters around town	
	NextDoor	
	Text message	
	Twitter	a
	WeChat	.
	None	
	Other	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	256	69	89	98
		44.0%	41.1%	58.0%	37.6%
	City Website	116	35	19	62
		20.0%	20.9%	12.7%	23.7%
	Email	197	15	30	151
		33.8%	9.2%	19.6%	57.8%
	Facebook	39	3	16	19
		6.7%	2.0%	10.7%	7.4%
	Flyers or posters around town	66	42	15	10
		11.4%	25.0%	9.7%	3.7%
	NextDoor	74	11	7	55
		12.7%	6.8%	4.6%	21.2%
	Text message	16	3	7	6
		2.7%	1.7%	4.4%	2.3%
	Twitter	7	3	3	1
		1.2%	1.7%	2.1%	.2%
	WeChat	11	3	0	8
		1.8%	1.8%	.0%	2.9%
	None	8	5	0	3
		1.3%	3.0%	.0%	1.1%
	Other	10	7	2	2
		1.7%	4.1%	1.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	24	6	8	10
		4.1%	3.6%	5.3%	3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail		A C	
	City Website		A	B
	Email		A	A B
	Facebook		A	A
	Flyers or posters around town	B C	C	
	NextDoor			A B
	Text message			
	Twitter		a	
	WeChat		a	
	None		a	
	Other	C		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	197	54	35	31	77
		42.6%	43.0%	38.9%	39.7%	45.6%
	City Website	103	35	22	18	28
		22.3%	27.9%	24.0%	23.0%	16.9%
	Email	155	43	32	23	58
		33.6%	34.1%	35.5%	29.3%	34.3%
	Facebook	30	11	5	5	9
		6.5%	8.6%	5.8%	6.9%	5.1%
	Flyers or posters around town	44	11	8	7	17
		9.4%	9.0%	9.3%	8.6%	10.2%
	NextDoor	63	13	13	18	18
		13.7%	10.6%	14.5%	23.6%	11.0%
	Text message	12	2	2	1	8
		2.7%	1.3%	1.9%	1.6%	4.6%
	Twitter	4	0	0	3	0
		.8%	.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%
	WeChat	10	2	4	1	2
		2.1%	1.8%	4.3%	1.3%	1.5%
	None	7	3	1	0	3
		1.5%	2.3%	.9%	.0%	2.0%
	Other	7	0	0	0	7
		1.6%	.0%	.0%	.3%	4.2%
	DK/NA	19	5	3	2	8
		4.1%	4.3%	3.8%	3.1%	4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail				
	City Website				
	Email				
	Facebook				
	Flyers or posters around town				
	NextDoor				
	Text message		a	A	a
	Twitter		.		.
	WeChat				
	None			a	
	Other	a	a		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	254	59	49	18	20
		43.8%	47.9%	40.8%	45.3%	50.1%
	City Website	115	19	26	11	10
		19.9%	15.2%	21.8%	27.4%	24.4%
	Email	197	38	41	8	17
		34.0%	30.6%	34.6%	21.1%	42.4%
	Facebook	39	16	9	3	1
		6.7%	13.2%	7.8%	6.8%	2.8%
	Flyers or posters around town	65	12	6	6	5
		11.3%	10.1%	5.1%	15.8%	13.2%
	NextDoor	73	16	12	7	4
		12.6%	12.8%	9.9%	17.8%	10.1%
	Text message	16	4	2	0	1
		2.7%	3.0%	1.7%	.0%	3.1%
	Twitter	7	0	3	0	0
		1.2%	.3%	2.9%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	11	0	1	0	2
		1.8%	.0%	.9%	.0%	5.1%
	None	8	1	3	2	0
		1.4%	.6%	2.7%	4.3%	.0%
	Other	10	2	1	3	0
		1.7%	1.9%	.7%	6.4%	.2%
	DK/NA	24	7	6	1	0
		4.1%	5.6%	4.8%	2.0%	.0%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Total	128	112	7	9
	Brochures or flyers in the mail	50	47	5	4
		39.4%	42.3%	78.0%	49.4%
	City Website	23	24	0	3
		17.9%	21.3%	.0%	33.0%
	Email	35	52	1	4
		27.5%	46.3%	20.0%	39.8%
	Facebook	5	4	0	0
		4.3%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	Flyers or posters around town	25	8	1	1
		19.2%	7.3%	15.7%	15.8%
	NextDoor	14	20	0	0
		10.9%	17.6%	2.2%	4.0%
	Text message	4	4	0	0
		3.4%	3.5%	4.1%	.0%
	Twitter	3	0	0	0
		2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	WeChat	5	2	0	0
		4.3%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	None	1	1	0	0
		.5%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
	Other	3	1	0	0
		2.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	5	5	0	0
		4.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail					
	City Website					
	Email					
	Facebook					
	Flyers or posters around town					B
	NextDoor					
	Text message			a		
	Twitter			a	a	
	WeChat	a		a		
	None	.		.	a	
	Other				.	
	DK/NA				a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What is your preferred way of being informed about City projects, meetings, events, and updates?	Brochures or flyers in the mail			
	City Website		a	
	Email		.	
	Facebook		a	a
	Flyers or posters around town		.	.
	NextDoor			a
	Text message		a	a
	Twitter	a	.	.
	WeChat	.	a	a
	None		a	a
	Other		a	a
	DK/NA		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
A. Gender	Total	582	582
	Male	281	281
		48.3%	48.3%
	Female	298	298
		51.1%	51.1%
	Other	3	3
		.5%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
A. Gender	Male	.
	Female	.
	Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
A. Gender	Total	582	281	298	3
	Male	281	281	0	0
		48.3%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Female	298	0	298	0
		51.1%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Other	3	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Gender	Male	a	a	a
	Female	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a
		.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
A. Gender	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Male	281	42	54	70	68	45	2
	Female	298	35	47	69	83	57	7
		51.1%	45.3%	46.6%	49.2%	54.3%	55.7%	76.4%
	Other	3	0	0	1	2	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Gender	Male						
	Female	a	a			a	a
	Other
	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
A. Gender	Total	582	424	158
	Male	281	209	72
	Female	298	214	83
		51.1%	50.5%	52.8%
	Other	3	1	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
A. Gender	Male		
	Female		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
A. Gender	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Male	281	119	41	9	112
	Female	298	123	40	7	128
		51.1%	50.6%	49.4%	44.2%	52.7%
	Other	3	1	0	0	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat (A)	Republican (B)	Other (C)	DTS (D)
A. Gender	Male				
	Female		a	a	
	Other				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
A. Gender	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Male	281	60	32	15	13	67	94
	Female	298	60	34	17	15	61	109
		51.1%	49.9%	51.4%	54.0%	52.9%	47.7%	53.2%
	Other	3	1	0	0	0	0	2

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
A. Gender	Male						
	Female		a	a	a	a	
	Other						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
A. Gender	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	Male	281	149	34	31	23	13	9
	Female	298	113	43	50	25	14	14
		51.1%	43.1%	54.8%	60.8%	52.2%	51.6%	59.9%
	Other	3	1	1	1	0	0	0

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
A. Gender	Total	38	24
	Male	14	8
	Female	38.1%	33.7%
		24	16
	Other	0	0

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date						
		2013 to 2017 (A)	2009 to 2012 (B)	2005 to 2008 (C)	2001 to 2004 (D)	1997 to 2000 (E)	1993 to 1996 (F)	1981 to 1992 (G)
A. Gender	Male							
	Female				a	a	a	a
	Other			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date
		1980 or before (H)
A. Gender	Male	
	Female	
	Other	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date											
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
A. Gender	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64	2
	Male	281	2	88	22	30	11	7	24	40	35	17	1
	Female	298	5	81	20	16	6	7	18	57	38	47	1
		51.1%	75.2%	47.1%	47.3%	34.6%	35.2%	51.7%	43.2%	58.5%	51.8%	73.5%	46.3%
	Other	3	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

		Date
		Apr. 15
A. Gender	Total	5
	Male	4
	Female	72.4%
		2
	Other	27.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date											
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)	Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
A. Gender	Male		J		J						B D a		
	Female	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a		a	a
	Other												

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
A. Gender	Total	582	464	118
	Male	281	221	61
		48.3%	47.5%	51.5%
	Female	298	240	57
		51.1%	51.8%	48.5%
	Other	3	3	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Gender	Male		
	Female		a
	Other		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
A. Gender	Total	582	347	235
	Male	281	161	120
		48.3%	46.4%	51.2%
	Female	298	183	115
		51.1%	52.7%	48.8%
	Other	3	3	0
		.5%	.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
A. Gender	Male		
	Female		a
	Other		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
A. Gender	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	Male	281	6	21	58	62	74	60
		48.3%	55.5%	42.1%	61.8%	47.1%	45.6%	44.9%
	Female	298	5	28	35	70	89	71
		51.1%	44.5%	57.9%	37.1%	52.9%	54.4%	53.6%
	Other	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
A. Gender	Total	1
	Male	1
		100.0%
	Female	0
		.0%
	Other	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c, d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
A. Gender	Male							a, b
	Female							a, b
	Other	.b	.b		.b	.b		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
A. Gender	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Male	281	16	104	59	65	31	6
		48.4%	36.1%	49.2%	41.8%	57.0%	53.7%	50.3%
	Female	296	29	107	81	48	27	5
		51.1%	63.9%	50.8%	57.5%	42.1%	46.3%	40.7%
A. Gender	Other	3	0	0	1	1	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.9%	.0%	9.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
A. Gender	Male						
	Female						
	Other	a	a			a	C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
A. Gender	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15
	Male	281	0	3	104	1	54	4
		48.3%	.0%	100.0%	54.7%	48.8%	51.4%	23.4%
	Female	298	3	0	86	1	51	12
		51.1%	100.0%	.0%	45.3%	51.2%	48.6%	76.6%
A. Gender	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
A. Gender	Total	25	8	14	10	148	23
	Male	13	4	2	5	69	8
		50.8%	47.2%	11.3%	48.1%	46.6%	32.6%
	Female	12	4	12	5	79	16
		49.2%	52.8%	88.7%	51.9%	53.4%	67.4%
A. Gender	Other	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	12	6	17
	Male	3	3	10
		29.0%	52.3%	55.8%
	Female	7	2	7
		62.2%	31.1%	38.2%
A. Gender	Other	1	1	1
		8.8%	16.6%	6.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Ethnic Group					
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
A. Gender	Male	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
		a	a				
		a	a		a	a	a
A. Gender	Female	a	a				
		a	a				
		a	a				
A. Gender	Other						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
A. Gender	Male	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
		a	a	a	a	a	a
A. Gender	Female						
		a	a	a	a	a	a
A. Gender	Other						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Male	(M)	(N)	(O)
A. Gender	Female			
A. Gender	Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Male	126	50	54	12	7	4
	Female	138	54	57	19	4	4
	Other	3	0	2	0	0	1
		1.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	11.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Gender	Male					
	Female					
	Other					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Male	175	37	117	12	1	7
	Female	204	70	106	21	3	3
	Other	2	0	1	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.4%	.0%	.0%	9.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
A. Gender	Male					
	Female					
	Other					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
A. Gender	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	Male	281	226	18	50	3	1	25	2	13
	Female	298	221	8	43	3	0	19	5	9
	Other	3	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Male	5	0	5	2	31	4
	Female	7	1	7	12	24	1
	Other	1	0	0	0	1	2
		7.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household									
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
A. Gender	Male		M			a,b					
	Female					a,b					
	Other		b	b	A	a,b	b	b	b	b	A

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household				
		Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
A. Gender	Male	a				
	Female	a		B O		
	Other	a,b	b	b		A N

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
A. Gender	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	Male	281	22	23	12	14	16	16	16
		48.3%	37.2%	43.6%	41.7%	39.1%	50.0%	39.9%	40.6%
	Female	298	38	29	17	22	16	25	23
		51.1%	62.8%	56.4%	58.3%	60.9%	50.0%	60.1%	59.4%
	Other	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
A. Gender	Total	34	132	126
	Male	18	84	60
		52.7%	63.7%	47.3%
	Female	16	46	66
		47.3%	34.8%	51.9%
Other		0	2	1
		.0%	1.5%	.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income							
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Gender	Male								
	Female								
	Other								

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income	
		\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
A. Gender	Male	A	
	Female		
	Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
A. Gender	Total	582	167	153	262
	Male	281	71	66	144
		48.3%	42.4%	43.4%	55.0%
	Female	298	96	86	115
		51.1%	57.6%	56.6%	43.9%
Other		3	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
A. Gender	Male			A
	Female			
	Other			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
A. Gender	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Male	214	63	44	35	72
		46.3%	50.2%	48.6%	44.9%	42.9%
	Female	245	63	45	43	94
		53.0%	49.8%	50.2%	55.1%	56.0%
Other		3	0	1	0	2
		.7%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
A. Gender	Male				
	Female	a		a	
	Other	.		.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
A. Gender	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7	9
	Male	281	0	119	0	41	0	112	0	9
		48.6%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Female	298	123	0	40	0	128	0	7	0
		51.4%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
A. Gender	Male	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Female
	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	582
	Less than 1 year	11	11
		1.9%	1.9%
	1 to 3 years	49	49
		8.4%	8.4%
	4 to 9 years	94	94
		16.2%	16.2%
	10 to 15 years	131	131
		22.6%	22.6%
	16 to 25 years	163	163
		28.1%	28.1%
	26 years or move	133	133
		22.8%	22.8%
	DK/NA	1	1
		.1%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total (A)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	.
	1 to 3 years	.
	4 to 9 years	.
	10 to 15 years	.
	16 to 25 years	.
	26 years or move	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Less than 1 year	11	6	5	0
		1.9%	2.1%	1.6%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	21	28	0
		8.4%	7.4%	9.6%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	58	35	1
		16.2%	20.7%	11.7%	34.4%
	10 to 15 years	131	62	70	0
		22.6%	22.0%	23.4%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	74	89	0
		28.1%	26.5%	29.9%	.0%
	26 years or move	133	60	71	2
		22.8%	21.2%	23.9%	65.6%
	DK/NA	1	1	0	0
		.1%	.2%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a
	1 to 3 years			a
	4 to 9 years	B		a
	10 to 15 years			a
	16 to 25 years			a
	26 years or move			a
	DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Less than 1 year	11	2	6	1	2	0	0
		1.9%	2.6%	5.6%	.8%	1.2%	.1%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	7	16	15	4	7	0
		8.4%	8.7%	15.7%	10.9%	2.7%	7.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	5	33	39	15	1	0
		16.2%	6.3%	33.0%	28.0%	9.8%	1.4%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	131	30	15	44	32	10	0
		22.6%	39.5%	14.8%	31.5%	20.8%	10.0%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	22	16	29	71	25	0
		28.1%	29.3%	16.1%	20.2%	46.6%	24.6%	.0%
	26 years or move	133	10	15	12	29	57	9
		22.8%	13.7%	14.8%	8.5%	19.0%	56.4%	100.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year						a
	1 to 3 years		D	D			a
	4 to 9 years		A D E	A D E			a
	10 to 15 years	B D E		B E			a
	16 to 25 years				B C E		a
	26 years or move					A B C D	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	424	158
	Less than 1 year	11	0	11
		1.9%	.0%	6.8%
	1 to 3 years	49	15	34
		8.4%	3.6%	21.6%
	4 to 9 years	94	57	37
		16.2%	13.4%	23.6%
	10 to 15 years	131	111	20
		22.6%	26.2%	13.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	131	33
		28.1%	30.8%	20.7%
	26 years or move	133	111	22
		22.8%	26.1%	14.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	1
		.1%	.0%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		A
	1 to 3 years		A
	4 to 9 years		A
	10 to 15 years	B	
	16 to 25 years	B	
	26 years or move	B	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	7 3.0%	0 .4%	0 .0%	3 1.3%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	24 10.0%	4 5.3%	1 7.3%	19 8.0%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	41 16.7%	8 9.7%	2 11.9%	44 18.0%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	48 19.5%	12 15.4%	4 22.6%	68 28.1%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	72 29.6%	13 16.4%	5 30.7%	73 30.2%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	51 21.1%	42 52.2%	4 27.5%	35 14.3%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a	
	1 to 3 years			.	
	4 to 9 years				
	10 to 15 years				
	16 to 25 years				
	26 years or move		A D		
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	6 4.7%	2 2.5%	0 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 1.5%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	15 12.3%	3 4.4%	2 5.3%	2 8.0%	8 6.2%	19 9.4%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	24 19.9%	12 18.1%	6 17.2%	0 .0%	8 6.2%	44 21.6%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	20 16.1%	15 22.2%	8 24.1%	2 8.0%	35 27.5%	52 25.2%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	37 30.5%	21 32.0%	2 6.8%	5 19.3%	40 30.8%	58 28.2%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	20 16.5%	14 20.6%	14 43.9%	18 64.7%	38 29.4%	29 14.0%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year				a	a	
	1 to 3 years						
	4 to 9 years	E			a		E
	10 to 15 years						
	16 to 25 years						
	26 years or move			A F	A B ...	F	a
	DK/NA	a	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Less than 1 year	11	11	0	0	0
		1.9%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	42	4	3	0
		8.4%	15.8%	5.0%	4.0%	.7%
	4 to 9 years	94	48	24	10	11
		16.2%	18.2%	31.0%	12.4%	22.8%
	10 to 15 years	131	63	26	21	18
		22.6%	23.9%	32.5%	25.5%	37.7%
	16 to 25 years	163	70	17	33	11
		28.1%	26.5%	21.5%	41.1%	23.6%
	26 years or move	133	30	7	14	7
		22.8%	11.5%	9.3%	17.1%	15.2%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	27	23	38	24
	Less than 1 year	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	1	0	0	0
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	1	0	3	0
		4.6%	1.0%	7.9%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	14	10	7	1
		53.6%	41.9%	19.0%	5.1%
	26 years or move	10	13	28	23
		37.9%	57.1%	73.2%	94.9%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		a	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	C D				
	4 to 9 years		C E			
	10 to 15 years		F		E F G	
	16 to 25 years			H		B H
	26 years or move					A B
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years			
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or move	A B C D	A B C D	A B C D E
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Less than 1 year	11	0	4	3	2	0	0	0	2
		1.9%	.0%	2.4%	7.0%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	.2%	1.6%
	1 to 3 years	49	0	9	4	4	0	3	3	9
		8.4%	.0%	5.0%	9.6%	9.3%	.0%	19.5%	7.5%	9.7%
	4 to 9 years	94	0	41	11	5	3	3	8	12
		16.2%	.0%	23.9%	25.6%	11.6%	16.6%	19.2%	17.9%	12.7%
	10 to 15 years	131	4	38	14	8	3	4	5	17
		22.6%	55.5%	22.1%	33.4%	17.3%	19.0%	27.7%	11.4%	17.9%
	16 to 25 years	163	0	50	6	18	1	4	8	27
		28.1%	.0%	29.0%	15.1%	38.1%	8.6%	26.0%	18.5%	28.4%
	26 years or move	133	3	30	4	9	9	1	19	28
		22.8%	44.5%	17.6%	9.3%	19.5%	55.8%	7.6%	44.4%	29.1%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
1%		0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	0%	6%	

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	73	64	2	5
	Less than 1 year	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	9 12.1%	8 12.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	4 to 9 years	8 11.2%	0 .6%	1 53.7%	2 28.8%
	10 to 15 years	21 29.3%	17 26.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	16 to 25 years	20 27.7%	25 38.9%	1 46.3%	3 49.9%
	26 years or move	14 19.7%	14 21.1%	0 .0%	1 21.3%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)	Apr. 12 (I)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a				a	a			a
	1 to 3 years	a				a				a
	4 to 9 years	a	J	J						
	10 to 15 years									
	16 to 25 years	a								
	26 years or move	a	a	a	a	B C a	a	B C a		a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13 (J)	Apr. 14 (K)	Apr. 15 (L)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years		a	a
	4 to 9 years		J	J
	10 to 15 years		a	a
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or move	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	464	118
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	8 1.7%	3 2.3%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	38 8.3%	11 9.2%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	79 17.0%	15 13.0%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	111 23.8%	21 17.7%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	120 25.8%	43 36.8%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	108 23.4%	24 20.5%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		
	1 to 3 years		
	4 to 9 years		
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years		A
	26 years or move		
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	347	235
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	0 .0%	11 4.6%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.4%	16 4.7%	33 13.9%
	4 to 9 years	94 16.2%	56 16.1%	38 16.3%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.6%	79 22.7%	53 22.4%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.1%	95 27.4%	68 29.1%
	26 years or move	133 22.8%	101 29.1%	32 13.5%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		A
	1 to 3 years		A
	4 to 9 years		
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years		
	26 years or more	B	
	DK/NA	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Less than 1 year	11	11	0	0	0
		1.9%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	0	49	0	0
		8.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	0	0	94	0
		16.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	131	0	0	0	131
		22.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	0	0	0	0
		28.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	26 years or more	133	0	0	0	0
		22.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	163	133	1
	Less than 1 year	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%
	26 years or more	0	133	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a	a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	a	a	a	a	a
	16 to 25 years	a	a	a	a	a
	26 years or more	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a,b
	1 to 3 years	a	a,b
	4 to 9 years	a	a,b
	10 to 15 years	a	a,b
	16 to 25 years	a	a,b
	26 years or more	a	a,b
	DK/NA	a	a,b
		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Less than 1 year	11	0	9	0	2	0	0
		1.9%	.6%	4.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	5	26	12	4	1	1
		8.4%	10.1%	12.5%	8.3%	3.9%	1.6%	5.7%
	4 to 9 years	94	3	46	21	15	8	1
		16.2%	7.0%	21.8%	15.2%	12.9%	13.5%	9.0%
	10 to 15 years	131	6	50	29	37	8	2
		22.6%	14.0%	23.6%	20.3%	32.3%	13.4%	14.9%
	16 to 25 years	163	7	28	59	40	25	4
		28.2%	15.9%	13.3%	41.9%	35.2%	42.5%	38.7%
	26 years or move	132	24	52	20	16	17	3
		22.7%	52.4%	24.8%	14.3%	14.0%	29.1%	26.7%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a		a	a
	1 to 3 years			.		.	.
	4 to 9 years						
	10 to 15 years						
	16 to 25 years			A B	B	B	
	26 years or move	B C D					
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Less than 1 year	11	0	0	0	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	0	0	11	1
		8.4%	.0%	.0%	5.6%	51.2%
	4 to 9 years	94	1	0	30	1
		16.2%	50.0%	.0%	15.7%	48.8%
	10 to 15 years	131	1	3	52	0
		22.6%	50.0%	100.0%	27.6%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	0	0	68	0
		28.1%	.0%	.0%	35.8%	.0%
	26 years or move	133	0	0	29	0
		22.8%	.0%	.0%	15.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Less than 1 year	3	0	3	0	0
		2.6%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	11	0	4	1	0
		10.8%	.0%	15.8%	17.2%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	24	0	4	0	0
		22.9%	.0%	15.5%	.0%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	25	8	2	3	0
		23.6%	50.0%	6.9%	40.9%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	38	4	8	0	9
		35.7%	24.1%	31.3%	.0%	66.4%
	26 years or move	5	4	5	3	5
		4.4%	25.9%	19.5%	41.9%	33.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Less than 1 year	0	2	3	0	0
	1 to 3 years	.0%	1.6%	12.6%	.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	0	15	4	0	0
	10 to 15 years	.0%	10.3%	15.6%	.0%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	5	20	3	0	3
	26 years or move	51.3%	13.7%	13.2%	.0%	58.4%
	DK/NA	3	21	4	4	0
	DK/NA	26.5%	14.1%	16.8%	30.5%	7.1%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	17
	Less than 1 year	0
	1 to 3 years	1
	4 to 9 years	1
	10 to 15 years	6
	16 to 25 years	5
	26 years or move	3
	DK/NA	0
	DK/NA	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	a	a	K	a
	16 to 25 years	a	a	a	a
	26 years or move	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
	1 to 3 years	a	a	a	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	K	K	a	a	K L
	16 to 25 years	a	a	a	E	E
	26 years or move	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
	1 to 3 years	a	a	K	a	a
	4 to 9 years	a	a	a	a	a
	10 to 15 years	a	C E	E	E	a
	16 to 25 years	a	a	a	a	a
	26 years or move	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	(O)
	1 to 3 years	a
	4 to 9 years	a
	10 to 15 years	a
	16 to 25 years	a
	26 years or move	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Less than 1 year	1 .4%	0 .0%	1 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	36 13.3%	11 10.3%	17 14.9%	7 24.1%	0 .0%	1 7.3%
	4 to 9 years	68 25.4%	20 19.0%	36 31.8%	6 20.5%	3 28.9%	3 30.5%
	10 to 15 years	67 25.1%	38 36.7%	21 18.3%	5 15.9%	3 26.6%	1 5.7%
	16 to 25 years	66 24.8%	24 23.4%	29 26.2%	10 31.7%	1 13.4%	1 13.2%
	26 years or move	29 10.8%	11 10.5%	9 7.7%	2 7.9%	3 31.1%	3 36.8%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a		a	a	a
	1 to 3 years	.		.	a	.
	4 to 9 years					
	10 to 15 years	B				
	16 to 25 years					
	26 years or move					B
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Less than 1 year	2 .5%	0 .3%	2 .8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	11 2.9%	4 3.3%	5 2.2%	1 4.3%	0 .0%	1 11.0%
	4 to 9 years	30 7.8%	13 11.9%	13 6.0%	0 .0%	1 23.3%	3 24.3%
	10 to 15 years	85 22.2%	36 33.2%	43 19.3%	5 15.3%	0 .0%	1 4.5%
	16 to 25 years	130 34.1%	31 28.5%	75 33.6%	22 65.6%	0 .0%	2 18.3%
	26 years or move	123 32.2%	25 22.8%	85 38.0%	5 14.8%	3 76.7%	5 41.9%
	DK/NA	1 .2%	0 .0%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year			a	a	a
	1 to 3 years			.	a	.
	4 to 9 years			a	.	
	10 to 15 years	B		.	a	
	16 to 25 years			A B E	a	
	26 years or move				C	
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Less than 1 year	11	8	0	0	0	0	2
		1.9%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%
	1 to 3 years	49	37	0	3	2	0	7
		8.4%	8.2%	.0%	3.6%	26.8%	.0%	15.8%
	4 to 9 years	94	79	3	11	1	0	6
		16.2%	17.6%	13.3%	12.2%	19.9%	.0%	14.1%
	10 to 15 years	131	103	11	24	1	0	12
		22.6%	23.1%	42.4%	25.3%	15.8%	.0%	27.9%
	16 to 25 years	163	110	7	35	2	1	16
		28.1%	24.6%	27.7%	37.8%	23.0%	69.2%	35.9%
	26 years or move	133	111	4	20	1	0	1
		22.8%	24.7%	16.7%	21.0%	14.5%	30.8%	2.7%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Less than 1 year	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	0	3	1	0	0	2	0
		.0%	12.8%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	13.1%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	0	4	8	3	0	1	0
		.0%	17.4%	15.7%	19.8%	.0%	9.3%	.0%
	10 to 15 years	4	0	12	1	0	5	0
		58.2%	.0%	24.6%	6.3%	.0%	36.5%	.0%
	16 to 25 years	1	8	16	2	0	0	9
		8.4%	35.3%	33.3%	15.2%	25.0%	.0%	66.4%
	26 years or move	2	5	11	8	1	5	5
		33.5%	21.9%	23.3%	58.7%	75.0%	41.1%	33.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
.0%		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	56	7
	Less than 1 year	1	0
		2.1%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	19	2
		33.9%	31.4%
	10 to 15 years	13	1
		24.1%	10.2%
	16 to 25 years	16	2
		28.7%	31.6%
	26 years or move	6	1
		11.2%	17.8%
	DK/NA	0	1
		.0%	8.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		a	a	a	a,b		a
	1 to 3 years		a			a,b		a
	4 to 9 years					a,b		a
	10 to 15 years					a,b		
	16 to 25 years					b		
	26 years or more	F		a	a	a,b	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	A	a	a	a, b	a	a	
	1 to 3 years			a	a, b		a	a
	4 to 9 years				a, b		a	
	10 to 15 years	a			a, b		a	
	16 to 25 years				b	a	A	
	26 years or more			F N	b			
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a, b	F a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household
		DK/NA
		(O)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a
	1 to 3 years	a
	4 to 9 years	
	10 to 15 years	
	16 to 25 years	
	26 years or move	
	DK/NA	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Less than 1 year	11	2	0	0	3	0
		1.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	49	7	5	1	5	0
		8.4%	12.3%	10.6%	4.2%	14.2%	.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	5	5	5	1	8
		16.2%	8.1%	8.8%	16.3%	1.7%	24.2%
	10 to 15 years	131	13	11	6	10	4
		22.6%	21.1%	20.4%	20.3%	27.3%	11.2%
	16 to 25 years	163	13	16	11	9	10
		28.1%	20.8%	31.3%	38.5%	24.3%	32.3%
	26 years or move	133	21	15	6	9	10
		22.8%	34.5%	28.9%	20.8%	24.9%	32.2%
	DK/NA	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Less than 1 year	4	0	2	0	0
		9.9%	.0%	5.6%	.1%	.0%
	1 to 3 years	2	6	2	7	13
		4.9%	14.5%	5.6%	5.6%	10.2%
	4 to 9 years	6	6	4	39	16
		15.7%	14.8%	12.8%	29.5%	12.7%
	10 to 15 years	5	8	7	36	33
		11.7%	20.2%	19.8%	27.2%	26.4%
	16 to 25 years	15	12	16	35	27
		35.9%	31.7%	46.9%	26.2%	21.1%
	26 years or move	9	7	3	15	37
		21.9%	18.7%	9.3%	11.5%	29.1%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year		a	a	I	a	I
	1 to 3 years		.	.	.	a	.
	4 to 9 years	
	10 to 15 years	
	16 to 25 years	I	a	a	a	a	a
	26 years or move	a
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	.	.	a
	1 to 3 years
	4 to 9 years	.	.	A D J	.
	10 to 15 years
	16 to 25 years
	26 years or move	a	a	a	I
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Less than 1 year	11	0	6	4
		1.9%	.0%	4.2%	1.6%
	1 to 3 years	49	7	21	21
		8.4%	4.4%	13.6%	8.0%
	4 to 9 years	94	6	26	62
		16.2%	3.4%	16.9%	23.8%
	10 to 15 years	131	37	37	58
		22.6%	22.2%	24.0%	22.0%
	16 to 25 years	163	49	48	67
		28.1%	29.1%	31.4%	25.5%
	26 years or move	133	68	15	50
		22.8%	40.4%	9.9%	19.0%
	DK/NA	1	1	0	0
		.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a		
	1 to 3 years		A	
	4 to 9 years		A	A
	10 to 15 years			
	16 to 25 years			
	26 years or move	B C		B
	DK/NA		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Less than 1 year	6 1.4%	6 4.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%
	1 to 3 years	27 5.9%	13 10.2%	4 4.9%	4 5.2%	6 3.6%
	4 to 9 years	77 16.6%	31 24.6%	24 26.5%	14 18.0%	8 4.8%
	10 to 15 years	92 19.8%	26 21.0%	17 18.9%	19 24.6%	29 17.3%
	16 to 25 years	138 29.8%	36 28.4%	27 30.2%	23 30.0%	51 30.6%
	26 years or move	121 26.3%	14 10.9%	17 18.8%	17 22.3%	73 43.7%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	1 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	D	a	a	
	1 to 3 years				
	4 to 9 years	D	D	D	
	10 to 15 years				
	16 to 25 years				
	26 years or move				A B C
	DK/NA	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Less than 1 year	11 1.9%	5 3.7%	3 2.4%	0 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	49 8.5%	15 11.9%	10 8.2%	2 4.3%	3 6.4%	12 9.5%
	4 to 9 years	93 16.1%	16 12.9%	25 20.7%	2 3.8%	6 15.4%	16 12.2%
	10 to 15 years	131 22.7%	25 20.6%	22 18.6%	10 24.2%	3 6.8%	33 25.5%
	16 to 25 years	163 28.2%	36 29.5%	36 30.0%	7 17.8%	6 15.0%	44 34.2%
	26 years or move	131 22.6%	26 21.4%	24 20.2%	20 49.3%	22 55.0%	24 18.6%
	DK/NA	1 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Total	112	7	9
	Less than 1 year	3 2.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1 to 3 years	7 6.4%	0 .0%	1 13.1%
	4 to 9 years	27 24.1%	2 26.8%	0 .0%
	10 to 15 years	35 31.6%	2 28.3%	2 18.1%
	16 to 25 years	29 26.3%	2 26.8%	3 33.7%
	26 years or move	10 8.9%	1 18.1%	3 35.0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year				a	a	
	1 to 3 years				.	.	
	4 to 9 years						
	10 to 15 years						D
	16 to 25 years						
	26 years or move			A B E F	A B E F		
	DK/NA	a	a	a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
B. How many years have you lived in the City of Cupertino?	Less than 1 year	a	a
	1 to 3 years	a	.
	4 to 9 years	.	a
	10 to 15 years		
	16 to 25 years		
	26 years or move		
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	582
	Own	450	450
		77.2%	77.2%
	Rent	120	120
		20.7%	20.7%
	DK/NA	12	12
		2.1%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	.
	Rent	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	281	298	3
	Own	450	221	225	3
		77.2%	78.6%	75.7%	100.0%
	Rent	120	51	69	0
		20.7%	18.0%	23.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	12	9	3	0
		2.1%	3.3%	.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a
	Rent			a
	DK/NA	B		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Own	450	57	45	116	134	89	9
		77.2%	74.4%	44.0%	82.3%	87.7%	87.7%	100.0%
	Rent	120	16	52	23	19	11	0
		20.7%	20.6%	51.2%	16.0%	12.3%	11.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	12	4	5	2	0	1	0
		2.1%	5.0%	4.7%	1.7%	.0%	1.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B		B	B	B	. ^a
	Rent		A C D E				. ^a
	DK/NA				. ^a		. ^a
							. ^a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	424	158
	Own	450	391	59
		77.2%	92.0%	37.5%
	Rent	120	28	92
		20.7%	6.6%	58.5%
DK/NA		12	6	6
		2.1%	1.4%	4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	
	Rent		A
	DK/NA		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Own	450	175	74	9	191
		77.2%	72.0%	91.7%	59.0%	78.9%
	Rent	120	64	6	6	44
		20.7%	26.1%	7.6%	41.0%	18.2%
DK/NA		12	5	1	0	7
		2.1%	1.9%	.7%	.0%	2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		A C		
	Rent	B		B ^a	
	DK/NA			. ^a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Own	450	79	54	28	27	105	156
		77.2%	64.9%	81.0%	88.2%	97.0%	81.4%	76.2%
	Rent	120	42	13	3	1	19	42
		20.7%	35.1%	19.0%	10.0%	3.0%	15.0%	20.4%
DK/NA		12	0	0	1	0	5	7
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	3.6%	3.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	D E			A	A	
	Rent	. ^a	. ^a		. ^a		
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Own	450	173	65	62	43	23
		77.2%	65.9%	82.3%	75.8%	91.4%	87.1%
	Rent	120	84	9	20	4	1
		20.7%	32.1%	11.9%	24.2%	8.6%	3.9%
	DK/NA	12	5	5	0	0	2
		2.1%	2.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	9.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	23	38	24
	Own	22	38	24
		96.6%	99.4%	97.4%
	Rent	1	0	1
		3.4%	.6%	2.6%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1993 to 1996
C. Do you own or rent your home?		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	Own					
	Rent	B D G		G	A	
	DK/NA			a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
C. Do you own or rent your home?		(G)	(H)
	Own	A C	A
	Rent		
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Own	450	7	135	27	37	15	10	35	76	47
		77.2%	100.0%	78.3%	64.6%	80.2%	90.8%	75.5%	83.1%	78.8%	64.6%
	Rent	120	0	31	15	9	2	3	7	15	26
		20.7%	.0%	18.1%	35.4%	19.8%	9.2%	24.5%	15.6%	15.6%	35.4%
	DK/NA	12	0	6	0	0	0	0	1	5	0
		2.1%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	5.6%	.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	64	2	5
	Own	53	2	4
		82.4%	100.0%	77.7%
	Rent	11	0	1
		17.6%	.0%	22.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
C. Do you own or rent your home?		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
	Own										
	Rent	a									
	DK/NA	a		a	a	a	a			a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
C. Do you own or rent your home?		(K)	(L)
	Own		
	Rent	a	
	DK/NA	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	464	118
	Own	450	358	92
		77.2%	77.1%	77.7%
	Rent	120	95	26
		20.7%	20.4%	21.8%
	DK/NA	12	12	1
		2.1%	2.5%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own		
	Rent		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	347	235
	Own	450	287	163
		77.2%	82.6%	69.3%
	Rent	120	52	69
		20.7%	14.9%	29.2%
	DK/NA	12	9	4
		2.1%	2.5%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	
	Rent		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Own	450	0	19	64	108	141
		77.2%	.9%	38.5%	68.2%	81.9%	86.1%
	Rent	120	11	30	26	23	23
		20.7%	99.1%	60.3%	28.0%	17.2%	13.9%
	DK/NA	12	0	1	4	1	0
		2.1%	.0%	1.2%	3.8%	.9%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	133	1
	Own	118	0
		89.0%	.0%
	Rent	8	0
		6.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	6	1
		4.7%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	C D E F	C D E F	A B	A B	A B C
	Rent			F		
	DK/NA	.b				.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	(F)	(G)
	Rent	A B C	a, b
	DK/NA		a, b
			a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Own	449	32	150	112	91	52	11
		77.4%	71.6%	71.0%	79.8%	80.1%	90.4%	93.0%
	Rent	119	12	56	26	21	3	0
		20.5%	27.1%	26.7%	18.5%	18.5%	5.6%	1.9%
	DK/NA	12	1	5	2	2	2	1
		2.1%	1.3%	2.3%	1.7%	1.4%	3.9%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	E	E			B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Own	450	1	3	164	2	90
		77.2%	50.0%	100.0%	86.8%	100.0%	84.9%
	Rent	120	1	0	20	0	16
		20.7%	50.0%	.0%	10.7%	.0%	15.1%
	DK/NA	12	0	0	5	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Own	14	12	5	14	7
		89.7%	46.3%	59.1%	100.0%	72.1%
	Rent	2	13	3	0	3
		10.3%	53.7%	40.9%	.0%	27.9%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Own	103	11	9	3	12
		69.4%	48.2%	73.3%	44.6%	71.2%
	Rent	43	12	3	1	3
		28.8%	51.8%	26.7%	13.7%	15.2%
	DK/NA	3	0	0	2	2
		1.8%	.0%	.0%	41.6%	13.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA		a a a	G K L	a a a	G L a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
		a	C E a	a	a a a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own Rent DK/NA	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
		C	C E a	a	C K	K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Own	209	81	93	21	9	5
		78.5%	77.9%	82.6%	70.3%	81.9%	56.1%
	Rent	56	23	18	9	2	3
		20.9%	22.1%	16.3%	29.7%	18.1%	37.3%
	DK/NA	2	0	1	0	0	1
		.7%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own					
	Rent					
	DK/NA	a		a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Own	322	84	193	34	4	7
		84.6%	78.3%	86.1%	100.0%	100.0%	61.6%
	Rent	47	22	23	0	0	2
		12.2%	20.1%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	16.9%
	DK/NA	12	2	8	0	0	2
		3.2%	1.6%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	21.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own					
	Rent					
	DK/NA	B		a	a	
				a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Own	450	337	22	90	4	1	39	6
		77.2%	75.2%	84.7%	97.0%	53.3%	100.0%	87.9%	96.5%
	Rent	120	101	2	3	3	0	5	0
		20.7%	22.4%	6.5%	3.0%	46.7%	.0%	12.1%	3.5%
	DK/NA	12	10	2	0	0	0	0	0
		2.1%	2.3%	8.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Own	12	40	11	1	9	14	38	6
		52.2%	84.6%	80.3%	100.0%	73.3%	100.0%	69.1%	91.1%
	Rent	11	5	3	0	3	0	15	0
		47.8%	10.4%	19.7%	.0%	26.7%	.0%	26.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
		.0%	5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	8.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			A D H L N		a, b			
	Rent				C	a, b			C I
	DK/NA	C		b	b	a, b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA	
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own			a, b					
	Rent			a, b	C	b	C	b	
	DK/NA		b	a, b	b	b			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Own	450	36	32	24	30	30	22
		77.2%	59.9%	62.2%	80.5%	82.5%	94.6%	53.7%
	Rent	120	22	18	6	6	2	19
		20.7%	37.1%	35.6%	19.5%	17.5%	5.4%	46.3%
	DK/NA	12	2	1	0	0	0	0
		2.1%	3.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	38	34	132	126
	Own	26	30	120	99
		67.3%	88.9%	90.7%	78.5%
	Rent	10	4	10	23
		26.4%	11.1%	7.6%	17.9%
	DK/NA	2	0	2	5
		6.3%	.0%	1.7%	3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	E I	I	a	a	A B F	E H I J	
	Rent					a	a	
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	F	A B F G	
	Rent			
	DK/NA	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	582	167	153	262
	Own	450	146	96	207
		77.2%	87.3%	62.9%	79.2%
	Rent	120	18	54	48
		20.7%	11.0%	35.2%	18.4%
	DK/NA	12	3	3	6
		2.1%	1.8%	2.0%	2.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	B	A C	B
	Rent			
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Own	364	84	69	62	148
		78.8%	66.9%	76.6%	80.1%	88.3%
	Rent	87	37	18	12	20
		18.8%	29.4%	19.7%	16.0%	11.7%
	DK/NA	11	5	3	3	0
		2.4%	3.7%	3.6%	3.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own				A
	Rent	D			
	DK/NA				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Own	447	82	92	35	39	105	84
		77.1%	66.5%	77.4%	86.9%	96.5%	82.3%	74.7%
	Rent	120	41	22	5	1	20	24
		20.8%	33.5%	18.7%	13.1%	2.1%	15.6%	21.6%
	DK/NA	12	0	5	0	1	3	4
		2.1%	.0%	3.9%	.0%	1.4%	2.2%	3.7%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Total	7	9
	Own	4	5
		55.6%	61.7%
	Rent	3	3
		44.4%	38.3%
DK/NA	0	0	
	0%	0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	D E			A G H			D
	Rent	a		a				a
	DK/NA							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
C. Do you own or rent your home?	Own	D
	Rent	a
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	582
	0	2 .4%	2 .4%
	1	45 7.7%	45 7.7%
	2	211 36.2%	211 36.2%
	3	141 24.2%	141 24.2%
	4	114 19.6%	114 19.6%
	5	45 7.7%	45 7.7%
	6	11 1.9%	11 1.9%
	8	2 .4%	2 .4%
	99	12 2.0%	12 2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	5	.
	6	.
	8	.
	99	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	2 .4%	1 .3%	1 .5%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	16 5.8%	29 9.7%	0 .0%
	2	211 36.2%	104 36.8%	107 36.0%	0 .0%
	3	141 24.2%	59 20.9%	81 27.2%	1 31.9%
	4	114 19.6%	65 23.1%	48 16.1%	1 33.7%
	5	45 7.7%	23 8.2%	21 7.2%	0 .0%
	6	11 1.9%	5 2.0%	5 1.8%	0 .0%
	8	2 .4%	2 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	6 2.1%	5 1.6%	1 34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0			a
	1			a
	2			a
	3			.
	4			
	5			a
	6			a
	8			a
	99			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	0	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	4 2.8%	7 4.9%	28 27.8%	3 32.1%
	2	211 36.2%	13 17.3%	65 63.8%	50 35.7%	38 24.6%	39 38.4%	6 67.9%
	3	141 24.2%	15 19.5%	13 13.3%	43 30.7%	51 33.3%	18 17.9%	0 .0%
	4	114 19.6%	31 40.1%	7 6.8%	27 19.0%	41 26.8%	9 8.4%	0 .0%
	5	45 7.7%	18 23.1%	2 2.4%	10 7.1%	9 6.2%	5 4.8%	0 .0%
	6	11 1.9%	0 .0%	3 3.3%	4 2.7%	4 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	8	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 2.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	0 .0%	6 5.6%	3 2.0%	2 1.6%	1 .6%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	a	a	a	a		a
	1	a				B C D	B C D
	2		A C D E			A	A
	3			B	B		a
	4	B C E			B E		a
	5	B C D E					a
	6	a				a	a
	8	a		a	a	a	a
	99						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	424	158
	0	2 .4%	1 .2%	1 .8%
	1	45 7.7%	30 7.1%	15 9.3%
	2	211 36.2%	148 34.8%	63 40.0%
	3	141 24.2%	110 25.9%	31 19.4%
	4	114 19.6%	92 21.8%	22 13.7%
	5	45 7.7%	31 7.4%	13 8.5%
	6	11 1.9%	4 1.0%	7 4.2%
	8	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 1.6%
	99	12 2.0%	8 1.8%	4 2.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4	B	
	5		
	6		A
	8	a	
	99		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	0	2	1	1	0	0
		.4%	.6%	.8%	.0%	.0%
	1	45	18	13	4	10
		7.7%	7.3%	16.0%	27.2%	4.2%
	2	211	92	31	4	84
		36.2%	37.8%	38.2%	26.2%	34.5%
	3	141	55	19	4	62
		24.2%	22.8%	24.1%	25.3%	25.5%
	4	114	47	6	3	57
		19.6%	19.3%	7.9%	20.2%	23.7%
	5	45	25	2	0	18
		7.7%	10.1%	3.1%	.0%	7.3%
	6	11	0	4	0	7
		1.9%	.2%	4.6%	.0%	2.8%
	8	2	0	2	0	0
		.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	5	2	0	5
		2.0%	2.0%	2.2%	1.0%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0			a	
	1		D	A D	
	2				
	3				
	4				B
	5			a	
	6		A	a	A a
	8	a		a	
	99				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	2	1	1	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.7%	.9%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	45	14	3	7	4	3	14
		7.7%	11.3%	4.3%	21.5%	13.5%	2.6%	7.0%
	2	211	45	27	9	17	36	77
		36.2%	37.1%	40.6%	28.6%	61.2%	27.8%	37.3%
	3	141	29	12	7	4	35	53
		24.2%	24.1%	18.8%	20.8%	14.2%	27.6%	25.7%
	4	114	18	21	3	1	32	39
		19.6%	14.8%	31.9%	8.9%	4.2%	24.8%	19.0%
	5	45	11	2	0	1	19	12
		7.7%	9.0%	2.8%	.0%	3.3%	14.9%	5.7%
	6	11	0	0	2	1	1	7
		1.9%	.0%	.6%	5.1%	3.7%	1.0%	3.2%
	8	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	4	0	2	0	2	4
		2.0%	3.1%	.0%	5.6%	.0%	1.3%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0				a	a	
	1			E			
	2				E		
	3						
	4						
	5			a		F	
	6	a			a	a	a
	8	a			a		
	99						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	0	2	1	0	0	1	0
		.4%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%
	1	45	12	2	8	2	1
		7.7%	4.7%	2.7%	9.7%	3.5%	4.9%
	2	211	95	24	25	17	10
		36.2%	36.2%	30.8%	30.6%	37.0%	38.3%
	3	141	65	19	19	12	10
		24.2%	24.7%	23.7%	23.3%	25.3%	39.5%
	4	114	56	20	20	9	3
		19.6%	21.3%	25.4%	24.2%	20.1%	9.6%
	5	45	22	8	7	5	2
		7.7%	8.5%	9.6%	8.9%	10.5%	6.8%
	6	11	6	0	2	1	0
		1.9%	2.5%	.5%	2.1%	2.2%	.9%
	8	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	5	6	1	0	0
		2.0%	1.8%	7.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	23	38	24
	0	1	0	0
		2.8%	.2%	.4%
	1	4	6	9
		18.5%	16.2%	38.0%
	2	9	17	13
		37.6%	45.2%	53.3%
	3	3	11	1
		13.9%	29.2%	5.9%
	4	3	3	0
		12.1%	9.1%	.0%
	5	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	2.4%
	6	1	0	0
		4.5%	.0%	.0%
	8	2	0	0
		10.6%	.0%	.0%
	99	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0			a		a	
	1						
	2						
	3						
	4						a
	5						
	6						
	8	a	a	a	a	a	
	99		A				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		
	1		A B C D
	2		
	3		a
	4		
	5	a	
	6	a	a
	8	a	a
	99		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	0	2	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.4%	9.9%	.4%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.8%
	1	45	1	5	2	8	5	1	4	11	6
		7.7%	21.8%	2.8%	4.4%	16.5%	28.9%	5.9%	10.7%	11.2%	7.5%
	2	211	1	57	16	11	6	8	11	46	31
		36.2%	15.8%	33.2%	37.4%	23.0%	33.9%	59.3%	25.7%	47.6%	42.4%
	3	141	1	48	10	2	2	3	15	22	12
		24.2%	9.0%	27.9%	23.4%	3.4%	10.1%	25.5%	36.3%	22.5%	16.5%
	4	114	3	43	8	13	5	1	10	10	14
		19.6%	43.5%	24.9%	19.9%	27.5%	27.1%	7.7%	24.1%	10.5%	19.3%
	5	45	0	11	4	12	0	0	1	7	5
		7.7%	.0%	6.3%	9.4%	25.9%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	7.4%	7.2%
	6	11	0	1	1	2	0	0	0	0	2
		1.9%	.0%	.6%	3.0%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	2.3%
	8	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	1	3
		2.0%	.0%	3.9%	2.3%	.4%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.6%	4.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	64	2	5
	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	3	0	0
		4.4%	.0%	.0%
	2	23	0	2
		35.1%	.0%	32.0%
	3	21	2	3
		32.8%	100.0%	48.9%
	4	6	0	1
		9.3%	.0%	19.1%
	5	4	0	0
		6.9%	.0%	.0%
	6	5	0	0
		7.7%	.0%	.0%
	8	2	0	0
		3.8%	.0%	.0%
	99	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	H			a	a	a	a			a
	1				B	B					
	2										
	3		D					D			D
	4										
	5	a			B G	a	a				
	6	a				a	a		a		B
	8	a	a	a	a	a	a		a	a	
	99										

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	a	a
	1	a	a
	2	a	
	3	a	D
	4	a	
	5	a	a
	6	a	a
	8	a	a
	99		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	464	118
	0	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	41 8.8%	4 3.5%
	2	211 36.2%	166 35.9%	44 37.5%
	3	141 24.2%	115 24.7%	26 22.0%
	4	114 19.6%	88 19.0%	26 22.0%
	5	45 7.7%	33 7.1%	12 10.0%
	6	11 1.9%	7 1.4%	4 3.6%
	8	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	10 2.1%	2 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a
	1		.
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	8		a
	99		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	347	235
	0	2 .4%	2 .6%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	31 9.1%	14 5.7%
	2	211 36.2%	130 37.4%	81 34.5%
	3	141 24.2%	82 23.7%	58 24.9%
	4	114 19.6%	65 18.7%	49 20.8%
	5	45 7.7%	23 6.7%	21 9.0%
	6	11 1.9%	3 1.0%	8 3.2%
	8	2 .4%	2 .7%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	7 2.1%	4 1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	8		a
	99		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

- a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.
- b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.
- c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	2 .4%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%
	1	45 7.7%	0 2.7%	5 9.2%	3 3.4%	6 4.8%	7 4.4%
	2	211 36.2%	9 79.0%	26 53.7%	46 48.8%	50 37.8%	28 17.1%
	3	141 24.2%	0 .0%	12 23.7%	21 22.8%	29 21.7%	59 36.1%
	4	114 19.6%	2 18.3%	4 9.0%	15 15.6%	37 28.0%	40 24.6%
	5	45 7.7%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	7 7.2%	7 5.6%	20 12.1%
	6	11 1.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .3%	5 3.0%
	8	2 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	12 2.0%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	1 1.1%	2 1.3%	4 2.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	133	1
	0	1 .7%	0 .0%
	1	24 17.8%	0 .0%
	2	52 39.3%	0 .0%
	3	20 15.1%	0 .0%
	4	16 12.0%	0 .0%
	5	10 7.4%	0 .0%
	6	5 3.5%	0 .0%
	8	2 1.8%	0 .0%
	99	3 2.3%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	.		.		.
	1	.		.		.
	2	E a	E	E	E	
	3	.				F
	4	.			F	
	5	a				
	6	a	a			
	8	a	a	a	a	a
	99

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a, b
	1	C D E	a, b
	2	E	a, b
	3		a, b
	4		a, b
	5		a, b
	6		a, b
	8		a, b
	99		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	1	45	45	0	0	0	0	0
		7.8%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	211	0	211	0	0	0	0
		36.3%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	141	0	0	141	0	0	0
		24.3%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	114	0	0	0	114	0	0
		19.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	45	0	0	0	0	45	0
		7.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	76.9%	.0%
	6	11	0	0	0	0	11	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.8%	.0%
	8	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%
	99	12	0	0	0	0	0	12
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	1	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2	a	a	a	a	a	a
	3	a	a	a	a	a	a
	4	a	a	a	a	a	a
	5	a	a	a	a	a	a
	6	a	a	a	a	a	a
	8	a	a	a	a	a	a
	99	a	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	45	0	0	7	0	1
		7.7%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	1.4%
	2	211	0	0	61	1	29
		36.2%	.0%	.0%	32.1%	51.2%	27.3%
	3	141	1	3	55	0	33
		24.2%	50.0%	100.0%	29.2%	.0%	30.8%
	4	114	1	0	44	1	30
		19.6%	50.0%	.0%	23.4%	48.8%	28.5%
	5	45	0	0	15	0	11
		7.7%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	10.1%
	6	11	0	0	3	0	1
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	1.0%
	8	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	0	0	4	0	1
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	1.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	1	0	2	0	1
		9.4%	.0%	29.0%	.0%	11.4%
	2	6	9	2	0	4
		38.7%	37.7%	30.0%	.0%	36.7%
	3	4	12	3	0	2
		23.6%	46.8%	40.9%	.0%	23.9%
	4	4	1	0	4	0
		28.3%	4.4%	.0%	30.6%	.0%
	5	0	3	0	3	3
		.0%	11.2%	.0%	21.0%	27.9%
	6	0	0	0	7	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	48.4%	.0%
	8	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	2 1.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .5%
	1	29 19.3%	2 9.7%	1 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	2	74 49.5%	13 54.3%	5 39.7%	1 18.9%	6 36.2%
	3	17 11.7%	3 12.8%	1 7.7%	4 62.8%	3 14.9%
	4	17 11.6%	2 7.9%	4 30.8%	1 18.3%	4 20.3%
	5	6 3.9%	1 2.8%	2 14.9%	0 .0%	2 10.9%
	6	1 .4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	8	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	99	1 .4%	3 12.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	3 17.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
	1
	2
	3	.	.	K	.	K
	4	K
	5
	6
	8
	99

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
	1	.	.	C E	.	.
	2
	3	.	K	.	.	.
	4
	5	K
	6	.	.	.	C E K	.
	8
	99

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
	1
	2	C E
	3	E	.	.	K	.
	4
	5
	6
	8
	99	E K	.	.	.	C E K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	1	5 1.8%	1 .6%	1 .6%	0 .0%	1 13.4%	2 23.4%
	2	86 32.4%	27 26.3%	45 39.9%	12 39.9%	2 18.1%	0 .0%
	3	74 27.7%	42 40.7%	26 23.1%	3 8.7%	0 .9%	3 30.5%
	4	66 24.9%	27 25.6%	26 22.8%	8 26.0%	3 29.2%	3 33.4%
	5	22 8.3%	7 6.5%	6 5.4%	6 20.4%	3 28.7%	0 .0%
	6	8 3.1%	0 .2%	5 4.8%	2 5.0%	1 9.6%	0 .0%
	99	5 1.9%	0 .0%	4 3.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 12.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?					
1			a	A B	A B
2					a
3	C				.
4					
5				B	a
6	a		a	A	a
99

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?						
Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
0	2 .5%	1 1.3%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
1	38 10.0%	28 26.2%	9 3.8%	0 .0%	1 32.5%	0 .0%
2	108 28.3%	22 20.5%	79 35.2%	2 6.5%	0 .0%	5 43.6%
3	102 26.8%	27 25.1%	57 25.6%	12 35.8%	1 26.7%	4 39.2%
4	79 20.7%	20 18.5%	48 21.6%	8 24.8%	1 17.5%	1 12.3%
5	37 9.7%	4 3.8%	23 10.4%	9 28.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
6	11 2.9%	2 1.8%	6 2.8%	2 4.9%	1 23.3%	0 .0%
8	2 .6%	2 2.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
99	2 .5%	1 .6%	1 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 4.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?					
0			a	a	a
1	B		a	B	a
2		A C	.	a	C
3					
4			A B	a	a
5				a	a
6		a	a	A	a
8		.	a	a	.
99	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Primary Languages in Household							
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?								
Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
0	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
1	45 7.7%	36 8.0%	0 .0%	5 5.3%	1 19.8%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
2	211 36.2%	175 39.0%	5 18.9%	27 29.3%	2 28.0%	0 6.5%	13 28.6%	1 20.5%
3	141 24.2%	98 21.8%	10 39.6%	17 18.1%	2 33.1%	1 93.5%	7 16.7%	2 36.9%
4	114 19.6%	92 20.5%	4 13.5%	29 31.5%	1 19.0%	0 .0%	16 36.0%	3 42.6%
5	45 7.7%	31 6.9%	5 17.4%	9 10.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 18.7%	0 .0%
6	11 1.9%	4 1.0%	0 .0%	4 4.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
8	2 .4%	2 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
99	12 2.0%	8 1.8%	3 10.6%	1 1.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	0	4	2	1	2	0	1	0
		.0%	8.5%	14.0%	75.0%	18.9%	.0%	2.6%	.0%
	2	8	17	5	0	3	0	14	0
		34.7%	36.5%	35.9%	.0%	21.5%	.0%	25.7%	.0%
	3	12	13	3	0	3	0	16	3
		52.7%	27.3%	25.8%	.0%	26.7%	.0%	28.8%	40.6%
	4	0	9	3	0	2	4	17	1
		.0%	19.6%	19.3%	25.0%	19.1%	30.6%	30.8%	20.5%
	5	3	1	1	0	0	3	4	0
		12.6%	2.3%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	21.0%	7.9%	.0%
	6	0	0	0	0	2	7	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	48.4%	2.3%	.0%
	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	0	3	0	0	0	0	1	3
		.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	38.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a	a	a	a,b	a	a
	1		a			a,b	a	a
	2					b		
	3					b		A C
	4					a,b		a
	5				a	a,b		a
	6		a	A	a	a,b	a	a
	8		a	a	a	a,b	a	a
					a	a,b	a	a
	99		A					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a	a,b	a	a	a	a
	1			b		a		a
	2			a,b		a		a
	3			a,b		a		
	4			b				
	5			a,b	a			a
	6	a	a	a,b	A	A C N		a
	8	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a
				a,b	a	a		
	99						A C N	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
							\$140-\$160k
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	0	2	1	0	0	0	0
		.4%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	45	19	5	4	2	1
		7.7%	31.5%	10.6%	13.8%	4.9%	3.6%
	2	211	17	24	9	18	14
		36.2%	28.2%	46.9%	31.7%	49.8%	43.4%
	3	141	12	14	5	7	9
		24.2%	19.8%	28.0%	16.4%	18.4%	27.0%
	4	114	3	8	7	7	5
		19.6%	5.7%	14.5%	24.8%	19.4%	16.3%
	5	45	1	0	4	0	3
		7.7%	2.4%	.0%	13.3%	.0%	9.7%
	6	11	0	0	0	0	4
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.5%
	8	2	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	4	0	0	3	0
		2.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.3%	.0%	.5%
	1	1	0	1	11
		1.3%	.9%	.8%	8.3%
	2	12	8	42	48
		31.1%	23.9%	31.6%	37.8%
	3	12	5	32	32
		30.3%	15.6%	23.9%	25.1%
	4	8	7	46	20
		20.3%	21.0%	34.4%	16.2%
	5	5	11	9	10
		12.5%	33.4%	6.9%	8.1%
	6	2	2	3	0
		4.5%	4.9%	2.4%	.0%
	8	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	99	0	0	0	5
		.0%	.0%	.0%	4.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a	a	a	a	a	a
	1	F G H I J		I				
	2							
	3							
	4							
	5		a	.	a	.	.	.
	6	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	8	.	a	a	a	a	a	a
	99		a	a	.	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a	
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4		A F J	
	5	A F I J		
	6		a	a
	8	a	a	a
	99	a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	582	167	153	262
	0	2	2	0	0
		.4%	1.1%	.0%	.1%
	1	45	30	7	8
		7.7%	18.2%	4.5%	2.9%
	2	211	62	57	92
		36.2%	36.8%	37.5%	35.1%
	3	141	37	28	75
		24.2%	22.3%	18.6%	28.6%
	4	114	28	23	63
		19.6%	16.7%	15.1%	24.0%
	5	45	4	26	14
		7.7%	2.6%	17.0%	5.4%
	6	11	0	8	3
		1.9%	.0%	5.4%	1.0%
	8	2	2	0	0
		.4%	1.5%	.0%	.0%
	99	12	1	3	7
		2.0%	.7%	1.9%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a	
	1	B C	.	
	2			
	3			
	4		A C	
	5	a	C	
	6	.	a	a
	8	.	.	.
	99	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	0	2	1	0	0	1
		.4%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	1	44	8	3	6	27
		9.6%	6.4%	3.8%	7.6%	16.0%
	2	165	41	29	28	68
		35.7%	32.2%	31.7%	36.1%	40.2%
	3	108	21	26	19	41
		23.4%	16.6%	29.4%	24.9%	24.6%
	4	93	33	19	17	24
		20.2%	26.0%	21.2%	22.2%	14.3%
	5	34	18	7	6	3
		7.4%	14.6%	7.5%	8.3%	1.5%
	6	4	0	3	0	1
		1.0%	.0%	3.0%	.5%	.8%
	8	2	0	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	99	8	5	3	0	1
		1.8%	3.7%	3.3%	.4%	.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	0		a	a	
	1				B
	2				
	3				
	4				
	5	D			
	6	a			
	8	a			
			a	a	
	99				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
							Male NPP
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	0	2	1	1	1	0	0
		.4%	.5%	.6%	1.6%	.0%	.1%
	1	45	13	5	8	5	5
		7.8%	10.3%	4.2%	19.2%	12.8%	4.3%
	2	211	50	42	16	15	38
		36.4%	40.7%	35.2%	40.1%	36.3%	29.8%
	3	140	35	20	10	9	35
		24.1%	28.2%	16.6%	25.0%	23.2%	27.5%
	4	113	18	29	2	4	28
		19.5%	14.5%	24.5%	5.7%	10.2%	21.8%
	5	45	6	18	2	0	13
		7.7%	5.1%	15.3%	5.4%	.9%	10.1%
	6	11	0	0	0	4	5
		1.9%	.3%	.0%	.0%	9.1%	3.9%
	8	2	0	0	0	2	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.0%	.0%
	99	11	0	4	1	1	3
		1.8%	.3%	3.7%	3.0%	1.4%	2.5%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
D. How many people in your household have a cell phone?	Total	7	9
	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	1	3	1
		41.6%	15.8%
	2	3	1
		42.7%	13.1%
	3	1	3
		15.7%	33.0%
	4	0	3
		.0%	36.2%
	5	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	6	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	8	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	99	0	0
		.0%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}							
	Party by Gender						
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0				a		a	a
1				.		.	B E F
2							
3							
4							a
5							a
6		a	a	A	a	a	a
8	a	a	a		.	.	a
99

	Party by Gender	
	Male Oth	
	(H)	
0	a	
1	.	
2		
3		
4		
5	a	
6	a	
8	a	
99	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
African American or Black	3 .5%	3 .5%
Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 .5%
Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	189 32.5%
Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	2 .4%
Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	106 18.2%
Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	15 2.7%
Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	25 4.3%
Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	8 1.4%
Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	14 2.3%
Asian - Other	10 1.7%	10 1.7%
Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	148 25.5%
Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
Two or more races	12 2.0%	12 2.0%
Other	6 1.0%	6 1.0%
DK/NA	17 3.0%	17 3.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	.
	Asian - Cambodian	.
	Asian - Chinese	.
	Asian - Filipino	.
	Asian - Indian	.
	Asian - Japanese	.
	Asian - Korean	.
	Asian - Thai	.
	Asian - Vietnamese	.
	Asian - Other	.
	Caucasian or White	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.
	Two or more races	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	281	298	3
	African American or Black	3 .5%	0 .0%	3 1.0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 1.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	104 36.8%	86 28.8%	0 .0%
	Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	1 .4%	1 .4%	0 .0%
	Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	54 19.3%	51 17.3%	0 .0%
	Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	4 1.3%	12 4.0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	13 4.5%	12 4.1%	0 .0%
	Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	4 1.4%	4 1.4%	0 .0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	2 .5%	12 4.0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Other	10 1.7%	5 1.7%	5 1.8%	0 .0%
	Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	69 24.6%	79 26.7%	0 .0%
	Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	8 2.7%	16 5.3%	0 .0%
	Two or more races	12 2.0%	3 1.2%	7 2.4%	1 33.7%
	Other	6 1.0%	3 1.1%	2 .6%	1 31.9%
	DK/NA	17 3.0%	10 3.5%	7 2.2%	1 34.4%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a		a
	Asian - Cambodian		a	a
	Asian - Chinese	B		a
	Asian - Filipino			a
	Asian - Indian			a
	Asian - Japanese		A	a
	Asian - Korean			a
	Asian - Thai			a
	Asian - Vietnamese		A	a
	Asian - Other			a
	Caucasian or White			a
	Latino or Hispanic			a
	Two or more races			A B
	Other			A B
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	African American or Black	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	31	18	52	56	33	0
		32.5%	40.4%	17.5%	36.9%	36.6%	32.3%	.0%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	10	9	46	34	6	0
		18.2%	13.4%	9.3%	32.8%	22.2%	6.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	3	0	1	8	3	0
		2.7%	3.7%	.0%	.9%	5.6%	2.8%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	6	9	6	4	0	0
		4.3%	7.3%	9.2%	4.4%	2.4%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	3	0	1	4	0
		1.4%	.0%	3.3%	.0%	.7%	3.7%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	3	3	2	5	1	0
		2.3%	3.7%	3.3%	1.2%	3.1%	.9%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	3	0	5	2	0	0
		1.7%	3.7%	.0%	3.7%	1.4%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	15	33	16	29	49	8
		25.5%	19.0%	32.6%	11.1%	18.7%	47.6%	92.8%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	0	11	3	3	6	0
		4.0%	.0%	11.0%	2.3%	2.1%	5.6%	.0%
	Two or more races	12	2	2	2	4	1	1
		2.0%	2.7%	2.0%	1.3%	2.9%	.8%	7.2%
	Other	6	0	2	1	2	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	2.4%	.8%	1.4%	.1%	.0%
	DK/NA	17	2	10	4	2	0	0
		3.0%	2.5%	9.5%	3.0%	1.1%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	B	.	B	B	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	.	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	A B E	E	.	a
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	.	a	.	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a
	Asian - Other	.	a	.	.	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	C	.	A C D	A B C D	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	C D	.	.	.	a
	Two or more races	a
	Other	a	a
	DK/NA	.	D E	.	.	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	424	158
	African American or Black	3	1	1
		.5%	.3%	.9%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	159	31
		32.5%	37.4%	19.6%
	Asian - Filipino	2	2	0
		.4%	.6%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	84	22
		18.2%	19.8%	13.7%
	Asian - Japanese	15	14	1
		2.7%	3.4%	.7%
	Asian - Korean	25	12	13
		4.3%	2.8%	8.1%
	Asian - Thai	8	8	0
		1.4%	1.9%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	9	5
		2.3%	2.0%	3.2%
	Asian - Other	10	7	3
		1.7%	1.7%	1.8%
	Caucasian or White	148	92	57
		25.5%	21.6%	35.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	6	17
		4.0%	1.4%	11.1%
	Two or more races	12	8	3
		2.0%	1.9%	2.2%
	Other	6	2	4
		1.0%	.4%	2.7%
	DK/NA	17	17	0
		3.0%	4.0%	.2%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	B	a
	Asian - Cambodian		.
	Asian - Chinese		
	Asian - Filipino		a
	Asian - Indian		.
	Asian - Japanese		
	Asian - Korean		A
	Asian - Thai		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		.
	Asian - Other		
	Caucasian or White		A
	Latino or Hispanic		A
	Two or more races		
	Other		A
	DK/NA	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	African American or Black	3	3	0	0	0
		.5%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Asian - Chinese	189	69	25	3	92
		32.5%	28.3%	31.0%	18.8%	38.3%
	Asian - Filipino	2	2	0	0	0
		.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	49	6	2	48
		18.2%	20.2%	7.4%	14.3%	20.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	9	0	0	7
		2.7%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
	Asian - Korean	25	11	3	0	11
		4.3%	4.5%	4.0%	.0%	4.4%
	Asian - Thai	8	3	4	0	1
		1.4%	1.4%	4.7%	.0%	.4%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	12
		2.3%	.7%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
	Asian - Other	10	1	1	0	8
		1.7%	.5%	1.5%	.0%	3.2%
	Caucasian or White	148	65	37	5	41
		25.5%	26.6%	46.2%	33.0%	17.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	13	2	2	5
		4.0%	5.4%	3.1%	13.9%	2.3%
	Two or more races	12	6	1	3	2
		2.0%	2.3%	1.7%	17.7%	.8%
	Other	6	2	0	0	3
		1.0%	1.0%	.0%	1.3%	1.3%
	DK/NA	17	8	0	0	9
		3.0%	3.4%	.3%	1.0%	3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Chinese
	Asian - Filipino	.	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	B	.	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	a	.
	Asian - Korean	.	.	a	.
	Asian - Thai	.	D	a	A
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	a	.
	Asian - Other	.	.	a	.
	Caucasian or White	.	A D	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.	.	D	.
	Two or more races	.	.	A B D	.
	Other	.	a	.	.
	DK/NA

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party					
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128
	African American or Black	3	1	1	0	0	0
		.5%	1.2%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	Asian - Chinese	189	27	18	11	7	39
		32.5%	22.6%	27.0%	34.9%	25.7%	30.6%
	Asian - Filipino	2	1	0	0	0	1
		.4%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	Asian - Indian	106	20	16	3	0	33
		18.2%	16.4%	24.2%	8.9%	.0%	26.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	5	1	0	0	6
		2.7%	4.3%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
	Asian - Korean	25	8	1	0	3	2
		4.3%	6.9%	1.6%	.0%	11.5%	1.2%
	Asian - Thai	8	3	0	0	2	1
		1.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	1.1%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	0	3
		2.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	Asian - Other	10	1	0	0	0	1
		1.7%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Caucasian or White	148	33	22	17	12	28
		25.5%	27.6%	32.8%	53.3%	43.9%	21.5%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	11	2	1	2	1
		4.0%	8.8%	2.8%	2.0%	6.4%	.4%
	Two or more races	12	2	2	0	1	5
		2.0%	1.3%	3.5%	.0%	3.9%	4.1%
	Other	6	2	0	0	0	0
		1.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	DK/NA	17	3	2	0	0	5
		3.0%	2.8%	3.7%	.9%	.0%	3.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a
	Asian - Chinese						A
	Asian - Filipino		a	a	a		a
	Asian - Indian	
	Asian - Japanese			a	a		
	Asian - Korean			a	E		
	Asian - Thai		a	a	F		
	Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	a		
	Asian - Other		a	a	.		
	Caucasian or White			E F	F		
	Latino or Hispanic	E		a			
	Two or more races		a	a	a		
	Other		.	.	.		
	DK/NA			.	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	African American or Black	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3 .5%	3 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Chinese	189 32.5%	88 33.6%	26 32.6%	20 24.8%	23 49.8%
	Asian - Filipino	2 .4%	0 .0%	2 3.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Indian	106 18.2%	51 19.5%	16 20.7%	25 31.0%	8 17.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15 2.7%	1 .4%	4 5.6%	5 6.4%	1 2.6%
	Asian - Korean	25 4.3%	18 7.0%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	0 .0%
	Asian - Thai	8 1.4%	6 2.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14 2.3%	9 3.3%	0 .0%	3 4.0%	2 3.4%
	Asian - Other	10 1.7%	8 3.0%	1 1.5%	1 1.5%	0 .0%
	Caucasian or White	148 25.5%	51 19.6%	16 20.2%	16 19.8%	9 18.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	23 4.0%	14 5.4%	5 6.3%	2 2.3%	1 2.2%
	Two or more races	12 2.0%	6 2.4%	1 .8%	2 2.5%	0 .0%
	Other	6 1.0%	1 .4%	3 3.4%	1 1.7%	0 1.0%
	DK/NA	17 3.0%	6 2.3%	5 5.9%	2 2.2%	2 5.2%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	27	23	38	24
	African American or Black	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 3.8%	0 .0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Chinese	10 37.3%	12 53.1%	10 25.4%	0 .0%
	Asian - Filipino	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Indian	3 12.0%	1 3.9%	1 2.9%	0 .0%
	Asian - Japanese	1 4.1%	0 .0%	1 2.7%	1 6.0%
	Asian - Korean	3 12.3%	0 .0%	2 4.2%	0 .0%
	Asian - Thai	0 .0%	1 4.2%	1 3.7%	0 .0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Asian - Other	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Caucasian or White	5 18.7%	8 36.9%	21 54.0%	22 90.7%
	Latino or Hispanic	1 2.1%	0 .0%	1 1.7%	0 .0%
	Two or more races	1 3.5%	0 1.4%	1 1.4%	1 3.3%
	Other	0 1.0%	0 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 9.0%	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	.	a
	Asian - Chinese
	Asian - Filipino	a	.	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	G	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	A	A	.
	Asian - Korean	.	a	.	a
	Asian - Thai	.	a	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Other	.	.	.	a
	Caucasian or White
	Latino or Hispanic
	Two or more races	.	.	.	a
	Other
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	.	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	.	a
	Asian - Chinese	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	.	a
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	.	.
	Asian - Korean	.	a	.	a
	Asian - Thai	a	.	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	.	ABCD	ABCDEF
	Latino or Hispanic	.	a	.	a
	Two or more races
	Other	.	.	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	African American or Black	3	0	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.5%	.0%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	0	72	10	10	5	4	8
		32.5%	.0%	41.8%	23.6%	20.6%	32.6%	32.1%	18.9%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0	1	0	0	1
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	Asian - Indian	106	3	41	9	9	4	1	10
		18.2%	43.5%	24.0%	22.4%	19.1%	22.9%	8.6%	23.2%
	Asian - Japanese	15	0	3	4	0	0	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	1.9%	9.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	0	4	3	3	0	0	1
		4.3%	.0%	2.2%	6.6%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	0	0	0	2	0	1
		1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	2.5%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	0	3	1	1	0	2	0
		1.7%	.0%	2.0%	2.9%	2.3%	.0%	12.2%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	4	32	7	16	5	4	14
		25.5%	56.5%	18.5%	16.1%	35.7%	30.4%	31.6%	33.5%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	0	4	4	3	0	1	3
		4.0%	.0%	2.3%	8.9%	6.2%	.0%	7.8%	8.3%
	Two or more races	12	0	6	1	0	0	0	1
		2.0%	.0%	3.3%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%
	Other	6	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	DK/NA	17	0	3	3	1	0	1	0
		3.0%	.0%	1.6%	8.1%	2.0%	.0%	7.8%	.4%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	0
		2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	24	21	30	2	2
		24.6%	29.3%	47.0%	90.2%	42.6%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	16	5	6	0	2
		16.3%	6.2%	9.5%	.0%	41.4%
	Asian - Japanese	4	3	1	0	0
		4.2%	3.7%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	6	2	7	0	0
		6.3%	2.3%	10.3%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	5	0	0
		.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0	3	8	0	0
		.0%	4.5%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	0	3	0	0	0
		.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	32	28	5	0	1
		33.6%	37.7%	7.7%	9.8%	16.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	2	6	1	0	0
		1.9%	7.9%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	1	1	2	0	0
		.8%	1.3%	3.2%	.0%	.0%
	Other	2	0	0	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	2	0	0	0
		6.9%	3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4 (A)	Apr. 5 (B)	Apr. 6 (C)	Apr. 7 (D)	Apr. 8 (E)	Apr. 9 (F)	Apr. 10 (G)	Apr. 11 (H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a		a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a				a	a		a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a		a	a		a
	Asian - Indian								
	Asian - Japanese	a			a	a	a	a	
	Asian - Korean	a				a	a		
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a				a		a	a
	Caucasian or White	J			J			J	J
	Latino or Hispanic	a			a	a	a		
	Two or more races	a			a	a	a		
	Other	a		a	a	a	a		
	DK/NA	a				a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian
	Asian - Japanese	.	.	a	a
	Asian - Korean	.	.	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	.	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	.	a	a
	Asian - Other	.	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	J	.	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	.	.	a	a
	Two or more races	.	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	464	118
	African American or Black	3	1	1
		.5%	.3%	1.2%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	157	33
		32.5%	33.8%	27.7%
	Asian - Filipino	2	1	1
		.4%	.2%	1.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	78	28
		18.2%	16.8%	23.7%
	Asian - Japanese	15	15	0
		2.7%	3.3%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	14	11
		4.3%	3.0%	9.3%
	Asian - Thai	8	8	0
		1.4%	1.7%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	11	2
		2.3%	2.4%	2.1%
	Asian - Other	10	10	0
		1.7%	2.2%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	114	34
		25.5%	24.6%	29.1%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	22	1
		4.0%	4.7%	1.2%
	Two or more races	12	11	1
		2.0%	2.4%	.6%
	Other	6	6	0
		1.0%	1.2%	.2%
	DK/NA	17	13	5
		3.0%	2.8%	3.8%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a
	Asian - Cambodian		.
	Asian - Chinese		
	Asian - Filipino		
	Asian - Indian		
	Asian - Japanese		a
	Asian - Korean		A
	Asian - Thai		a
	Asian - Vietnamese		.
	Asian - Other		a
	Caucasian or White		.
	Latino or Hispanic		
	Two or more races		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	347	235
	African American or Black	3	3	0
		.5%	.8%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	3
		.5%	.0%	1.2%
	Asian - Chinese	189	108	81
		32.5%	31.2%	34.4%
	Asian - Filipino	2	2	0
		.4%	.7%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	62	44
		18.2%	17.7%	18.9%
	Asian - Japanese	15	12	3
		2.7%	3.6%	1.3%
	Asian - Korean	25	4	21
		4.3%	1.2%	8.8%
	Asian - Thai	8	5	3
		1.4%	1.4%	1.4%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	5	9
		2.3%	1.4%	3.7%
	Asian - Other	10	7	3
		1.7%	2.1%	1.2%
	Caucasian or White	148	102	46
		25.5%	29.4%	19.7%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	13	10
		4.0%	3.8%	4.3%
	Two or more races	12	9	3
		2.0%	2.6%	1.1%
	Other	6	5	1
		1.0%	1.4%	.4%
	DK/NA	17	9	9
		3.0%	2.6%	3.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	.
	Asian - Chinese		a
	Asian - Filipino		.
	Asian - Indian		
	Asian - Japanese		
	Asian - Korean		A
	Asian - Thai		
	Asian - Vietnamese		
	Asian - Other		
	Caucasian or White	B	
	Latino or Hispanic		
	Two or more races		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	African American or Black	3	0	0	1	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	1.1%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	Asian - Chinese	189	0	11	30	52
		32.5%	.0%	21.8%	31.7%	39.7%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	1	0
		.4%	.0%	2.5%	1.2%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	3	11	24	25
		18.2%	25.5%	23.3%	25.7%	19.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	0	0	0	8
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.9%
	Asian - Korean	25	3	4	4	2
		4.3%	25.6%	8.0%	4.1%	1.3%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	1	0	3
		1.4%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	2.5%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	0	0	5	3
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	2.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	2	15	20	21
		25.5%	21.9%	31.2%	21.7%	15.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	3	4	3	4
		4.0%	27.1%	7.4%	3.3%	3.0%
	Two or more races	12	0	0	0	4
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.7%
	Other	6	0	0	3	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.3%
	DK/NA	17	0	1	1	6
		3.0%	.0%	3.0%	1.6%	4.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	163	133	1
	African American or Black	0	0	0
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0
	Asian - Chinese	68	29	0
	Asian - Filipino	41.5%	21.8%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	0	0	0
	Asian - Japanese	38	5	0
	Asian - Korean	23.1%	3.5%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	4	4	0
	Asian - Vietnamese	2.3%	3.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	8	5	0
	Caucasian or White	4.8%	3.7%	.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	0	3	0
	Two or more races	.0%	2.6%	.0%
	Other	9	5	0
	DK/NA	5.5%	3.4%	.0%
		2	0	0
		1.4%	.0%	.0%
		24	65	1
		14.9%	48.7%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a		
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	
	Asian - Chinese	a			F
	Asian - Filipino	a			a
	Asian - Indian	F	F	F	F
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	
	Asian - Korean	D F			
	Asian - Thai	a		a	
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a		
	Caucasian or White				
	Latino or Hispanic	C D E	a	a	
	Two or more races	a	a		
	Other	a	a		
	DK/NA	a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a,b
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a,b
	Asian - Chinese	F		a,b
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a,b
	Asian - Indian	F		a,b
	Asian - Japanese			a,b
	Asian - Korean			a,b
	Asian - Thai	a		a,b
	Asian - Vietnamese	.		a,b
	Asian - Other		a	a,b
	Caucasian or White		C D E	a,b
	Latino or Hispanic			a,b
	Two or more races			a,b
	Other			a,b
	DK/NA			a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	African American or Black	3	0	0	1	1	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	3	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	7	61	55	44	18	4
		32.7%	15.2%	28.9%	39.3%	38.9%	31.0%	34.5%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	0	1	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.6%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	1	29	33	30	12	1
		18.3%	3.2%	13.7%	23.1%	26.5%	20.2%	9.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	1	6	4	4	0	0
		2.7%	3.2%	2.8%	2.6%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	0	9	12	1	3	0
		4.3%	.0%	4.5%	8.3%	1.0%	4.8%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	2	2	3	0	0	0
		1.4%	5.2%	1.2%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	4	9	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	16.2%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	1	4	2	0	3	0
		1.8%	2.6%	1.8%	1.7%	.0%	4.9%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	146	29	74	17	17	9	1
		25.2%	63.7%	34.9%	12.4%	15.1%	15.4%	5.1%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	2	13	3	2	1	3
		4.0%	5.0%	6.0%	2.1%	1.6%	1.1%	25.3%
	Two or more races	12	1	5	1	4	2	0
		2.0%	1.8%	2.2%	.6%	3.1%	3.0%	.0%
	Other	6	0	1	4	1	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.5%	2.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	17	0	6	3	4	2	3
		3.0%	.0%	3.0%	1.8%	3.1%	3.3%	26.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a			a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a			a	a
	Asian - Chinese			A			
	Asian - Filipino	a		a		a	a
	Asian - Indian			A	A		
	Asian - Japanese					a	a
	Asian - Korean	a		D		a	a
	Asian - Thai					a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a		D	a
	Asian - Other						a
	Caucasian or White	B C D E F	C D				
	Latino or Hispanic						C D E
	Two or more races						a
	Other	a				a	
	DK/NA	a					B C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	3	3	189
	African American or Black	3	3	0	0
		.5%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	3	0
		.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	0	0	189
		32.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	0	0	0
		18.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	15	0	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	0	0	0
		4.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	0	0
		1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	0	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	0	0	0
		25.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	0	0	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	12	0	0	0
		2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	6	0	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	17	0	0	0
		3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	2	106	15	25
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	0	106	0	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	0	0	15	0
		.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	0	0	0	25
		.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	8	14	10	148
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0	14	0	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	0	0	10	0
		.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	0	0	0	148
		.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	23	12	6	17
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	0	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	0	12	0	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	6	0
		.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	17
		.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	a
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a
	Asian - Japanese	a	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	a	a
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	a
	Two or more races	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	African American or Black	1	1	0	0	0	0
		.5%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0	0	0	0
		1.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	81	24	39	12	4	3
		30.4%	22.9%	35.0%	37.9%	34.3%	29.7%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	0	1	0
		.9%	.0%	1.1%	.0%	10.7%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	71	40	25	3	2	2
		26.7%	38.3%	22.5%	9.0%	15.3%	18.7%
	Asian - Japanese	6	4	1	0	1	0
		2.3%	3.6%	.9%	.0%	13.4%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	6	1	3	1	0	0
		2.1%	1.1%	3.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	13	3	7	3	0	0
		4.7%	2.7%	5.9%	10.2%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	5	0	5	0	0	0
		1.9%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	48	17	18	8	2	3
		18.0%	15.9%	16.4%	27.1%	19.0%	30.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	7	4	1	2	0	0
		2.7%	4.0%	1.3%	5.4%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	6	0	3	2	0	1
		2.3%	.3%	2.4%	6.7%	.0%	11.5%
	Other	3	1	2	0	0	0
		1.0%	1.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	14	6	6	0	1	1
		5.2%	6.0%	5.3%	.0%	7.2%	10.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian		a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	
	Asian - Filipino	a		a	B	a
	Asian - Indian	C		.	.	.
	Asian - Japanese			a	B	a
	Asian - Korean			.	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese			.	a	a
	Asian - Other	a		a	a	a
	Caucasian or White
	Latino or Hispanic				a	a
	Two or more races				a	A
	Other				.	.
	DK/NA			a	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	African American or Black	3.8%	1.4%	1.6%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%
	Asian - Chinese	137	42	80	14	0	1
		35.9%	38.7%	35.7%	41.6%	.0%	9.4%
	Asian - Indian	57	10	29	12	2	5
		15.1%	9.0%	13.0%	35.7%	47.9%	40.8%
	Asian - Japanese	9	1	6	0	1	0
		2.3%	1.0%	2.8%	.0%	32.5%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	14	0	14	0	0	0
		3.6%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	1	7	0	0	0
		2.1%	.9%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	2	10	2	0	0
		3.6%	1.4%	4.6%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	5	4	1	0	0	0
		1.3%	3.6%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	102	37	58	5	1	1
		26.8%	34.6%	25.9%	14.1%	19.6%	11.2%
	Latino or Hispanic	8	2	5	1	0	0
		2.1%	1.8%	2.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
Two or more races	11	3	7	0	0	1	
	2.8%	2.4%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	9.2%	
Other	4	0	4	0	0	0	
	1.2%	.0%	1.9%	.8%	.0%	.0%	
DK/NA	10	6	1	0	0	3	
	2.6%	5.3%	.5%	.0%	.0%	29.4%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black			a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese			a	a	a
	Asian - Indian			A B		A
	Asian - Japanese			a	A B	a
	Asian - Korean	a		a	a	a
	Asian - Thai	.		a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese			a	a	a
	Asian - Other	B		.	a	a
	Caucasian or White				a	a
	Latino or Hispanic			a	a	.
	Two or more races			.	a	a
	Other	a		.	a	a
	DK/NA	B		.	.	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	African American or Black	3	3	0	0	1	0	0
		.5%	.6%	.0%	.0%	19.9%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	10.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	130	23	86	0	0	0
		32.5%	29.1%	86.2%	92.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Filipino	2	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	77	0	0	3	0	43
		18.2%	17.3%	.0%	.0%	35.7%	.0%	99.1%
	Asian - Japanese	15	14	0	0	0	0	0
		2.7%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	13	0	0	0	0	0
		4.3%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	4	0	1	0	0	0
		1.4%	1.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	3	0	3	0	0	0
		2.3%	.6%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	7	0	2	0	0	0
		1.7%	1.5%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	146	0	0	2	0	0
		25.5%	32.6%	.0%	.0%	31.2%	30.8%	.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	20	0	0	0	1	0
		4.0%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	69.2%	.0%
	Two or more races	12	10	1	0	0	0	0
		2.0%	2.2%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	6	5	0	0	1	0	0
		1.0%	1.2%	.0%	.3%	13.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	17	14	0	1	0	0	0
		3.0%	3.2%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	0	0	43	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	89.5%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.3%
	Asian - Indian	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Japanese	5	0	0	0	0	0
		79.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	0	22	0	0	0	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	0	0	0	8
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	65.2%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%
	Asian - Other	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	1	0	1	6	1	0
		20.1%	.0%	1.7%	45.2%	75.0%	1.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	0	0	0	6	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	47.5%	.0%	.0%
	Two or more races	0	0	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	25.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	14	56	7
	African American or Black	0	1	0
		.0%	2.6%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	0	1	1
		.0%	2.2%	15.7%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	0	35	2
		.0%	63.6%	24.9%
	Asian - Japanese	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	0	10	1
		.0%	18.5%	8.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	0	1	0
		.0%	1.8%	.0%
	Two or more races	0	2	1
		.0%	3.6%	15.4%
	Other	0	4	0
		.0%	7.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	35.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a	a	A	a,b	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	.	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Chinese	N	A L N O	A L N O	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Filipino		.	a	.	a,b	a
	Asian - Indian		a	a	.	a,b	a
	Asian - Japanese		a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Korean		a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Thai		a	.	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Vietnamese		a	A	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Other		a	.	a	.	a
	Caucasian or White	F I	a	a	F I	b	.
	Latino or Hispanic		a	.	a	a,b	a
	Two or more races		.	a	a	a,b	a
	Other		a	.	C	a,b	a
	DK/NA		a	.	a	a,b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	.	a	a,b	.
	Asian - Chinese	a	a	A L N O	a	a,b	.
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a	a,b	A
	Asian - Indian	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Japanese	A	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a	a	a,b	a
	Asian - Thai	.	.	.	a	a,b	A C
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a	a,b	.
	Asian - Other	a	a	.	a	a,b	A
	Caucasian or White	.	a	.	F I	b	.
	Latino or Hispanic	a	a	.	A N	a,b	a
	Two or more races	a	a	.	.	.	a
	Other	a	a	a	.	a,b	a
	DK/NA	.	.	a	a	a,b	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	.	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	a	.	.
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	a	A	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	a
	Asian - Korean	a	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a
	Caucasian or White	a	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	a	.	a
	Two or more races	a	.	.
	Other	a	A	a
	DK/NA	a	a	A C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	African American or Black	3	1	0	0	1
		.5%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	4.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	3	0	0
		.5%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	26	19	8	12
		32.5%	43.3%	37.0%	27.7%	32.2%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	0	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	2	0	2	8
		18.2%	2.7%	.0%	8.3%	20.8%
	Asian - Japanese	15	1	2	0	0
		2.7%	2.4%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	0	2	4	4
		4.3%	.0%	3.3%	15.4%	11.8%
	Asian - Thai	8	0	1	0	0
		1.4%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	0	0	0	0
		1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	22	18	13	9
		25.5%	35.9%	35.4%	45.8%	23.2%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	6	4	1	2
		4.0%	9.9%	8.6%	2.8%	5.8%
	Two or more races	12	1	2	0	1
		2.0%	2.1%	3.8%	.0%	2.2%
	Other	6	0	0	0	0
		1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	17	1	1	0	0
		3.0%	1.2%	1.5%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	African American or Black	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	17	7	12	12	49
		51.9%	17.5%	30.2%	35.7%	36.9%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0	0	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	Asian - Indian	4	5	6	12	46
		11.5%	11.8%	16.0%	36.8%	34.8%
	Asian - Japanese	1	0	0	1	2
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	1.6%
	Asian - Korean	0	3	0	0	1
		.0%	8.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	Asian - Thai	0	3	0	1	0
		.0%	8.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	0	5	6	0	2
		.0%	11.9%	16.0%	.0%	1.2%
	Asian - Other	1	1	0	0	3
		3.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	2.6%
	Caucasian or White	8	13	6	6	19
		24.7%	30.7%	15.7%	16.7%	14.5%
	Latino or Hispanic	1	3	3	1	2
		2.0%	7.1%	7.5%	3.6%	1.2%
	Two or more races	1	1	0	0	3
		2.5%	2.2%	.8%	.0%	2.1%
	Other	0	0	3	0	2
		.6%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	1.5%
	DK/NA	0	0	2	0	1
		.0%	.0%	6.4%	.0%	1.0%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	126
	African American or Black	0
	Asian - Cambodian	0
	Asian - Chinese	28
	Asian - Filipino	22.1%
	Asian - Indian	0
	Asian - Japanese	.0%
	Asian - Korean	21
	Asian - Thai	16.6%
	Asian - Vietnamese	8
	Asian - Other	6.4%
	Caucasian or White	10
	Latino or Hispanic	7.8%
	Two or more races	2
	Other	1.9%
	DK/NA	1
		.8%
		4
		3.6%
		35
		27.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a	a		a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	.	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese					J
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	a			.
	Asian - Japanese			a	a	
	Asian - Korean	a				a
	Asian - Thai	a		a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Other	a	a	a	a	.
	Caucasian or White					
	Latino or Hispanic			a		
	Two or more races			a		
	Other	a	a	a	a	
	DK/NA	.	.	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese				
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a	
	Asian - Indian	.	.	A	A J
	Asian - Japanese	a	a		
	Asian - Korean	.	a	a	
	Asian - Thai		a	.	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	I J	I J	a	.
	Asian - Other		a	a	
	Caucasian or White			.	
	Latino or Hispanic			a	
	Two or more races			a	
	Other	a		a	
	DK/NA	.	.	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a
	Asian - Chinese	.
	Asian - Filipino	a
	Asian - Indian	.
	Asian - Japanese	
	Asian - Korean	
	Asian - Thai	
	Asian - Vietnamese	
	Asian - Other	
	Caucasian or White	
	Latino or Hispanic	
	Two or more races	
	Other	
	DK/NA	I

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	582	167	153	262
	African American or Black	3	0	0	3
		.5%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	3	0	0
		.5%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	53	36	100
		32.5%	31.7%	23.6%	38.2%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	0	2
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.9%
	Asian - Indian	106	23	19	63
		18.2%	13.9%	12.7%	24.1%
	Asian - Japanese	15	6	3	7
		2.7%	3.4%	2.0%	2.5%
	Asian - Korean	25	5	14	6
		4.3%	2.9%	9.2%	2.3%
	Asian - Thai	8	1	5	2
		1.4%	.8%	3.1%	.8%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	0	13	1
		2.3%	.0%	8.2%	.4%
	Asian - Other	10	0	4	6
		1.7%	.0%	2.9%	2.2%
	Caucasian or White	148	63	35	50
		25.5%	37.5%	23.1%	19.2%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	3	12	8
		4.0%	1.9%	7.8%	3.1%
	Two or more races	12	4	1	6
		2.0%	2.6%	.6%	2.5%
	Other	6	0	2	3
		1.0%	.0%	1.6%	1.3%
	DK/NA	17	6	8	4
		3.0%	3.7%	5.0%	1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	
	Asian - Cambodian	.	.	a
	Asian - Chinese	.	.	B
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	
	Asian - Indian	.	.	A B
	Asian - Japanese	.	.	
	Asian - Korean	.	C	
	Asian - Thai	.		
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	C	
	Asian - Other	a		
	Caucasian or White	B C		
	Latino or Hispanic	.	A	
	Two or more races	.		
	Other	a		
	DK/NA	.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	African American or Black	3	0	0	1	1
		.6%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.9%
	Asian - Chinese	148	49	24	27	48
		31.9%	38.7%	26.2%	34.7%	28.7%
	Asian - Filipino	2	0	1	1	0
		.5%	.0%	1.3%	1.6%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	79	19	24	19	17
		17.1%	15.1%	26.8%	24.3%	10.2%
	Asian - Japanese	15	4	3	4	4
		3.3%	3.5%	3.0%	5.1%	2.6%
	Asian - Korean	14	7	3	2	2
		3.0%	5.6%	3.6%	2.0%	1.0%
	Asian - Thai	5	1	0	0	3
		1.0%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	5	0	2	2	2
		1.1%	.0%	1.9%	2.0%	.9%
	Asian - Other	9	4	2	1	1
		1.8%	3.1%	2.6%	1.6%	.6%
	Caucasian or White	137	27	21	15	74
		29.6%	21.2%	23.1%	19.4%	44.1%
	Latino or Hispanic	18	8	4	1	5
		4.0%	6.4%	4.9%	1.9%	2.7%
	Two or more races	9	2	2	0	5
		2.0%	1.6%	2.3%	.6%	2.8%
	Other	5	3	0	0	2
		1.2%	2.1%	.0%	.6%	1.4%
	DK/NA	13	2	4	3	3
		2.8%	1.6%	4.4%	4.4%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a		
	Asian - Chinese	.	.		
	Asian - Filipino	a			a
	Asian - Indian		D	D	
	Asian - Japanese				
	Asian - Korean				
	Asian - Thai		a	a	
	Asian - Vietnamese	a	.	.	
	Asian - Other				
	Caucasian or White				A B C
	Latino or Hispanic				
	Two or more races				
	Other		a		
	DK/NA		.		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	African American or Black	3	3	0	0	0
		.5%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	189	22	47	12	13
		32.7%	17.5%	39.6%	29.5%	32.5%
	Asian - Filipino	2	1	1	0	0
		.4%	1.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	106	20	29	2	4
		18.3%	16.4%	24.3%	4.3%	10.5%
	Asian - Japanese	15	8	1	0	0
		2.7%	6.4%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	25	4	7	0	3
		4.3%	3.6%	5.5%	.0%	8.0%
	Asian - Thai	8	3	0	0	4
		1.4%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
	Asian - Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	0
		2.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	10	0	1	1	0
		1.8%	.0%	1.0%	3.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	148	40	24	23	14
		25.6%	32.7%	20.5%	57.7%	34.9%
	Latino or Hispanic	23	9	5	1	1
		4.0%	7.0%	3.8%	2.7%	3.5%
	Two or more races	11	5	1	1	0
		1.8%	3.8%	.7%	2.8%	.6%
	Other	5	1	0	0	0
		.8%	1.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	16	5	3	0	0
		2.8%	4.2%	2.5%	.0%	.7%

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	Total	112	7	9
	African American or Black	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Cambodian	3	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Chinese	42	2	1
		37.1%	22.7%	15.8%
	Asian - Filipino	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Indian	20	1	1
		17.8%	15.7%	13.1%
	Asian - Japanese	3	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Korean	3	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Thai	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Vietnamese	2	0	0
		1.4%	.0%	.0%
	Asian - Other	4	0	0
		3.3%	.0%	.0%
	Caucasian or White	27	2	3
		24.5%	32.9%	33.0%
	Latino or Hispanic	1	1	1
		.6%	17.1%	11.4%
	Two or more races	0	1	2
		.2%	11.6%	22.5%
	Other	3	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	2.4%
	DK/NA	6	0	0
		5.6%	.0%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black		a	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	a	a	a	a	a
	Asian - Chinese		A			A
	Asian - Filipino			a	a	a
	Asian - Indian				a	
	Asian - Japanese			a		
	Asian - Korean			a		
	Asian - Thai		a	a	E	
	Asian - Vietnamese		a	a	a	A F
	Asian - Other	a			a	
	Caucasian or White	E		B E F	E	
	Latino or Hispanic					
	Two or more races					
	Other			a	a	
	DK/NA			a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
E. What ethnic group do you consider yourself a part of or feel closest to?	African American or Black	a	a	a
	Asian - Cambodian	.	a	a
	Asian - Chinese	A	.	.
	Asian - Filipino	a	a	a
	Asian - Indian	.	.	.
	Asian - Japanese	.	a	a
	Asian - Korean	.	a	a
	Asian - Thai	a	a	a
	Asian - Vietnamese	.	a	a
	Asian - Other	.	a	a
	Caucasian or White	.	.	.
	Latino or Hispanic	.	F	.
	Two or more races	.	.	B E F
	Other	.	a	.
	DK/NA	.	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	582
	0	315	315
		54.2%	54.2%
	1	104	104
		17.9%	17.9%
	2	113	113
		19.3%	19.3%
	3	31	31
		5.2%	5.2%
	4	5	5
		.9%	.9%
	5	4	4
		.7%	.7%
	10	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	20	1	1
		.2%	.2%
	DK/NA	9	9
		1.5%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	5	.
	10	.
	20	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	315	155	160	0
		54.2%	55.1%	53.8%	.0%
	1	104	50	54	0
		17.9%	17.9%	18.0%	.0%
	2	113	54	57	2
		19.3%	19.0%	19.1%	66.3%
	3	31	12	19	0
		5.2%	4.2%	6.2%	.0%
	4	5	4	1	0
		.9%	1.3%	.4%	.0%
	5	4	3	1	0
		.7%	1.0%	.5%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	4	1
		1.5%	1.3%	1.4%	33.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0			a
	1			a
	2			
	3			a
	4			a
	5			a
	10		a	a
	20	a		a
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	0	315	59	52	29	84	82	9
		54.2%	77.3%	51.6%	20.5%	55.0%	80.7%	100.0%
	1	104	12	16	32	36	9	0
		17.9%	15.6%	16.1%	22.4%	23.3%	8.6%	.0%
	2	113	5	26	60	17	5	0
		19.3%	7.1%	26.0%	42.3%	10.9%	4.5%	.0%
	3	31	0	4	14	11	1	0
		5.2%	.0%	4.3%	10.0%	6.9%	1.4%	.0%
	4	5	0	2	2	1	0	0
		.9%	.0%	1.9%	1.4%	.7%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	3	0	1	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	2	5	2	0
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	3.3%	1.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	B C D	C		C	B C D	a
	1			E	E		a
	2		A D E	A D E			a
	3	a		E			a
	4	a					a
	5	a	a		a		a
	10	a	a	a	a		a
	20	a	a	a	a		a
	DK/NA						a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	424	158
	0	315	237	79
		54.2%	55.7%	49.9%
	1	104	75	29
		17.9%	17.6%	18.5%
	2	113	82	31
		19.3%	19.3%	19.5%
	3	31	20	11
		5.2%	4.7%	6.7%
	4	5	3	2
		.9%	.7%	1.2%
	5	4	3	1
		.7%	.7%	.9%
	10	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	5
		1.5%	.9%	3.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0		
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	10		a
	20		a
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	0	315	144	59	10	102
		54.2%	59.0%	73.5%	63.1%	42.2%
	1	104	43	7	2	52
		17.9%	17.5%	9.0%	11.9%	21.6%
	2	113	42	7	3	60
		19.3%	17.2%	8.9%	19.9%	25.0%
	3	31	10	5	1	15
		5.2%	4.2%	5.6%	5.1%	6.2%
	4	5	0	1	0	4
		.9%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	1.6%
	5	4	3	0	0	1
		.7%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	10	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	1	1	0	7
		1.5%	.3%	1.5%	.0%	2.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	D	D		
	1				
	2				B
	3				
	4	a		a	
	5		a	a	
	10	a		a	a
	20		a	a	a
	DK/NA			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	315	69	44	20	24	68	91
		54.2%	56.7%	66.3%	61.1%	83.6%	53.0%	44.3%
	1	104	26	9	3	2	28	35
		17.9%	21.8%	14.2%	9.5%	8.7%	21.8%	16.9%
	2	113	19	9	5	1	24	54
		19.3%	16.0%	14.0%	16.6%	2.3%	18.8%	26.1%
	3	31	4	4	3	0	5	14
		5.2%	3.6%	5.5%	9.1%	1.4%	4.1%	6.8%
	4	5	0	0	0	1	0	4
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	1.9%
	5	4	0	0	0	0	3	1
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.7%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	1	0	1	0	0	7
		1.5%	.6%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.1%	3.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		F		E F		
1						
2						
3						
4	a	a	a		a	
5	a	a	a	a		
10	a	a	a		a	a
20	a	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA				a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	0	315	128	36	37	22	20
		54.2%	48.6%	46.4%	45.0%	46.9%	75.1%
	1	104	52	16	16	11	3
		17.9%	19.8%	20.8%	20.0%	22.6%	12.0%
	2	113	62	19	18	9	2
		19.3%	23.6%	23.6%	22.0%	19.5%	8.3%
	3	31	12	5	8	4	1
		5.2%	4.6%	6.6%	9.7%	8.7%	4.6%
	4	5	3	0	1	0	0
		.9%	1.2%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	2	1	1	0	0
		.7%	.6%	1.5%	1.8%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	1	0	1	0
		1.5%	1.6%	1.2%	.5%	2.2%	.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	23	38	24
	0	16	35	21
		69.1%	92.8%	86.3%
	1	2	3	1
		9.9%	7.2%	2.4%
	2	1	0	1
		6.1%	.0%	5.3%
	3	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	1	0	0
		4.5%	.0%	.0%
	5	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	6.0%
	DK/NA	2	0	0
		10.3%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0						
	1						
	2						
	3						a
	4		a		a	a	
	5				a	a	
	10		a	a	a	a	
	20	a	a	a	a	a	
	
	DK/NA					a	
						.	
						.	
						.	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	A B C D	A B C D
	1		
	2	a	
	3	a	a
	4	a	a
	5	a	a
	10	a	a
	20	a	
		.	.
	DK/NA	a	a
		.	.
		.	.
		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	0	315	3	80	22	27	10	5	23	60	47
		54.2%	47.5%	46.4%	53.5%	58.4%	34.9%	54.8%	62.2%	64.1%	
	1	104	3	25	4	6	5	3	15	21	10
		17.9%	52.5%	14.6%	8.4%	12.3%	32.1%	19.9%	36.0%	22.1%	13.4%
	2	113	0	57	9	7	0	3	2	6	14
		19.3%	.0%	32.9%	21.0%	15.0%	.0%	20.8%	3.6%	6.2%	18.6%
	3	31	0	5	4	3	0	2	1	6	3
		5.2%	.0%	2.9%	9.4%	7.0%	.0%	18.1%	2.9%	6.7%	3.9%
	4	5	0	4	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.9%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	1.5%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	1	2	1	2	1	0	1	0
		1.5%	.0%	.8%	4.3%	2.0%	9.6%	6.4%	.0%	1.3%	.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	64	2	5
	0	37	0	1
		57.4%	.0%	19.1%
	1	9	1	2
		13.9%	56.1%	27.6%
	2	13	0	3
		20.7%	.0%	49.0%
	3	5	0	0
		8.0%	.0%	4.3%
	4	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	0
		.0%	43.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0										
	1										
	2	a	G H			a					
	3	a				a					
	4	a				a					
	5	a	a	a		a	a	a	a	a	a
	10	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	20	a	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a						a		a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	a	
	1	a	
	2	a	G H
	3	a	
	4	a	a
	5	a	a
	10	a	a
	20	a	a
	DK/NA	B H	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	464	118
	0	315	247	69
		54.2%	53.2%	58.1%
	1	104	86	18
		17.9%	18.6%	15.1%
	2	113	87	26
		19.3%	18.7%	21.9%
	3	31	27	3
		5.2%	5.8%	3.0%
	4	5	4	1
		.9%	.9%	.7%
	5	4	4	0
		.7%	.9%	.0%
	10	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	7	1
		1.5%	1.6%	1.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
0			
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			a
10			a
20			a
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	347	235
	0	315	199	116
		54.2%	57.4%	49.3%
	1	104	63	41
		17.9%	18.3%	17.3%
	2	113	57	56
		19.3%	16.4%	23.7%
	3	31	16	15
		5.2%	4.6%	6.2%
	4	5	3	2
		.9%	.9%	.8%
	5	4	3	2
		.7%	.8%	.7%
	10	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.6%
	DK/NA	9	6	3
		1.5%	1.7%	1.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
0			
1			
2			A
3			
4			
5			
10		a	
20		a	
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	315 54.2%	10 89.3%	14 27.7%	26 28.0%	65 49.1%	97 59.5%
	1	104 17.9%	0 .0%	11 21.9%	20 21.0%	38 29.0%	24 14.9%
	2	113 19.3%	1 10.7%	17 34.2%	36 38.1%	21 15.6%	29 18.0%
	3	31 5.2%	0 .0%	7 14.9%	6 6.7%	5 3.7%	10 5.9%
	4	5 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	2 2.1%	1 .9%	0 .0%
	5	4 .7%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	2 1.3%	1 .9%
	10	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	20	1 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	9 1.5%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	3 2.9%	1 .4%	1 .7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	133	1
	0	104 78.4%	0 .0%
	1	11 8.3%	0 .0%
	2	9 6.6%	0 .0%
	3	2 1.8%	0 .0%
	4	2 1.4%	0 .0%
	5	0 .0%	0 .0%
	10	0 .1%	0 .0%
	20	1 1.1%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	3 2.5%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0					
	1	B C			C	B C
	2	.b			E F	
	3		F	D E F		
	4	.b	F			
	5	.b	.b			.b
	10	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	20	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	B C D E	a,,b
	1		a,,b
	2		a,,b
	3		a,,b
	4		a,,b
	5	.b	a,,b
	10		a,,b
	20		a,,b
	DK/NA		a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	0	313	40	124	67	48	28	7
		54.0%	89.3%	59.0%	47.6%	41.8%	47.6%	56.3%
	1	104	1	27	42	27	7	0
		17.9%	1.4%	13.0%	30.1%	23.4%	12.1%	.0%
	2	113	1	45	26	26	12	4
		19.4%	1.4%	21.3%	18.5%	22.5%	19.9%	33.9%
	3	31	0	12	3	8	8	0
		5.3%	.0%	5.8%	1.9%	7.0%	13.4%	.0%
	4	5	0	2	0	2	1	0
		.9%	.0%	.9%	.0%	1.7%	1.8%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	0	1	3	0
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	5.3%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	3.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	2	0	3	3	0	1
		1.5%	4.6%	.0%	1.9%	2.6%	.0%	9.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	B C D E	D					a
1				A B	A		a
2		A			A		A
3	a			a		C	a
4	a			a			a
5	a	a					a
10	a	a			a	a	a
20		a			a	a	a
DK/NA		a				a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	315	1	0	108	0	35
		54.2%	50.0%	.0%	57.1%	.0%	32.6%
	1	104	1	3	24	0	40
		17.9%	50.0%	100.0%	12.6%	.0%	37.7%
	2	113	0	0	39	1	25
		19.3%	.0%	.0%	20.8%	51.2%	24.0%
	3	31	0	0	12	0	3
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	6.1%	.0%	2.6%
	4	5	0	0	2	0	0
		.9%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	1	1	2
		.7%	.0%	.0%	.8%	48.8%	1.6%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	3	0	2
		1.5%	.0%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	1.6%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	9	19	8	1	5
		59.5%	77.5%	100.0%	7.1%	49.6%
	1	4	1	0	3	0
		24.4%	4.7%	.0%	21.0%	.0%
	2	1	3	0	7	5
		6.7%	13.5%	.0%	48.9%	50.4%
	3	0	1	0	3	0
		.0%	4.4%	.0%	23.1%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	0	0
		9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	100	16	6	3	4
		67.6%	68.5%	47.3%	51.9%	20.6%
	1	17	4	0	1	6
		11.2%	18.0%	2.7%	18.3%	35.5%
	2	18	1	3	2	6
		12.4%	6.3%	23.5%	29.8%	34.4%
	3	8	2	2	0	0
		5.6%	7.1%	17.7%	.0%	.0%
	4	2	0	0	0	1
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	5	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	3	0	1	0	1
		1.8%	.0%	8.8%	.0%	5.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0			E I		
	1					C K
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5				C E	
	10					
	20					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0		E I O			
	1					
	2				K	
	3				E	
	4					
	5					
	10					
	20					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	E I O	I			
	1					
	2					
	3					
	4					
	5					
	10					
	20					
	DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	1	104	104	0	0	0	0
		39.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	113	0	113	0	0	0
		42.2%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	31	0	0	31	0	0
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	5	0	0	0	5	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	46.2%	.0%
	5	4	0	0	0	4	0
		1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	39.5%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	0	0	0	0	9
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	1	a	a	a	a	a
	2	a	a	a	a	a
	3	a	a	a	a	a
	4	a	a	a	a	a
	5	a	a	a	a	a
	10	a	a	a	a	a
	20	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	0	258	64	162	26	3	4
		67.8%	59.4%	72.4%	76.8%	65.4%	32.7%
	1	60	25	31	1	0	3
		15.8%	23.5%	13.9%	2.7%	.0%	25.9%
	2	32	9	21	2	0	0
		8.4%	8.3%	9.4%	6.7%	.0%	.0%
	3	14	8	2	5	0	0
		3.6%	7.0%	.7%	13.8%	.0%	.0%
	4	3	0	3	0	0	0
		.8%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	3	0	3	0	0	0
		.8%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	0	1	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	2	2	0	0	5
		2.3%	1.9%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	41.4%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0		E		a	
	1	C			a	
	2				a	
	3	B		B	a	
	4	a		a	a	
	5	a		a	a	
	10	a	a	a	a	
	20	a	a	a	a	
	DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	0	315	252	10	49	2	1	16	4
		54.2%	56.1%	39.3%	53.1%	24.3%	100.0%	35.5%	59.2%
	1	104	81	7	10	3	0	14	2
		17.9%	18.0%	24.9%	11.1%	35.7%	.0%	31.9%	25.2%
	2	113	85	6	19	1	0	12	1
		19.3%	19.1%	22.4%	20.2%	13.2%	.0%	26.5%	15.6%
	3	31	19	1	11	2	0	1	0
		5.2%	4.2%	3.1%	11.5%	26.8%	.0%	2.4%	.0%
	4	5	5	1	2	0	0	0	0
		.9%	1.1%	4.7%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	4	1	1	0	0	0	2	0
		.7%	.3%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	4	0	2	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	.9%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56
	0	18	28	9	1	8	1	22
		79.6%	57.7%	69.8%	100.0%	67.1%	7.1%	39.1%
	1	1	7	2	0	0	3	14
		5.2%	13.6%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	21.0%	25.0%
	2	3	11	2	0	2	7	13
		15.2%	22.9%	18.5%	.0%	13.8%	48.9%	24.0%
	3	0	1	0	0	0	3	3
		.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	23.1%	6.3%
	4	0	0	0	0	1	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	5.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
0		M				a,b		M O
1						a,b		
2						a,b		
3				A		a,b		.b
4					.b	a,b	.b	.b
5			A	.b	.b	a,b	A	.b
10			.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b
20			.b	.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b
DK/NA			.b		.b	a,b	.b	.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
0				a,b	M		
1				a,b	.b		
2				a,b			
3			.b	a,b	.b	A	.b
4		.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b
5		.b	.b	a,b	A	.b	.b
10		.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b
20		.b	.b	a,b	.b	.b	.b
DK/NA			.b	a,b	.b	.b	A C I

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	0	315	48	33	19	23	21
		54.2%	80.1%	63.7%	64.9%	62.7%	66.1%
	1	104	4	7	4	5	4
		17.9%	7.0%	13.0%	12.6%	14.1%	12.0%
	2	113	2	9	6	7	5
		19.3%	3.1%	17.3%	22.2%	17.8%	14.1%
	3	31	2	2	0	2	3
		5.2%	3.8%	3.2%	.0%	5.4%	7.8%
	4	5	0	1	0	0	0
		.9%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	12	17	41	74
		31.8%	51.1%	31.2%	58.7%
	1	13	7	36	22
		34.6%	21.3%	27.2%	17.8%
	2	10	9	37	18
		25.3%	27.6%	28.1%	14.3%
	3	3	0	9	7
		8.3%	.0%	7.1%	5.4%
	4	0	0	3	0
		.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	G I	I	I	I	I	I	
	1							A F
	2							A
	3			a				a
	4	a		a	a	a		a
	5	.	a	a	a	a	a	a
	10	a	a	.	a	a	a	a
	20	.	a	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	a	a	a	a	a
			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0			I
	1			
	2	A	A	
	3	a		
	4	a		a
	5	a		a
	10	a	a	a
	20	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		.
		.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	582	167	153	262
	0	315	124	76	115
		54.2%	73.8%	50.1%	44.0%
	1	104	25	25	54
		17.9%	14.9%	16.6%	20.5%
	2	113	5	34	73
		19.3%	3.2%	22.2%	28.0%
	3	31	5	15	11
		5.2%	2.8%	9.7%	4.2%
	4	5	1	0	4
		.9%	.5%	.0%	1.6%
	5	4	1	2	1
		.7%	.9%	1.1%	.4%
	10	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	1	0	0
		.2%	.9%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	5	1	3
		1.5%	3.1%	.4%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	B C		
	1			
	2		A	A
	3		A	
	4		a	
	5		.	
	10	a	a	
	20	.	a	a
		.	.	.
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	0	265	75	39	38	113
		57.4%	59.4%	43.6%	48.6%	67.5%
	1	82	19	23	18	22
		17.7%	14.9%	25.1%	23.0%	13.3%
	2	77	22	19	13	22
		16.6%	17.4%	21.2%	17.1%	13.2%
	3	25	9	5	5	5
		5.4%	7.3%	5.7%	7.0%	3.2%
	4	3	0	0	2	1
		.7%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.6%
	5	3	1	1	0	0
		.6%	1.2%	1.3%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%
	20	1	0	0	1	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	6	0	3	0	4
		1.4%	.0%	3.0%	.0%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0					B C
1					
2					
3					
4		a	a		a
5				a	a
10		a		a	a
20		a	a		a
DK/NA		a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
							Male NPP
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
							112
	0	315	66	78	28	32	61
		54.4%	53.7%	65.0%	69.3%	77.6%	47.9%
	1	104	24	19	3	4	26
		18.0%	19.2%	15.9%	8.1%	10.0%	20.1%
	2	111	24	17	4	3	29
		19.1%	19.2%	14.4%	9.7%	8.2%	23.1%
	3	31	7	3	5	0	6
		5.3%	6.0%	2.4%	11.4%	.0%	4.6%
	4	5	0	0	0	1	1
		.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	1.0%
	5	4	0	3	0	0	1
		.7%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	1.1%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%
	20	1	1	0	0	0	0
		.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	1	0	1	1	3
		1.3%	.6%	.0%	1.6%	1.4%	2.2%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	Total	7	9
	0	5	5
		72.8%	55.4%
	1	1	1
		15.7%	9.0%
	2	0	3
		.0%	35.6%
	3	1	0
		11.6%	.0%
	4	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	5	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	10	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	20	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0		F	F	E F			
	1							a
	2							
	3				a			
	4	a	a	a				a
	5	a		a	a		a	a
	10	a	a	a		a	a	a
	20		a	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		a					a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
F1. Of the people who currently reside in your household, how many are: Under the age of 18	0	
	1	
	2	
	3	a
	4	a
	5	a
	10	a
	20	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	582
	0	201	201
	1	108	108
	2	224	224
	3	34	34
	4	3	3
	30	2	2
	DK/NA	11	11

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	30	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	201	106	94	1
	1	108	37	70	0
	2	224	117	106	1
	3	34	12	21	0
	4	3	1	2	0
	30	2	0	1	0
	DK/NA	11	7	3	1
		1.9%	2.5%	1.0%	33.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0			a
	1		A	.
	2			.
	3			a
	4			a
	30			a
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	0	201	24	68	90	15	4	0
		34.6%	30.9%	67.4%	63.7%	10.0%	4.1%	.0%
	1	108	10	7	24	36	28	3
		18.5%	13.0%	7.2%	16.8%	23.4%	27.7%	32.1%
	2	224	36	21	20	81	62	5
		38.5%	46.3%	20.6%	14.0%	53.0%	61.0%	55.4%
	3	34	7	2	3	15	6	0
		5.8%	9.7%	2.4%	2.0%	9.9%	5.6%	.0%
	4	3	0	0	0	3	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	0	2	5	3	0	1
		1.9%	.0%	2.3%	3.4%	1.7%	.1%	12.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	D E	A D E	A D E			a
	1				B	B	.
	2	B C			B C	B C	C
	3				C		a
	4	a	a	a		a	a
	30	a	a	a	a	.	a
	DK/NA	a	E

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	424	158
	0	201	122	79
		34.6%	28.7%	50.2%
	1	108	80	27
		18.5%	19.0%	17.3%
	2	224	186	39
		38.5%	43.7%	24.5%
	3	34	26	8
		5.8%	6.0%	5.1%
	4	3	3	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%
	30	2	2	0
		.3%	.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	7	5
		1.9%	1.5%	2.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		A
	1		
	2	B	
	3		
	4		a
	30		a
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	0	201	90	13	3	95
		34.6%	36.9%	15.8%	21.0%	39.4%
	1	108	40	15	5	48
		18.5%	16.4%	18.4%	28.8%	20.0%
	2	224	85	48	5	85
		38.5%	35.1%	59.8%	33.8%	35.2%
	3	34	21	3	3	7
		5.8%	8.5%	3.7%	16.4%	3.1%
	4	3	0	2	0	1
		.5%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.5%
	30	2	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.6%	.1%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	6	0	0	5
		1.9%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	B			B
	1				
	2		A D		
	3			D	
	4	a		a	
	30			a	a
	DK/NA		a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	201	54	18	7	1	39	82
		34.6%	44.3%	27.2%	21.0%	4.2%	30.4%	40.1%
	1	108	30	7	11	2	11	47
		18.5%	24.6%	10.4%	35.1%	6.0%	8.8%	22.7%
	2	224	27	37	12	24	60	64
		38.5%	22.1%	55.9%	38.5%	84.7%	46.4%	31.2%
	3	34	7	4	2	1	14	7
		5.8%	5.5%	6.5%	5.4%	2.1%	10.6%	3.2%
	4	3	0	0	0	1	1	1
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.8%	.5%
	30	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	3	0	0	0	4	4
		1.9%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	D					D
	1	E		B E			E
	2		A F		A C ...	A	
	3			a			
	4	a	a	a		a	a
	30		a	a	a		
	DK/NA		a	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	0	201	119	39	27	13	1
		34.6%	45.1%	50.2%	33.1%	27.4%	4.4%
	1	108	44	10	17	10	6
		18.5%	16.9%	12.6%	21.1%	21.2%	21.7%
	2	224	80	25	28	20	16
		38.5%	30.5%	32.3%	33.8%	43.0%	60.9%
	3	34	15	2	9	2	1
		5.8%	5.8%	2.9%	11.5%	3.9%	4.1%
	4	3	0	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	4	2	0	1	2
		1.9%	1.7%	2.0%	.5%	2.2%	9.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	23	38	24
	0	1	1	0
		6.1%	1.7%	.4%
	1	8	6	6
		36.8%	16.5%	23.5%
	2	13	26	15
		57.0%	69.3%	62.0%
	3	0	3	1
		.0%	7.7%	3.0%
	4	0	2	0
		.0%	4.9%	.0%
	30	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	6.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	5.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	E F G H	E F G H	G H	G		
	1						
	2					A	
	3						a
	4	a	a	a		a	a
	
	30		a		a	a	a
	DK/NA		.			.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		
	1		
	2	A B C	A
	3		
	4		a
		a	.
	30	.	A
	DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	0	201	0	76	20	15	3	6	9	29	26
		34.6%	.0%	43.8%	48.9%	32.9%	16.6%	45.0%	21.2%	29.9%	35.0%
	1	108	2	22	5	8	2	5	12	22	17
		18.5%	31.7%	12.8%	12.1%	18.0%	11.9%	35.1%	29.5%	22.3%	22.7%
	2	224	4	64	8	21	11	1	16	35	26
		38.5%	68.3%	37.1%	19.1%	46.5%	64.1%	6.5%	39.1%	36.4%	36.1%
	3	34	0	8	4	1	1	2	4	4	5
		5.8%	.0%	4.8%	9.6%	2.2%	7.4%	11.7%	10.2%	4.0%	6.2%
	4	3	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.6%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.1%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	0	2	2	0	0	0	0	6	0
		1.9%	.0%	.9%	4.3%	.4%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	6.5%	.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	64	2	5
	0	13	0	4
		20.4%	.0%	75.6%
	1	13	0	0
		19.9%	.0%	.0%
	2	34	1	1
		52.2%	56.1%	24.4%
	3	5	0	0
		7.5%	.0%	.0%
	4	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	30	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	1	0
		.0%	43.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
	1	.	J								
	2										
	3	C
	4
	30	.		B
	DK/NA

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	(K)	(L)
	1	.	.
	2	.	.
	3	.	.
	4	.	.
	30	.	.
	DK/NA	B	D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	464	118
	0	201	164	37
		34.6%	35.4%	31.2%
	1	108	87	21
		18.5%	18.7%	17.6%
	2	224	174	50
		38.5%	37.6%	42.1%
	3	34	25	9
		5.8%	5.4%	7.3%
	4	3	1	2
		.5%	.2%	1.5%
	30	2	2	0
		.3%	.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	11	0
		1.9%	2.3%	.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	30		a
	DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	347	235
	0	201 34.6%	106 30.4%	96 40.6%
	1	108 18.5%	70 20.0%	38 16.2%
	2	224 38.5%	142 41.0%	82 34.8%
	3	34 5.8%	20 5.8%	13 5.7%
	4	3 .5%	2 .5%	1 .4%
	30	2 .3%	0 .0%	2 .7%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	8 2.2%	3 1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		A
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	30	a	
	DK/NA	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	201 34.6%	9 81.7%	38 77.5%	64 68.2%	47 35.6%	33 20.4%
	1	108 18.5%	0 2.7%	4 7.2%	13 13.6%	36 27.2%	31 18.8%
	2	224 38.5%	2 15.6%	5 9.9%	13 14.2%	43 32.9%	75 46.0%
	3	34 5.8%	0 .0%	1 2.9%	0 .0%	5 3.9%	22 13.5%
	4	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 1.1%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	30	2 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	0 .0%	1 2.5%	3 2.9%	1 .4%	2 1.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	133	1
	0	10 7.4%	0 .0%
	1	25 18.6%	0 .0%
	2	85 64.2%	1 100.0%
	3	5 3.7%	0 .0%
	4	2 1.4%	0 .0%
	30	2 1.2%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	5 3.5%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	DEF	DEF	DEF	F	F
	1					
	2				BC	BC
	3	.b		.b		DF
	4	.b	.b		.b	.b
	30	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	DK/NA	.b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		a,b
	1		a,b
	2	ABCDE	a,b
	3		a,b
	4		a,b
	30		a,b
	DK/NA		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	0	201 34.7%	7 14.9%	103 48.8%	39 27.5%	35 31.0%	8 13.4%	10 84.6%
	1	106 18.3%	28 62.8%	22 10.5%	27 19.2%	20 17.5%	8 14.6%	1 5.7%
	2	223 38.5%	9 19.0%	79 37.5%	57 40.8%	48 42.4%	30 51.1%	1 5.1%
	3	34 5.8%	0 .0%	2 1.0%	12 8.6%	8 7.3%	11 19.1%	0 .0%
	4	3 .5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 .7%	1 1.8%	0 .0%
	30	2 .3%	1 3.2%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	0 .0%	5 2.3%	4 3.1%	1 1.2%	0 .0%	1 4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		ACDE				ACDE
	1	BCDEF					
	2						
	3	a		B	B	A	a
	4	a	a			B	a
	30	C	a		a	a	a
	DK/NA					a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	201	0	3	53	2	48
		34.6%	.0%	100.0%	27.8%	100.0%	45.7%
	1	108	1	0	42	0	10
		18.5%	50.0%	.0%	22.0%	.0%	9.1%
	2	224	1	0	80	0	29
		38.5%	50.0%	.0%	42.2%	.0%	27.5%
	3	34	0	0	14	0	12
		5.8%	.0%	.0%	7.4%	.0%	11.3%
	4	3	0	0	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	0	0	1	0	5
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	4.3%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	7	11	0	0	5
		43.3%	45.0%	.0%	.0%	51.6%
	1	1	0	1	2	4
		7.1%	.0%	11.8%	11.3%	38.2%
	2	6	14	7	10	1
		40.2%	55.0%	88.2%	76.9%	10.2%
	3	0	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	30	1	0	0	0	0
		9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	46	15	1	1	7
		31.2%	66.2%	9.7%	23.4%	42.9%
	1	37	2	3	0	6
		25.1%	8.1%	22.6%	.0%	32.6%
	2	58	5	7	4	1
		39.0%	21.4%	59.0%	72.1%	5.8%
	3	5	1	0	0	0
		3.2%	4.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%
	4	1	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	30	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	0	1	0	3
		.8%	.0%	8.8%	.0%	18.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0 1 2 3 4 30 DK/NA	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
		a	a		a	
		.	a		a	
		.	a		a	
		a	a		a	
		.	a	a	a	
		a	a	a	a	a
		.	a	.	a	.
	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0 1 2 3 4 30 DK/NA	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
			a	a	a	
			.	.	.	
			a	E O	E O	a
		a	a	a	a	a
		.	a	.	.	.
		.	a	a	a	a
		K	.	.	a	a
		a	a	.	.	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0					
	1		C		a	
	2				.	
	3			a		a
	4		a	a	a	a
	30		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA		.	.	.	C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	0	144	44	80	17	3	0
		54.1%	42.2%	71.5%	55.0%	28.9%	.0%
	1	44	25	9	8	0	2
		16.4%	24.3%	7.9%	24.6%	.0%	23.4%
	2	62	31	21	2	6	2
		23.2%	29.9%	18.6%	5.2%	56.8%	24.6%
	3	8	1	2	5	0	0
		2.9%	.9%	2.0%	15.2%	.0%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	2	0
		.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	3	0	0	0	5
		2.8%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	52.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0					a
	1	B	A D		a	.
	2	C			B C	.
	3			A B	a	a
	30	a	a	a	a	.
		.	a	.	.	.
	DK/NA				a	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	1	108	108	0	0	0	0
		28.3%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	224	0	224	0	0	0
		58.8%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	34	0	0	34	0	0
		8.8%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	3	0	0	0	3	0
		.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	65.4%	.0%
	30	2	0	0	0	2	0
		.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	34.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	11	0	0	0	0	11
		2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	a	a	a	a	a
	1	a	a	a	a	a
	2	a	a	a	a	a
	3	a	a	a	a	a
	4	a	a	a	a	a
	30	a	a	a	a	a
DK/NA		a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	0	201	162	11	22	3	0	20	4
		34.6%	36.2%	43.7%	24.1%	42.6%	.0%	45.4%	58.2%
	1	108	84	5	23	3	0	3	0
		18.5%	18.7%	17.8%	25.2%	39.7%	24.3%	7.5%	3.5%
	2	224	170	10	40	1	1	13	3
		38.5%	38.0%	38.5%	42.6%	17.7%	75.7%	30.3%	38.4%
	3	34	21	0	8	0	0	6	0
		5.8%	4.6%	.0%	8.2%	.0%	.0%	14.0%	.0%
	4	3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	30	2	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA		11	7	0	0	0	0	1	0
		1.9%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	10	9	3	0	1	0	19	2
		45.8%	18.9%	26.1%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	34.7%	27.5%
	1	0	12	2	0	1	2	10	0
		.0%	25.8%	11.7%	.0%	9.6%	11.3%	17.6%	.0%
	2	12	22	7	1	10	10	18	1
		54.2%	47.1%	54.6%	100.0%	81.1%	76.9%	32.9%	8.9%
	3	0	4	1	0	0	2	7	0
		.0%	8.1%	7.6%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	12.9%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%
	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	63.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0					a,b			
	1					a			b
	2					a			
	3		b		b	a,b		b	b
	4		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b
	30		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b
DK/NA			b	b	b	a,b		b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA	
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0			a,b		b			
	1			a,b				b	
	2			a,b					
	3			a,b	b			b	
	4	b	b	a,b	b	b		b	
	30	b	b	a,b	b	b	b	b	
DK/NA		b	b	a,b	b	b	b	A F	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	0	201	14	18	9	14	6	17
		34.6%	23.5%	34.0%	31.3%	36.7%	19.4%	40.6%
	1	108	21	11	4	6	4	1
		18.5%	35.0%	20.8%	12.6%	16.7%	12.1%	2.2%
	2	224	19	20	15	14	22	21
		38.5%	31.1%	39.1%	51.6%	37.7%	68.5%	50.9%
	3	34	5	2	1	3	0	3
		5.8%	8.0%	4.6%	4.2%	8.9%	.0%	6.4%
	4	3	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	30	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	2.4%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
DK/NA		11	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	12 32.2%	11 34.0%	56 42.4%	44 34.7%
	1	9 24.4%	3 8.9%	23 17.6%	25 20.2%
	2	15 38.8%	11 32.7%	45 33.8%	43 33.7%
	3	2 4.2%	8 24.4%	6 4.6%	3 2.7%
	4	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 .8%	1 .9%
	30	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	0 .4%	0 .0%	1 .8%	10 7.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0							
	1	F						
	2					A I J		
	3					a		
	4	a		a	a	a	a	a
	30	.	a	.	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a	.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0			
	1			
	2			
	3	I J		
	4	a		
	30	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a		I

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	582	167	153	262
	0	201 34.6%	20 12.2%	66 43.5%	114 43.6%
	1	108 18.5%	43 25.7%	27 17.7%	38 14.4%
	2	224 38.5%	86 51.3%	48 31.2%	91 34.6%
	3	34 5.8%	11 6.5%	10 6.5%	13 4.9%
	4	3 .5%	1 .5%	0 .0%	2 .8%
	30	2 .3%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	11 1.9%	5 3.1%	2 1.1%	4 1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0		A	A
	1	C		
	2	B C		
	3			
	4		a	
	30		a	
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	0	144	51	36	31	26
		31.2%	40.6%	39.6%	40.0%	15.4%
	1	90	19	20	10	41
		19.5%	14.8%	22.1%	13.4%	24.3%
	2	186	46	27	26	88
		40.3%	36.3%	29.8%	32.9%	52.3%
	3	28	9	6	6	8
		6.1%	6.9%	6.7%	7.2%	4.7%
	4	3	0	1	0	2
		.6%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	1.1%
	30	2	0	0	1	0
		.3%	.0%	.1%	1.9%	.0%
	DK/NA	9	2	1	4	4
		2.0%	1.3%	.6%	4.6%	2.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	D		D	
	1		D		
	2				A B C
	3				
	4	a		a	
	30	a			a
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	0	200	42	48	10	3	40	54
		34.6%	33.8%	40.3%	25.5%	6.3%	31.4%	48.2%
	1	108	33	7	9	6	26	23
		18.6%	26.4%	6.1%	22.6%	14.2%	20.3%	20.1%
	2	223	32	52	19	29	54	31
		38.5%	26.2%	43.7%	48.3%	71.0%	42.0%	28.0%
	3	34	13	8	1	2	6	1
		5.8%	10.3%	6.6%	1.6%	5.7%	5.0%	.9%
	4	3	0	0	1	1	1	0
		.5%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	2.5%	.9%	.0%
	30	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.3%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	10	2	4	0	0	1	3
		1.7%	2.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.4%	2.7%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	Total	7	9
	0	2	1
		28.7%	15.0%
	1	3	2
		40.2%	19.8%
	2	1	5
		8.5%	53.9%
	3	2	1
		22.7%	11.4%
	4	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	30	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	D	D			D	D	
	1	B				B	B	B
	2				A E F G			
	3							F
	4	a	a			a	a	a
	30		a	a		a	a	a
	DK/NA				a			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
F2. Of the people who currently reside in your household, including yourself, how many are: Over the age of 50	0	
	1	
	2	
	3	
	4	a
	30	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	582
	English	448	448
		77.0%	77.0%
	Cantonese	26	26
		4.5%	4.5%
	Chinese	93	93
		16.0%	16.0%
	French	7	7
		1.3%	1.3%
	German	1	1
		.2%	.2%
	Hindi	44	44
		7.5%	7.5%
	Japanese	7	7
		1.1%	1.1%
	Korean	22	22
		3.8%	3.8%
	Mandarin	48	48
		8.2%	8.2%
	Spanish	13	13
		2.3%	2.3%
	Tagalog	1	1
		.2%	.2%
	Thai	12	12
		2.1%	2.1%
	Vietnamese	14	14
		2.3%	2.3%
	Other	56	56
		9.6%	9.6%
	DK/NA	7	7
		1.1%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	.
	Cantonese	.
	Chinese	.
	French	.
	German	.
	Hindi	.
	Japanese	.
	Korean	.
	Mandarin	.
	Spanish	.
	Tagalog	.
	Thai	.
	Vietnamese	.
	Other	.
	DK/NA	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	281	298	3
	English	448	226	221	1
		77.0%	80.4%	74.3%	31.9%
	Cantonese	26	18	8	0
		4.5%	6.5%	2.6%	.0%
	Chinese	93	50	43	0
		16.0%	17.8%	14.4%	.0%
	French	7	3	3	1
		1.3%	1.2%	1.0%	31.9%
	German	1	1	0	0
		.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	25	19	0
		7.5%	8.8%	6.4%	.0%
	Japanese	7	2	5	0
		1.1%	.6%	1.7%	.0%
	Korean	22	13	9	0
		3.8%	4.5%	3.2%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	29	18	0
		8.2%	10.4%	6.2%	.0%
	Spanish	13	5	7	1
		2.3%	1.9%	2.3%	31.9%
	Tagalog	1	0	1	0
		.2%	.1%	.3%	.0%
	Thai	12	5	7	0
		2.1%	1.9%	2.4%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	2	12	0
		2.3%	.5%	4.0%	.0%
	Other	56	31	24	1
		9.6%	10.9%	8.0%	31.9%
	DK/NA	7	4	1	2
		1.1%	1.4%	.2%	68.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a
	Cantonese	B		a
	Chinese			.
	French			A B
	German		a	a
	Hindi			a
	Japanese			a
	Korean			a
	Mandarin			a
	Spanish			A B
	Tagalog			a
	Thai			a
	Vietnamese		A	a
	Other			.
	DK/NA			A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	English	448	66	89	107	112	66	9
		77.0%	86.0%	87.5%	75.9%	73.6%	64.7%	100.0%
	Cantonese	26	5	3	11	3	4	0
		4.5%	6.6%	2.7%	7.9%	2.2%	3.7%	.0%
	Chinese	93	13	3	25	33	20	0
		16.0%	16.9%	2.8%	17.5%	21.6%	19.2%	.0%
	French	7	0	2	1	3	2	0
		1.3%	.0%	1.9%	.8%	1.7%	1.5%	.0%
	German	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.3%	.0%	1.1%	.0%
	Hindi	44	5	3	19	12	4	0
		7.5%	6.7%	3.3%	13.5%	8.2%	3.8%	.0%
	Japanese	7	3	0	0	2	2	0
		1.1%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	1.5%	.0%
	Korean	22	6	9	5	3	0	0
		3.8%	7.3%	9.2%	3.2%	1.7%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	3	8	9	14	14	0
		8.2%	3.6%	7.4%	6.6%	9.5%	13.4%	.0%
	Spanish	13	2	2	1	4	5	0
		2.3%	2.0%	1.9%	.6%	2.5%	4.9%	.0%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	12	0	3	4	1	4	0
		2.1%	.0%	3.3%	2.9%	.8%	3.7%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	3	3	2	5	1	0
		2.3%	3.7%	3.3%	1.2%	3.1%	.9%	.0%
	Other	56	8	11	17	17	4	0
		9.6%	9.8%	10.7%	11.8%	11.0%	3.8%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	4	2	1	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	1.6%	.6%	.0%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	English	448	205	72	11	160
		77.0%	84.2%	89.3%	72.0%	66.1%
	Cantonese	26	6	2	0	18
		4.5%	2.4%	2.9%	.0%	7.4%
	Chinese	93	19	14	3	57
		16.0%	7.9%	17.0%	18.8%	23.5%
	French	7	4	0	0	3
		1.3%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	1.3%
	German	1	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.5%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	Hindi	44	26	0	1	17
		7.5%	10.7%	.0%	7.3%	6.9%
	Japanese	7	2	0	0	4
		1.1%	.9%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	Korean	22	11	3	0	8
		3.8%	4.5%	4.0%	.0%	3.2%
	Mandarin	48	15	6	2	25
		8.2%	6.2%	7.0%	12.5%	10.4%
	Spanish	13	5	3	1	4
		2.3%	2.1%	3.3%	6.3%	1.9%
	Tagalog	1	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.1%
	Thai	12	4	4	0	4
		2.1%	1.8%	4.7%	.0%	1.7%
	Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	12
		2.3%	.7%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
	Other	56	22	2	3	29
		9.6%	9.0%	2.9%	16.6%	11.8%
	DK/NA	7	2	1	0	4
		1.1%	.8%	.7%	1.0%	1.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	E	E				a
	Cantonese						a
	Chinese	B		B	B	B	a
	French	a			a		a
	German	a			a		a
	Hindi						a
	Japanese		a	a			a
	Korean		D			a	a
	Mandarin						a
	Spanish					a	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a		a	a
	Thai	a					a
	Vietnamese						a
	Other						a
	DK/NA	a	a				a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	424	158
	English	448	333	115
		77.0%	78.5%	73.2%
	Cantonese	26	23	3
		4.5%	5.4%	2.0%
	Chinese	93	81	12
		16.0%	19.0%	7.7%
	French	7	2	6
		1.3%	.4%	3.5%
	German	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	Hindi	44	36	8
		7.5%	8.4%	5.3%
	Japanese	7	6	0
		1.1%	1.5%	.1%
	Korean	22	10	12
		3.8%	2.4%	7.5%
	Mandarin	48	41	7
		8.2%	9.6%	4.5%
	Spanish	13	6	7
		2.3%	1.4%	4.7%
	Tagalog	1	1	0
		.2%	.2%	.0%
	Thai	12	11	2
		2.1%	2.5%	1.1%
	Vietnamese	14	9	5
		2.3%	2.0%	3.2%
	Other	56	36	20
		9.6%	8.4%	12.6%
	DK/NA	7	3	3
		1.1%	.8%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		
	Cantonese		
	Chinese	B	
	French		A
	German		a
	Hindi		
	Japanese		
	Korean		A
	Mandarin	B	
	Spanish		A
	Tagalog		a
	Thai		
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
28. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	D	D		
	Cantonese			a	A
	Chinese			.	A
	French		a	a	
	German		a	.	a
	Hindi		a	.	
	Japanese		a	a	
	Korean		.	a	
	Mandarin			.	
	Spanish				
	Tagalog	a		a	
	Thai	.		a	
	Vietnamese		a	a	A
	Other		.	.	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	English	448	100	60	30	23	109	126
		77.0%	82.3%	91.0%	93.0%	82.6%	84.5%	61.4%
	Cantonese	26	3	0	0	1	7	15
		4.5%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	5.3%	7.4%
	Chinese	93	6	7	6	4	15	55
		16.0%	5.2%	10.0%	19.1%	13.1%	12.0%	26.6%
	French	7	4	0	0	0	0	3
		1.3%	3.2%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%
	German	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.8%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	Hindi	44	8	11	0	0	9	16
		7.5%	6.7%	16.9%	.0%	.0%	6.8%	7.6%
	Japanese	7	0	1	0	0	4	2
		1.1%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.8%
	Korean	22	8	1	0	3	2	8
		3.8%	6.9%	1.6%	.0%	11.5%	1.2%	3.8%
	Mandarin	48	6	2	1	2	13	23
		8.2%	5.3%	2.3%	3.2%	8.1%	10.4%	11.2%
	Spanish	13	4	1	1	2	1	5
		2.3%	2.9%	1.1%	2.0%	7.0%	.6%	2.7%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.2%	.0%
	Thai	12	3	0	0	2	3	4
		2.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	8.6%	2.0%	2.0%
	Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	0	3	9
		2.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	4.4%
	Other	56	13	3	0	0	22	18
		9.6%	10.6%	3.8%	.3%	.5%	17.4%	8.6%
	DK/NA	7	2	0	1	0	1	3
		1.1%	1.6%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.5%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1 (A)	Dem 2+ (B)	Rep 1 (C)	Rep 2+ (D)	Mixed (E)	Other (F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	F	F ^a	F ^a		F	
	Cantonese		.	.			
	Chinese			.	.	.	A E
	French			.	.	.	
	German			.	.	.	
	Hindi			.	.	.	
	Japanese	
	Korean	.		.	E	.	
	Mandarin			.		.	
	Spanish			.		.	
	Tagalog
	Thai		
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	English	448	199	67	54	37	21
		77.0%	75.7%	86.0%	66.4%	78.3%	78.6%
	Cantonese	26	13	2	5	3	1
		4.5%	4.8%	2.8%	6.3%	5.8%	4.4%
	Chinese	93	47	13	9	10	3
		16.0%	18.0%	16.9%	10.6%	21.6%	11.8%
	French	7	3	0	4	0	0
		1.3%	1.3%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.2%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	21	6	11	3	2
		7.5%	8.2%	7.4%	13.3%	5.7%	8.1%
	Japanese	7	0	3	2	0	0
		1.1%	.2%	3.6%	3.1%	.2%	.0%
	Korean	22	17	0	0	0	3
		3.8%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
	Mandarin	48	24	7	2	2	2
		8.2%	8.9%	8.6%	2.8%	4.9%	8.9%
	Spanish	13	6	2	3	0	0
		2.3%	2.3%	2.6%	3.5%	.0%	.0%
Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0	0	
	.2%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	
Thai	12	7	1	2	0	0	
	2.1%	2.7%	1.5%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	
Vietnamese	14	9	0	3	2	0	
	2.3%	3.3%	.0%	4.0%	3.4%	.0%	
Other	56	29	11	12	2	2	
	9.6%	11.2%	13.4%	14.6%	3.3%	8.7%	
DK/NA	7	3	2	1	1	0	
	1.1%	1.1%	2.5%	.8%	2.2%	.0%	

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	23	38	24
	English	15	31	24
		65.2%	82.3%	97.4%
	Cantonese	0	2	0
		.0%	6.2%	.0%
	Chinese	5	5	0
		23.4%	13.3%	.0%
	French	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	German	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	1	0	0
		3.9%	.0%	.0%
	Japanese	0	1	0
		.0%	2.1%	.0%
	Korean	0	2	0
		.0%	4.2%	.0%
	Mandarin	8	2	0
		35.4%	6.3%	.0%
	Spanish	1	1	1
		3.4%	2.1%	2.6%
	Tagalog	1	0	0
		3.4%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	1	1	0
		4.2%	3.7%	.0%
	Vietnamese	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
28. What primary languages are used in your household?	English					
	Cantonese					
	Chinese		a		a	a
	French		.	a	a	a
	German			.	.	.
	Hindi					a
	Japanese		a	a	a	.
	Korean	
	Mandarin				a	a
	Spanish		a	a	a	a
	Tagalog		.	.	a	a
	Thai		a	.	.	a
	Vietnamese		.		.	.
	Other					a
	DK/NA					.

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
28. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a		a
	Cantonese	.		a
	Chinese			a
	French	a	a	a
	German	a	a	a
	Hindi	.	a	a
	Japanese	a		a
	Korean	a		a
	Mandarin	A B C D		a
	Spanish			.
	Tagalog	A	a	a
	Thai		.	a
	Vietnamese	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a
	DK/NA	.	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	English	448	7	150	36	26	14	13	35	69
		77.0%	100.0%	86.8%	85.6%	56.9%	82.1%	93.9%	84.0%	71.8%
	Cantonese	26	0	11	1	1	0	0	1	7
		4.5%	.0%	6.2%	2.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	6.8%
	Chinese	93	0	35	5	10	5	4	2	3
		16.0%	.0%	20.2%	12.6%	21.4%	29.1%	26.5%	4.8%	3.2%
	French	7	0	2	0	0	0	0	2	1
		1.3%	.0%	1.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	1.5%
	German	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%
	Hindi	44	0	15	2	9	0	1	5	4
		7.5%	.0%	8.4%	5.6%	19.1%	.0%	8.6%	12.4%	4.5%
	Japanese	7	0	1	0	1	0	0	0	3
		1.1%	.0%	.8%	.0%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.6%	2.9%
	Korean	22	0	4	2	3	0	0	1	6
		3.8%	.0%	2.2%	3.9%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	6.3%
	Mandarin	48	0	17	2	1	3	2	5	8
		8.2%	.0%	9.9%	4.9%	2.3%	18.8%	12.6%	11.2%	8.2%
	Spanish	13	0	2	2	2	0	0	4	3
		2.3%	.0%	1.0%	4.7%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	8.5%	3.5%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	.0%
	Thai	12	0	1	0	0	2	0	2	0
		2.1%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	5.8%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	56	3	31	5	0	0	2	6	6
		9.6%	43.5%	17.7%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	18.1%	14.1%	6.6%
	DK/NA	7	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	2
		1.1%	.0%	1.7%	.4%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	73	64	2	5
	English	57	36	1	4
		77.3%	56.3%	56.1%	77.7%
	Cantonese	3	1	0	1
		4.3%	1.6%	.0%	21.3%
	Chinese	10	17	1	1
		14.0%	26.0%	46.3%	21.3%
	French	2	0	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	German	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	1	6	0	0
		1.6%	9.5%	.0%	.0%
	Japanese	0	1	0	0
		.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	0	7	0	0
		.0%	10.3%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	5	5	0	0
		7.1%	7.4%	.0%	.0%
	Spanish	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Tagalog	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	2	5	0	0
		2.3%	7.3%	.0%	.0%
	Vietnamese	3	8	0	0
		4.5%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	0	0	2
		.5%	.0%	.0%	41.4%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	43.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a	D J							
	Cantonese	a				a	a			
	Chinese	a	H		H	H	H			
	French	a		a	a	a	a			
	German	a	a	a	a	a	a		a	
	Hindi	a			I	a	a			
	Japanese	a		a		a	a			a
	Korean	a				a	a			a
	Mandarin	a				a	a			
	Spanish	a				a	a			a
	Tagalog	a		a	a	a	a		a	a
	Thai	a				a	a			
	Vietnamese	a	a	a		B	a	a	a	
	Other	H I	I		a	a	I			
	DK/NA	a				a	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		a	
	Cantonese		.	
	Chinese	H		
	French	a	a	a
	German	a	a	a
	Hindi		a	a
	Japanese		a	a
	Korean		a	a
	Mandarin		a	a
	Spanish	a	a	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a
	Thai	B	a	a
	Vietnamese		a	.
	Other	a	a	I
	DK/NA	a	B C D H	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	464	118
	English	448	348	100
		77.0%	75.0%	85.1%
	Cantonese	26	25	1
		4.5%	5.3%	1.2%
	Chinese	93	71	22
		16.0%	15.2%	18.9%
	French	7	7	0
		1.3%	1.6%	.0%
	German	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	Hindi	44	33	11
		7.5%	7.2%	8.9%
	Japanese	7	6	1
		1.1%	1.2%	.9%
	Korean	22	11	11
		3.8%	2.4%	9.3%
	Mandarin	48	45	2
		8.2%	9.8%	1.9%
	Spanish	13	12	1
		2.3%	2.6%	.8%
	Tagalog	1	1	0
		.2%	.2%	.2%
	Thai	12	12	0
		2.1%	2.7%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	11	2
		2.3%	2.4%	2.1%
	Other	56	45	10
		9.6%	9.7%	8.8%
	DK/NA	7	6	1
		1.1%	1.2%	.7%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		A
	Cantonese		
	Chinese		a
	French		a
	German		.
	Hindi		
	Japanese		
	Korean		A
	Mandarin	B	
	Spanish		
	Tagalog		a
	Thai		.
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	347	235
	English	448	278	170
		77.0%	80.2%	72.3%
	Cantonese	26	16	10
		4.5%	4.7%	4.2%
	Chinese	93	53	39
		16.0%	15.4%	16.8%
	French	7	5	2
		1.3%	1.5%	.8%
	German	1	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.6%
	Hindi	44	26	18
		7.5%	7.5%	7.6%
	Japanese	7	6	0
		1.1%	1.8%	.2%
	Korean	22	3	19
		3.8%	.8%	8.3%
	Mandarin	48	27	21
		8.2%	7.7%	8.9%
	Spanish	13	9	5
		2.3%	2.5%	2.0%
	Tagalog	1	1	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%
	Thai	12	9	3
		2.1%	2.6%	1.4%
	Vietnamese	14	5	9
		2.3%	1.4%	3.7%
	Other	56	33	23
		9.6%	9.4%	9.7%
	DK/NA	7	4	3
		1.1%	1.2%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	B	
	Cantonese		
	Chinese		
	French		
	German		
	Hindi		
	Japanese		
	Korean		A
	Mandarin		
	Spanish		a
	Tagalog		.
	Thai		
	Vietnamese		
	Other		
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	English	448	8	37	79	103	110
		77.0%	74.4%	75.0%	83.9%	78.7%	67.5%
	Cantonese	26	0	0	3	11	7
		4.5%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	8.4%	4.4%
	Chinese	93	0	3	11	24	35
		16.0%	.0%	6.8%	12.1%	17.9%	21.5%
	French	7	0	2	1	1	2
		1.3%	.0%	4.0%	1.5%	.9%	1.0%
	German	1	0	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Hindi	44	2	7	6	12	16
		7.5%	14.7%	14.0%	6.6%	9.3%	9.6%
	Japanese	7	0	0	0	4	1
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	.3%
	Korean	22	3	3	4	0	8
		3.8%	25.6%	5.8%	4.1%	.0%	4.8%
	Mandarin	48	0	1	8	12	16
		8.2%	.0%	2.9%	8.0%	8.9%	9.7%
	Spanish	13	0	0	3	1	2
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.6%	1.2%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	Thai	12	0	2	1	5	0
		2.1%	.0%	3.3%	1.2%	3.4%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0	9
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%
	Other	56	1	0	19	13	16
		9.6%	10.7%	.0%	20.0%	10.2%	9.8%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	2	1	2
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.5%	1.3%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	133	1
	English	111	0
		83.7%	.0%
	Cantonese	4	0
		3.3%	.0%
	Chinese	20	0
		14.7%	.0%
	French	1	0
		.8%	.0%
	German	0	0
		.3%	.0%
	Hindi	1	0
		.9%	.0%
	Japanese	2	0
		1.7%	.0%
	Korean	5	0
		3.7%	.0%
	Mandarin	11	0
		8.4%	.0%
	Spanish	8	0
		5.8%	.0%
	Tagalog	1	0
		.6%	.0%
	Thai	5	0
		3.8%	.0%
	Vietnamese	5	0
		3.4%	.0%
	Other	6	0
		4.7%	.0%
	DK/NA	1	1
		.9%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	.b	.b			
	Cantonese	.b				
	Chinese	.b				
	French	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	German	.b	.b			
	Hindi	F	F	.b	F	F
	Japanese	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	Korean	F			.b	
	Mandarin	.b	.b			
	Spanish	.b	.b	.b	.b	
	Tagalog	.b	.b			
	Thai	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b
	Vietnamese	.b	.b			
	Other	.b	.b	F		
	DK/NA	.b	.b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	E	a,.b
	Cantonese		a,.b
	Chinese		a,.b
	French		a,.b
	German		a,.b
	Hindi		a,.b
	Japanese		a,.b
	Korean		a,.b
	Mandarin		a,.b
	Spanish		a,.b
	Tagalog		a,.b
	Thai		a,.b
	Vietnamese		a,.b
	Other		a,.b
	DK/NA		a,.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	English	446	36	175	98	92	38	8
		77.0%	79.3%	83.1%	69.5%	80.6%	65.4%	68.7%
	Cantonese	26	0	5	10	4	5	3
		4.5%	.0%	2.3%	7.3%	3.1%	7.8%	23.9%
	Chinese	93	5	27	17	29	13	1
		16.0%	10.9%	12.9%	12.0%	25.7%	23.2%	10.5%
	French	7	1	2	2	1	0	0
		1.3%	3.2%	1.0%	1.7%	1.2%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	0	13	7	16	8	0
		7.6%	.0%	5.9%	5.2%	13.8%	14.1%	.0%
	Japanese	7	0	1	2	3	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.6%	1.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	22	0	8	12	0	3	0
		3.8%	.0%	3.6%	8.3%	.0%	4.8%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	4	17	13	9	1	3
		8.2%	9.0%	8.3%	9.2%	8.2%	1.9%	23.9%
	Spanish	13	2	5	3	3	1	0
		2.3%	4.1%	2.2%	2.4%	2.2%	1.1%	.0%
	Tagalog	1	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	12	2	3	3	2	2	0
		2.1%	5.2%	1.3%	2.4%	2.1%	2.9%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	4	9	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	16.2%	.0%
	Other	56	1	14	16	17	6	1
		9.6%	3.2%	6.8%	11.4%	15.0%	9.8%	9.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	3	1	0	3
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	1.9%	1.2%	.0%	22.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a	C E				
	Cantonese	.					B D
	Chinese						
	French	a			a	a	a
	German	a			.	a	a
	Hindi	a					a
	Japanese	a				a	a
	Korean	a			a	.	a
	Mandarin						E
	Spanish						a
	Tagalog		a	a		a	a
	Thai						a
	Vietnamese	a	a	a		D	.
	Other						
	DK/NA	a	a			a	C D

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	English	448	3	0	130	2
		77.0%	100.0%	.0%	68.9%	100.0%
	Cantonese	26	0	3	23	0
		4.5%	.0%	100.0%	11.9%	.0%
	Chinese	93	0	0	86	0
		16.0%	.0%	.0%	45.2%	.0%
	French	7	1	0	0	0
		1.3%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	0	0	0	0
		7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Japanese	7	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	22	0	0	0	0
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	0	0	43	0
		8.2%	.0%	.0%	22.5%	.0%
	Spanish	13	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	12	0	0	1	1
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	.6%	48.8%
	Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	56	1	0	1	0
		9.6%	50.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	1	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	English	77 73.1%	14 90.6%	13 51.0%	4 52.8%	3 21.0%
	Cantonese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Chinese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 11.8%	3 23.1%
	French	3 2.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	German	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Hindi	43 41.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Japanese	0 .0%	5 34.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Korean	0 .0%	0 .0%	22 88.7%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mandarin	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Spanish	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Tagalog	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Thai	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	8 100.0%	2 12.6%
	Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	14 100.0%
	Other	35 33.4%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 1.6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	English	7 66.4%	146 98.4%	20 83.8%	10 84.3%	5 88.9%
	Cantonese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 7.0%	0 .0%
	Chinese	2 22.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 4.5%
	French	0 .0%	2 1.5%	0 .0%	0 .0%	1 16.6%
	German	0 .0%	0 .3%	1 4.3%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Hindi	0 .0%	0 .3%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Japanese	0 .0%	1 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Korean	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mandarin	2 21.6%	1 .6%	0 .0%	2 17.1%	0 .0%
	Spanish	0 .0%	6 4.0%	6 26.8%	0 .0%	1 16.6%
	Tagalog	0 .0%	1 .5%	0 .0%	0 2.2%	0 .0%
	Thai	0 .0%	0 .2%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Vietnamese	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Other	0 .0%	10 6.9%	1 4.3%	2 17.1%	4 73.0%
	DK/NA	0 .0%	1 .4%	0 .0%	1 8.8%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	17
	English	14 81.9%
	Cantonese	0 .0%
	Chinese	1 4.8%
	French	0 .0%
	German	0 .0%
	Hindi	0 .0%
	Japanese	0 .0%
	Korean	0 .0%
	Mandarin	0 .0%
	Spanish	0 .0%
	Tagalog	0 .0%
	Thai	0 .0%
	Vietnamese	0 .0%
	Other	0 .0%
	DK/NA	2 13.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a	a	I	a	I
	Cantonese	a	a		a	a
	Chinese	a	a	O	a	a
	French	E K	a	a	a	
	German	a	a	a	a	a
	Hindi	a	a	a	a	K
	Japanese	a	a	a	a	a
	Korean	a	a		a	a
	Mandarin	a	a	K	a	a
	Spanish	a	a	a	a	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a	a
	Thai	a	a		C K	a
	Vietnamese		a	a	a	a
	Other	C	a		a	C K
	DK/NA	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	I		a	a	a
	Cantonese	a	a			
	Chinese	a	a			
	French	a		a	a	a
	German	a	a	a	a	a
	Hindi	a	a	a	a	a
	Japanese	K		a	a	a
	Korean	a		a	a	a
	Mandarin	a	a	a	a	K
	Spanish	a	a	a	a	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a	a
	Thai	a	a	a	C K	a
	Vietnamese	a		a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	C E G H I J L O	I			I
	Cantonese	a	a		a	a
	Chinese	a	a	a		
	French	.	.	.		a
	German		.	a	a	a
	Hindi		a	a	a	a
	Japanese		a	a	a	a
	Korean	a	a	a	a	a
	Mandarin	.	a	K	a	a
	Spanish		K	a		a
	Tagalog		a	.	a	a
	Thai		a	a	a	a
	Vietnamese	a	a	.	a	a
	Other	C		C	C K L	a
	DK/NA		a	.	.	C K

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	English	197	81	85	19	8	4
		73.8%	77.4%	76.0%	62.4%	71.3%	46.4%
	Cantonese	16	7	6	1	3	0
		5.9%	6.3%	5.2%	2.7%	24.7%	.0%
	Chinese	44	10	19	11	2	2
		16.4%	9.9%	16.7%	35.0%	20.9%	18.0%
	French	6	3	1	2	0	0
		2.1%	2.5%	.9%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	28	14	12	1	2	0
		10.6%	13.4%	10.3%	3.4%	15.3%	.0%
	Japanese	3	2	1	0	0	0
		1.0%	1.6%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	5	1	3	0	0	0
		1.7%	1.1%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	20	7	11	1	0	2
		7.6%	6.3%	9.7%	3.8%	.0%	18.0%
	Spanish	4	2	2	0	0	0
		1.5%	1.5%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	4	0	2	0	2	0
		1.5%	.0%	1.5%	.0%	22.0%	.0%
	Vietnamese	13	3	7	3	0	0
		4.7%	2.7%	5.9%	10.2%	.0%	.0%
	Other	34	14	13	3	3	0
		12.7%	13.4%	11.8%	11.4%	29.4%	.0%
	DK/NA	6	2	2	0	0	3
		2.4%	1.6%	1.5%	.0%	.0%	35.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English					a
	Cantonese					.
	Chinese			A	a	a
	French				.	a
	Hindi					.
	Japanese			a	a	a
	Korean			.	a	.
	Mandarin			.	a	.
	Spanish			a	a	a
	Thai	a		.	.	a
	Vietnamese	.		.	B	a
	Other			.	a	.
	DK/NA			a	a	A B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	English	286	84	170	21	4	7
		75.1%	77.8%	76.1%	61.3%	100.0%	62.0%
	Cantonese	15	5	10	0	0	0
		3.9%	4.3%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Chinese	71	23	40	8	0	0
		18.5%	21.7%	17.7%	22.6%	.0%	.0%
	French	4	3	1	0	0	0
		1.1%	2.7%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.4%	.3%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	24	3	13	6	0	1
		6.3%	3.1%	5.9%	18.2%	.0%	11.0%
	Japanese	3	0	3	0	0	0
		.7%	.2%	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	12	0	12	0	0	0
		3.1%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	39	12	22	4	0	0
		10.2%	11.4%	10.0%	11.5%	.0%	.0%
	Spanish	10	2	7	1	0	0
		2.6%	1.4%	3.2%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
	Tagalog	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	11	1	10	0	0	0
		3.0%	1.1%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	2	10	2	0	0
		3.6%	1.4%	4.6%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
	Other	36	10	18	7	1	0
		9.5%	9.1%	8.2%	21.4%	23.3%	.0%
	DK/NA	5	0	1	0	0	4
		1.3%	.0%	.3%	.0%	.0%	38.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a	a	a
	Cantonese			.	.	.
	Chinese			a	a	a
	French			.	.	.
	German			a	a	a
	Hindi			A	a	.
	Japanese	a		a	a	a
	Korean	.		.	a	.
	Mandarin			.	a	a
	Spanish			a	a	a
	Tagalog	a		.	a	a
	Thai			a	a	a
	Vietnamese			.	.	.
	Other
	DK/NA	a		a	a	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	English	448	448	14	56	7	1	27	5
		77.0%	100.0%	53.9%	60.3%	100.0%	100.0%	61.1%	78.3%
	Cantonese	26	14	26	3	0	0	0	0
		4.5%	3.1%	100.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Chinese	93	56	3	93	0	0	0	0
		16.0%	12.5%	12.5%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	French	7	7	0	0	7	0	1	0
		1.3%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	6.5%	2.9%	.0%
	German	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.3%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	100.0%	.2%	.0%
	Hindi	44	27	0	0	1	0	44	0
		7.5%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	17.1%	6.5%	100.0%	.0%
	Japanese	7	5	0	0	0	0	0	7
		1.1%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	Korean	22	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
		3.8%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	48	24	5	12	0	0	0	0
		8.2%	5.3%	18.5%	12.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Spanish	13	9	0	0	1	1	0	1
		2.3%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	14.5%	75.7%	.2%	11.7%
	Tagalog	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	12	7	1	2	0	0	0	0
		2.1%	1.5%	4.7%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Vietnamese	14	3	0	3	0	0	0	0
		2.3%	.6%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	56	42	1	1	5	1	9	0
	9.6%	9.3%	4.7%	1.6%	72.0%	93.5%	20.5%	.0%	
DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	English	10	24	9	1	7	3	42	0
		44.7%	49.9%	66.5%	100.0%	55.4%	21.0%	74.9%	.0%
	Cantonese	0	5	0	0	1	0	1	0
		.0%	10.1%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	2.2%	.0%
	Chinese	0	12	0	0	2	3	1	0
		.0%	25.2%	.0%	.0%	17.5%	23.1%	2.7%	.0%
	French	0	0	1	0	0	0	5	0
		.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.5%	.0%
	German	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%
	Hindi	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
		.0%	.0%	.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.2%	.0%
	Japanese	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Korean	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	0	48	0	0	0	0	2	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	Spanish	0	0	13	1	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	100.0%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	3.8%	.0%
	Tagalog	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	5.9%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	0	0	0	0	12	2	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	12.6%	2.2%	.0%
	Vietnamese	0	0	0	0	2	14	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	0	2	2	0	1	0	56	0
		.0%	4.2%	16.1%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	DK/NA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a			a	a,b			
	Cantonese		a		a	a,b	a	a	a
	Chinese			a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	French		a	a	a	b		a	a
	German		a	a		a,b		a	a
	Hindi		a	a		b	a	a	a
	Japanese		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Korean		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Mandarin		A	A	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Spanish		a	a		b			a
	Tagalog		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Thai				a	a,b	a	a	a
	Vietnamese		a	A	a	a,b	a	a	a
	Other				A B C I	b	C	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA	
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)	
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		a	a,b			M	a	a
	Cantonese		a	a,b		a		a	a
	Chinese	N		a,b				a	a
	French	a		a,b	a	a	A	a	a
	German	a	A	a,b	a	a		a	a
	Hindi	a		a,b	a	a	A	a	a
	Japanese	a		a,b	a	a		a	a
	Korean	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a
	Mandarin	a	a	a,b	a	a		a	a
	Spanish	a	a	b	a	a		a	a
	Tagalog	a	A	a,b	a	a	a	a	a
	Thai	a	a	a,b	a	A	a	a	a
	Vietnamese	a	a	a,b	A		a	a	a
	Other			a,b		a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	English	448	39	33	27	25	26	28
		77.0%	64.8%	64.0%	93.0%	68.8%	81.1%	66.7%
	Cantonese	26	5	4	0	3	2	0
		4.5%	7.6%	7.1%	.0%	7.5%	6.1%	.0%
	Chinese	93	9	9	2	7	12	5
		16.0%	14.6%	16.6%	8.5%	20.1%	37.1%	11.5%
	French	7	1	0	0	1	0	0
		1.3%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	1	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	1.9%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	0	0	0	6	1	2
		7.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.5%	2.8%	4.0%
	Japanese	7	0	0	0	0	1	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.8%	.3%	2.4%	.0%
	Korean	22	0	2	3	4	0	3
		3.8%	.0%	3.3%	9.5%	11.8%	.0%	8.0%
	Mandarin	48	11	8	0	6	3	3
		8.2%	17.9%	16.4%	.0%	15.3%	9.6%	6.5%
	Spanish	13	1	2	1	1	4	0
		2.3%	1.8%	3.9%	5.0%	2.9%	11.7%	.0%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%
	Thai	12	0	1	0	0	0	3
		2.1%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%
	Vietnamese	14	0	0	0	0	0	5
		2.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.9%
	Other	56	1	3	2	1	1	5
		9.6%	2.4%	5.8%	8.1%	3.2%	4.1%	11.2%
	DK/NA	7	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	38	34	132	126
		30	22	112	107
	English	77.2%	65.3%	84.7%	84.3%
	Cantonese	1	1	8	3
		2.7%	3.5%	6.2%	2.1%
	Chinese	3	9	28	9
		8.3%	26.6%	21.2%	7.0%
	French	0	0	1	3
		.0%	.0%	1.0%	2.7%
	German	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%
	Hindi	0	8	18	9
		.0%	23.7%	13.7%	7.2%
	Japanese	0	1	0	4
		.6%	3.1%	.0%	3.4%
	Korean	0	0	1	9
		.0%	.0%	.9%	7.0%
	Mandarin	1	3	9	4
		2.7%	9.2%	6.9%	2.9%
	Spanish	0	1	1	2
		.0%	1.9%	.9%	1.5%
	Tagalog	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%
	Thai	2	1	2	3
		4.5%	4.2%	1.8%	2.0%
	Vietnamese	6	0	2	1
		16.0%	.0%	1.2%	.8%
	Other	9	5	22	5
		22.4%	15.8%	17.0%	4.3%
	DK/NA	0	0	1	6
		.0%	.0%	.8%	4.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English			a			a
	Cantonese			.			.
	Chinese			.		J	a
	French	a	.	.	a	a	a
	German
	Hindi	a	a	a	.	.	.
	Japanese
	Korean	.	.	.	I	.	.
	Mandarin	J	J	a	.	.	.
	Spanish	.	.	.	a	I	a
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Thai
	Vietnamese	a	a	a	a	a	I J
	Other
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English				
	Cantonese				
	Chinese	a	a		
	French	.	.		a
	German	.	.		.
	Hindi	.		a	
	Japanese	a	a	.	
	Korean	.	.		
	Mandarin	a			
	Spanish	.	a	a	
	Tagalog	.	.	.	
	Thai		a		
	Vietnamese	I J	.		
	Other	J		J	
	DK/NA	.	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	582	167	153	262
	English	448	112	114	223
		77.0%	66.6%	74.9%	85.0%
	Cantonese	26	10	0	16
		4.5%	5.8%	.0%	6.3%
	Chinese	93	22	23	48
		16.0%	13.2%	14.8%	18.4%
	French	7	1	0	6
		1.3%	.9%	.0%	2.2%
	German	1	1	0	0
		.2%	.6%	.0%	.2%
	Hindi	44	9	9	25
		7.5%	5.5%	6.1%	9.6%
	Japanese	7	5	0	2
		1.1%	3.0%	.0%	.6%
	Korean	22	5	12	5
		3.8%	2.9%	8.1%	1.9%
	Mandarin	48	18	5	25
		8.2%	10.9%	3.2%	9.4%
	Spanish	13	5	1	7
		2.3%	3.2%	.7%	2.6%
	Tagalog	1	1	0	0
		.2%	.5%	.0%	.1%
	Thai	12	1	6	5
		2.1%	.8%	4.2%	1.8%
	Vietnamese	14	0	13	1
		2.3%	.0%	8.2%	.4%
	Other	56	8	7	41
		9.6%	4.6%	4.3%	15.8%
	DK/NA	7	1	2	4
		1.1%	.3%	1.1%	1.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b, c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English		a	A B
	Cantonese		.	
	Chinese		a	
	French		a	
	German		.	
	Hindi		.	
	Japanese	C	a	
	Korean		C	
	Mandarin	B		
	Spanish		a	
	Tagalog		.	
	Thai		.	
	Vietnamese	a	C	
	Other			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	English	360	89	68	62	140
		77.8%	70.9%	75.8%	79.1%	83.6%
	Cantonese	19	8	2	4	6
		4.2%	6.2%	2.0%	4.6%	3.7%
	Chinese	75	31	12	8	25
		16.3%	24.3%	13.6%	9.8%	14.7%
	French	5	1	0	3	1
		1.2%	1.1%	.0%	3.7%	.6%
	German	1	1	0	0	0
		.2%	.8%	.0%	.0%	.1%
	Hindi	31	5	11	9	6
		6.7%	4.0%	12.4%	11.7%	3.3%
	Japanese	6	3	0	1	2
		1.4%	2.4%	.0%	1.9%	1.1%
	Korean	12	7	3	2	0
		2.6%	5.6%	3.6%	2.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	34	10	8	7	9
		7.3%	7.7%	9.1%	9.3%	5.2%
	Spanish	12	5	2	1	4
		2.6%	3.7%	1.7%	1.9%	2.7%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	0	1
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.6%
	Thai	9	1	3	1	3
		2.0%	1.1%	3.2%	1.9%	2.0%
	Vietnamese	5	0	2	2	2
		1.1%	.0%	1.9%	2.0%	.9%
	Other	43	18	14	4	8
		9.4%	14.0%	15.4%	5.3%	4.6%
	DK/NA	6	2	2	0	2
		1.4%	1.3%	2.2%	.4%	1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English				
	Cantonese				
	Chinese				
	French		a		
	German		a		
	Hindi		D		
	Japanese		a		
	Korean				a
	Mandarin				
	Spanish				
	Tagalog	a	a	a	
	Thai				
	Vietnamese	a			
	Other	D	D		
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	English	447	109	95	37	35	70
		77.3%	88.2%	79.8%	93.7%	85.1%	54.8%
	Cantonese	26	1	5	1	1	6
		4.5%	.7%	4.2%	3.6%	2.2%	4.4%
	Chinese	93	5	14	5	9	31
		16.1%	4.1%	11.9%	12.8%	21.2%	24.3%
	French	6	3	0	0	0	0
		1.1%	2.4%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	German	1	0	1	0	0	0
		.3%	.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Hindi	44	10	16	0	0	9
		7.6%	8.4%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	6.8%
	Japanese	7	2	0	0	0	3
		1.2%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.2%
	Korean	22	4	7	0	3	5
		3.8%	3.6%	5.5%	.0%	8.0%	3.9%
	Mandarin	48	1	14	2	4	16
		8.2%	.9%	11.6%	4.3%	9.6%	12.3%
	Spanish	12	3	1	2	1	2
		2.1%	2.4%	.9%	5.0%	1.6%	1.5%
	Tagalog	1	0	0	1	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	2.0%	.0%	.0%
	Thai	12	3	1	0	4	4
		2.1%	2.7%	1.0%	.0%	9.4%	3.0%
	Vietnamese	14	2	0	0	0	10
		2.3%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.2%
	Other	55	9	12	0	2	14
		9.4%	7.7%	9.7%	.4%	5.3%	11.0%
	DK/NA	5	0	2	0	1	0
		.8%	.3%	1.4%	.0%	1.4%	.3%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	Total	7	9
	English	5	6
		73.2%	71.0%
	Cantonese	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Chinese	2	1
		22.7%	15.8%
	French	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	German	0	0
		.0%	4.0%
	Hindi	0	1
		.0%	13.1%
	Japanese	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Korean	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Mandarin	0	2
		.0%	22.5%
	Spanish	0	1
		.0%	11.4%
	Tagalog	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Thai	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Vietnamese	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Other	0	2
		4.1%	26.5%
	DK/NA	0	0
		.0%	1.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	E	E	E	E		E
	Cantonese						A
	Chinese				A	A	A
	French			a	a		
	German	a		a	a	a	a
	Hindi	.		a	a	.	.
	Japanese		a	.	.		
	Korean		.	a	.		
	Mandarin		A	.		A	
	Spanish						
	Tagalog	a	a	a	a	a	
	Thai	.		.	F	.	
	Vietnamese		a	a	a	A F	
	Other		.	.	.		
	DK/NA			a	.		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
G. What primary languages are used in your household?	English	a	a
	Cantonese	.	.
	Chinese		
	French	a	a
	German	.	.
	Hindi	.	.
	Japanese	a	a
	Korean	.	a
	Mandarin	a	A
	Spanish	.	.
	Tagalog	a	a
	Thai	a	a
	Vietnamese	.	.
	Other		C
	DK/NA	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	582
	Under \$60,000	60	60
		10.4%	10.4%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	52
		8.9%	8.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	29
		5.0%	5.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	37
		6.3%	6.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	32
		5.5%	5.5%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	41
		7.1%	7.1%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	38
		6.6%	6.6%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	34
		5.8%	5.8%
	\$200,000 or more	132	132
		22.7%	22.7%
	DK/NA	126	126
		21.7%	21.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		(A)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	.
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	.
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	.
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.
	\$200,000 or more	.
DK/NA		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	281	298	3
	Under \$60,000	60 10.4%	22 8.0%	38 12.7%	0 .0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52 8.9%	23 8.0%	29 9.8%	0 .0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29 5.0%	12 4.3%	17 5.7%	0 .0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37 6.3%	14 5.1%	22 7.5%	0 .0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32 5.5%	16 5.7%	16 5.4%	0 .0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41 7.1%	16 5.9%	25 8.4%	0 .0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38 6.6%	16 5.5%	23 7.6%	0 .0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34 5.8%	18 6.3%	16 5.3%	0 .0%
	\$200,000 or more	132 22.7%	84 30.0%	46 15.5%	2 65.6%
	DK/NA	126 21.7%	60 21.2%	66 22.0%	1 34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male (A)	Female (B)	Other (C)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000			a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000			a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			a
	\$200,000 or more	B		.
DK/NA				.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Under \$60,000	60 10.4%	5 6.2%	11 10.5%	7 5.3%	8 5.0%	28 27.7%	2 19.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52 8.9%	3 3.6%	13 12.5%	7 4.9%	10 6.6%	16 15.7%	3 37.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29 5.0%	6 7.2%	4 4.4%	7 4.6%	6 3.8%	7 6.7%	0 .0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37 6.3%	4 4.6%	9 8.7%	7 4.7%	11 7.0%	7 6.9%	0 .0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32 5.5%	6 7.6%	6 6.0%	5 3.2%	9 6.2%	6 6.1%	0 .0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41 7.1%	0 .0%	21 21.0%	7 5.0%	9 6.1%	3 2.7%	1 11.8%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38 6.6%	13 16.7%	10 9.6%	5 3.7%	9 6.2%	1 1.0%	0 .0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34 5.8%	5 6.7%	2 2.4%	8 6.0%	10 6.7%	7 7.2%	0 .0%
	\$200,000 or more	132 22.7%	10 12.7%	11 10.7%	55 39.2%	53 34.6%	4 3.8%	0 .0%
	DK/NA	126 21.7%	27 34.7%	14 14.2%	33 23.3%	27 17.8%	23 22.1%	3 31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000					A B C D	A C D
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000						a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000						a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000						a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000						a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a	C D E				a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	C E					a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000						a
	\$200,000 or more			A B E	A B E		a
	DK/NA	B					.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	424	158
	Under \$60,000	60	26	35
		10.4%	6.1%	22.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	34	18
		8.9%	7.9%	11.6%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	17	12
		5.0%	4.0%	7.7%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	30	7
		6.3%	7.1%	4.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	30	2
		5.5%	7.2%	1.1%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	28	14
		7.1%	6.6%	8.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		A
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	B	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		
	\$200,000 or more	B	
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Under \$60,000	60	22	11	3	25
		10.4%	8.8%	13.7%	18.3%	10.3%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	15	8	3	26
		8.9%	6.0%	9.5%	18.9%	10.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	17	2	1	9
		5.0%	6.9%	3.1%	7.2%	3.7%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	20	3	3	10
		6.3%	8.4%	4.0%	19.8%	4.1%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	14	5	2	11
		5.5%	5.9%	5.9%	12.5%	4.6%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	21	4	0	16
		7.1%	8.6%	5.2%	.0%	6.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000				
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000				
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			D	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000			a	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			a	B
	\$200,000 or more				
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Under \$60,000	60	15	2	8	3	5	28
		10.4%	12.8%	2.3%	25.3%	9.4%	3.7%	13.5%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	10	2	1	5	13	22
		8.9%	7.9%	2.7%	2.0%	16.7%	10.3%	10.6%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	11	5	2	1	2	10
		5.0%	8.9%	7.0%	5.1%	2.9%	1.2%	4.8%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	11	6	2	1	8	9
		6.3%	9.5%	8.6%	5.6%	5.1%	6.0%	4.2%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	2	8	1	2	10	9
		5.5%	1.8%	11.4%	3.2%	8.2%	7.7%	4.4%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	13	6	0	2	5	15
		7.1%	10.4%	9.1%	.7%	6.9%	4.2%	7.3%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	10	3	0	0	10	15
		6.6%	8.5%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	7.3%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	5	5	3	1	9	9
		5.8%	4.5%	8.2%	9.9%	4.9%	7.4%	4.2%
	\$200,000 or more	132	26	11	6	2	41	46
		22.7%	21.1%	17.3%	18.6%	8.7%	31.9%	22.4%
	DK/NA	126	18	19	10	11	25	44
		21.7%	14.8%	29.3%	29.6%	37.1%	19.7%	21.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			B E			E
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000						
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000						
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000						
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000						
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000						
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a	a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000						
	\$200,000 or more						
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date				
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	263	78	81	47
	Under \$60,000	60	23	7	9	1
		10.4%	8.6%	8.5%	10.9%	2.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	22	5	7	3
		8.9%	8.5%	6.0%	8.2%	6.5%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	10	5	4	3
		5.0%	3.7%	6.1%	5.3%	7.2%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	21	0	5	4
		6.3%	7.9%	.4%	5.9%	9.4%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	13	5	4	4
		5.5%	4.9%	6.0%	4.4%	9.2%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	25	3	5	2
		7.1%	9.5%	3.4%	5.6%	3.4%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	19	13	5	0
		6.6%	7.1%	16.2%	5.8%	.0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	13	5	9	4
		5.8%	5.0%	6.9%	11.2%	8.5%
	\$200,000 or more	132	70	17	20	12
		22.7%	26.5%	21.2%	25.1%	25.3%
	DK/NA	126	48	20	14	13
		21.7%	18.3%	25.3%	17.6%	28.6%

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	27	23	38	24
	Under \$60,000	2	8	4	7
		7.9%	33.9%	10.5%	30.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	4	2	4	6
		14.2%	6.9%	9.5%	25.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	1	0	5	1
		2.4%	.0%	12.9%	5.7%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	1	0	5	0
		3.4%	.7%	13.8%	.8%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	3	2	1
		.0%	12.5%	6.4%	5.2%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	2	1	3	2
		6.2%	4.5%	7.8%	7.3%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	1	1	0
		.0%	4.5%	2.3%	.6%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	.4%	.8%
	\$200,000 or more	8	3	3	0
		28.7%	14.9%	7.2%	.0%
	DK/NA	8	5	11	6
		31.6%	22.1%	29.2%	24.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000				
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000				
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000				
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
	\$200,000 or more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date			
		1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		A D		A D
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		a		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		.		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			B	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a			
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a			
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		a		
	\$200,000 or more				a
	DK/NA				.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date							
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42
	Under \$60,000	60	1	8	4	9	1	0	4
		10.4%	9.9%	4.5%	9.4%	18.5%	5.6%	.0%	9.2%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	0	7	0	4	2	1	5
		8.9%	.0%	4.0%	.0%	8.4%	11.3%	4.8%	12.5%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	1	8	0	7	0	0	2
		5.0%	12.0%	4.4%	.0%	14.8%	.9%	.0%	4.3%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	1	11	1	2	1	2	3
		6.3%	9.0%	6.3%	3.0%	4.5%	8.4%	12.6%	8.2%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	12	1	3	0	0	4
		5.5%	.0%	6.9%	3.3%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	10.2%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	1	12	3	3	1	4	1
		7.1%	15.8%	7.1%	7.0%	6.5%	5.4%	29.8%	2.5%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	3	5	5	2	0	0	0
		6.6%	43.5%	3.0%	13.1%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	0	10	6	6	0	0	2
		5.8%	.0%	5.6%	14.4%	12.2%	.0%	.0%	3.8%
	\$200,000 or more	132	0	72	8	9	7	3	12
		22.7%	.0%	41.4%	20.0%	20.4%	41.6%	25.2%	29.6%
	DK/NA	126	1	29	13	2	4	4	8
		21.7%	9.9%	16.8%	29.8%	3.8%	26.8%	27.6%	19.7%

		Date				
		Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	97	73	64	2	5
	Under \$60,000	12	16	5	1	0
		12.5%	22.0%	8.2%	46.3%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	11	11	11	0	0
		11.0%	15.5%	17.2%	.0%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	4	8	0	0	0
		4.6%	10.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	2	3	10	0	0
		2.6%	4.3%	15.3%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	6	3	2	0	0
		6.4%	3.9%	3.1%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	1	4	10	0	1
		.9%	5.5%	15.4%	.0%	23.3%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	3	9	10	0	1
		3.2%	12.3%	15.4%	.0%	22.3%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	4	2	4	0	0
		4.3%	3.0%	5.8%	9.8%	5.3%
	\$200,000 or more	10	5	4	0	2
		10.0%	6.3%	5.6%	.0%	42.6%
	DK/NA	43	12	9	1	0
		44.5%	16.8%	14.1%	43.9%	6.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date							
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000						a		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a		a			a		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a			a		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000								
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a				a	a		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000						H		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	B D H				a	a	a	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a				a	a		
	\$200,000 or more	a	H I J			H I J		I J	
	DK/NA								B D I J

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B		a	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	B	B	a	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		a	a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		H	a	H
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		B	a	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
	\$200,000 or more			a	
	DK/NA				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	464	118
	Under \$60,000	60	53	8
		10.4%	11.4%	6.4%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	44	8
		8.9%	9.5%	6.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	19	10
		5.0%	4.1%	8.6%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	29	7
		6.3%	6.3%	6.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	28	4
		5.5%	6.0%	3.7%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	31	11
		7.1%	6.6%	9.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	33	6
		6.6%	7.0%	4.9%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	19	15
		5.8%	4.0%	12.6%
	\$200,000 or more	132	105	27
		22.7%	22.7%	23.0%
	DK/NA	126	104	23
		21.7%	22.4%	19.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		A
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		
	\$200,000 or more		A
	DK/NA		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	347	235
	Under \$60,000	60	33	27
		10.4%	9.6%	11.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	30	22
		8.9%	8.6%	9.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	18	11
		5.0%	5.2%	4.7%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	20	16
		6.3%	5.9%	7.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	23	9
		5.5%	6.6%	3.9%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	23	19
		7.1%	6.6%	7.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		
\$200,000 or more			
DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Under \$60,000	60	2	7	5	13
		10.4%	18.3%	15.1%	5.2%	9.7%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	0	5	5	11
		8.9%	.0%	11.2%	4.8%	8.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	0	1	5	6
		5.0%	.0%	2.5%	5.1%	4.5%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	3	5	1	10
		6.3%	25.6%	10.6%	.7%	7.6%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	8	4
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	2.7%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	4	2	6	5
		7.1%	37.8%	4.1%	6.9%	3.7%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	163	133	1
	Under \$60,000	13	21	0
		7.7%	15.7%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	16	15	0
		9.9%	11.3%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	11	6	0
		6.9%	4.6%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	9	9	0
		5.5%	6.9%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	10	10	0
		6.4%	7.8%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	15	9	0
		9.1%	6.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino			
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.b			
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.b			
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	C			
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.b	.b		
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	B C D E F			
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	.b			
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
	\$200,000 or more			B E F	F
	DK/NA	.b			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(E)	(F)	(G)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			a..b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000			.a..b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			.a..b
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			.a..b
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			.a..b
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000			.a..b
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			.a..b
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			.a..b
	\$200,000 or more			.a..b
	DK/NA			.a..b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Under \$60,000	59	19	17	12	3	4	4
		10.2%	42.2%	8.1%	8.5%	3.0%	6.7%	31.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	5	24	14	8	0	0
		8.9%	12.2%	11.5%	10.3%	6.6%	.0%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	4	9	5	7	4	0
		5.0%	9.0%	4.4%	3.4%	6.3%	6.7%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	2	18	7	7	0	3
		6.3%	4.0%	8.7%	4.8%	6.3%	.0%	23.9%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	1	14	9	5	3	0
		5.5%	2.6%	6.6%	6.2%	4.6%	5.4%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	1	18	14	3	5	0
		7.1%	2.6%	8.7%	9.9%	2.3%	9.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Under \$60,000	B C D E					D
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000					.a	.a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					.a	.a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000					.a	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000						.a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000						.a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000						.a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000					A B C D	.a
	\$200,000 or more		A	A	A B C	A	.a
	DK/NA						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group			
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	3	3	189
	Under \$60,000	60	1	0	26
		10.4%	50.0%	.0%	13.8%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	0	3	19
		8.9%	.0%	100.0%	10.1%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	0	0	8
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	1	0	12
		6.3%	50.0%	.0%	6.3%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	17
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	8.8%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	0	0	7
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	0	0	12
		6.6%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	0	0	12
		5.8%	.0%	.0%	6.3%
	\$200,000 or more	132	0	0	49
		22.7%	.0%	.0%	25.8%
	DK/NA	126	0	0	28
		21.7%	.0%	.0%	14.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	2	106	15	25
	Under \$60,000	0	2	1	0
		.0%	1.6%	9.4%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	0	2	2
		.0%	.0%	10.3%	6.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	2	0	4
		.0%	2.3%	.0%	18.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	8	0	4
		.0%	7.2%	.0%	17.5%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	4	1	0
		.0%	3.5%	7.1%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0	5	0	3
		.0%	4.6%	.0%	13.3%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	6	0	0
		.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	0	12	1	0
		.0%	11.6%	6.7%	.0%
	\$200,000 or more	2	46	2	1
		100.0%	43.5%	13.8%	4.7%
	DK/NA	0	21	8	10
		.0%	19.8%	52.7%	39.7%

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	8	14	10	148
	Under \$60,000	0	0	0	22
		.0%	.0%	.0%	14.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	1	0	0	18
		12.8%	.0%	.0%	12.3%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	0	0	13
		.0%	.0%	.0%	9.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	0	0	9
		.0%	.0%	.0%	5.7%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	0	1	8
		.0%	.0%	10.2%	5.3%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	3	5	1	13
		40.9%	36.2%	11.4%	8.5%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	6	0	6
		.0%	45.4%	.0%	4.1%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	0	6
		17.2%	.0%	.0%	3.8%
	\$200,000 or more	0	2	3	19
		.0%	11.3%	34.2%	13.0%
	DK/NA	2	1	4	35
		29.0%	7.1%	44.2%	23.6%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	23	12	6	17
	Under \$60,000	6	1	0	1
		25.8%	10.6%	.0%	4.2%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	4	2	0	1
		19.1%	17.1%	.0%	4.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	1	0	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	2	1	0	0
		9.1%	7.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	1	1	0	0
		2.8%	7.0%	3.6%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	3	1	0	0
		12.6%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	3	0	3	2
		12.3%	2.7%	48.2%	13.9%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	0	0
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$200,000 or more	2	3	2	1
		6.8%	23.5%	33.4%	7.3%
	DK/NA	1	3	1	12
		2.8%	24.2%	14.8%	70.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	E ^a	^a	E	^a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	^a	^a		^a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	^a	^a		^a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	K	^a		^a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	^a	^a		^a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	^a	^a		^a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	^a	^a		^a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	^a	^a		^a
	\$200,000 or more	^a	^a		^a
	DK/NA	^a	^a		^a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	^a		^a	^a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	^a	^a	E	^a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000		^a		^a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		^a	^a	^a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		^a		C E
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		^a	^a	^a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		^a	^a	^a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			^a	^a
	\$200,000 or more	G K			^a
	DK/NA		C L		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	^a	^a	E	E
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	^a	^a		
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	^a	^a		
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	^a	^a		
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	C E			
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	C E K	^a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	^a	^a		
	\$200,000 or more				
	DK/NA				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000		^a	
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		^a	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	^a	^a	^a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		^a	^a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		^a	^a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		^a	^a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		C E K	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	^a	^a	^a
	\$200,000 or more			
	DK/NA			C E I K L

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Under \$60,000	12	4	2	2	3	1
		4.5%	4.0%	1.7%	7.5%	26.9%	8.8%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	19	7	9	2	1	1
		7.0%	6.5%	8.0%	5.4%	7.2%	7.3%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	10	4	6	0	0	0
		3.8%	3.5%	5.8%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	14	5	7	2	0	0
		5.1%	5.0%	5.8%	6.4%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	11	4	5	3	0	0
		4.1%	3.7%	4.0%	8.2%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	15	2	10	3	1	0
		5.7%	1.6%	8.8%	8.8%	9.6%	.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	26	13	10	3	0	0
		9.8%	12.7%	8.6%	10.4%	.0%	.0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	16	7	9	0	0	0
		6.2%	6.9%	8.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$200,000 or more	91	36	37	9	6	3
		34.2%	34.5%	33.0%	30.7%	55.4%	29.5%
	DK/NA	52	22	18	7	0	5
		19.6%	21.6%	16.1%	22.5%	.0%	54.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				A B	
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000					a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			a		a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a		a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			a		a
	\$200,000 or more				a	
	DK/NA					B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Under \$60,000	46	21	19	5	1	0
		12.1%	19.6%	8.4%	14.3%	32.5%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	34	11	20	2	1	0
		9.0%	10.0%	9.0%	7.1%	17.5%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	20	4	15	1	0	0
		5.3%	3.4%	6.7%	3.6%	2.1%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	23	6	14	3	0	0
		6.1%	5.7%	6.2%	9.7%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	26	4	22	0	0	0
		6.8%	3.6%	9.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	25	1	21	3	0	0
		6.4%	.8%	9.4%	7.8%	.0%	.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	26	9	15	2	0	0
		6.8%	8.7%	6.6%	4.7%	.0%	1.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B				a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000					a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000					a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		A	a		a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		A			a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000					a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			A B		a
	\$200,000 or more					a
	DK/NA					A B C

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Under \$60,000	60	39	5	9	1	0	0
		10.4%	8.7%	17.6%	9.5%	19.9%	.0%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	33	4	9	0	1	0
		8.9%	7.4%	14.1%	9.2%	.0%	69.2%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	27	0	2	0	0	0
		5.0%	6.1%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	24.3%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	25	3	7	1	0	6
		6.3%	5.6%	10.6%	7.9%	15.8%	.0%	13.8%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	26	2	12	0	0	1
		5.5%	5.8%	7.5%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	28	0	5	0	0	2
		7.1%	6.1%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	3.8%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	30	1	3	0	0	0
		6.6%	6.6%	4.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12
	Under \$60,000	0	0	11	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	22.7%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	2	8	2	0	1
		.0%	7.7%	17.8%	15.2%	.0%	8.4%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	3	0	1	0	0
		3.5%	12.6%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	4	6	1	0	0
		1.4%	19.7%	11.8%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	1	0	3	4	1	0
		11.7%	.0%	6.4%	28.5%	75.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0	3	3	0	0	3
		.0%	15.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	26.7%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	0	0	1	0	0	2
		3.5%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	.0%	13.8%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	1	0	3	1	0	1
		15.6%	.0%	6.5%	4.9%	.0%	11.2%
	\$200,000 or more	0	1	9	1	0	2
		.0%	5.2%	19.2%	9.2%	.0%	19.1%
	DK/NA	4	9	4	2	0	3
		64.3%	39.8%	7.8%	14.9%	25.0%	20.8%

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	14	56	7
	Under \$60,000	0	1	0
		.0%	2.6%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	3	0
		.0%	5.4%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	2	0
		.0%	4.3%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	1	0
		.0%	2.1%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0	1	0
		.0%	2.3%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	5	5	0
		36.2%	8.4%	.0%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	6	9	0
		45.4%	15.4%	.0%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	0	5	0
		.0%	9.5%	.0%
	\$200,000 or more	2	22	1
		11.3%	40.4%	15.4%
	DK/NA	1	5	6
		7.1%	9.7%	84.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000				.b	a,,b	.b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		.b		.b	a	.b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					a	
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				.b	a,,b	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		.b		.b	a,,b	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				.b	a,,b	.b
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000				.b	a	A
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				.b	a,,b	
	\$200,000 or more					a	
	DK/NA	C				a,,b	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.b	.b	A N		a,,b	.b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.b				a,,b	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			.b		a,,b	.b
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		.b			a,,b	.b
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				A N	a	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	.b			.b	a,,b	
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		.b		.b	a,,b	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.b				a,,b	
	\$200,000 or more	.b				a	
	DK/NA	C I N	C			a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household		
		Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	.b		.b
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	.b		.b
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	.b		.b
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	.b		.b
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	.b		.b
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	A C F		.b
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	A B C I		.b
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	.b		.b
	\$200,000 or more			A B C F I ...
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income				
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	60	52	29	37
	Under \$60,000	60	60	0	0	0
		10.4%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	0	52	0	0
		8.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	0	0	29	0
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	0	0	0	37
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	0	0	0	0
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income				
		\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	32	41	38	34	132
	Under \$60,000	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	0	0	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0	41	0	0	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	126
	Under \$60,000	0
		.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	0
		.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	0
		.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	0
		.0%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	0
		.0%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income				
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a	a	a	a	a
	\$200,000 or more	a	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a	a	a	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a	a	a	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a	a	a	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a	a	a	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a	a	a	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a	a	a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a	a	a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a	a	a	a
	\$200,000 or more	a	a	a	a
	DK/NA	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(J)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	a
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	a
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	a
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	a
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	a
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	a
	\$200,000 or more	a
	DK/NA	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	582	167	153	262
	Under \$60,000	60	36	12	12
		10.4%	21.6%	7.9%	4.7%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	27	16	8
		8.9%	16.2%	10.6%	3.2%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	12	8	9
		5.0%	7.3%	5.1%	3.5%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	17	8	12
		6.3%	10.0%	5.0%	4.8%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	7	7	18
		5.5%	4.1%	4.8%	6.9%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	2	24	15
		7.1%	1.0%	15.8%	5.9%
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000	38	3	22	13
		6.6%	2.1%	14.3%	4.9%
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000	34	3	13	18
		5.8%	1.9%	8.3%	6.7%
	\$200,000 or more	132	13	16	103
		22.7%	7.6%	10.8%	39.4%
	DK/NA	126	47	27	53
		21.7%	28.2%	17.5%	20.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B C		
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	C	C	
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000			
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000			
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		A C	A
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		A C	
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		A	
	\$200,000 or more			A B
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Under \$60,000	54	19	1	11	24
		11.7%	14.8%	.9%	14.1%	14.0%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	40	10	12	2	17
		8.7%	7.8%	13.2%	2.3%	10.0%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	26	4	8	1	13
		5.6%	3.2%	8.3%	1.1%	8.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	27	11	2	3	12
		5.9%	9.0%	1.8%	3.9%	6.8%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	29	10	3	6	10
		6.3%	7.7%	3.8%	7.2%	6.1%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	31	10	6	5	10
		6.7%	7.7%	6.3%	6.6%	6.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000	B		B	B
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000				
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000				
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000				
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000				
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000				
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000				
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000				
\$200,000 or more			D	A D	
DK/NA					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender				
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	579	123	119	40	41
	Under \$60,000	60	13	8	7	4
		10.4%	10.7%	7.0%	17.9%	9.6%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	52	11	4	4	3
		8.9%	9.0%	3.0%	11.1%	7.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	29	9	8	1	1
		5.0%	7.0%	6.8%	3.1%	3.1%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	37	10	10	3	0
		6.3%	8.1%	8.7%	7.7%	.5%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	32	6	8	2	3
		5.5%	5.0%	6.7%	5.1%	6.7%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	41	12	9	3	1
		7.1%	9.4%	7.8%	7.4%	3.1%

		Party by Gender			
		Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Total	128	112	7	9
	Under \$60,000	16	9	1	1
		12.6%	7.8%	21.4%	15.8%
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000	14	13	0	3
		10.7%	11.4%	.0%	33.9%
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000	6	2	1	0
		5.0%	2.2%	11.2%	4.0%
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000	7	3	3	1
		5.3%	2.9%	36.4%	6.6%
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000	8	3	0	2
		6.1%	2.9%	.0%	22.4%
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000	10	6	0	0
		8.1%	5.2%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender				
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000					
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000					
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000					
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000					
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000					
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000					
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000			a		
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000			.		
	\$200,000 or more					
	DK/NA					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender		
		Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(F)	(G)	(H)
H. Total household income before taxes in 2016.	Under \$60,000			
	\$60,000 to under \$80,000		a	B
	\$80,000 to under \$100,000			
	\$100,000 to under \$120,000		D E F	
	\$120,000 to under \$140,000		a	
	\$140,000 to under \$160,000		a	a
	\$160,000 to under \$180,000		a	a
	\$180,000 to under \$200,000		a	a
	\$200,000 or more	E		
	DK/NA			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
18-29	77	77
	13.2%	13.2%
30-39	101	101
	17.4%	17.4%
40-49	141	141
	24.2%	24.2%
50-64	152	152
	26.2%	26.2%
65+	102	102
	17.5%	17.5%
Not coded	9	9
	1.5%	1.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	(A)
18-29	.
30-39	.
40-49	.
50-64	.
65+	.
Not coded	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
18-29	77	42	35	0
	13.2%	14.9%	11.7%	.0%
30-39	101	54	47	0
	17.4%	19.2%	15.9%	.0%
40-49	141	70	69	1
	24.2%	25.0%	23.3%	34.4%
50-64	152	68	83	2
	26.2%	24.0%	27.8%	65.6%
65+	102	45	57	0
	17.5%	16.0%	19.1%	.0%
Not coded	9	2	7	0
	1.5%	.7%	2.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
I. Age			a
18-29			.
30-39			.
40-49			.
50-64			.
65+			a
Not coded			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
I. Age	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	18-29	77	77	0	0	0	0	0
		13.2%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	30-39	101	0	101	0	0	0	0
		17.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	40-49	141	0	0	141	0	0	0
		24.2%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	50-64	152	0	0	0	152	0	0
		26.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	65+	102	0	0	0	0	102	0
		17.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
1.5%		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I. Age	18-29	a	a	a	a	a	a
	
	30-39	a	a	a	a	a	a
	
	40-49	a	a	a	a	a	a
	
	50-64	a	a	a	a	a	a
	
	65+	a	a	a	a	a	a
	
	Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a
	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
I. Age	Total	582	424	158
	18-29	77	57	19
		13.2%	13.5%	12.3%
	30-39	101	49	52
		17.4%	11.5%	33.2%
	40-49	141	104	37
		24.2%	24.5%	23.5%
	50-64	152	129	24
		26.2%	30.3%	15.1%
	65+	102	77	25
		17.5%	18.1%	15.9%
	Not coded	9	9	0
		1.5%	2.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
I. Age	18-29	B	A
	30-39		
	40-49		
	50-64		
	65+		
	Not coded		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
I. Age	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	18-29	77	43	0	2	32
	30-39	101	47	8	2	44
	40-49	141	52	19	2	69
	50-64	152	58	22	7	66
	65+	102	38	29	3	31
	Not coded	9	5	4	0	0
		1.5%	2.0%	4.7%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
I. Age	18-29		A D		
	30-39				
	40-49				
	50-64				
	65+				
	Not coded			a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
I. Age	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	18-29	77	9	19	0	0	34	14
	30-39	101	31	6	2	3	19	40
	40-49	141	30	9	12	4	20	67
	50-64	152	29	16	8	7	39	53
	65+	102	20	15	9	12	15	32
	Not coded	9	2	2	1	3	1	0
		1.5%	1.4%	3.2%	3.4%	9.6%	.8%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29		A F	a	a	A F	
30-39						
40-49						B E
50-64				A E F		
65+						a
Not coded						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
18-29	77	57	17	3	0	0	0
	13.2%	21.7%	21.5%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
30-39	101	64	19	4	6	6	2
	17.4%	24.5%	23.8%	5.5%	12.1%	21.2%	10.6%
40-49	141	67	26	30	12	4	0
	24.2%	25.5%	32.8%	37.2%	25.3%	15.9%	.0%
50-64	152	54	13	24	23	10	14
	26.2%	20.7%	16.7%	29.0%	48.1%	38.8%	59.7%
65+	102	20	4	20	7	6	7
	17.5%	7.6%	5.3%	24.8%	14.6%	24.1%	29.6%
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Total	38	24
	0	0
18-29	.0%	.0%
	0	0
30-39	.0%	.0%
	2	0
40-49	4.6%	.0%
	14	0
50-64	37.6%	1.2%
	22	15
65+	57.8%	62.9%
	0	9
Not coded	.0%	35.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date						
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
18-29	C	C		a	a	a	a
30-39	C	C					a
40-49		G	G			a	
50-64				A B H	H	A B H	H
65+			A B			A B	A B C D
	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
Not coded							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Registration Date
	1980 or before
	(H)
18-29	a
30-39	a
40-49	a
50-64	
65+	A B C D
Not coded	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Date											
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64	2
18-29	77	3	12	5	10	0	0	2	17	13	15	0
	13.2%	43.5%	7.1%	11.1%	20.6%	.0%	.0%	5.4%	17.3%	18.0%	23.7%	.0%
30-39	101	0	28	9	2	3	2	0	19	18	19	0
	17.4%	.0%	16.4%	22.1%	5.3%	16.6%	18.1%	.0%	20.1%	24.0%	29.5%	.0%
40-49	141	0	59	13	10	0	5	10	18	15	7	0
	24.2%	.0%	34.2%	31.1%	21.2%	.0%	39.5%	23.9%	18.2%	20.3%	11.2%	.0%
50-64	152	1	62	11	12	5	3	16	14	11	14	2
	26.2%	12.0%	35.6%	27.1%	25.1%	31.4%	22.8%	38.3%	15.0%	14.5%	21.3%	100.0%
65+	102	2	11	4	12	9	3	12	26	15	9	0
	17.5%	28.7%	6.4%	8.7%	25.4%	51.9%	19.6%	29.7%	26.6%	20.2%	14.4%	.0%
Not coded	9	1	1	0	1	0	0	1	3	2	0	0
	1.5%	15.8%	.4%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	2.9%	2.9%	.0%	.0%

	Date
	Apr. 15
Total	5
18-29	0
	.0%
30-39	0
	.0%
40-49	4
	71.3%
50-64	2
	28.7%
65+	0
	.0%
Not coded	0
	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date											
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
I. Age	18-29	B				a	a				B	a	a
	30-39	a				.	.				D	a	.
	40-49	a	J			a						a	J
	50-64	.	H			.						a	.
	65+				B	B C J		B	B			a	a
	Not coded	B		a		a	a				a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
I. Age	Total	582	464	118
	18-29	77	56	21
		13.2%	12.1%	17.5%
	30-39	101	82	19
		17.4%	17.7%	16.2%
	40-49	141	113	28
		24.2%	24.3%	23.8%
	50-64	152	122	31
		26.2%	26.3%	25.9%
	65+	102	85	16
		17.5%	18.4%	13.9%
	Not coded	9	6	3
		1.5%	1.2%	2.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
I. Age	18-29		
	30-39		
	40-49		
	50-64		
	65+		
	Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
I. Age	Total	582	347	235
	18-29	77	17	60
		13.2%	4.9%	25.5%
	30-39	101	50	51
		17.4%	14.3%	21.9%
	40-49	141	89	52
		24.2%	25.7%	21.9%
	50-64	152	112	40
		26.2%	32.3%	17.1%
	65+	102	72	30
		17.5%	20.8%	12.6%
	Not coded	9	7	2
		1.5%	1.9%	.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
I. Age		
18-29		A
30-39		A
40-49		
50-64	B	
65+	B	
Not coded		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino						
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
18-29	77	2	7	5	30	22	10
	13.2%	18.3%	13.6%	5.2%	23.1%	13.8%	7.9%
30-39	101	6	16	33	15	16	15
	17.4%	52.7%	32.4%	35.5%	11.4%	10.0%	11.3%
40-49	141	1	15	39	44	29	12
	24.2%	10.7%	31.3%	42.0%	33.7%	17.5%	9.1%
50-64	152	2	4	15	32	71	29
	26.2%	17.4%	8.3%	15.8%	24.1%	43.5%	21.8%
65+	102	0	7	1	10	25	57
	17.5%	.9%	14.5%	1.5%	7.7%	15.3%	43.3%
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	9
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.6%

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
Total	1
18-29	0
	.0%
30-39	0
	.0%
40-49	0
	.0%
50-64	0
	.0%
65+	1
	100.0%
Not coded	0
	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
I. Age						
18-29				C F		
30-39	D E F	D E F	D E F	E F		
40-49		F	E F			
50-64					B C D F	
65+	.b	C	.b	.b	C	B C D E
Not coded	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b	.b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
18-29	77	0	13	15	31	18	0
	13.2%	.0%	6.3%	10.6%	27.0%	30.7%	.0%
30-39	101	2	65	13	7	8	6
	17.5%	5.4%	30.6%	9.6%	6.0%	14.2%	49.2%
40-49	141	4	50	43	27	14	3
	24.3%	8.8%	23.9%	30.7%	23.5%	23.7%	24.9%
50-64	152	7	38	51	41	13	2
	26.3%	16.6%	17.8%	36.1%	35.9%	23.0%	20.8%
65+	100	28	39	18	9	5	1
	17.2%	62.9%	18.5%	13.0%	7.5%	8.5%	5.1%
Not coded	9	3	6	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	6.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29	a			B C	B C	a
30-39	.	A C D				A C D
40-49						
50-64			B	B		
65+	B C D E F		a	a	a	a
Not coded			a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Ethnic Group						
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15
18-29	77	0	3	31	0	10	3
	13.2%	.0%	100.0%	16.4%	.0%	9.7%	18.4%
30-39	101	0	0	18	0	9	0
	17.4%	.0%	.0%	9.4%	.0%	8.9%	.0%
40-49	141	0	0	52	2	46	1
	24.2%	.0%	.0%	27.4%	100.0%	43.6%	7.9%
50-64	152	3	0	56	0	34	8
	26.2%	100.0%	.0%	29.5%	.0%	32.0%	55.0%
65+	102	0	0	33	0	6	3
	17.5%	.0%	.0%	17.4%	.0%	5.8%	18.8%
Not coded	9	0	0	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
Total	25	8	14	10	148	23
18-29	6	0	3	3	15	0
	22.6%	.0%	21.0%	27.9%	9.8%	.0%
30-39	9	3	3	0	33	11
	37.5%	40.9%	24.5%	.0%	22.2%	47.8%
40-49	6	0	2	5	16	3
	25.0%	.0%	12.6%	51.6%	10.5%	13.9%
50-64	4	1	5	2	29	3
	15.0%	12.8%	34.8%	20.5%	19.2%	13.5%
65+	0	4	1	0	49	6
	.0%	46.2%	7.1%	.0%	32.7%	24.7%
Not coded	0	0	0	0	8	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	12	6	17
18-29	2	0	2
	17.7%	.0%	10.9%
30-39	2	2	10
	17.1%	41.6%	55.1%
40-49	2	1	4
	15.5%	19.8%	23.9%
50-64	4	2	2
	37.6%	36.4%	9.6%
65+	1	0	0
	6.8%	2.2%	.5%
Not coded	1	0	0
	5.4%	.0%	.0%

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
18-29	a	a		a		a
30-39	a	a		a		a
40-49	a	a	K	a	K	
50-64	a	a		a		
65+	a	a		a		
Not coded	a	a	a	a	a	a

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
18-29		a		a		a
30-39	C E	a		a	C	C E
40-49		a		K		
50-64	a			a		
65+	a	E		a	C E	
Not coded	a	a	a	a		a

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
18-29		a	
30-39			C E
40-49			
50-64			
65+			
Not coded		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household				
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more
I. Age	Total	267	104	113	31	11
	18-29	17	12	5	0	0
		6.5%	11.5%	4.9%	.0%	.0%
	30-39	49	16	26	4	2
		18.4%	15.6%	23.4%	14.4%	18.1%
	40-49	112	32	60	14	5
		42.0%	30.3%	52.9%	46.4%	44.5%
	50-64	69	36	17	11	1
		25.7%	34.2%	14.7%	34.4%	9.6%
	65+	20	9	5	1	3
		7.4%	8.4%	4.1%	4.8%	27.7%
	Not coded	2				
		21.2%				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I. Age	18-29			a	a	a
	30-39					a
	40-49		A			
	50-64	B				B
	65+				B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household				
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more
I. Age	Total	381	108	224	34	4
	18-29	53	10	36	7	0
		13.9%	9.3%	15.9%	22.2%	.0%
	30-39	33	7	21	2	0
		8.7%	6.8%	9.3%	7.3%	.0%
	40-49	51	24	20	3	0
		13.4%	22.0%	8.8%	8.5%	.0%
	50-64	137	36	81	15	3
		36.0%	33.2%	36.1%	45.1%	65.4%
	65+	98	28	62	6	2
		25.6%	26.2%	27.7%	16.8%	34.6%
	Not coded	9	3	5	0	0
		2.3%	2.6%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
		9.8%				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
I. Age	18-29				a	a
	30-39				a	
	40-49	B			a	
	50-64				a	B C
	65+ Not coded			a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
I. Age	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	18-29	77	66	5	13	0	0	5	3	6
		13.2%	14.7%	19.4%	14.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	42.6%	25.4%
	30-39	101	89	3	3	2	0	3	0	9
		17.4%	19.8%	10.6%	3.0%	26.8%	.0%	7.6%	.0%	42.2%
	40-49	141	107	11	25	1	0	19	0	5
		24.2%	23.8%	42.5%	26.6%	15.8%	24.3%	43.4%	.0%	20.4%
	50-64	152	112	3	33	3	0	12	2	3
		26.2%	25.0%	12.9%	35.4%	36.3%	.0%	28.5%	34.3%	11.9%
	65+	102	66	4	20	2	1	4	2	0
		17.5%	14.7%	14.6%	21.0%	21.1%	75.7%	8.8%	23.2%	.0%
	Not coded	9	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		1.5%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Total	13	1	12	14	56	7
18-29	2	0	0	3	8	0
	11.7%	.0%	.0%	21.0%	13.5%	.0%
30-39	2	0	3	3	11	0
	14.9%	.0%	26.7%	24.5%	19.5%	.0%
40-49	1	0	4	2	17	4
	6.3%	.0%	32.9%	12.6%	30.0%	55.2%
50-64	4	1	1	5	17	2
	29.1%	100.0%	10.2%	34.8%	30.1%	36.0%
65+	5	0	4	1	4	1
	38.1%	.0%	30.2%	7.1%	6.9%	8.9%
Not coded	0	0	0	0	0	0
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household									
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
18-29				a	a,b					
30-39	C				a,b		a	C F		
40-49					b		a			
50-64					a,b					
65+					b		a			
Not coded		a	a	a	a,b	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household				
	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
18-29	a,b	a			a
30-39	a,b	C		C	a
40-49	a,b				
50-64	a,b				
65+	a,b			a	a
Not coded	a,b	a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Household Income							
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
18-29	77	5	3	6	4	6	0	13
	13.2%	7.9%	5.4%	19.1%	9.6%	18.1%	.0%	33.6%
30-39	101	11	13	4	9	6	21	10
	17.4%	17.6%	24.5%	15.3%	24.0%	18.9%	51.5%	25.3%
40-49	141	7	7	7	7	5	7	5
	24.2%	12.4%	13.4%	22.4%	18.0%	14.2%	17.0%	13.7%
50-64	152	8	10	6	11	9	9	9
	26.2%	12.6%	19.5%	19.8%	29.2%	29.5%	22.4%	24.8%
65+	102	28	16	7	7	6	3	1
	17.5%	46.7%	30.9%	23.5%	19.2%	19.3%	6.6%	2.7%
Not coded	9	2	3	0	0	0	1	0
	1.5%	2.8%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	.0%

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Total	34	132	126
18-29	5	10	27
	15.3%	7.4%	21.1%
30-39	2	11	14
	7.3%	8.2%	11.4%
40-49	8	55	33
	25.1%	41.7%	26.0%
50-64	10	53	27
	30.3%	39.9%	21.5%
65+	7	4	23
	22.0%	2.9%	17.8%
Not coded	0	0	3
	.0%	.0%	2.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income							
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
18-29						a	A B I	
30-39						A H I J		
40-49								
50-64								
65+	F G I J	G I	I a	I a	I a		a	I a
Not coded								

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Income	
	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(I)	(J)
18-29		
30-39		
40-49	A B	
50-64	A	
65+	a	I
Not coded		

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Total	582	167	153	262
18-29	77	28	28	21
	13.2%	17.0%	18.1%	7.9%
30-39	101	17	47	37
	17.4%	10.2%	31.0%	14.1%
40-49	141	11	41	89
	24.2%	6.6%	26.6%	34.1%
50-64	152	36	25	92
	26.2%	21.4%	16.2%	35.1%
65+	102	68	12	22
	17.5%	40.3%	8.2%	8.3%
Not coded	9	8	0	1
	1.5%	4.5%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
18-29	C	C	
30-39		A C	
40-49		A	A
50-64			A B
65+	B C		
Not coded	C	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Total	462	126	90	78	168
18-29	38	30	5	0	3
	8.3%	23.9%	6.0%	.0%	1.7%
30-39	71	31	18	15	7
	15.4%	24.9%	20.2%	19.1%	4.1%
40-49	112	27	37	26	22
	24.3%	21.5%	41.4%	33.4%	12.9%
50-64	136	22	25	20	69
	29.5%	17.6%	27.7%	25.8%	41.2%
65+	95	15	4	16	60
	20.6%	12.2%	4.7%	20.3%	35.6%
Not coded	9	0	0	1	8
	1.9%	.0%	.0%	1.3%	4.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
18-29	B D		a	
30-39	D	D	D	
40-49		A D	D	
50-64				A
65+			B	A B
Not coded	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Party by Gender								
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7	9
18-29	77	15	29	0	0	20	11	0	2
	13.3%	11.9%	24.0%	.0%	.0%	15.8%	10.2%	.0%	22.4%
30-39	101	24	24	2	6	21	23	0	2
	17.5%	19.2%	19.8%	5.0%	14.0%	16.9%	20.3%	.0%	22.5%
40-49	140	21	31	13	6	36	32	0	2
	24.1%	16.9%	25.9%	32.5%	14.0%	27.9%	28.8%	.0%	17.1%
50-64	150	37	20	7	14	32	33	7	0
	26.0%	30.4%	16.8%	18.3%	34.8%	24.7%	29.4%	93.5%	4.2%
65+	102	23	15	15	14	19	13	0	3
	17.6%	18.4%	12.8%	37.2%	34.6%	14.7%	11.3%	6.5%	33.8%
Not coded	9	4	1	3	1	0	0	0	0
	1.5%	3.2%	.9%	7.0%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
I. Age			a	a			a	
18-29			.	.			.	
30-39			.	.			a	
40-49							.	
50-64							.	
65+			B F	F			A B C E ...	
Not coded					a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
Total	582	582
Democrat	244 41.9%	244 41.9%
Republican	81 13.9%	81 13.9%
Other	16 2.7%	16 2.7%
DTS	242 41.5%	242 41.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
J. Party	
Democrat	.
Republican	.
Other	.
DTS	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
Total	582	281	298	3
Democrat	244 41.9%	119 42.5%	123 41.4%	1 31.9%
Republican	81 13.9%	41 14.5%	40 13.4%	0 .0%
Other	16 2.7%	9 3.1%	7 2.4%	0 .0%
DTS	242 41.5%	112 39.9%	128 42.8%	2 68.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
J. Party	Democrat			a
	Republican			a
	Other			
	DTS			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
J. Party	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Democrat	244	43	47	52	58	38	5
		41.9%	56.4%	46.7%	36.7%	38.3%	37.3%	56.4%
	Republican	81	0	8	19	22	29	4
		13.9%	.0%	7.6%	13.3%	14.1%	28.5%	43.6%
	Other	16	2	2	2	7	3	0
		2.7%	2.6%	2.0%	1.1%	4.5%	3.4%	.0%
	DTS	242	32	44	69	66	31	0
		41.5%	41.0%	43.7%	49.0%	43.0%	30.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat	a				B C	B a
	Republican						
	Other						
	DTS			E			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
J. Party	Total	582	424	158
	Democrat	244	172	72
		41.9%	40.4%	45.7%
	Republican	81	70	11
		13.9%	16.5%	6.9%
	Other	16	11	5
		2.7%	2.6%	3.1%
	DTS	242	172	70
		41.5%	40.5%	44.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^a

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
J. Party	Democrat	B	
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
J. Party	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Democrat	244	244	0	0	0
		41.9%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Republican	81	0	81	0	0
		13.9%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	16	0	0	16	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	DTS	242	0	0	0	242
		41.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a	a	a
	Republican	a	a	a	a
	Other	a	a	a	a
	DTS	a	a	a	a
	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
J. Party	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Democrat	244	121	66	0	0	56	0
		41.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	43.7%	.0%
	Republican	81	0	0	32	28	20	0
		13.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	15.6%	.0%
	Other	16	0	0	0	0	7	9
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.1%	4.5%
DTS		242	0	0	0	0	46	196
		41.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	35.6%	95.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a	a	a		a
	Republican	a	a	a	a		a
	Other	a	a	a	a		a
	DTS	a	a	a	a		a
	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
J. Party	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Democrat	244	108	29	44	23	7
		41.9%	41.2%	36.6%	54.2%	48.0%	28.0%
	Republican	81	23	3	7	7	8
		13.9%	8.6%	3.7%	9.0%	14.7%	30.8%
	Other	16	10	2	2	1	0
		2.7%	3.8%	2.5%	2.7%	2.1%	.0%
DTS		242	122	45	28	17	11
		41.5%	46.4%	57.2%	34.1%	35.2%	41.2%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
J. Party	Total	38	24
	Democrat	17	12
		45.8%	50.3%
	Republican	13	12
		34.5%	49.3%
	Other	1	0
		1.5%	.0%
DTS		7	0
		18.1%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date						
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
J. Party	Democrat			F		A B	A B	A B C
	Republican					a		
	Other					.		
	DTS	G H	G H	H	H	H	H	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date
		1980 or before
		(H)
J. Party	Democrat	A B C D
	Republican	a
	Other	.
	DTS	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date											
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
J. Party	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64	2
	Democrat	244	2	75	20	23	4	4	19	38	32	25	1
		41.9%	25.7%	43.5%	48.6%	49.7%	26.7%	27.2%	45.2%	39.6%	43.6%	38.3%	46.3%
	Republican	81	1	24	5	2	5	2	9	16	10	7	0
		13.9%	9.9%	14.1%	11.7%	5.2%	28.8%	12.6%	22.4%	16.1%	13.3%	11.2%	.0%
	Other	16	1	3	0	2	0	1	2	1	3	2	0
		2.7%	21.0%	1.7%	.4%	4.8%	.0%	8.6%	5.4%	.8%	4.1%	3.1%	.0%
	DTS	242	3	70	16	19	7	7	11	42	29	31	1
		41.5%	43.5%	40.7%	39.3%	40.4%	44.5%	51.6%	26.9%	43.6%	39.0%	47.5%	53.7%

		Date
		Apr. 15
J. Party	Total	5
	Democrat	0
		6.5%
	Republican	0
		.0%
	Other	0
		.0%
	DTS	5
		93.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date											
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
J. Party	Democrat												
	Republican	B H				a						a	a
	Other					.						.	.
	DTS												

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
J. Party	Total	582	464	118
	Democrat	244	181	63
		41.9%	38.9%	53.3%
	Republican	81	60	20
		13.9%	13.0%	17.2%
	Other	16	15	1
		2.7%	3.3%	.6%
	DTS	242	208	34
		41.5%	44.8%	28.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
J. Party	Democrat		A
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS	B	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
J. Party	Total	582	347	235
	Democrat	244	149	95
		41.9%	42.9%	40.3%
	Republican	81	58	22
		13.9%	16.8%	9.5%
	Other	16	8	8
		2.7%	2.3%	3.3%
	DTS	242	132	110
		41.5%	37.9%	46.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
J. Party	Democrat		
	Republican		
	Other	B	
	DTS		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
J. Party	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	Democrat	244	7	24	41	48	72	51
		41.9%	68.3%	49.6%	43.3%	36.2%	44.2%	38.8%
	Republican	81	0	4	8	12	13	42
		13.9%	2.7%	8.8%	8.3%	9.4%	8.1%	31.8%
	Other	16	0	1	2	4	5	4
		2.7%	.0%	2.4%	2.0%	2.7%	3.0%	3.3%
	DTS	242	3	19	44	68	73	35
		41.5%	29.0%	39.2%	46.4%	51.7%	44.8%	26.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
J. Party	Total	1
	Democrat	0
		.0%
	Republican	1
		100.0%
	Other	0
		.0%
	DTS	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
J. Party	Democrat							a, b
	Republican	.b					B C D E	a, b
	Other							a, b
	DTS			F	F	F		a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
J. Party	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Democrat	242	18	92	55	47	25	5
		41.8%	39.4%	43.8%	39.4%	41.3%	43.1%	41.5%
	Republican	80	13	31	19	6	9	2
		13.8%	28.7%	14.6%	13.8%	5.6%	15.0%	15.6%
	Other	16	4	4	4	3	0	0
		2.7%	9.6%	2.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.4%
	DTS	242	10	84	62	57	24	5
		41.7%	22.4%	39.6%	43.9%	50.2%	41.9%	41.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat						
	Republican	D					
	Other						
	DTS				A	.a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group						
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
J. Party	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106	15
	Democrat	244	3	0	69	2	49	9
		41.9%	100.0%	.0%	36.4%	100.0%	46.6%	57.9%
	Republican	81	0	0	25	0	6	0
		13.9%	.0%	.0%	13.2%	.0%	5.7%	.0%
	Other	16	0	0	3	0	2	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
	DTS	242	0	3	92	0	48	7
		41.5%	.0%	100.0%	48.8%	.0%	45.6%	42.1%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
J. Party	Total	25	8	14	10	148	23
	Democrat	11	3	2	1	65	13
		44.2%	40.9%	11.7%	11.4%	43.7%	56.5%
	Republican	3	4	0	1	37	2
		13.1%	47.2%	.0%	12.0%	25.1%	10.6%
	Other	0	0	0	0	5	2
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	9.5%
	DTS	11	1	12	8	41	5
		42.7%	11.8%	88.3%	76.6%	27.7%	23.4%

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	12	6	17
	Democrat	6	2	8
		47.8%	42.1%	47.2%
	Republican	1	0	0
		11.8%	.0%	1.6%
	Other	3	0	0
		24.0%	3.6%	.9%
	DTS	2	3	9
		16.5%	54.3%	50.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group					
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
J. Party	Democrat	a	a		a		
	Republican	a	a		a		a
	Other	a	a		a		a
	DTS	a	a	K	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
J. Party	Democrat		E	a		E	
	Republican	a	a	a	a		
	Other						
	DTS			H K L M			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group		
		Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(M)	(N)	(O)
J. Party	Democrat		a	
	Republican			
	Other			
	DTS	C E K		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Democrat	100	43	42	10	4	1
		37.4%	41.0%	37.2%	33.5%	39.5%	8.8%
	Republican	21	7	7	5	1	1
		8.0%	7.0%	6.4%	14.9%	10.5%	13.9%
	Other	6	2	3	1	0	0
		2.2%	1.8%	2.8%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	DTS	140	52	60	15	5	7
		52.4%	50.1%	53.6%	48.9%	50.0%	77.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Party	Democrat					
	Republican					
	Other				a	a
	DTS					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Democrat	154	40	85	21	1	6
		40.4%	37.0%	38.1%	61.3%	32.5%	58.6%
	Republican	68	15	48	3	2	0
		17.8%	13.8%	21.5%	8.8%	42.9%	.0%
	Other	12	5	5	3	0	0
		3.3%	4.2%	2.4%	7.7%	.0%	.0%
	DTS	147	48	85	7	1	5
		38.5%	45.0%	37.9%	22.1%	24.6%	41.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
J. Party	Democrat					
	Republican					
	Other				a	a
	DTS					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household									
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
J. Party	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22	48
	Democrat	244	205	6	19	4	1	26	2	11	15
		41.9%	45.7%	22.5%	20.7%	57.4%	75.7%	59.5%	33.5%	49.8%	31.5%
	Republican	81	72	2	14	0	0	0	0	3	6
		13.9%	16.1%	9.0%	14.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.7%	11.8%
	Other	16	11	0	3	0	0	1	0	0	2
		2.7%	2.5%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	24.3%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	4.2%
	DTS	242	160	18	57	3	0	17	4	8	25
		41.5%	35.6%	68.5%	61.3%	42.6%	.0%	37.9%	66.5%	35.4%	52.6%

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
J. Party	Total	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Democrat	5	0	4	2	22	2
	Republican	3	1	4	0	2	1
	Other	1	0	0	0	3	0
	DTS	7.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	2.4%
	DTS	4	0	4	12	29	4
	DTS	34.1%	25.0%	33.1%	88.3%	51.5%	58.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household								
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
J. Party	Democrat	C				a	C	b		
	Republican		b		b	a,b	b	b		
	Other					a			b	
	DTS		A	A		a,b				

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
J. Party	Democrat		a,b		b		
	Republican		a		b		
	Other		a,b	b	b		
	DTS		a		A		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
J. Party	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	Democrat	244	22	15	17	20	14	21	14
		41.9%	35.7%	28.3%	57.2%	55.4%	44.4%	50.6%	37.0%
	Republican	81	11	8	2	3	5	4	0
		13.9%	18.3%	14.8%	8.5%	8.9%	14.8%	10.2%	1.2%
	Other	16	3	3	1	3	2	0	0
		2.7%	4.8%	5.8%	3.9%	8.5%	6.1%	.0%	.0%
	DTS	242	25	26	9	10	11	16	24
41.5%		41.3%	51.1%	30.4%	27.2%	34.6%	39.2%	61.8%	

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
J. Party	Total	34	132	126
	Democrat	17	56	48
	Republican	50.4%	42.4%	38.1%
	Other	6	16	25
	DTS	16.7%	12.1%	20.0%
	DTS	0	3	1
	DTS	.0%	1.9%	.9%
	DTS	11	58	52
	DTS	32.9%	43.6%	41.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income							
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Party	Democrat								
	Republican								
	Other								
	DTS								

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income	
		\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(I)	(J)
J. Party	Democrat		
	Republican		
	Other		
	DTS		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
J. Party	Total	582	167	153	262
	Democrat	244	58	80	106
		41.9%	34.4%	52.6%	40.4%
	Republican	81	36	9	35
		13.9%	21.8%	5.7%	13.5%
	Other	16	7	3	6
		2.7%	4.3%	2.0%	2.2%
	DTS	242	66	61	115
		41.5%	39.6%	39.7%	43.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
J. Party	Democrat Republican Other DTS	B	A C	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
J. Party	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Democrat	194	36	40	49	69
		42.1%	28.7%	44.0%	63.4%	41.2%
	Republican	77	8	15	12	42
		16.7%	6.7%	16.1%	15.0%	25.3%
	Other	11	7	0	0	4
		2.4%	5.3%	.3%	.0%	2.4%
	DTS	179	75	36	17	52
		38.8%	59.3%	39.6%	21.6%	31.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
J. Party	Democrat Republican Other DTS			A D a .	A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender								
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
J. Party	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7	9
	Democrat	243	123	119	0	0	0	0	0	0
		41.9%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Republican	81	0	0	40	41	0	0	0	0
		13.9%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	9
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%
	DTS	240	0	0	0	0	128	112	0	0
		41.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender							
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
J. Party	Democrat Republican Other DTS	a a a a	a a a a	a a a a	a a a a	a a a a	a a a a	a a a a	a a a a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	582
	Dem 1	121	121
	Dem 2+	66	66
	Rep 1	32	32
	Rep 2+	28	28
	Other 1	139	139
	Other 2+	67	67
	Dem & Rep	21	21
	Dem & Other	86	86
	Rep & Other	17	17
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	4
		.8%	.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.
	Dem 2+	.
	Rep 1	.
	Rep 2+	.
	Other 1	.
	Other 2+	.
	Dem & Rep	.
	Dem & Other	.
	Rep & Other	.
	Dem, Rep & Other	.
	Other	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	281	298	3
	Dem 1	121	60	60	1
	Dem 2+	66	32	34	0
	Rep 1	32	15	17	0
	Rep 2+	28	13	15	0
	Other 1	139	63	75	1
	Other 2+	67	31	34	1
	Dem & Rep	21	14	7	0
	Dem & Other	86	43	43	0
	Rep & Other	17	7	10	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	1	0
		.8%	1.2%	.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1			a
	Dem 2+			.
	Rep 1			a
	Rep 2+			.
	Other 1			.
	Other 2+			.
	Dem & Rep			a
	Dem & Other			.
	Rep & Other			a
	Dem, Rep & Other			.
	Other			.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ Not coded
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	Dem 1	121	9	31	30	29	20 2
	Dem 2+	66	19	6	9	16	15 2
	Rep 1	32	0	2	12	8	9 1
	Rep 2+	28	0	3	4	7	12 3
	Other 1	139	5	30	52	35	18 0
	Other 2+	67	9	10	15	19	14 0
	Dem & Rep	21	0	4	4	6	6 0
	Dem & Other	86	32	11	12	28	3 1
	Rep & Other	17	0	4	4	4	4 0
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	0	0	1	1 0
		.8%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.7%	.6%
							.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	B C					
	Dem 2+	a					
	Rep 1	a					
	Rep 2+					C	B C D
	Other 1		A	A E	A		a
	Other 2+						a
	Dem & Rep	a					a
	Dem & Other	B C D E			E		a
	Rep & Other	a					a
	Dem, Rep & Other		a	a			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	424	158
	Dem 1	121	67	55
	Dem 2+	66	58	8
	Rep 1	32	23	9
	Rep 2+	28	27	1
	Other 1	139	85	54
	Other 2+	67	53	14
	Dem & Rep	21	18	3
	Dem & Other	86	72	14
	Rep & Other	17	16	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	4	0
		.8%	1.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		A
	Dem 2+	B	
	Rep 1		
	Rep 2+	B	
	Other 1		A
	Other 2+		
	Dem & Rep		
	Dem & Other	B	
	Rep & Other	B	
	Dem, Rep & Other		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Dem 1	121	121	0	0	0
		20.8%	49.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem 2+	66	66	0	0	0
		11.4%	27.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	32	0	32	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	40.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	0	28	0	0
		4.9%	.0%	35.1%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	139	0	0	6	132
		23.8%	.0%	.0%	39.6%	54.8%
	Other 2+	67	0	0	3	64
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	19.2%	26.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	a	a	a
	Dem 2+	.	a	a	a
	Rep 1	a	.	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	.	a	a
	Other 1	a	a	.	.
	Other 2+	a	a	.	.
	Dem & Rep	.	A	a	a
	Dem & Other	.	a	.	.
	Rep & Other	a	D	D	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	.	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Dem 1	121	121	0	0	0	0	0
		20.8%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem 2+	66	0	66	0	0	0	0
		11.4%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	32	0	0	32	0	0	0
		5.5%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	0	0	0	28	0	0
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	139	0	0	0	0	0	139
		23.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	67.6%
	Other 2+	67	0	0	0	0	0	67
		11.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	32.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Dem 2+	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Rep 1	.	a	a	a	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Other 1	a	a	a	a	a	.
	Other 2+	a	a	a	a	a	.
	Dem & Rep	a	a	a	a	.	a
	Dem & Other	a	a	a	a	.	a
	Rep & Other	a	a	a	a	.	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	a	a	a	.	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Dem 1	121	58	13	26	8	1
		20.8%	21.9%	16.5%	31.9%	17.9%	3.5%
	Dem 2+	66	21	10	10	11	3
		11.4%	8.1%	12.8%	11.8%	24.0%	11.1%
	Rep 1	32	12	3	3	2	1
		5.5%	4.5%	3.3%	3.9%	4.1%	4.6%
	Rep 2+	28	5	0	1	2	6
		4.9%	2.0%	.0%	1.6%	4.6%	22.3%
	Other 1	139	74	26	20	8	4
		23.8%	28.0%	33.0%	24.4%	17.6%	16.9%
	Other 2+	67	28	13	3	8	3
		11.4%	10.8%	16.6%	4.0%	16.0%	12.3%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
K. Household Party Type	Total	23	38	24
	Dem 1	2	9	5
		8.7%	22.4%	19.9%
	Dem 2+	1	4	6
		3.9%	11.3%	25.3%
	Rep 1	4	2	6
		16.9%	5.2%	23.7%
	Rep 2+	2	6	6
		7.9%	15.6%	24.5%
	Other 1	4	3	0
		15.6%	7.4%	.0%
	Other 2+	7	5	0
		29.1%	11.9%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date				
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1				A	
	Dem 2+					
	Rep 1		a			A C
	Rep 2+					
	Other 1					
	Other 2+					
	Dem & Rep					A B
	Dem & Other					
	Rep & Other					
	Dem, Rep & Other		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(F)	(G)	(H)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1			
	Dem 2+			
	Rep 1		A	A B
	Rep 2+			A C
	Other 1			a
	Other 2+	C		a
	Dem & Rep	a	A B	
	Dem & Other			
	Rep & Other			
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date								
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97
	Dem 1	121	1	37	10	12	2	3	8	21
		20.8%	9.9%	21.5%	24.9%	26.9%	12.8%	19.3%	19.1%	21.9%
	Dem 2+	66	1	17	5	5	1	1	5	10
		11.4%	15.8%	10.1%	11.6%	11.5%	4.7%	7.8%	11.3%	10.7%
	Rep 1	32	1	12	1	2	0	0	2	7
		5.5%	9.9%	6.8%	1.5%	5.2%	1.7%	.0%	3.9%	6.8%
	Rep 2+	28	0	4	0	0	2	2	5	8
		4.9%	.0%	2.3%	.0%	.0%	10.9%	12.6%	12.9%	7.8%
	Other 1	139	1	39	11	15	5	4	5	18
		23.8%	12.0%	22.5%	25.2%	31.6%	31.9%	30.1%	11.2%	18.4%
	Other 2+	67	1	17	4	2	1	4	4	16
		11.4%	9.0%	10.0%	8.8%	4.7%	6.3%	30.1%	9.5%	16.5%

		Date			
		Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
K. Household Party Type	Total	73	64	2	5
	Dem 1	17	8	1	0
		23.1%	12.5%	46.3%	6.5%
	Dem 2+	10	11	0	0
		13.2%	17.3%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	3	6	0	0
		3.6%	9.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	7	1	0	0
		8.9%	2.2%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	23	16	1	1
		31.7%	24.9%	53.7%	26.6%
	Other 2+	5	12	0	1
		6.5%	18.1%	.0%	26.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date								
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1									
	Dem 2+									
	Rep 1						a			
	Rep 2+	a		a	a					
	Other 1									
	Other 2+									
	Dem & Rep	a			a		a			
	Dem & Other									
	Rep & Other	a			a	a	a			
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		a	a	a	a	a		

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(J)	(K)	(L)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		a	a
	Dem 2+		a	a
	Rep 1		a	a
	Rep 2+		a	a
	Other 1			
	Other 2+	a	a	a
	Dem & Rep		a	a
	Dem & Other		a	a
	Rep & Other	a	a	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	464	118
	Dem 1	121	90	31
		20.8%	19.5%	26.0%
	Dem 2+	66	48	19
		11.4%	10.3%	16.0%
	Rep 1	32	23	9
		5.5%	5.0%	7.9%
	Rep 2+	28	19	9
		4.9%	4.1%	7.7%
	Other 1	139	120	19
		23.8%	25.8%	16.1%
	Other 2+	67	57	10
		11.4%	12.3%	8.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	B	
	Dem 2+		
	Rep 1		
	Rep 2+		
	Other 1		
	Other 2+		
	Dem & Rep		
	Dem & Other		
	Rep & Other		
	Dem, Rep & Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	347	235
	Dem 1	121	66	55
		20.8%	19.2%	23.2%
	Dem 2+	66	46	21
		11.4%	13.1%	8.9%
	Rep 1	32	22	11
		5.5%	6.3%	4.5%
	Rep 2+	28	22	7
		4.9%	6.2%	2.9%
	Other 1	139	80	58
		23.8%	23.2%	24.8%
	Other 2+	67	36	31
		11.4%	10.4%	13.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	B	A
	Dem 2+		
	Rep 1		
	Rep 2+		
	Other 1		
	Other 2+		
	Dem & Rep		
	Dem & Other		
	Rep & Other		
	Dem, Rep & Other		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	11	49	94	131
	Dem 1	121	6	15	24	20
	Dem 2+	66	2	3	12	15
	Rep 1	32	0	2	6	8
	Rep 2+	28	0	2	0	2
	Other 1	139	3	11	37	27
	Other 2+	67	0	9	7	25
	Dem & Rep	21	0	2	1	2
	Dem & Other	86	0	6	5	29
	Rep & Other	17	0	0	1	1
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	0	0	3
		.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
		11.4%	15.6%	6.0%	12.8%	11.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino		
		16 to 25 years	26 years or more	Not sure
K. Household Party Type	Total	163	133	1
	Dem 1	37	20	0
	Dem 2+	21	14	0
	Rep 1	2	14	1
	Rep 2+	5	18	0
	Other 1	48	13	0
	Other 2+	10	16	0
	Dem & Rep	4	11	0
	Dem & Other	30	17	0
	Rep & Other	5	8	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	2	0
		.0%	1.3%	.0%
		13.0%	10.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	D F				
	Dem 2+					
	Rep 1					
	Rep 2+	.b		.b		
	Other 1	.b		D F	E	F
	Other 2+	.b				
	Dem & Rep	.b				
	Dem & Other	.b			C	
	Rep & Other	.b				
	Dem, Rep & Other	.b	.b	.b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		a,b
	Dem 2+		a,b
	Rep 1	E	a,b
	Rep 2+	D E	a,b
	Other 1		a,b
	Other 2+		a,b
	Dem & Rep		a,b
	Dem & Other		a,b
	Rep & Other		a,b
	Dem, Rep & Other		a,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more
K. Household Party Type	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58
	Dem 1	120	14	45	29	18	11
	Dem 2+	66	3	27	12	21	2
	Rep 1	32	7	9	7	3	4
	Rep 2+	28	4	17	4	1	2
	Other 1	139	11	45	34	28	16
	Other 2+	67	3	31	19	11	2
	Dem & Rep	21	3	9	4	3	2
	Dem & Other	86	0	21	21	26	17
	Rep & Other	17	0	5	7	3	2
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	1	4	0	0
		.8%	.0%	.3%	2.8%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	Dem 2+						a
	Rep 1	D					a
	Rep 2+						a
	Other 1						a
	Other 2+						a
	Dem & Rep						a
	Dem & Other	a			B	B	a
	Rep & Other						a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		B	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Dem 1	121	1	0	27	1
	Dem 2+	66	1	0	18	0
	Rep 1	32	0	0	11	0
	Rep 2+	28	0	0	7	0
	Other 1	139	0	0	57	0
	Other 2+	67	0	0	29	0
	Dem & Rep	21	0	0	5	0
	Dem & Other	86	0	3	26	1
	Rep & Other	17	0	0	6	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	0	0	3	0
		.8%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
K. Household Party Type	Total	106	15	25	8	14
	Dem 1	20	5	8	3	2
	Dem 2+	16	1	1	0	0
	Rep 1	3	0	0	0	0
	Rep 2+	0	0	3	2	0
	Other 1	22	3	10	1	9
	Other 2+	12	0	1	0	0
	Dem & Rep	3	1	0	1	0
	Dem & Other	29	4	2	0	3
	Rep & Other	2	0	0	0	0
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
K. Household Party Type	Total	10	148	23	12	6
	Dem 1	1	33	11	2	2
		11.4%	22.6%	45.9%	13.4%	42.1%
	Dem 2+	0	22	2	2	0
		.0%	14.7%	8.1%	19.9%	.0%
	Rep 1	0	17	1	0	0
		.0%	11.6%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	0	12	2	1	0
		.0%	8.4%	7.9%	9.5%	.0%
	Other 1	5	23	6	0	1
		50.1%	15.3%	25.5%	.0%	12.7%
	Other 2+	3	13	2	1	2
		26.5%	8.9%	7.3%	11.5%	41.6%
	Dem & Rep	0	7	0	0	0

		Ethnic Group
		Not sure/DK/NA
K. Household Party Type	Total	17
	Dem 1	3
		19.6%
	Dem 2+	2
		13.9%
	Rep 1	0
		1.6%
	Rep 2+	0
		.0%
	Other 1	3
		17.5%
	Other 2+	3
		19.3%
	Dem & Rep	2

--	--	--

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		a		
	Dem 2+		a		a
	Rep 1	a	a		a
	Rep 2+	a	a		a
	Other 1	a	a		a
	Other 2+	a	a		a
	Dem & Rep	a	a		a
	Dem & Other	a	a		a
	Rep & Other	a	a		a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese
		(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1				a	a
	Dem 2+		a	a	a	a
	Rep 1		a		a	a
	Rep 2+	a	a		C	a
	Other 1					E K
	Other 2+		a		a	a
	Dem & Rep			a	a	a
	Dem & Other	K	a	a	a	a
	Rep & Other		a	a	a	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	K	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	a		C		a
	Dem 2+	a				a
	Rep 1	a			a	a
	Rep 2+	a			a	a
	Other 1				a	
	Other 2+					
	Dem & Rep	a		a		a
	Dem & Other	a		a		a
	Rep & Other			a	C E	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group	
		Not sure/DK/NA
		(O)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	
	Dem 2+	
	Rep 1	
	Rep 2+	a
	Other 1	.
	Other 2+	
	Dem & Rep	
	Dem & Other	a
	Rep & Other	.
	Dem, Rep & Other	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
K. Household Party Type	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Dem 1	52	26	19	4	1	1
	Dem 2+	19.7%	25.4%	17.2%	14.5%	13.4%	8.8%
	Rep 1	22	9	9	4	0	0
	Rep 2+	8.4%	9.1%	8.3%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	13	3	5	3	0	1
	Other 2+	4.7%	2.9%	4.8%	9.6%	.0%	13.9%
	Dem & Rep	5	2	1	0	1	0
	Dem & Other	1.7%	2.4%	.6%	1.3%	10.5%	.0%
	Rep & Other	85	23	41	11	5	5
	Dem, Rep & Other	31.8%	22.1%	36.5%	35.5%	42.8%	61.4%
	Dem 1	29	12	13	3	1	1
	Dem 2+	11.0%	11.2%	11.1%	10.0%	7.2%	14.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1				a	a
	Dem 2+				a	a
	Rep 1				.	a
	Rep 2+				.	.
	Other 1				.	.
	Other 2+			a	a	a
	Dem & Rep			.	.	.
	Dem & Other			.	a	a
	Rep & Other			.	a	a
	Dem, Rep & Other			.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
K. Household Party Type	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Dem 1	68	30	27	7	1	3
	Dem 2+	17.7%	27.7%	11.9%	19.9%	32.5%	25.9%
	Rep 1	48	7	37	4	0	0
	Rep 2+	12.7%	6.4%	16.6%	12.8%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	26	11	12	2	0	0
	Other 2+	6.7%	10.5%	5.6%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
	Dem & Rep	27	2	24	1	1	0
	Dem & Other	7.1%	1.6%	10.7%	1.7%	19.6%	.0%
	Rep & Other	76	28	38	7	0	3
	Dem, Rep & Other	19.9%	26.1%	16.8%	19.8%	.0%	28.8%
	Dem 1	47	18	26	0	1	1
	Dem 2+	12.4%	17.2%	11.8%	.0%	24.6%	11.2%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	B			a	a
	Dem 2+		A		a	a
	Rep 1				a	a
	Rep 2+		A		a	a
	Other 1				a	
	Other 2+			a		
	Dem & Rep				A	A
	Dem & Other			A	a	a
	Rep & Other			a	a	a
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		B	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	Dem 1	121	100	3	6	4	1	8
		20.8%	22.2%	10.6%	6.8%	52.9%	69.2%	18.5%
	Dem 2+	66	60	0	7	0	0	11
		11.4%	13.5%	.0%	7.2%	4.5%	6.5%	25.7%
	Rep 1	32	30	0	6	0	0	0
		5.5%	6.7%	.0%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	23	1	4	0	0	0
		4.9%	5.2%	5.6%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Other 1	139	78	10	42	1	0	8
		23.8%	17.4%	38.9%	45.3%	15.8%	.0%	17.4%
	Other 2+	67	48	5	13	2	0	8
		11.4%	10.7%	18.9%	13.6%	26.8%	24.3%	18.4%
	Dem & Rep	21	20	0	4	0	0	0
		3.6%	4.3%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem & Other	86	71	6	10	0	0	9
		14.8%	15.8%	22.5%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	20.0%
	Rep & Other	17	16	1	1	0	0	0
		2.9%	3.7%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	2	0	0	0	0	0
		.8%	.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Japanese	Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese
K. Household Party Type	Total	7	22	48	13	1	12	14
	Dem 1	0	8	6	4	0	3	2
		.0%	37.9%	13.4%	26.7%	.0%	26.7%	11.7%
	Dem 2+	1	1	2	1	0	0	0
		21.7%	4.7%	3.2%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	0	0	1	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	2.2%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	0	3	2	2	1	2	0
		.0%	14.7%	4.8%	15.1%	75.0%	19.6%	.0%
	Other 1	2	8	13	4	0	4	9
		24.0%	35.4%	26.5%	28.8%	.0%	33.1%	67.3%
	Other 2+	0	0	10	2	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	21.9%	13.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem & Rep	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.2%	.0%
	Dem & Other	4	2	5	1	0	1	3
		54.3%	7.2%	11.3%	5.9%	.0%	9.3%	21.0%
	Rep & Other	0	0	5	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	10.9%	.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	0	3	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household	
		Other	DK/NA
K. Household Party Type	Total	56	7
	Dem 1	13	2
		23.1%	30.1%
	Dem 2+	3	0
		4.5%	.0%
	Rep 1	0	1
		.2%	8.9%
	Rep 2+	0	0
		.3%	.0%
	Other 1	13	2
		24.1%	36.5%
	Other 2+	4	1
		7.6%	15.4%
	Dem & Rep	2	0
		3.7%	.0%
	Dem & Other	18	1
		32.4%	9.2%
	Rep & Other	2	0
		4.1%	.0%
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	C			C	a		b
	Dem 2+		b			a		
	Rep 1		b		b	a,b	N	b
	Rep 2+		.		b	a,b	b	b
	Other 1			A		a,b		
	Other 2+					a		b
	Dem & Rep		b		b	a,b	b	b
	Dem & Other		.		b	a,b		
	Rep & Other		b	b	b	a,b	b	b
Dem, Rep & Other			b	b	b	a,b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	C			a,b		b		b
	Dem 2+				a,b	b	b		
	Rep 1	b			a,b	b	b		
	Rep 2+	.			a	b	b		b
	Other 1				a,b	N			.
	Other 2+	b			a,b	b	A F		b
	Dem & Rep	b	b	b	a,b	.	b		b
	Dem & Other	.			a,b				
	Rep & Other	b	C	b	a	b	b		b
Dem, Rep & Other		b	A	b	a,b	b	b	b	b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income					
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32
	Dem 1	121	15	10	11	11	2
		20.8%	25.6%	18.4%	36.8%	31.2%	6.9%
	Dem 2+	66	2	2	5	6	8
		11.4%	2.6%	3.5%	15.9%	15.6%	23.5%
	Rep 1	32	8	1	2	2	1
		5.5%	13.5%	1.2%	5.7%	4.9%	3.2%
	Rep 2+	28	3	5	1	1	2
		4.9%	4.4%	9.1%	2.8%	4.0%	7.2%
	Other 1	139	21	13	6	5	7
		23.8%	35.2%	26.0%	21.8%	12.4%	21.8%
	Other 2+	67	7	8	3	4	2
		11.4%	10.8%	16.2%	11.7%	11.0%	6.6%
	Dem & Rep	21	1	1	1	0	0
		3.6%	2.1%	2.7%	4.2%	.0%	.3%
	Dem & Other	86	1	9	0	6	7
		14.8%	1.0%	16.6%	.3%	16.2%	22.6%
	Rep & Other	17	0	3	0	2	1
		2.9%	.2%	6.2%	.8%	4.7%	4.3%
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	0	0	0	1
		.8%	4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%

		Household Income				
		\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
K. Household Party Type	Total	41	38	34	132	126
	Dem 1	13	10	5	26	18
		30.5%	26.9%	16.2%	19.3%	14.2%
	Dem 2+	6	3	5	11	19
		14.7%	7.0%	16.3%	8.7%	15.4%
	Rep 1	0	0	3	6	10
		.6%	.0%	9.6%	4.5%	7.6%
	Rep 2+	2	0	1	2	11
		4.8%	.0%	4.2%	1.9%	8.3%
	Other 1	14	9	6	33	24
		34.3%	22.3%	18.4%	25.3%	18.8%
	Other 2+	1	6	2	13	20
		2.2%	16.9%	7.1%	9.5%	15.7%
	Dem & Rep	2	0	1	7	7
		4.9%	.4%	2.7%	4.9%	5.8%
	Dem & Other	1	10	8	32	13
		3.3%	25.4%	22.6%	24.1%	10.5%
	Rep & Other	2	0	1	2	4
		4.9%	1.2%	3.0%	1.9%	3.3%
	Dem, Rep & Other	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1						
	Dem 2+						
	Rep 1						
	Rep 2+						
	Other 1						
	Other 2+						
	Dem & Rep				a		
	Dem & Other					A	
	Rep & Other		a	a	a		a
	Dem, Rep & Other						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1				
	Dem 2+	a			
	Rep 1	.			
	Rep 2+	a			
	Other 1	.			
	Other 2+				
	Dem & Rep				
	Dem & Other	A	A	A	
	Rep & Other	a	a	a	
	Dem, Rep & Other				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
K. Household Party Type	Total	582	167	153	262
	Dem 1	121	18	48	55
		20.8%	10.9%	31.3%	21.0%
	Dem 2+	66	24	20	22
		11.4%	14.6%	13.4%	8.3%
	Rep 1	32	14	5	14
		5.5%	8.1%	3.1%	5.4%
	Rep 2+	28	16	4	8
		4.9%	9.7%	2.6%	3.1%
	Other 1	139	31	47	61
		23.8%	18.5%	30.5%	23.4%
	Other 2+	67	28	8	31
		11.4%	16.8%	5.0%	11.8%
	Dem & Rep	21	7	0	13
		3.6%	4.5%	.0%	5.1%
	Dem & Other	86	21	21	44
		14.8%	12.4%	13.9%	16.9%
	Rep & Other	17	4	0	12
		2.9%	2.6%	.0%	4.7%
	Dem, Rep & Other	4	3	0	1
		.8%	2.0%	.0%	.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		A	A
	Dem 2+			
	Rep 1			
	Rep 2+	B C		
	Other 1		A	
	Other 2+	B		
	Dem & Rep		a	
	Dem & Other		.	
	Rep & Other		a	
	Dem, Rep & Other		a	
	Other		.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
K. Household Party Type	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Dem 1	95	14	28	26	27
		20.5%	11.0%	31.3%	33.1%	16.0%
	Dem 2+	56	12	3	14	27
		12.2%	9.7%	3.8%	17.9%	16.0%
	Rep 1	29	4	3	4	18
		6.2%	2.9%	3.8%	4.8%	10.7%
	Rep 2+	28	2	7	4	15
		6.1%	1.9%	7.9%	4.6%	9.1%
	Other 1	114	58	23	10	24
		24.6%	45.7%	25.4%	12.2%	14.0%
	Other 2+	44	8	9	5	22
		9.5%	6.6%	10.4%	5.9%	12.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1		A D	A D	
	Dem 2+			B	B
	Rep 1				
	Rep 2+				
	Other 1	B C D			
	Other 2+				
	Dem & Rep				A
	Dem & Other				
	Rep & Other				
	Dem, Rep & Other	a		a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender					
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP
K. Household Party Type	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128
	Dem 1	120	60	60	0	0	0
		20.8%	49.1%	50.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Dem 2+	66	34	32	0	0	0
		11.5%	27.7%	27.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	32	0	0	17	15	0
		5.6%	.0%	.0%	43.8%	36.3%	.0%
	Rep 2+	28	0	0	15	13	0
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	37.6%	32.7%	.0%
	Other 1	138	0	0	0	0	71
		23.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	55.8%
	Other 2+	66	0	0	0	0	33
		11.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.1%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
K. Household Party Type	Total	7	9
	Dem 1	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Dem 2+	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Rep 1	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Rep 2+	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	Other 1	4	2
		55.3%	27.2%
	Other 2+	1	2
		13.3%	23.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	.	.	a	a	a	a
	Dem 2+
	Rep 1	a	a	a	a	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	a	.	.	a	a
	Other 1	a	a	a	a	.	.
	Other 2+	a	a	a	a	.	.
	Dem & Rep	.	.	.	A B	a	a
	Dem & Other	.	.	a	a	.	.
	Rep & Other	a	a	E F	.	.	.
	Dem, Rep & Other	.	.	a	.	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
		(G)	(H)
K. Household Party Type	Dem 1	a	a
	Dem 2+	a	a
	Rep 1	a	a
	Rep 2+	a	a
	Other 1	.	.
	Other 2+	.	.
	Dem & Rep	a	a
	Dem & Other	.	.
	Rep & Other	.	E F
	Dem, Rep & Other	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
L. Registration Date	Total	582	582
	2013 to 2017	263	263
		45.2%	45.2%
	2009 to 2012	78	78
		13.5%	13.5%
	2005 to 2008	81	81
		14.0%	14.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	47
		8.1%	8.1%
	1997 to 2000	27	27
		4.6%	4.6%
	1993 to 1996	23	23
		4.0%	4.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	38
		6.5%	6.5%
	1980 or before	24	24
		4.2%	4.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	.
	2009 to 2012	.
	2005 to 2008	.
	2001 to 2004	.
	1997 to 2000	.
	1993 to 1996	.
	1981 to 1992	.
	1980 or before	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
L. Registration Date	Total	582	281	298	3
	2013 to 2017	263	149	113	1
		45.2%	52.9%	38.1%	33.7%
	2009 to 2012	78	34	43	1
		13.5%	12.2%	14.4%	34.4%
	2005 to 2008	81	31	50	1
		14.0%	11.0%	16.6%	31.9%
	2001 to 2004	47	23	25	0
		8.1%	8.0%	8.3%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	13	14	0
		4.6%	4.6%	4.6%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	9	14	0
		4.0%	3.3%	4.6%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	14	24	0
		6.5%	5.2%	7.9%	.0%
	1980 or before	24	8	16	0
		4.2%	2.9%	5.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B		
	2009 to 2012			
	2005 to 2008			
	2001 to 2004			a
	1997 to 2000			.a
	1993 to 1996			.a
	1981 to 1992			.a
	1980 or before			.a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
L. Registration Date	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	2013 to 2017	263	57	64	67	54	20	0
		45.2%	74.5%	63.6%	47.6%	35.7%	19.7%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	78	17	19	26	13	4	0
		13.5%	21.9%	18.4%	18.2%	8.6%	4.1%	.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	3	4	30	24	20	0
		14.0%	3.6%	4.4%	21.5%	15.5%	19.9%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	6	12	23	7	0
		8.1%	.0%	5.6%	8.5%	14.9%	6.7%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	6	4	10	6	0
		4.6%	.0%	5.6%	3.0%	6.7%	6.3%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	0	2	0	14	7	0
		4.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	9.0%	6.7%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	0	0	2	14	22	0
		6.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	9.4%	21.6%	.0%
	1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	15	9
		4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	15.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	C D E	D E	E			a
	2009 to 2012	D E	E	E			a
	2005 to 2008			A B		A B	a
	2001 to 2004	a					a
	1997 to 2000	.a					a
	1993 to 1996	.a		a			a
	1981 to 1992	.a	a		C	C D	a
	1980 or before	a	a	a		D	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a.This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b.Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c.Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
L. Registration Date	Total	582	424	158
	2013 to 2017	263	157	106
		45.2%	37.1%	67.2%
	2009 to 2012	78	61	17
		13.5%	14.4%	11.1%
	2005 to 2008	81	60	22
		14.0%	14.1%	13.8%
	2001 to 2004	47	45	2
		8.1%	10.7%	1.2%
	1997 to 2000	27	23	4
		4.6%	5.4%	2.4%
	1993 to 1996	23	20	3
		4.0%	4.7%	2.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	34	4
		6.5%	8.1%	2.3%
	1980 or before	24	24	0
		4.2%	5.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004	B	
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992	B	
	1980 or before		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
L. Registration Date	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	2013 to 2017	263	108	23	10	122
		45.2%	44.4%	28.2%	62.9%	50.5%
	2009 to 2012	78	29	3	2	45
		13.5%	11.8%	3.6%	12.2%	18.6%
	2005 to 2008	81	44	7	2	28
		14.0%	18.1%	9.1%	13.9%	11.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	23	7	1	17
		8.1%	9.3%	8.6%	6.2%	6.9%
	1997 to 2000	27	7	8	0	11
		4.6%	3.0%	10.1%	.0%	4.5%
	1993 to 1996	23	3	7	0	13
		4.0%	1.2%	9.2%	1.0%	5.2%
	1981 to 1992	38	17	13	1	7
		6.5%	7.2%	16.3%	3.7%	2.8%
	1980 or before	24	12	12	0	0
		4.2%	5.0%	14.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017			B	B
	2009 to 2012				B
	2005 to 2008				
	2001 to 2004				
	1997 to 2000		A	a	
	1993 to 1996		A		
	1981 to 1992		D		
	1980 or before	D	A D	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
L. Registration Date	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	2013 to 2017	263	58	21	12	5	65	102
		45.2%	47.5%	32.0%	36.5%	18.5%	50.7%	49.8%
	2009 to 2012	78	13	10	3	0	14	39
		13.5%	10.7%	15.1%	8.0%	.0%	10.9%	18.9%
	2005 to 2008	81	26	10	3	1	18	23
		14.0%	21.4%	14.4%	9.7%	4.6%	14.3%	11.3%
	2001 to 2004	47	8	11	2	2	8	16
		8.1%	6.9%	17.0%	5.9%	7.7%	5.9%	7.7%
	1997 to 2000	27	1	3	1	6	8	8
		4.6%	.8%	4.4%	3.8%	20.9%	6.0%	3.8%
	1993 to 1996	23	2	1	4	2	4	10
		4.0%	1.7%	1.4%	12.1%	6.4%	3.2%	5.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	9	4	2	6	10	7
		6.5%	7.0%	6.4%	6.2%	20.9%	7.8%	3.6%
	1980 or before	24	5	6	6	6	2	0
		4.2%	4.0%	9.2%	17.9%	21.0%	1.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017				a	D	D
	2009 to 2012				.		
	2005 to 2008						
	2001 to 2004				A F		
	1997 to 2000						
	1993 to 1996				F		
	1981 to 1992				A E		a
	1980 or before			E			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
L. Registration Date	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	2013 to 2017	263	263	0	0	0	0
		45.2%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	78	0	78	0	0	0
		13.5%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	0	0	81	0	0
		14.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	0	0	47	0
		8.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	0	0	0	27
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	100.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	0	0	0	0	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	0	0	0	0	0
		6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	0
		4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
L. Registration Date	Total	23	38	24
	2013 to 2017	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	2005 to 2008	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	0	0
		100.0%	.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	0	38	0
		.0%	100.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	0	0	24
		.0%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2009 to 2012
	2005 to 2008	a	a	a	a	a	a
	2001 to 2004	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1997 to 2000
	1993 to 1996	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1981 to 1992	a	a	a	a	a	a
	1980 or before

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a
	2009 to 2012	a	a
	2005 to 2008	a	a
	2001 to 2004	a	a
	1997 to 2000	a	a
	1993 to 1996	a	a
	1981 to 1992	a	a
	1980 or before	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
L. Registration Date	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	2013 to 2017	263	0	87	22	22	0	8	19	25	27
		45.2%	.0%	50.6%	51.3%	47.9%	.0%	59.1%	45.1%	26.3%	37.1%
	2009 to 2012	78	3	27	2	8	4	1	5	10	15
		13.5%	43.5%	15.7%	5.9%	16.7%	26.7%	7.3%	10.9%	10.6%	20.6%
	2005 to 2008	81	1	18	6	6	2	1	9	18	15
		14.0%	9.9%	10.2%	13.2%	14.0%	14.5%	9.3%	20.9%	18.9%	20.9%
	2001 to 2004	47	1	17	6	0	1	1	2	14	2
		8.1%	12.0%	10.0%	14.7%	.6%	8.4%	7.8%	5.3%	15.0%	3.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	6	1	1	1	0	0	10	3
		4.6%	.0%	3.6%	3.0%	3.0%	3.9%	.0%	.0%	10.7%	4.6%
	1993 to 1996	23	1	7	2	2	3	0	1	3	0
		4.0%	9.9%	4.1%	4.1%	3.6%	15.3%	.0%	3.4%	2.6%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	1	9	2	3	4	2	3	8	4
		6.5%	9.0%	5.0%	4.3%	7.5%	25.1%	16.5%	7.0%	8.6%	5.9%
	1980 or before	24	1	2	1	3	1	0	3	7	6
		4.2%	15.8%	.9%	3.5%	6.6%	6.2%	.0%	7.4%	7.4%	8.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
L. Registration Date	Total	64	2	5
	2013 to 2017	48	1	4
		74.0%	46.3%	66.9%
	2009 to 2012	3	0	0
		4.1%	.0%	6.5%
	2005 to 2008	5	0	0
		7.6%	9.8%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	0	1	0
		.0%	43.9%	5.3%
	1997 to 2000	2	0	1
		3.3%	.0%	21.3%
	1993 to 1996	5	0	0
		8.5%	.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	2	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	H			a					H I
	2009 to 2012	J									
	2005 to 2008										a
	2001 to 2004	a					a	a		a	
	1997 to 2000	.					a				
	1993 to 1996						a			a	
	1981 to 1992										
	1980 or before	B					a				a

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	
	2009 to 2012	.	
	2005 to 2008		a
	2001 to 2004	D	
	1997 to 2000	a	
	1993 to 1996	a	a
	1981 to 1992	a	a
	1980 or before	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
L. Registration Date	Total	582	464	118
	2013 to 2017	263	205	59
		45.2%	44.1%	49.6%
	2009 to 2012	78	61	18
		13.5%	13.1%	14.9%
	2005 to 2008	81	66	16
		14.0%	14.2%	13.2%
	2001 to 2004	47	44	3
		8.1%	9.4%	2.8%
	1997 to 2000	27	21	5
		4.6%	4.5%	4.6%
	1993 to 1996	23	22	1
		4.0%	4.7%	1.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	27	11
		6.5%	5.9%	9.1%
	1980 or before	24	19	6
		4.2%	4.0%	4.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B	
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
L. Registration Date	Total	582	347	235
	2013 to 2017	263	92	171
		45.2%	26.5%	72.9%
	2009 to 2012	78	55	24
		13.5%	15.8%	10.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	66	15
		14.0%	19.0%	6.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	43	5
		8.1%	12.3%	2.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	20	7
		4.6%	5.7%	2.9%
	1993 to 1996	23	19	4
		4.0%	5.5%	1.6%
	1981 to 1992	38	34	4
		6.5%	9.8%	1.8%
	1980 or before	24	19	5
		4.2%	5.4%	2.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B	A
	2009 to 2012		
	2005 to 2008		
	2001 to 2004		
	1997 to 2000		
	1993 to 1996		
	1981 to 1992		
	1980 or before		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
L. Registration Date	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	2013 to 2017	263	11	42	48	63	70
		45.2%	100.0%	84.7%	50.9%	47.9%	42.6%
	2009 to 2012	78	0	4	24	26	17
		13.5%	.0%	7.9%	25.8%	19.4%	10.3%
	2005 to 2008	81	0	3	10	21	33
		14.0%	.0%	6.6%	10.7%	15.8%	20.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	0	11	18	11
		8.1%	.0%	.7%	11.4%	13.5%	6.8%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	0	1	1	14
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	.9%	8.7%
	1993 to 1996	23	0	0	0	0	10
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	5.9%
	1981 to 1992	38	0	0	0	3	7
		6.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.3%	4.4%
	1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	1
		4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
L. Registration Date	Total	133	1
	2013 to 2017	30	0
		22.8%	.0%
	2009 to 2012	7	1
		5.5%	100.0%
	2005 to 2008	14	0
		10.5%	.0%
	2001 to 2004	7	0
		5.4%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	10	0
		7.6%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	13	0
		9.9%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	28	0
		21.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	23	0
		17.4%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c, d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	C D E F	F	F	F
	2009 to 2012	a		E F	F	
	2005 to 2008	a				
	2001 to 2004	a				
	1997 to 2000	a	a			D
	1993 to 1996	a	a	a		D
	1981 to 1992	a	a	a		
	1980 or before	a	a	a	a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c, d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		a, b
	2009 to 2012		a, b
	2005 to 2008		a, b
	2001 to 2004		a, b
	1997 to 2000	D	a, b
	1993 to 1996	D	a, b
	1981 to 1992	D E	a, b
	1980 or before	E	a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
L. Registration Date	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	2013 to 2017	262	12	95	65	56	29	5
		45.3%	27.6%	45.2%	46.2%	49.2%	50.0%	41.4%
	2009 to 2012	78	2	24	19	20	8	6
		13.5%	4.6%	11.5%	13.2%	17.5%	13.7%	49.9%
	2005 to 2008	81	8	25	19	20	9	1
		14.0%	17.5%	11.8%	13.5%	17.3%	15.5%	8.7%
	2001 to 2004	46	2	17	12	9	6	0
		8.0%	3.7%	8.3%	8.5%	8.3%	10.3%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	1	10	10	3	2	0
		4.6%	2.9%	4.8%	7.5%	2.2%	3.5%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	22	4	9	3	3	3	0
		3.9%	9.5%	4.1%	2.3%	2.4%	6.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	6	17	11	3	0	0
		6.5%	13.7%	8.2%	7.9%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	24	9	13	1	0	1	0
		4.2%	20.5%	6.1%	1.0%	.0%	1.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017						A B C
	2009 to 2012						
	2005 to 2008						
	2001 to 2004						a
	1997 to 2000						a
	1993 to 1996						a
	1981 to 1992				a		a
	1980 or before	B C E			a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
L. Registration Date	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	2013 to 2017	263	0	3	88	0	51
		45.2%	.0%	100.0%	46.6%	.0%	48.4%
	2009 to 2012	78	0	0	26	2	16
		13.5%	.0%	.0%	13.5%	100.0%	15.4%
	2005 to 2008	81	1	0	20	0	25
		14.0%	50.0%	.0%	10.6%	.0%	23.8%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	0	23	0	8
		8.1%	.0%	.0%	12.4%	.0%	7.6%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	0	10	0	3
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	.0%	3.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	0	0	12	0	1
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	6.5%	.0%	.9%
	1981 to 1992	38	1	0	10	0	1
		6.5%	50.0%	.0%	5.1%	.0%	1.0%
	1980 or before	24	0	0	0	0	0
		4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
L. Registration Date	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	2013 to 2017	1	18	6	9	8
		7.1%	73.7%	71.0%	63.9%	76.6%
	2009 to 2012	4	0	0	0	1
		28.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.4%
	2005 to 2008	5	2	0	3	1
		33.5%	6.9%	.0%	24.4%	12.0%
	2001 to 2004	1	0	0	2	0
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
	1997 to 2000	1	3	0	0	0
		7.1%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	1	2	1	0	0
		6.7%	6.4%	17.2%	.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	1	0	0	0	0
		9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	2013 to 2017	51	14	6	1	6
		34.7%	61.0%	55.0%	16.8%	35.2%
	2009 to 2012	16	5	1	3	5
		10.7%	21.2%	5.3%	45.2%	26.6%
	2005 to 2008	16	2	2	1	2
		10.9%	8.1%	17.7%	23.2%	10.2%
	2001 to 2004	9	1	0	0	2
		6.0%	4.6%	.0%	8.1%	13.9%
	1997 to 2000	5	1	1	0	2
		3.3%	2.4%	8.0%	4.5%	13.6%
	1993 to 1996	8	0	0	0	0
		5.7%	.0%	2.7%	2.2%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	21	1	1	0	0
		13.8%	2.8%	4.5%	.0%	.5%
	1980 or before	22	0	1	0	0
		14.9%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	a	a		a	
	2009 to 2012	a	a		a	
	2005 to 2008		a		a	
	2001 to 2004	a	a		a	
	1997 to 2000	a	a		a	
	1993 to 1996	a	a		a	
	1981 to 1992	C E	a		a	
	1980 or before	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		F K			F
	2009 to 2012		a	a	a	
	2005 to 2008		.	.	.	
	2001 to 2004		a	a		a
	1997 to 2000		.	a	a	a
	1993 to 1996	a	a	.	a	a
	1981 to 1992	.	.	.	a	a
	1980 or before		a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017					
	2009 to 2012					
	2005 to 2008			a		
	2001 to 2004			.		
	1997 to 2000		a			a
	1993 to 1996	E	.		a	.
	1981 to 1992		a		a	a
	1980 or before		.		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	2013 to 2017	135	52	62	12	5	4
	2009 to 2012	50.7%	50.0%	55.1%	39.6%	45.6%	46.6%
	2005 to 2008	42	16	19	5	1	1
	2001 to 2004	15.8%	15.6%	16.4%	17.0%	10.7%	10.5%
	1997 to 2000	45	16	18	8	2	0
	1993 to 1996	16.8%	15.7%	15.9%	26.0%	20.6%	4.3%
	1981 to 1992	25	11	9	4	0	1
	1980 or before	9.4%	10.2%	8.2%	13.5%	.0%	11.8%
	2013 to 2017	7	3	2	1	0	0
	2009 to 2012	2.5%	3.1%	2.0%	4.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017					
	2009 to 2012					
	2005 to 2008				a	
	2001 to 2004			.	.	a
	1997 to 2000			.	.	.
	1993 to 1996		a	a	.	A B
	1981 to 1992	a	.	a	.	a
	1980 or before	.	.	a	A B	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	2013 to 2017	145	44	80	15	0	4
		38.0%	41.2%	35.8%	45.7%	2.1%	40.5%
	2009 to 2012	39	10	25	2	0	2
		10.3%	9.2%	11.3%	6.7%	.0%	14.0%
	2005 to 2008	54	17	28	9	0	0
		14.3%	16.0%	12.3%	27.9%	.0%	3.4%
	2001 to 2004	34	10	20	2	1	1
		9.0%	9.3%	9.0%	5.5%	23.3%	9.4%
	1997 to 2000	25	6	16	1	0	2
		6.7%	5.3%	7.2%	3.3%	.0%	21.5%
	1993 to 1996	22	8	13	0	0	0
		5.7%	7.9%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	37	6	26	3	2	0
		9.8%	5.8%	11.8%	8.7%	42.1%	.0%
	1980 or before	24	6	15	1	1	1
		6.4%	5.3%	6.7%	2.2%	32.5%	11.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017				a	
	2009 to 2012				a	
	2005 to 2008				a	
	2001 to 2004				a	
	1997 to 2000				a	a
	1993 to 1996			a	a	a
	1981 to 1992			a	A	a
	1980 or before					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi
L. Registration Date	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44
	2013 to 2017	263	199	13	47	3	0	21
		45.2%	44.4%	48.0%	50.9%	47.1%	30.8%	49.0%
	2009 to 2012	78	67	2	13	0	1	6
		13.5%	15.0%	8.4%	14.3%	.0%	69.2%	13.2%
	2005 to 2008	81	54	5	9	4	0	11
		14.0%	12.0%	19.6%	9.3%	52.9%	.0%	24.7%
	2001 to 2004	47	37	3	10	0	0	3
		8.1%	8.2%	10.5%	11.0%	.0%	.0%	6.1%
	1997 to 2000	27	21	1	3	0	0	2
		4.6%	4.6%	4.4%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
	1993 to 1996	23	15	0	5	0	0	1
		4.0%	3.3%	.0%	5.8%	.0%	.0%	2.1%
	1981 to 1992	38	31	2	5	0	0	0
		6.5%	7.0%	9.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	24	24	0	0	0	0	0
		4.2%	5.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	2013 to 2017	17	24	6	0	7	9	29	3
		78.1%	49.4%	46.3%	25.0%	58.0%	63.9%	52.8%	45.2%
	2009 to 2012	0	7	2	0	1	0	11	2
		.0%	14.1%	15.2%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	18.9%	29.7%
	2005 to 2008	0	2	3	0	2	3	12	1
		.0%	4.7%	21.7%	.0%	13.8%	24.4%	21.4%	9.4%
	2001 to 2004	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
		.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	2.8%	15.7%
	1997 to 2000	3	2	0	0	0	0	2	0
		14.7%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	0	8	1	1	1	0	0	0
		.0%	17.1%	5.9%	75.0%	7.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	2	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
		7.2%	5.0%	5.9%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1980 or before	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017				b	a			b
	2009 to 2012				a	a			b
	2005 to 2008				C	a,b			b
	2001 to 2004				b	a,b			b
	1997 to 2000		b		b	a,b		b	b
	1993 to 1996		b		b	a,b	b	b	b
	1981 to 1992		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b
	1980 or before		b	b	b	a,b	b	b	b

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017			a				
	2009 to 2012			a,b		b		
	2005 to 2008			a,b		.		
	2001 to 2004		b	a,b	b			b
	1997 to 2000		b	a,b	.			b
	1993 to 1996	A		a	.	b	b	b
	1981 to 1992			a,b	b	b	b	b
	1980 or before	b		a,b	b	b	b	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
L. Registration Date	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	2013 to 2017	263	23	22	10	21	13	25
		45.2%	37.6%	43.1%	33.4%	56.5%	40.0%	60.7%
	2009 to 2012	78	7	5	5	0	5	3
		13.5%	11.0%	9.1%	16.4%	.8%	14.7%	6.5%
	2005 to 2008	81	9	7	4	5	4	5
		14.0%	14.7%	12.9%	14.8%	13.0%	11.3%	11.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	1	3	3	4	4	2
		8.1%	1.5%	5.9%	11.6%	12.0%	13.6%	3.8%
	1997 to 2000	27	2	4	1	1	0	2
		4.6%	3.5%	7.3%	2.2%	2.5%	.0%	4.0%
	1993 to 1996	23	8	2	0	0	3	1
		4.0%	12.9%	3.1%	.0%	.4%	8.9%	2.5%
	1981 to 1992	38	4	4	5	5	2	3
		6.5%	6.6%	7.0%	16.8%	14.3%	7.5%	7.1%
	1980 or before	24	7	6	1	0	1	2
		4.2%	12.1%	11.7%	4.7%	.5%	3.9%	4.3%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	Total	38	34	132	126
	2013 to 2017	19	13	70	48
		49.0%	39.2%	52.6%	38.1%
	2009 to 2012	13	5	17	20
		33.2%	16.1%	12.5%	15.7%
	2005 to 2008	5	9	20	14
		12.3%	27.3%	15.4%	11.3%
	2001 to 2004	0	4	12	13
		.0%	12.0%	9.0%	10.7%
	1997 to 2000	0	1	8	8
		.0%	4.4%	5.8%	6.6%
	1993 to 1996	1	0	3	5
		2.7%	.0%	2.6%	4.0%
	1981 to 1992	1	0	3	11
		2.3%	.5%	2.1%	8.8%
	1980 or before	0	0	0	6
		.4%	.6%	.0%	4.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income					
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017						
	2009 to 2012						
	2005 to 2008						
	2001 to 2004					a	
	1997 to 2000					.	
	1993 to 1996			a			
	1981 to 1992			l			
	1980 or before						

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	D			
	2009 to 2012				
	2005 to 2008	a			
	2001 to 2004	a			
	1997 to 2000	.			
	1993 to 1996		a		
	1981 to 1992				
	1980 or before			a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
L. Registration Date	Total	582	167	153	262
	2013 to 2017	263	41	89	133
		45.2%	24.7%	58.0%	50.9%
	2009 to 2012	78	14	26	38
		13.5%	8.5%	17.3%	14.4%
	2005 to 2008	81	27	24	30
		14.0%	16.2%	15.8%	11.5%
	2001 to 2004	47	14	9	24
		8.1%	8.5%	6.0%	9.1%
	1997 to 2000	27	14	1	11
		4.6%	8.6%	.6%	4.3%
	1993 to 1996	23	13	0	10
		4.0%	7.8%	.0%	3.8%
	1981 to 1992	38	23	2	13
		6.5%	13.9%	1.5%	4.8%
	1980 or before	24	20	1	3
		4.2%	12.0%	.8%	1.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		A	A
	2009 to 2012			
	2005 to 2008			
	2001 to 2004			
	1997 to 2000	B		
	1993 to 1996		a	
	1981 to 1992	B C		
	1980 or before	B C		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
L. Registration Date	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	2013 to 2017	146	81	25	13	27
		31.6%	64.3%	27.7%	16.8%	16.0%
	2009 to 2012	76	35	24	13	3
		16.4%	27.7%	26.8%	16.9%	2.0%
	2005 to 2008	81	8	22	24	27
		17.6%	6.5%	24.8%	30.8%	16.0%
	2001 to 2004	47	0	6	12	29
		10.2%	.0%	6.9%	14.9%	17.4%
	1997 to 2000	27	0	8	4	14
		5.7%	.0%	9.3%	5.0%	8.5%
	1993 to 1996	23	0	3	3	17
		5.0%	.2%	3.8%	3.2%	10.0%
	1981 to 1992	38	2	1	6	30
		8.2%	1.2%	.6%	7.6%	17.9%
	1980 or before	24	0	0	4	20
		5.3%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	12.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	B C D			
	2009 to 2012	D		D	
	2005 to 2008		A	A D	
	2001 to 2004	a			
	1997 to 2000	a			
	1993 to 1996				A
	1981 to 1992				A B
	1980 or before	a	a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
L. Registration Date	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	2013 to 2017	262	46	63	7	16	56	65
		45.3%	37.2%	52.3%	18.1%	38.0%	43.9%	58.0%
	2009 to 2012	77	13	16	2	1	27	17
		13.4%	10.5%	13.1%	5.0%	2.3%	21.2%	14.9%
	2005 to 2008	80	26	17	6	2	17	11
		13.9%	21.0%	14.4%	14.1%	4.2%	13.4%	9.4%
	2001 to 2004	47	13	10	2	5	9	8
		8.1%	10.6%	8.0%	5.2%	11.9%	6.8%	7.0%
	1997 to 2000	27	3	5	4	4	7	4
		4.6%	2.2%	4.0%	9.7%	10.5%	5.6%	3.4%
	1993 to 1996	23	2	1	4	4	8	5
		4.0%	1.6%	.8%	9.3%	9.1%	6.2%	4.1%
	1981 to 1992	38	12	5	8	6	4	3
6.6%		10.1%	4.2%	19.1%	13.5%	2.8%	3.0%	
1980 or before	24	8	4	8	4	0	0	
	4.2%	6.8%	3.2%	19.4%	10.4%	.0%	.1%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
L. Registration Date	Total	7	9
	2013 to 2017	4	6
		61.8%	63.8%
	2009 to 2012	1	1
		13.3%	11.4%
	2005 to 2008	1	1
		11.6%	15.8%
	2001 to 2004	1	0
		11.2%	2.4%
	1997 to 2000	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	1993 to 1996	0	0
		2.2%	.0%
	1981 to 1992	0	1
		.0%	6.6%
	1980 or before	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017		C				A C	
	2009 to 2012							
	2005 to 2008							
	2001 to 2004							a
	1997 to 2000							.
	1993 to 1996			E F				a
	1981 to 1992			B F	F	a		.
	1980 or before					.		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
L. Registration Date	2013 to 2017	
	2009 to 2012	
	2005 to 2008	
	2001 to 2004	a
	1997 to 2000	.
	1993 to 1996	a
	1981 to 1992	.
	1980 or before	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2006	Total	582	582
	No	448	448
	Poll	61	61
	Mail	73	73
November 2006	Total	582	582
	No	375	375
	Poll	105	105
	Mail	102	102
November 2007	Total	582	582
	No	454	454
	Poll	21	21
	Mail	107	107
February 2008	Total	582	582
	No	356	356
	Poll	67	67
	Mail	159	159
June 2008	Total	582	582
	No	439	439
	Poll	23	23
	Mail	120	120
November 2008	Total	582	582
	No	267	267
	Poll	68	68
	Mail	247	247
May 2009	Total	582	582
	No	415	415
	Poll	22	22
	Mail	145	145
November 2009	Total	582	582
	No	402	402

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Total	
		Total	Total
November 2009	No	69.0%	69.0%
	Poll	35	35
	Mail	145	145
		24.9%	24.9%
June 2010	Total	582	582
	No	401	401
	Poll	34	34
	Mail	147	147
November 2010	Total	582	582
	No	287	287
	Poll	67	67
	Mail	228	228
June 2012	Total	582	582
	No	357	357
	Poll	35	35
	Mail	189	189
November 2012	Total	582	582
	No	205	205
	Poll	93	93
	Mail	285	285
June 2014	Total	582	582
	No	346	346
	Poll	36	36
	Mail	200	200
November 2014	Total	582	582
	No	265	265
	Poll	67	67
	Mail	251	251
June 2016	Total	582	582
	No	250	250

		Total	
		Total	Total
June 2016	No	43.0%	43.0%
	Poll	73	73
	Mail	259	259
		44.4%	44.4%

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2006	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	448	228	218	1
	Poll	61	21	38	2
	Mail	73	32	42	0
November 2006	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	375	195	178	1
	Poll	105	46	57	2
	Mail	102	40	62	0
November 2007	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	454	229	221	3
	Poll	21	6	15	0
	Mail	107	45	62	0
February 2008	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	356	169	186	1
	Poll	67	38	29	0
	Mail	159	75	82	2
June 2008	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	439	225	213	1
	Poll	23	10	12	0
	Mail	120	46	72	2
November 2008	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	267	139	127	1
	Poll	68	38	30	0
	Mail	247	104	141	2
May 2009	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	415	207	207	1
	Poll	22	11	11	0
	Mail	145	63	80	2
November 2009	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	402	205	194	3

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
November 2009	No	69.0%	72.8%	65.1%	100.0%
	Poll	35	15	20	0
		6.1%	5.5%	6.7%	.0%
	Mail	145	61	84	0
		24.9%	21.8%	28.2%	.0%
June 2010	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	401	205	196	0
		68.9%	72.8%	66.0%	.0%
	Poll	34	14	20	0
		5.9%	5.0%	6.8%	.0%
	Mail	147	63	81	3
		25.2%	22.3%	27.3%	100.0%
November 2010	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	287	154	133	0
		49.3%	54.6%	44.8%	.0%
	Poll	67	32	35	0
		11.5%	11.4%	11.6%	.0%
	Mail	228	95	130	3
		39.2%	33.9%	43.5%	100.0%
June 2012	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	357	181	176	1
		61.4%	64.2%	59.0%	34.4%
	Poll	35	19	17	0
		6.1%	6.6%	5.7%	.0%
	Mail	189	82	105	2
		32.5%	29.2%	35.3%	65.6%
November 2012	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	205	114	91	0
		35.1%	40.4%	30.5%	.0%
	Poll	93	41	52	0
		15.9%	14.5%	17.3%	.0%
	Mail	285	127	155	3
		49.0%	45.0%	52.1%	100.0%
June 2014	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	346	174	170	1
		59.4%	61.9%	57.3%	34.4%
	Poll	36	13	23	0
		6.2%	4.7%	7.7%	.0%
	Mail	200	94	104	2
		34.4%	33.4%	35.1%	65.6%
November 2014	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	265	137	127	0
		45.4%	48.8%	42.7%	.0%
	Poll	67	29	37	0
		11.5%	10.4%	12.5%	.0%
	Mail	251	115	133	3
		43.1%	40.8%	44.7%	100.0%
June 2016	Total	582	281	298	3
	No	250	127	122	1

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
June 2016	No	43.0%	45.2%	41.0%	33.7%
	Poll	73	31	42	0
		12.6%	11.1%	14.0%	.0%
	Mail	259	123	134	2
		44.4%	43.7%	44.9%	66.3%

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ Not coded
June 2006	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	448	77	91	125	102	51 2
		76.9%	100.0%	89.6%	88.6%	66.9%	50.6% 19.0%
	Poll	61	0	3	2	31	20 4
		10.5%	.0%	2.8%	1.8%	20.4%	19.9% 49.2%
November 2006	Mail	73	0	8	14	19	30 3
		12.6%	.0%	7.6%	9.6%	12.7%	29.5% 31.8%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	375	75	82	107	71	40 0
		64.4%	98.0%	80.8%	75.9%	46.3%	39.3% .0%
November 2007	Poll	105	0	8	8	53	30 5
		18.0%	.0%	7.9%	6.0%	34.8%	29.5% 61.1%
	Mail	102	2	11	25	29	32 3
		17.6%	2.0%	11.3%	18.1%	18.9%	31.2% 38.9%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
February 2008	No	454	74	99	125	101	52 2
		78.0%	96.4%	98.0%	89.1%	66.5%	50.7% 24.3%
	Poll	21	0	0	0	13	7 1
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%	7.1% 12.5%
	Mail	107	3	2	15	38	43 6
June 2008		18.4%	3.6%	2.0%	10.9%	25.1%	42.3% 63.2%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	356	74	77	104	69	32 0
		61.2%	96.4%	75.7%	73.7%	45.3%	31.8% .0%
	Poll	67	0	10	6	29	18 4
November 2008		11.5%	.0%	10.0%	4.3%	18.7%	17.6% 48.6%
	Mail	159	3	15	31	55	52 4
		27.4%	3.6%	14.3%	22.0%	36.0%	50.6% 51.4%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	439	74	97	117	102	47 3
May 2009		75.4%	96.4%	95.6%	83.1%	66.7%	45.9% 31.5%
	Poll	23	0	2	3	10	6 2
		3.9%	.0%	2.4%	2.0%	6.4%	5.5% 24.3%
	Mail	120	3	2	21	41	49 4
		20.7%	3.6%	2.0%	15.0%	26.9%	48.6% 44.3%
November 2009	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	267	69	61	72	46	19 0
		45.8%	89.3%	60.0%	51.3%	30.1%	18.6% .0%
	Poll	68	2	16	12	23	13 2
		11.7%	3.1%	15.6%	8.5%	15.1%	12.5% 24.3%
June 2010	Mail	247	6	25	57	83	70 7
		42.5%	7.6%	24.4%	40.2%	54.7%	68.9% 75.7%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	415	71	96	107	89	52 0
		71.3%	92.4%	95.2%	75.8%	58.4%	50.8% .0%
November 2010	Poll	22	0	0	1	12	5 3
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	.8%	8.0%	5.2% 36.1%
	Mail	145	6	5	33	51	45 6
		24.9%	7.6%	4.8%	23.4%	33.6%	43.9% 63.9%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
June 2012	No	402	72	88	111	81	49 1
		69.0%	93.7%	86.4%	78.9%	53.1%	48.1% 11.8%
	Poll	35	0	2	4	16	11 2
		6.1%	.0%	2.4%	3.1%	10.2%	10.7% 24.3%
	Mail	145	5	11	25	56	42 6
November 2012		24.9%	6.3%	11.1%	18.0%	36.7%	41.2% 63.9%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	401	70	90	104	93	45 0
		68.9%	90.7%	88.4%	73.6%	61.2%	44.0% .0%
	Poll	34	2	4	6	10	9 2
June 2014		5.9%	3.1%	4.3%	4.1%	6.8%	9.1% 24.3%
	Mail	147	5	7	31	49	48 7
		25.2%	6.3%	7.2%	22.3%	32.1%	46.9% 75.7%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	287	66	70	71	58	22 0
November 2014		49.3%	85.6%	69.3%	50.5%	37.8%	22.0% .0%
	Poll	67	4	15	12	20	14 2
		11.5%	5.7%	14.4%	8.5%	12.8%	14.0% 24.3%
	Mail	228	7	17	58	75	65 7
		39.2%	8.7%	16.3%	41.0%	49.3%	64.1% 75.7%
June 2016	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	357	72	78	94	78	34 2
		61.4%	93.4%	76.7%	66.9%	50.9%	33.3% 24.3%
	Poll	35	2	2	7	12	9 2
		6.1%	3.0%	2.4%	5.2%	8.0%	9.0% 24.3%
November 2016	Mail	189	3	21	39	63	59 4
		32.5%	3.6%	20.9%	27.9%	41.1%	57.7% 51.4%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	205	56	47	53	31	17 0
		35.1%	73.1%	46.8%	37.8%	20.1%	16.8% .0%
June 2018	Poll	93	7	21	16	30	17 2
		15.9%	9.3%	20.8%	11.1%	19.5%	16.6% 23.6%
	Mail	285	14	33	72	92	68 7
		49.0%	17.6%	32.4%	51.1%	60.4%	66.6% 76.4%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
November 2018	No	346	74	74	77	71	46 3
		59.4%	96.4%	73.3%	54.7%	46.7%	45.5% 31.5%
	Poll	36	0	4	9	15	6 1
		6.2%	.0%	4.3%	6.6%	10.0%	5.8% 12.5%
	Mail	200	3	23	54	66	50 5
June 2020		34.4%	3.6%	22.3%	38.6%	43.3%	48.7% 56.1%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	265	72	63	58	45	25 1
		45.4%	93.3%	62.5%	41.5%	29.7%	24.3% 12.5%
	Poll	67	2	11	16	22	13 2
November 2022		11.5%	3.1%	11.1%	11.2%	14.6%	12.7% 24.3%
	Mail	251	3	27	67	85	64 6
		43.1%	3.6%	26.4%	47.3%	55.7%	63.1% 63.2%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	250	47	56	60	49	35 2

		Age					
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+ Not coded
November 2009	No	69.0%	93.7%	86.4%	78.9%	53.1%	48.1% 11.8%
	Poll	35	0	2	4	16	11 2
		6.1%	.0%	2.4%	3.1%	10.2%	10.7% 24.3%
	Mail	145	5	11	25	56	42 6
		24.9%	6.3%	11.1%	18.0%	36.7%	41.2% 63.9%
June 2010	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	401	70	90	104	93	45 0
		68.9%	90.7%	88.4%	73.6%	61.2%	44.0% .0%
	Poll	34	2	4	6	10	9 2
		5.9%	3.1%	4.3%	4.1%	6.8%	9.1% 24.3%
November 2010	Mail	147	5	7	31	49	48 7
		25.2%	6.3%	7.2%	22.3%	32.1%	46.9% 75.7%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	287	66	70	71	58	22 0
		49.3%	85.6%	69.3%	50.5%	37.8%	22.0% .0%
June 2012	Poll	67	4	15	12	20	14 2
		11.5%	5.7%	14.4%	8.5%	12.8%	14.0% 24.3%
	Mail	228	7	17	58	75	65 7
		39.2%	8.7%	16.3%	41.0%	49.3%	64.1% 75.7%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
November 2012	No	357	72	78	94	78	34 2
		61.4%	93.4%	76.7%	66.9%	50.9%	33.3% 24.3%
	Poll	35	2	2	7	12	9 2
		6.1%	3.0%	2.4%	5.2%	8.0%	9.0% 24.3%
	Mail	189	3	21	39	63	59 4
June 2014		32.5%	3.6%	20.9%	27.9%	41.1%	57.7% 51.4%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	205	56	47	53	31	17 0
		35.1%	73.1%	46.8%	37.8%	20.1%	16.8% .0%
	Poll	93	7	21	16	30	17 2
November 2014		15.9%	9.3%	20.8%	11.1%	19.5%	16.6% 23.6%
	Mail	285	14	33	72	92	68 7
		49.0%	17.6%	32.4%	51.1%	60.4%	66.6% 76.4%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	346	74	74	77	71	46 3
June 2016		59.4%	96.4%	73.3%	54.7%	46.7%	45.5% 31.5%
	Poll	36	0	4	9	15	6 1
		6.2%	.0%	4.3%	6.6%	10.0%	5.8% 12.5%
	Mail	200	3	23	54	66	50 5
		34.4%	3.6%	22.3%	38.6%	43.3%	48.7% 56.1%
November 2016	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	265	72	63	58	45	25 1
		45.4%	93.3%	62.5%	41.5%	29.7%	24.3% 12.5%
	Poll	67	2	11	16	22	13 2
		11.5%	3.1%	11.1%	11.2%	14.6%	12.7% 24.3%
June 2018	Mail	251	3	27	67	85	64 6
		43.1%	3.6%	26.4%	47.3%	55.7%	63.1% 63.2%
	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102 9
	No	250	47	56	60	49	35 2

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
June 2016	No	43.0%	61.5%	55.8%	42.4%	32.3%	34.7%	24.9%
	Poll	73	10	10	20	21	10	3
		12.6%	12.8%	9.5%	14.2%	13.6%	9.5%	36.1%
	Mail	259	20	35	61	83	57	3
		44.4%	25.6%	34.7%	43.3%	54.1%	55.7%	38.9%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
June 2006	Total	582	424	158
	No	448	311	136
		76.9%	73.4%	86.4%
	Poll	61	51	10
November 2006		10.5%	12.1%	6.0%
	Mail	73	61	12
		12.6%	14.5%	7.6%
	Total	582	424	158
November 2006	No	375	256	118
		64.4%	60.4%	75.1%
	Poll	105	86	19
		18.0%	20.3%	11.9%
November 2007	Mail	102	82	20
		17.6%	19.3%	13.0%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	454	309	145
February 2008		78.0%	72.7%	92.2%
	Poll	21	20	1
		3.6%	4.8%	.5%
	Mail	107	96	11
June 2008		18.4%	22.5%	7.3%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	356	241	114
		61.2%	56.9%	72.6%
June 2008	Poll	67	53	14
		11.5%	12.6%	8.6%
	Mail	159	130	30
		27.4%	30.6%	18.7%
November 2008	Total	582	424	158
	No	439	306	133
		75.4%	72.1%	84.4%
	Poll	23	19	3
May 2009		3.9%	4.6%	2.1%
	Mail	120	99	21
		20.7%	23.3%	13.5%
	Total	582	424	158
November 2009	No	267	178	88
		45.8%	42.0%	56.1%
	Poll	68	48	20
		11.7%	11.4%	12.5%
November 2009	Mail	247	198	49
		42.5%	46.7%	31.4%
	Total	582	424	158
	No	415	285	130
November 2009		71.3%	67.0%	82.7%
	Poll	22	20	2
		3.8%	4.7%	1.2%
	Mail	145	120	25
November 2009		24.9%	28.2%	16.1%
	Total	582	424	158
November 2009	No	402	265	136

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
November 2009	No	69.0%	62.5%	86.4%
	Poll	35	33	2
	Mail	145	126	19
		24.9%	29.7%	12.0%
June 2010	Total	582	424	158
	No	401	267	134
	Poll	34	32	2
	Mail	147	125	22
November 2010	Total	582	424	158
	No	287	183	104
	Poll	67	55	11
	Mail	228	186	43
June 2012	Total	582	424	158
	No	357	238	119
	Poll	35	31	4
	Mail	189	155	34
November 2012	Total	582	424	158
	No	205	125	80
	Poll	93	73	19
	Mail	285	226	59
June 2014	Total	582	424	158
	No	346	227	119
	Poll	36	33	3
	Mail	200	164	36
November 2014	Total	582	424	158
	No	265	162	103
	Poll	67	52	15
	Mail	251	211	40
June 2016	Total	582	424	158
	No	250	172	78

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.5%	49.6%
	Poll	73	47	26
	Mail	259	205	53
		44.4%	48.3%	33.9%

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2006	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	448	178	48	12	210
		76.9%	72.9%	58.9%	77.5%	86.9%
	Poll	61	31	13	2	15
		10.5%	12.8%	15.7%	14.4%	6.1%
	Mail	73	35	20	1	17
		12.6%	14.3%	25.4%	8.1%	7.0%
November 2006	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	375	159	25	13	178
		64.4%	65.1%	31.3%	79.3%	73.7%
	Poll	105	46	27	2	29
		18.0%	18.9%	33.6%	14.4%	12.2%
	Mail	102	39	28	1	34
		17.6%	16.0%	35.1%	6.2%	14.2%
November 2007	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	454	197	48	12	197
		78.0%	80.7%	59.5%	74.2%	81.7%
	Poll	21	5	6	0	11
		3.6%	1.9%	7.0%	1.9%	4.4%
	Mail	107	43	27	4	34
		18.4%	17.5%	33.6%	23.9%	13.9%
February 2008	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	356	137	31	9	179
		61.2%	56.1%	38.3%	59.8%	73.9%
	Poll	67	34	14	1	18
		11.5%	13.9%	16.9%	8.7%	7.4%
	Mail	159	73	36	5	45
		27.4%	29.9%	44.8%	31.5%	18.7%
June 2008	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	439	175	48	13	204
		75.4%	71.8%	59.4%	79.2%	84.2%
	Poll	23	13	5	0	4
		3.9%	5.4%	6.7%	1.9%	1.6%
	Mail	120	56	27	3	34
		20.7%	22.8%	33.9%	18.9%	14.2%
November 2008	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	267	98	18	9	141
		45.8%	40.2%	22.0%	59.9%	58.5%
	Poll	68	35	15	2	16
		11.7%	14.4%	18.7%	10.6%	6.7%
	Mail	247	111	48	5	84
		42.5%	45.4%	59.3%	29.6%	34.8%
May 2009	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	415	177	37	13	188
		71.3%	72.7%	45.4%	80.1%	77.9%
	Poll	22	8	7	1	6
		3.8%	3.4%	9.2%	4.9%	2.3%
	Mail	145	58	37	2	48
		24.9%	23.9%	45.4%	15.0%	19.8%
November 2009	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	402	167	36	13	186

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
November 2009	No	69.0%	68.6%	44.4%	80.1%	76.9%
	Poll	35	14	8	0	13
		6.1%	5.7%	10.2%	.0%	5.5%
	Mail	145	63	37	3	43
		24.9%	25.7%	45.4%	19.9%	17.7%
June 2010	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	401	166	30	14	191
		68.9%	68.3%	37.6%	86.7%	78.8%
	Poll	34	15	12	1	7
		5.9%	6.1%	14.7%	5.9%	2.7%
	Mail	147	62	39	1	45
		25.2%	25.6%	47.7%	7.4%	18.5%
November 2010	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	287	103	15	13	157
		49.3%	42.2%	18.1%	80.4%	65.0%
	Poll	67	33	19	1	14
		11.5%	13.6%	23.4%	4.7%	5.8%
	Mail	228	108	47	2	71
		39.2%	44.3%	58.5%	15.0%	29.2%
June 2012	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	357	142	30	13	173
		61.4%	58.4%	36.7%	79.2%	71.5%
	Poll	35	20	7	0	8
		6.1%	8.2%	8.4%	1.0%	3.5%
	Mail	189	81	44	3	61
		32.5%	33.3%	54.9%	19.9%	25.0%
November 2012	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	205	74	13	8	109
		35.1%	30.2%	16.2%	53.5%	45.2%
	Poll	93	47	16	1	29
		15.9%	19.3%	20.2%	3.7%	11.9%
	Mail	285	123	51	7	104
		49.0%	50.5%	63.6%	42.8%	42.9%
June 2014	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	346	139	35	11	161
		59.4%	56.9%	43.1%	69.2%	66.7%
	Poll	36	16	8	0	12
		6.2%	6.5%	9.6%	1.0%	5.1%
	Mail	200	89	38	5	68
		34.4%	36.6%	47.3%	29.8%	28.2%
November 2014	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	265	99	21	10	134
		45.4%	40.8%	26.4%	64.2%	55.3%
	Poll	67	37	11	0	19
		11.5%	15.0%	14.0%	1.0%	7.7%
	Mail	251	108	48	6	90
		43.1%	44.2%	59.6%	34.9%	37.1%
June 2016	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	No	250	88	26	8	129

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
June 2016	No	43.0%	35.9%	32.2%	48.8%	53.4%
	Poll	73	40	10	2	21
	Mail	259	116	45	6	91
		44.4%	47.7%	55.3%	40.2%	37.8%

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2006	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	448	92	42	18	17	106	172
	Poll	61	18	9	3	6	8	16
	Mail	73	11	15	11	6	14	16
November 2006	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	375	80	41	12	7	83	152
	Poll	105	25	15	6	14	21	25
	Mail	102	16	11	14	8	25	29
November 2007	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	454	101	51	22	15	102	164
	Poll	21	1	2	1	3	5	9
	Mail	107	19	13	9	10	22	33
February 2008	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	356	70	32	13	8	81	152
	Poll	67	19	12	3	9	9	15
	Mail	159	33	23	17	10	39	38
June 2008	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	439	89	45	22	16	95	171
	Poll	23	6	5	2	2	4	4
	Mail	120	25	17	8	11	29	30
November 2008	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	267	45	26	10	5	63	117
	Poll	68	20	9	3	9	16	12
	Mail	247	56	32	19	14	50	77
May 2009	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	415	94	42	16	12	94	157
	Poll	22	2	3	1	4	5	5
	Mail	145	25	21	14	12	30	43
November 2009	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	402	91	42	16	10	86	157

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
November 2009	No	69.0%	75.1%	63.4%	50.5%	34.0%	66.8%	76.4%
	Poll	35	6	5	3	4	9	9
	Mail	6.1%	4.7%	8.1%	8.9%	13.2%	6.8%	4.3%
		145	24	19	13	15	34	40
		24.9%	20.2%	28.5%	40.5%	52.8%	26.4%	19.3%
June 2010	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	401	89	37	13	10	91	161
		68.9%	73.4%	55.6%	41.2%	33.6%	70.8%	78.6%
	Poll	34	4	5	3	5	11	6
		5.9%	3.3%	8.1%	9.9%	17.7%	8.5%	2.8%
November 2010	Mail	147	28	24	16	14	27	38
		25.2%	23.3%	36.3%	48.9%	48.7%	20.7%	18.6%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	287	50	29	9	3	60	136
		49.3%	41.4%	43.2%	26.6%	12.0%	47.0%	66.2%
June 2012	Poll	67	16	11	4	9	16	11
		11.5%	12.8%	16.6%	13.9%	31.9%	12.3%	5.3%
	Mail	228	55	27	19	16	52	59
		39.2%	45.8%	40.1%	59.5%	56.1%	40.7%	28.5%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
November 2012	No	357	75	29	13	11	82	147
		61.4%	62.2%	43.9%	39.2%	37.7%	64.0%	71.7%
	Poll	35	6	9	1	4	8	7
		6.1%	5.1%	13.8%	4.3%	13.2%	6.6%	3.2%
	Mail	189	40	28	18	14	38	52
June 2014		32.5%	32.7%	42.3%	56.5%	49.1%	29.4%	25.2%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	205	35	18	8	3	53	88
		35.1%	28.6%	27.3%	23.9%	10.4%	41.1%	43.0%
	Poll	93	21	18	4	10	16	25
November 2014		15.9%	17.2%	26.9%	11.8%	33.7%	12.2%	12.1%
	Mail	285	66	30	21	16	60	92
		49.0%	54.2%	45.8%	64.4%	55.9%	46.7%	44.9%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	346	69	35	13	12	83	135
June 2016		59.4%	56.6%	52.6%	38.9%	42.6%	64.2%	65.8%
	Poll	36	8	4	3	3	7	11
		6.2%	6.8%	5.8%	9.5%	10.7%	5.4%	5.3%
	Mail	200	44	28	17	13	39	59
		34.4%	36.6%	41.6%	51.6%	46.7%	30.4%	28.9%
November 2016	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	265	51	22	9	7	69	107
		45.4%	42.0%	33.4%	26.8%	23.7%	54.0%	51.9%
	Poll	67	20	11	4	5	14	14
		11.5%	16.5%	16.3%	11.5%	16.5%	10.8%	6.6%
June 2018	Mail	251	50	33	20	17	45	85
		43.1%	41.5%	50.2%	61.7%	59.8%	35.2%	41.4%
	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	No	250	50	19	12	6	58	105
		42.9%	41.3%	28.8%	37.5%	21.4%	45.3%	51.2%

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
June 2016	No	43.0%	41.5%	27.9%	38.5%	20.0%	45.2%	51.3%
	Poll	73	22	11	5	5	13	18
	Mail	12.6%	17.8%	16.5%	14.1%	17.4%	9.9%	8.9%
		259	49	37	15	18	58	82
		44.4%	40.7%	55.6%	47.4%	62.6%	44.9%	39.8%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2006	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	448	244	73	69	18	18	11
		76.9%	92.6%	92.4%	85.2%	38.4%	68.5%	46.8%
	Poll	61	9	4	6	13	2	5
		10.5%	3.2%	5.5%	7.4%	26.8%	8.5%	23.3%
	Mail	73	11	2	6	16	6	7
		12.6%	4.2%	2.1%	7.3%	34.8%	23.0%	29.9%
November 2006	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	375	222	70	55	9	8	6
		64.4%	84.3%	88.8%	67.8%	19.4%	29.8%	24.3%
	Poll	105	19	7	14	19	9	8
		18.0%	7.2%	8.8%	17.1%	40.0%	33.3%	34.4%
	Mail	102	22	2	12	19	10	10
		17.6%	8.5%	2.4%	15.1%	40.6%	36.9%	41.3%
November 2007	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	454	248	77	59	28	12	9
		78.0%	94.1%	97.5%	72.8%	60.4%	43.9%	39.8%
	Poll	21	2	0	4	0	3	4
		3.6%	.6%	.5%	5.4%	.0%	10.1%	17.7%
	Mail	107	14	2	18	19	12	10
		18.4%	5.3%	2.0%	21.9%	39.6%	45.9%	42.5%
February 2008	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	356	214	72	39	11	7	7
		61.2%	81.5%	91.9%	47.8%	22.7%	25.7%	28.3%
	Poll	67	14	4	14	8	6	3
		11.5%	5.3%	4.5%	17.6%	17.9%	24.2%	13.5%
	Mail	159	35	3	28	28	13	13
		27.4%	13.2%	3.6%	34.6%	59.4%	50.1%	58.2%
June 2008	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	439	233	76	53	27	15	15
		75.4%	88.7%	96.4%	65.3%	56.9%	55.0%	64.9%
	Poll	23	4	0	5	1	1	1
		3.9%	1.5%	.5%	6.5%	2.6%	4.3%	3.8%
	Mail	120	26	2	23	19	11	7
		20.7%	9.8%	3.1%	28.2%	40.4%	40.7%	31.3%
November 2008	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	267	192	61	6	2	2	1
		45.8%	73.1%	77.7%	8.0%	3.8%	7.4%	4.5%
	Poll	68	19	8	15	7	6	1
		11.7%	7.3%	9.7%	18.6%	13.8%	21.7%	5.7%
	Mail	247	51	10	60	39	19	21
		42.5%	19.5%	12.5%	73.5%	82.4%	70.8%	89.8%
May 2009	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	415	231	71	52	26	14	6
		71.3%	87.8%	90.6%	64.2%	55.0%	53.1%	24.5%
	Poll	22	2	3	3	0	0	2
		3.8%	.9%	3.4%	3.8%	.3%	1.1%	7.7%
	Mail	145	30	5	26	21	12	16
		24.9%	11.3%	5.9%	32.0%	44.7%	45.8%	67.9%
November 2009	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	402	237	72	40	22	10	6

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2006	Total	38	24
	No	11	4
		29.7%	15.1%
	Poll	11	11
		29.5%	43.9%
	Mail	16	10
		40.8%	41.0%
November 2006	Total	38	24
	No	5	0
		14.1%	.0%
	Poll	18	11
		48.0%	46.7%
	Mail	14	13
		37.9%	53.3%
November 2007	Total	38	24
	No	14	7
		35.9%	30.6%
	Poll	6	2
		14.9%	9.8%
	Mail	19	14
		49.3%	59.6%
February 2008	Total	38	24
	No	5	1
		13.1%	6.0%
	Poll	10	7
		25.2%	30.6%
	Mail	23	15
		61.6%	63.4%
June 2008	Total	38	24
	No	13	7
		35.0%	29.5%
	Poll	7	3
		18.3%	11.8%
	Mail	18	14
		46.7%	58.7%
November 2008	Total	38	24
	No	2	0
		4.8%	.0%
	Poll	9	3
		23.7%	14.0%
	Mail	27	21
		71.5%	86.0%
May 2009	Total	38	24
	No	11	4
		28.2%	16.7%
	Poll	7	4
		19.2%	18.3%
	Mail	20	16
		52.5%	65.0%
November 2009	Total	38	24
	No	8	6

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
November 2009	No	69.0%	90.1%	91.2%	49.6%	47.1%	38.0%	26.9%
	Poll	35	5	4	10	4	2	0
		6.1%	1.9%	4.6%	12.2%	7.8%	7.3%	.0%
	Mail	145	21	3	31	21	14	17
June 2010	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	401	235	63	44	24	13	8
		68.9%	89.3%	79.9%	54.0%	51.6%	47.8%	36.5%
	Poll	34	6	4	7	0	4	1
November 2010		5.9%	2.3%	5.1%	8.5%	.3%	13.3%	3.8%
	Mail	147	22	12	31	23	10	14
		25.2%	8.5%	15.0%	37.5%	48.1%	38.9%	59.7%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
June 2012	No	287	209	45	12	10	4	4
		49.3%	79.5%	57.1%	15.0%	21.1%	13.5%	19.0%
	Poll	67	16	12	15	4	6	1
		11.5%	6.2%	15.4%	18.1%	8.2%	21.7%	5.7%
November 2012	Mail	228	38	22	54	33	17	17
		39.2%	14.3%	27.5%	66.9%	70.7%	64.7%	75.3%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	357	226	55	34	13	12	5
June 2014		61.4%	85.9%	70.2%	41.3%	27.5%	45.7%	23.3%
	Poll	35	5	3	15	1	1	1
		6.1%	1.9%	3.2%	18.0%	2.3%	3.1%	3.8%
	Mail	189	32	21	33	33	14	17
November 2014		32.5%	12.3%	26.5%	40.7%	70.2%	51.2%	72.9%
	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	No	205	189	6	5	0	0	2
		35.1%	71.8%	7.7%	5.7%	.6%	.0%	10.8%
June 2016	Poll	93	22	20	20	7	8	1
		15.9%	8.3%	26.0%	25.1%	13.8%	29.6%	4.4%
	Mail	285	52	52	56	40	19	20
		49.0%	19.9%	66.2%	69.2%	85.6%	70.4%	84.8%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
November 2009	No	20.4%	26.0%
	Poll	8	3
		22.3%	11.4%
	Mail	22	15
June 2010		57.3%	62.6%
	Total	38	24
	No	11	3
		29.6%	11.6%
November 2010	Poll	9	4
		23.2%	16.4%
	Mail	18	17
		47.2%	71.9%
June 2012	Total	38	24
	No	3	0
		8.0%	.0%
	Poll	9	4
November 2012		22.9%	16.4%
	Mail	26	20
		69.1%	83.6%
	Total	38	24
June 2014	No	7	5
		19.3%	20.1%
	Poll	7	4
		17.1%	16.4%
November 2014	Mail	24	15
		63.6%	63.4%
	Total	38	24
	No	1	1
June 2016		3.8%	2.6%
	Poll	11	4
		27.8%	16.2%
	Mail	26	20

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
June 2016	No	43.0%	60.9%	35.5%	28.2%	23.3%	36.3%	26.5%
	Poll	73	30	9	14	3	2	1
		12.6%	11.5%	11.7%	16.8%	6.5%	8.4%	5.0%
	Mail	259	73	41	45	33	15	16
		44.4%	27.6%	52.7%	55.0%	70.2%	55.3%	68.5%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
June 2016	No	13.9%	30.1%
	Poll	9	4
		24.0%	18.3%
	Mail	24	13
		62.2%	51.6%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
June 2006	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	448	4	135	35	34	10	10	32	64	60	59
		76.9%	62.4%	77.8%	82.6%	74.7%	59.3%	75.7%	76.5%	66.2%	82.1%	92.2%
	Poll	61	1	21	5	6	3	0	5	13	6	1
		10.5%	15.8%	11.9%	10.9%	12.7%	18.8%	.0%	12.1%	13.4%	7.6%	1.5%
	Mail	73	1	18	3	6	4	3	5	20	8	4
		12.6%	21.8%	10.3%	6.5%	12.6%	21.9%	24.3%	11.4%	20.4%	10.3%	6.3%
November 2006	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	375	3	103	29	29	7	10	25	56	51	56
		64.4%	52.5%	59.4%	69.9%	63.8%	41.7%	71.2%	60.1%	58.2%	69.9%	87.5%
	Poll	105	2	43	9	7	6	1	10	18	7	2
		18.0%	25.7%	24.6%	20.4%	15.1%	36.3%	4.5%	23.3%	18.4%	9.9%	3.8%
	Mail	102	1	28	4	10	4	3	7	23	15	6
		17.6%	21.8%	16.0%	9.7%	21.1%	21.9%	24.3%	16.6%	23.3%	20.2%	8.7%
November 2007	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	454	4	138	37	34	7	11	30	69	60	58
		78.0%	62.4%	79.8%	87.4%	73.5%	41.0%	80.2%	71.0%	70.9%	82.5%	90.6%
	Poll	21	0	7	0	4	0	0	1	3	1	5
		3.6%	.0%	4.3%	.2%	8.0%	.0%	1.6%	3.4%	2.8%	1.0%	7.2%
	Mail	107	2	27	5	9	10	2	11	25	12	1
		18.4%	37.6%	15.9%	12.4%	18.5%	59.0%	18.2%	25.6%	26.3%	16.5%	2.3%
February 2008	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	356	3	101	31	27	7	9	25	46	45	58
		61.2%	52.5%	58.2%	72.8%	57.7%	41.7%	66.5%	59.1%	47.5%	61.5%	90.0%
	Poll	67	1	22	3	7	2	0	5	16	10	1
		11.5%	15.8%	12.8%	8.0%	15.6%	9.2%	2.7%	12.0%	16.2%	13.7%	.9%
	Mail	159	2	50	8	12	8	4	12	35	18	6
		27.4%	31.7%	29.1%	19.2%	26.8%	49.1%	30.8%	28.9%	36.3%	24.8%	9.2%
June 2008	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	439	3	130	36	36	9	10	29	68	56	56
		75.4%	52.5%	75.4%	85.3%	78.2%	53.5%	77.0%	68.4%	70.8%	77.0%	87.2%
	Poll	23	1	10	1	1	0	0	3	4	1	1
		3.9%	15.8%	6.0%	3.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	4.4%	1.1%	.9%
	Mail	120	2	32	5	9	8	3	10	24	16	8
		20.7%	31.7%	18.6%	11.7%	18.7%	46.5%	23.0%	24.5%	24.8%	21.9%	11.9%
November 2008	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	267	3	77	22	21	3	8	15	34	34	45
		45.8%	43.5%	44.3%	52.6%	46.3%	16.6%	58.8%	36.0%	35.6%	46.7%	70.5%
	Poll	68	2	21	5	8	3	0	6	14	9	1
		11.7%	24.8%	12.2%	11.0%	16.7%	15.5%	1.1%	15.4%	14.5%	12.6%	.9%
	Mail	247	2	75	15	17	11	5	20	48	30	18
		42.5%	31.7%	43.5%	36.4%	36.9%	67.9%	40.1%	48.5%	49.8%	40.8%	28.7%
May 2009	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	415	4	117	32	35	7	10	26	63	56	59
		71.3%	64.5%	67.7%	76.4%	75.8%	44.3%	77.0%	61.9%	65.0%	76.0%	91.5%
	Poll	22	1	9	0	2	2	0	3	3	2	0
		3.8%	15.8%	5.2%	.0%	5.1%	10.1%	.0%	6.5%	3.5%	2.5%	.0%
	Mail	145	1	47	10	9	8	3	13	31	16	5
		24.9%	19.7%	27.1%	23.6%	19.1%	45.6%	23.0%	31.6%	31.5%	21.5%	8.5%
November 2009	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	402	4	120	32	32	5	10	24	64	53	54

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
June 2006	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	56.1%	47.9%
	Mail	43.9%	4.3%
November 2006	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	56.1%	47.9%
	Mail	43.9%	4.3%
November 2007	Total	2	5
	No	2	4
	Poll	100.0%	73.4%
	Mail	0	1
February 2008	Total	2	5
	No	2	3
	Poll	90.2%	52.1%
	Mail	0	3
June 2008	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	56.1%	53.2%
	Mail	43.9%	46.8%
November 2008	Total	2	5
	No	1	3
	Poll	46.3%	47.9%
	Mail	53.7%	52.1%
May 2009	Total	2	5
	No	2	3
	Poll	100.0%	52.1%
	Mail	0	3
November 2009	Total	2	5
	No	1	3

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
November 2009	No	69.0%	62.4%	69.1%	76.9%	69.9%	29.4%	72.3%	57.3%	65.8%	72.3%	84.1%
	Poll	35	1	14	2	5	1	0	4	3	6	0
	Mail	6.1%	15.8%	7.8%	4.1%	11.3%	8.4%	1.6%	8.6%	2.7%	8.3%	.0%
	Mail	145	1	40	8	9	10	4	14	30	14	10
June 2010	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	401	5	118	32	36	10	9	24	54	51	57
	Poll	68.9%	74.3%	68.3%	75.7%	77.6%	59.3%	66.5%	57.6%	55.9%	70.1%	88.1%
	Mail	34	0	15	2	1	0	0	3	8	4	1
November 2010	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	287	4	79	20	25	5	6	13	42	36	52
	Poll	67	1	25	3	5	2	0	8	10	12	1
	Mail	228	2	69	19	16	10	7	20	44	25	12
June 2012	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	357	4	105	31	29	5	10	23	52	44	51
	Poll	35	1	13	2	6	0	0	4	5	4	1
	Mail	189	1	55	9	12	12	4	16	40	25	13
November 2012	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	205	3	55	18	17	1	5	12	23	23	44
	Poll	93	2	24	9	12	0	0	6	20	13	7
	Mail	285	2	95	15	17	16	8	24	54	37	13
June 2014	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	346	4	87	31	31	3	9	24	47	47	56
	Poll	36	0	16	3	4	0	1	4	5	3	1
	Mail	200	2	70	8	11	13	4	14	44	24	7
November 2014	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	45.4%	62.4%	38.7%	46.2%	55.2%	19.3%	46.6%	37.0%	45.9%	38.4%	71.6%
	Poll	67	1	21	10	9	0	0	5	8	10	2
	Mail	251	1	85	13	11	13	7	22	45	35	16
June 2016	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	No	250	4	63	20	20	2	5	19	37	29	49

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
November 2009	No	46.3%	52.1%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mail	1 53.7%	3 47.9%
	Total	2	5
June 2010	No	2 90.2%	3 57.4%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mail	0 9.8%	2 42.6%
	Total	2	5
November 2010	No	1 46.3%	3 47.9%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mail	1 53.7%	3 52.1%
	Total	2	5
June 2012	No	2 90.2%	3 51.0%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mail	0 9.8%	3 49.0%
	Total	2	5
November 2012	No	1 46.3%	3 46.7%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 4.3%
	Mail	1 53.7%	3 49.0%
	Total	2	5
June 2014	No	1 46.3%	4 72.3%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mail	1 53.7%	2 27.7%
	Total	2	5
November 2014	No	1 46.3%	4 68.0%
	Poll	0 .0%	0 .0%
	Mail	1 53.7%	2 32.0%
	Total	2	5
June 2016	Total	2	5
	No	1	3

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
June 2016	No	43.0%	62.4%	36.3%	47.7%	42.6%	9.6%	37.4%	45.9%	37.8%	39.1%	76.2%
	Poll	73	1	25	5	12	0	0	4	12	11	2
	Mail	12.6%	15.8%	14.7%	11.6%	26.3%	.9%	1.6%	9.4%	12.3%	14.8%	3.5%
	Mail	259	1	85	17	14	15	8	19	48	34	13
		44.4%	21.8%	49.0%	40.8%	31.1%	89.5%	60.9%	44.7%	49.9%	46.1%	20.3%

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
June 2016	No	46.3%	46.7%
	Poll	0 9.8%	0 .0%
	Mail	1 43.9%	3 53.3%
	Mail		

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	582	464	118
	No	448	346	102
		76.9%	74.5%	86.3%
	Poll	61	46	15
November 2006		10.5%	10.0%	12.4%
	Mail	73	72	1
		12.6%	15.5%	1.2%
	Total	582	464	118
November 2006	No	375	289	86
		64.4%	62.2%	72.7%
	Poll	105	74	31
		18.0%	15.9%	26.5%
November 2007	Mail	102	102	1
		17.6%	21.9%	.8%
	Total	582	464	118
	No	454	350	103
November 2007		78.0%	75.5%	87.5%
	Poll	21	10	11
		3.6%	2.1%	9.5%
	Mail	107	104	4
February 2008		18.4%	22.3%	3.0%
	Total	582	464	118
	No	356	280	76
		61.2%	60.4%	64.0%
June 2008	Poll	67	27	40
		11.5%	5.7%	34.1%
	Mail	159	157	2
		27.4%	33.8%	1.9%
June 2008	Total	582	464	118
	No	439	343	96
		75.4%	73.9%	81.5%
	Poll	23	5	18
November 2008		3.9%	1.1%	15.0%
	Mail	120	116	4
		20.7%	25.0%	3.5%
	Total	582	464	118
November 2008	No	267	207	59
		45.8%	44.6%	50.3%
	Poll	68	20	48
		11.7%	4.3%	40.7%
May 2009	Mail	247	237	11
		42.5%	51.1%	8.9%
	Total	582	464	118
	No	415	315	100
November 2009		71.3%	67.9%	84.5%
	Poll	22	7	15
		3.8%	1.5%	12.7%
	Mail	145	142	3
November 2009		24.9%	30.6%	2.8%
	Total	582	464	118
November 2009	No	402	310	92

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
November 2009	No	69.0%	66.8%	77.7%
	Poll	35	11	24
		6.1%	2.3%	20.7%
	Mail	145	143	2
June 2010		24.9%	30.9%	1.6%
	Total	582	464	118
	No	401	308	93
		68.9%	66.4%	78.6%
June 2010	Poll	34	14	21
		5.9%	3.0%	17.4%
	Mail	147	142	5
		25.2%	30.6%	4.0%
November 2010	Total	582	464	118
	No	287	228	60
		49.3%	49.1%	50.4%
	Poll	67	16	51
November 2010		11.5%	3.4%	43.3%
	Mail	228	221	7
		39.2%	47.6%	6.3%
	Total	582	464	118
June 2012	No	357	270	88
		61.4%	58.1%	74.3%
	Poll	35	8	27
		6.1%	1.8%	23.1%
June 2012	Mail	189	186	3
		32.5%	40.1%	2.6%
	Total	582	464	118
	No	205	156	48
November 2012		35.1%	33.7%	40.7%
	Poll	93	30	62
		15.9%	6.5%	52.9%
	Mail	285	277	8
June 2014		49.0%	59.8%	6.5%
	Total	582	464	118
	No	346	269	77
		59.4%	57.9%	65.3%
June 2014	Poll	36	6	30
		6.2%	1.3%	25.5%
	Mail	200	189	11
		34.4%	40.8%	9.2%
November 2014	Total	582	464	118
	No	265	202	62
		45.4%	43.6%	52.9%
	Poll	67	17	50
November 2014		11.5%	3.6%	42.3%
	Mail	251	245	6
		43.1%	52.9%	4.8%
	Total	582	464	118
June 2016	No	250	188	62

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.5%	52.9%
	Poll	73	22	51
		12.6%	4.7%	43.3%
	Mail	259	254	4
		44.4%	54.8%	3.8%

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2006	Total	582	347	235
	No	448	222	226
		76.9%	63.9%	96.0%
	Poll	61	56	5
		10.5%	16.2%	2.1%
	Mail	73	69	4
		12.6%	19.9%	1.9%
November 2006	Total	582	347	235
	No	375	169	205
		64.4%	48.8%	87.3%
	Poll	105	84	20
		18.0%	24.3%	8.7%
	Mail	102	93	9
		17.6%	26.8%	4.0%
November 2007	Total	582	347	235
	No	454	232	222
		78.0%	66.7%	94.6%
	Poll	21	13	8
		3.6%	3.7%	3.6%
	Mail	107	103	4
		18.4%	29.6%	1.8%
February 2008	Total	582	347	235
	No	356	157	199
		61.2%	45.2%	84.7%
	Poll	67	44	23
		11.5%	12.7%	9.7%
	Mail	159	146	13
		27.4%	42.1%	5.6%
June 2008	Total	582	347	235
	No	439	219	220
		75.4%	63.0%	93.8%
	Poll	23	17	6
		3.9%	4.8%	2.5%
	Mail	120	112	9
		20.7%	32.1%	3.7%
November 2008	Total	582	347	235
	No	267	98	168
		45.8%	28.3%	71.6%
	Poll	68	38	30
		11.7%	11.0%	12.7%
	Mail	247	211	37
		42.5%	60.7%	15.7%
May 2009	Total	582	347	235
	No	415	194	221
		71.3%	55.9%	94.1%
	Poll	22	18	4
		3.8%	5.1%	1.8%
	Mail	145	136	10
		24.9%	39.1%	4.1%
November 2009	Total	582	347	235
	No	402	190	211

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
November 2009	No	69.0%	54.9%	89.8%
	Poll	35	21	15
	Mail	145	136	9
		24.9%	39.1%	3.9%
June 2010	Total	582	347	235
	No	401	183	218
	Poll	34	26	8
	Mail	147	138	8
November 2010	Total	582	347	235
	No	287	101	186
	Poll	67	33	34
	Mail	228	213	15
June 2012	Total	582	347	235
	No	357	141	217
	Poll	35	26	10
	Mail	189	180	9
November 2012	Total	582	347	235
	No	205	46	159
	Poll	93	49	44
	Mail	285	253	32
June 2014	Total	582	347	235
	No	346	120	225
	Poll	36	27	9
	Mail	200	200	1
November 2014	Total	582	347	235
	No	265	64	200
	Poll	67	39	28
	Mail	251	244	7
June 2016	Total	582	347	235
	No	250	58	193

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
June 2016	No	43.0%	16.6%	82.0%
	Poll	73	39	34
	Mail	259	250	8
		44.4%	72.2%	3.5%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
June 2006	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	448	11	45	80	113	124	74
		76.9%	99.1%	91.0%	85.6%	85.7%	76.2%	55.8%
	Poll	61	0	1	7	8	18	26
		10.5%	.0%	1.6%	7.8%	6.2%	11.2%	19.9%
November 2006	Mail	73	0	4	6	11	21	32
		12.6%	.9%	7.4%	6.6%	8.1%	12.6%	24.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	375	11	39	78	94	109	43
		64.4%	99.1%	80.0%	82.5%	71.8%	66.4%	32.7%
November 2007	Poll	105	0	4	11	13	27	50
		18.0%	.0%	7.4%	11.5%	10.1%	16.5%	37.9%
	Mail	102	0	6	6	24	28	39
		17.6%	.9%	12.6%	6.0%	18.1%	17.1%	29.3%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
November 2007	No	454	11	46	89	111	122	76
		78.0%	99.1%	93.3%	94.5%	84.1%	74.4%	57.0%
	Poll	21	0	0	0	1	9	11
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.8%	5.6%	8.2%
	Mail	107	0	3	5	20	33	46
February 2008		18.4%	.9%	6.7%	5.5%	15.1%	20.0%	34.8%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	356	11	37	74	98	94	42
		61.2%	99.1%	75.5%	78.5%	74.5%	57.3%	31.7%
	Poll	67	0	2	5	9	23	27
February 2008		11.5%	.0%	4.7%	5.7%	6.7%	14.2%	20.5%
	Mail	159	0	10	15	25	46	63
		27.4%	.9%	19.8%	15.7%	18.8%	28.4%	47.8%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	439	11	42	88	109	118	71
June 2008		75.4%	99.1%	84.8%	93.7%	83.0%	72.3%	53.4%
	Poll	23	0	0	0	4	8	11
		3.9%	.0%	.8%	.2%	3.0%	4.7%	7.9%
	Mail	120	0	7	6	18	38	51
		20.7%	.9%	14.4%	6.1%	14.0%	23.1%	38.6%
November 2008	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	267	9	32	55	80	64	27
		45.8%	85.7%	64.9%	58.6%	60.5%	39.0%	20.4%
	Poll	68	1	6	10	10	21	19
		11.7%	10.7%	12.8%	10.7%	7.9%	12.7%	14.2%
November 2008	Mail	247	0	11	29	42	79	87
		42.5%	3.6%	22.3%	30.7%	31.6%	48.3%	65.4%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	415	11	44	82	101	114	62
		71.3%	99.1%	88.9%	87.7%	77.0%	70.0%	46.6%
May 2009	Poll	22	0	1	1	4	4	12
		3.8%	.0%	1.3%	.8%	3.2%	2.4%	9.3%
	Mail	145	0	5	11	26	45	58
		24.9%	.9%	9.8%	11.4%	19.8%	27.6%	44.1%
	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
November 2009	No	402	11	46	87	90	101	66

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
June 2006	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
November 2006		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
	Total	1
November 2006	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
November 2007	Mail	0
		.0%
	Total	1
	No	1
February 2008		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
June 2008		.0%
	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
November 2008	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
May 2009	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
November 2009		.0%
	Mail	0
November 2009		.0%
	Total	1
November 2009	No	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
November 2009	No	69.0%	99.1%	93.9%	92.2%	68.7%	61.8%	49.8%
	Poll	35	0	0	0	11	14	11
		6.1%	.0%	.0%	.2%	8.0%	8.5%	8.1%
	Mail	145	0	3	7	31	48	56
		24.9%	.9%	6.1%	7.6%	23.3%	29.6%	42.1%
June 2010	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	401	11	44	80	92	113	62
		68.9%	99.1%	89.5%	85.3%	69.7%	69.2%	46.4%
	Poll	34	0	0	2	7	11	14
		5.9%	.0%	.5%	2.2%	5.1%	6.6%	10.4%
	Mail	147	0	5	12	33	40	57
		25.2%	.9%	10.0%	12.5%	25.2%	24.2%	43.2%
November 2010	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	287	11	38	63	74	71	32
		49.3%	99.1%	77.1%	66.8%	55.9%	43.2%	23.8%
	Poll	67	0	2	6	12	25	21
		11.5%	.0%	3.6%	6.6%	9.2%	15.3%	15.9%
	Mail	228	0	9	25	46	68	80
		39.2%	.9%	19.3%	26.6%	34.8%	41.5%	60.3%
June 2012	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	357	11	40	72	84	96	54
		61.4%	99.1%	81.0%	77.0%	63.8%	58.5%	41.0%
	Poll	35	0	0	1	8	14	12
		6.1%	.0%	.7%	1.5%	6.4%	8.4%	8.8%
	Mail	189	0	9	20	39	54	67
		32.5%	.9%	18.3%	21.5%	29.9%	33.1%	50.2%
November 2012	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	205	10	33	40	58	42	21
		35.1%	96.4%	67.0%	42.2%	44.5%	25.5%	16.1%
	Poll	93	0	4	11	14	36	26
		15.9%	2.7%	8.2%	11.7%	11.0%	22.1%	19.7%
	Mail	285	0	12	43	59	86	85
		49.0%	.9%	24.8%	46.1%	44.6%	52.4%	64.2%
June 2014	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	346	11	41	64	78	95	56
		59.4%	99.1%	84.3%	68.1%	59.7%	58.4%	41.9%
	Poll	36	0	1	1	9	12	12
		6.2%	.0%	2.5%	1.3%	6.6%	7.6%	9.0%
	Mail	200	0	7	29	44	56	65
		34.4%	.9%	13.2%	30.7%	33.7%	34.0%	49.1%
November 2014	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	265	11	35	48	71	62	37
		45.4%	99.1%	72.0%	50.6%	54.3%	38.0%	28.2%
	Poll	67	0	4	8	12	25	17
		11.5%	.0%	7.2%	8.3%	9.0%	15.6%	13.1%
	Mail	251	0	10	39	48	76	78
		43.1%	.9%	20.8%	41.1%	36.7%	46.4%	58.7%
June 2016	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163	133
	No	250	6	29	32	59	76	47

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
November 2009	No	100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
June 2010	Total	1
	No	0
		.0%
	Poll	1
		100.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2010	Total	1
	No	0
		.0%
	Poll	1
		100.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
June 2012	Total	1
	No	1
		100.0%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2012	Total	1
	No	0
		.0%
	Poll	1
		100.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
June 2014	Total	1
	No	0
		.0%
	Poll	1
		100.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
November 2014	Total	1
	No	0
		.0%
	Poll	1
		100.0%
	Mail	0
		.0%
June 2016	Total	1
	No	1

		How Long Lived in Cupertino						
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
June 2016	No	43.0%	55.2%	59.5%	34.5%	45.0%	46.6%	35.3%
	Poll	73	5	5	13	14	22	15
		12.6%	43.9%	9.6%	13.6%	10.6%	13.3%	11.5%
	Mail	259	0	15	49	58	66	71
		44.4%	.9%	30.9%	52.0%	44.4%	40.2%	53.2%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure
June 2016	No	100.0%
		0
	Poll	.0%
		0
	Mail	.0%

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
June 2006	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	448	1	22	152	114	96	51	12
		76.9%	35.5%	49.7%	71.9%	81.3%	83.9%	88.6%	100.0%
	Poll	61	1	13	24	10	12	2	0
		10.5%	34.4%	28.1%	11.2%	7.1%	10.1%	4.2%	.0%
	Mail	73	1	10	36	16	7	4	0
12.6%		30.0%	22.3%	16.9%	11.6%	6.0%	7.1%	.0%	
November 2006	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	375	1	12	124	92	88	46	11
		64.4%	31.8%	26.4%	59.0%	65.5%	77.5%	79.1%	98.1%
	Poll	105	1	18	41	23	17	5	0
		18.0%	38.2%	39.3%	19.5%	16.6%	14.5%	9.0%	1.9%
	Mail	102	1	15	45	25	9	7	0
17.6%		30.0%	34.3%	21.5%	17.9%	8.0%	11.8%	.0%	
November 2007	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	454	1	23	157	107	98	55	11
		78.0%	36.2%	51.1%	74.7%	76.4%	86.3%	95.7%	98.1%
	Poll	21	0	3	8	7	3	0	0
		3.6%	.0%	5.6%	3.6%	5.2%	3.0%	.0%	1.9%
	Mail	107	1	19	46	26	12	3	0
18.4%		63.8%	43.3%	21.7%	18.4%	10.6%	4.3%	.0%	
February 2008	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	356	1	11	120	93	76	44	11
		61.2%	31.8%	25.4%	56.9%	66.0%	66.9%	75.3%	95.2%
	Poll	67	0	8	23	10	16	9	0
		11.5%	.0%	17.2%	11.1%	7.5%	14.0%	15.4%	1.9%
	Mail	159	1	26	67	37	22	5	0
27.4%		68.2%	57.4%	31.9%	26.5%	19.0%	9.2%	2.9%	
June 2008	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	439	1	16	158	108	93	52	11
		75.4%	35.5%	36.2%	75.0%	77.0%	81.2%	89.4%	97.1%
	Poll	23	0	2	8	5	4	3	0
		3.9%	.0%	5.5%	3.9%	3.5%	3.7%	4.7%	.0%
	Mail	120	1	26	44	27	17	3	0
20.7%		64.5%	58.3%	21.0%	19.4%	15.1%	5.9%	2.9%	
November 2008	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	267	1	6	92	62	56	40	10
		45.8%	31.8%	12.5%	43.5%	44.3%	49.5%	68.9%	86.3%
	Poll	68	0	4	25	13	16	9	1
		11.7%	.0%	9.0%	12.1%	9.0%	14.3%	14.8%	8.9%
	Mail	247	1	35	94	66	41	9	1
42.5%		68.2%	78.5%	44.5%	46.7%	36.2%	16.3%	4.8%	
May 2009	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	415	1	17	148	104	82	52	11
		71.3%	31.8%	38.5%	70.2%	74.1%	72.0%	89.0%	97.1%
	Poll	22	0	4	8	3	7	1	0
		3.8%	.0%	7.9%	3.6%	2.1%	6.1%	1.8%	.0%
	Mail	145	1	24	55	34	25	5	0
24.9%		68.2%	53.6%	26.3%	23.8%	21.9%	9.2%	2.9%	
November 2009	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	402	1	20	144	95	82	48	11

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
November 2009	No	69.0%	35.5%	45.3%	68.6%	67.5%	71.8%	83.6%	92.7%
	Poll	35	0	3	11	9	10	3	1
		6.1%	.0%	5.6%	5.4%	6.1%	8.4%	4.7%	4.3%
	Mail	145	1	22	55	37	23	7	0
		24.9%	64.5%	49.1%	26.0%	26.4%	19.8%	11.7%	2.9%
June 2010	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	401	1	22	138	99	85	47	9
		68.9%	31.8%	49.7%	65.3%	70.3%	74.7%	81.5%	78.6%
	Poll	34	0	3	11	10	5	4	1
		5.9%	.0%	7.3%	5.3%	6.9%	4.6%	6.5%	9.4%
November 2010	Mail	147	1	19	62	32	24	7	1
		25.2%	68.2%	43.0%	29.4%	22.9%	20.7%	11.9%	11.9%
	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	287	1	13	105	63	61	37	8
		49.3%	31.8%	28.9%	49.8%	44.7%	53.2%	64.2%	68.1%
June 2012	Poll	67	0	4	20	19	17	5	2
		11.5%	.0%	8.0%	9.4%	13.6%	14.7%	8.9%	19.9%
	Mail	228	1	28	86	59	37	16	1
		39.2%	68.2%	63.1%	40.8%	41.7%	32.2%	26.9%	11.9%
November 2012	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	357	1	16	130	81	77	46	7
		61.4%	31.8%	36.3%	61.5%	57.6%	67.7%	78.7%	59.3%
	Poll	35	0	2	10	9	10	4	0
		6.1%	.0%	5.4%	4.5%	6.5%	9.0%	6.6%	1.9%
June 2014	Mail	189	1	26	72	51	27	9	4
		32.5%	68.2%	58.3%	33.9%	35.9%	23.3%	14.7%	38.8%
November 2014	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	205	1	7	75	47	44	26	5
		35.1%	31.8%	15.9%	35.8%	33.1%	38.3%	45.1%	41.4%
	Poll	93	0	2	33	24	19	14	1
		15.9%	.0%	5.0%	15.9%	16.7%	16.4%	23.4%	9.4%
June 2016	Mail	285	1	36	102	71	52	18	6
		49.0%	68.2%	79.0%	48.3%	50.2%	45.3%	31.5%	49.2%
November 2016	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	346	1	20	115	80	76	46	8
		59.4%	31.8%	44.7%	54.7%	56.7%	66.9%	79.2%	66.7%
	Poll	36	0	2	14	11	6	2	1
		6.2%	.0%	4.4%	6.6%	7.8%	5.4%	3.6%	7.0%
June 2018	Mail	200	1	23	81	50	32	10	3
		34.4%	68.2%	50.9%	38.6%	35.5%	27.7%	17.2%	26.3%
November 2018	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	265	1	10	96	59	58	38	3
		45.4%	31.8%	22.5%	45.4%	42.1%	51.0%	64.7%	28.6%
	Poll	67	0	6	20	17	15	7	2
		11.5%	.0%	12.6%	9.7%	12.0%	13.0%	12.7%	13.2%
June 2020	Mail	251	1	29	95	65	41	13	7
		43.1%	68.2%	64.9%	44.9%	46.0%	36.0%	22.5%	58.2%
June 2022	Total	582	2	45	211	141	114	58	12
	No	250	0	14	90	64	51	25	7

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		People in Household with Cell Phone							
		Total	None	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
June 2016	No	43.0%	4.4%	30.3%	42.7%	45.8%	44.5%	42.4%	58.5%
	Poll	73	0	5	28	15	19	5	1
		12.6%	.0%	10.9%	13.4%	10.5%	16.3%	9.4%	10.0%
	Mail	259	2	26	93	61	45	28	4
		44.4%	95.6%	58.8%	43.9%	43.7%	39.2%	48.1%	31.5%

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
June 2006	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	448	1	3	148	2	101
		76.9%	50.0%	100.0%	78.1%	100.0%	95.2%
	Poll	61	1	0	14	0	4
		10.5%	50.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	4.0%
November 2006	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	375	0	3	129	2	86
		64.4%	.0%	100.0%	68.0%	100.0%	81.7%
	Poll	105	1	0	22	0	18
		18.0%	50.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%	17.2%
November 2007	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	454	3	3	141	2	98
		78.0%	100.0%	100.0%	74.7%	100.0%	92.2%
	Poll	21	0	0	6	0	5
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	.0%	5.0%
February 2008	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	356	1	3	128	2	76
		61.2%	50.0%	100.0%	67.4%	100.0%	72.1%
	Poll	67	1	0	8	0	18
		11.5%	50.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	16.8%
June 2008	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	439	1	3	151	1	94
		75.4%	50.0%	100.0%	79.6%	48.8%	88.5%
	Poll	23	1	0	2	0	3
		3.9%	50.0%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	3.1%
November 2008	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	267	1	3	94	0	57
		45.8%	50.0%	100.0%	49.9%	.0%	54.2%
	Poll	68	0	0	9	1	24
		11.7%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	48.8%	22.7%
May 2009	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	415	1	3	142	2	87
		71.3%	50.0%	100.0%	74.9%	100.0%	82.5%
	Poll	22	1	0	3	0	3
		3.8%	50.0%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	3.0%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
June 2006	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	10	25	8	12	9	79
		61.8%	100.0%	100.0%	88.3%	89.8%	53.3%
	Poll	2	0	0	2	1	30
		16.1%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	10.2%	20.0%
November 2006	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	7	20	6	12	9	57
		48.0%	80.1%	70.0%	88.3%	89.8%	38.5%
	Poll	5	3	2	2	1	40
		30.0%	13.1%	30.0%	11.7%	10.2%	27.2%
November 2007	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	12	23	5	14	9	94
		77.9%	93.1%	58.1%	100.0%	88.0%	63.0%
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	10
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	6.7%
February 2008	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	7	20	6	12	9	52
		47.1%	80.1%	70.0%	88.3%	88.0%	35.0%
	Poll	0	3	0	2	0	32
		.0%	13.1%	.0%	11.7%	.0%	21.8%
June 2008	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	12	23	5	10	9	83
		75.6%	93.1%	58.1%	76.5%	88.0%	56.1%
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	15
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.9%
November 2008	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	5	15	5	9	7	40
		35.4%	60.4%	58.1%	63.9%	65.8%	27.2%
	Poll	0	3	0	0	0	24
		.0%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.9%
May 2009	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	11	23	5	12	8	78
		68.9%	93.1%	58.1%	88.3%	77.8%	52.4%
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	13
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.8%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	9	4	17
	Poll	85.1%	73.9%	66.5%	99.1%
	Mail	2	2	2	0
November 2006	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	17	6	3	17
	Poll	70.9%	53.6%	55.4%	97.4%
	Mail	6	2	2	0
November 2007	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	9	5	17
	Poll	87.5%	73.6%	85.3%	97.4%
	Mail	0	0	0	0
February 2008	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	18	5	3	14
	Poll	77.0%	39.1%	51.8%	80.9%
	Mail	1	0	0	0
June 2008	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	7	3	17
	Poll	85.1%	60.0%	59.9%	96.2%
	Mail	1	0	0	0
November 2008	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	13	5	1	10
	Poll	57.1%	45.5%	10.2%	60.0%
	Mail	4	1	0	1
May 2009	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	19	7	4	14
	Poll	81.2%	58.4%	62.1%	78.3%
	Mail	0	0	0	1

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
November 2009	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	402	1	3	131	2
	Poll	69.0%	50.0%	100.0%	69.3%	100.0%
	Mail	35	1	0	8	0
June 2010	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	401	1	3	147	2
	Poll	68.9%	50.0%	100.0%	77.7%	100.0%
	Mail	34	1	0	4	0
November 2010	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	287	0	3	107	0
	Poll	49.3%	.0%	100.0%	56.7%	.0%
	Mail	67	1	0	10	1
June 2012	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	357	0	3	123	2
	Poll	61.4%	.0%	100.0%	64.8%	100.0%
	Mail	35	1	0	5	0
November 2012	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	205	0	3	77	0
	Poll	35.1%	.0%	100.0%	40.5%	.0%
	Mail	93	1	0	17	1
June 2014	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	346	1	3	120	1
	Poll	59.4%	50.0%	100.0%	63.3%	48.8%
	Mail	36	1	0	3	1
November 2014	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	No	265	0	3	89	0
	Poll	45.4%	.0%	100.0%	47.1%	.0%
	Mail	67	1	0	7	1

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
November 2009	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	12	22	5	12	10	79
		78.3%	86.8%	58.1%	88.3%	100.0%	53.5%
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	13
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%
June 2010	Mail	3	3	3	2	0	56
		21.7%	13.2%	41.9%	11.7%	.0%	38.0%
	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	11	23	6	10	8	69
		68.9%	93.1%	70.0%	76.5%	77.8%	46.4%
November 2010	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	16
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.1%
	Mail	5	2	2	3	2	63
		31.1%	6.9%	30.0%	23.5%	22.2%	42.5%
	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
June 2012	No	5	18	6	9	7	46
		32.6%	73.7%	71.0%	63.9%	65.8%	31.0%
	Poll	0	3	0	2	0	28
		.0%	13.1%	.0%	11.7%	.0%	18.7%
	Mail	10	3	2	3	3	75
November 2012		67.4%	13.2%	29.0%	24.4%	34.2%	50.3%
	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	11	22	5	10	8	71
		68.5%	86.8%	58.1%	76.5%	77.8%	47.8%
	Poll	0	0	0	0	0	14
June 2014		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
	Mail	5	3	3	3	2	64
		31.5%	13.2%	41.9%	23.5%	22.2%	42.9%
	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	1	15	5	9	6	33
November 2014		7.1%	60.4%	58.1%	63.9%	54.4%	22.5%
	Poll	2	7	0	0	0	28
		16.1%	26.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	18.9%
	Mail	12	3	3	5	5	87
		76.8%	13.2%	41.9%	36.1%	45.6%	58.5%
June 2014	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	10	22	5	12	7	68
		64.1%	86.8%	58.1%	87.4%	66.4%	45.9%
	Poll	0	0	0	0	1	14
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.2%	9.5%
November 2014	Mail	6	3	3	2	2	66
		35.9%	13.2%	41.9%	12.6%	23.4%	44.6%
	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	3	22	5	9	8	54
		18.4%	86.8%	58.1%	63.9%	76.6%	36.3%
November 2014	Poll	2	2	0	0	0	24
		16.1%	6.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.3%
	Mail	10	2	3	5	2	70
		65.5%	6.4%	41.9%	36.1%	23.4%	47.3%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	20	7	5	11
		84.0%	60.7%	78.6%	65.8%
	Poll	0	0	0	1
		.0%	2.2%	4.5%	3.8%
June 2010	Mail	4	4	1	5
		16.0%	37.1%	16.9%	30.4%
	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	18	6	3	10
		79.3%	54.7%	56.4%	55.8%
November 2010	Poll	1	0	0	4
		2.4%	2.2%	.0%	21.8%
	Mail	4	5	3	4
		18.2%	43.1%	43.6%	22.3%
	Total	23	12	6	17
June 2012	No	15	5	3	7
		63.0%	40.2%	55.4%	41.0%
	Poll	2	1	0	4
		9.3%	6.0%	4.5%	22.2%
	Mail	6	6	2	6
November 2012		27.7%	53.8%	40.1%	36.9%
	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	11	7	3	13
		49.3%	61.1%	51.8%	76.0%
	Poll	1	0	0	1
June 2014		5.2%	.0%	4.5%	5.7%
	Mail	11	5	3	3
		45.4%	38.9%	43.6%	18.3%
	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	5	5	0	6
November 2014		20.6%	40.2%	6.6%	33.4%
	Poll	3	0	0	6
		12.1%	2.2%	4.5%	35.8%
	Mail	16	7	5	5
		67.3%	57.6%	88.9%	30.9%
June 2014	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	18	5	4	7
		79.3%	43.5%	66.5%	42.5%
	Poll	1	1	0	4
		2.4%	6.0%	.0%	20.6%
November 2014	Mail	4	6	2	6
		18.2%	50.5%	33.5%	37.0%
	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	10	6	3	6
		43.2%	48.2%	56.4%	34.0%
November 2014	Poll	1	1	0	4
		5.7%	6.0%	.0%	24.7%
	Mail	12	5	3	7
		51.0%	45.8%	43.6%	41.3%

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
June 2016	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	No	250	0	3	93	0	48
		43.0%	.0%	100.0%	49.0%	.0%	45.2%
	Poll	73	1	0	13	1	18
		12.6%	50.0%	.0%	6.6%	51.2%	16.7%
	Mail	259	1	0	84	1	40
		44.4%	50.0%	.0%	44.4%	48.8%	38.2%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
June 2016	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	No	6	18	3	9	4	40
		36.7%	71.5%	40.9%	63.9%	40.2%	26.8%
	Poll	0	3	0	0	0	32
		.0%	11.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.8%
	Mail	10	4	5	5	6	76
		63.3%	17.4%	59.1%	36.1%	59.8%	51.4%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	Total	23	12	6	17
	No	13	4	1	10
		55.0%	31.9%	10.2%	59.6%
	Poll	2	1	0	2
		9.5%	6.0%	6.7%	11.0%
	Mail	8	7	5	5
		35.4%	62.1%	83.1%	29.3%

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	448	222	90	93	27	9	6
		76.9%	70.4%	86.6%	82.5%	89.9%	86.6%	67.8%
	Poll	61	43	5	7	1	1	3
		10.5%	13.5%	5.2%	6.4%	4.9%	13.4%	32.2%
	Mail	73	51	9	12	2	0	0
		12.6%	16.1%	8.3%	11.1%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
November 2006	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	375	180	73	89	20	7	6
		64.4%	57.1%	70.1%	78.8%	66.1%	65.7%	65.4%
	Poll	105	73	13	9	4	2	3
		18.0%	23.2%	12.2%	8.3%	13.9%	23.1%	34.6%
	Mail	102	62	18	14	6	1	0
		17.6%	19.7%	17.7%	12.9%	20.0%	11.3%	.0%
November 2007	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	454	224	87	99	28	11	6
		78.0%	70.9%	83.3%	87.9%	90.6%	100.0%	70.7%
	Poll	21	18	2	1	0	0	0
		3.6%	5.7%	2.0%	.5%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Mail	107	74	15	13	3	0	2
		18.4%	23.3%	14.6%	11.6%	9.4%	.0%	26.8%
February 2008	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	356	167	73	79	22	9	6
		61.2%	52.9%	70.2%	70.3%	73.4%	79.1%	69.9%
	Poll	67	43	13	9	2	1	0
		11.5%	13.5%	12.1%	7.7%	5.5%	9.6%	2.5%
	Mail	159	106	18	25	6	1	2
		27.4%	33.6%	17.7%	22.1%	21.1%	11.3%	27.7%
June 2008	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	439	220	88	95	23	8	5
		75.4%	69.7%	84.6%	84.3%	74.9%	75.3%	60.6%
	Poll	23	17	5	0	1	0	0
		3.9%	5.3%	4.5%	.0%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	Mail	120	79	11	18	7	3	3
		20.7%	24.9%	10.9%	15.7%	21.3%	24.7%	39.4%
November 2008	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	267	122	52	68	15	6	3
		45.8%	38.7%	50.2%	60.7%	49.0%	56.5%	31.6%
	Poll	68	39	19	7	1	2	1
		11.7%	12.3%	18.3%	6.0%	2.7%	17.9%	8.5%
	Mail	247	154	33	37	15	3	5
		42.5%	49.0%	31.5%	33.3%	48.4%	25.6%	59.9%
May 2009	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	415	212	79	85	25	8	6
		71.3%	67.3%	75.8%	75.4%	81.6%	71.1%	72.3%
	Poll	22	15	5	0	0	2	0
		3.8%	4.8%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	16.8%	.0%
	Mail	145	88	20	28	6	1	2
		24.9%	27.8%	19.5%	24.6%	18.4%	12.1%	27.7%
November 2009	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	402	202	73	89	22	10	5

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	No	69.0%	64.2%	70.6%	78.8%	72.3%	89.5%	58.9%
		35	24	7	4	0	0	0
	Poll	6.1%	7.6%	6.9%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	2.5%
	Mail	145	89	23	20	8	1	3
		24.9%	28.1%	22.5%	17.7%	27.7%	10.5%	38.6%
June 2010	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	401	201	78	84	24	9	6
		68.9%	63.7%	74.6%	75.0%	77.8%	82.3%	63.2%
	Poll	34	24	6	3	0	1	1
		5.9%	7.7%	5.4%	2.3%	.0%	7.2%	9.1%
November 2010	Mail	147	90	21	26	7	1	2
		25.2%	28.6%	20.0%	22.7%	22.2%	10.5%	27.7%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	287	143	56	62	15	6	5
		49.3%	45.5%	53.4%	55.5%	48.4%	58.1%	51.5%
June 2012	Poll	67	36	13	14	1	2	1
		11.5%	11.4%	12.1%	12.8%	4.0%	17.9%	9.1%
	Mail	228	136	36	36	15	3	3
		39.2%	43.1%	34.5%	31.7%	47.5%	23.9%	39.4%
November 2012	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	357	182	62	77	21	9	6
		61.4%	57.6%	60.0%	68.7%	69.2%	83.2%	66.0%
	Poll	35	24	5	6	0	1	0
		6.1%	7.6%	4.4%	5.2%	.0%	7.2%	2.5%
June 2014	Mail	189	110	37	29	9	1	3
		32.5%	34.8%	35.6%	26.1%	30.8%	9.6%	31.5%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	205	97	35	55	11	4	2
		35.1%	30.9%	34.0%	48.7%	35.1%	34.3%	27.8%
November 2014	Poll	93	49	20	16	4	2	1
		15.9%	15.7%	19.3%	14.1%	13.4%	20.6%	9.1%
	Mail	285	168	49	42	16	5	6
		49.0%	53.4%	46.7%	37.2%	51.5%	45.1%	63.1%
June 2016	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	346	182	60	69	22	9	2
		59.4%	57.8%	58.0%	61.5%	73.1%	83.2%	27.8%
	Poll	36	20	8	6	0	1	1
		6.2%	6.3%	8.1%	5.6%	.0%	7.2%	9.1%
November 2016	Mail	200	113	35	37	8	1	6
		34.4%	35.9%	33.9%	32.9%	26.9%	9.6%	63.1%
	Total	582	315	104	113	31	11	9
	No	265	134	46	60	13	6	4
		45.4%	42.6%	44.5%	53.4%	43.1%	59.0%	48.3%
June 2018	Poll	67	40	13	9	1	2	1
		11.5%	12.8%	12.8%	8.4%	3.0%	20.6%	6.6%
	Mail	251	141	45	43	16	2	4
		43.1%	44.6%	42.8%	38.2%	53.9%	20.4%	45.1%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		People Under 18 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.8%	40.4%	50.5%	38.6%	46.9%	65.8%
		73	43	14	11	3	1	0
	Poll	12.6%	13.7%	13.7%	10.1%	11.3%	7.2%	2.5%
	Mail	259	143	48	44	15	5	3
		44.4%	45.5%	46.0%	39.4%	50.2%	46.0%	31.7%

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	448	179	76	157	25	2	8
		76.9%	89.0%	71.0%	70.0%	75.0%	50.0%	70.2%
	Poll	61	10	13	29	3	2	3
November 2006	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	375	158	60	125	24	0	8
		64.4%	78.5%	55.9%	55.7%	71.7%	2.1%	68.2%
	Poll	105	18	19	56	4	4	4
November 2007	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	454	185	78	149	27	4	11
		78.0%	92.0%	72.3%	66.6%	81.2%	82.5%	96.7%
	Poll	21	1	3	15	1	1	0
February 2008	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	356	152	64	109	22	3	6
		61.2%	75.5%	59.5%	48.9%	64.5%	57.9%	56.2%
	Poll	67	14	9	37	5	2	0
June 2008	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	439	178	78	151	21	2	8
		75.4%	88.7%	72.4%	67.6%	63.4%	50.0%	70.2%
	Poll	23	3	3	14	3	0	0
November 2008	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	267	123	42	83	14	0	5
		45.8%	60.9%	38.6%	37.2%	41.7%	.0%	45.3%
	Poll	68	26	5	26	9	2	0
May 2009	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	415	168	69	140	27	2	9
		71.3%	83.7%	63.8%	62.3%	80.0%	55.8%	79.6%
	Poll	22	0	4	17	0	1	0
November 2009	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	402	162	67	141	23	2	6

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	No	69.0%	80.8%	62.4%	62.8%	67.3%	55.8%	56.0%
	Poll	35	10	6	18	1	1	0
		6.1%	4.8%	5.3%	8.0%	3.5%	17.5%	2.0%
	Mail	145	29	35	66	10	1	5
June 2010	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	401	164	68	136	23	4	6
		68.9%	81.7%	62.9%	60.8%	68.0%	80.4%	56.2%
	Poll	34	6	5	17	3	1	3
November 2010	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	287	123	47	100	12	1	4
		49.3%	61.1%	43.8%	44.6%	36.3%	23.3%	35.8%
	Poll	67	21	8	30	7	1	0
June 2012	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	357	145	60	122	22	4	5
		61.4%	72.0%	55.4%	54.4%	64.9%	82.5%	48.7%
	Poll	35	10	2	21	3	1	0
November 2012	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	205	96	29	69	9	0	2
		35.1%	47.6%	26.6%	30.8%	27.6%	2.1%	16.4%
	Poll	93	26	13	39	9	2	4
June 2014	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	346	137	59	122	22	2	3
		59.4%	68.2%	54.9%	54.5%	66.0%	34.6%	31.3%
	Poll	36	12	5	16	1	2	0
November 2014	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	265	106	38	103	13	0	4
		45.4%	52.8%	35.4%	46.0%	39.8%	2.1%	33.2%
	Poll	67	24	7	24	9	3	0
June 2016	Total	582	201	108	224	34	4	11
	No	250	97	48	86	11	2	5

		People Over 50 in Household						
		Total	None	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	No	43.0%	48.2%	45.0%	38.2%	34.0%	55.8%	48.5%
		73	29	8	27	6	1	2
	Poll	12.6%	14.3%	7.6%	12.2%	18.4%	17.5%	16.9%
		259	75	51	111	16	1	4
	Mail	44.4%	37.4%	47.4%	49.7%	47.7%	26.7%	34.6%

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
June 2006	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	448	330	21	77	6	1	41	5	22
		76.9%	73.5%	82.0%	82.7%	85.5%	93.5%	94.1%	72.7%	100.0%
	Poll	61	52	0	6	1	0	2	2	0
		10.5%	11.6%	.0%	6.7%	13.2%	.0%	3.6%	27.3%	.0%
November 2006	Mail	73	67	5	10	0	0	1	0	0
		12.6%	14.9%	18.0%	10.6%	1.3%	6.5%	2.3%	.0%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	375	273	19	65	5	1	39	5	19
		64.4%	60.8%	72.5%	70.1%	65.6%	93.5%	88.1%	71.3%	85.3%
November 2007	Poll	105	87	0	10	1	0	5	2	3
		18.0%	19.4%	.0%	11.1%	13.2%	.0%	11.0%	27.3%	14.7%
	Mail	102	89	7	17	2	0	0	0	0
		17.6%	19.8%	27.5%	18.8%	21.2%	6.5%	.9%	1.4%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
February 2008	No	454	349	20	74	7	1	41	6	22
		78.0%	77.9%	77.1%	79.7%	98.7%	93.5%	92.9%	86.9%	100.0%
	Poll	21	14	0	3	0	0	2	1	0
		3.6%	3.2%	.0%	2.7%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	11.7%	.0%
	Mail	107	85	6	16	0	0	1	0	0
June 2008		18.4%	18.9%	22.9%	17.6%	1.3%	6.5%	2.3%	1.4%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	356	254	19	65	5	1	33	5	19
		61.2%	56.7%	73.9%	69.9%	65.7%	93.5%	74.6%	67.8%	85.3%
	Poll	67	57	0	5	1	0	9	1	3
November 2008		11.5%	12.7%	.0%	5.4%	19.8%	.0%	20.8%	15.2%	14.7%
	Mail	159	137	7	23	1	0	2	1	0
		27.4%	30.6%	26.1%	24.6%	14.5%	6.5%	4.6%	17.0%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	439	330	20	70	6	1	40	6	22
June 2009		75.4%	73.6%	78.4%	75.3%	85.5%	93.5%	92.2%	86.9%	100.0%
	Poll	23	20	0	2	0	0	1	1	0
		3.9%	4.6%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%	2.5%	11.7%	.0%
	Mail	120	98	6	21	1	0	2	0	0
		20.7%	21.8%	21.6%	22.2%	14.5%	6.5%	5.3%	1.4%	.0%
November 2009	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	267	197	14	50	3	0	26	3	14
		45.8%	44.0%	52.9%	53.3%	45.8%	24.3%	59.4%	49.5%	63.1%
	Poll	68	57	2	3	0	0	9	1	3
		11.7%	12.6%	8.7%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	21.3%	11.7%	14.7%
May 2009	Mail	247	194	10	40	4	1	8	3	5
		42.5%	43.3%	38.5%	43.2%	54.2%	75.7%	19.4%	38.7%	22.2%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	415	307	19	68	6	1	39	3	22
		71.3%	68.4%	72.5%	73.4%	85.5%	93.5%	89.4%	51.0%	100.0%
November 2009	Poll	22	20	0	2	0	0	0	1	0
		3.8%	4.4%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
	Mail	145	122	7	23	1	0	5	2	0
		24.9%	27.1%	27.5%	24.5%	14.5%	6.5%	10.6%	37.3%	.0%
	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	402	306	19	66	7	1	34	5	21

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
June 2006	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	39	10	0	12	12	53	5
		81.6%	74.0%	.0%	100.0%	88.3%	96.1%	68.9%
	Poll	4	2	0	0	2	2	2
		9.4%	13.3%	25.0%	.0%	11.7%	3.1%	31.1%
November 2006	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	32	6	0	9	12	45	5
		67.3%	49.4%	.0%	68.7%	88.3%	81.4%	68.9%
	Poll	8	4	0	3	2	6	2
		16.6%	27.4%	25.0%	21.5%	11.7%	11.1%	31.1%
November 2007	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	31	10	0	9	14	52	7
		65.3%	74.5%	25.0%	72.7%	100.0%	93.2%	100.0%
	Poll	4	1	0	0	0	2	0
		7.6%	5.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	.0%
February 2008	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	31	9	0	9	12	41	5
		65.3%	66.0%	.0%	68.7%	88.3%	74.5%	79.5%
	Poll	2	1	0	0	2	4	0
		4.4%	7.1%	25.0%	1.9%	11.7%	7.8%	.0%
June 2008	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	39	9	0	8	10	47	4
		81.7%	66.0%	.0%	62.9%	76.5%	84.4%	63.8%
	Poll	2	1	0	0	0	1	0
		3.7%	5.9%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
November 2008	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	27	6	0	5	9	29	3
		57.3%	46.7%	.0%	37.9%	63.9%	52.0%	50.7%
	Poll	2	1	0	1	0	6	1
		4.4%	7.1%	25.0%	11.2%	.0%	10.6%	13.1%
May 2009	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	38	6	0	8	12	47	5
		79.0%	44.2%	25.0%	61.0%	88.3%	84.2%	79.5%
	Poll	3	1	0	0	0	1	0
		6.3%	5.9%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	2.1%	.0%
November 2009	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	33	9	0	9	12	49	5

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
November 2009	No	69.0%	68.2%	71.9%	71.1%	98.7%	93.5%	76.9%	71.3%	92.8%
	Poll	35	26	1	5	0	0	5	1	0
		6.1%	5.7%	5.6%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	11.7%	.0%
	Mail	145	117	6	22	0	0	5	1	2
		24.9%	26.1%	22.5%	23.5%	1.3%	6.5%	11.6%	17.0%	7.2%
June 2010	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	401	293	20	79	6	1	33	4	22
		68.9%	65.4%	76.5%	85.1%	85.5%	93.5%	76.4%	62.7%	100.0%
	Poll	34	28	1	1	0	0	4	0	0
		5.9%	6.3%	5.6%	1.3%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
November 2010	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	287	206	18	54	3	0	22	3	17
		49.3%	45.9%	69.9%	58.1%	45.8%	24.3%	50.7%	49.5%	78.1%
	Poll	67	58	1	5	0	0	5	1	3
		11.5%	12.9%	5.6%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	11.5%	11.7%	14.7%
June 2012	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	357	263	17	64	3	1	32	4	21
		61.4%	58.6%	66.6%	68.6%	45.8%	93.5%	73.6%	66.5%	92.8%
	Poll	35	29	1	2	0	0	5	1	0
		6.1%	6.6%	5.6%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	11.7%	.0%
November 2012	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	205	150	13	34	3	0	15	0	14
		35.1%	33.5%	51.0%	36.2%	45.8%	24.3%	34.7%	7.0%	63.1%
	Poll	93	67	1	11	0	0	12	2	7
		15.9%	14.9%	5.6%	11.5%	.0%	.0%	26.9%	33.5%	29.7%
June 2014	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	346	256	18	63	6	1	25	5	21
		59.4%	57.0%	67.7%	67.5%	85.5%	93.5%	57.1%	71.3%	92.8%
	Poll	36	30	0	3	0	0	4	1	0
		6.2%	6.8%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	8.5%	11.7%	.0%
November 2014	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	265	198	15	45	3	1	20	3	21
		45.4%	44.2%	55.6%	47.9%	42.6%	93.5%	46.0%	49.5%	92.8%
	Poll	67	53	1	4	0	0	9	1	0
		11.5%	11.9%	5.6%	4.3%	.0%	.0%	21.3%	11.7%	.0%
June 2016	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	No	250	179	10	48	2	1	21	0	17

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
November 2009	No	69.6%	68.2%	.0%	72.7%	88.3%	88.1%	74.9%
	Poll	1	1	0	0	0	4	0
		1.2%	5.9%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	4.3%
	Mail	14	3	1	3	2	3	1
		29.2%	25.9%	75.0%	27.3%	11.7%	5.0%	20.8%
June 2010	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	40	8	0	10	10	44	3
		83.5%	60.7%	.0%	78.5%	76.5%	79.9%	50.6%
	Poll	1	0	0	0	0	6	1
		1.2%	1.1%	25.0%	1.9%	.0%	10.6%	13.1%
November 2010	Mail	7	5	1	2	3	5	2
		15.2%	38.1%	75.0%	19.6%	23.5%	9.6%	36.2%
	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	29	6	0	7	9	32	2
		61.8%	47.2%	.0%	56.1%	63.9%	57.3%	34.9%
June 2012	Poll	4	1	0	1	2	4	1
		7.4%	7.1%	25.0%	11.2%	11.7%	6.6%	13.1%
	Mail	15	6	1	4	3	20	3
		30.9%	45.8%	75.0%	32.7%	24.4%	36.0%	51.9%
November 2012	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	36	7	0	9	10	44	3
		74.8%	54.8%	25.0%	72.7%	76.5%	78.4%	49.4%
	Poll	1	2	0	0	0	3	0
		1.2%	12.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
June 2014	Mail	11	4	1	3	3	9	3
		24.0%	33.2%	75.0%	27.3%	23.5%	16.6%	50.6%
	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	25	3	0	5	9	24	0
		51.8%	22.9%	.0%	37.9%	63.9%	43.9%	4.9%
November 2014	Poll	1	2	0	0	0	8	3
		1.2%	12.0%	25.0%	1.9%	.0%	14.3%	38.1%
	Mail	22	9	1	7	5	23	4
		47.0%	65.1%	75.0%	60.2%	36.1%	41.8%	57.1%
June 2016	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	30	7	0	7	12	37	3
		62.4%	54.8%	.0%	58.9%	87.4%	66.9%	45.6%
	Poll	1	1	0	0	0	4	1
		1.2%	7.1%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	7.0%	8.9%
November 2016	Mail	17	5	1	5	2	14	3
		36.4%	38.1%	75.0%	41.1%	12.6%	26.1%	45.6%
	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	25	5	0	6	9	34	2
		52.6%	35.0%	.0%	49.6%	63.9%	61.4%	29.8%
June 2018	Poll	1	3	0	0	0	6	1
		1.2%	22.0%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	11.3%	13.1%
	Mail	22	6	1	6	5	15	4
		46.2%	43.1%	75.0%	50.4%	36.1%	27.4%	57.1%
June 2020	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	No	27	5	0	3	9	26	2

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
June 2016	No	43.0%	40.0%	40.1%	51.2%	26.8%	93.5%	47.4%	7.0%	75.6%
	Poll	73	54	1	8	0	0	6	1	3
		12.6%	12.1%	5.6%	8.5%	.0%	.0%	14.8%	11.7%	12.5%
	Mail	259	215	14	37	5	0	17	5	3
		44.4%	47.9%	54.3%	40.3%	73.2%	6.5%	37.8%	81.3%	11.9%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
June 2016	No	57.4%	39.9%	.0%	26.7%	63.9%	46.0%	29.2%
	Poll	2	2	0	0	0	4	2
		4.3%	13.4%	25.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	29.2%
	Mail	18	6	1	9	5	26	3
		38.4%	46.7%	75.0%	73.3%	36.1%	46.5%	41.7%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
June 2006	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	448	34	41	17	29	21	32	36
		76.9%	56.9%	80.0%	56.7%	78.6%	65.6%	78.0%	93.9%
	Poll	61	13	7	2	4	4	3	1
		10.5%	22.1%	12.6%	6.2%	10.0%	11.4%	7.5%	1.7%
November 2006	Mail	73	13	4	11	4	7	6	2
		12.6%	21.1%	7.4%	37.1%	11.4%	23.0%	14.6%	4.4%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	375	26	30	14	26	21	25	33
		64.4%	43.0%	57.5%	47.8%	70.4%	64.1%	60.5%	86.2%
November 2007	Poll	105	14	10	3	6	5	7	4
		18.0%	23.2%	18.7%	8.7%	17.2%	15.1%	17.6%	9.2%
	Mail	102	20	12	13	5	7	9	2
		17.6%	33.7%	23.9%	43.5%	12.4%	20.8%	21.9%	4.6%
	November 2007	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
No		454	44	31	17	30	24	34	34
		78.0%	72.1%	59.5%	59.2%	82.7%	75.5%	82.2%	90.1%
Poll		21	3	6	0	0	3	0	1
		3.6%	4.8%	12.1%	.0%	1.1%	10.7%	.4%	2.7%
February 2008	Mail	107	14	15	12	6	4	7	3
		18.4%	23.1%	28.3%	40.8%	16.3%	13.9%	17.4%	7.2%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	356	30	28	12	25	18	29	33
		61.2%	48.9%	53.2%	40.4%	67.9%	56.7%	70.5%	85.9%
June 2008	Poll	67	6	5	4	2	4	6	1
		11.5%	9.9%	9.2%	13.1%	5.0%	11.4%	14.9%	3.4%
	Mail	159	25	19	14	10	10	6	4
		27.4%	41.3%	37.6%	46.5%	27.0%	31.9%	14.6%	10.8%
	June 2008	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
No		439	39	35	19	27	25	32	33
		75.4%	64.5%	67.9%	64.7%	72.6%	79.2%	76.5%	85.0%
Poll		23	1	1	1	3	3	2	1
		3.9%	2.4%	2.1%	2.5%	8.2%	9.6%	3.8%	2.8%
November 2008	Mail	120	20	16	10	7	4	8	5
		20.7%	33.1%	30.0%	32.8%	19.2%	11.3%	19.7%	12.2%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	267	18	24	10	22	12	19	23
		45.8%	29.5%	47.0%	35.2%	58.5%	36.9%	46.3%	59.6%
May 2009	Poll	68	7	3	3	1	5	7	4
		11.7%	12.2%	6.4%	8.9%	2.7%	15.1%	16.5%	10.2%
	Mail	247	35	24	16	14	15	15	12
		42.5%	58.3%	46.7%	55.9%	38.8%	48.0%	37.1%	30.1%
	November 2009	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
No		415	36	37	18	30	20	33	35
		71.3%	60.4%	70.8%	60.8%	80.6%	62.4%	80.7%	90.6%
Poll		22	1	3	0	2	3	2	0
		3.8%	2.1%	6.4%	.5%	4.4%	8.4%	5.0%	1.1%
May 2009	Mail	145	23	12	11	6	9	6	3
		24.9%	37.5%	22.8%	38.7%	15.0%	29.2%	14.3%	8.3%
	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	402	36	33	17	25	25	31	33

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2006	Total	34	132	126
	No	26	110	101
	Poll	5	10	14
	Mail	2	13	12
November 2006	Total	34	132	126
	No	26	94	80
	Poll	5	23	29
	Mail	2	15	17
November 2007	Total	34	132	126
	No	29	110	100
	Poll	0	3	3
	Mail	4	19	23
February 2008	Total	34	132	126
	No	20	87	75
	Poll	8	13	19
	Mail	6	33	32
June 2008	Total	34	132	126
	No	26	103	101
	Poll	3	4	3
	Mail	4	25	22
November 2008	Total	34	132	126
	No	19	57	62
	Poll	7	17	14
	Mail	7	58	50
May 2009	Total	34	132	126
	No	30	92	84
	Poll	0	6	5
	Mail	4	34	37
November 2009	Total	34	132	126
	No	25	95	82

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
November 2009	No	69.0%	58.9%	63.3%	58.2%	69.1%	78.7%	74.2%	86.1%
	Poll	35	4	5	0	3	2	1	1
	Mail	145	21	14	12	8	4	9	4
	Total	24.9%	34.2%	26.8%	41.3%	22.8%	13.6%	22.2%	11.2%
June 2010	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	401	40	34	16	27	23	28	32
	Poll	68.9%	65.5%	66.5%	53.9%	72.8%	72.3%	68.5%	84.0%
	Mail	34	3	3	1	2	3	2	2
November 2010	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	287	26	26	8	20	18	22	26
	Poll	49.3%	43.0%	49.5%	28.9%	54.9%	56.5%	54.0%	68.4%
	Mail	67	4	3	4	2	5	7	5
June 2012	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	357	31	31	15	25	14	32	29
	Poll	61.4%	50.6%	60.7%	51.5%	67.1%	43.3%	76.4%	75.8%
	Mail	35	2	4	0	2	8	1	1
November 2012	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	205	20	18	5	20	10	17	16
	Poll	35.1%	33.3%	34.0%	16.0%	54.5%	29.8%	41.0%	42.1%
	Mail	93	5	3	7	2	7	10	8
June 2014	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	346	41	29	13	21	19	31	30
	Poll	59.4%	67.8%	55.1%	42.9%	56.8%	57.9%	74.6%	78.1%
	Mail	36	0	4	1	2	4	2	2
November 2014	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	265	23	26	11	19	11	22	23
	Poll	45.4%	37.4%	51.1%	38.0%	51.5%	33.6%	52.4%	59.0%
	Mail	67	6	5	6	2	4	6	2
June 2016	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41	38
	No	250	28	30	9	11	12	18	22

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
November 2009	No	73.4%	71.9%	65.1%
	Poll	4	8	6
	Mail	13.2%	5.8%	4.6%
	Total	13.4%	22.3%	30.3%
June 2010	Total	34	132	126
	No	25	97	79
	Poll	74.5%	73.0%	62.7%
	Mail	3	6	9
November 2010	Total	34	132	126
	No	20	63	57
	Poll	58.7%	47.8%	45.3%
	Mail	6	17	13
June 2012	Total	34	132	126
	No	22	85	74
	Poll	65.1%	64.3%	58.8%
	Mail	6	8	3
November 2012	Total	34	132	126
	No	8	46	45
	Poll	25.3%	34.7%	35.6%
	Mail	14	14	21
June 2014	Total	34	132	126
	No	19	77	67
	Poll	56.1%	58.5%	53.3%
	Mail	2	9	8
November 2014	Total	34	132	126
	No	13	60	57
	Poll	39.5%	45.7%	45.0%
	Mail	9	17	10
June 2016	Total	34	132	126
	No	11	60	50

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Household Income							
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
June 2016	No	43.0%	46.6%	57.2%	30.6%	29.4%	37.4%	43.5%	58.4%
	Poll	73	8	4	5	11	5	6	4
		12.6%	12.7%	6.8%	16.9%	30.4%	14.2%	14.3%	11.2%
	Mail	259	25	19	15	15	16	17	12
		44.4%	40.7%	36.0%	52.5%	40.2%	48.4%	42.2%	30.4%

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
June 2016	No	33.0%	45.1%	39.3%
	Poll	6	13	12
	Mail	17	59	65
		50.1%	44.8%	51.2%

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
June 2006	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	448	107	136	205
	Poll	76.9%	63.6%	88.8%	78.4%
	Mail	61	29	6	26
		10.5%	17.1%	4.1%	10.0%
	Mail	73	32	11	30
		12.6%	19.3%	7.1%	11.6%
November 2006	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	375	85	129	160
	Poll	64.4%	51.0%	84.5%	61.2%
	Mail	105	42	7	55
		18.0%	25.2%	4.8%	21.2%
	Mail	102	40	16	46
		17.6%	23.9%	10.7%	17.6%
November 2007	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	454	104	143	207
	Poll	78.0%	62.1%	93.5%	79.1%
	Mail	21	12	1	9
		3.6%	7.1%	.4%	3.3%
	Mail	107	52	9	46
		18.4%	30.8%	6.1%	17.7%
February 2008	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	356	81	114	162
	Poll	61.2%	48.2%	74.4%	61.7%
	Mail	67	27	14	25
		11.5%	16.3%	9.2%	9.7%
	Mail	159	59	25	75
		27.4%	35.4%	16.4%	28.6%
June 2008	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	439	106	135	199
	Poll	75.4%	63.1%	88.3%	75.8%
	Mail	23	8	2	12
		3.9%	4.8%	1.6%	4.6%
	Mail	120	54	15	51
		20.7%	32.1%	10.1%	19.5%
November 2008	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	267	56	90	120
	Poll	45.8%	33.5%	59.0%	46.0%
	Mail	68	22	17	29
		11.7%	13.0%	11.3%	11.1%
	Mail	247	90	45	112
		42.5%	53.5%	29.8%	42.9%
May 2009	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	415	102	133	180
	Poll	71.3%	61.0%	86.8%	68.8%
	Mail	22	12	1	9
		3.8%	7.3%	.5%	3.4%
	Mail	145	53	19	73
		24.9%	31.7%	12.7%	27.8%
November 2009	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	402	90	129	183

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
November 2009	No	69.0%	53.8%	84.2%	69.9%
	Poll	35	13	8	14
		6.1%	8.0%	5.1%	5.4%
	Mail	145	64	16	65
		24.9%	38.2%	10.7%	24.7%
June 2010	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	401	97	125	180
		68.9%	57.7%	81.8%	68.6%
	Poll	34	15	1	18
		5.9%	9.1%	.5%	7.0%
November 2010	Mail	147	56	27	64
		25.2%	33.2%	17.7%	24.5%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	287	68	99	120
		49.3%	40.6%	64.8%	45.9%
June 2012	Poll	67	20	16	31
		11.5%	12.1%	10.2%	11.8%
	Mail	228	79	38	111
		39.2%	47.3%	25.0%	42.3%
November 2012	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	357	90	109	159
		61.4%	53.4%	71.5%	60.6%
	Poll	35	11	9	16
		6.1%	6.6%	5.7%	6.0%
June 2014	Mail	189	67	35	88
		32.5%	40.0%	22.8%	33.4%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	205	49	70	86
		35.1%	29.1%	45.8%	32.8%
November 2014	Poll	93	31	25	37
		15.9%	18.4%	16.6%	13.9%
	Mail	285	88	58	139
		49.0%	52.5%	37.7%	53.2%
June 2016	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	346	89	116	141
		59.4%	52.9%	76.3%	53.7%
	Poll	36	10	5	21
		6.2%	6.1%	3.1%	8.1%
November 2016	Mail	200	69	31	100
		34.4%	41.0%	20.6%	38.3%
	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	265	68	91	106
		45.4%	40.4%	59.8%	40.3%
June 2017	Poll	67	20	16	31
		11.5%	11.8%	10.6%	11.7%
	Mail	251	80	45	126
		43.1%	47.8%	29.7%	47.9%
Total	Total	582	167	153	262
	No	250	72	80	98

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Crosstabs 05-03-17

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
June 2016	No	43.0%	43.2%	52.5%	37.3%
	Poll	73	20	19	33
		12.6%	12.2%	12.6%	12.8%
	Mail	259	75	53	131
		44.4%	44.6%	34.9%	49.9%

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2006	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	448	120	126	87	62	53
	Poll	61	0	0	1	12	49
	Mail	73	0	0	3	5	66
November 2006	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	375	120	121	71	43	20
	Poll	105	0	0	11	25	69
	Mail	102	0	5	9	10	79
November 2007	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	454	120	125	83	70	56
	Poll	21	0	1	3	2	15
	Mail	107	0	0	4	6	98
February 2008	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	356	120	118	71	38	9
	Poll	67	0	3	11	21	32
	Mail	159	0	5	8	19	127
June 2008	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	439	120	124	86	62	46
	Poll	23	0	0	1	6	16
	Mail	120	0	1	3	10	106
November 2008	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	267	120	102	33	8	4
	Poll	68	0	8	18	24	19
	Mail	247	0	17	39	46	145
May 2009	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	415	120	126	80	55	33
	Poll	22	0	0	0	5	17
	Mail	145	0	0	10	17	118
November 2009	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	402	120	122	78	47	35

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
November 2009	No	69.0%	100.0%	96.5%	86.7%	60.6%	20.6%
	Poll	35	0	2	2	11	20
	Mail	145	0	2	10	20	114
		24.9%	.0%	1.6%	10.6%	25.3%	67.7%
June 2010	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	401	120	124	77	52	28
	Poll	34	0	0	5	11	18
	Mail	147	0	2	8	14	123
November 2010	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	287	120	116	36	7	8
	Poll	67	0	6	17	24	20
	Mail	228	0	4	38	47	140
June 2012	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	357	120	118	69	36	15
	Poll	35	0	2	3	13	17
	Mail	189	0	6	19	29	136
November 2012	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	205	120	73	7	4	1
	Poll	93	0	25	22	26	19
	Mail	285	0	28	61	47	148
June 2014	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	346	120	111	53	38	23
	Poll	36	0	0	7	11	18
	Mail	200	0	15	30	28	128
November 2014	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	265	120	94	32	8	11
	Poll	67	0	6	16	22	23
	Mail	251	0	26	43	48	134
June 2016	Total	582	120	126	90	78	168
	No	250	120	56	32	26	16

		Voting Propensity					
		Total	0	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
June 2016	No	43.0%	100.0%	44.2%	35.7%	33.4%	9.8%
	Poll	73	0	25	12	15	21
		12.6%	.0%	20.1%	13.1%	19.5%	12.4%
	Mail	259	0	45	46	37	131
		44.4%	.0%	35.7%	51.2%	47.1%	77.8%

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
June 2006	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	447	77	100	22	25	115	94	4
		77.1%	62.7%	84.0%	56.3%	61.5%	90.2%	83.8%	52.1%
	Poll	59	23	7	7	6	6	8	2
		10.2%	18.7%	6.0%	16.6%	14.9%	4.4%	7.3%	32.6%
November 2006	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	374	65	94	13	12	97	80	4
		64.5%	52.6%	78.5%	32.1%	30.6%	75.9%	71.5%	56.2%
	Poll	103	31	14	11	16	13	16	2
		17.8%	25.0%	12.0%	27.5%	39.6%	10.0%	13.9%	32.6%
November 2007	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	451	95	101	21	27	103	93	3
		77.9%	76.9%	84.5%	52.7%	66.1%	80.4%	82.8%	44.7%
	Poll	21	4	1	3	2	7	3	0
		3.6%	2.9%	.8%	8.3%	5.6%	5.8%	2.9%	4.3%
February 2008	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	355	67	70	17	14	99	78	3
		61.3%	54.4%	58.4%	42.3%	34.4%	78.0%	69.8%	40.5%
	Poll	67	16	18	4	10	8	10	1
		11.5%	13.0%	15.0%	9.9%	23.7%	6.0%	9.1%	19.6%
June 2008	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	438	80	95	24	24	106	97	4
		75.7%	65.0%	79.4%	58.9%	59.9%	83.0%	86.2%	55.8%
	Poll	23	8	5	3	3	2	2	0
		3.9%	6.4%	4.4%	7.0%	6.4%	1.2%	2.1%	4.3%
November 2008	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	265	42	56	7	11	75	65	3
		45.9%	33.9%	47.0%	17.3%	26.6%	58.8%	58.2%	40.5%
	Poll	68	15	20	7	8	7	9	1
		11.8%	12.3%	16.7%	17.7%	19.7%	5.4%	8.3%	15.5%
May 2009	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	414	83	94	18	18	102	86	4
		71.5%	67.6%	78.6%	46.0%	44.7%	79.6%	76.5%	58.0%
	Poll	22	5	3	3	4	1	4	1
		3.8%	4.3%	2.4%	7.9%	10.5%	1.1%	3.7%	11.2%
November 2009	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	No	399	76	90	14	22	99	84	4
		24.7%	28.1%	19.0%	46.1%	44.8%	19.3%	19.8%	30.9%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
June 2006	Total	9
	No	9
		97.6%
	Poll	0
		.0%
November 2006	Mail	0
		2.4%
	Total	9
	No	9
		97.6%
November 2007	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		2.4%
	Total	9
February 2008	No	9
		97.6%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	2
June 2008		24.9%
	Total	9
	No	9
		97.6%
	Poll	0
November 2008		.0%
	Mail	2
		18.1%
	Total	9
	No	7
May 2009		75.2%
	Poll	1
		6.6%
	Mail	2
		18.1%
November 2009	Total	9
	No	9
		97.6%
	Poll	0
		.0%
	Mail	0
		2.4%
	Total	9
	No	9
		97.6%

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		Fem Oth						
November 2009	No	68.8%	62.0%	75.2%	35.0%	53.6%	78.0%	75.2%
	Poll	35	10	4	6	2	4	9
		6.1%	7.9%	3.5%	14.6%	6.0%	3.5%	7.9%
	Mail	145	37	25	20	17	24	19
		25.1%	30.1%	21.3%	50.4%	40.4%	18.6%	17.0%
June 2010	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	No	401	76	91	11	19	105	86
		69.3%	61.3%	76.0%	28.0%	47.0%	82.0%	76.7%
	Poll	34	10	5	8	4	1	5
		5.9%	8.1%	4.1%	19.6%	9.8%	1.1%	4.5%
November 2010	Mail	144	38	24	21	18	22	21
		24.8%	30.6%	19.9%	52.4%	43.2%	16.9%	18.8%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	No	287	37	66	4	10	88	69
		49.6%	29.8%	55.3%	10.5%	25.6%	68.9%	61.7%
June 2012	Poll	67	18	15	9	10	7	7
		11.5%	14.7%	12.5%	23.4%	23.3%	5.5%	6.3%
	Mail	225	68	38	26	21	33	36
		38.9%	55.6%	32.2%	66.1%	51.1%	25.5%	32.0%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
November 2012	No	356	66	77	11	19	95	76
		61.5%	53.3%	64.1%	26.6%	46.6%	74.8%	68.1%
	Poll	35	11	9	4	3	2	7
		6.1%	9.1%	7.4%	9.5%	7.2%	1.4%	6.0%
	Mail	187	46	34	25	19	30	29
June 2014		32.3%	37.6%	28.4%	63.9%	46.1%	23.9%	25.9%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	No	205	28	46	4	9	56	53
		35.3%	22.7%	38.2%	9.5%	22.7%	44.0%	47.4%
	Poll	93	25	22	7	9	20	9
November 2014		16.0%	20.2%	18.4%	17.5%	22.8%	15.4%	8.0%
	Mail	282	70	52	29	22	52	50
		48.7%	57.0%	43.4%	72.9%	54.5%	40.5%	44.6%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	No	345	64	75	16	19	86	74
June 2016		59.5%	52.0%	62.4%	39.0%	47.1%	67.8%	65.7%
	Poll	36	13	3	4	4	6	7
		6.2%	10.7%	2.2%	9.7%	9.4%	4.4%	5.9%
	Mail	198	46	42	20	18	35	32
		34.3%	37.3%	35.4%	51.2%	43.5%	27.8%	28.3%
November 2016	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	No	265	45	55	8	13	73	61
		45.7%	36.1%	46.0%	20.9%	31.7%	57.1%	54.2%
	Poll	67	20	17	7	5	11	8
		11.5%	16.0%	14.2%	16.4%	11.7%	8.6%	6.8%
	Mail	248	59	48	25	23	44	44
		42.8%	47.9%	39.8%	62.7%	56.6%	34.3%	39.0%
	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	No	249	39	49	11	15	72	56

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
November 2009	No	97.6%
	Poll	0
	Mail	2.4%
June 2010	Total	9
	No	97.6%
	Poll	0
November 2010	Total	9
	No	91.0%
	Poll	1
June 2012	Total	9
	No	97.6%
	Poll	0
November 2012	Total	9
	No	63.8%
	Poll	1
June 2014	Total	9
	No	75.1%
	Poll	0
November 2014	Total	9
	No	97.6%
	Poll	0
June 2016	Total	9
	No	7

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
June 2016	No	43.0%	31.3%	41.0%	26.6%	37.6%	56.3%	50.1%
	Poll	73	22	18	7	3	11	10
	Mail	257	63	52	23	22	44	46
		44.3%	51.0%	43.9%	56.4%	54.2%	34.7%	41.1%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
June 2016	No	75.1%
	Poll	0
	Mail	2
		24.9%

		Total	
		Total	Total
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	582
	0	120	120
	1	52	52
	2	41	41
	3	33	33
	4	21	21
	5	41	41
	6	29	29
	7	29	29
	8	30	30
	9	19	19
	10	22	22
	11	23	23
	12	26	26
	13	23	23
	14	30	30
	15	44	44
	16	0	0

**Comparisons of Column
Proportions^{a,b}**

		Total
		Total
		(A)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	5	.
	6	.
	7	.
	8	.
	9	.
	10	.
	11	.
	12	.
	13	.
	14	.
	15	.
	16	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	120 20.6%	67 23.9%	53 17.7%	0 .0%
	1	52 8.9%	29 10.4%	22 7.5%	0 .0%
	2	41 7.1%	18 6.3%	23 7.9%	0 .0%
	3	33 5.7%	16 5.8%	17 5.7%	0 .0%
	4	21 3.6%	9 3.1%	12 4.1%	0 .0%
	5	41 7.0%	21 7.3%	19 6.4%	1 34.4%
	6	29 4.9%	15 5.2%	14 4.7%	0 .0%
	7	29 5.0%	10 3.6%	19 6.4%	0 .0%
	8	30 5.1%	14 5.1%	16 5.2%	0 .0%
	9	19 3.2%	10 3.7%	8 2.7%	0 .0%
	10	22 3.8%	8 2.8%	14 4.8%	0 .0%
	11	23 4.0%	14 4.9%	9 3.2%	0 .0%
	12	26 4.4%	14 5.1%	10 3.5%	1 33.7%
	13	23 4.0%	10 3.7%	12 4.0%	1 31.9%
	14	30 5.1%	8 2.9%	21 7.2%	0 .0%
	15	44 7.5%	18 6.2%	26 8.8%	0 .0%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0			a
1			a
2			a
3			a
4			a
5			a
6			a
7			a
8			a
9			a
10			a
11			a
12			B
13			A
14		A	a
15	a		a
16	.		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	0	120	39	30	29	16	7	0
		20.6%	50.2%	29.6%	20.4%	10.6%	6.5%	.0%
	1	52	16	11	12	7	6	0
		8.9%	20.5%	10.8%	8.4%	4.4%	6.2%	.0%
	2	41	8	11	7	10	6	0
		7.1%	10.3%	10.5%	4.8%	6.6%	5.7%	.0%
	3	33	6	10	8	5	3	0
		5.7%	8.3%	9.7%	6.0%	3.5%	3.2%	.0%
	4	21	4	2	8	6	1	0
		3.6%	5.1%	2.4%	5.6%	3.7%	.9%	.0%
	5	41	2	11	15	14	0	0
		7.0%	2.0%	10.8%	10.5%	8.9%	.0%	.0%
	6	29	0	5	15	6	3	0
		4.9%	.0%	4.8%	10.4%	3.8%	3.2%	.0%
	7	29	0	0	13	8	9	0
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	8.9%	5.0%	8.9%	.0%
	8	30	0	12	7	6	4	0
		5.1%	.0%	12.3%	5.1%	4.2%	3.9%	.0%
	9	19	0	2	6	6	3	1
		3.2%	.0%	2.4%	4.5%	3.9%	2.8%	11.8%
	10	22	0	4	2	11	4	1
		3.8%	.0%	4.4%	1.1%	7.5%	3.8%	12.5%
	11	23	0	0	6	8	10	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	4.0%	5.0%	9.8%	.0%
	12	26	0	2	3	10	10	1
		4.4%	.0%	2.4%	2.0%	6.6%	9.5%	7.2%
	13	23	3	0	0	17	4	0
		4.0%	3.6%	.0%	.3%	10.9%	3.5%	.0%
	14	30	0	0	4	12	12	2
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	7.6%	11.5%	24.9%
	15	44	0	0	7	12	21	4
		7.5%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	7.6%	20.6%	43.6%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0	C D E	D E	E			a
1	D E					a
2						a
3						a
4						a
5					a	a
6	a				.	a
7	a	a				a
8	a					a
9	a					.
10	a					
11	a	a				a
12	a	.				.
13		a		C		a
14	a	a			C	C
15	a	a			C D	C D
16	a	a	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
Total	582	424	158
0	120 20.6%	75 17.6%	45 28.7%
1	52 8.9%	26 6.0%	26 16.5%
2	41 7.1%	27 6.3%	15 9.3%
3	33 5.7%	22 5.3%	11 6.9%
4	21 3.6%	18 4.3%	3 1.7%
5	41 7.0%	32 7.4%	9 5.8%
6	29 4.9%	18 4.2%	11 6.9%
7	29 5.0%	26 6.2%	3 1.8%
8	30 5.1%	25 5.8%	5 3.4%
9	19 3.2%	15 3.4%	4 2.6%
10	22 3.8%	19 4.6%	3 1.8%
11	23 4.0%	17 3.9%	6 4.1%
12	26 4.4%	18 4.2%	8 4.9%
13	23 4.0%	21 5.0%	2 1.4%
14	30 5.1%	27 6.3%	3 1.8%
15	44 7.5%	40 9.4%	4 2.3%
16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0		A
	1		A
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7	B	
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13	B	
	14	B	
	15	B	
	16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	0	120 20.6%	49 20.2%	4 4.4%	5 30.0%	62 25.8%
	1	52 8.9%	17 6.9%	3 4.3%	1 7.3%	30 12.5%
	2	41 7.1%	12 5.0%	2 2.2%	3 18.8%	24 10.0%
	3	33 5.7%	7 2.9%	3 4.0%	3 16.3%	20 8.4%
	4	21 3.6%	9 3.9%	3 3.5%	0 .0%	9 3.5%
	5	41 7.0%	18 7.5%	7 8.2%	0 1.8%	16 6.5%
	6	29 4.9%	12 4.9%	5 6.3%	0 .0%	12 4.8%
	7	29 5.0%	19 7.9%	2 1.9%	0 .0%	8 3.5%
	8	30 5.1%	22 8.9%	5 5.9%	0 .0%	3 1.4%
	9	19 3.2%	8 3.4%	5 6.7%	0 .0%	5 2.0%
	10	22 3.8%	8 3.4%	3 3.6%	0 .0%	11 4.6%
	11	23 4.0%	6 2.5%	6 7.8%	1 4.9%	10 4.2%
	12	26 4.4%	9 3.7%	6 7.0%	1 4.9%	10 4.2%
	13	23 4.0%	10 4.1%	7 8.4%	2 13.6%	4 1.8%
	14	30 5.1%	14 5.8%	4 5.5%	0 .0%	11 4.5%
	15	44 7.5%	21 8.7%	16 20.3%	0 2.3%	6 2.3%
	16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
0	B		B	B
1				
2			B	
3			A	
4			a	
5				
6			a	
7			a	
8	D		a	
9			a	
10			a	
11				
12				
13		D	D	
14			a	
15	D	A D	a	a
16		a		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	120	26	10	4	0	32	48
		20.6%	21.9%	15.1%	11.0%	.0%	25.1%	23.3%
	1	52	6	6	1	1	8	29
		8.9%	4.6%	9.3%	3.2%	4.9%	6.3%	14.2%
	2	41	4	4	0	0	13	19
		7.1%	3.5%	6.1%	1.2%	.0%	10.3%	9.4%
	3	33	4	2	2	1	6	18
		5.7%	3.3%	3.1%	7.1%	3.4%	5.0%	8.5%
	4	21	7	0	1	0	5	8
		3.6%	5.8%	.0%	3.2%	1.4%	3.7%	3.7%
	5	41	13	2	0	5	6	14
		7.0%	10.5%	3.3%	.9%	17.5%	4.9%	7.0%
	6	29	8	1	2	2	5	10
		4.9%	7.0%	1.8%	6.5%	6.3%	3.8%	5.0%
	7	29	12	5	0	2	4	6
		5.0%	9.7%	8.2%	.0%	5.4%	3.4%	3.0%
	8	30	7	7	3	0	10	3
		5.1%	5.8%	10.8%	7.8%	.0%	7.9%	1.5%
	9	19	7	1	1	2	2	5
		3.2%	5.8%	2.0%	3.8%	7.3%	1.6%	2.4%
	10	22	0	5	2	0	7	7
		3.8%	.1%	7.6%	7.0%	.0%	5.8%	3.6%
	11	23	1	3	5	2	4	9
		4.0%	.5%	4.9%	14.4%	5.9%	3.2%	4.3%
	12	26	5	2	4	1	5	8
		4.4%	4.4%	3.2%	12.1%	2.9%	4.0%	4.1%
	13	23	5	5	2	2	4	4
		4.0%	4.5%	6.9%	7.4%	8.1%	3.4%	2.1%
	14	30	6	6	1	1	4	11
		5.1%	5.1%	9.0%	3.6%	4.3%	3.3%	5.2%
	15	44	9	6	4	9	11	6
		7.5%	7.5%	8.7%	10.9%	32.5%	8.2%	2.7%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0				a		
1				.		
2				a		
3				.		
4		a				
5						
6						
7			a			
8		F		a	F	
9				.		
10		A		a		
11			A	.		
12						
13						
14						
15				A E F		
16	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	0	120	117	3	0	0	0
		20.6%	44.5%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	1	52	43	6	2	0	0
		8.9%	16.5%	8.2%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
	2	41	21	14	6	0	0
		7.1%	8.1%	17.9%	7.2%	.0%	.0%
	3	33	16	14	1	0	0
		5.7%	6.2%	18.4%	.7%	.0%	.0%
	4	21	6	7	2	2	2
		3.6%	2.3%	9.5%	2.6%	3.3%	7.5%
	5	41	14	10	10	2	3
		7.0%	5.2%	12.6%	12.7%	3.8%	12.3%
	6	29	5	7	10	3	3
		4.9%	2.0%	8.8%	12.1%	6.1%	11.9%
	7	29	4	7	9	4	0
		5.0%	1.4%	9.4%	10.5%	8.0%	.0%
	8	30	6	6	7	5	3
		5.1%	2.3%	7.0%	9.2%	11.0%	10.0%
	9	19	3	0	8	3	1
		3.2%	1.3%	.3%	9.7%	5.6%	4.6%
	10	22	5	1	0	8	2
		3.8%	1.7%	1.0%	.6%	17.1%	8.3%
	11	23	6	1	5	3	3
		4.0%	2.3%	1.1%	6.1%	5.4%	13.1%
	12	26	5	0	3	6	1
		4.4%	2.0%	.4%	3.7%	13.8%	2.1%
	13	23	7	0	6	1	2
		4.0%	2.6%	.4%	7.4%	3.1%	6.3%
	14	30	3	0	8	2	2
		5.1%	1.3%	.2%	10.4%	4.1%	6.3%
	15	44	1	1	4	9	5
		7.5%	.3%	1.2%	5.0%	18.8%	17.6%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	23	38	24
	0	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	1	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	2	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	3	0 1.3%	2 4.1%	0 .0%
	4	2 6.9%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	5	2 8.2%	0 .0%	0 .0%
	6	0 .0%	1 1.4%	0 .0%
	7	2 7.0%	3 7.3%	1 6.0%
	8	1 3.9%	2 4.2%	1 2.6%
	9	0 .0%	2 4.1%	2 7.0%
	10	2 8.0%	3 7.2%	2 7.1%
	11	2 8.7%	1 3.8%	2 7.7%
	12	5 22.5%	3 8.4%	2 7.4%
	13	2 9.6%	3 8.9%	1 5.7%
	14	3 14.6%	7 17.3%	4 17.1%
	15	2 9.6%	13 33.4%	10 39.4%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}					
		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	B		a	a	a	a
	1	C	
	2		A		a	a	a
	3		A C		a	a	.
	4				.	.	.
	5						
	6			A			a
	7		A	A		a	.
	8						.
	9			A			a
	10				A B C		.
	11						
	12				A B		A B
	13						
	14			A			A B
	15			A	A B	A B	A
	16		a	a	a	a	a

		Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{b,c}	
		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	a	a
	1	.	a
	2	.	a
	3	.	a
	4	a	a
	5	a	a
	6	.	a
	7		.
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14	A B	A B
	15	A B C	A B C
	16	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	0	120	3	18	12	7	0	3	11	16	8
		20.6%	43.5%	10.6%	29.0%	15.3%	.0%	20.8%	25.0%	16.8%	11.5%
	1	52	0	21	2	7	0	3	0	5	8
		8.9%	.0%	12.2%	5.7%	15.5%	.0%	24.2%	.6%	4.9%	11.1%
	2	41	0	8	3	8	0	0	3	7	10
		7.1%	.0%	4.8%	6.2%	16.7%	.0%	.0%	7.1%	6.8%	13.0%
	3	33	1	13	2	3	1	0	1	6	5
		5.7%	9.0%	7.6%	4.3%	7.0%	3.5%	.0%	2.4%	6.3%	7.0%
	4	21	0	7	4	0	0	1	0	3	4
		3.6%	.0%	4.1%	8.5%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	1.0%	2.9%	6.0%
	5	41	0	17	1	0	5	2	2	6	6
		7.0%	.0%	9.9%	2.5%	.0%	32.4%	12.6%	5.2%	6.1%	8.3%
	6	29	1	9	1	3	0	0	3	4	8
		4.9%	9.9%	5.0%	2.0%	5.5%	.0%	1.1%	7.6%	3.8%	10.3%
	7	29	0	9	5	4	0	0	3	3	3
		5.0%	.0%	5.4%	12.4%	8.8%	.0%	1.1%	7.2%	2.9%	4.3%
	8	30	0	13	4	0	2	0	1	7	0
		5.1%	.0%	7.3%	10.0%	.0%	9.2%	.0%	2.1%	6.8%	.0%
	9	19	0	5	1	1	0	0	3	6	1
		3.2%	.0%	2.7%	2.9%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	7.9%	6.3%	1.4%
	10	22	0	9	3	3	0	0	1	5	0
		3.8%	.0%	5.4%	6.0%	6.7%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	5.0%	.0%
	11	23	0	8	0	1	1	2	1	3	6
		4.0%	.0%	4.8%	.2%	2.3%	5.8%	14.2%	1.6%	3.2%	7.8%
	12	26	1	10	0	1	1	0	1	3	4
		4.4%	12.0%	5.9%	.2%	1.3%	8.4%	.0%	2.7%	3.6%	5.3%
	13	23	0	12	2	1	1	1	3	4	1
		4.0%	.0%	6.7%	3.9%	2.6%	5.4%	6.5%	6.5%	4.0%	.9%
	14	30	0	5	1	4	1	0	2	10	5
		5.1%	.0%	2.9%	3.3%	8.1%	8.4%	.0%	4.3%	10.4%	6.4%
	15	44	2	8	1	4	4	2	7	10	5
		7.5%	25.7%	4.7%	2.8%	8.6%	26.9%	11.7%	15.9%	10.2%	6.8%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	64	2	5
	0	38	1	2
		59.3%	46.3%	41.4%
	1	4	0	0
		6.9%	.0%	.0%
	2	3	0	0
		5.1%	.0%	.0%
	3	2	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%
	4	2	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	5	1	0	0
		1.6%	.0%	6.5%
	6	2	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	7	1	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%
	8	3	0	1
		5.4%	.0%	9.6%
	9	2	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	1	0
		.0%	53.7%	.0%
	11	1	0	0
		2.3%	.0%	.0%
	12	3	0	1
		4.7%	.0%	21.3%
	13	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%
	14	2	0	0
		2.5%	.0%	.0%
	15	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	21.3%
	16	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0					a					B D G H I
	1	a				a					
	2	a				a	a				
	3						a				
	4	a			a	a					
	5	a			a	C H J					
	6					a					
	7	a				a					
	8	a			a		a			a	
	9	a				a	a				
	10	a				a	a			a	
	11	a									
	12						a				
	13	a					a				a
	14	a									
	15			a	a	B	a	a	a		a
	16	a				a		a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0		
	1	a	a
	2	a	a
	3	a	a
	4	a	a
	5	a	
	6	a	a
	7	a	a
	8	a	
	9	a	a
	10	G	a
	11	a	a
	12	a	
	13	a	a
	14	a	
	15	a	a
	16		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	464	118
	0	120	88	32
		20.6%	19.0%	27.2%
	1	52	43	9
		8.9%	9.3%	7.2%
	2	41	29	12
		7.1%	6.3%	9.9%
	3	33	26	8
		5.7%	5.5%	6.5%
	4	21	16	5
		3.6%	3.5%	4.1%
	5	41	34	6
		7.0%	7.4%	5.5%
	6	29	21	8
		4.9%	4.4%	6.9%
	7	29	23	7
		5.0%	4.9%	5.5%
	8	30	24	6
		5.1%	5.1%	5.3%
	9	19	14	5
		3.2%	3.0%	4.1%
	10	22	19	3
		3.8%	4.2%	2.4%
	11	23	20	3
		4.0%	4.4%	2.4%
	12	26	24	2
		4.4%	5.1%	1.6%
	13	23	19	4
		4.0%	4.1%	3.6%
	14	30	26	3
		5.1%	5.6%	2.9%
	15	44	38	6
		7.5%	8.2%	4.9%
	16	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0		A
	1		
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13		
	14		
	15		a
	16		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	347	235
	0	120	0	120
		20.6%	.0%	51.1%
	1	52	22	30
		8.9%	6.2%	12.7%
	2	41	21	20
		7.1%	6.1%	8.4%
	3	33	19	14
		5.7%	5.4%	6.1%
	4	21	14	7
		3.6%	4.0%	3.0%
	5	41	30	11
		7.0%	8.5%	4.7%
	6	29	20	9
		4.9%	5.8%	3.6%
	7	29	19	11
		5.0%	5.4%	4.5%
	8	30	27	3
		5.1%	7.7%	1.4%
	9	19	15	3
		3.2%	4.4%	1.5%
	10	22	19	4
		3.8%	5.4%	1.5%
	11	23	23	1
		4.0%	6.5%	.3%
	12	26	25	1
		4.4%	7.2%	.3%
	13	23	22	2
		4.0%	6.3%	.7%
	14	30	30	0
		5.1%	8.5%	.0%
	15	44	44	0
		7.5%	12.6%	.0%
	16	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	a	
	1	.	A
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8	B	
	9		
	10	B	
	11	B	
	12	B	
	13	B	
	14		a
	15		a
	16		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	120 20.6%	5 41.8%	22 44.2%	17 18.2%	40 30.3%	26 15.7%
	1	52 8.9%	6 54.7%	5 9.2%	18 18.8%	8 5.8%	11 6.5%
	2	41 7.1%	0 2.7%	5 9.5%	7 7.1%	11 8.0%	17 10.5%
	3	33 5.7%	0 .0%	4 7.5%	7 7.0%	8 6.3%	8 4.9%
	4	21 3.6%	0 .0%	0 .7%	3 3.6%	6 4.2%	9 5.4%
	5	41 7.0%	0 .0%	3 6.8%	14 14.8%	4 2.7%	11 6.9%
	6	29 4.9%	0 .0%	1 1.6%	7 7.1%	8 6.1%	7 4.4%
	7	29 5.0%	0 .0%	2 4.9%	5 5.3%	8 5.8%	11 6.8%
	8	30 5.1%	0 .0%	1 2.0%	8 8.1%	6 4.6%	5 2.9%
	9	19 3.2%	0 .0%	1 1.2%	1 1.5%	5 4.1%	8 4.6%
	10	22 3.8%	0 .0%	2 4.1%	1 1.4%	6 4.8%	4 2.2%
	11	23 4.0%	0 .0%	2 4.8%	3 3.2%	3 1.9%	9 5.4%
	12	26 4.4%	0 .0%	0 1.0%	1 1.3%	4 3.0%	7 4.5%
	13	23 4.0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%	6 4.2%	10 6.4%
	14	30 5.1%	0 .0%	1 1.3%	3 2.8%	4 2.8%	11 6.8%
	15	44 7.5%	0 .9%	0 .7%	0 .0%	7 5.3%	10 6.3%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .6%	0 .0%	0 .0%	0 .0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	133	1
	0	11 8.4%	0 .0%
	1	5 3.9%	0 .0%
	2	2 1.3%	0 .0%
	3	7 5.1%	0 .0%
	4	3 2.1%	0 .0%
	5	9 6.5%	0 .0%
	6	6 4.2%	1 100.0%
	7	3 2.4%	0 .0%
	8	11 7.9%	0 .0%
	9	4 2.7%	0 .0%
	10	9 6.9%	0 .0%
	11	7 4.9%	0 .0%
	12	13 9.6%	0 .0%
	13	7 5.6%	0 .0%
	14	12 8.7%	0 .0%
	15	26 19.6%	0 .0%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	F	C E F		E F	
	1	B D E F		D E F		
	2					F
	3	.b				
	4	.b				
	5	.b		D		
	6	.b				
	7	.b				
	8	.b				
	9	.b				
	10	.b				
	11	.b				
	12	.b				
	13	.b	.b	.b		
	14	.b		.b		
	15	.b		.b	.b	.b
	16	.b		.b		.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
		(F)	(G)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0		a,,b
	1		a,,b
	2		a,,b
	3		a,,b
	4		a,,b
	5		a,,b
	6		a,,b
	7		a,,b
	8		a,,b
	9		a,,b
	10		a,,b
	11		a,,b
	12		a,,b
	13		a,,b
	14		a,,b
	15	B D E	a,,b
	16	.b	a,,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	0	120	1	46	33	21	17	3
		20.7%	1.4%	21.8%	23.2%	18.3%	29.1%	26.7%
	1	51	3	22	2	16	7	0
		8.8%	6.9%	10.6%	1.7%	14.2%	12.3%	.0%
	2	41	2	10	10	10	10	1
		7.1%	3.7%	4.8%	6.8%	8.4%	16.5%	5.7%
	3	33	3	8	9	7	2	4
		5.7%	7.4%	3.9%	6.4%	6.2%	2.9%	34.3%
	4	21	1	4	7	6	3	0
		3.6%	2.6%	1.7%	4.9%	5.5%	4.7%	1.4%
	5	41	0	18	12	9	1	1
		7.0%	.0%	8.4%	8.4%	8.1%	1.8%	9.0%
	6	29	2	7	8	4	6	2
		4.9%	5.0%	3.5%	5.5%	3.2%	10.0%	15.6%
	7	29	4	8	7	6	4	0
		5.0%	9.7%	3.6%	4.8%	5.3%	7.6%	.0%
	8	30	1	15	10	4	0	0
		5.2%	1.7%	7.1%	7.2%	3.4%	.0%	2.4%
	9	19	1	6	2	7	2	0
		3.2%	1.8%	2.7%	1.7%	6.5%	4.2%	.0%
	10	22	0	9	10	3	0	0
		3.8%	.3%	4.4%	6.9%	2.8%	.0%	.0%
	11	23	4	9	6	4	1	0
		4.0%	8.3%	4.2%	4.0%	3.3%	1.8%	1.9%
	12	26	4	7	5	6	2	0
		4.4%	9.5%	3.4%	3.9%	5.2%	4.2%	2.9%
	13	23	2	8	7	6	0	0
		4.0%	4.1%	4.0%	5.2%	4.9%	.5%	.0%
	14	29	6	13	8	2	0	0
		5.1%	13.7%	6.2%	5.6%	2.0%	.4%	.0%
	15	42	11	21	5	3	2	0
		7.3%	23.9%	9.9%	3.7%	2.9%	3.9%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0		A	A		A	A
	1		C		C	C	a
	2					B	
	3						B C D E
	4	a					
	5						
	6						a
	7						.
	8					a	.
	9					.	a
	10					a	a
	11					.	.
	12						a
	13						a
	14	D					a
	15	C D E				a	a
	16	a		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	120	0	3	42	0	27
		20.6%	.0%	100.0%	22.1%	.0%	25.3%
	1	52	0	0	22	0	6
		8.9%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	.0%	5.3%
	2	41	0	0	21	0	6
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	11.1%	.0%	5.6%
	3	33	0	0	6	0	7
		5.7%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	7.1%
	4	21	0	0	7	0	6
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	5.4%
	5	41	0	0	10	1	10
		7.0%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	48.8%	9.8%
	6	29	0	0	7	0	8
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	7.5%
	7	29	1	0	10	1	12
		5.0%	50.0%	.0%	5.2%	51.2%	11.5%
	8	30	0	0	13	0	3
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	6.9%	.0%	2.6%
	9	19	0	0	4	0	4
		3.2%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	.0%	3.7%
	10	22	0	0	5	0	6
		3.8%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	5.7%
	11	23	0	0	11	0	4
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	5.7%	.0%	3.6%
	12	26	0	0	7	0	2
		4.4%	.0%	.0%	3.7%	.0%	1.8%
	13	23	1	0	8	0	4
		4.0%	50.0%	.0%	4.2%	.0%	4.0%
	14	30	0	0	8	0	1
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	4.4%	.0%	1.0%
	15	44	0	0	9	0	0
7.5%		.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	0	0	11	3	9	2
		.0%	45.1%	40.9%	63.9%	16.3%
	1	0	4	1	0	4
		.0%	15.3%	17.2%	.0%	38.2%
	2	3	3	0	0	0
		18.4%	13.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	2	0	0	0	0
		9.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	0	0	0	0	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.4%
	5	3	3	0	0	1
		17.4%	13.1%	.0%	.0%	12.0%
	6	0	0	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	.0%
	7	2	0	0	0	0
		16.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	8	1	2	0	0	0
		9.4%	6.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	9	0	0	0	2	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	12.0%
	10	1	0	0	0	1
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.2%
	11	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	.0%
	12	1	0	0	2	0
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%
	13	0	0	1	0	0
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	.0%
	14	1	2	1	0	0
		7.1%	6.9%	17.2%	.0%	.0%
	15	1	0	0	0	0
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	0	12	5	2	0	5
		7.9%	20.6%	20.4%	6.6%	26.4%
	1	10	3	0	0	1
		7.1%	14.7%	.0%	3.6%	6.4%
	2	7	0	0	0	1
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.7%
	3	9	5	2	2	0
		6.0%	19.6%	17.1%	41.6%	1.6%
	4	4	0	0	0	3
		2.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	14.9%
	5	8	3	0	0	1
		5.1%	12.3%	2.7%	.0%	7.9%
	6	9	2	2	0	0
		6.0%	6.8%	14.9%	.0%	.0%
	7	2	0	0	0	0
		1.3%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	8	7	1	0	0	3
		4.8%	2.8%	3.8%	4.5%	15.2%
	9	6	1	0	0	1
		4.1%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	4.4%
	10	7	0	0	0	2
		4.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.9%
	11	6	1	0	0	0
		3.9%	4.6%	3.8%	.0%	2.2%
	12	11	1	2	0	1
		7.2%	2.4%	16.4%	6.6%	3.3%
	13	5	1	1	1	0
		3.5%	5.1%	9.7%	18.8%	.0%
	14	14	1	0	0	0
		9.6%	4.6%	2.2%	6.6%	.0%
	15	31	1	1	0	0
		21.0%	2.8%	9.1%	8.1%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0
		.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	a	a	K	a	K
	1	a	a		a	
	2	a	a		a	
	3	a	a		a	
	4	a	a		a	
	5	a	a			
	6	a	a		a	
	7	C K	a		K a	K
	8	a	a			
	9	a	a		a	
	10	a	a		a	
	11	a	a		a	
	12	a	a		a	
	13	C E K	a		a	
	14	a	a		a	
	15	a	a		a	a
	16	a	a	a	a	a

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	a	K		C K	
	1	a			a	E K
	2			a	a	a
	3		a	a	a	a
	4	a	a	a	a	
	5			a	a	
	6	a	a	a		a
	7	a	a	a	a	a
	8	K		a	a	a
	9	a	a	a		
	10		a	a	a	
	11	a	a		a	a
	12		a	a		a
	13	a	a		a	a
	14				a	a
	15		a	a	a	a
	16	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group				
	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
0					
1		a	a	a	
2		.	.	.	
3		C		C, K	
4		a	a	a	
5		.	.	a	a
6				.	a
7		a	a	.	a
8		.		.	.
9			a	a	
10		a	a	a	
11		.	.	a	
12				.	a
13					a
14					a
15	C	a	a	a	a
16	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	0	70	22	36	5	4	2
		26.2%	21.6%	32.0%	17.7%	33.4%	27.8%
	1	22	9	9	4	0	0
		8.2%	8.7%	7.7%	13.2%	.0%	.0%
	2	15	6	3	5	1	0
		5.8%	5.7%	2.5%	16.7%	13.4%	.0%
	3	14	4	10	0	0	0
		5.3%	3.6%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	11	4	6	0	0	0
		4.1%	4.1%	5.5%	1.3%	.0%	1.8%
	5	21	13	5	0	1	2
		8.0%	12.3%	4.7%	.8%	10.7%	21.8%
	6	18	6	8	5	0	1
		6.9%	5.4%	6.8%	14.7%	.9%	6.6%
	7	19	8	8	1	3	0
		7.3%	7.4%	7.3%	2.8%	24.7%	.0%
	8	10	6	2	2	0	0
		3.7%	5.9%	1.7%	5.9%	.0%	.0%
	9	11	4	3	3	1	0
		4.1%	3.9%	2.8%	9.2%	7.2%	.0%
	10	10	6	3	0	0	1
		3.7%	5.8%	2.5%	.0%	.0%	11.8%
	11	8	2	2	2	1	1
		3.1%	1.7%	2.2%	7.0%	9.6%	9.8%
	12	9	2	6	0	0	1
		3.5%	2.0%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	11.5%
	13	5	2	2	1	0	0
		2.0%	2.1%	2.1%	2.7%	.0%	.0%
	14	11	6	3	2	0	0
		4.2%	5.6%	2.8%	8.0%	.0%	.0%
	15	10	4	5	0	0	1
		3.9%	4.1%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	8.8%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0					
1				a	a
2			B	a	a
3			a	a	a
4				a	
5					
6					
7					a
8				a	a
9					a
10			a	a	
11					
12			a	a	
13				a	a
14				a	a
15			a	a	
16		a	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	0	63	18	38	5	0	2
	1	29	9	19	1	0	0
	2	7.5%	8.3%	8.3%	3.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	26	7	15	4	0	0
	4	6.8%	6.4%	6.7%	12.3%	.0%	.0%
	5	20	3	12	4	0	2
	6	5.3%	2.7%	5.5%	10.5%	.0%	14.9%
	7	14	7	5	2	0	0
	8	3.7%	6.2%	2.2%	7.0%	.0%	1.5%
	9	24	7	13	2	1	0
	10	6.3%	6.6%	6.0%	6.4%	23.3%	3.1%
	11	16	6	9	2	0	0
	12	4.3%	5.7%	3.8%	4.7%	2.1%	.0%
	13	18	6	7	2	1	1
	14	4.7%	5.7%	3.3%	4.7%	32.5%	11.0%
	15	15	1	12	0	0	2
	16	4.0%	.6%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	21.5%
	17	14	4	6	4	0	0
	18	3.6%	3.3%	2.8%	12.0%	.0%	.0%
	19	18	5	10	1	1	1
	20	4.7%	4.4%	4.4%	3.5%	24.6%	9.4%
	21	19	8	11	0	0	0
	22	5.0%	7.1%	5.1%	.0%	.0%	2.0%
	23	22	9	12	0	0	1
	24	5.8%	8.1%	5.5%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
	25	17	2	15	0	0	0
	26	4.4%	1.8%	6.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	27	26	8	16	2	0	1
	28	6.9%	7.0%	7.2%	5.0%	.0%	9.8%
	29	39	10	23	5	1	0
	30	10.3%	9.6%	10.3%	15.0%	17.5%	1.4%
	31	0	0	0	0	0	0
	32	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
0				a	
1				a	a
2				a	a
3				a	
4				a	
5				.	
6					a
7				B	.
8			a	a	A
9			B	a	a
10					
11			a	a	
12			a	a	
13			a	a	a
14				a	
15					
16	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	0	120	89	7	18	2	0	13	0
		20.6%	19.8%	25.7%	19.0%	26.8%	24.3%	29.4%	3.5%
	1	52	35	5	11	1	0	1	0
		8.9%	7.8%	19.7%	11.5%	15.8%	.0%	2.6%	3.5%
	2	41	24	1	16	0	0	3	3
		7.1%	5.3%	5.6%	16.8%	3.2%	.0%	6.1%	42.6%
	3	33	31	1	4	0	1	1	0
		5.7%	6.8%	4.4%	4.7%	.0%	69.2%	2.8%	.0%
	4	21	13	0	2	0	0	4	0
		3.6%	3.0%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	8.0%	.0%
	5	41	34	1	6	0	0	3	0
		7.0%	7.6%	4.0%	6.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%
	6	29	21	1	4	0	0	4	0
		4.9%	4.6%	3.1%	4.8%	.0%	.0%	10.0%	.0%
	7	29	22	3	3	3	0	6	0
		5.0%	5.0%	10.2%	3.7%	39.7%	.0%	14.6%	.0%
	8	30	25	0	3	0	0	0	1
		5.1%	5.5%	.0%	3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	21.7%
	9	19	14	1	1	0	0	3	0
		3.2%	3.2%	3.5%	1.0%	.0%	.0%	6.3%	.0%
	10	22	16	2	3	0	0	2	0
		3.8%	3.6%	5.9%	3.6%	.0%	.0%	5.0%	.0%
	11	23	19	1	9	0	0	2	0
		4.0%	4.2%	4.4%	9.2%	.0%	.0%	4.7%	1.4%
	12	26	18	0	5	0	0	1	1
		4.4%	4.0%	.0%	5.4%	.0%	.0%	2.7%	15.6%
	13	23	22	0	3	1	0	0	0
		4.0%	5.0%	.0%	3.3%	13.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	14	30	22	1	2	0	0	0	1
		5.1%	4.9%	4.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%
	15	44	43	2	3	0	0	0	0
7.5%		9.5%	9.1%	3.4%	1.3%	6.5%	.2%	.0%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	10	14	1	0	3	9	12	0
		45.9%	28.9%	8.1%	.0%	26.7%	63.9%	22.1%	4.9%
	1	4	2	1	0	1	0	5	0
		17.2%	5.2%	7.6%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	9.8%	.0%
	2	3	4	2	0	0	0	3	0
		15.0%	8.4%	14.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.8%	.0%
	3	0	3	2	0	0	0	9	2
		.0%	6.6%	12.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	16.1%	24.9%
	4	0	4	2	0	0	0	5	0
		.0%	7.9%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	.0%
	5	3	4	0	0	1	0	7	1
		14.7%	9.3%	.0%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	12.4%	20.8%
	6	0	0	0	0	2	2	2	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%	12.6%	4.3%	8.9%
	7	0	1	0	0	1	0	4	0
		.0%	3.0%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	7.4%	.0%
	8	2	4	1	0	0	0	0	0
		7.2%	7.5%	4.9%	.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	4.3%
	9	0	2	1	0	0	2	0	0
		.0%	4.7%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	1
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	15.7%
	11	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0
		.0%	1.9%	.0%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	2.3%	.0%
	12	0	2	0	0	0	2	2	1
		.0%	4.8%	1.1%	25.0%	.0%	11.7%	2.8%	20.5%
	13	0	0	1	0	1	0	2	0
		.0%	.0%	7.3%	.0%	8.4%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	14	0	4	2	0	1	0	1	0
.0%		8.5%	14.0%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	
15	0	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	3.1%	11.5%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.5%	.0%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0					a			
	1					a,,b			
	2			A		a,,b		A	
	3				,b	a		,b	,b
	4		,b		,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	5				,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	6				,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	7				A C I	a,,b		,b	,b
	8		,b		,b	a,,b	,b		
	9				,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	10				,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	11				,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	12		,b		,b	a,,b			,b
	13		,b			a,,b		,b	,b
	14				,b	a,,b	,b		,b
	15					a		,b	,b
	16		,b	,b	,b	a,,b	,b	,b	,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0			a,,b		A C		
	1			a,,b		,b		,b
	2			a,,b	,b	,b		,b
	3			a,,b	,b	,b		
	4			a,,b	,b	,b		,b
	5		,b	a,,b		,b		
	6	,b	,b	a,,b		,b		
	7		,b	a,,b		,b		,b
	8			a,,b	,b	,b	,b	
	9			a,,b	,b	,b		
	10	,b	,b	a,,b	,b	,b		
	11		,b	a,,b		,b		,b
	12			a	,b			
	13	,b		a,,b		,b		,b
	14			a,,b		,b		,b
	15			a	,b	,b		,b
	16	,b	,b	a,,b	,b	,b	,b	,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	0	120	6	11	3	9	3	11
		20.6%	10.5%	22.1%	11.5%	25.3%	9.6%	25.6%
	1	52	7	4	3	7	0	5
		8.9%	11.1%	6.8%	9.5%	20.2%	.6%	11.7%
	2	41	7	3	0	2	8	5
		7.1%	10.9%	4.8%	.0%	4.3%	25.6%	11.7%
	3	33	5	4	1	2	1	0
		5.7%	8.9%	7.3%	4.2%	6.2%	3.9%	.0%
	4	21	0	2	0	0	2	2
		3.6%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	5.0%
	5	41	0	4	3	0	1	1
		7.0%	.0%	8.5%	8.7%	.0%	3.4%	2.5%
	6	29	1	6	5	2	1	3
		4.9%	1.4%	11.4%	17.1%	4.3%	2.5%	6.2%
	7	29	6	0	0	0	2	0
		5.0%	9.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%
	8	30	4	0	0	3	4	5
		5.1%	7.2%	.0%	.0%	8.3%	12.2%	12.5%
	9	19	1	2	1	0	0	0
		3.2%	1.4%	3.4%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	22	1	0	2	2	2	2
		3.8%	1.8%	.0%	7.4%	4.6%	6.9%	4.9%
	11	23	3	4	0	1	2	1
		4.0%	5.4%	7.0%	.0%	3.1%	5.7%	3.1%
	12	26	4	3	1	3	1	2
		4.4%	6.7%	6.2%	4.7%	7.0%	3.5%	3.8%
	13	23	3	1	0	3	1	1
		4.0%	4.4%	2.0%	.5%	8.3%	3.4%	3.0%
	14	30	6	2	5	1	2	1
		5.1%	9.6%	3.3%	18.7%	3.3%	5.8%	1.6%
	15	44	7	7	4	2	2	3
		7.5%	11.1%	14.0%	14.8%	5.0%	6.7%	8.5%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	38	34	132	126
	0	14	3	27	32
		36.5%	9.7%	20.4%	25.1%
	1	3	3	14	6
		7.8%	8.1%	10.8%	4.8%
	2	2	5	4	8
		4.2%	13.9%	2.7%	6.0%
	3	4	3	4	8
		11.6%	9.5%	2.8%	6.4%
	4	3	6	6	1
		7.3%	17.2%	4.6%	.7%
	5	4	0	18	9
		11.0%	1.2%	13.9%	6.8%
	6	2	0	4	6
		4.5%	.0%	3.1%	4.9%
	7	0	4	11	7
		.0%	13.2%	8.1%	5.2%
	8	0	0	8	5
		1.1%	.9%	6.1%	3.6%
	9	2	2	7	3
		5.8%	7.3%	5.6%	2.5%
	10	0	0	4	9
		.0%	.7%	3.0%	7.1%
	11	0	1	5	7
		.0%	2.7%	3.4%	5.2%
	12	1	3	4	4
		3.4%	9.0%	2.9%	2.8%
	13	1	0	7	6
		2.7%	.3%	5.1%	5.0%
	14	1	0	4	7
		3.7%	1.0%	3.0%	5.7%
	15	0	2	6	10
		.4%	4.6%	4.5%	8.1%
	16	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.9%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0							
	1							
	2			a		I J		
	3			a			a	
	4	a		a	a		.	
	5	a		.	a			
	6							
	7		a	a	a		a	a
	8		a	a	.		.	.
	9			.	a	a	a	
	10		a		.	.	.	a
	11		.	a				a
	12			.				.
	13							
	14			I				
	15	a	a	a	a	a	a	a
	16

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0			
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4	J		
	5			
	6	a		
	7	.		
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15		a	a
	16		.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	582	167	153	262
	0	120	31	48	42
		20.6%	18.3%	31.2%	15.9%
	1	52	11	14	26
		8.9%	6.7%	9.2%	10.0%
	2	41	12	18	10
		7.1%	7.4%	12.1%	3.9%
	3	33	6	14	14
		5.7%	3.5%	9.0%	5.2%
	4	21	2	4	15
		3.6%	.9%	2.7%	5.8%
	5	41	6	10	25
		7.0%	3.4%	6.2%	9.7%
	6	29	9	9	11
		4.9%	5.2%	6.0%	4.1%
	7	29	7	5	16
		5.0%	4.4%	3.5%	6.3%
	8	30	8	5	17
		5.1%	4.5%	3.3%	6.6%
	9	19	5	5	9
		3.2%	2.8%	3.0%	3.6%
	10	22	7	3	12
		3.8%	4.1%	2.1%	4.6%
	11	23	7	4	12
		4.0%	4.5%	2.7%	4.4%
	12	26	10	3	13
		4.4%	6.1%	1.8%	4.8%
	13	23	5	2	17
		4.0%	2.9%	1.2%	6.4%
	14	30	16	7	7
		5.1%	9.5%	4.4%	2.7%
	15	44	26	2	15
		7.5%	15.7%	1.4%	5.8%
	16	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
0		A C	
1			
2		C	
3			
4			A
5			A
6			
7			
8			
9			
10			
11			
12			
13			B
14	C		
15	B C		
16	.	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	1	52	52	0	0	0
		11.2%	40.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	2	41	41	0	0	0
		8.9%	32.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	33	33	0	0	0
		7.2%	26.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	21	0	21	0	0
		4.5%	.0%	23.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	41	0	41	0	0
		8.8%	.0%	45.2%	.0%	.0%
	6	29	0	29	0	0
		6.2%	.0%	31.8%	.0%	.0%
	7	29	0	0	29	0
		6.3%	.0%	.0%	37.6%	.0%
	8	30	0	0	30	0
		6.5%	.0%	.0%	38.4%	.0%
	9	19	0	0	19	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	24.0%	.0%
	10	22	0	0	0	22
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.3%
	11	23	0	0	0	23
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%
	12	26	0	0	0	26
		5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.3%
	13	23	0	0	0	23
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.9%
	14	30	0	0	0	30
		6.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.6%
	15	44	0	0	0	44
		9.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%	26.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%

b,c
Comparisons of Column Proportions

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
1		a	a	a
2		a	a	a
3		a	a	a
4	a		a	a
5	a		a	a
6	a		a	a
7	a	a		a
8	a	a		a
9	a	a		a
10	a	a	a	
11	a	a	a	
12	a	a	a	
13	a	a	a	
14	a	a	a	
15	a	a	a	
16	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	0	120	20	29	1	3	31	31
		20.7%	16.2%	24.6%	1.6%	7.1%	24.4%	27.9%
	1	52	7	10	0	3	15	15
		8.9%	5.6%	8.3%	.0%	8.5%	12.1%	13.1%
	2	41	1	11	0	1	20	4
		7.1%	1.0%	9.2%	.7%	3.6%	16.0%	3.4%
	3	33	3	4	3	0	11	9
		5.7%	2.3%	3.6%	7.4%	.7%	8.7%	8.2%
	4	21	7	2	0	2	5	4
		3.6%	5.8%	1.9%	1.0%	6.0%	3.7%	3.4%
	5	40	9	10	2	4	8	7
		6.9%	7.0%	8.0%	5.7%	10.5%	6.2%	6.0%
	6	29	6	6	4	1	5	7
		5.0%	4.6%	5.3%	9.1%	3.5%	3.7%	6.1%
	7	29	12	7	1	0	5	3
		5.1%	10.0%	5.7%	3.6%	.2%	4.1%	2.9%
	8	30	11	11	4	1	1	3
		5.2%	9.1%	8.9%	9.7%	2.2%	.4%	2.5%
	9	19	3	5	2	3	2	3
		3.2%	2.7%	4.2%	6.1%	7.3%	1.9%	2.2%
	10	22	7	2	3	0	5	6
		3.8%	5.4%	1.5%	7.0%	.2%	3.8%	5.5%
	11	23	2	4	3	3	3	7
		4.0%	2.0%	3.0%	7.5%	8.2%	2.6%	6.1%
	12	25	5	4	2	4	3	6
		4.3%	3.9%	3.6%	3.9%	9.9%	2.5%	5.3%
	13	22	5	4	3	4	2	2
		3.9%	4.1%	3.5%	7.4%	9.3%	1.5%	2.2%
	14	30	13	1	1	3	8	3
		5.1%	10.3%	1.2%	3.0%	7.9%	5.9%	3.0%
	15	44	12	9	10	6	3	2
7.5%		9.9%	7.5%	26.0%	14.8%	2.6%	2.0%	
16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	Total	7	9
	0	1 15.7%	4 41.3%
	1	0 2.2%	1 11.4%
	2	2 22.7%	1 15.8%
	3	0 .0%	3 29.1%
	4	0 .0%	0 .0%
	5	0 4.1%	0 .0%
	6	0 .0%	0 .0%
	7	0 .0%	0 .0%
	8	0 .0%	0 .0%
	9	0 .0%	0 .0%
	10	0 .0%	0 .0%
	11	1 11.2%	0 .0%
	12	1 11.2%	0 .0%
	13	2 30.9%	0 .0%
	14	0 .0%	0 .0%
	15	0 2.2%	0 2.4%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0		C			C	C	
	1			a				A
	2			.		A F		a
	3							a
	4							.
	5							a
	6							a
	7							.
	8	E	E	E				a
	9							a
	10							a
	11							.
	12							
	13							B E F
	14	B						a
	15			E F				.
	16		a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
N. Times Voted in Last Elections	0	C
	1	
	2	
	3	A B D
	4	a
	5	a
	6	a
	7	a
	8	a
	9	a
	10	a
	11	a
	12	a
	13	a
	14	.
	15	a
	16	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	582
	0	191 32.9%	191 32.9%
	1	67 11.5%	67 11.5%
	2	30 5.1%	30 5.1%
	3	27 4.7%	27 4.7%
	4	28 4.7%	28 4.7%
	5	40 6.9%	40 6.9%
	6	21 3.5%	21 3.5%
	7	18 3.1%	18 3.1%
	8	12 2.1%	12 2.1%
	9	18 3.1%	18 3.1%
	10	26 4.5%	26 4.5%
	11	19 3.3%	19 3.3%
	12	17 2.9%	17 2.9%
	13	23 4.0%	23 4.0%
	14	16 2.7%	16 2.7%
	15	29 4.9%	29 4.9%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
O. Absentee Voter	0	.
	1	.
	2	.
	3	.
	4	.
	5	.
	6	.
	7	.
	8	.
	9	.
	10	.
	11	.
	12	.
	13	.
	14	.
	15	.
	16	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	281	298	3
	0	191	103	88	0
		32.9%	36.6%	29.7%	.0%
	1	67	30	37	0
		11.5%	10.7%	12.4%	.0%
	2	30	14	16	0
		5.1%	4.9%	5.3%	.0%
	3	27	17	11	0
		4.7%	5.9%	3.6%	.0%
	4	28	19	9	0
		4.7%	6.6%	3.0%	.0%
	5	40	13	26	1
		6.9%	4.7%	8.7%	34.4%
	6	21	8	13	0
		3.5%	2.8%	4.3%	.0%
	7	18	7	11	0
		3.1%	2.6%	3.7%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
O. Absentee Voter	0			a
	1			a
	2			a
	3			a
	4	B		a
	5			
	6			a
	7			a
	8			a
	9			a
	10			A
	11			A B
	12			a
	13			a
	14			a
	15			a
	16	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	0	191	54	43	43	34	18	0
		32.9%	69.7%	42.3%	30.2%	22.3%	18.0%	.0%
	1	67	7	19	15	15	11	0
		11.5%	9.7%	18.5%	10.7%	9.6%	10.9%	.0%
	2	30	8	3	7	7	3	2
		5.1%	10.4%	2.5%	4.7%	4.8%	3.0%	24.3%
	3	27	2	10	7	7	2	0
		4.7%	2.6%	9.7%	4.7%	4.7%	1.7%	.0%
	4	28	2	3	10	8	4	1
		4.7%	2.0%	2.8%	7.2%	4.9%	4.4%	11.8%
	5	40	2	10	14	12	2	0
		6.9%	2.0%	9.9%	9.8%	8.2%	2.1%	.0%
	6	21	0	4	10	4	3	0
		3.5%	.0%	4.3%	6.8%	2.5%	2.7%	.0%
	7	18	0	0	9	6	3	0
		3.1%	.0%	.0%	6.2%	4.2%	3.1%	.0%
	8	12	0	3	1	7	0	0
		2.1%	.0%	3.2%	.8%	4.9%	.1%	.0%
	9	18	0	0	5	7	7	0
		3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	4.3%	6.7%	.0%
	10	26	0	4	4	8	7	2
		4.5%	.0%	4.4%	3.1%	5.4%	6.9%	24.9%
	11	19	0	0	3	10	6	0
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	2.2%	6.5%	6.2%	.0%
	12	17	0	2	2	6	5	2
		2.9%	.0%	2.4%	1.7%	3.7%	4.5%	19.6%
	13	23	3	0	3	8	10	0
		4.0%	3.6%	.0%	2.1%	5.0%	9.6%	.0%
	14	16	0	0	2	7	7	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	4.5%	7.3%	.0%
	15	29	0	0	7	7	13	2
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	5.2%	4.3%	12.8%	19.3%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Age					
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
O. Absentee Voter	0	B C D E	D E				a
	1						a
	2						B
	3						a
	4						
	5						a
	6	a					a
	7	a					a
	8	a					a
	9	a	a				a
	10	a					C
	11	a					a
	12	a					C
	13		a				a
	14	a	a			C	a
	15	a	a				
	16	a	a	a		a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	424	158
	0	191	125	67
		32.9%	29.4%	42.3%
	1	67	41	26
		11.5%	9.6%	16.6%
	2	30	21	9
		5.1%	4.9%	5.5%
	3	27	17	10
		4.7%	4.1%	6.3%
	4	28	21	7
		4.7%	4.9%	4.4%
	5	40	32	8
		6.9%	7.6%	5.0%
	6	21	19	2
		3.5%	4.4%	1.1%
	7	18	16	2
		3.1%	3.9%	1.2%
	8	12	10	2
		2.1%	2.4%	1.1%
	9	18	15	3
		3.1%	3.6%	1.8%
	10	26	20	6
		4.5%	4.8%	3.7%
	11	19	13	7
		3.3%	3.0%	4.3%
	12	17	12	4
		2.9%	2.9%	2.8%
	13	23	21	2
		4.0%	5.0%	1.3%
	14	16	13	3
		2.7%	3.1%	1.7%
	15	29	27	2
		4.9%	6.4%	1.0%
	16	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
O. Absentee Voter	0		A
	1		A
	2		
	3		
	4		
	5		
	6		
	7		
	8		
	9		
	10		
	11		
	12		
	13	B	
	14		
	15	B	a
	16		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party			
		Total	Democrat	Republican	DTS
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	244	81	16
					242
	0	191	84	17	5
		32.9%	34.7%	21.2%	33.7%
					84
	1	67	17	7	3
		11.5%	7.1%	8.6%	18.3%
					40
	2	30	15	3	1
		5.1%	6.0%	3.6%	8.8%
					11
	3	27	7	1	2
		4.7%	2.8%	.7%	12.5%
					18
	4	28	13	3	0
		4.7%	5.4%	4.3%	1.8%
					11
	5	40	18	3	1
		6.9%	7.4%	3.7%	4.9%
					18
	6	21	10	5	0
		3.5%	3.9%	6.6%	.0%
					2.3%
	7	18	10	1	0
		3.1%	4.2%	1.6%	.0%
					2.8%
	8	12	5	1	0
		2.1%	2.3%	1.6%	.0%
					5
	9	18	8	4	0
		3.1%	3.2%	4.9%	1.0%
					6
	10	26	10	6	0
		4.5%	4.3%	7.9%	.0%
					9
	11	19	6	4	1
		3.3%	2.6%	5.1%	7.6%
					3.2%
	12	17	9	5	1
		2.9%	3.5%	6.3%	4.9%
					.9%
	13	23	8	8	1
		4.0%	3.4%	10.0%	5.1%
					2.5%
	14	16	10	1	0
		2.7%	3.9%	.7%	.0%
					2.3%
	15	29	13	11	0
		4.9%	5.2%	13.2%	1.3%
					2.1%
	16	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%
					.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
O. Absentee Voter	0				
	1				A
	2				
	3			B	
	4				
	5				
	6			a	
	7			a	
	8			a	
	9			a	
	10			a	
	11				
	12		D		
	13		D		
	14			a	
	15		D	a	a
	16		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	0	191	42	23	7	7	49	63
		32.9%	34.5%	34.5%	22.1%	24.8%	38.5%	30.6%
	1	67	8	7	1	3	5	42
		11.5%	6.7%	10.3%	4.4%	10.9%	4.1%	20.6%
	2	30	6	3	2	1	13	5
		5.1%	5.2%	3.8%	5.3%	4.2%	10.3%	2.3%
	3	27	3	0	1	0	7	17
		4.7%	2.6%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	5.3%	8.2%
	4	28	12	2	2	0	4	8
		4.7%	9.6%	2.4%	5.2%	1.4%	3.0%	4.1%
	5	40	12	3	1	2	3	19
		6.9%	10.2%	4.1%	3.8%	6.4%	2.3%	9.2%
	6	21	4	0	1	1	10	4
		3.5%	3.0%	.0%	3.4%	3.6%	8.1%	2.1%
	7	18	5	5	0	1	2	5
		3.1%	4.2%	7.6%	.0%	3.7%	1.9%	2.3%
	8	12	1	3	0	1	2	5
		2.1%	.5%	4.9%	.7%	3.7%	1.2%	2.5%
	9	18	6	1	1	1	5	4
		3.1%	5.2%	1.9%	3.8%	2.1%	4.0%	1.8%
	10	26	2	5	5	1	7	7
		4.5%	1.2%	6.8%	14.0%	3.9%	5.5%	3.6%
	11	19	3	2	2	1	3	8
		3.3%	2.1%	3.4%	7.5%	2.3%	2.7%	3.9%
	12	17	5	3	3	1	3	2
		2.9%	3.9%	4.1%	9.4%	4.5%	2.6%	.8%
	13	23	4	4	3	2	5	6
		4.0%	3.1%	5.7%	8.8%	8.3%	3.6%	2.8%
	14	16	6	3	1	0	0	5
		2.7%	5.3%	4.7%	1.8%	.0%	.3%	2.6%
	15	29	3	4	3	6	8	5
		4.9%	2.6%	5.7%	8.2%	20.4%	6.3%	2.4%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
O. Absentee Voter	0						
	1						A E
	2					F	
	3		a		a		
	4		.		.		
	5						
	6		a				
	7		.	a			
	8						
	9						
	10			A			
	11						
	12			F			
	13						
	14				a		
	15				A F		
	16	a	a	a	a		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date						
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27	23
	0	191	149	15	10	3	4	1
		32.9%	56.5%	19.7%	12.5%	6.7%	16.5%	4.4%
	1	67	44	8	8	3	1	0
		11.5%	16.9%	10.1%	9.6%	7.1%	4.1%	1.3%
	2	30	10	12	5	0	0	0
		5.1%	3.8%	15.0%	5.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	3	27	9	15	1	0	0	2
		4.7%	3.5%	19.3%	.9%	.7%	.0%	8.2%
	4	28	9	3	5	2	4	0
		4.7%	3.2%	3.4%	6.5%	4.2%	15.9%	.0%
	5	40	9	16	11	0	0	3
		6.9%	3.3%	20.9%	13.8%	.0%	1.1%	13.2%
	6	21	2	1	9	4	3	1
		3.5%	.7%	1.7%	10.6%	8.5%	12.5%	3.9%
	7	18	5	5	5	2	0	0
		3.1%	1.9%	6.8%	6.3%	5.0%	.0%	.0%
	8	12	0	0	1	6	0	1
		2.1%	.1%	.0%	1.0%	13.7%	.0%	4.5%
	9	18	5	0	5	3	2	0
		3.1%	1.7%	.5%	6.4%	6.8%	8.7%	.0%
	10	26	5	1	3	7	1	3
		4.5%	2.0%	1.4%	3.4%	14.4%	4.1%	13.0%
	11	19	7	0	3	3	3	2
		3.3%	2.8%	.2%	3.4%	5.4%	13.1%	8.9%
	12	17	5	0	2	1	0	4
		2.9%	2.0%	.0%	2.0%	1.7%	.0%	19.3%
	13	23	1	0	8	3	0	3
		4.0%	.3%	.0%	10.2%	6.5%	.9%	12.6%
	14	16	3	0	4	1	1	1
		2.7%	1.0%	.2%	4.8%	2.3%	5.5%	4.5%
	15	29	0	1	2	8	5	1
		4.9%	.1%	.8%	3.0%	16.9%	17.6%	6.2%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
O. Absentee Voter	Total	38	24
	0	8	1
		20.1%	2.6%
	1	2	1
		4.0%	2.6%
	2	1	2
		3.4%	8.7%
	3	0	0
		.0%	.0%
	4	1	4
		3.1%	15.3%
	5	0	0
		.6%	.0%
	6	1	0
		1.5%	.0%
	7	1	0
		1.7%	.0%
	8	3	0
		8.7%	.0%
	9	1	1
		3.1%	5.2%
	10	3	4
		6.7%	14.6%
	11	0	1
		.9%	2.6%
	12	2	2
		5.6%	9.9%
	13	6	2
		16.1%	7.3%
	14	3	2
		8.2%	10.3%
	15	6	5
		16.2%	20.8%
	16	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	0	B C D E F G H					
	1						
	2		A		a	a	a
	3		A C D			a	
	4					A	a
	5		A	A	a		
	6			A	A	A a	a
	7		a		A C	a	A a
	8						
	9				A		
	10						
	11		a			a	A C
	12		a				A
	13			A	A		
	14						
	15				A B	A B	A
	16		a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
	0		
	1		
	2		
	3	a	a
	4		a
	5		a
	6		a
	7		a
	8	A	a
	9		
	10		A
	11		
	12		
	13	A	A
	14		A
	15	A B	A B
	16	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date										
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73	64
	0	191	3	47	17	15	0	3	15	25	23	40
		32.9%	52.5%	27.1%	40.2%	33.4%	.0%	20.8%	35.2%	25.4%	31.2%	62.6%
	1	67	0	21	6	7	0	3	4	13	7	6
		11.5%	.0%	11.9%	13.2%	15.8%	.0%	25.9%	8.4%	13.9%	9.5%	9.6%
	2	30	0	5	3	8	0	0	1	3	7	2
		5.1%	.0%	3.0%	6.6%	17.3%	.0%	1.1%	2.6%	3.6%	9.9%	2.7%
	3	27	0	8	2	4	2	0	2	4	3	2
		4.7%	.0%	4.8%	4.7%	7.9%	12.7%	.0%	5.1%	4.6%	4.2%	2.5%
	4	28	1	11	4	1	1	1	0	3	5	2
		4.7%	15.8%	6.1%	8.4%	1.4%	6.3%	7.7%	1.0%	3.2%	6.3%	2.5%
	5	40	1	19	1	0	4	2	0	4	6	3
		6.9%	9.9%	10.9%	2.0%	.0%	26.2%	12.6%	.6%	4.3%	7.8%	4.5%
	6	21	0	7	1	0	0	0	2	5	4	0
		3.5%	.0%	4.1%	2.8%	.3%	.9%	.0%	5.3%	5.7%	5.9%	.0%
	7	18	0	11	1	0	0	0	3	2	0	1
		3.1%	.0%	6.2%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	1.1%	7.2%	1.9%	.0%	2.3%
	8	12	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	4	2	2
		2.1%	.0%	1.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.6%	2.2%	2.5%
	9	18	0	5	1	0	1	0	4	2	2	2
		3.1%	.0%	3.0%	2.9%	.0%	8.4%	.0%	10.7%	2.2%	2.7%	2.5%
	10	26	0	10	3	4	0	0	1	7	1	0
		4.5%	.0%	6.0%	6.0%	9.0%	.0%	.0%	2.4%	7.7%	.9%	.0%
	11	19	0	10	0	1	1	2	0	0	3	1
		3.3%	.0%	6.1%	.2%	2.6%	5.8%	12.6%	.9%	.0%	4.2%	2.3%
	12	17	1	5	0	1	0	1	1	3	2	2
		2.9%	12.0%	2.9%	.0%	1.3%	.0%	6.5%	2.5%	2.7%	3.0%	3.8%
	13	23	0	2	2	2	4	0	4	6	4	0
		4.0%	.0%	1.0%	4.4%	4.4%	23.3%	.0%	9.7%	6.1%	5.0%	.0%
	14	16	0	3	1	1	0	0	0	7	2	2
		2.7%	.0%	1.5%	2.8%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2%	3.2%	2.5%
	15	29	1	6	1	2	3	2	4	7	3	0
		4.9%	9.9%	3.6%	2.8%	3.8%	16.5%	11.7%	8.5%	6.9%	4.2%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
O. Absentee Voter	Total	2	5
	0	1 46.3%	2 41.4%
	1	0 .0%	0 .0%
	2	0 .0%	0 .0%
	3	0 .0%	0 .0%
	4	0 .0%	0 .0%
	5	0 .0%	1 10.7%
	6	0 .0%	0 .0%
	7	0 .0%	0 .0%
	8	1 43.9%	0 5.3%
	9	0 9.8%	0 .0%
	10	0 .0%	0 .0%
	11	0 .0%	0 .0%
	12	0 .0%	1 21.3%
	13	0 .0%	0 .0%
	14	0 .0%	0 .0%
	15	0 .0%	1 21.3%
	16	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date										
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
O. Absentee Voter	0	a				a					B H I	a
	1	a			B	a						a
	2	a				a						a
	3	a					a					a
	4											a
	5				a	G						a
	6	a					a				a	a
	7	a			a	a						a
	8	a		a	a	a	a	a				B I J
	9	a			a		a					a
	10	a				a	a				a	a
	11	a							a			a
	12			a		a						a
	13	a				B	a	B			a	a
	14	a				a		a				a
	15			a							a	a
	16	a		a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date
		Apr. 15
		(L)
O. Absentee Voter	0	
	1	a
	2	a
	3	a
	4	a
	5	
	6	a
	7	a
	8	
	9	a
	10	a
	11	a
	12	
	13	a
	14	a
	15	
	16	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	464	118
	0	191 32.9%	104 22.4%	87 74.1%
	1	67 11.5%	54 11.7%	13 10.7%
	2	30 5.1%	17 3.7%	12 10.5%
	3	27 4.7%	26 5.6%	1 1.0%
	4	28 4.7%	26 5.5%	2 1.8%
	5	40 6.9%	40 8.6%	0 .0%
	6	21 3.5%	20 4.4%	0 .3%
	7	18 3.1%	18 3.9%	0 .0%
	8	12 2.1%	12 2.5%	0 .2%
	9	18 3.1%	18 3.9%	0 .0%
	10	26 4.5%	26 5.5%	1 .5%
	11	19 3.3%	19 4.2%	0 .0%
	12	17 2.9%	16 3.4%	1 .9%
	13	23 4.0%	23 5.0%	0 .0%
	14	16 2.7%	16 3.4%	0 .0%
	15	29 4.9%	29 6.2%	0 .0%
	16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

b,c

Comparisons of Column Proportions

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
O. Absentee Voter	0		A
	1		
	2		A
	3	B	
	4		
	5		a
	6	B	
	7		a
	8		
	9		a
	10	B	
	11		
	12		
	13		a
	14		a
	15		a
	16		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	347	235
	0	191 32.9%	15 4.3%	176 75.0%
	1	67 11.5%	43 12.3%	24 10.3%
	2	30 5.1%	23 6.7%	6 2.7%
	3	27 4.7%	19 5.5%	8 3.6%
	4	28 4.7%	20 5.6%	8 3.4%
	5	40 6.9%	34 9.9%	6 2.4%
	6	21 3.5%	20 5.8%	0 .2%
	7	18 3.1%	17 4.9%	1 .5%
	8	12 2.1%	12 3.4%	0 .1%
	9	18 3.1%	16 4.7%	2 .8%
	10	26 4.5%	24 7.0%	2 .7%
	11	19 3.3%	19 5.6%	0 .0%
	12	17 2.9%	16 4.6%	1 .2%
	13	23 4.0%	23 6.7%	0 .0%
	14	16 2.7%	16 4.6%	0 .0%
	15	29 4.9%	29 8.2%	0 .0%
	16	0 .0%	0 .1%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
O. Absentee Voter	0		A
	1		
	2	B	
	3		
	4		
	5	B	
	6	B	
	7	B	
	8	B	
	9	B	
	10	B	
	11		a
	12	B	.
	13		a
	14		a
	15		a
	16		.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	0	191	10	27	26	51	50
		32.9%	96.4%	55.4%	27.3%	39.0%	30.4%
	1	67	0	5	22	9	23
		11.5%	2.7%	11.1%	23.0%	6.8%	14.0%
	2	30	0	0	3	16	7
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	2.9%	12.0%	4.6%
	3	27	0	5	5	7	5
		4.7%	.0%	9.9%	5.3%	5.6%	3.0%
	4	28	0	3	7	2	8
		4.7%	.0%	6.3%	7.3%	1.9%	4.7%
	5	40	0	0	15	6	11
		6.9%	.0%	.5%	16.1%	4.7%	6.6%
	6	21	0	0	1	2	10
		3.5%	.0%	.0%	1.2%	1.8%	6.0%
	7	18	0	1	4	5	5
		3.1%	.0%	2.5%	4.3%	3.9%	2.8%
	8	12	0	0	4	1	2
		2.1%	.0%	.7%	4.6%	.6%	1.3%
	9	18	0	1	2	5	5
		3.1%	.0%	1.2%	1.8%	3.5%	2.8%
	10	26	0	4	0	9	4
		4.5%	.0%	8.2%	.0%	6.7%	2.4%
	11	19	0	1	3	4	9
		3.3%	.0%	1.5%	2.7%	3.1%	5.3%
	12	17	0	0	1	1	5
		2.9%	.0%	.2%	.7%	.9%	2.9%
	13	23	0	0	1	5	7
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	1.5%	3.7%	4.2%
	14	16	0	1	1	2	8
		2.7%	.0%	1.3%	1.2%	1.5%	4.9%
	15	29	0	0	0	6	7
		4.9%	.9%	.7%	.0%	4.3%	4.2%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
O. Absentee Voter	Total	133	1
	0	26	1
		19.9%	100.0%
	1	8	0
		6.0%	.0%
	2	4	0
		2.8%	.0%
	3	5	0
		3.9%	.0%
	4	8	0
		5.7%	.0%
	5	8	0
		5.8%	.0%
	6	7	0
		5.5%	.0%
	7	3	0
		2.5%	.0%
	8	4	0
		3.3%	.0%
	9	7	0
		5.1%	.0%
	10	9	0
		7.1%	.0%
	11	3	0
		2.5%	.0%
	12	10	0
		7.6%	.0%
	13	10	0
		7.7%	.0%
	14	4	0
		3.1%	.0%
	15	16	0
		11.8%	.0%
	16	0	0
		.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
O. Absentee Voter	C D E F	C E F	D F	F		
0						
1						
2	.b	.b		F		
3	.b					
4	.b					
5	.b		B D			
6	.b	.b				
7	.b					
8	.b					
9	.b					
10	.b		.b			
11	.b					
12	.b	.b				
13	.b					
14	.b					
15	.b		.b	.b	.b	.b
16	.b		.b			.b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
	(G)
O. Absentee Voter	a, b
0	a, b
1	a, b
2	a, b
3	a, b
4	a, b
5	a, b
6	a, b
7	a, b
8	a, b
9	a, b
10	a, b
11	a, b
12	a, b
13	a, b
14	a, b
15	a, b
16	a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more
O. Absentee Voter	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58
	0	191	4	68	47	45	23
		33.0%	8.3%	32.1%	33.3%	39.8%	40.1%
	1	66	3	26	14	11	12
		11.5%	5.9%	12.2%	10.1%	9.7%	21.3%
	2	30	3	10	3	5	9
		5.1%	5.9%	4.9%	2.2%	4.5%	14.7%
	3	27	3	7	5	7	1
		4.7%	7.4%	3.1%	3.4%	6.5%	1.8%
	4	28	3	8	7	8	2
		4.8%	7.6%	3.8%	4.9%	6.7%	2.6%
	5	40	3	16	10	8	1
		6.9%	6.9%	7.4%	7.4%	6.6%	1.9%
	6	21	1	7	7	1	4
		3.5%	3.2%	3.4%	5.1%	.8%	6.6%
	7	18	0	4	9	5	0
		3.2%	.5%	1.8%	6.1%	4.7%	.7%
	8	12	0	5	4	2	1
		2.1%	1.0%	2.2%	3.1%	1.4%	1.8%
	9	18	1	7	4	7	0
		3.1%	1.3%	3.2%	2.8%	6.1%	.0%
	10	26	2	13	6	4	0
		4.5%	5.2%	6.4%	4.4%	3.7%	.0%
	11	19	3	4	9	3	0
		3.3%	6.2%	2.1%	6.4%	2.7%	.0%
	12	17	4	6	4	0	2
		2.9%	7.9%	2.8%	3.1%	.0%	4.2%
	13	23	7	10	2	3	0
		3.9%	16.5%	4.8%	1.1%	3.0%	.4%
	14	16	3	6	5	2	1
		2.7%	5.8%	2.8%	3.2%	2.0%	1.0%
	15	28	5	15	5	2	2
		4.8%	10.5%	7.0%	3.4%	1.7%	2.9%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		A	A	A	A	
1						a
2					C	.
3						B C D E
4						.
5						a
6						a
7						a
8					a	a
9					a	a
10					a	a
11				a	.	a
12	B C D E			.		a
13						a
14						a
15	a		a	a	a	a
16

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	0	191	1	3	57	0	47
		32.9%	50.0%	100.0%	30.0%	.0%	44.2%
	1	67	0	0	27	0	10
		11.5%	.0%	.0%	14.1%	.0%	9.8%
	2	30	0	0	9	0	9
		5.1%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	.0%	8.4%
	3	27	0	0	7	2	2
		4.7%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	100.0%	2.1%
	4	28	0	0	8	0	6
		4.7%	.0%	.0%	4.3%	.0%	5.2%
	5	40	0	0	15	0	7
		6.9%	.0%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	6.9%
	6	21	0	0	6	0	6
		3.5%	.0%	.0%	3.1%	.0%	5.6%
	7	18	1	0	7	0	6
		3.1%	50.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	5.4%
	8	12	0	0	5	0	1
		2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	1.0%
	9	18	0	0	6	0	4
		3.1%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	.0%	3.7%
	10	26	0	0	11	0	3
		4.5%	.0%	.0%	5.5%	.0%	2.7%
	11	19	0	0	9	0	2
		3.3%	.0%	.0%	4.9%	.0%	2.0%
	12	17	0	0	1	0	3
		2.9%	.0%	.0%	.6%	.0%	2.9%
	13	23	0	0	6	0	0
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
	14	16	0	0	7	0	0
		2.7%	.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	15	29	0	0	9	0	0
		4.9%	.0%	.0%	4.8%	.0%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
O. Absentee Voter	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	0	0	17	3	9	2	35
		.0%	69.3%	40.9%	63.9%	16.3%	23.8%
	1	0	4	1	0	4	13
		.0%	17.5%	17.2%	.0%	38.2%	9.0%
	2	3	0	0	0	0	8
		18.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.6%
	3	2	0	0	0	0	6
		9.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	3.9%
	4	2	0	0	0	1	7
		16.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.4%	5.0%
	5	3	0	0	0	1	11
		17.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	12.0%	7.4%
	6	0	0	0	2	0	3
		.0%	.0%	.0%	12.6%	.0%	2.0%
	7	1	0	0	0	1	2
		9.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	10.2%	1.1%
	8	0	2	0	2	0	2
		.0%	6.4%	.0%	11.7%	.0%	1.0%
	9	1	0	0	2	1	4
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	12.0%	3.0%
	10	1	0	0	0	0	8
		6.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	5.5%
	11	0	0	1	0	0	3
		.0%	.0%	11.8%	.0%	.0%	1.8%
	12	0	0	1	0	0	10
		.0%	.0%	12.8%	.0%	.0%	6.9%
	13	0	2	1	0	0	11
		.0%	6.9%	17.2%	.0%	.0%	7.4%
	14	1	0	0	0	0	7
		7.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.9%
	15	1	0	0	0	0	17
		7.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.4%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
O. Absentee Voter	Total	23	12	6	17
	0	5	3	1	8
		23.1%	22.7%	11.1%	48.5%
	1	5	0	0	2
		21.6%	.0%	3.6%	10.2%
	2	1	0	0	0
		2.8%	3.8%	.0%	.0%
	3	4	2	2	0
		16.9%	17.1%	41.6%	2.5%
	4	3	0	0	0
		12.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	5	0	1	0	1
		.0%	9.7%	.0%	7.9%
	6	1	1	0	2
		2.8%	8.0%	.0%	13.6%
	7	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	3.6%	.0%
	8	1	0	0	0
		3.5%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	9	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	10	0	1	0	2
		.0%	8.8%	2.2%	13.9%
	11	2	0	1	0
		9.7%	3.8%	23.2%	1.4%
	12	0	1	0	0
		.0%	8.1%	.0%	1.9%
	13	2	1	0	0
		7.3%	7.0%	4.5%	.0%
	14	0	0	0	0
		.0%	2.2%	6.6%	.0%
	15	0	1	0	0
		.0%	9.1%	3.6%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
0		a		a	K	a
1	a	a		a		a
2	a	a		a		
3	a	a		a		
4	a	a		a		
5	a	a		a		
6		a		a		a
7	C E K	a		a		
8	a	a		a		a
9	a	a		a		
10	a	a		a		
11	a	a		a		a
12	a	a		a		a
13	a	a		a	a	a
14	a	a		a	a	
15	a	a		a	a	
16	a	a	a	a	a	a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
0	C K					
1			a			
2	a	a	a	a		
3	a	a	a	a		
4	a	a	a			
5	a	a	a			a
6	a	a		a		
7	a	a	a			a
8		a		a		
9	a	a				a
10	a	a	a	a		a
11	a		a	a		
12	a	C	a	a	C	a
13			a	a		
14	a	a	a	a		a
15	a	a	a	a		a
16	a	a	a	a		a

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
0			
1	a		
2			a
3		C E K	
4	a	a	a
5		a	
6		a	
7	a		a
8	a	a	a
9	a	a	a
10			
11		K	
12		a	
13			a
14			a
15			a
16	a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
O. Absentee Voter	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
		104	39	50	8	4	3
	0	39.0%	37.5%	44.2%	25.9%	40.6%	34.4%
		27	10	9	6	1	0
	1	10.1%	9.4%	8.1%	21.1%	13.4%	2.5%
		8	6	1	1	0	0
	2	2.9%	5.4%	1.0%	3.4%	.0%	.0%
		12	2	9	0	1	0
	3	4.6%	1.7%	8.2%	.0%	10.7%	1.8%
		16	8	6	1	1	0
	4	5.9%	7.7%	5.0%	2.1%	13.4%	.0%
		20	10	6	2	0	2
	5	7.6%	9.4%	5.5%	7.5%	.0%	21.8%
		8	1	3	3	0	0
	6	2.9%	1.3%	3.0%	9.4%	.9%	.0%
		12	7	3	1	1	0
	7	4.5%	6.5%	2.5%	3.8%	11.3%	.0%
		5	1	2	0	1	1
	8	1.9%	.6%	2.1%	.0%	9.6%	11.8%
		9	3	3	3	0	0
	9	3.4%	2.9%	2.8%	9.2%	.0%	.0%
		13	6	5	2	0	1
	10	5.0%	5.5%	4.4%	5.6%	.0%	11.5%
		9	4	5	0	0	1
	11	3.5%	3.4%	4.1%	1.4%	.0%	7.3%
		2	0	2	0	0	0
	12	.7%	.0%	1.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		4	1	1	2	0	1
	13	1.4%	.7%	.6%	5.4%	.0%	8.8%
		9	4	3	2	0	0
	14	3.4%	4.1%	2.8%	5.2%	.0%	.0%
		8	4	5	0	0	0
	15	3.1%	3.5%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		0	0	0	0	0	0
	16	.1%	.3%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
O. Absentee Voter	0					
	1					
	2				a	a
	3			a		.
	4			.		a
	5				a	
	6					a
	7					a
	8			a		A
	9			.	a	a
	10				a	.
	11				a	
	12	a		a		a
	13			.	a	.
	14			a	a	a
	15			a	a	a
	16		a	a	a	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
O. Absentee Voter	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
		106	26	69	9	1	2
	0	27.8%	23.7%	30.6%	26.2%	23.3%	16.4%
		43	12	19	9	1	2
	1	11.2%	11.2%	8.4%	27.3%	17.5%	16.9%
		22	7	11	4	0	0
	2	5.7%	6.5%	5.0%	10.5%	.0%	.0%
		14	1	12	1	0	0
	3	3.8%	.8%	5.4%	3.5%	.0%	1.5%
		18	5	12	0	1	0
	4	4.7%	4.4%	5.2%	.0%	32.5%	.0%
		21	10	10	1	0	0
	5	5.4%	8.9%	4.3%	3.3%	.0%	3.1%
		14	4	6	2	0	2
	6	3.8%	4.0%	2.7%	4.7%	2.1%	21.5%
		12	4	7	0	0	1
	7	3.2%	3.8%	3.1%	.0%	.0%	11.0%
		11	0	9	0	1	1
	8	2.8%	.1%	3.8%	.0%	24.6%	9.4%
		15	4	10	2	0	0
	9	4.0%	3.3%	4.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
		18	7	10	0	0	1
	10	4.7%	6.7%	4.4%	.0%	.0%	9.2%
		16	8	8	0	0	0
	11	4.1%	7.3%	3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%
		15	5	8	0	0	1
	12	3.9%	5.0%	3.7%	.0%	.0%	9.8%
		18	4	14	1	0	0
	13	4.8%	3.5%	6.1%	2.5%	.0%	1.4%
		14	6	6	2	0	0
	14	3.6%	6.0%	2.5%	4.7%	.0%	.0%
		24	5	15	4	0	0
	15	6.4%	4.7%	6.7%	12.4%	.0%	.0%
		0	0	0	0	0	0
	16	.1%	.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
O. Absentee Voter	0					
	1			B		
	2				a	
	3				a	
	4			a	A	a
	5				a	
	6					B
	7			a	a	
	8			a	A	A
	9				a	a
	10			a	a	
	11			a	a	a
	12				a	
	13				a	
	14				a	a
	15				a	a
	16	a		a	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	0	191	144	8	27	2	0	17	1	16
		32.9%	32.2%	31.2%	29.2%	26.8%	24.3%	38.4%	18.7%	73.1%
	1	67	42	7	16	1	0	4	0	4
		11.5%	9.3%	25.3%	17.1%	15.8%	.0%	8.8%	.0%	19.7%
	2	30	21	0	4	0	0	9	3	0
		5.1%	4.7%	.0%	4.5%	3.2%	.0%	20.4%	42.6%	.0%
	3	27	25	1	3	0	1	0	0	0
		4.7%	5.5%	4.4%	3.7%	.0%	69.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	4	28	24	0	4	0	0	2	0	0
		4.7%	5.2%	.0%	4.1%	.0%	.0%	5.3%	.0%	.0%
	5	40	32	2	7	0	0	3	0	0
		6.9%	7.0%	7.1%	7.3%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%	.0%
	6	21	15	0	4	1	0	2	0	0
		3.5%	3.4%	.0%	4.0%	19.8%	.0%	3.6%	.0%	.0%
	7	18	16	1	3	1	0	4	1	0
		3.1%	3.5%	4.7%	3.7%	19.9%	.0%	8.1%	21.7%	.0%
	8	12	8	0	3	0	0	0	0	2
		2.1%	1.7%	.0%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.2%
	9	18	14	1	4	0	0	1	0	0
		3.1%	3.1%	3.5%	4.0%	.0%	.0%	2.6%	.0%	.0%
	10	26	19	2	4	0	0	0	1	0
		4.5%	4.2%	5.9%	4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.6%	.0%
	11	19	15	1	6	1	0	1	0	0
		3.3%	3.3%	4.4%	6.5%	13.2%	.0%	3.0%	1.4%	.0%
	12	17	14	0	1	0	0	1	0	0
		2.9%	3.2%	.0%	1.2%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	.0%	.0%
	13	23	19	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
		4.0%	4.2%	.0%	2.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	14	16	13	1	2	0	0	0	0	0
		2.7%	2.9%	4.4%	1.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	15	29	28	2	3	0	0	0	0	0
		4.9%	6.2%	9.1%	3.1%	1.3%	6.5%	.2%	.0%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
O. Absentee Voter	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	0	16	2	0	3	9	21	1
		33.2%	14.0%	25.0%	26.7%	63.9%	38.0%	13.7%
	1	2	3	0	2	0	4	2
		5.1%	22.5%	.0%	13.1%	.0%	7.9%	29.2%
	2	3	1	0	0	0	4	0
		5.5%	6.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	7.5%	.0%
	3	6	1	0	1	0	7	0
		13.1%	7.6%	.0%	9.3%	.0%	11.9%	.0%
	4	3	2	0	0	0	2	0
		7.0%	11.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	4.5%	.0%
	5	5	0	0	0	0	5	1
		9.9%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.3%	20.8%
	6	1	1	0	2	2	3	0
		1.9%	4.9%	.0%	13.8%	12.6%	4.8%	.0%
	7	1	0	0	1	0	4	0
		2.4%	.0%	.0%	9.8%	.0%	6.7%	.0%
	8	0	1	0	0	2	0	1
		.0%	6.3%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.0%	15.7%
	9	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
		4.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.7%	.4%	.0%
	10	2	0	0	0	0	1	1
		4.8%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	2.1%	15.4%
	11	1	1	0	1	0	3	0
		1.9%	7.3%	.0%	7.7%	.0%	6.2%	.0%
	12	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	8.4%	.0%	.0%	5.1%
	13	2	1	0	1	0	0	0
		3.3%	8.1%	.0%	11.2%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	14	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
		5.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	15	1	2	1	0	0	0	0
		1.9%	11.5%	75.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
O. Absentee Voter	0					a			A C
	1					a,,b		,b	
	2		,b			a,,b	A	A C	,b
	3				,b	a	,b	,b	,b
	4		,b			a,,b		,b	,b
	5				,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	6		,b			a,,b		,b	,b
	7					a,,b		,b	,b
	8		,b		,b	a,,b	,b	,b	,b
	9				,b	a,,b		,b	
	10				,b	a,,b	,b	,b	
	11					a,,b		,b	
	12		,b		,b	a,,b		,b	,b
	13		,b		,b	a,,b	,b	,b	
	14					a	,b	,b	
	15					a,,b	,b	,b	
	16		,b	,b	,b	a,,b	,b	,b	,b

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household					
		Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
O. Absentee Voter	0		a				
	1		a,,b		,b		
	2		a,,b	,b	,b		,b
	3		a,,b		,b		,b
	4		a,,b	,b	,b		,b
	5	,b	a,,b	,b	,b		
	6		a,,b				,b
	7	,b	a,,b		,b		,b
	8		a,,b	,b		,b	
	9	,b	a,,b	,b		,b	,b
	10	,b	a,,b	,b	,b		
	11	,b	a,,b		,b		,b
	12		a,,b		,b		,b
	13		a,,b		,b		,b
	14	,b	a,,b	,b	,b	,b	,b
	15		a		,b		,b
	16	,b	a,,b	,b	,b	,b	,b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	0	191	14	12	10	18	7	15
	1	32.9%	23.5%	23.6%	35.6%	48.6%	21.1%	36.2%
	2	67	7	6	0	3	9	7
	3	11.5%	11.6%	11.1%	1.5%	7.9%	27.2%	16.9%
	4	30	2	4	0	2	0	3
	5	5.1%	3.4%	7.4%	.0%	4.6%	.0%	7.7%
	6	27	5	5	1	0	0	0
	7	4.7%	8.9%	8.8%	4.2%	.0%	.9%	.0%
	8	28	2	3	1	0	2	3
	9	4.7%	3.5%	5.3%	3.0%	.0%	6.6%	7.5%
	10	40	4	6	2	0	2	0
	11	6.9%	7.1%	11.0%	6.9%	.0%	5.9%	.6%
	12	21	1	2	0	2	2	3
	13	3.5%	1.5%	3.2%	.3%	4.3%	7.3%	7.1%
	14	18	2	0	0	1	1	1
	15	3.1%	2.8%	.0%	.0%	3.2%	3.2%	2.3%
	16	12	0	0	1	2	3	0
O. Absentee Voter	0	2.1%	.0%	.0%	2.8%	5.1%	10.1%	6.9%
	1	18	2	2	0	3	0	0
	2	3.1%	3.5%	4.2%	.0%	8.1%	.0%	.0%
	3	26	3	2	2	0	1	2
	4	4.5%	4.8%	4.8%	7.4%	.8%	2.8%	5.1%
	5	19	1	4	0	1	1	0
	6	3.3%	2.3%	7.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.6%	.0%
	7	17	4	2	1	2	1	0
	8	2.9%	6.8%	3.3%	4.7%	4.2%	2.8%	.0%
	9	23	5	1	3	2	1	1
	10	4.0%	8.3%	2.5%	8.6%	5.1%	3.4%	2.5%
	11	16	4	0	4	0	1	1
	12	2.7%	6.8%	.4%	12.8%	.0%	2.1%	1.6%
	13	29	3	4	4	2	1	2
	14	4.9%	5.3%	7.4%	12.1%	5.0%	2.7%	5.6%
	15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	16	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
O. Absentee Voter	Total	34	132	126
	0	11 31.8%	42 31.9%	41 32.6%
	1	6 17.3%	12 9.4%	14 11.3%
	2	6 17.9%	5 3.8%	7 5.7%
	3	3 7.5%	6 4.2%	5 4.2%
	4	3 7.8%	11 8.1%	0 .1%
	5	0 .0%	16 12.4%	8 6.4%
	6	0 .0%	2 1.8%	7 5.5%
	7	0 .0%	7 5.0%	6 4.5%
	8	0 .9%	0 .3%	3 2.0%
	9	0 .7%	8 6.2%	1 .7%
	10	2 6.4%	3 2.6%	10 7.5%
	11	1 3.9%	6 4.2%	4 3.2%
	12	0 .3%	4 2.9%	3 2.5%
	13	0 .3%	2 1.8%	8 6.2%
	14	0 .0%	2 1.6%	3 2.2%
	15	1 4.4%	5 3.8%	6 5.1%
	16	0 .9%	0 .0%	0 .0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
O. Absentee Voter	0							
	1							
	2			a		a		
	3				a		a	
	4				a			
	5				a			
	6							
	7			a				
	8	a	a					a
	9			a		a	a	
	10							
	11			a			a	
	12						a	a
	13				a			
	14							a
	15	a		a	a	a	a	a
	16		a					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
O. Absentee Voter	0			
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5	a		
	6	a		
	7	a		
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14	a		
	15		a	a
	16			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
O. Absentee Voter	Total	582	167	153	262
	0	191	49	68	74
		32.9%	29.3%	44.6%	28.3%
	1	67	18	20	29
		11.5%	10.8%	13.1%	11.0%
	2	30	9	11	9
		5.1%	5.6%	7.1%	3.6%
	3	27	7	9	11
		4.7%	4.0%	6.1%	4.3%
	4	28	6	4	18
		4.7%	3.5%	2.5%	6.8%
	5	40	5	11	24
		6.9%	3.2%	7.0%	9.1%
	6	21	7	4	9
		3.5%	4.2%	2.8%	3.5%
	7	18	2	0	16
		3.1%	1.2%	.0%	6.2%
	8	12	2	5	6
		2.1%	.9%	3.2%	2.1%
	9	18	6	3	9
		3.1%	3.7%	1.8%	3.6%
	10	26	8	5	13
		4.5%	5.0%	3.2%	4.9%
	11	19	4	3	13
		3.3%	2.2%	1.9%	4.9%
	12	17	7	2	8
		2.9%	4.3%	1.3%	2.9%
	13	23	15	3	5
		4.0%	8.9%	2.1%	2.0%
	14	16	8	3	5
		2.7%	4.5%	2.3%	1.8%
	15	29	14	2	13
		4.9%	8.5%	1.0%	4.9%
	16	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.0%	.0%	.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
O. Absentee Voter	0		A C	
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6		a	
	7		.	A
	8			
	9			
	10			
	11			
	12			
	13	B C		
	14			
	15	B	a	
	16	a	.	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
O. Absentee Voter	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	0	71	32	15	13	11
		15.4%	25.7%	17.1%	16.3%	6.4%
	1	67	53	2	7	5
		14.5%	42.1%	2.1%	9.6%	2.8%
	2	30	19	5	2	3
		6.4%	15.2%	5.7%	2.7%	1.9%
	3	27	21	3	3	0
		5.9%	16.9%	3.6%	3.5%	.0%
	4	28	0	23	3	2
		6.0%	.0%	25.1%	4.0%	1.1%
	5	40	0	33	6	1
		8.7%	.0%	36.7%	7.5%	.6%
	6	21	0	9	12	0
		4.5%	.0%	9.6%	14.9%	.2%
	7	18	0	0	16	3
		4.0%	.0%	.0%	20.0%	1.6%
	8	12	0	0	7	5
		2.6%	.0%	.0%	8.5%	3.2%
	9	18	0	0	10	8
		3.9%	.0%	.0%	12.9%	4.8%
	10	26	0	0	0	26
		5.7%	.0%	.0%	.0%	15.6%
	11	19	0	0	0	19
		4.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	11.5%
	12	17	0	0	0	17
		3.6%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.9%
	13	23	0	0	0	23
		5.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	13.8%
	14	16	0	0	0	16
		3.4%	.0%	.0%	.0%	9.4%
	15	29	0	0	0	29
		6.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	17.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0
		.1%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
O. Absentee Voter	0	D	D		
	1	B C D			
	2	C D			
	3	B C			a
	4	a	C D		
	5	a	C D	D	
	6	a	D	D	
	7	a	a	D	
	8	a	a		
	9	a	a	D	
	10	a	a	a	
	11	a	a	a	
	12	a	a	a	
	13	a	a	a	
	14	a	a	a	
	15	a	a	a	
	16	a	a	a	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender							
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
O. Absentee Voter	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112	7
	0	191	39	45	6	11	42	42	1
		33.0%	31.9%	37.9%	15.0%	27.3%	33.0%	37.7%	15.7%
	1	67	6	11	2	5	27	13	2
		11.6%	5.2%	9.1%	5.4%	11.7%	20.8%	12.0%	27.0%
	2	30	6	8	2	1	8	3	0
		5.1%	5.1%	7.0%	4.7%	2.5%	6.1%	2.6%	.0%
	3	27	1	6	0	0	9	9	0
		4.7%	1.0%	4.7%	.7%	.7%	7.2%	7.8%	.0%
	4	28	4	9	1	2	3	7	0
		4.8%	3.4%	7.5%	2.6%	6.0%	2.7%	6.4%	4.1%
	5	39	13	5	3	0	9	8	1
		6.7%	10.4%	4.4%	7.3%	.2%	7.3%	6.9%	11.2%
	6	21	5	5	5	1	3	3	0
		3.6%	4.1%	3.8%	11.5%	1.8%	2.4%	2.3%	.0%
	7	18	7	3	0	1	4	3	0
		3.2%	6.0%	2.3%	.0%	3.1%	2.9%	2.9%	.0%
	8	12	2	4	0	1	4	1	0
		2.1%	1.5%	3.0%	.0%	3.1%	3.2%	1.0%	.0%
	9	18	3	5	2	1	3	4	0
		3.1%	2.4%	4.1%	6.1%	3.6%	2.1%	3.2%	2.2%
	10	25	8	3	6	0	0	8	0
		4.3%	6.2%	2.2%	15.7%	.2%	.1%	7.4%	.0%
	11	18	3	2	1	3	3	4	1
		3.2%	2.5%	1.9%	1.6%	8.5%	2.7%	3.8%	17.1%
	12	17	6	2	1	4	1	1	1
		2.9%	5.1%	1.9%	2.3%	10.2%	.9%	1.0%	11.2%
	13	23	3	5	4	4	5	1	1
		4.0%	2.7%	4.1%	10.1%	9.9%	3.9%	1.0%	11.6%
	14	16	8	2	0	1	3	2	0
		2.7%	6.5%	1.4%	.0%	1.4%	2.5%	2.2%	.0%
	15	29	7	5	7	4	3	2	0
		4.9%	5.8%	4.6%	16.9%	9.7%	2.3%	1.8%	.0%
	16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		.0%	.2%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%	.0%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
O. Absentee Voter	Total	9
	0	4
		48.0%
	1	1
		11.4%
	2	1
		15.8%
	3	2
		22.5%
	4	0
		.0%
	5	0
		.0%
	6	0
		.0%
	7	0
		.0%
	8	0
		.0%
	9	0
		.0%
	10	0
		.0%
	11	0
		.0%
	12	0
		.0%
	13	0
		.0%
	14	0
		.0%
	15	0
		2.4%
	16	0
		.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
0								
1					A		a	
2							a	
3							a	A
4							.	a
5								a
6							a	a
7			a				a	a
8			a				a	a
9			.				.	a
10			B E			E	a	a
11							.	a
12							.	a
13			a				a	a
14			.				a	.
15			E F	a	a	a	.	.
16		a	a	.	.	.	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Total	
	Total	Total
	(A)	(A)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	464
	No	118

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Total	
	Total	Total
	(A)	(A)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	464	221	240
	No	118	61	57

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	.	a
	No	.	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	464	56	82	113	122	85
	No	118	21	19	28	31	16

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes
	No

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)	(C)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	464	339
	No	118	85

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	464	181	60	15	208
		79.7%	74.1%	74.8%	95.3%	85.9%
	No	118	63	20	1	34
		20.3%	25.9%	25.2%	4.7%	14.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes				A
	No	D			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	464	90	48	23	19	107	177
		79.7%	74.7%	71.6%	71.2%	67.8%	83.2%	86.1%
	No	118	31	19	9	9	22	29
		20.3%	25.3%	28.4%	28.8%	32.2%	16.8%	13.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	464	205	61	66	44	21
		79.7%	77.7%	77.6%	80.8%	92.9%	79.4%
	No	118	59	18	16	3	5
		20.3%	22.3%	22.4%	19.2%	7.1%	20.6%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	22	27	19
		94.9%	71.9%	76.7%
	No	1	11	6
		5.1%	28.1%	23.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	464	5	143	35	29	16	13	34	83	52
		79.7%	75.2%	82.9%	84.7%	62.3%	99.1%	98.4%	79.8%	86.0%	70.8%
	No	118	2	30	6	17	0	0	9	14	21
		20.3%	24.8%	17.1%	15.3%	37.7%	.9%	1.6%	20.2%	14.0%	29.2%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	46	1	5
		71.8%	53.7%	100.0%
	No	18	1	0
		28.2%	46.3%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes										
	No										

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		a
	No		a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	464	464	0
		79.7%	100.0%	.0%
	No	118	0	118
		20.3%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a
	No	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	464	314	150
		79.7%	90.5%	63.7%
	No	118	33	85
		20.3%	9.5%	36.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes	No
		(A)	(B)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	464	8	38	79	111	120
		79.7%	74.5%	77.9%	83.7%	84.1%	73.4%
	No	118	3	11	15	21	43
		20.3%	25.5%	22.1%	16.3%	15.9%	26.6%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	133	1
	Yes	108 81.7%	0 .0%
	No	24 18.3%	1 100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino				
		Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		(F) a,,b
	No		a,,b .

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58
	Yes	462 79.6%	41 90.9%	166 79.0%	115 81.5%	88 77.2%	42 72.4%
	No	118 20.4%	4 9.1%	44 21.0%	26 18.5%	26 22.8%	16 27.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group				
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	3	3	189	2
	Yes	464 79.7%	1 50.0%	3 100.0%	157 82.7%	1 48.8%
	No	118 20.3%	1 50.0%	0 .0%	33 17.3%	1 51.2%

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	15	25	8	14	10
	Yes	15 100.0%	14 55.8%	8 100.0%	11 81.6%	10 100.0%
	No	0 .0%	11 44.2%	0 .0%	2 18.4%	0 .0%

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	148	23	12	6	17
	Yes	114 76.8%	22 94.0%	11 94.0%	6 95.5%	13 74.1%
	No	34 23.2%	1 6.0%	1 6.0%	0 4.5%	5 25.9%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		a .			
	No		a .			

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	(F) a .	(G)	(H) a .	(I)	(J) a .
	No					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	217	86	87	27	10	7
	No	49	18	26	3	1	1
		81.5%	82.8%	77.0%	88.6%	92.8%	83.6%
		18.5%	17.2%	23.0%	11.4%	7.2%	16.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	300	87	174	25	3	11
	No	81	21	50	9	2	0
		78.7%	80.7%	77.8%	74.2%	59.2%	98.0%
		21.3%	19.3%	22.2%	25.8%	40.8%	2.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7
	Yes	464	348	25	71	7	1	33	6
	No	118	100	1	22	0	0	11	1
		79.7%	77.6%	94.4%	76.0%	100.0%	100.0%	76.0%	84.8%
		20.3%	22.4%	5.6%	24.0%	.0%	.0%	24.0%	15.2%

		Primary Languages in Household							
		Korean	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	22	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	11	45	12	1	12	11	45	6
	No	11	2	1	0	0	2	10	1
		50.2%	95.2%	92.9%	75.0%	100.0%	81.6%	81.3%	86.9%
		49.8%	4.8%	7.1%	25.0%	.0%	18.4%	18.7%	13.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes		H		a	a,,b			
	No				a	a,,b			B I

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	H		b	a			
	No			b	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	464	53	44	19	29	28	31
	No	118	8	8	10	7	4	11
		79.7%	87.4%	85.4%	65.1%	79.9%	86.3%	74.3%
		20.3%	12.6%	14.6%	34.9%	20.1%	13.7%	25.7%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	33	19	105	104
	No	6	15	27	23
		85.0%	55.5%	79.5%	82.2%
		15.0%	44.5%	20.5%	17.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	H						
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes			
	No	A		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	464	137	106	221
		79.7%	81.8%	69.3%	84.5%
	No	118	31	47	41
		20.3%	18.2%	30.7%	15.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	B		
	No		A C	B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	376	98	71	60	147
		81.4%	77.8%	78.5%	77.3%	87.5%
	No	86	28	19	18	21
		18.6%	22.2%	21.5%	22.7%	12.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes				
	No				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	461	92	88	31	29	111	95
		79.6%	74.3%	73.8%	77.5%	72.2%	87.1%	84.4%
	No	118	32	31	9	11	17	17
		20.4%	25.7%	26.2%	22.5%	27.8%	12.9%	15.6%

		Party by Gender	
		Fem Oth	Male Oth
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Total	7	9
	Yes	7	8
		97.8%	93.4%
	No	0	1
		2.2%	6.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Party by Gender						
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions ^{a,b}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
P. Permanent Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Total	
		Total	Total
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	582
	Yes	347	347
		59.6%	59.6%
	No	235	235
		40.4%	40.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Total
		Total
		(A)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	.
	No	.

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Respondent's Gender			
		Total	Male	Female	Other
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	281	298	3
	Yes	347	161	183	3
		59.6%	57.3%	61.5%	100.0%
	No	235	120	115	0
		40.4%	42.7%	38.5%	.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Respondent's Gender		
		Male	Female	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			a
	No			a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Age						
		Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	77	101	141	152	102	9
	Yes	347	17	50	89	112	72	7
		59.6%	22.0%	49.2%	63.4%	73.6%	70.8%	75.7%
	No	235	60	51	52	40	30	2
		40.4%	78.0%	50.8%	36.6%	26.4%	29.2%	24.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Age						
		18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded	
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A B	A B	A	
	No	B C D E F	D E					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Homeownership Status		
		Total	Owner	Renter
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	424	158
	Yes	347	275	72
		59.6%	64.8%	45.8%
	No	235	150	85
		40.4%	35.2%	54.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Homeownership Status	
		Owner	Renter
		(A)	(B)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party				
		Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	244	81	16	242
	Yes	347	149	58	8	132
		59.6%	61.1%	72.3%	51.2%	54.4%
	No	235	95	22	8	110
		40.4%	38.9%	27.7%	48.8%	45.6%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party			
		Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		D		
	No				B

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Party						
		Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	121	66	32	28	128	205
	Yes	347	66	46	22	22	75	117
		59.6%	54.9%	68.6%	67.2%	76.1%	58.5%	56.8%
	No	235	55	21	11	7	53	89
		40.4%	45.1%	31.4%	32.8%	23.9%	41.5%	43.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Party					
		Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Registration Date					
		Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	263	78	81	47	27
	Yes	347	92	55	66	43	20
		59.6%	34.9%	70.0%	81.1%	90.2%	74.2%
	No	235	171	24	15	5	7
		40.4%	65.1%	30.0%	18.9%	9.8%	25.8%

		Registration Date		
		1993 to 1996	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	23	38	24
	Yes	19	34	19
		83.6%	89.0%	77.6%
	No	4	4	5
		16.4%	11.0%	22.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date					
		2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A	A	A
	No	B C D E F G H					

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Registration Date	
		1981 to 1992	1980 or before
		(G)	(H)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	A	A
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Date									
		Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	7	173	42	46	17	14	42	97	73
	Yes	347	2	123	23	21	17	7	25	63	44
		59.6%	37.6%	71.3%	54.8%	46.1%	100.0%	55.0%	59.7%	65.2%	59.8%
	No	235	4	50	19	25	0	6	17	34	30
		40.4%	62.4%	28.7%	45.2%	53.9%	.0%	45.0%	40.3%	34.8%	40.2%

		Date		
		Apr. 13	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	64	2	5
	Yes	17	1	3
		26.0%	53.7%	53.3%
	No	48	1	3
		74.0%	46.3%	46.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date									
		Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		J			a		J	J	J	
	No					a					B G H I

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Date	
		Apr. 14	Apr. 15
		(K)	(L)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		
	No		

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Permanent Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	464	118
	Yes	347	314	33
	No	235	150	85
		59.6%	67.7%	27.8%
		40.4%	32.3%	72.2%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Permanent Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B	
	No		A

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Likely Absentee Voter		
		Total	Yes	No
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	347	235
	Yes	347	347	0
	No	235	0	235
		59.6%	100.0%	.0%
		40.4%	.0%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Likely Absentee Voter	
		Yes (A)	No (B)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a
	No	a	a

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	11	49	94	131	163
	Yes	347	0	16	56	79	95
	No	235	11	33	38	53	68
		59.6%	.9%	33.3%	59.3%	59.9%	58.2%
		40.4%	99.1%	66.7%	40.7%	40.1%	41.8%

		How Long Lived in Cupertino	
		26 years or more	Not sure
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	133	1
	Yes	101	0
	No	32	1
		76.1%	.0%
		23.9%	100.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino					
		Less than 1 year (A)	1 to 3 years (B)	4 to 9 years (C)	10 to 15 years (D)	16 to 25 years (E)	26 years or more (F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			A B	A B	A B	A B E
	No	C D E F	C D E F			F	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		How Long Lived in Cupertino
		Not sure (G)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a, b
	No	a, b

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People in Household with Cell Phone						
		Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	580	45	211	141	114	58	12
	Yes	345	31	130	82	65	29	7
		59.5%	70.0%	61.6%	58.5%	57.1%	50.4%	62.5%
	No	235	14	81	58	49	29	4
		40.5%	30.0%	38.4%	41.5%	42.9%	49.6%	37.5%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People in Household with Cell Phone					
		1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Ethnic Group					
		Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	3	3	189	2	106
	Yes	347	3	0	108	2	62
	No	235	0	3	81	0	44
		59.6%	100.0%	.0%	57.3%	100.0%	58.1%
		40.4%	.0%	100.0%	42.7%	.0%	41.9%

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	15	25	8	14	10	148
	Yes	12	4	5	5	7	102
	No	3	21	3	9	3	46
		80.3%	17.4%	59.1%	36.1%	71.8%	68.7%
		19.7%	82.6%	40.9%	63.9%	28.2%	31.3%

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	23	12	6	17
	Yes	13	9	5	9
	No	10	3	1	9
		56.8%	76.8%	85.3%	51.2%
		43.2%	23.2%	14.7%	48.8%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group				
		African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	a	a	G	a	G
	No	a	a		a	

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group					
		Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
		(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	G					G
	No		C E F K M				

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{b,c}

		Ethnic Group			
		Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
		(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		G		
	No				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Under 18 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	267	104	113	31	11	9
	Yes	148	63	57	16	6	6
	No	119	41	56	15	5	3
		55.4%	61.0%	50.5%	52.3%	52.3%	65.6%
		44.6%	39.0%	49.5%	47.7%	47.7%	34.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Under 18 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		People Over 50 in Household					
		Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	381	108	224	34	4	11
	Yes	241	70	142	20	2	8
		63.4%	64.6%	63.4%	60.1%	42.1%	68.7%
	No	139	38	82	13	3	3
		36.6%	35.4%	36.6%	39.9%	57.9%	31.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		People Over 50 in Household				
		1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes					
	No					

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Primary Languages in Household								
		Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	448	26	93	7	1	44	7	22
	Yes	347	278	16	53	5	0	26	6	3
		59.6%	62.1%	62.2%	57.5%	73.2%	6.5%	59.1%	93.0%	11.9%
	No	235	170	10	39	2	1	18	0	19
		40.4%	37.9%	37.8%	42.5%	26.8%	93.5%	40.9%	7.0%	88.1%

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	48	13	1	12	14	56	7
	Yes	27	9	1	9	5	33	4
		56.4%	65.0%	100.0%	73.3%	36.1%	59.0%	61.3%
	No	21	5	0	3	9	23	3
		43.6%	35.0%	.0%	26.7%	63.9%	41.0%	38.7%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household							
		English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	H	H	H		a	H	H	
	No					a			A B C F G I ...

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{c,d}

		Primary Languages in Household						
		Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
		(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	H		a,b	H		H	
	No			a,b				

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. This category is not used in comparisons because its column proportion is equal to zero or one.

c. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

d. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Household Income						
		Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	60	52	29	37	32	41
	Yes	347	33	30	18	20	23	23
		59.6%	54.9%	57.5%	62.2%	55.3%	71.5%	55.1%
	No	235	27	22	11	16	9	19
		40.4%	45.1%	42.5%	37.8%	44.7%	28.5%	44.9%

		Household Income			
		\$160-\$180k	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	38	34	132	126
	Yes	15	21	85	79
		39.3%	63.5%	63.8%	62.6%
	No	23	12	48	47
		60.7%	36.5%	36.2%	37.4%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Income						
		Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes							
	No							

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Household Income		
		\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
		(H)	(I)	(J)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes			
	No			

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Interview Type			
		Total	Landline	Cell	Online
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	582	167	153	262
	Yes	347	102	65	180
		59.6%	61.1%	42.4%	68.7%
	No	235	65	88	82
		40.4%	38.9%	57.6%	31.3%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Interview Type		
		Landline	Cell	Online
		(A)	(B)	(C)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	B		B
	No		A C	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Voting Propensity				
		Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	462	126	90	78	168
	Yes	347	62	63	60	161
	No	115	64	27	17	7
		24.9%	50.9%	29.6%	22.4%	4.0%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Voting Propensity			
		1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes		A	A	A B C
	No	B C D	D	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

		Party by Gender						
		Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	579	123	119	40	41	128	112
	Yes	344	79	69	31	28	68	62
	No	235	45	50	9	13	60	50
		59.4%	63.8%	58.1%	76.7%	68.0%	53.2%	55.0%
		40.6%	36.2%	41.9%	23.3%	32.0%	46.8%	45.0%

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Total	9
	Yes	2
	No	7
		24.9%
		75.1%

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender					
		Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP
		(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes						
	No						

Comparisons of Column Proportions^{a,b}

		Party by Gender
		Male Oth
		(H)
Q. Likely Absentee Voter	Yes	
	No	

Results are based on two-sided tests with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the category with the smaller column proportion appears under the category with the larger column proportion.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts of some categories are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing column proportions tests.

SET Printback=On.

	Total	
	Total	Total
6A. Police services	1.12	1.12
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.33
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.28
6E. Library services	1.56	1.56
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.62
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.09
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.90
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.36
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.74
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.97
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.12
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.03
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.76
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.10
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.81
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.01
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.21

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	Total (A)
6A. Police services	.
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	.
6C. Garbage collection	.
6D. Recycling program	.
6E. Library services	.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.
6G. Street sweeping services	.
6H. Street tree maintenance	.
6I. Economic development efforts	.
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.
6L. Youth sports fields	.
6M. Senior Center programs	.
6N. Neighborhood programs	.
6O. Managing land use	.
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.
6Q. Sports Center programs	.
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
6A. Police services	1.12	1.09	1.16	-.31
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.28	1.36	2.00
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.37	.39
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.18	1.37	.67
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.54	2.00
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.72	.52	1.00
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.10	1.10	-.33
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.84	.96	-.33
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.40	.36	-2.00
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.77	.71	.04
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.01	.94	1.49
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.07	1.17	1.00
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.97	1.07	.
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.74	.78	.04
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.11	-.08	-2.00
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.78	.85	-.29
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.95	1.06	1.51
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.11	1.30	1.48

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			a
6C. Garbage collection			
6D. Recycling program		A	
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services			
6H. Street tree maintenance			
6I. Economic development efforts	C	C	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			a
6L. Youth sports fields			
6M. Senior Center programs			.
6N. Neighborhood programs			
6O. Managing land use			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			
6Q. Sports Center programs			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						Not coded
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	
6A. Police services	1.12	1.00	1.46	.99	.87	1.36	1.81
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.39	1.39	1.31	1.26	1.35	1.58
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.33	1.35	1.20	1.40	1.51	2.00
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.32	1.29	1.30	1.19	1.31	1.56
6E. Library services	1.56	1.73	1.65	1.48	1.49	1.56	1.43
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.74	.45	.67	.53	.78	.13
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.14	1.06	1.04	1.07	1.13	1.58
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	1.16	.84	.95	.74	.94	.53
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.52	.66	.29	-.02	.70	-.49
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.91	.66	.82	.58	.87	-.04
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.07	.89	.91	.90	1.18	.93
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.13	1.30	1.12	.99	1.15	.84
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.03	1.24	.64	1.03	1.18	.93
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.64	.87	.81	.46	1.14	.04
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.21	.02	-.24	-.34	.14	-.64
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	1.07	.73	.85	.54	1.01	.92
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.84	1.39	.99	.79	1.12	1.62
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.22	1.46	.97	1.22	1.29	1.56

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services		C D			D	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program						
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts		D			D	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs					D	
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs		D				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
6A. Police services	1.12	1.01	1.40
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.31	1.39
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.33	1.44
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.22	1.45
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.53
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.57	.74
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.06	1.18
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.79	1.18
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.28	.61
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.66	.96
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.95	1.04
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.01	1.43
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.05	.98
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.66	1.00
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.19	.17
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.78	.89
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.96	1.12
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.14	1.38

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		A
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program		A
6E. Library services		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		A
6I. Economic development efforts		A
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		A
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		A
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		A
6O. Managing land use		A
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
6A. Police services	1.12	1.17	1.28	1.28	1.00
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.46	1.15	1.61	1.25
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.34	1.52	1.51	1.32
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.24	1.33	1.64	1.27
6E. Library services	1.56	1.57	1.35	1.37	1.63
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.54	.52	.74	.71
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.18	.95	1.45	1.02
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.98	.93	.75	.82
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.26	.39	.67	.44
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.75	.54	1.04	.78
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.99	.98	1.27	.94
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.19	.84	.86	1.16
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.20	.83	1.13	.94
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.73	.78	.80	.77
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.23	-.19	-.06	.05
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.82	.91	.68	.78
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.12	.86	1.21	.94
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.28	1.08	1.73	1.13

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services				
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs				
6C. Garbage collection				
6D. Recycling program				
6E. Library services				B
6F. Street pavement maintenance				
6G. Street sweeping services				
6H. Street tree maintenance				
6I. Economic development efforts				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance				
6L. Youth sports fields				
6M. Senior Center programs				
6N. Neighborhood programs				
6O. Managing land use				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs				
6Q. Sports Center programs				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
6A. Police services	1.12	1.21	.95	1.32	1.34	1.05	1.09
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.33	1.57	1.36	1.03	1.34	1.29
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.33	1.30	1.52	1.40	1.32	1.40
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.17	1.22	1.38	1.27	1.38	1.28
6E. Library services	1.56	1.53	1.57	1.30	1.30	1.64	1.59
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.54	.23	.79	.20	.76	.73
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.27	1.11	1.05	.89	1.03	1.05
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.94	.95	1.24	.78	.92	.80
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.28	-.01	.59	.25	.31	.55
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.73	.49	.70	.40	.84	.81
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.90	1.00	1.21	.71	1.09	.94
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.17	1.10	1.20	.58	1.18	1.13
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.02	1.52	.70	.81	.96	1.07
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.78	.20	.88	.84	.73	.87
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.22	-.67	-.26	-.10	-.08	.14
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.80	.54	1.43	.39	.91	.80
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.07	1.04	1.05	.86	1.06	.95
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.25	1.23	1.22	1.05	1.11	1.25

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services						
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program						
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts						
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						
6O. Managing land use						B
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs						

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
6A. Police services	1.12	1.22	1.04	.70	.85	1.33	1.03
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.37	1.29	1.25	1.30	1.21	1.04
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.43	1.31	1.19	1.07	1.26	1.44
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.30	1.42	1.26	.65	1.10	1.28
6E. Library services	1.56	1.59	1.74	1.44	1.42	1.53	1.25
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.76	.46	.60	.16	.66	.24
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.13	1.10	1.15	.89	.76	.87
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	1.03	.76	.95	.62	.32	.92
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.54	.14	.58	-.18	.09	.05
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.80	.86	.74	.56	.74	.07
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.03	.88	.90	.94	.86	.72
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.14	1.09	1.21	.92	1.32	1.03
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.08	.57	.78	1.01	.97	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.81	.68	.88	.17	.72	.90
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.08	-.12	-.23	-.62	.10	-.22
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.84	.73	.86	.68	.61	.72
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.03	1.16	1.00	.73	.84	.80
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.36	1.00	1.04	.64	1.22	1.27

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
6A. Police services	1.23	1.77
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.50	1.53
6C. Garbage collection	1.46	1.81
6D. Recycling program	1.52	1.66
6E. Library services	1.57	1.55
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.65	.79
6G. Street sweeping services	1.07	1.45
6H. Street tree maintenance	.75	1.00
6I. Economic development efforts	.25	.34
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.61
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.01	1.25
6L. Youth sports fields	1.05	1.04
6M. Senior Center programs	1.29	1.41
6N. Neighborhood programs	.93	1.01
6O. Managing land use	-.15	-.35
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.01	.82
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.27	1.11
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.63	1.62

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	C					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program	D	D	D			
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts						
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	D					

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services		C D
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program	D	D
6E. Library services		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		
6I. Economic development efforts		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		
6O. Managing land use		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	D	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Date										
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
6A. Police services	1.12	.55	.72	.54	1.41	.66	1.25	1.19	1.36	1.36	1.63
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	.05	1.04	1.49	1.63	1.34	1.35	1.50	1.33	1.40	1.63
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.35	1.17	1.22	1.39	1.69	1.70	1.62	1.19	1.63	1.52
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.52	1.07	1.29	1.33	1.32	1.48	1.39	1.17	1.56	1.43
6E. Library services	1.56	1.82	1.57	1.46	1.68	1.73	1.10	1.64	1.36	1.60	1.67
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	1.09	.50	.13	.84	.26	.86	.77	.63	.64	.94
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.15	.95	.78	1.17	.58	1.08	1.43	1.12	1.19	1.30
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	-.41	.65	.59	1.02	.45	1.46	.96	1.13	1.07	1.22
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	-1.44	-.24	-.08	1.40	-.22	1.16	.43	.68	.66	.85
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.77	.52	-.11	1.27	.25	.89	.71	1.03	.79	1.14
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.07	.83	.65	1.30	1.00	.91	1.07	1.02	.99	1.18
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.00	.87	1.25	1.48	1.43	.78	1.04	1.28	1.05	1.31
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.05	.80	1.11	1.41	1.29	-.47	1.12	1.12	1.23	1.11
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	-1.35	.46	-.05	1.21	1.13	1.02	.97	.99	.84	1.17
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-1.48	-.63	-.12	-.07	.26	.84	-.23	.27	.36	.35
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.58	.59	.73	1.24	1.02	.28	.96	.73	1.05	1.00
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	-1.00	.83	.33	1.59	1.83	.99	1.01	1.17	.78	1.46
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	-2.00	.95	.80	1.13	.87	1.54	1.53	1.36	1.57	1.54

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Date	
	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
6A. Police services	1.83	1.17
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	2.00	1.69
6C. Garbage collection	1.71	1.69
6D. Recycling program	1.90	1.68
6E. Library services	1.90	1.95
6F. Street pavement maintenance	1.00	.10
6G. Street sweeping services	.12	1.55
6H. Street tree maintenance	-.80	1.22
6I. Economic development efforts	1.00	-.39
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	1.46	.20
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.00	1.71
6L. Youth sports fields	1.00	.76
6M. Senior Center programs	2.00	-2.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.07	.25
6O. Managing land use	-.51	-.68
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.00	.61
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.83	-.42
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.83	1.50

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Date										
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
6A. Police services				B C				B C	B C	B C	. ^a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			A	A B			A	A	A	A B	. ^a
6C. Garbage collection									B		
6D. Recycling program									B		
6E. Library services											
6F. Street pavement maintenance											
6G. Street sweeping services											
6H. Street tree maintenance											
6I. Economic development efforts				A B C E		A		A B	A B	A B C	. ^a
6J. Sidewalk maintenance				B C				C	C	B C	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance											. ^a
6L. Youth sports fields				B							. ^a
6M. Senior Center programs				F				F	F		. ^a
6N. Neighborhood programs				A B C	A		A	A C	A	A C	
6O. Managing land use						A B		B	B	B	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs											
6Q. Sports Center programs				A B C I	A			A C		A B C	. ^a
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		A	A	A	A	A	A	A	A B	A	. ^a

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Date
	Apr. 15
	(L)
6A. Police services	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	
6C. Garbage collection	
6D. Recycling program	
6E. Library services	
6F. Street pavement maintenance	
6G. Street sweeping services	
6H. Street tree maintenance	
6I. Economic development efforts	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	
6L. Youth sports fields	
6M. Senior Center programs	. ^a
6N. Neighborhood programs	
6O. Managing land use	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	
6Q. Sports Center programs	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	1.12	1.11	1.13
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.31	1.39
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.35	1.39
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.25	1.39
6E. Library services	1.56	1.52	1.71
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.63	.58
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.06	1.20
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.88	.98
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.33	.48
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.73	.78
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.01	.85
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.12	1.13
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.05	.92
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.75	.79
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.12	-.03
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.77	.94
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.98	1.14
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.20	1.25

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services	A	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program		
6E. Library services		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		
6I. Economic development efforts		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		
6O. Managing land use		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
6A. Police services	1.12	1.07	1.18
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.30	1.37
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.36
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.30	1.24
6E. Library services	1.56	1.49	1.65
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.48	.82
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.05	1.15
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.80	1.04
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.21	.60
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.67	.84
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.04	.87
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.10	1.14
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.00	1.07
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.70	.83
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.28	.18
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.75	.90
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.90	1.16
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.19	1.23

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
6A. Police services		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		
6C. Garbage collection		
6D. Recycling program		
6E. Library services		A
6F. Street pavement maintenance		A
6G. Street sweeping services		
6H. Street tree maintenance		A
6I. Economic development efforts		A
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		
6L. Youth sports fields		
6M. Senior Center programs		
6N. Neighborhood programs		
6O. Managing land use		A
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		
6Q. Sports Center programs		A
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
6A. Police services	1.12	1.43	1.38	1.00	.85	1.04
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.95	1.24	1.31	1.14	1.35
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.42	1.45	1.25	1.16	1.41
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.56	1.36	1.29	1.14	1.23
6E. Library services	1.56	1.66	1.28	1.78	1.51	1.53
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.03	.92	.69	.63	.58
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.54	1.03	1.23	.89	1.19
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	1.71	.90	.88	.97	.92
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.41	.47	.35	.25	.50
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.00	.69	.98	.69	.78
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.19	.96	.85	.96	.97
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.74	1.25	1.21	1.07	1.02
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.94	.83	1.19	.86	.93
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.21	.99	.89	.37	.74
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-1.00	-.20	.04	.02	-.20
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.39	.84	.74	.84	.69
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.57	.71	.99	.87	1.02
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.97	1.26	1.00	1.05	1.22

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
6A. Police services	1.44	2.00
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.54	.
6C. Garbage collection	1.55	2.00
6D. Recycling program	1.41	2.00
6E. Library services	1.59	2.00
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.52	2.00
6G. Street sweeping services	1.07	2.00
6H. Street tree maintenance	.74	2.00
6I. Economic development efforts	.27	2.00
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.62	2.00
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.12	2.00
6L. Youth sports fields	1.16	2.00
6M. Senior Center programs	1.20	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	1.05	.
6O. Managing land use	-.19	1.00
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	1.00	1.00
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.26	2.00
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.46	.

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services						D
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						D
6C. Garbage collection						D
6D. Recycling program						
6E. Library services			B			
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts						
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs						
6N. Neighborhood programs						D
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs						

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
	(G)
6A. Police services	a
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	.
6C. Garbage collection	a
6D. Recycling program	a
6E. Library services	.
6F. Street pavement maintenance	a
6G. Street sweeping services	a
6H. Street tree maintenance	a
6I. Economic development efforts	a
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	a
6L. Youth sports fields	a
6M. Senior Center programs	a
6N. Neighborhood programs	.
6O. Managing land use	.
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	a
6Q. Sports Center programs	a
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
6A. Police services	1.11	1.22	1.29	1.25	.48	1.48	.15
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.21	1.32	1.40	1.24	1.51	.92
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.45	1.29	1.41	1.29	1.56	1.26
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.46	1.32	1.40	1.00	1.39	.23
6E. Library services	1.56	1.49	1.56	1.59	1.56	1.57	1.41
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.61	.63	.54	.81	.45	.80	.11
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	.99	1.03	1.34	.93	1.18	.60
6H. Street tree maintenance	.89	.77	.94	.90	.74	1.12	.64
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.44	.46	.50	-.18	.92	-1.58
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.85	.73	.86	.50	.85	.59
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.83	.94	1.07	.90	1.24	.07
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.03	1.20	1.06	.93	1.47	.87
6M. Senior Center programs	1.02	1.22	1.08	1.15	.52	1.20	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.86	.88	.87	.39	.94	-.76
6O. Managing land use	-.11	-.01	.06	.00	-.52	.05	-1.50
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.88	.83	.88	.55	1.09	-.05
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.28	1.05	1.01	.75	1.18	1.11
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.29	1.28	1.37	.87	1.36	.75

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services	D F	D F	D F		D F	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs						
6C. Garbage collection						
6D. Recycling program	F	F	D F		F	
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance						
6G. Street sweeping services			D			
6H. Street tree maintenance						
6I. Economic development efforts	F	D F	D F		D F	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance						
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields					D	
6M. Senior Center programs			D			
6N. Neighborhood programs	F	F	F		F	
6O. Managing land use		D F	F			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs						
6Q. Sports Center programs						
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			D			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
6A. Police services	1.12	.00	1.00	.88	2.00	.81
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	2.00	1.00	1.19	-1.00	1.27
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	2.00	1.00	1.25	.46	1.17
6D. Recycling program	1.28	2.00	1.00	1.03	-1.00	1.23
6E. Library services	1.56	2.00	1.00	1.52	2.00	1.39
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	1.50	1.00	.67	1.49	.39
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.50	1.00	1.01	1.49	.98
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	2.00	1.00	.84	1.49	.70
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.50	1.00	.23	.	.33
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	2.00	.	.75	1.49	.68
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	2.00	1.00	.94	1.49	.81
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.00	1.00	.92	2.00	1.08
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.	.	.95	.	.54
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	2.00	1.00	.67	.	.56
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.50	1.00	-.06	-1.49	-.39
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	2.00	.	.65	.	.71
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.00	.	.82	.	.92
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	2.00	.	.98	1.00	.61

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
6A. Police services	.62	1.53	1.42	1.87	.97	1.52
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.15	1.89	1.82	2.00	1.24	1.42
6C. Garbage collection	.82	1.70	2.00	1.79	1.09	1.62
6D. Recycling program	1.15	1.71	1.75	1.64	1.14	1.53
6E. Library services	1.54	1.81	1.86	2.00	1.63	1.65
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.49	1.68	.48	1.30	.50	.66
6G. Street sweeping services	1.05	1.61	.96	1.63	1.00	1.16
6H. Street tree maintenance	.82	1.66	1.07	1.40	.91	1.00
6I. Economic development efforts	-.55	1.25	1.85	.95	.68	.34
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.79	1.50	1.00	1.30	1.03	.79
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.15	1.18	1.49	1.40	.45	1.13
6L. Youth sports fields	1.24	1.66	1.00	1.77	.83	1.30
6M. Senior Center programs	1.40	1.39	1.57	1.21	1.00	1.30
6N. Neighborhood programs	.14	1.46	1.54	1.46	.66	.95
6O. Managing land use	.00	.63	1.41	.01	.22	-.19
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.48	1.38	1.54	1.55	1.08	.96
6Q. Sports Center programs	.41	1.39	2.00	2.00	-.79	1.23
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.63	2.00	.	1.38	1.36	1.71

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.39	1.02	.56	1.21
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.28	1.48	.71	1.70
6C. Garbage collection	1.16	1.48	.94	1.45
6D. Recycling program	1.38	1.34	1.48	1.25
6E. Library services	1.38	1.50	1.86	1.59
6F. Street pavement maintenance	-.04	.35	.72	.13
6G. Street sweeping services	1.47	1.04	1.09	.74
6H. Street tree maintenance	1.46	.28	.93	.01
6I. Economic development efforts	.07	-.16	-.25	1.11
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	-.44	.74	.72	.05
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.62	1.11	-.29	.69
6L. Youth sports fields	1.30	1.03	1.00	.78
6M. Senior Center programs	.48	1.08	.59	1.53
6N. Neighborhood programs	.52	.88	-.64	.84
6O. Managing land use	.22	-.31	-.22	.05
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.27	.79	-1.25	1.41
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.42	1.13	1.04	1.30
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.42	1.74	1.37	1.29

b,c

Comparisons of Column Means

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
6A. Police services				a		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	a			a		
6C. Garbage collection				a		
6D. Recycling program				a		
6E. Library services				a		
6F. Street pavement maintenance				a		
6G. Street sweeping services				a		
6H. Street tree maintenance				a		
6I. Economic development efforts				a		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			L		L	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields	a			a		
6M. Senior Center programs	a			a		
6N. Neighborhood programs	a			a		
6O. Managing land use	a			a		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	a		N	a	N	
6Q. Sports Center programs	a		J	a	J	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	a			a		

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
6A. Police services					C E	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			C			
6C. Garbage collection					C E	
6D. Recycling program					C	
6E. Library services						
6F. Street pavement maintenance	C E K L O					
6G. Street sweeping services						
6H. Street tree maintenance	E O					O
6I. Economic development efforts	F	F				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	L O		L		L	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance						
6L. Youth sports fields						
6M. Senior Center programs				a	E	
6N. Neighborhood programs						
6O. Managing land use						
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	N	N	N	N	N	
6Q. Sports Center programs	J	J	C E F J		J	J
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	E	.			C E	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			
6C. Garbage collection			
6D. Recycling program			
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services			
6H. Street tree maintenance			
6I. Economic development efforts			
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields		a	
6M. Senior Center programs			
6N. Neighborhood programs			
6O. Managing land use			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			N
6Q. Sports Center programs	J		J
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.14	1.30	.96	1.11	1.32	1.43
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.31	1.33	1.29	1.50	.84	1.23
6C. Garbage collection	1.38	1.32	1.35	1.69	1.17	1.66
6D. Recycling program	1.31	1.40	1.22	1.40	.93	1.43
6E. Library services	1.58	1.57	1.62	1.45	1.58	1.75
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.69	.65	.54	1.31	1.01	.64
6G. Street sweeping services	1.14	1.15	1.12	1.22	.96	1.14
6H. Street tree maintenance	.82	.85	.61	1.29	1.07	1.27
6I. Economic development efforts	.30	.42	.14	.44	.34	.25
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.73	.89	.49	.92	1.21	.65
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.98	1.12	.80	1.25	.95	.82
6L. Youth sports fields	1.11	1.13	1.11	1.13	.88	1.05
6M. Senior Center programs	.89	1.00	.80	.30	1.42	1.46
6N. Neighborhood programs	.73	.57	.70	1.17	.99	1.13
6O. Managing land use	-.15	.04	-.53	.39	-.03	-.37
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.92	.67	.91	.87	.58
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.03	1.14	.86	1.14	1.00	1.27
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.17	.99	1.20	1.53	1.18	1.10

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs					
6C. Garbage collection					
6D. Recycling program					
6E. Library services					
6F. Street pavement maintenance			B		
6G. Street sweeping services					
6H. Street tree maintenance					
6I. Economic development efforts					
6J. Sidewalk maintenance					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance					
6L. Youth sports fields					
6M. Senior Center programs					
6N. Neighborhood programs					
6O. Managing land use			B		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs					
6Q. Sports Center programs					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	1.12	1.15	1.10	1.08	.82	1.67
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.35	1.34	1.32	1.46	1.67	1.53
6C. Garbage collection	1.41	1.49	1.41	1.30	1.05	1.02
6D. Recycling program	1.27	1.35	1.27	1.30	.77	.71
6E. Library services	1.54	1.50	1.59	1.47	1.69	1.12
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.65	.63	.18	.59	1.26
6G. Street sweeping services	1.08	1.27	1.01	.86	.99	1.21
6H. Street tree maintenance	.87	1.01	.79	.93	.78	.74
6I. Economic development efforts	.40	.54	.30	.85	-.42	.04
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.80	.74	.32	.70	1.40
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.00	1.07	.94	1.15	.28	1.25
6L. Youth sports fields	1.05	.86	1.12	1.38	.05	.86
6M. Senior Center programs	1.02	.91	1.06	1.09	.91	1.05
6N. Neighborhood programs	.72	.82	.74	.45	.01	.77
6O. Managing land use	-.11	.09	-.23	.03	-.25	-.70
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	1.00	.79	.53	-.24	.68
6Q. Sports Center programs	.99	.91	1.04	1.08	-.25	1.15
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.17	1.40	1.03	1.33	.99	.42

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
6A. Police services					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs					
6C. Garbage collection					
6D. Recycling program					
6E. Library services					
6F. Street pavement maintenance					
6G. Street sweeping services					
6H. Street tree maintenance					
6I. Economic development efforts					
6J. Sidewalk maintenance					
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance					
6L. Youth sports fields					
6M. Senior Center programs					
6N. Neighborhood programs					
6O. Managing land use					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs					
6Q. Sports Center programs					
6R. Blackberry Farms programs					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Primary Languages in Household								
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
6A. Police services	1.12	1.11	.82	.59	1.08	2.00	1.10	-.06	1.72
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.30	1.21	1.22	1.18	2.00	1.41	1.44	1.87
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.36	1.20	1.37	.74	2.00	1.14	.06	1.75
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.28	1.04	1.06	1.17	2.00	1.20	.69	1.70
6E. Library services	1.56	1.56	1.54	1.56	1.27	1.76	1.39	1.40	1.92
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.57	.95	.55	1.13	.96	.25	.71	1.70
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.11	1.07	.98	.83	2.00	.81	1.18	1.64
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.87	.81	.80	.90	1.27	.52	1.46	1.67
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.21	.21	.30	-.02	1.22	.43	.00	1.29
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.69	.99	.62	1.07	1.21	.53	1.46	1.52
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.96	.86	1.01	.42	1.76	.75	1.35	1.12
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.06	1.11	.91	.68	1.74	.99	1.15	1.71
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	.94	1.17	.88	-2.00	1.26	1.36	1.00	1.47
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.65	.40	.67	.85	1.74	.57	1.66	1.49
6O. Managing land use	-.10	-.26	-.26	.00	-.13	1.00	-.57	.86	.60
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.73	.44	.64	.14	1.76	.64	-.25	1.41
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.91	.65	.91	-.22	1.74	1.19	1.34	1.42
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.24	1.05	1.05	1.34	1.00	.74	2.00	2.00

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
6A. Police services	.81	1.04	2.00	1.03	1.87	.83	.73
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.24	1.80	1.25	1.88	2.00	1.09	1.24
6C. Garbage collection	1.31	1.26	2.00	1.98	1.79	1.17	1.47
6D. Recycling program	1.09	1.64	2.00	1.73	1.64	1.25	1.04
6E. Library services	1.20	1.78	2.00	1.91	2.00	1.66	1.69
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.78	1.26	2.00	.76	1.30	.68	1.14
6G. Street sweeping services	1.17	1.50	2.00	.77	1.63	.95	.61
6H. Street tree maintenance	1.09	.81	1.75	.94	1.40	.73	.54
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	-.27	-1.75	1.24	.95	.15	-.31
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.87	.77	1.25	.90	1.30	.92	1.10
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.91	1.68	1.25	1.41	1.40	.62	1.17
6L. Youth sports fields	.96	1.52	1.00	1.46	1.77	1.00	1.06
6M. Senior Center programs	.96	1.32	1.25	1.34	1.21	.14	1.00
6N. Neighborhood programs	.74	1.22	1.00	1.63	1.46	.23	.72
6O. Managing land use	.19	.40	-.50	.01	.01	-.19	-.53
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.84	.90	-.25	1.43	1.55	.71	.78
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.08	1.74	1.25	2.00	2.00	1.01	1.24
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.13	1.80	2.00	1.00	1.38	.56	1.07

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household								
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
6A. Police services	C				a			C G	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs					a			B I	
6C. Garbage collection			G		a				
6D. Recycling program					a				
6E. Library services					a			I	
6F. Street pavement maintenance					a			A B C F I	
6G. Street sweeping services					a			I	
6H. Street tree maintenance					a			B	
6I. Economic development efforts					a				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance					a				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance					a				
6L. Youth sports fields					a			B	
6M. Senior Center programs				a	a		a		
6N. Neighborhood programs				.	a		.		
6O. Managing land use					a				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs					a				
6Q. Sports Center programs					a				D
6R. Blackberry Farms programs					a		a	B C I O	

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c,d}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
6A. Police services		a		C G		
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		a	B I	B C I		
6C. Garbage collection		a	B			
6D. Recycling program		a				
6E. Library services		a		G I		
6F. Street pavement maintenance		a				
6G. Street sweeping services		a		I		
6H. Street tree maintenance		a				
6I. Economic development efforts		a				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		a				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance		a				
6L. Youth sports fields		a		B C I		
6M. Senior Center programs		a				a
6N. Neighborhood programs		a				.
6O. Managing land use		a				
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		a				
6Q. Sports Center programs	I	a	I	B C D G H I		
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	B I	a	a			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Pairwise comparisons are not performed for some pairs because the pooled variance estimate for the compared categories is zero.

d. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Household Income							
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
6A. Police services	1.12	1.29	1.44	1.37	.95	.95	1.39	1.27
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.19	1.47	1.62	1.26	1.54	1.57	1.35
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.43	1.33	1.54	1.44	1.12	1.65	1.66
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.37	1.41	1.76	1.04	.84	1.66	1.56
6E. Library services	1.56	1.30	1.59	1.76	1.50	1.68	1.74	1.85
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.75	1.04	1.03	.36	.56	.58	.71
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	1.10	1.31	1.21	.67	1.13	1.28	1.57
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.97	1.18	1.11	.97	.90	1.10	.98
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.86	.85	.83	.06	-.04	.18	.51
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	1.03	1.07	1.23	.84	.62	.65	.39
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	1.03	1.13	1.13	.97	.91	1.04	.89
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.10	1.22	1.45	1.12	1.01	1.65	1.02
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.22	1.00	1.62	.61	1.23	1.19	.61
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	1.07	1.06	1.52	.65	1.05	.90	.61
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.63	.42	.21	-.36	-.13	-.83	-.09
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.97	1.28	1.18	.88	.38	.63	.88
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.13	1.30	1.53	1.02	.93	1.54	1.06
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.26	1.50	1.50	1.13	1.11	1.33	.58

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
6A. Police services	.96	.73	1.21
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.65	1.10	1.29
6C. Garbage collection	1.26	1.16	1.39
6D. Recycling program	1.17	1.10	1.26
6E. Library services	1.34	1.66	1.41
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.19	.54	.55
6G. Street sweeping services	1.00	.98	1.02
6H. Street tree maintenance	.79	.69	.82
6I. Economic development efforts	1.00	-.13	.34
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.60	.59	.70
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.16	.85	.94
6L. Youth sports fields	1.43	.93	1.00
6M. Senior Center programs	.83	.77	1.08
6N. Neighborhood programs	.61	.35	.68
6O. Managing land use	-.20	-.36	-.12
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.70	.48	.96
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.05	.71	.82
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	.88	1.04	1.40

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income						
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)
6A. Police services		I					
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs							
6C. Garbage collection							
6D. Recycling program			E			E I	
6E. Library services							A
6F. Street pavement maintenance							
6G. Street sweeping services							D
6H. Street tree maintenance							
6I. Economic development efforts	I	I					
6J. Sidewalk maintenance							
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance							
6L. Youth sports fields						I	
6M. Senior Center programs							
6N. Neighborhood programs	I		I				
6O. Managing land use	F I	F					
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		I					
6Q. Sports Center programs						I	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs							

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
6A. Police services			
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs			
6C. Garbage collection			
6D. Recycling program			
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services			
6H. Street tree maintenance			
6I. Economic development efforts	I		
6J. Sidewalk maintenance			
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields			
6M. Senior Center programs			
6N. Neighborhood programs			
6O. Managing land use			
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs			
6Q. Sports Center programs			
6R. Blackberry Farms programs			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
6A. Police services	1.12	1.16	1.62	.77
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.28	1.67	1.18
6C. Garbage collection	1.36	1.37	1.55	1.25
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.26	1.53	1.14
6E. Library services	1.56	1.45	1.61	1.60
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.55	.76	.57
6G. Street sweeping services	1.09	.98	1.33	1.02
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.93	1.22	.68
6I. Economic development efforts	.36	.54	1.02	-.19
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.78	1.03	.55
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.91	1.13	.92
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	.97	1.53	.96
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.14	1.02	.90
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.87	1.04	.49
6O. Managing land use	-.10	.27	.16	-.48
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.81	.93	.97	.63
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	.94	1.40	.76
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.28	1.41	1.02

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
6A. Police services	C	A C	
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs		A C	
6C. Garbage collection		C	
6D. Recycling program		A C	
6E. Library services			
6F. Street pavement maintenance			
6G. Street sweeping services		A C	
6H. Street tree maintenance		C	
6I. Economic development efforts	C	A C	
6J. Sidewalk maintenance		C	
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance			
6L. Youth sports fields		A C	
6M. Senior Center programs			
6N. Neighborhood programs	C	C	
6O. Managing land use	C	C	
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs		C	
6Q. Sports Center programs		A C	
6R. Blackberry Farms programs		C	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
6A. Police services	1.08	1.09	.89	.99	1.22
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.30	1.25	1.39	1.16	1.39
6C. Garbage collection	1.33	1.27	1.34	1.04	1.52
6D. Recycling program	1.27	1.28	1.35	1.01	1.36
6E. Library services	1.53	1.62	1.61	1.37	1.51
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.56	.69	.54	.38	.57
6G. Street sweeping services	1.05	.93	1.05	1.04	1.15
6H. Street tree maintenance	.83	.80	.77	.93	.85
6I. Economic development efforts	.28	.42	.31	.25	.18
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.69	.82	.77	.59	.61
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.02	.95	1.09	1.00	1.04
6L. Youth sports fields	1.08	1.18	.99	1.03	1.09
6M. Senior Center programs	1.00	.84	.93	1.06	1.06
6N. Neighborhood programs	.77	.73	.88	.75	.74
6O. Managing land use	-.15	.00	.17	-.28	-.38
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.78	.70	.94	.69	.80
6Q. Sports Center programs	.96	1.03	1.08	.96	.85
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.17	1.07	1.08	1.09	1.34

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
6A. Police services				
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs				
6C. Garbage collection				C
6D. Recycling program				
6E. Library services				
6F. Street pavement maintenance				
6G. Street sweeping services				
6H. Street tree maintenance				
6I. Economic development efforts				
6J. Sidewalk maintenance				
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance				
6L. Youth sports fields				
6M. Senior Center programs				
6N. Neighborhood programs				
6O. Managing land use		D		
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs				
6Q. Sports Center programs				
6R. Blackberry Farms programs				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
6A. Police services	1.12	1.21	1.15	1.46	1.10	1.02	.99	1.06
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.33	1.55	1.33	1.13	1.17	1.25	1.23	1.73
6C. Garbage collection	1.37	1.37	1.33	1.52	1.53	1.33	1.30	1.35
6D. Recycling program	1.28	1.36	1.11	1.50	1.15	1.33	1.23	1.65
6E. Library services	1.56	1.64	1.50	1.23	1.48	1.56	1.70	1.29
6F. Street pavement maintenance	.62	.48	.61	.52	.52	.57	.87	.26
6G. Street sweeping services	1.10	1.25	1.13	1.02	.89	.97	1.10	1.29
6H. Street tree maintenance	.90	.99	.98	1.28	.60	.85	.80	.88
6I. Economic development efforts	.38	.24	.29	.34	.45	.44	.49	.83
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.74	.68	.83	.65	.44	.74	.81	1.28
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	.97	.89	1.09	1.03	.94	.94	.93	1.27
6L. Youth sports fields	1.12	1.16	1.21	.86	.82	1.28	1.03	.90
6M. Senior Center programs	1.03	1.37	1.03	.80	.86	.93	.96	1.19
6N. Neighborhood programs	.76	.64	.86	.96	.63	.90	.64	.34
6O. Managing land use	-.09	-.08	-.36	-.36	-.03	.06	.08	-.70
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.82	.93	.74	.89	.92	.78	.77	.43
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.01	1.18	1.06	.55	1.05	1.05	.80	1.23
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.21	1.55	.97	1.38	.87	1.00	1.28	1.95

Godbe Research /// Cupertino 2017 Community Survey /// Mean Score Crosstabs 05-03-17

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
6A. Police services	1.50
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs	1.52
6C. Garbage collection	1.64
6D. Recycling program	1.63
6E. Library services	1.42
6F. Street pavement maintenance	1.12
6G. Street sweeping services	1.58
6H. Street tree maintenance	.65
6I. Economic development efforts	.47
6J. Sidewalk maintenance	.85
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance	1.27
6L. Youth sports fields	.84
6M. Senior Center programs	1.07
6N. Neighborhood programs	1.20
6O. Managing land use	.60
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs	.86
6Q. Sports Center programs	1.20
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	1.61

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
6A. Police services								
6B. Quinlan community center facilities and programs								
6C. Garbage collection								
6D. Recycling program								
6E. Library services						C		
6F. Street pavement maintenance								
6G. Street sweeping services								
6H. Street tree maintenance								
6I. Economic development efforts								
6J. Sidewalk maintenance								
6K. Median strip landscape maintenance								
6L. Youth sports fields								
6M. Senior Center programs								
6N. Neighborhood programs								
6O. Managing land use								
6P. Environmental and sustainability programs								
6Q. Sports Center programs								
6R. Blackberry Farms programs	B E							

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Total	
	Total	Total
22A. At home	1.45	1.45
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.51
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.66
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.44

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Total
	Total
	(A)
22A. At home	.
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Respondent's Gender			
	Total	Male	Female	Other
22A. At home	1.45	1.44	1.46	1.32
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.51	.51	.98
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.56	2.00
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.85	.48	1.32
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.54	.35	.63

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Respondent's Gender		
	Male	Female	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	B		
22E. Leaving your home unattended			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Age						
	Total	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
22A. At home	1.45	1.54	1.44	1.43	1.35	1.54	1.88
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.75	.59	.25	.52	.74	1.01
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.67	1.51	1.60	1.48	1.60	1.81
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.75	.70	.54	.58	.86	.99
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.72	.54	.19	.15	.80	1.81

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Age					
	18-29	30-39	40-49	50-64	65+	Not coded
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						
22E. Leaving your home unattended					C D	C D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Homeownership Status		
	Total	Owner	Renter
22A. At home	1.45	1.45	1.46
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.45	.71
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.58
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.60	.84
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.31	.79

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Homeownership Status	
	Owner	Renter
	(A)	(B)
22A. At home		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		A
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		A
22E. Leaving your home unattended		A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party				
	Total	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
22A. At home	1.45	1.51	1.55	1.59	1.35
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.52	.57	.55	.49
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.62	1.61	1.69	1.49
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.68	.74	.88	.61
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.58	.71	.76	.19

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Party			
	Democrat	Republican	Other	DTS
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home				
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school				
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day				
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night				
22E. Leaving your home unattended	D	D		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Party						
	Total	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
22A. At home	1.45	1.58	1.26	1.45	1.75	1.47	1.39
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.49	.16	.51	.68	.63	.52
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.71	1.36	1.59	1.72	1.58	1.50
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.67	.47	.58	.67	.66	.74
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.70	.04	.76	.79	.49	.29

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Household Party					
	Dem 1	Dem 2+	Rep 1	Rep 2+	Mixed	Other
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	B					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						
22E. Leaving your home unattended	B					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Registration Date						
	Total	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
22A. At home	1.45	1.45	1.38	1.57	1.07	1.60	1.19
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.59	.29	.47	.32	.64	.60
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.58	1.63	1.62	1.22	1.57	1.31
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.74	.51	.41	.49	.92	.73
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.47	.24	.49	-.23	.63	.56

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
22A. At home	1.70	1.74
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.48	.76
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.62	1.75
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.92	.76
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.77	1.08

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Registration Date					
	2013 to 2017	2009 to 2012	2005 to 2008	2001 to 2004	1997 to 2000	1993 to 1996
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home	D		D			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						
22E. Leaving your home unattended						

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Registration Date	
	1981 to 1992	1980 or before
	(G)	(H)
22A. At home	D	D
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		
22E. Leaving your home unattended	D	D

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Date										
	Total	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13
22A. At home	1.45	.69	1.28	1.34	1.55	1.13	1.32	1.57	1.52	1.63	1.74
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	1.57	.32	.39	.25	.17	.24	.46	.43	.78	1.06
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.90	1.49	1.49	1.70	1.42	1.34	1.61	1.56	1.59	1.69
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.71	.62	1.01	.56	.21	.48	.83	.85	.56	.53
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	1.07	.08	.15	.46	.26	.52	.58	1.09	.64	.31

	Date	
	Apr. 14	Apr. 15
22A. At home	1.54	1.14
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	1.10	.92
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	2.00	1.54
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	1.10	.49
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.66	-.38

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Date										
	Apr. 4	Apr. 5	Apr. 6	Apr. 7	Apr. 8	Apr. 9	Apr. 10	Apr. 11	Apr. 12	Apr. 13	Apr. 14
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)
22A. At home										B	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school										B	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day											
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night											
22E. Leaving your home unattended								B C J			

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Date
	Apr. 15
	(L)
22A. At home	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Permanent Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	1.45	1.42	1.58
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.52	.50
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.58
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.65	.72
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.49	.24

Comparisons of Column Means ^{a,b}

	Permanent Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
22A. At home		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		
22E. Leaving your home unattended		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Likely Absentee Voter		
	Total	Yes	No
22A. At home	1.45	1.49	1.39
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.52	.51
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.60	1.51
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.74	.55
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.50	.35

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Likely Absentee Voter	
	Yes	No
	(A)	(B)
22A. At home		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		
22E. Leaving your home unattended		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Total	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years
22A. At home	1.45	.58	1.58	1.32	1.35	1.44
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	-1.00	.22	.14	.59	.49
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.48	1.59	1.43	1.60	1.46
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.03	.88	.77	.66	.51
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	-.16	.93	.36	.31	.25

	How Long Lived in Cupertino	
	26 years or more	Not sure
22A. At home	1.67	2.00
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.87	1.00
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.75	2.00
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.75	1.00
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.73	1.00

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino					
	Less than 1 year	1 to 3 years	4 to 9 years	10 to 15 years	16 to 25 years	26 years or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home		A		A	A	A C D
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	a					C
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	.					C E
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						
22E. Leaving your home unattended						

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	How Long Lived in Cupertino
	Not sure
	(G)
22A. At home	a
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	.
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	a
22E. Leaving your home unattended	a

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People in Household with Cell Phone						
	Total	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
22A. At home	1.45	1.45	1.49	1.50	1.24	1.67	1.06
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.99	.32	.59	.54	.70	-.06
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.56	1.58	1.63	1.48	1.62	.98
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.67	.74	.83	.88	.45	.02	.27
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	1.02	.71	.36	.13	-.12	-.02

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People in Household with Cell Phone					
	1	2	3	4	5 or more	Not sure
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home					D	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school						
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			F			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	E	E	E			
22E. Leaving your home unattended	D E	D E				

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Ethnic Group					
	Total	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian
22A. At home	1.45	1.00	1.00	1.24	2.00	1.26
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	1.00	.00	.64	.00	.10
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	.50	1.00	1.43	2.00	1.40
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.00	.00	.68	1.51	.43
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.00	1.00	.28	2.00	-.10

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Japanese	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White
22A. At home	1.84	1.74	1.75	1.93	1.07	1.75
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.07	.79	.77	.98	.06	.70
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.73	1.84	1.88	1.76	1.28	1.77
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.64	.47	.29	-1.55	.27	1.02
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.61	.76	-.29	-1.67	.33	1.07

	Ethnic Group			
	Latino or Hispanic	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.40	1.50	1.34	1.54
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.59	.83	.56	.25
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.45	1.85	1.65	1.73
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	1.05	.82	.79	.85
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.92	.91	.72	.24

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	African American or Black	Asian - Cambodian	Asian - Chinese	Asian - Filipino	Asian - Indian	Asian - Japanese
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			E	^a		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night			I		I	I
22E. Leaving your home unattended			I	I	I	I

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group					
	Asian - Korean	Asian - Thai	Asian - Vietnamese	Asian - Other	Caucasian or White	Latino or Hispanic
	(G)	(H)	(I)	(J)	(K)	(L)
22A. At home					C E	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school					C E	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	I	I		I	E I	I
22E. Leaving your home unattended	I			I	C E I	I

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Ethnic Group		
	Two or more races	Other	Not sure/DK/NA
	(M)	(N)	(O)
22A. At home			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night			
22E. Leaving your home unattended			

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Under 18 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.45	1.43	1.46	1.43	1.73	1.11
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.34	.44	.23	.14	.35	1.07
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.57	1.60	1.50	1.68	1.58	1.74
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.55	.49	.63	.42	.49	.85
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.22	.22	.11	.15	1.32	.67

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People Under 18 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home					
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night					
22E. Leaving your home unattended					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	People Over 50 in Household					
	Total	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.47	1.63	1.43	1.24	.74	1.54
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.65	.64	.70	.64	-.29	.43
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.57	1.62	1.57	1.38	.74	1.92
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.64	.82	.59	.40	-.04	.86
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.43	.66	.38	-.05	-.26	.90

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	People Over 50 in Household				
	1	2	3	4 or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)
22A. At home					
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school					
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day					
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night					
22E. Leaving your home unattended					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Primary Languages in Household								
	Total	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean
22A. At home	1.45	1.49	1.53	1.14	.90	2.00	1.27	1.97	1.70
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.54	.83	.41	.43	1.76	-.27	-.43	.74
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.60	1.53	1.45	1.84	2.00	1.37	2.00	1.82
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.77	1.06	.26	1.33	2.00	.28	1.32	.60
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.51	.75	-.33	.39	1.93	-.62	.81	.78

	Primary Languages in Household						
	Mandarin	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
22A. At home	1.12	1.79	2.00	1.84	1.93	1.27	.89
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.83	.77	2.00	.52	.98	.37	.38
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.43	1.49	2.00	1.92	1.76	1.52	1.80
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.78	1.17	-.25	.28	-1.55	.68	.77
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.56	1.48	1.75	-.16	-1.67	.26	.15

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Primary Languages in Household								
	English	Cantonese	Chinese	French	German	Hindi	Japanese	Korean	Mandarin
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)	(I)
22A. At home	C				a				
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	F	F			a				F
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day					a				
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	C M	M	M	M	a	M	M	M	M
22E. Leaving your home unattended	C F M	C F M	M		a		M	F M	C F M

Comparisons of Column Means^{b,c}

	Primary Languages in Household					
	Spanish	Tagalog	Thai	Vietnamese	Other	DK/NA
	(J)	(K)	(L)	(M)	(N)	(O)
22A. At home		a		I		
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school		a		F		
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day		a				
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	M	a	M		M	M
22E. Leaving your home unattended	C F M	a			M	M

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. This category is not used in comparisons because the sum of case weights is less than two.

b. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

c. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Household Income							
	Total	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k	\$160-\$180k
22A. At home	1.45	1.18	1.62	1.75	1.23	1.17	1.47	1.52
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.96	1.11	.62	.22	.77	.48	.87
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.32	1.55	1.65	1.42	1.43	1.63	1.84
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.83	.89	1.21	.06	.84	-.14	.30
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.89	1.16	.89	.36	.49	-.58	.16

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
22A. At home	1.48	1.40	1.60
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.56	.18	.31
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.44	1.56	1.66
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.37	.71	.91
22E. Leaving your home unattended	-.08	.15	.70

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income					
	Under \$60k	\$60-\$80k	\$80-\$100k	\$100-\$120k	\$120-\$140k	\$140-\$160k
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)
22A. At home						
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	I	I J				
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day						A
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	F	F	D F		F	
22E. Leaving your home unattended	F I	F G H I	F		F	

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Household Income		
	\$180-\$200k	\$200k or more	Not sure/DK/NA
	(H)	(I)	(J)
22A. At home			
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school			
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night		F	D F
22E. Leaving your home unattended			F

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Interview Type			
	Total	Landline	Cell	Online
22A. At home	1.45	1.47	1.61	1.35
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.76	.68	.28
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.51	1.69	1.52
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.85	.39	.70
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	1.00	.30	.17

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Interview Type		
	Landline	Cell	Online
	(A)	(B)	(C)
22A. At home		C	
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	C	C	
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day			
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	B		B
22E. Leaving your home unattended	B C		

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Voting Propensity				
	Total	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
22A. At home	1.47	1.35	1.52	1.29	1.61
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.48	.33	.46	.44	.63
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.39	1.65	1.53	1.65
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.39	.78	.65	.80
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.45	.24	.39	.29	.70

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Voting Propensity			
	1-3	4-6	7-9	10 or more
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)
22A. At home				A C
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school				
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day				A
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night				A
22E. Leaving your home unattended				A

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.

	Party by Gender							
	Total	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth
22A. At home	1.45	1.54	1.48	1.62	1.47	1.34	1.36	1.29
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.51	.56	.45	.46	.65	.51	.47	.01
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.56	1.66	1.57	1.66	1.56	1.43	1.53	1.69
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	.66	.64	.71	.63	.84	.29	.97	.56
22E. Leaving your home unattended	.44	.50	.65	1.00	.42	.01	.41	.09

	Party by Gender
	Male Oth
22A. At home	1.82
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school	.94
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day	1.69
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night	1.14
22E. Leaving your home unattended	1.29

Comparisons of Column Means^{a,b}

	Party by Gender							
	Fem Dems	Male Dems	Fem Reps	Male Reps	Fem NPP	Male NPP	Fem Oth	Male Oth
	(A)	(B)	(C)	(D)	(E)	(F)	(G)	(H)
22A. At home								
22B. Your child or children walk alone to school								
22C. Walking alone in your neighborhood during the day								
22D. Walking alone in your neighborhood at night						E		
22E. Leaving your home unattended		E	E					

Results are based on two-sided tests assuming equal variances with significance level 0.05. For each significant pair, the key of the smaller category appears under the category with larger mean.

a. Tests are adjusted for all pairwise comparisons within a row of each innermost subtable using the Bonferroni correction.

b. Cell counts in some subtables are not integers. They were rounded to the nearest integers before performing pairwise comparisons.