## BERG & BERG DEVELOPERS, INC.

10050 Bandley Drive Cupertino, CA 95014-2188 Ph (408) 725-0700 Fax 408-703-2035 mcrawford@bergyc.com

1/8/21

Planning Commission City of Cupertino 10300 Toree Avenue Cupertino, CA 95014

**Email:** <a href="mail:cupertino.org">rwang@cupertino.org</a>; <a href="mail:vsaxena@cupertino.org">vsaxena@cupertino.org</a>; <a href="mail:skapil@cupertino.org">skapil@cupertino.org</a>; <a href="mail:atakahashi@cupertino.org">atakahashi@cupertino.org</a>; <a href="mail:atakahashi">atakahashi</a>; <a href="mail:atakahashi">atakahashi</a>; <a href="mail:atakahash

R "Ray" Wang, Vice Chair, Vikram Saxena, Sanjiv Kapil, Alan Takahashi, David Fun

Reference: PC Agenda Item 3 1-12-21

Subject: Procedures For Processing General Plan Amendments

## Objections:

- 1) Timing & Processing. State law allows 4 times per year, the minimum allowed should be twice a year. Once you have decided to move forward on a project, time is money, hearings should not be arbitrarily withheld.
- 2) Project Evaluation. Your current process includes ample evaluation factors to determine if the Planning Commission and Council desire to support the project. Why add on additional expense for the proponent only to have the project denied by the PC or CC. Traffic impacts will have to be addressed if the project is approved and the school districts are capable of assessing and commenting on student generation. Why add additional costs for the proponent.
- 3) Resubmission. By definition these are projects with minor amendments so why is it necessary to add the time and expense of another Planning Commission hearing for no good reason. Municipalities need to reduce costs as much as possible for project proponents and not arbitrarily add costs.

Thank you for your consideration,

**Myron Crawford** 

Cc: Erick Serrano
Project Planner
City of Cupertino
10300 Torre Avenue
Cupertino, CA 95014

Tel: 408-777-3308; Fax: 408-777-3333 Email: ericks@cupertino.org