

**From:** [Lauren Sapudar](#)  
**To:** [Kevin Khuu](#)  
**Cc:** [Jeff Milkes](#)  
**Subject:** FW: Item 6, 1/10, Parks And Rec Agenda Serious Authority Overlap Issues  
**Date:** Wednesday, January 9, 2019 1:43:03 PM  
**Attachments:** [image009.png](#)  
[image010.png](#)  
[image011.png](#)  
[image012.png](#)  
[image013.png](#)  
[image014.png](#)  
[image015.png](#)  
[image016.png](#)

---



**Lauren Sapudar**

Executive Assistant to City Manager and City Council  
City Manager's Office/City Clerk's Office  
[LaurenS@cupertino.org](mailto:LaurenS@cupertino.org)  
(408) 777-1312



---

**From:** Kitty Moore [<mailto:ckittymoore@gmail.com>]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, January 09, 2019 1:30 PM  
**To:** City Council <[CityCouncil@cupertino.org](mailto:CityCouncil@cupertino.org)>; Cupertino City Manager's Office <[manager@cupertino.org](mailto:manager@cupertino.org)>; City Clerk <[CityClerk@cupertino.org](mailto:CityClerk@cupertino.org)>; City Attorney's Office <[CityAttorney@cupertino.org](mailto:CityAttorney@cupertino.org)>  
**Subject:** Item 6, 1/10, Parks And Rec Agenda Serious Authority Overlap Issues

Dear Mayor Scharf, Vice Mayor Chao, and Council Members Paul, Sinks, and Willey,

Tomorrow's Parks and Recreation Commission Meeting Agenda item 6 extends astonishingly far beyond the control of that Commission:

<https://cupertino.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=A&ID=657081&GUID=90D060B3-FCE7-4A04-B4A4-443213F82AE1>

It is unclear where in the work plan they shared, where their limits of authority lie:

<https://cupertino.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=6966565&GUID=974EFE85-0FED-4D4A-BA68-02AF8312869D>

For example, as an AT&T customer, I am concerned with the rollout of 5G Verizon in the city. What impact will this have on non-Verizon customers? Is this fair?

Incentivizing ADUs which are turned around and rented as short term lodging does nothing for the long term housing shortage while enriching a homeowner who can earn 150% on a short term vs long term rental. The city has no caps on the number of AirBnBs allowed.

Without controlling the short term rental market, the city is in a tough place to then turn around and say X many apartments should be built if homeowners with excess space are going to short term rent that space because it's lucrative, while having a negative impact on rents and long term housing availability.

Vallco is incorrectly listed in the Work Plan. It is subject to a Referendum.

The Performing Arts Center concept at Vallco would be considered recreational space under the Quimby Act, as such, I oppose this. The survey the OAC concept was based on was of an older population and not representative of the residents impacted by Vallco. The survey needs to be of residents in the geographical area because Vallco is in an area with only 10-16% of required park space and Creekside Park is half closed and gated off annually for the rainy season. Recreational space in a park deprived area must be for physical and not sedentary leisure activity. The west side of Cupertino, around the Forum for instance, has hundreds of acres of open space at Rancho San Antonio and Fremont Older. It may be that the needs of the community surrounding Vallco, are completely overlooked.

Please review the Parks and Recreation Commission Agenda for 1/10 and explain why they have essentially taken on the City Council's authority without parsing out which areas are really theirs.

Best regards,

Kitty Moore

---

Total Control Panel

[Login](#)

To: [cityclerk@cupertino.org](mailto:cityclerk@cupertino.org) [Remove](#) this sender from my allow list

From: [ckittymoore@gmail.com](mailto:ckittymoore@gmail.com)

*You received this message because the sender is on your allow list.*