

CC 06-18-21

Special Meeting  
Study Session # 1

Discussion, County  
Office of Supportive  
Housing Potential  
Funded Development

Written Comments

## Cyrah Caburian

---

**From:** Jenny Griffin <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 17, 2021 3:11 AM  
**To:** City Council  
**Cc:** grenna5000@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** Supportive Housing by 280 and Wolfe Road?

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council:

Someone is thinking of putting in supportive housing for the homeless at 280 and Wolfe Road in a Vallco Parking lot? This is probably not a good Area for this type of housing. There are no supportive services nearby And it is next to the Junipero Serra 280 Bike Trail where there have been concerns That supportive services at a facility like this would attract many campers On the trail.

Is this the privately owned lot at the wall next to the extreme northwest corner Of the Vallco complex or the northernmost parking lot of the Macy's Parking Lot? Neither of these would be a good location for supportive housing.

If there is some sort of plan for this type of housing from some group, then it Is a good idea to have a Study Session on it, even though a Friday night is an Unusual time, unless there is an emergency?

There is already a plan for some sort of Supportive Services Housing complex by The Junipero Serra Trail at the Outback Restaurant on the West side of De Anza Blvd. By 280 by the County. Is the County planning another complex in the Eastern side of Cupertino also? My, that is a lot of complexes.

Yes, we should have a Study Session, even though Friday night of June 18 is A very unusual time, unless this is an emergency meeting. I am glad we are Hearing about this project now in whatever capacity it is being proposed of Being brought to us or not brought to us?

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Griffin

## Cyrah Caburian

---

**From:** Jenny Griffin <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 17, 2021 3:27 AM  
**To:** City Council; City of Cupertino Planning Commission; City Clerk  
**Cc:** grenna5000@yahoo.com  
**Subject:** One Story Height Limit on Vallco Parking Lot

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council:

One of the two parking lots on the north west end of Vallco Shopping Center behind the Macy's Building has a building Height Limit of twelve feet. I am not sure how a Supportive Housing complex could be built on this lot?

This should be examined in this Friday, June 18 Study Session.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Griffin

## Cyrah Caburian

---

**From:** Jenny Griffin <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Thursday, June 17, 2021 4:37 AM  
**To:** City Council  
**Cc:** City of Cupertino Planning Commission; City Clerk  
**Subject:** SB 9 and SB 10 and RHNA

CAUTION: This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council:

SB 9 and SB 10 have some very serious issues. They take away local control from residents And cities. They cancel culture CEQA and the Coastal Commission. They cancel culture California.

The fight with RHNA and ABAG and MTC and HCD and Big Housing Bills being paid for By runaway misguided charities dumping scads of money into Bay Area politics is attempting To cancel culture local control from residents and cities of California. California is being Transformed into someone's or some group's autocracy. Cancel culture California on the way to your autocracy. And this is not just happening in the Bay Area. It is all over The state. San Diego, Los Angeles, etc. Northern California and Southern California Are being targeted by SB 9 and SB 10 and RHNA and SCAG numbers.

Cancel CEQA and the Coastal Commission in the whole state while you are at it, along With local control of residents and cities. Make up your own rules as you seek Autocratia for you in California.

The rest of us will still be here. You can't cancel culture the whole state to live in as you desire.

It is funny. Nothing like this was happening three or four or five years ago. No one threatened To take over control of the state from its people. Now, who is being blind to reality?

Not Democratic California. Those who seek to cancel culture California in favor of Some ruler's autocratia are indeed lingering in the realms of absurdity while they wait for godot.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Griffin

## Cyrah Caburian

---

**From:** Jennifer Griffin <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Saturday, June 19, 2021 12:18 AM  
**To:** City Council  
**Cc:** City of Cupertino Planning Commission; City Clerk  
**Subject:** Vallco Site for Supportive Housing

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council:

The proposed supportive or homeless housing at Vallco shopping center is up against a wall that separates Vallco from a neighborhood. There have been issues with this site in the past. at one point someone wanted to put a driveway through the neighborhood wall into neighborhood from the Vallco site.

There are issues with proposed height of buildings at this site.

I am also concerned that with a five acre site that there could be 500 housing units put in here.

There is currently no road access to this site as the Vallco shopping center is currently a bulldozed site. No one knows when the Vallco site will be built on.

This potential site is a great distance from Stevens Creek Blvd. and there is no bus that goes into the property. There is also no supportive services in the area. Supportive services would have to be provided on this site as well as security.

With potentially 500 housing units, there would have to be parkland built and dedicated for this potential development. I don't know how you can put a park on-site on this piece of property if the site is leased.

We need to have a very thorough discussion on the ramifications of this project and what would be issues since the project would be on leased, rather than owned, land.

The public needs to be engaged in this conversation.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Griffin

## Cyrah Caburian

---

**From:** Jennifer Griffin <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 18, 2021 6:55 PM  
**To:** City Clerk  
**Subject:** Fw: Vallco Lot

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Please add this to the public record. Thank you.

----- Forwarded Message -----

**From:** Jennifer Griffin <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**To:** citycouncil@cupertino.com <citycouncil@cupertino.com>  
**Cc:** grenna5000@yahoo.com <grenna5000@yahoo.com>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 18, 2021, 06:52:16 PM PDT  
**Subject:** Vallco Lot

Dear City Council:

The proposed supportive or homeless housing at Vallco shopping center is up against a wall that separates Vallco from a neighborhood. There have been issues with this site in the past. at one point someone wanted to put a driveway through the neighborhood wall into neighborhood from the Vallco site.

There are issues with proposed height of buildings at this site.

I am also concerned that with a five acre site that there could be 500 housing units put in here.

There is currently no road access to this site as the Vallco shopping center is currently a billdozed site. No one knows when the Vallco site will be built on.

This potential site is a great distance from Stevens Creek Blvd. and there is no bus that goes into the property. There is also no supportive services in the area. Supportive services would have to be provided on this site as well as security.

With potentially 500 housing units, there would have to be parkland built and dedicated for this potential development. I don't know how you can put a park on-site on this piece of property if the site is leased.

We need to have a very thorough discussion on the ramifications of this project and what would be issues since the project would be on leased, rather than owned, land.

The public needs to be engaged in this conversation.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Jennifer Griffin

CC 06-18-21

#2

Letter of Support  
1972 Ridgeline  
Easement

Written  
Comments

## Cyrah Caburian

---

**From:** Rhoda Fry <fryhouse@earthlink.net>  
**Sent:** Friday, June 18, 2021 5:43 PM  
**To:** City Council; Cupertino City Manager's Office; Roger Lee; City Clerk  
**Subject:** City Council June 18 2021 Agenda Item #2 Comments

**Importance:** High

**CAUTION:** This email originated from outside of the organization. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.

Dear City Council Members,

Please find City Council June 18 2021 Agenda Item #2 Comments.

Sending a letter of support for the County Board of Supervisors agenda item #22 on June 22, 2021 is a brilliant idea.

I am asking that you please send a second letter to the County Planning Commission agenda item #5 on June 24, 2021. Ideally, please ask staff to assist in writing one of your well-researched letters. Alternatively, perhaps you can send something like this and follow up later:

Subject: Stevens Creek Quarry Request to Import Materials for Processing

Dear Planning Commissioners,

The City of Cupertino recommends denial of Agenda Items #5a. and #5b. at your June 24, 2010 meeting that considers Stevens Creek Quarry's importation of mined materials. The Planning Commission Agenda and documents are linked from here: [http://sccgov.ig2.com/Citizens/Detail\\_Meeting.aspx?ID=13005](http://sccgov.ig2.com/Citizens/Detail_Meeting.aspx?ID=13005)

Our recommendation for #5a is to require environmental review, which is consistent with our letter to Mr. Eastwood on January 31, 2019. The County Staff report recommends a CEQA exemption.

Our recommendation for #5b is to deny zoning as "Surface Mining" or "Incidental," which is consistent with both our letter to Mr. Salisbury on December 30, 2020 and with the County Staff published recommendation.

Separately, on May 18, 2021, the Water Board issued a Cease and Desist Order to SCQ and an individualized NPDES Permit that would not be fully implemented for several years to come. Consequently, impacts from the processing of additional materials at Stevens Creek Quarry would be significant:

[https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/2021/R2-2021-0011.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board_decisions/adopted_orders/2021/R2-2021-0011.pdf)

[https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board\\_decisions/adopted\\_orders/2021/R2-2021-0010.pdf](https://www.waterboards.ca.gov/sanfranciscobay/board_decisions/adopted_orders/2021/R2-2021-0010.pdf)

Sincerely,

Attachments:

January 31, 2019 letter

<https://www.cupertino.org/home/showdocument?id=23589>

December 30, 2020 letter

<https://www.cupertino.org/home/showdocument?id=28755>



FYI – According to the Water Board documents, based on data collected, SCQ threatens to violate the Permit effluent limitations for pH, settleable matter, chromium (III), chromium (VI), copper, cyanide, lead, nickel, selenium, and zinc