



AGENDA OF THE CUPERTINO CITY COUNCIL

Tuesday, April 20, 2021

Televised Special Meeting Study Session (5:30) and
Regular City Council Meeting (6:45)

CITY COUNCIL

DARCY PAUL, MAYOR

LIANG CHAO, VICE MAYOR

KITTY MOORE, COUNCILMEMBER

HUNG WEI, COUNCILMEMBER

JON ROBERT WILLEY, COUNCILMEMBER

TELECONFERENCE MEETING WITHOUT A PHYSICAL LOCATION

For more information:

(408) 777-3200 | www.cupertino.org


CONDUCT OF BUSINESS

The Mayor is the presiding officer of the City Council. Any member of the public may speak on any item on the agenda for up to three minutes. All statements and questions must be addressed to the Mayor.

City Council hearings serve as a venue for the discussion of sometimes divergent points of view. Please respect the opinions of others and refrain from cheering or clapping. That may delay the meeting or intimidate other persons wishing to express alternate views. Actions that disrupt the meeting will result in a warning, followed by removal if disruption continues.

Oral public comments will be accepted during the teleconference meeting. Comments may be made during "oral communications" for matters not on the agenda, and during the public comment period for each agenda item. Identifying yourself is voluntary and not required to attend the meeting or provide comments.

FLOWCHART FOR HEARING ITEMS:



PUBLIC HEARINGS

The City Council is required by law to hold public hearings on certain matters prior to making its decision. Notice to interested parties is given by legal advertisement in the local newspaper of general circulation at least 10 calendar days preceding the hearing date. Applications requiring public hearings include change of zoning, variances, use permits, and tentative maps.

COUNCIL MEETINGS

The City Council regularly meets the first and third Tuesdays of each month. These meetings are held at 6:45 p.m. Closed sessions, when needed, are normally held at 6:00 p.m. Closed sessions cover items such as personnel, litigation, or the sale, purchase, or lease of property. The law requires certain actions taken in closed session to be reported in open session.

Urgent business, holidays, or election days may trigger special or adjourned meetings. These meetings will be noticed beforehand.

AGENDAS, MINUTES, AND PACKETS AVAILABLE ON THE WEB

Agendas, minutes, and packets for current and prior City Council and Planning Commission meetings are available at www.cupertino.org, or you can purchase the items on CD.

CITY COUNCIL DECISION IS FINAL

Prior to seeking judicial review of any adjudicatory (quasi-judicial) decision, interested persons must file a petition for reconsideration within ten calendar days of the date the City Clerk mails notice of the City's decision. Reconsideration petitions must comply with the requirements of Cupertino Municipal Code §2.08.096. Contact the City Clerk's office for more information or go to www.cupertino.org/index.aspx?page=125 for a reconsideration petition form.



CITY OF CUPERTINO

AGENDA

CITY COUNCIL

This will be a teleconference meeting without a physical location.

Tuesday, April 20, 2021

5:30 PM

Televised Special Meeting Study Session (5:30) and Regular City Council Meeting (6:45)

TELECONFERENCE / PUBLIC PARTICIPATION INFORMATION TO HELP STOP THE SPREAD OF COVID-19

In accordance with Governor Newsom's Executive Order No-29-20, this will be a teleconference meeting without a physical location to help stop the spread of COVID-19.

Members of the public wishing to observe the meeting may do so in one of the following ways:

- 1) Tune to Comcast Channel 26 and AT&T U-Verse Channel 99 on your TV.**
- 2) The meeting will also be streamed live on and online at www.Cupertino.org/youtube and www.Cupertino.org/webcast**

Members of the public wishing comment on an item on the agenda may do so in the following ways:

- 1) E-mail comments by 4:30 p.m. on Tuesday, April 20 to the Council at citycouncil@cupertino.org. These e-mail comments will also be forwarded to Councilmembers by the City Clerk's office before the meeting and posted to the City's website after the meeting.**
- 2) E-mail comments during the times for public comment during the meeting to the City Clerk at cityclerk@cupertino.org. The City Clerk will read the emails into the record, and display any attachments on the screen, for up to 3 minutes (subject to the Mayor's discretion to shorten time for public comments). Members of the public that wish to share a document must email cityclerk@cupertino.org prior to speaking.**

3) Teleconferencing Instructions

Members of the public may provide oral public comments during the teleconference meeting as follows:

Oral public comments will be accepted during the teleconference meeting. Comments may be made during “oral communications” for matters not on the agenda, and during the public comment period for each agenda item.

To address the City Council, click on the link below to register in advance and access the meeting:

Online

Register in advance for this webinar:

https://cityofcupertino.zoom.us/webinar/register/WN_hCl0ZbwDS9qPIq3mGj-CJA

Phone

Dial: 669-900-6833 and enter Webinar ID: 985 9171 3969 (Type *9 to raise hand to speak). Unregistered participants will be called on by the last four digits of their phone number.

Or an H.323/SIP room system:

H.323:

162.255.37.11 (US West)

Meeting ID: 985 9171 3969

SIP: 98591713969@zoomcrc.com

After registering, you will receive a confirmation email containing information about joining the webinar.

Please read the following instructions carefully:

1. You can directly download the teleconference software or connect to the meeting in your internet browser. If you are using your browser, make sure you are using a current and up-to-date browser: Chrome 30+, Firefox 27+, Microsoft Edge 12+, Safari 7+. Certain functionality may be disabled in older browsers, including Internet Explorer.
2. You will be asked to enter an email address and a name, followed by an email with instructions on how to connect to the meeting. Your email address will not be disclosed to the public. If you wish to make an oral public comment but do not wish to provide your name, you may enter “Cupertino Resident” or similar designation.
3. When the Mayor calls for the item on which you wish to speak, click on “raise hand.” Speakers will be notified shortly before they are called to speak.
4. When called, please limit your remarks to the time allotted and the specific agenda topic.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), anyone who is planning to

attend this teleconference City Council meeting who is visually or hearing impaired or has any disability that needs special assistance should call the City Clerk's Office at 408-777-3223, at least 48 hours in advance of the Council meeting to arrange for assistance. In addition, upon request, in advance, by a person with a disability, City Council meeting agendas and writings distributed for the meeting that are public records will be made available in the appropriate alternative format.

NOTICE AND CALL FOR A SPECIAL MEETING OF THE CUPERTINO CITY COUNCIL

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that a special meeting of the Cupertino City Council is hereby called for Tuesday, April 20, 2021, commencing at 5:30 p.m. In accordance with Governor Newsom's Executive Order No-29-20, this will be a teleconference meeting without a physical location. Said special meeting shall be for the purpose of conducting business on the subject matters listed below under the heading, "Special Meeting."

SPECIAL MEETING

ROLL CALL - 5:30 PM

STUDY SESSION

1. Subject: Study Session on the City of Cupertino's Permitting Guidelines for Small Cell Facilities within the Public Right-of-Way.
Recommended Action: Conduct a Study Session on the Permitting Guidelines for Small Cellular Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way, Provide Input, and Consider Recommending that an Ordinance Regulating Small Cell Installation be Prepared for Adoption.
[Staff Report](#)
[A - Guidelines for Small Cell Encroachment Permit Submittals - Updated 2020-08-27](#)
[B - Links to Small Cell Ordinance for Regional Cities](#)

ADJOURNMENT

REGULAR MEETING

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE - 6:45 PM

ROLL CALL

CEREMONIAL MATTERS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. Subject: Arbor Day Proclamation
Recommended Action: Authorize the Mayor to Proclaim April 24, 2021 as Arbor Day for 2021, with activities that support efforts to protect or plant trees.
[A - Proclamation](#)

POSTPONEMENTS AND ORDERS OF THE DAY

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

This portion of the meeting is reserved for persons wishing to address the Council on any matter within the jurisdiction of the Council and not on the agenda. The total time for Oral Communications will ordinarily be limited to one hour. Individual speakers are limited to three (3) minutes. As necessary, the Chair may further limit the time allowed to individual speakers, or reschedule remaining comments to the end of the meeting on a first come first heard basis, with priority given to students. In most cases, State law will prohibit the Council from discussing or making any decisions with respect to a matter not listed on the agenda. A councilmember may, however, briefly respond to statements made or questions posed by speakers. A councilmember may also ask a question for clarification, provide a reference for factual information, request staff to report back concerning a matter, or request that an item be added to a future City Council agenda in response to public comment.

REPORTS BY COUNCIL AND STAFF (10 minutes)

2. Subject: Brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements
Recommended Action: Receive brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements
3. Subject: City Manager update on emergency response efforts
Recommended Action: Receive City Manager update on emergency response efforts
4. Subject: Report on Committee assignments
Recommended Action: Report on Committee assignments

CONSENT CALENDAR

Unless there are separate discussions and/or actions requested by council, staff or a member of the public, it is requested that items under the Consent Calendar be acted on simultaneously.

5. Subject: Approve the March 16 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the March 16 City Council minutes
[A - Draft Minutes](#)
6. Subject: Approve the March 30 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the March 30 City Council minutes
[A - Draft Minutes](#)
7. Subject: Approve the April 6 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the April 6 City Council minutes
[A - Draft Minutes](#)

8. Subject: Approve a Semi-Rural Designation, Eliminating the Requirement for Sidewalks on the Eastern Portion of Carmen Road, between Scenic Boulevard and Stevens Creek Boulevard, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 1925.
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-032 Designating a Portion of Carmen Road as Semi-Rural.
[Staff Report](#)
[A - Map of Carmen Rd with Existing Semirural Areas](#)
[B - Draft Resolution](#)
9. Subject: Proposed amendment to the Professional/Consulting Services Agreement between the City of Cupertino and HdL ECONsolutions of an additional \$40,800 as an extension of the contract terms and conditions to provide supplemental Economic Development (ED) staffing services for the City of Cupertino through the fiscal year ending on June 30, 2021.
Recommended Action: Approve the proposed amendment of an additional \$40,800 to prevent an interruption in economic development staffing services.
[Staff Report](#)
[A – Proposed Amended Professional/Consulting Services Agreement](#)

SECOND READING OF ORDINANCES

PUBLIC HEARINGS

10. Subject: Consider amendments to Cupertino Municipal Code Sections 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to incentivize the development of affordable housing by allowing for density bonuses of up to 40 percent (Application No: MCA-2021-002; Applicant: City of Cupertino; Location: Citywide. (Continued from April 6)
Recommended Action: That the City Council conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2226, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending Cupertino Municipal Code Section 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to Incentivize the Development of Affordable Housing by Allowing for Density Bonuses of up to 40 Percent," which includes a finding that adoption of the ordinance is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.
[Staff Report](#)
[A - Draft Ordinance](#)
[B- Sally Nielsen, Hausrath Economics Group, Economic Evaluation of City of Cupertino Housing Program to Incentivize the Production of Affordable Housing](#)
[C - California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation and Western Center on Law & Poverty, Letter in Opposition to AB 2345](#)

11. Subject: Consideration of Municipal Code Amendments to the Cupertino Municipal Code, Chapter 10.90, expanding existing policies to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, including in multi-unit housing, entryways, public events, service areas, and outdoor worksites. (Continued from April 6)

Recommended Action: 1. Reintroduce with amendments and conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2227: "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which adds setback requirements for designated smoking areas and includes detached ADUs and JADUs in the regulations, and which includes a finding that the Ordinance is exempt from CEQA; or

2. Conduct the second reading and enact Ordinance No. 21-2224 "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which includes a finding that the Ordinance is exempt from CEQA.

Staff Report

[A - Draft Ordinance 21-2224 to Regulate Smoking \(First Reading Approved on 3/2/21\) Clean](#)

[B - Draft Ordinance 21-2224 to Regulate Smoking \(First Reading Approved on 3.2.21\) Redline](#)

[C - Revised Draft Ordinance to Regulate Smoking Clean](#)

[D - Revised Draft Ordinance to Regulate Smoking Redline](#)

[E - March 2, 2021 Staff Report](#)

[F - Tobacco Free Communities Policies in Santa Clara County](#)

Note: Under Postponements and Orders of the Day, the Mayor may entertain a motion to postpone Item 11 and continue the hearing to May 4.

12. Subject: Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 Fee Schedule Update.

Recommended Action: 1. Adopt Resolution No. 21-033 amending FY 2020-21 Fee Schedule E to add a community garden fee effective April 21, 2021.

2. Adopt Resolution No. 21-034 approving FY 2021-22 Fee Schedules A, B, C, D, and E effective July 1, 2021.

[Staff Report](#)

[A. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule A - General Fees \(redline\)](#)

[B. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule B - Engineering Fees \(redline\)](#)

[C. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule C - Planning Fees \(redline\)](#)

[D. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule D - Building Fees \(redline\)](#)

[E. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule E - Recreation \(redline\)](#)

[F. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule A - General Fees \(clean\)](#)

[G. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule B - Engineering Fees \(clean\)](#)

[H. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule C - Planning Fees \(clean\)](#)

[I. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule D - Building Fees \(clean\)](#)

[J. FY2021-22 Fee Schedule E - Recreation \(clean\)](#)

[K. FY2020-21 Fee Schedule E - Recreation \(redline\)](#)

[L. FY2020-21 Fee Schedule E - Recreation \(clean\)](#)

[M. Community Garden Fee Calculator](#)

[N. Field Use Fees and Comparison](#)

[O. Senior Center Membership Comparison](#)

[P. User Fee Study 2016](#)

[Q. Draft Resolution amending FY 20-21 Fee Schedule E](#)

[R. Draft Resolution approving FY 21-22 Fee Schedule A, B, C, D, & E](#)

ORDINANCES AND ACTION ITEMS

13. Subject: Consider approving the updated Athletic Field Use Policy
Recommended Action: Approve the updated Athletic Field Use Policy and provide any input
[Staff Report](#)
[A - Proposed City of Cupertino Athletic Field Use Policy](#)
[B - Sunday Field Use and Annual Field Closure Survey Results](#)
[C - Comparison of Other Cities](#)
[D - Fee Comparison and Cost Recovery Chart](#)
[E - Current City of Cupertino Athletic Field Use Policy](#)

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED (As necessary)

COUNCIL AND STAFF COMMENTS AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ADJOURNMENT

14. Subject: If the meeting has not concluded by midnight, the Mayor will entertain a motion to continue any unfinished business to a future meeting date.

The City of Cupertino has adopted the provisions of Code of Civil Procedure §1094.6; litigation challenging a final decision of the City Council must be brought within 90 days after a decision is announced unless a shorter time is required by State or Federal law.

Prior to seeking judicial review of any adjudicatory (quasi-judicial) decision, interested persons must file a petition for reconsideration within ten calendar days of the date the City Clerk mails notice of the

City's decision. Reconsideration petitions must comply with the requirements of Cupertino Municipal Code §2.08.096. Contact the City Clerk's office for more information or go to <http://www.cupertino.org/cityclerk> for a reconsideration petition form.

In compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), anyone who is planning to attend this teleconference meeting who is visually or hearing impaired or has any disability that needs special assistance should call the City Clerk's Office at 408-777-3223, at least 48 hours in advance of the meeting to arrange for assistance. In addition, upon request, in advance, by a person with a disability, meeting agendas and writings distributed for the meeting that are public records will be made available in the appropriate alternative format.

Any writings or documents provided to a majority of the Cupertino City Council after publication of the packet will be made available for public inspection in the City Clerk's Office located at City Hall, 10300 Torre Avenue, during normal business hours and in Council packet archives linked from the agenda/minutes page on the Cupertino web site.

IMPORTANT NOTICE: Please be advised that pursuant to Cupertino Municipal Code 2.08.100 written communications sent to the Cupertino City Council, Commissioners or City staff concerning a matter on the agenda are included as supplemental material to the agenda item. These written communications are accessible to the public through the City's website and kept in packet archives. You are hereby admonished not to include any personal or private information in written communications to the City that you do not wish to make public; doing so shall constitute a waiver of any privacy rights you may have on the information provided to the City.

THE CITY COUNCIL AND STAFF

CITY COUNCIL

Cupertino is a General Law city organized under and subject to statutes of the State of California. It is governed by the five-member City Council with the Mayor as the presiding officer. City Council members are elected at-large to four-year, overlapping terms. Each November a mayor and a vice-mayor are selected by the City Council for a one-year term.

The City Council receives advice and assistance from eleven advisory bodies, which are appointed by the Council. These are the Audit Committee, Bicycle Pedestrian Commission, Fine Arts Commission, Housing Commission, Library Commission, Parks and Recreation Commission, Planning Commission, Public Safety Commission, Sustainability Commission, Teen Commission, and Technology, Information, and Communications Commission.

Council members also serve on a variety of regional bodies, including:

- Association of Bay Area Governments
- League of California Cities
- Santa Clara County (SCC) Cities Association
- SCC Emergency Preparedness Council
- SCC Library District
- SCC Emergency Preparedness Commission
- Santa Clara Valley Water Commission and Water District
- SCC Transportation Authority
- West Valley Mayors and Managers

STAFF

The City Manager and the City Attorney are appointed by the Council. The City Manager is responsible for interpreting and carrying out Council policies and direction, and as the chief administrative officer, the City Manager coordinates the many activities of the city and appoints the professional and technical staff. The City Attorney is the advisor to the City Council as a whole.

TYPES OF COUNCIL ACTIONS

ORDINANCES

Ordinances are the means by which the City enacts its local laws. Unless an urgent situation exists, ordinances will first be presented at a Council meeting as a "first reading." At a subsequent Council meeting, there will be a "second reading and adoption." Ordinances go into effect after a waiting period of thirty days, in most cases, during which time the summary of the ordinance is published in a local newspaper approved for this purpose.

RESOLUTIONS

Resolutions and minute orders are the means by which the City Council formally adopts policies or approves specific actions. These go into effect when adopted.

APPEALS

Any interested person, including a Council member, may appeal a Planning Commission or Director of Community Development decision to the City Council. An appeal must be submitted in writing to the City Clerk within 14 calendar days of the mailing of the notice of the decision.

RECONSIDERATION

Prior to seeking judicial review of any adjudicatory (quasi-judicial) decision, interested persons must file a petition for reconsideration within ten calendar days of the date the City Clerk mails notice of the City's decision. Reconsideration petitions must comply with the requirements of Cupertino Municipal Code §2.08.096. Contact the City Clerk's office for more information or go to [www.http://www.cupertino.org/index.aspx?page=125](http://www.cupertino.org/index.aspx?page=125) for a reconsideration petition form.

COMMUNICATING WITH COUNCIL

All Council members: citycouncil@cupertino.org

Darcy Paul	DPaul@cupertino.org	(408) 777-3195
Liang Chao	LiangChao@cupertino.org	(408) 777-3192
Kitty Moore	Kmoore@cupertino.org	(408) 777-1398
Hung Wei	HWei@cupertino.org	(408) 777-3139
Jon Robert Willey	JWilley@cupertino.org	(408) 777-3193
Executive Assistant	AshleyS@cupertino.org	(408) 777-8204
City Hall	www.cupertino.org	(408) 777-CITY

Please note: the City Council discourages submission of written materials on the day of the meeting as this does not provide enough time to give the materials fair evaluation. If you must provide written materials on the day of the meeting, as least one copy must be given to the City Clerk for the permanent record, and ten copies are preferred.

NEWS AND NOTICES FROM CITY HALL

EMAIL

Sign up for e-notification of agendas, elections, and other news on the city website at www.cupertino.org/notify

TELEVISION

The City Channel broadcasts City Council and Planning Commission meetings, as well as agenda previews, public service announcements, and other programs of community interest, on Channel 26 in Cupertino. The meetings are shown at these times:

Tuesday (live)	6:45 p.m.	Friday (replay)	10:00 a.m.
Thursday (replay)	7:00 p.m.	Saturday (replay)	9:00 a.m.

INTERNET

City Council and Planning Commission videos are available on demand at www.cupertino.org. Select "I Want To, View City Council meetings".

News and community information can also be found at:

<https://www.facebook.com/cityofcupertino/>

<https://twitter.com/CityofCupertino>

<https://www.instagram.com/cityofcupertino>

AUDIO

Tune into Radio Cupertino, 1670 AM, for meeting announcements, public service messages, and emergency announcements.

USEFUL TELEPHONE NUMBERS

City of Cupertino (City Hall)	General Information and Comments	(408) 777-CITY
Fire (Emergency)	Santa Clara County Fire Dept. dispatch	911
Fire (Non-Emergency)	Santa Clara County Fire Dept.	(408) 378-4010
Garbage	Recology South Bay	(408) 725-4020
Gas & Electricity	Pacific Gas & Electric (PG&E)	1-800-743-5000
Recreation & Community Services	Quinlan Community Center	(408) 777-3120
Police (Emergency)	Santa Clara County Sheriff dispatch	911
Police (Non-Emergency)	Santa Clara County Sheriff	(408) 868-6600
Public Health	Santa Clara County Public Health	(408) 732-3720
Sanitary Sewers	Cupertino Sanitary District	(408) 253-7071
Water	San Jose Water	(408) 279-7900
	California Water	(650) 917-0152



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-8728, **Version:** 1

Subject: Study Session on the City of Cupertino's Permitting Guidelines for Small Cell Facilities within the Public Right-of-Way.

Conduct a Study Session on the Permitting Guidelines for Small Cellular Facilities in the Public Right-of-Way, Provide Input, and Consider Recommending that an Ordinance Regulating Small Cell Installation be Prepared for Adoption.



PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

CITY HALL
10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3255
TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3354 • FAX: (408) 777-3333
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: April 20, 2021

Subject

Study Session on the City of Cupertino's Permitting Guidelines for Small Cell Facilities within the Public Right of Way.

Recommended Action

Conduct a Study Session on the Permitting Guidelines for Small Cell Facilities in the Public Right of Way, Provide Input, and Consider Recommending that an Ordinance Regulating Small Cell Installation be Prepared for Adoption.

Background

Under state and federal law, wireless communications providers are given the right to install wireless facilities, including small cells, within the public right of way, subject to certain preserved local powers. The City of Cupertino requires encroachment permits for installation of small cell facilities in the public right of way, which in turn are subject to the City's *Guidelines for Encroachment Permit Submittals for Wireless Communications Facilities on City Owned Poles* (Attachment A) ("Guidelines"). The Guidelines strive to place small cell facilities in the least obtrusive locations available while complying with federal regulations.

At the May 5, 2020 City Council meeting, the City Council requested that staff look for opportunities to establish site preference guidelines to assist in locating small cell facilities in more preferred areas where visual impacts would be minimized. This recommendation, as well as other input provided by Council, resulted in revisions to the City's Guidelines. Staff presented these updated Guidelines to the public and Council at the September 15, 2020 City Council meeting.

The City has historically regulated small cell permitting via formal administrative written guidelines rather than Municipal Code ordinances. Guidelines can be modified more readily than ordinances to keep up with the ever-changing legal regulation and the fast-paced technological environment surrounding these facilities. City ordinances typically require more time to revise, which could result in the City being less nimble in responding to changes in law and technology. The City's Guidelines for permitting small cells are

enforceable under the City's Municipal Code provisions that require and regulate Encroachment Permits for installations in the public right of way. If the City Council decides that a small cell ordinance is not necessary, staff will continue to inform the Council of any modifications to the Guidelines prior to implementing them. Alternatively, the City Council could adopt the Guidelines via resolution.

Relevant State and Federal Law and Regulations

The federal government, as described in further detail below, requires that local government regulation of wireless service not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services. Therefore, the City's small cell permitting Guidelines are set up to not prohibit the installation of small cell facilities within any zoning districts or areas of the City. Instead, they establish objective criteria to allow facilities to be installed in areas with the least impact possible.

- Regulation of Wireless Facilities May Be Based on Aesthetic and Public Safety Concerns

State and federal law and regulations, including the Federal Telecommunications Act (FTC) of 1996 and provisions of the California Government Code and Public Utilities Code, govern how local jurisdictions may regulate wireless facilities, including small cellular facilities installed in the public right of way. Local governments, under these laws, and their police power authority to establish aesthetic conditions for land use, have authority to regulate small cell facilities' placement and their aesthetic impacts. They also have authority to manage the right of way to ensure public safety and to coordinate uses.

These powers enable local governments to enact regulations that would prevent small cell facilities from interfering with use of the right of way and to protect public safety by ensuring the poles on which small cells are mounted will securely bear their weight. To reduce the aesthetic impacts of small cell facilities, a local government can set design standards such as requiring screening facilities and having equipment coloring match the pole.

This authority does not supersede state and federal laws and regulations that limit the scope of local government action regarding wireless facilities, in general, and small cells in particular. A September 26, 2018 Federal Communications Commission (FCC) Order placed even stricter limits on such local regulation. These limits are designed to facilitate rapid deployment of small cellular facilities and to prevent constraints on the carriers' ability to provide a full range of wireless services. Key limits on local regulation are summarized below:

- Regulation of Wireless Facilities Must Not Be Based on Health Concerns

Under federal law, a local government may not set standards for wireless facilities based on concern over Radio Frequency (RF) emissions from those facilities, beyond requiring that those facilities' emissions meet the FCC's established emission limits. RF emissions

from small cells in Cupertino typically fall around 100 times below the FCC's limits. Concerns over the effects of RF emissions from cellular equipment include concerns about the health effects of these emissions. As a result, the City may not establish location requirements or deny a permit application for a small cellular facility based on concerns over perceived health effects of the facility.

- Regulation Must Not Have the Effect of Prohibiting Wireless Service

Federal law also requires that local government regulation of wireless service not prohibit or have the effect of prohibiting the provision of personal wireless services. The FCC's September 2018 Order specified that "an effective prohibition occurs" when a regulation "materially inhibits a provider's ability to engage in any of a variety of activities related to its provision of a covered service." It further specified that a local jurisdiction's regulation of wireless services can amount to an effective prohibition where it prevents a carrier from improving the quality of their service or from adding new technologies and services, not just where it prevents a carrier from filling gaps in service.

This means that a jurisdiction cannot deny a service provider's wireless facility application on the basis that the jurisdiction finds the provider's existing coverage or range of services adequate. Local governments also may not enact a blanket prohibition on installation of small cell facilities in a particular area of the City or in specific neighborhoods. However, a jurisdiction could have grounds to deny a specific placement of a small cellular facility if there is a reasonable alternative location available. Finally, aesthetic regulations for small cell facilities must be reasonable – meaning technically feasible and reasonably directed at remedying aesthetic harms – and published in advance.

Where local jurisdictions have denied applications for small cell facilities on grounds which wireless carriers consider violate the above federal law requirements, the carriers have sued the cities in federal court. For example, Verizon and AT&T each sued the City of Los Altos after the Los Altos City Council denied applications for 12 AT&T small cell applications and one Verizon application in December 2019. The lawsuits contend that the Los Altos' small cell regulations amount to an unlawful ban on wireless services in the vast majority of the city. Those regulations prohibit small cell installations within 500' of school buildings and in any residential district, unless an applicant can establish that denial of a small cell site in one of those areas deprives a carrier of its rights under federal and state law. Although both carriers presented the City with information explaining why the sites in question were necessary to meet their service needs, the City Council found that the information was insufficient to establish that a denial would violate the law. The litigation in both Los Altos cases is ongoing.

- Short "Shot Clocks" for Review of Small Cell Facility Applications

Federal law also requires local governments to act on applications for new wireless facilities within "a reasonable period of time." The FCC's September 2018 Order sets

new time limits, or “shot clocks,” defining presumptively reasonable periods of time for review of small cell facility applications. Under the FCC’s Order, a jurisdiction has 60 days to review an application for placement of a small cell facility on a preexisting structure—such as an existing streetlight, utility pole, or traffic signal—and 90 days for review of an application for attachment of small cell facility to a new or replacement structure.

The shot clocks begin to run the day after an application is submitted. A jurisdiction has 10 days after submission to notify an applicant if their application is incomplete. If the jurisdiction provides that notice in a timely manner, the shot clock stops and is reset if the application is resubmitted. The jurisdiction has 10 days to review any resubmitted application for completeness and notify the applicant of missing information, at which point the shot clock tolls while the applicant assembles that information. Under this framework, the City is required to review and make a determination on small cell applications in a short amount of time, placing additional pressure on the application process.

The FCC’s September 2018 Order was challenged as contrary to law by several coalitions of municipalities and municipal associations, including the League of California Cities, of which Cupertino is a member. In August 2020, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals upheld many aspects of the 2018 Order, including the “shot clock” application review periods and limits on fees charged to carriers. However, the Ninth Circuit vacated the 2018 Order’s requirement that all aesthetic regulations of small cells be “objective,” because the FCC failed to provide a reasoned explanation for that requirement. Municipal plaintiffs in the case recently petitioned the U.S. Supreme Court to review the portions of the Ninth Circuit’s decision that continue to limit local government authority. The Supreme Court will likely decide in May 2021 whether to hear the case. If the Supreme Court declines to hear the case, the Ninth Circuit’s decision will become final.

Discussion

The City’s small cell permitting Guidelines provide clarity regarding the permit application processes and requirements, including the public notification process and site preference standards, which work towards siting small cells in locations that minimize visual impacts, while also complying with federal regulations.

Site Preference Guidelines

Site Preference Standards are included in “Attachment C - Siting Preferences” of the small cell Guidelines. These standards help to ensure permitted small cell facilities are installed to minimize visual impacts in the general area where a facility is proposed and to prioritize non-residential locations. The standards establish three tiers of preference as follows:

- Preferred Sites (Category 1)
- Less Preferred Sites (Category 2)
- Least Preferred Sites (Category 3)

Category 1 (preferred) sites include any streetlight pole located in non-residential zoning districts that do not trigger a Category 2 or 3 designation. Applications submitted for poles in Category 1 locations are reviewed strictly for engineering and constructability concerns. They follow standard noticing procedures, but do not require an alternative location assessment or documentation to establish need for their placement.

Category 2 (less preferred) sites include any streetlight poles in residential zoning districts that do not trigger a Category 3 designation. If a carrier seeks to install a small cell facility in a Category 2 site, it must show that any Category 1 site or any more preferred Category 2 site within 500' is infeasible to meet their needs.

Category 3 (least preferred) sites include any streetlight poles in residential zoning districts that also are located within any of the following areas:

- Closer than 15 feet to a signalized public roadway intersection. An intersection is measured from the start of the curb radius.
- Closer than 500 feet to any other small cell facility in the right of way owned by the same wireless carrier.
- Closer than 20 feet to an occupied structure.
- Closer than 100 feet to any public-school building.
- Closer than 100 feet to any publicly assessable playground.

A facility shall not be permitted in a Category 3 site if non-Category 3 sites are available within 500 feet of the proposed facility, unless the applicant can provide documentation showing that a Category 3 site is the only feasible option available to meet the carrier's needs.


To strictly prohibit small cell facilities in residential zones or to establish large mandatory setbacks would result in making large portions of the City "off limits" and could be an effective prohibition on wireless service in those locations, in violation of federal law. In contrast, the three-tiered approach enables the City to work with carriers to place facilities in locations that reduce their visual and aesthetic impacts on the public right of way and public vantage points, while still meeting carriers' service needs. This will typically mean siting small cells on the streetlight furthest from nearby occupied structures, or, if all occupied structures are roughly equally distant from streetlight poles, in the location that potentially has the least visual impact.

As noted above, the City has defined any site within 20' of an occupied structure as a Category 3 location. When preparing the Guidelines, the City established this 20' minimum setback to ensure that only those locations most proximate to occupied structures were considered "Least Preferred," enabling the City to easily identify alternative Category 1 and 2 locations with less visual impact, and to steer small cell facilities more effectively to those sites. The 20' setback from occupied structures results

in 8% of the City's streetlights being designated as Category 3 sites. The diagram below shows all Cupertino-owned streetlights within one region of the City. The blue dots indicate streetlights that are within 20' of an occupied structure and the orange dots are streetlights that are further than 20'.

20' Setback Example

(Blue Circles show streetlights within 20' of occupied structures)



Consideration of Greater Residential Setbacks

The City has created an online tool that shows which existing poles would be considered Category 3 sites based on setback distances from occupied structures (https://gis.cupertino.org/webmap/poles_radius/). Each incremental increase in the setback distance results in an increased percentage of City streetlight poles that would fall within Category 3 locations, as shown in the table below.


Setback Distance from Occupied Structures	Percentage of All Streetlight Poles that fall within Setback
20'	8%
25'	21%
30'	41%
40'	68%
60'	81%
100'	93%

If the 20' setbacks from occupied structures were increased to 30', some pockets within the City would have no non-Category 3 sites. With setbacks of 40' entire areas of the City would be devoid of non-Category 3 sites, which could result in a less objective framework for review and provide more flexibility for carriers to select locations. In such areas all poles would be in Category 3, Least Preferred, and no poles would be more preferred.

The images on the following pages further show how increasing the setback preference from occupied structures increases the number of poles in Category 3 locations:


25' Setback Example

(Blue Circles are streetlights within 25' of an occupied structure)




30' Setback Example

(Blue Circles are streetlights within the 30' of an occupied structure)




40' Setback Example

(Blue Circles are streetlights within 40' of an occupied structure)




60' Setback Example

(Blue Circles are streetlights within 60' of an occupied structure)



100' Setback Example

(Blue Circles are streetlights within 100' feet of an occupied structure)



If the City were to increase the setback distances for the designation of Category 3 sites, it may be helpful to require permit applications be accompanied by documentation showing that the site is the most distant from any occupied structures within a 500' radius.

Distances between small cell facilities

The Guidelines also require small cell facilities owned by the same carrier be spaced at least 500' apart, unless a carrier can provide documentation with their small cell permit application showing that a closer site is the only feasible location that meets their service needs. Small cells typically use frequencies that have limited signal propagation distances which require shorter distances between nodes. These frequencies often need near line of sight and can experience considerable signal interference from foliage, buildings, and other physical features.

When the City updated its small cell permitting Guidelines last year, it received some requests to establish a minimum distance of 500' (or more) between any small cell facility, regardless of the carrier. This recommendation was not implemented for several reasons. For one, the FCC's September 2018 Order states that "a minimum spacing requirement [for small cells] that has the effect of materially inhibiting wireless service would be considered an effective prohibition of service" (FCC 18-133 paragraph 87). The City therefore strove to set a minimum spacing that reflected small cells' limited signal strength. Additionally, the City wanted to ensure no single carrier could space their facilities in a way that could result in the inability of other carriers to effectively provide services within a certain area. The established 500' radius between small cell facilities of the same carrier helps to ensure that carriers can build a network that meets their needs, while also working to ensure pole availability for other carriers, thus helping to ensure a reasonably fair playing field for numerous service providers.

Noticing of planned installations

As described above, the FCC's September 2018 Order set time limits, or "shot clocks," for completion of the review of small cell facility applications. The City typically has 90 days to review an application for a small cell facility. The 90-day period begins when the City receives the initial permit application submittal. As part of the Guidelines' application procedure, the City has incorporated a public notification process whereby carriers are required to notify, via letter, all property owners located within 500' of the proposed location. Property owners may then comment to the carriers or directly to the City. Under the Guidelines, carriers are required to provide copies of all comments and responses to the City for consideration. By further streamlining its review processes, the City was able to increase the comment period for property owners from 14 days, as set previously, to 21 days, which was an update to the Guidelines last year. The property owner comment period uses nearly one quarter of the shot clock period. The remaining portion of the time limit is needed by the City to coordinate with applicants and to perform technical and engineering related reviews.

The City has received some requests for a longer comment period. Although Cupertino has a 21-day comment period, in practice, the City accepts and reviews all correspondence from residents regarding small cell facilities, even after the comment period has ended. Typical comment periods set by other nearby cities range from 7 days to 20 days:

Campbell	-	14 Days
Los Altos	-	10 Days
Los Gatos	-	20 Days
Mountain View	-	7 Days
Palo Alto	-	14 Days
San Jose	-	20 Days
Sunnyvale	-	14 Days

To provide further notification, transparency, and information to Cupertino residents, the City has created an online GIS map and e-notification sign-up list. The GIS Map shows all proposed, permitted, and active small cell facilities within the city, and the e-notification signup will alert interested parties of any updates to the map. The GIS map and e-notification signup can be found on the Cupertino website here: <https://www.cupertino.org/our-city/departments/public-works/permitting-development-services/small-cell-information>.

Additional Information

The City has five master license agreements in place with wireless service providers to govern installation of small cell facilities on City owned streetlight poles. These companies include AT&T, Crown Castle, Extenet, Mobilitie, and Verizon. The license agreements require the companies to obtain encroachment permits for proposed small cell facilities, which in turn requires compliance with the Guidelines as discussed above. The City is actively responding to small cell permit applications from AT&T and Verizon, with Verizon having submitted the most applications to date.

Verizon intends to utilize their small cell 5G network to provide not only wireless phone services, but also a high-speed broadband alternative to other broadband carriers (<https://www.verizon.com/5g/home/>). This approach could provide residents an additional internet service option that has speeds comparable to fiber optic services, without the added expense of “last mile” construction costs necessary to bring fiber optic connections to the building.

Attachment B of the staff report includes links to small cell ordinances and/or application guidelines established by other cities in the region. Council could discuss these regulations during the study session.

Sustainability Impact

No sustainability impact for hearing this report.

Fiscal Impact

There is no fiscal impact for hearing this report.

Prepared by: Chad Mosley, Assistant Director of Public Works

Reviewed by: Roger Lee, Director of Public Works

Approved for Submission by: Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Attachments:

A – Guidelines for Encroachment Permit Submittals for Wireless Communications
Facilities on City Owned Poles – Updated August 27, 2020

B – Links to small cell ordinances and application guidelines for other regional cities



CUPERTINO

City Hall
10300 Torre Avenue
Cupertino, CA 95014-3255
PH: (408) 777-3354
FX: (408) 777-3333

PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

Updated August 27, 2020

**GUIDELINES FOR ENCROACHMENT PERMIT SUBMITTALS FOR
WIRELESS COMMUNICATIONS SMALL WIRELESS FACILITIES ON CITY
OWNED POLES**

The City of Cupertino seeks to permit wireless carriers to install small wireless facilities, within the public right-of-way, in order to provide robust cellular coverage and capacity throughout the City, while ensuring facilities are well maintained and do not significantly detract from City streetscapes.

The City does not regulate the technologies wireless carriers use, but it does have certain powers to regulate the time, place, manner, and aesthetics of wireless communications facilities. These guidelines are intended only to convey location and design preferences that may not necessarily apply to every small cell facility.

These guidelines provide information on City preferences for pole selection for small cell facilities, as well as the information the City needs to permit installation of small cell facilities in less-preferred areas. The City recommends that wireless carriers make every attempt to avoid pole locations that are less preferred, or in locations where they would have visual impacts of significance, such as in areas where views to public art works would be obstructed.

The City prefers installation of small cell facilities on City owned streetlights, due to the improved aesthetic qualities of these facilities. Wireless providers should work with the City to establish an agreement for the use of City owned streetlights.

Below are requirements and guidelines to aid wireless providers in planning facility locations and compiling the necessary information to obtain encroachment permits for small cell wireless facilities on City-owned poles. Wireless communications providers are also directed to review and comply with the City's "Wireless Facilities Master Plan" that can be found on the City's website.

Recommended Design Elements

Proposed wireless small cell facilities should substantially conform to the City's Small Cell Design Standards found here:

<https://www.cupertino.org/Home/ShowDocument?id=24383>

Use of City Streetlights

A small cell facility proposed to be placed on a streetlight pole shall, except as provided below, be placed where an existing streetlight pole is located. New streetlight pole installations, where none currently exist, are prohibited unless expressly approved by the Public Works Department. New pole proposals may be permitted where the new pole infills gaps in streetlight coverage, per the Public Works Department's spacing guidelines (typically spaced every 150 feet and staggered from one side of the road to the other).

Existing streetlight poles and foundation proposed for a wireless facility installation shall typically be replaced with a new pole and new foundation. The City's existing streetlight poles follow the 1992 Caltrans Type 15 standards, including foundation, which have typically proven to lack the structural integrity necessary to support the addition of wireless equipment.

Where an existing streetlight pole must be replaced to provide the necessary structural integrity for wireless equipment, the pole's foundation shall be completely removed during the pole replacement process. No foundation materials will be permitted to be abandoned in place. It is preferred that a new foundation be placed in the same location as the original foundation. If a new foundation cannot be placed in the same location, the applicant shall situate the new foundation as close to the original location as possible, and shall make any modifications to the mast arm that are necessary to ensure that the luminaire is in general alignment with adjacent streetlights, where feasible. If the City approves relocation of the foundation, the former foundation hole must be filled with a 2-sack controlled density fill.

Preferred Equipment Configurations:

Equipment placement configuration shall utilize the following layouts (in order of preference):

- 1) Utilize underground vaults where feasible. Grounds for infeasibility include, but are not limited to, instances where undergrounding could create

environmental concerns, such as equipment that would emit noise or that would require connections to City storm drain systems. The Underground vaults shall be the minimum volume necessary to house WCF equipment. Maximum vault size shall not exceed 4 feet 6-inches * 8 feet * 5 feet 7-inches deep, excluding space required for ventilation or dewatering equipment. Modifications to these maximum dimensions shall only be permitted at the City's discretion.

- 2) Where equipment cannot be placed in below grade vaults, the wireless facility designer shall, to the maximum extent possible, conceal radio equipment and the associated antenna(s) within a shroud at the top of the pole.
- 3) When radio equipment cannot be placed in the shroud, equipment shall be attached to a pole behind existing signage, but in no event shall it obscure or interfere with the visibility or functioning of the signage.
- 4) When no signage is available to conceal equipment being placed on the pole, the wireless facility designer shall situate equipment on the pole to minimize views of the equipment, such as placing the equipment on the side of the pole opposite the oncoming vehicular traffic, or, at the City's sole discretion, shall utilize a pole design with an integrated base enclosure to conceal equipment.
 - Equipment placed on a pole where no signs are available for screening purposes shall typically be placed on the side opposite the direction of traffic.
 - The base enclosure design shall utilize poles that are located outside of driveway and intersection sight lines, as established by City standard details (7-2, 7-4 & 7-6).

Additional equipment placement considerations:

- Minimum elevation for antenna shall be 26 feet above ground level. This minimum elevation is consistent with City Standard Streetlight dimensions.
- Maximum elevations for antenna and shrouding equipment shall be 41 feet above ground level in non-residential zones, and 36 feet above ground level in residential zones. These maximum elevations are consistent with City Standard Streetlight dimensions.
- All components external to the pole shall have an integral color or shall be painted to match the color and/or materials of the pole.

- All wires and cabling shall be routed entirely underground and within the pole and any attached shroud, unless existing overhead electrical lines serve power to the pole and the electrical provider approves the overhead connection.
- Proposed carrier fiber conductor to the streetlight pole shall be routed around, and not through, the City's electrical pull box. Carrier fiber conductor may intercept (through a cut-in "Y") and co-share the electrical conduit between the pole and the City's electrical pull box. A max-cell innerduct must be used to separate fiber conductor from electrical conductor in the co-shared conduit. An alternative to this is for the carrier fiber to be placed directly from the carrier's fiber pull box to the streetlight pole, through a new conduit sweep dedicated for fiber conductor, installed as part of the replaced pole foundation;
- No equipment cabinets may be placed at grade without express approval of the City.
- Existing streetlight luminaires that are not LED shall be replaced with a City standard LED fixture for all new wireless small cell facility installations.
- Existing banner hanging devices located on poles to be replaced shall be salvaged and reinstalled on the new facility. In no case shall wireless equipment interfere with the City's ability to utilize these devices or to hang banners.

Any modification to existing small cell wireless equipment requires an encroachment permit from the Public Works Department. Installations of additional antennae, or modifications in power output, will require that the applicant provide the City with a permit application, construction plans, photo simulations, updated Electromagnetic energy (EME)/radio frequency report or certification that the facility is operating in compliance with FCC emission limits, structural report, noise study report, updated circuit analysis calculation and an updated activation report, showing compliance with the Federal Communications Commission (FCC) EMF limits.

Permitting Process for Small Cell Facilities on City-Owned Streetlight Poles

1) Pole Availability Request and Reservation:

Prior to an Initial Encroachment Permit Application Submittal (see below), applicants for small cell facilities are encouraged to submit a Pole Availability Request and Reservation with the Department of Public Works. The Pole Availability Request and Reservation process will verify whether a streetlight is available for a small cell facility, and if the proposed equipment layout is acceptable for the pole. If the pole is

available for the carrier's use, the City will reserve the location for a period of one year, or until the location is requested by another carrier, at which time the City will notify the original requestor that an encroachment permit application must be submitted within 30 days or the reservation will be forfeit.

When a Pole Availability Request is made, the applicant shall provide information to the City that shows why the proposed location is either 1) the most preferred site in the general area, or 2) the only feasible option available to address the carrier's needs. See Attachment C for further information on siting preferences guidelines.

A Pole Availability Request submittal should consist of:

- A map showing the location of the streetlight pole where a small cell facility is desired to be located. This map should be in the form of a Google KMZ file, or some other file type that clearly shows the streetlight being indicated.
- Information on the equipment and layout being proposed (e.g. all equipment within the shroud, equipment on the pole screened by signs, base enclosure, underground vault, or equipment on the pole situated to minimize views of equipment).

The Public Works Department will review, evaluate and respond to Pole Availability Requests within 14 days of submittal. The City prefers that no more than 10 locations for Pole Availability Requests be submitted for review at a time. Pole Availability Requests are not subject to FCC shot clocks, and do not begin the shot clock timeline. Applicants are encouraged to reach out to the Department of Public Works regarding pole availability early to minimize time and engineering costs should the pole be unavailable for their use. Any poles previously reserved by the carrier that are within a 500-foot radius of a newly requested site must be relinquished.

If the location of a Pole Availability Request is deemed acceptable, the applicant may submit an Initial Application.

Items to include for Pole Availability Request:

- Electronic Map of proposed locations.
- Information on the type of installation being proposed, including all proposed equipment on the pole, and within the shroud.
- Preferred siting justification for poles located in Category 2 and 3 areas, as indicated in Attachment C.

2) Initial Encroachment Permit Application Submittal Requirements:

All encroachment permit applications for small cell facilities within the public right of way shall be submitted to the Public Works Department for review. The City prefers that an applicant have a maximum of ten (10) active Initial Encroachment Permit Applications at any time. If an applicant submits more than 10 applications, the City reserves the right to request that the applicant enter into an agreement tolling the shot clock for a reasonable period to allow City review of the applications, or that each application in excess of ten (10) be accompanied by an initial \$5,000 deposit to cover third-party review costs. Deposits submitted to the City will be used to cover City staff time as well as actual third-party costs plus a 15 percent (15%) administrative fee as established in the City's fee schedule. Any costs the City incurs that exceed the initial deposit shall be paid by the applicant prior to encroachment permit issuance. Any unused portions of submitted deposits will be refunded to the applicant. Submittals shall show the proposed location and the facilities planned for construction, with a photo-simulation depicting the existing and proposed conditions (see Attachment A for information to be included in the photo simulation). The submittal shall also provide information on the anticipated power consumption (total wattage) of the facility, and whether fans or other noise emitting equipment is being proposed. New facilities located in residential areas shall be of a type that does not emit noise. The submittal for any location where a fan is proposed shall include a report, stamped and signed by a qualified registered engineer, on the anticipated DBA levels and shall show compliance with the City of Cupertino's noise ordinance. If a Pole Availability Request was not made before the initial encroachment permit application submittal, the applicant shall submit information regarding site preferences (per Attachment C) at this time.

Public Works will review the proposed location and facilities for general compliance with these guidelines. Features that help to reduce visual impacts include:

- Locating equipment in underground vaults, where feasible.
- Concealing equipment in the antenna shroud and behind street signs;
- Concealing equipment within a base enclosure integrated into the pole;
- Concealing wires from view;
- Locating new facility installations near property corners or side property lines, and not directly in front of residences and businesses;
- Minimizing views from habitable living areas (such as bedrooms or living rooms) of residential units which directly face the proposed small cell facility;

- Locating facilities no closer than 20 feet to occupied structures or 100 feet to a school structure.
- Ensuring there are no flashing lights or large repetitive warning stickers that are unnecessary, distracting, poorly placed, or non-essential. Warning stickers shall be placed where appropriate, and not at pedestrian eye level, unless directed to do so by the FCC or other regulatory agencies;
- Ensuring that pole height increases are minimized, but not more than 20-percent of the existing pole height;
- Ensuring the dimensions of equipment offset from poles are the smallest feasible;

The initial review process may result in the Public Works Department having comments or concerns regarding the proposed design and location. The Public Works Department may request that facilities be relocated and/or the design be modified to better fit the existing setting.

The applicant shall ensure that the operation of new facilities will not cause interference with existing facilities, such that an existing facility would be required to increase its power source or install other/additional equipment to continue proper service. These potential impacts should be considered, measured and mitigated prior to approval of a new facility.

After the Public Works Department deems the initial submittal acceptable, the applicant will be required to notify the surrounding property owners.

Items required for Initial Encroachment Permit Submittal:

- Preliminary construction drawings showing site plan and proposed equipment to be installed.
- Photo simulations showing existing and proposed appearance of the facility.
- Circuit trace and power consumption calculation to ensure adequate power is available, or proposed modifications to circuitry to facilitate power.
- Electromagnetic energy (EME)/radio frequency report to demonstrate that allowable exposure limits are not exceeded.
- Acoustic noise level report on the anticipated DBA levels that shall show compliance with the City of Cupertino's noise ordinance.
- Structural analysis study to demonstrate that the existing pole or a new pole will adequately support all proposed equipment.

3) Notification Process:

After the City has vetted and provided preliminary approval of a site and the proposed design for a new small cell facility, the Applicant is required to notify all property owners and residents within 500 feet of the proposed installation. Notification materials to residents will include a description of the project and the purpose of the proposed facility. The Applicant will provide to the City a mailing list that includes both owners and tenants (occupant designation for tenants is acceptable) to be notified of the proposed facility installation.

Direct Mailers/Courtesy Letter

Property owners whose properties are within 500-feet of a proposed facility shall be notified by registered mail via the U.S. Postal Service. Property owners will be given 21 calendar days to contact the Applicant with any questions or concerns. The Applicant shall provide an affidavit stating all property owners on the mailing list were sent letters, and it shall indicate the date the letters were deposited with USPS.

The letter will provide a description of the purpose of the proposed facility and an aerial map identifying the proposed location of the facility. In addition, photo simulations of the proposed equipment and an excerpt of the construction plans showing the site plan and elevations are to be included. The notification shall also include a telephone number and email address for the Applicant's Community Liaison, whom property owners may contact with any questions or concerns regarding the facility. The Applicant's Community Liaison shall reply to all inquiries within 72 hours of contact and provide a copy of each response to the City. Additionally, the notification shall include a contact number for the Public Works Department – (408) 777-3354 – so that property owners can contact the City directly, if they so prefer. The applicant shall log all contact with property owners or residents/occupants, which shall include the date, owner/resident's name, address and the specific questions or concerns the owner/resident has regarding the facility.

Upon completion of the notification period, the Applicant shall provide the Public Works Department with a compilation of all inquiries received (if any), including a breakdown of the comments and concerns regarding the application, and, when possible, the inquirer's name, address and contact information. The list shall be accompanied by an affidavit stating all inquiries received have been compiled and provided to the City, or that no inquiries were received in such event. The applicant will work with the City to address any concerns to the maximum extent practicable.

Items required for submittal to the City for the Notification Process include:

- A copy of the letter and exhibits to be mailed to residents that own or live within 500-ft of a proposed location.
- An aerial map showing the location of the proposed new facility and the properties outlined that are within the 500-ft outreach area.
- A list of all property owners and residents to receive the notification, including their address.
- Affidavit stating all listed property owners and residents were mailed the letter and the date the letters were deposited with USPS.

Items required after the notification period has ended:

- Compiled list of all inquiries received.
- Affidavit stating all inquiries were transmitted to the City.

4) Final Encroachment Permit Application Submittal Requirements:

After the notification period is concluded, and the applicant and the City have worked to address the comments received through the notification process, the applicant will submit to the Public Works Department the Final Encroachment Permit Application.

Items to be submitted for Final Encroachment Permit Application:

- Completed Encroachment Permit Application.
- Final signed and stamped Improvement Plans (including photo simulations and electrical plans). *
- Traffic Control Plans.
- Contractor's Insurance Certificate (with the City of Cupertino named as additionally insured).
- City of Cupertino current business license for both Applicant and Contractor.
- Payment of encroachment permit fees.
- List of properties to receive construction notification Door Hangers.
- Encroachment Agreement/Commencement Letter establishing a pole license for the site, a start date for annual use payments, and connecting the installation to the License Agreement.

*(*See Attachment A for items to be included on the plans.)*

Door Hanger Notice

After the Public Works Department approves an encroachment permit, and at least one-week before commencement of construction activities, the applicant shall place a "Door Hanger" Notice at all properties that will be affected by construction of the facilities. Properties that would be affected include, but are not necessarily limited to, those that are immediately adjacent to, as well as across the street from, the construction operations and staging areas, any properties where "No Parking" signage is placed in front of their residences, and those properties that would be affected by traffic control facilities or that may experience restricted access due to the operations. This notice will inform the property owners of the upcoming construction and will offer a contact number for the applicant so that property owners can call in construction related inquiries and concerns.

5) Post-Construction Requirements:

After a facility has been constructed, the Applicant shall provide to the Public Works Department as-built drawings showing any modifications from the approved drawing plans (any modifications made during construction shall be approved by the City prior to implementation). If no modifications from the approved plans were made, the Applicant shall simply make this point clear after construction is completed, and the approved plans on file with the City shall be utilized for the as-built drawing requirement. The Applicant will also be required to cover all reasonable costs associated with the measuring, recording, reporting and monitoring of emissions, including EMR/RF and noise associated with the wireless communications facility. Such information shall be provided, within 30 days of activation of the equipment, in an Activation Report which shall be made available to any interested party through the City of Cupertino's Public Works Department.

The Activation Report shall be prepared by a qualified registered engineer (in the State of California), or other technical expert approved by the City of Cupertino and shall provide information that demonstrates the facility will not cause any potential exposure to RF emissions that exceed adopted FCC standards for human exposure. Testing shall be conducted in compliance with FCC regulations governing the measurement of RF emissions and shall be conducted during normal business hours on a non-holiday weekday with the subject equipment measured while operating at maximum power. For all measurements made, evidence must be submitted showing that the testing instrument(s) used were calibrated within their manufacturer's suggested periodic calibration interval, and that the calibration is by methods traceable to the National Bureau of Standards. At the sole option of the City

Engineer, an agent of the City may monitor the performance of testing required for preparation of the Activation Report.

Notification prior to Activation Report

The Applicant shall undertake to inform and perform appropriate tests for residents of dwelling units located within 100 feet of the transmitting antennae at the time of testing for the Activation Report.

- At least 14 calendar days prior to conducting the testing required for preparation of the Activation Report, the Applicant shall notify the Department of Public Works, and shall send a letter, via registered mail through the U.S. Postal Service, to the resident of any dwelling unit within 100 feet of a transmitting antenna, notifying them of the date on which testing will be conducted.
- Residents notified of the testing may request, in advance of the test, that the Applicant conduct testing of total power density of RF emissions within their residence on the date on which the testing is conducted for the Activation Report.
- Within 30 days of the installation and operation of the facilities, the Applicant shall confirm and submit in writing to the Public Works Department, through an Activation Report, that the facilities are being maintained and operated in compliance with applicable Building, Electrical and other Code requirements, as well as applicable FCC emissions standards.

See Attachment B for the Wireless Communications Facility Project Completion Checklist. Each item on the checklist shall be verified prior to sign-off and closeout of the encroachment permit.

Items to be submitted for Final Encroachment Permit issuance:

- As-built drawings (if applicable).
- Activation Report.

Key Milestones

1. Identify placement of facilities - Applicant shall work with the City of Cupertino regarding the planned build out of wireless communications facilities and shall supply a map of proposed siting locations as plans and information become available.

2. Complete field verification – Applicant shall have an engineer visit each individual location/area to identify living units, building addresses, existing facilities, distance measurements, Public Right of Way and private property lines. The Engineer shall also identify other potential sites for Small Cell Facilities if the Applicant's first choice is not realized. Applicant will consider public safety, aesthetics, the overall network design, and will be will work to comply with all siting criteria.
3. Pole Availability Request and Reservation – Applicant is encouraged to submit a pole availability request package to the City of Cupertino for review and comment. The package should include a vicinity map, information on the type of installation being proposed, and preferred siting justification, if applicable. The City will review the request for compliance with these guidelines, location concerns and for aesthetic qualities and features of the equipment. The City may request, at this time, that alternative locations or design features be utilized or considered. Applicant is encouraged to work with the City to address initial comments and concerns prior to the initial encroachment permit application submittal.
4. Initial Encroachment Permit Application Submittal – Applicant shall submit preliminary construction drawings showing the site plan and the proposed equipment to be installed, photo simulations of the existing and proposed appearance of the streetlight pole, a circuit trace and power consumption calculations, electromagnetic energy (EME)/radio frequency report to demonstrate that allowable exposure limits are not exceeded; acoustic noise level report on the anticipated DBA levels that shall show compliance with the City of Cupertino's noise ordinance (if applicable), and a structural analysis study to demonstrate that the existing pole or a new pole will adequately support all proposed equipment. If a Pole Availability Request was not made before the initial encroachment permit application submittal, the applicant shall submit information regarding site preferences (per Attachment C) at this time.
5. Notification Process - After the Public Works Department reviews and supports the initial encroachment permit application submittal, the Applicant will mail courtesy letters to all residents within 500 feet of the facility.
6. Response to Inquiries - Applicant will provide a Community Liaison to act as a point of contact for notified property owners. The Community Liaison will retain an log of all inquiries received and the disposition of each. Applicant will respond to all customer inquiries within 72 hours. Customer concerns will be identified and reviewed by Applicant's construction and engineering staff.
7. Inquiry Report - Applicant will provide a report to the City detailing all customer inquiries. The information will include customer name, date of receipt, date of response, contact information and resolution. Public Works will review inquiries

from residents and will provide recommended actions for the Applicant to incorporate. These actions may consist of revising the proposed wireless communications facility location or scope, re-notifying affected residents of modifications to facilities and/or requesting installation of additional screening for facilities.

8. Final Encroachment Permit Application Submittal – When the notification process and subsequent rectification has been completed to the satisfaction of the City Engineer, the Applicant will submit a complete application package to the Public Works Department that addresses the City Engineer’s comments and concerns. Once all requirements have been addressed, and permit fees have been paid, the Public Works Department will issue the necessary permits to the applicant.
9. Construction Notices – The Applicant will have a door hanger delivered to residents affected by construction of the facilities after the necessary permits have been issued, and at least 1 week prior to commencement of construction.
10. Post-Construction Activation – After a wireless communications facility has been constructed, and within 30 days of activation, the Applicant will be required to measure, record the emissions from the facility. An Activation Report shall be provided which certifies whether the equipment is in compliance with established FCC emissions limits and/or other relevant government agencies.

Policies and Requirements:

These guidelines are meant to provide a general overview of the procedures and requirements for installation of wireless telecommunications facilities on City-owned poles located within the public right of way. Additional conditions, information and/or procedures may be necessary based on the circumstances, project scope and the location being proposed by the Applicant, or as deemed necessary by the City Engineer.

The Applicant or its successors shall comply fully with all conditions specified in these guidelines, and as modified by the City Engineer. Failure to comply with any condition shall constitute grounds for revocation. If an Activation Report includes a finding that RF emissions for the site exceed FCC Standards, the Applicant will be required to immediately cease and desist operation of the facility until such time that the violation is corrected to the satisfaction of the City Engineer.

Any carrier/provider authorized by the City Engineer to operate a specific wireless communications facility installation may assign the operation of the facility to another carrier licensed by the CPUC and FCC, provided that such transfer is made known to

the City Engineer in advance of such operation, and all conditions of approval for the subject installation are carried out by the new carrier/provider.

Please contact the Public Works Department at (408) 777-3354, or by email at engineering@cupertino.org, with any questions or concerns regarding these guidelines.

ATTACHMENT A

Information to be shown on Plans and Simulations to ensure clarity		YES	NO
1	Cover Sheet Show the correct project site location on cover sheet (with a vicinity map). Indicate the street address(s) for the nearest building(s).		
2	Cover Sheet Provide a clear project description describing types and numbers of equipment. Also indicate if pole will be replaced (with existing and proposed heights) or if any existing road signage is proposed to be relocated or removed.		
3	Cover Sheet Provide information in a checklist format to ensure conformance by installers.		
4	Site Plan Show location of the proposed pole with relation to the existing travelled way, property lines, sidewalks, structures within 20 feet of the pole, and other surface equipment/facilities.		
5	Site Plan Show location of any new vaults proposed.		
6	Elevation Sheet Show location of any warning stickers. RF warning sticker shall be facing out to street and near antenna, or away from street and near antenna if no window within 50 feet.		
7	Elevation Sheet Indicate height to top of pole, antenna, top and bottom of equipment enclosures.		
8	Elevation Sheet Show any street signage that will be placed on the pole, and that is used to screen small cell equipment. Ensure signage and equipment are shown to scale. Relocated signage shall be placed at an elevation that is consistent with the original height of the signs.		
9	Elevation Sheet Show equipment stacked together as close as possible while complying with airflow requirements.		
10	Elevation Sheet Ensure other elements (e.g. NEMA, PBX or J boxes), ground bus bars, and base plate mounts are shown, if utilized.		
11	Elevation Sheet Clearly show offset (distance) of equipment cabinets from pole, including the maximum offset from the pole to the outermost edge of facilities.		
12	Photo Simulations Show equipment sizes, enclosures, signs and offsets correctly.		
13	Photo Simulations Show RF warning stickers, if visible from given perspectives.		
14	Photo Simulations Use perspectives that provide a true sense of distance to nearest residential windows or primary facades of buildings, as well as scale of the facilities.		
15	Structural Design and Calculations Provide analysis that shows structural adequacy of all proposed facilities including foundation, pole and equipment.		
16	Circuit Analysis Provide the streetlight single line diagram of the electrical network that serves the proposed pole. Plan shall show any modifications to the system that are necessary to serve adequate electrical power to the City's streetlights and small cell facilities.		
17	Electrical Plans Provide an electrical and grounding diagram for the small cell facility from the service point pull box and within the pole.		
18	Traffic Control Plans Plans that show traffic control to facilitate construction. Plans shall conform to the latest California MUTCD requirements.		

ATTACHMENT B

Site Completion Checklist		YES	NO
1	Spacing of Support Elements: Support equipment (e.g. Disconnect Switch and Mrrus) to be clustered (vertically) as close as technically feasible on pole.		
2	Logo Removal: All equipment logos, other than those required by regulation (e.g. node identification of shutdown signage) shall be painted over or removed. Raised/Depressed logos/text on equipment enclosures (e.g. RRUs), if present to be sanded off, or covered with a sticker, and then painted.		
3	Signage: FCC mandated RF warning signage shall be placed as mandated by the FCC. Where no guidance is provided by the FCC, signage shall face out to street when wireless facility is located in front of, or near a window. Signage shall face toward building if there are no windows present.		
4	Notification: 14 days prior to performing emissions testing, applicant shall inform residents of dwelling units within 100-feet and offer to perform a test in their dwelling.		
5	Testing: Measure and record emissions, including EMR/RF and noise.		
6	Report: Within 30 days of activation, applicant will submit an activation report to the Public Works Department that certifies the facility is operating within FCC emissions limits.		

ATTACHMENT C

Siting Preferences:

Small cell facilities shall be located to reduce their visual and aesthetic impacts upon the surrounding public right of way and public vantage points. To accomplish this, the City prefers siting of small cell facilities that minimizes the need for multiple installations. The City also prefers placement of wireless facilities on streetlight poles that are the most distant from occupied structures.

The following Categories of sites for small cell facility installations are listed in order of preference. As described below, Category 1 sites are preferred over Category 2 sites, and small cell facilities in Category 3 sites are generally not permitted, either in Category 1 or Category 2 areas:

- 1) Category 1 (Preferred Sites) - Non-residential zoning districts
- 2) Category 2 (Less Preferred Sites) – All residential zoning districts

The following street types (as defined by the Cupertino General Plan) in residential zoning districts are listed from more preferred to less preferred for placement of small cell facilities:

- a. Boulevards and Arterials
- b. Major Collectors
- c. Minor Collectors
- d. Neighborhood Connectors
- e. Residential Streets

Where an applicant proposes a facility on a streetlight pole in a Category 2 site, the applicant shall provide documentation showing that all streetlight poles in any Category 1 site and in any more-preferred Category 2 site within 500 feet of the proposed facility are infeasible to meet the carrier's needs (e.g. proximity to signal barriers, such as trees, capacity and coverage needs, etc.). For example, a facility proposed for a Category 2.e (Residential Street) site would need to provide documentation showing that all Category 1 and Category 2.a, 2.b., 2.c, and 2.d sites within 500 feet are infeasible.

3) Category 3 (Least Preferred Sites) – Any zoning district

A facility shall not be permitted in a Category 3 site if non-Category 3 sites are available within 500 feet of the proposed facility, unless the applicant can provide documentation showing that a Category 3 site is the only feasible option available to address the carrier's needs.

The following locations are considered Least Preferred sites:

- a. Any installation in the right of way that would result in a facility being located closer than 15 feet to a public roadway intersection. An intersection is measured from the start of the curb radius.
- b. Any installation in the right of way that would result in a facility being located closer than 500 feet to any other small cell facility in the right of way owned by the same wireless carrier.
- c. Any installation in the right of way that would result in a facility being located closer than 20 feet to an occupied structure.
- d. Any installation in the right of way that would result in a facility being located closer than 100 feet to any public-school building.
- e. Any installation in the right of way that would result in a facility being located closer than 100 feet to any publicly assessable playground. For purposes of this guideline, playground shall mean an improved outdoor area designed, equipped, and set aside for children's play that is not intended for use as an athletic playing field or athletic court, and shall include any playground equipment, fall zones, surface materials, access ramps, and all areas within and including the designated enclosure and barriers.

ATTACHMENT B

Links to small cell ordinances and application guidelines for other regional cities:

Campbell:

- Ordinance:
https://library.municode.com/ca/campbell/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT11STSI_CH11.14SMCEWIFAPURI-W_11.14.070APDENO
- Guidelines:
<https://www.ci.campbell.ca.us/DocumentCenter/View/12646/Permit-Process--City-owned-and-utility-owned-poles-FINAL>

Los Altos:

- Ordinance:
https://library.municode.com/ca/los_altos/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=TIT11MIP_RRE_CH11.12WIFA
- Guidelines:
https://www.losaltosca.gov/sites/default/files/fileattachments/city_council/page/48421/resolution_no._2019-35.pdf

Los Gatos:

- Guidelines:
<https://www.losgatosca.gov/DocumentCenter/View/22613/Small-Cell-Streetlight-Guidelines>

Mill Valley:

- Ordinance:
http://cityofmillvalley.granicus.com/Viewer.php?view_id=2&clip_id=1290&meta_id=59943

Mountain View:

- Guidelines:
<https://www.mountainview.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=29146>

Palo Alto:

- Guidelines:
<https://www.cityofpaloalto.org/files/assets/public/city-manager/communications-office/wireless-communication-facilities/city-council-reso-9873-signed-121619-wcf-standards-update.pdf>
- Ordinance:
https://codelibrary.amlegal.com/codes/paloalto/latest/paloalto_ca/0-0-0-36264#JD_18.42.110

Petaluma:

- Ordinance:
http://petaluma.granicus.com/MetaViewer.php?view_id=31&event_id=43128&meta_id=397675

San Jose:

- Guidelines:
<https://www.sanjoseca.gov/home/showpublisheddocument?id=71097>

Saratoga:

- Ordinance:
https://library.municode.com/ca/saratoga/codes/code_of_ordinances?nodeId=CH15ZOR E_ART15-44WITEFA

Sunnyvale:

- Ordinance:
https://qcode.us/codes/sunnyvale/view.php?topic=19-4-19_54-19_54_160&frames=on
- Guidelines:
<https://sunnyvale.ca.gov/civicax/filebank/blobdload.aspx?BlobID=26715>



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-8888, **Version:** 1

Subject: Arbor Day Proclamation

Authorize the Mayor to Proclaim April 24, 2021 as Arbor Day for 2021, with activities that support efforts to protect or plant trees.



Proclamation

WHEREAS, The City of Cupertino wishes to show its support for Arbor Day, which began in 1872, when J. Sterling Morton proposed to the Nebraska Board of Agriculture that a day be set aside to plant trees;

WHEREAS, Arbor Day is now observed throughout the world;

WHEREAS, Trees can reduce the erosion of topsoil by wind and water, lower our heating and cooling costs, moderate the temperature, clean the air, produce oxygen, and provide habitat for wildlife;

WHEREAS, Trees in our city increase the quality of life, enhance the economic vitality of business areas, and beautify our community;

WHEREAS, The City has organized an annual Arbor Day celebration designed for community participation and education;


WHEREAS, This year's Arbor Day Celebration will be held virtually on Saturday, April 24 at cupertino.org/earthday.

THEREFORE, I, Mayor Darcy Paul, and the Cupertino City Council do hereby Proclaim April 24, 2021 as

Arbor Day

in the City of Cupertino and urge all residents, businesses, and organizations to engage in activities that support efforts to protect our trees and to observe this day by planting trees and attending the virtual tree planting ceremony.

IN WITNESS THEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and caused the seal of the City of Cupertino to be affixed this Tuesday, April Twentieth, Two Thousand and Twenty One.



Darcy Paul
Mayor



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9044, **Version:** 1

Subject: Brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements

Receive brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-8895, **Version:** 1

Subject: City Manager update on emergency response efforts

Receive City Manager update on emergency response efforts



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-8901, **Version:** 1

Subject: Report on Committee assignments

Report on Committee assignments



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9104, **Version:** 1

Subject: Approve the March 16 City Council minutes

Approve the March 16 City Council minutes



**DRAFT MINUTES
CUPERTINO CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, March 16, 2021**

SPECIAL MEETING

At 5:45 p.m. Mayor Darcy Paul called the Special City Council meeting to order. This was a teleconference meeting with no physical location.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Darcy Paul, Vice Mayor Liang Chao, and Councilmembers Kitty Moore, Hung Wei, and Jon Robert Willey. Absent: None. All Councilmembers teleconferenced for the meeting.

STUDY SESSION

1. Subject: Discussion regarding the County Board of Supervisors consideration of Measure A funded potential acquisition of the shopping center site located at 10591 N. De Anza Blvd. Cupertino, where Outback Steakhouse was located.
Recommended Action: Discussion regarding the County Board of Supervisors consideration of Measure A funded potential acquisition of the shopping center site located at 10591 N. De Anza Blvd. Cupertino, where Outback Steakhouse was located.

Written communications for this item included emails to Council and a staff presentation.

County of Santa Clara Office of Supportive Housing Director Consuelo Hernandez gave a presentation.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public comment period and the following people spoke.

Jennifer Griffin was concerned about the accuracy of the current RHNA numbers, the loss of retail, and supported a plan for housing and services for unhoused residents.

Peggy Griffin was concerned about the loss of retail and supported housing and services for low income individuals and people with developmental disabilities.

Lisa Warren was concerned about the loss of retail and the size of the units but supported housing and supportive service configurations best suited for the location.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) supported the project, housing for all incomes and abilities, and site proximity to transit and services.

Janet Van Zoeren supported the project and affordable housing, including units and services for people with developmental disabilities.

Mayor Paul closed the public comment period.

Council conducted the discussion regarding the County Board of Supervisors consideration of Measure A funded potential acquisition of the shopping center site located at 10591 N. De Anza Blvd. Cupertino, where Outback Steakhouse was located. There was overall support for extremely low income housing for developmentally disabled individuals.

ADJOURNMENT

REGULAR MEETING

At 6:45 p.m. Mayor Darcy Paul called the Regular City Council meeting to order. This was a teleconference meeting with no physical location.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Darcy Paul, Vice Mayor Liang Chao, and Councilmembers Kitty Moore, Hung Wei, and Jon Robert Willey. Absent: None. All Councilmembers teleconferenced for the meeting.

In open session, Mayor Paul reported out on the Special Closed Session Meetings held on March 8, 2021 and March 16, 2021:

For the Special Closed Session Meeting held on March 8, 2021:

Before Council went into closed session, the following individual spoke in open session:

Mary Girodo

1. Subject: Conference with Real Property Negotiators pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8. Property: 10455 Torre Avenue located at the northwest corner of Torre Avenue and Pacifica Drive (APN 369-40-009). Agency Negotiators: Deborah Feng, City Manager and Angela Tsui, Economic Development Manager. Negotiating Parties: property owners, Phillip G Svalya, et al, in care of Teresa Lorenzen. Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment for potential acquisition of real property.

Council gave direction to its negotiators regarding price and terms of payment for potential acquisition of real property.

For the Special Closed Session Meeting held at 5:15 p.m. on March 16:

1. Subject: Conference with Real Property Negotiators pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.8. Property: 10455 Torre Avenue located at the northwest corner of Torre Avenue and Pacifica Drive (APN 369-40-009). Agency Negotiators: Deborah Feng, City Manager, Angela Tsui, Economic Development Manager, Mayor Darcy Paul, Patty Steele, Senior Managing Director at Newmark. Negotiating Parties: property owners, Phillip G Svalya, et al, in care of Teresa Lorenzen. Under Negotiation: Price and terms of payment for potential acquisition of real property.

During public comment, the City's Planning Manager reported that (a) at a special Planning Commission meeting held earlier today, the Planning Commission determined that the City's acquisition of the property would be exempt from CEQA, and (b) the Planning Commission found, pursuant to Government Code section 65402(a), that the City's acquisition and use of the property would be in conformance with the City's General Plan.

Council authorized the Mayor to execute a purchase and sale agreement for acquisition of the property in the form presented to the Council. Key terms of the agreement include:

- City acquisition of the 0.48 acre-property including an existing 4,715 sq. ft. office building located across the street from Library Field. The property has 20 parking spaces as well as one ADA accessible space.
- \$4,450,000 purchase price with a \$100,000 deposit, which shall be applied to the purchase price at closing;
- 21-day due diligence period for City to complete its investigations of the property to its satisfaction;
- City assumption of existing leases of the property; and
- Close of escrow within 30 days after the effective date of the purchase agreement.
- Seller has until 3:00 pm tomorrow to sign the purchase agreement.

Members of the public may obtain a copy of the purchase and sale agreement signed by the seller upon request.

The City Council action was taken with the following vote:

YES – Wei, Willey, Moore, Chao, Paul

NO – None

ABSTAIN – None

CEREMONIAL MATTERS AND PRESENTATIONS

1. Subject: Certificates of Recognition for the winner and runner-up of the Drafting Cupertino's Future Essay Contest.
Recommended Action: Present certificates of recognition for the winner and runner-up for the Drafting Cupertino's Future Essay Contest.

Written Communications for this item included a staff presentation.

Parks and Recreation Coordinator Danny Mestizo gave a presentation.

Mayor Paul presented the certificates of recognition for the winner and runner-up for the Drafting Cupertino's Future Essay Contest.

POSTPONEMENTS

Consideration of a Municipal Code Amendment to adopt changes to Chapter 19.56, Density Bonus, of the Cupertino Municipal Code to allow increased density bonuses for projects providing higher percentages of affordable units in a housing development project. The density bonuses vary by income level. Application No(s): MCA-2021-002; Applicant(s): City of Cupertino; Location: citywide, which was previously noticed to be heard on March 16, was continued to April 6.

Council recessed from 6:57 p.m. to 7:00 p.m.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Rhoda Fry was concerned about air pollution from Lehigh and the Bay Area Air Quality Management District's (BAQMD) current rules and reporting on pollution.

Jennifer Griffin was concerned about ensuring COVID-19 vaccinations for unhoused residents.

Peter Chu supported earlier notifications of proposed small cell sites, educating residents on permitting guidelines, and studying guidelines in other cities. (Submitted written comments).

Jenny Huang was concerned about a notice of violation and demolition requirement for an unpermitted structure in her backyard.

William W was concerned about proposed small cell sites and supported alternate locations, earlier notifications to residents, and studying guidelines in other cities.

Rajul Pandit was concerned about proposed small cell sites and talked about a related petition signed by residents and supported adopting alternative guidelines.

REPORTS BY COUNCIL AND STAFF (10 minutes)

Paul moved and Willey seconded to allow for Council comments, which is normally heard at the end of the agenda, to be heard before agenda Item No. 2. The motion carried unanimously.

2. Subject: City Manager update on emergency response efforts
 Recommended Action: Receive City Manager update on emergency response efforts

City Manager Deborah Feng reported on updates in the State's Tier assignments, COVID-19 vaccine information, testing opportunities, and case rates.

Council received the City Manager update on emergency response efforts.

3. Subject: Report on Committee assignments
 Recommended Action: Report on Committee assignments

Councilmembers highlighted the activities of their various committees.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Willey moved and Wei seconded to approve the items on the Consent Calendar except for Item Nos. 4, 7, and 11 which were pulled for discussion. Ayes: Paul, Chao, Moore, Wei, and Willey. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.

4. Subject: Approve the February 6 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the February 6 City Council minutes

Paul moved and Moore seconded to direct staff to delete the section labeled "City Work Plan" and adopt the redlines removing the term "bike rack" from the draft minutes and bring back a clean version for approval at the next meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

5. Subject: Approve the March 2 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the March 2 City Council minutes

6. Subject: Set application deadline and interview dates for six terms expiring on the Teen Commission.
Recommended Action: Set application deadline and interview dates for six terms expiring on the Teen Commission and approve:
1.) Applications due in the City Clerk's office by 4:30 p.m. on Friday, May 7; and
2.) Interviews held beginning at 5:30 p.m. on Monday, May 24 and Tuesday, May 25 (as needed)

7. Subject: Consider Renewal of Friendship City Relationships
Recommended Action: Approve renewal of Friendship City relationships with Taichung and Yilan

Communications Officer Brian Babcock reviewed the staff report.

City Clerk Kirsten Squarcia played a video of Cupertino Taichung Friendship Cities accomplishments on behalf of Weini Liem. (Distributed written comments).

Wiley moved and Wei seconded to approve the renewal of Friendship City relationships with Taichung and Yilan. The motion carried unanimously.

8. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending January 11, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-018 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending January 11, 2021
9. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending January 19, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-019 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending January 19, 2021

10. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending January 25, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-020 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending January 25, 2021
11. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending January 31, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-021 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending January 31, 2021

Council consented to direct staff to attach the accounts payable report containing descriptors for this item and bring back for approval at next meeting.

12. Subject: Approval of a Professional Services Contract between the City of Cupertino and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., for design of the De Anza Boulevard and McClellan Road/Pacifica Drive Intersection Modifications Project.
Recommended Action: Authorize the City Manager to execute a Professional Services Contract between the City of Cupertino and Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., in an amount not-to-exceed \$324,410 for design of the De Anza Boulevard and McClellan Road/Pacifica Drive Intersection Modifications Project.
13. Subject: Approval of a Master Agreement between the City of Cupertino and Mesiti-Miller Engineering, Inc. (MME) to provide civil engineering services on various Capital Improvement Projects.
Recommended Action: Authorize the City Manager to execute a Master Agreement between the City of Cupertino and MME, Inc., in the amount not to exceed \$300,000 for a term of approximately three years.

SECOND READING OF ORDINANCES - None

PUBLIC HEARINGS

14. Subject: Municipal Code Amendments to adopt glazing and lighting regulations to implement the Fiscal Year 2019/20 City Council Work Program items related to Dark Sky and Bird-Safe Design. (Application No. MCA-2019-003 and MCA-2019-004; Applicant: City of Cupertino; Location: City-wide)

Recommended Action: That the City Council conduct the first reading of Ordinance No 21-2225 “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending City Code to add 19.102: Glass and Lighting Standards and to Amend Chapter 19.40 Residential Hillside (RHS) Zones, Chapter 19.60 General Commercial (GC) Zones, Chapter 19.72 Light Industrial (ML) and Industrial Park (MP) Zones, and Chapter 19.124 Parking Regulations to Implement Bird-safe and Dark Sky Policies.” (Attachment A) which includes a finding that the proposed actions are exempt from CEQA.

As an alternative to conducting the first reading, the City Council could conduct the second reading of Ordinance No. 20-2219, the Bird-safe only regulations, to implement previously introduced regulations (Attachment B), which includes a finding that the proposed actions are exempt from CEQA. Subsequently, the Council could conduct the first reading of the Dark Sky regulations, to incorporate dark sky regulations into the Bird-safe only Ordinance (Attachment C), which also includes a finding that the proposed actions are exempt from CEQA.

Written communications for this item included emails to Council and a staff presentation.

Senior Planner Erick Serrano gave a presentation.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public hearing and the following people spoke.

Dashiell Leeds, on behalf of the Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter, supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance with modifications.

Rick Kitson, on behalf of the Cupertino Chamber of Commerce, supported Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance with modifications and revisiting at a future date.

Dr. Shani Kleinhaus, on behalf of the Santa Clara Valley Audubon Society, supported the Bird-safe provisions in the Bird-safe and Dark Sky Ordinance with modifications to regulate light pollution. (Submitted written comments).

Linda Ruthruff (ceded her time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Margaret Hinebaugh (ceded her time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Lisa Karpinski (ceded her time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Bob Hirt (ceded his time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Jill Halloran (ceded her time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Guilanna Pendelton (ceded her time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Carolyn Mackenzie (ceded her time to Dr. Shani Kleinhaus)

Recreation Coordinator Keith Wandry (representing self) supported Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance.

Rose Grymes supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance with modifications.

Peggy Griffin supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance with modifications and requested an updated bird safe zone map. (Distributed written comments).

Lisa Warren supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance with modifications and a requirement to review in one year.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky ordinance with modifications.

Mayor Paul closed the public hearing.

Chao moved and Moore seconded to approve the ordinance as recommended by staff with the following amendments:

- Council will review the Ordinance at one year; and

Modifications to the Draft Ordinance:

- 19.102.030 Bird-safe Development Requirements
 - E. Exemptions: The following are exempted from bird-safe treatment regulations of subsection 19.102.030(B):
 - 2. First floor ~~commercial~~ retail storefronts, up to a height of 15'; and,;
- 19.102.040 Outdoor Lighting Requirements
 - B. Outdoor Lighting Standards
 - 2. Illumination Levels
 - a. No exterior light, combination of exterior lights, or activity shall cast light exceeding zero point one (0.1) foot-candle onto an adjacent or nearby
 - d. Parking lots, sidewalks and other areas accessible to pedestrians and automobiles on properties with four or more units, mixed-use development, and non-residential development shall be illuminated with uniform and adequate intensity. Typical standards to achieve uniform and adequate intensity are:

- i. Average horizontal maintained illumination ~~should be between one and three foot-candles, but~~ shall not be more than three foot-candle;
- 19.102.040 Outdoor Lighting Requirements
 - 12. String Lighting Regulations:
 - ~~e.b.~~ Residential Areas: String lighting is permitted subject to the following requirements:
 - i. It shall not illuminate more than fifty (50) percent of the rear yard or 500 sq. ft., whichever is more restrictive.
 - ii. It shall not be visible from the City right-of-way.
 - iii. It shall be used primarily to illuminate patio areas.
 - iv. It shall be extinguished by 11:00 p.m.
 - ~~d.c.~~ Commercial and Mixed-Use Commercial Areas: String lighting may be permitted subject to the following requirements, with approval of the Director of Community Development:

Chao amended her motion for staff to further clarify string lighting for commercial zones as specified in the code and apply more stringent standards to industrial light industrial zones. (Moore declined the amendment and the amendment was not included in the motion).

Council recessed from 9:33 p.m. to 10:43 p.m.

City Clerk Kirsten Squarcia read the title of Ordinance No 21-2225 “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending City Code to add 19.102: Glass and Lighting Standards and to Amend Chapter 19.40 Residential Hillside (RHS) Zones, Chapter 19.60 General Commercial (GC) Zones, Chapter 19.72 Light Industrial (ML) and Industrial Park (MP) Zones, and Chapter 19.124 Parking Regulations to Implement Bird-safe and Dark Sky Policies” as amended.

Chao amended her motion to include the finding that the proposed actions are exempt from CEQA. (Moore accepted the amendment).

The motion carried:

Ayes: Paul, Chao, Moore, Wei, and Willey. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.

ORDINANCES AND ACTION ITEMS

15. Subject: Consider adopting a resolution affirming the City's commitment to stand in solidarity with the Asian and Pacific Islander community
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-022 affirming the City's commitment to stand in solidarity with the Asian and Pacific Islander community

Written communications for this item included emails to Council.

Assistant to the City Manager Katy Nomura reviewed the staff report.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public comment period and the following people spoke.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) supported adoption of the Resolution.

Mayor Paul closed the public comment period.

Chao moved and Paul seconded to adopt Resolution No. 21-022 affirming the City's commitment to stand in solidarity with the Asian and Pacific Islander community with the following amendments to the 5th whereas in the Draft Resolution:

~~WHEREAS, politically charged and culturally insensitive rhetoric referring to COVID-19 as the "Chinese virus" or "kung flu" further encourages racism, prejudice, and hate crimes against the Asian and Pacific Islander community; and~~

WHEREAS, as a community, we should all stand against racism, prejudice, and hate crimes against any member of our community, as this runs counter to our shared democratic values, and as such behavior against one diminishes all of us; and

Paul made a friendly amendment to change "special meeting" to "regular meeting" in the "Passed and Adopted" section in the Draft Resolution. (Chao accepted the friendly amendment).

The motion as amended carried unanimously.

16. Subject: 2020 General Plan Annual Report, including the General Plan Annual Housing Element Progress Report.
Recommended Action: That the City Council receive the General Plan Annual Review Report, including the General Plan Annual Housing Element Progress Report (Attachments A and B) and provide any input to staff.

Written communications for this item included emails to Council and a staff presentation.

Planning Manager Piu Ghosh and Housing Manager Kerri Heusler gave a presentation.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public comment period and the following people spoke.

Peggy Griffin was concerned about the preservation of retail and supported City participation in identifying affordable housing sites.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) said to look beyond the Marina and Hamptons projects to consider other locations to meet new RHNA targets.

Mayor Paul closed the public comment period.

Wiley moved and Moore seconded to accept the General Plan Annual Review Report, including the General Plan Annual Housing Element Progress Report and requested a comparison chart with neighboring cities of their Regional Housing Needs Allocation (RHNA) process of the permitted and the entitled number of units by percentage (%). The motion carried unanimously.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED (As necessary) - None

COUNCIL AND STAFF COMMENTS AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

ADJOURNMENT

At 11:40 p.m., Mayor Paul adjourned the meeting.

Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9074, **Version:** 1

Subject: Approve the March 30 City Council minutes

Approve the March 30 City Council minutes



**DRAFT MINUTES
CUPERTINO CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, March 30, 2021**

SPECIAL MEETING

At 6:30 p.m. Mayor Darcy Paul called the Special City Council meeting to order. This was a teleconference meeting with no physical location.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Darcy Paul, Vice Mayor Liang Chao, and Councilmembers Kitty Moore, Hung Wei, and Jon Robert Willey. Absent: None. All Councilmembers teleconferenced for the meeting.

ORDINANCES AND ACTION ITEMS

1. Subject: Consider adopting a resolution approving the purchase of property at 10455 Torre Avenue, Cupertino (APN 369-40-009).
Recommended Action: 1. Adopt Resolution No. 21-023 approving the purchase of the property at 10455 Torre Avenue, Assessors' Parcel Number 369-40-009, from Rudd Charitab Stephen Unitrust (Seller), in the amount of \$4,450,000, authorizing the City Manager to execute all necessary documents and related steps to complete the acquisition.
2. Approve increased budget appropriation of \$4,450,000 in the General Fund-Non Departmental program for the purchase of the property.

Written communications for this item included an amended Staff Report and Attachment A - Draft Resolution.

City Manager Deborah Feng reviewed the staff report.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public comment period and the following people spoke.

Jennifer Griffin supported approving the purchase of the property at 10455 Torre Avenue.

Mayor Paul closed the public comment period.

Wiley moved and Wei seconded to:

Adopt Resolution No. 21-023, approving a budget appropriation of \$4,450,000 in the General Fund-Non Departmental program for the purchase of the property.

The motion carried unanimously.

STUDY SESSION

2. Subject: Review Council goals and prioritize potential Fiscal Year 2021-2022 City Work Program items
Recommended Action: Review Council goals, prioritize items for the FY 2021-2022 City Work Program, and provide input

Written communications for this item included emails to Council and a staff presentation.

City Manager Deborah Feng gave a presentation.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public comment period and the following people spoke.

Seema Lindskog supported prioritizing the proposed Carmen Road Bridge.

Jennifer Shearin supported prioritizing the proposed Carmen Road Bridge.

Jennifer Griffin was concerned about the closure of Target at Main Street and supported adding another retail store in the location.

Jian He, on behalf of Walk-Bike Cupertino, supported the proposed Carmen Road Bridge project.

Technology, Information, and Communication Commissioner Prabir Mohanty (representing self) supported Vision Zero, enhanced public safety, and public private partnerships.

Liana Crabtree clarified why there were no new Library Commission proposals and supported Main Street retail, the Carmen Road Bridge project, and tracking near misses.

Nadar Vahdat supported very low and extremely low income (ELI) housing for those with intellectual and developmental disabilities, and the Mary Avenue project.

Jean Bedord supported access to technology and addressing technology illiteracy for seniors and revising the senior strategy item to better define the project.

Byron Rovegno, on behalf of Walk-Bike Cupertino, supported prioritizing the proposed Carmen Road Bridge.

Lisa Warren supported considering the General Plan 12 values with the 5 plan goals, retaining a balanced city, potential school closures, and safety on Bollinger Road.

Orrin Mahoney supported ELI housing for the developmentally disabled, working with non-profits for funding, and the Mary Avenue project. (Submitted written comments).

Peter Chu supported allocating the work plan for 5th generation mobile network (5G) and proactively educating residents on the ongoing 5G governance initiatives.

Janet Van Zoeren supported affordable housing for those with intellectual and developmental disabilities, the Mary Avenue project, and senior's access to technology.

Bicycle Pedestrian Commissioner Ilango Ganga (representing self) supported Vision Zero.

Larry Dean, on behalf of Walk-Bike Cupertino, supported the Carmen Road Bridge project. (Submitted written comments).

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) supported housing for all incomes and abilities, and the Mary Avenue project.

Peggy Griffin supported Public Engagement and Transparency, Transportation, Sustainability & Fiscal Strategy, and Quality of Life initiatives (Submitted written comments).

Josh Selo, on behalf of West Valley Community Services, supported ELI housing for developmentally disabled adults on Mary Avenue.

Rajul Pandit supported quality of life for children and prioritizing the 5G small cell network rollout.

Mayor Paul closed the public comment period.

Council recessed from 8:26 p.m. to 8:32 p.m.

Councilmembers reviewed the Council Goals and provided comments, including potentially incorporating the General Plan Guiding Principles into the goals.

Council recessed from 10:36 p.m. to 10:42 p.m.

Councilmembers continued to review the Council Goals and provide comments.

Wei moved and Willey seconded to adjourn the special meeting to Monday, April 12 at 6:30 p.m. and continue the study session item at the adjourned meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

ADJOURNMENT

At 12:00 a.m. on Wednesday, March 31, Mayor Paul adjourned the meeting.

Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 20-8551, **Version:** 1

Subject: Approve the April 6 City Council minutes

Approve the April 6 City Council minutes



**DRAFT MINUTES
CUPERTINO CITY COUNCIL
Tuesday, April 6, 2021**

REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING

At 6:15 p.m. Mayor Darcy Paul called the Regular City Council meeting to order. This was a teleconference meeting with no physical location.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Darcy Paul, Vice Mayor Liang Chao, and Councilmembers Kitty Moore, Hung Wei, and Jon Robert Willey. Absent: None. All Councilmembers teleconferenced for the meeting.

CLOSED SESSION

1. Subject: Public Employee Employment (Gov't Code 54957(b)(1)); Title: City Attorney Recruitment.

In open session, Mayor Paul reported out on the Closed Session Meeting:

No reportable action was taken.

RECESS

At 6:59 p.m. Mayor Darcy Paul reconvened the Regular City Council meeting to order. This was a teleconference meeting with no physical location.

ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Darcy Paul, Vice Mayor Liang Chao, and Councilmembers Kitty Moore, Hung Wei, and Jon Robert Willey. Absent: None. All Councilmembers teleconferenced for the meeting.

OPEN SESSION

In open session, Mayor Paul reported out on the Special Closed Session Meeting held on March 24, 2021:

Before Council went into closed session, the following individual spoke in open session.

Rhoda Fry

1. Pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(1), conference with Legal Counsel regarding the following existing litigation:
 - a. Huang Family v. City of Cupertino, Santa Clara County Superior Court Case No. 19CV347316.
 - c. City of Arcata et al. v. Pacific Gas & Electric Co., San Francisco County Superior Court Case No. CGC-20-585483

Council discussed with legal counsel these pending cases for which discussion in open session would prejudice the City in the litigation.

No reportable action was taken.

2. Conference with Legal Counsel - Anticipated Litigation. Initiation of litigation pursuant to Government Code Section 54956.9(d)(4) (three potential cases)

Council discussed with legal counsel the potential initiation of litigation for three matters for which discussion in open session would prejudice the City in the potential litigation.

No reportable action was taken on two matters.

For the other matter, Council gave its approval to initiate legal action by a unanimous vote with Mayor Paul, Vice Mayor Chao, and Councilmembers Willey, Moore, and Wei voting yes.

The action, the defendants, and the other particulars shall, once formally commenced, be disclosed to any person upon inquiry as provided in Government Code section 54957.1.

CEREMONIAL MATTERS AND PRESENTATIONS – None

POSTPONEMENTS AND ORDERS OF THE DAY

Item No. 7 Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 projects proposed to receive funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by Senate Bill 1 (SB 1) was postponed to May 4.

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS

Jennifer Griffin was concerned about the City of San Jose's proposal regarding single-family housing zoning and the potential impact on adjacent Cupertino neighborhoods.

Rick Kitson, on behalf of the Cupertino Chamber of Commerce, supported the upcoming Thai New Year celebration being held at Pineapple Thai restaurant (Submitted written comments).

Nori supported Memorial Park as an ideal location to build the first magical bridge all-inclusive playground.

Jenny Huang was concerned about a deadline to demolish an unpermitted structure in her backyard and her permit application submittals.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) supported Mayor Paul representing the City at the "Stop Asian Hate" rally at Saratoga City Hall.

Steven Scharf supported voting "yes" on the Measure A parcel tax for funding the Cupertino Union School District (CUSD).

REPORTS BY COUNCIL AND STAFF (10 minutes)

2. Subject: Brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements
Recommended Action: Receive brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements

Councilmember Wei submitted written comments.

Council received brief reports on councilmember activities and brief announcements.

3. Subject: City Manager update on emergency response efforts
Recommended Action: Receive City Manager update on emergency response efforts

City Manager Deborah Feng reported on California's Blueprint for a Safer Economy tier assignments, COVID-19 vaccine information, testing opportunities, and case rates.

Council received the City Manager update on emergency response efforts.

4. Subject: Report on Committee assignments
Recommended Action: Report on Committee assignments

Councilmembers highlighted the activities of their various committees.

CONSENT CALENDAR

Wei moved and Moore seconded to approve the items on the Consent Calendar except for Item Nos. 6 and 14 which were pulled for discussion and Item No. 7 which was postponed. Ayes: Paul, Chao, Moore, Wei, and Willey. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.

5. Subject: Approve the February 6 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the February 6 City Council minutes
6. Subject: Approve the March 16 City Council minutes
Recommended Action: Approve the March 16 City Council minutes

Moore requested revising the motion for Item No. 16 to include both the permitted and the entitled number of units in a comparison chart with neighboring cities by percentage.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) requested revising her comments for Item No. 16 to include the City look beyond the Marina and Hamptons projects to consider other housing locations to meet new RHNA targets.

Moore moved and Wei seconded to direct the City Clerk to review the edits for the March 16, 2021 City Council minutes, as suggested by Connie Cunningham to look at other locations, and by Councilmember Moore to add “entitled” and “permitted” to the number of units in the comparison chart and bring back for approval at the April 20 meeting. The motion carried unanimously.

7. Subject: Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 projects proposed to receive funding from the Road Maintenance and Rehabilitation Account (RMRA), created by Senate Bill 1 (SB 1)
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-024 to list FY 2021-22 projects proposed to receive RMRA (SB 1) funding.

Under Postponements and Orders of the Day, this item was continued to May 4.

8. Subject: FY 2019-20 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and related supplemental reports.
Recommended Action: Accept the FY 2019-20 Comprehensive Annual Financial Report (CAFR) and related supplemental reports.
9. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending January 31, 2021.
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-021 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending January 31, 2021.
10. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending February 08, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-025 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending February 08, 2021
11. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending February 16, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-026 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending February 16, 2021
12. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending February 22, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-027 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending February 22, 2021
13. Subject: Accept Accounts Payable for the period ending February 28, 2021
Recommended Action: Adopt Resolution No. 21-028 accepting Accounts Payable for the period ending February 28, 2021
14. Subject: Consultant agreement for the Residential and Mixed-Use Residential Design Standards Work Program item for the fiscal year 2020-21 and associated budget modification.
Recommended Action: That the City Council adopt Resolution No. 21-029 (Attachment A) to:
 - 1) Award a consultant agreement for the Residential and Mixed-Use Residential Design Standards Work Program item for the fiscal year 2020-21; and
 - 2) Authorize the City manager to negotiate and execute a consultant agreement with RRM Design Group to provide these services to the City, for an amount not to exceed \$226,757; and
 - 3) Approve a Budget Modification #2021-114 to increase appropriations in 100-71-702 750-090 by \$75,757 for the residential and mixed-use residential design standards for the fiscal year 2020-21 Work Program.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public comment period and the following people spoke.

Peggy Griffin requested modifications to Exhibit A including a defined list of stakeholders and list review by the City Council. (Submitted written comments).

Mayor Paul closed the public comment period.

Moore moved and Willey seconded to create an ad hoc subcommittee comprised of two councilmembers to review and approve the stakeholder participants. The motion was not voted on.

Moore amended her motion to include a language amendment to the scope of work to state that the stakeholder interviews will also include residents. (Willey accepted the friendly amendment). The amendment was not voted on.

Willey made a friendly amendment to include the approval of the agreement as recommended by staff. (Moore accepted the friendly amendment). The motion was not voted on.

Chao moved and Wei seconded a substitute motion consistent with Moore's motion except the requirement to have the Subcommittee members present during the stakeholder interviews.

Paul made a friendly amendment to revisit the issue about the Subcommittee members being present at all stakeholder interviews at a later date. (Chao and Wei accepted the friendly amendment).

Chao's motion as amended by Paul carried with Moore and Willey voting no.

Chao moved and Wei seconded to appoint Wei and Willey as the Subcommittee members. The motion carried unanimously.

Final motions summary:

Chao moved and Wei seconded to adopt Resolution No. 21-029 with modifications to:

- 1) Award a consultant agreement for the Residential and Mixed-Use Residential Design Standards Work Program item for the fiscal year 2020-21; and
- 2) Authorize the City manager to negotiate and execute a consultant agreement with RRM Design Group to provide these services to the City, for an amount not to exceed \$226,757; and

3) Approve a Budget Modification #2021-114 to increase appropriations in 100-71-702 750-090 by \$75,757 for the residential and mixed-use residential design standards for the fiscal year 2020-21 Work Program; and

Modifications:

- amend the scope of work to state that the stakeholder interviews will also include residents.
- create an ad hoc subcommittee comprised of two Councilmembers to review and approve the stakeholder participants.
- revisit the issue about the subcommittee members being present at all stakeholder interviews at a later date. (Chao and Wei accepted the friendly amendment).

Chao's motion as amended carried with Moore and Willey voting no.

Chao moved and Wei seconded to comprise the subcommittee members of Wei and Willey. The motion carried unanimously.

Council recessed from 9:06 p.m. to 9:12 p.m.

15. Subject: Authorization of a Sixth Amendment to Agreement 15-206 between the City of Cupertino and Professional Turf Management, extending the contract for one year and resulting in \$205,200 in new turf maintenance related expenses for FY 2021-22.
Recommended Action: Approve the Sixth Amendment of Agreement 15-206 between the City of Cupertino and Professional Turf Management, extending the contract for one year and resulting in \$205,200 in new turf maintenance related expenses for FY 2021-22.

Approved the Sixth Amendment of Agreement 15-206 between the City of Cupertino and Professional Turf Management, extending the contract for one year and resulting in \$205,200 in new turf maintenance related expenses for FY 2021-22.

SECOND READING OF ORDINANCES

16. Subject: Municipal Code Amendments to adopt glazing and lighting regulations to implement the Fiscal Year 2019/20 City Council Work Program items related to Dark Sky and Bird-Safe Design. (Application No. MCA-2019-003 and MCA-2019-004; Applicant: City of Cupertino; Location: City-wide)

Recommended Action: That the City Council conduct the second reading and enact Ordinance No. 21-2225 “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending City Code to add 19.102: Glass and Lighting Standards and to Amend Chapter 19.40 Residential Hillside (RHS) Zones, Chapter 19.60 General Commercial (GC) Zones, Chapter 19.72 Light Industrial (ML) and Industrial Park (MP) Zones, and Chapter 19.124 Parking Regulations to Implement Bird-safe and Dark Sky Policies,” (Attachment A) which includes a finding that the proposed actions are exempt from CEQA.

Written communications for this item included emails to Council.

Mayor Paul opened the public hearing and the following people spoke.

Rick Kitson, on behalf of the Cupertino Chamber of Commerce, supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky Ordinance.

Lisa Warren was concerned about minimizing light reflection and glare on buildings and supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky Ordinance. (Submitted written comments).

Dr. Shani Kleinhaus, on behalf of the Santa Clara Valley Audubon Society, supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky Ordinance.

Housing Commissioner Connie Cunningham (representing self) supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky Ordinance. (Submitted written comments).

Dashiell Leeds, on behalf of the Sierra Club Loma Prieta Chapter, supported the Bird-safe and Dark Sky Ordinance.

Mayor Paul closed the public hearing.

City Clerk Kirsten Squarcia read the title of Ordinance No 21-2225 “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending City Code to add 19.102: Glass and Lighting Standards and to Amend Chapter 19.40 Residential Hillside (RHS) Zones, Chapter 19.60 General Commercial (GC) Zones, Chapter 19.72 Light Industrial (ML) and Industrial Park (MP) Zones, and Chapter 19.124 Parking Regulations to Implement Bird-safe and Dark Sky Policies”

Wei moved and Willey seconded to read Ordinance No. 21-2225 by title only, and that the City Clerk's reading constitute the second reading thereof; and to enact Ordinance No. 21-2225. Ayes: Paul, Chao, Moore, Wei, and Willey. Noes: None. Abstain: None. Absent: None.

PUBLIC HEARINGS

17. Subject: Consider whether to authorize the formal submission and processing of a General Plan Amendment Authorization for a change to the Land Use Designation from Low Density (1-5 DU/Ac.) to Low/ Medium Density (5-10 DU/Ac.), which would allow construction of four small lot single family homes where one single family home currently exists. Applicant(s): Homestead Homes; Application No(s): GPAAAuth-2020-001; Location: 19820 Homestead Road APN# 316-04-064
Recommended Action: That the City Council conduct the public hearing and:
1) Find that the proposed application is exempt from CEQA; and
2) Adopt Resolution No. 21-030 approving the request for a General Plan Amendment; or deny the request for a General Plan Amendment.

Written communications for this item included a staff presentation and emails to Council.

Senior Planner Gian Martire gave a presentation.

Michael Shwe, on behalf of the owner, and Project Architect Pratima Shah with Bellomo Architects gave a presentation.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Mayor Paul opened the public hearing and the following people spoke.

Jennifer Griffin was concerned about parking for Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs), no second story setbacks, building aesthetics, and proposed SB 9 potential upzoning.

Jean Bedord supported authorizing the General Plan Amendment.

Peggy Griffin (Submitted written comments) supported requiring affordable housing and voiding approval if sold, and was concerned about ADU's, parking, and upzoning.

Planning Commissioner Steven Scharf (representing self) was concerned about adding ADU's and splitting parcels, no community benefits and no affordable housing.

Mayor Paul closed the public hearing.

Wei moved and Chao seconded to:

- 1) Find that the proposed application is exempt from CEQA; and
- 2) Adopt Resolution No. 21-030 approving the request to submit an application for a General Plan Amendment.

This item was not voted on.

Wiley made a friendly amendment for the applicant to come back in 30 days for clarifications, provide notice to the neighbors according to the City's requirements, and require the surface that abuts adjacent houses to conform with R1 requirements. (Wei and Chao did not accept the friendly amendment and the item was not voted on).

Chao made a friendly amendment to authorize the General Plan Amendment to proceed and when the item returns, require a 12' setback on the second floor and a 9' setback on the east border, a shadow study, staff to look into reasonably noticing the neighbors, and include buffering and landscaping. (Wei accepted the friendly amendment). This amendment was not voted on.

Final motion:

Paul moved and Moore seconded a substitute motion for the applicant to come back within 30 days and in consideration of Council's comments, with a revised proposal emphasizing the Below Mark Rate (BMR) aspect and community benefits relating to the BMR housing impact.

Council's comments:

- require the homes adjacent to the single-family residents have a 12' setback on the second floor and a 9' setback on the east border
- require a shadow study
- staff to look into reasonably noticing the neighbors
- include buffering and landscaping

The motion carried unanimously.

18. Subject: Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program and Below Market Rate (BMR) Affordable Housing Fund (AHF) funding allocations.
Recommended Action: 1) Adopt Resolution No. 21-031 recommending the FY 2021-22 CDBG and BMR AHF funding allocations.
2) Authorize the City Manager to negotiate and execute FY 2021-22 CDBG and BMR AHF funding agreements.

Written communications for this item included a staff presentation and emails to Council.

Housing Manager Kerri Heusler gave a presentation.

Mayor Paul opened the public hearing and, seeing no speakers, closed the public comment period.

Funding applicant and Westport Cupertino Project owner Mark Tersini and Mike Kelly with The Pacific Companies provided an overview of the project financing.

Mayor Paul closed the public hearing.

Councilmembers asked questions and made comments.

Moore moved and Wei seconded to:

- 1) Adopt Resolution No. 21-031 recommending the FY 2021-22 CDBG and BMR AHF funding allocations.
- 2) Authorize the City Manager to negotiate and execute FY 2021-22 CDBG and BMR AHF funding agreements.

The motion carried unanimously.

Paul moved and Moore seconded to continue the remainder of the agenda to April 20. The motion carried unanimously.

19. Subject: Consider amendments to Cupertino Municipal Code Sections 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to incentivize the development of affordable housing by allowing for density bonuses of up to 40 percent (Application No: MCA-2021-002; Applicant: City of Cupertino; Location: Citywide.
20. Recommended Action: That the City Council conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2226, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending Cupertino Municipal Code Section 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to Incentivize the Development of Affordable Housing by Allowing for Density Bonuses of up to 40 Percent," which includes a finding that adoption of the ordinance is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.

Council continued this item to April 20.

- 21.** Subject: Consideration of Municipal Code Amendments to the Cupertino Municipal Code, Chapter 10.90, expanding existing policies to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, including in multi-unit housing, entryways, public events, service areas, and outdoor worksites.

Recommended Action: 1. Reintroduce with amendments and conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2227: “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas,” which adds setback requirements for designated smoking areas and includes detached ADUs and JADUs in the regulations; or

2. Conduct the second reading and enact Ordinance No. 21-2224 “An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas.”

Council continued this item to April 20.

ORDINANCES AND ACTION ITEMS - None

ORAL COMMUNICATIONS - CONTINUED - None

COUNCIL AND STAFF COMMENTS AND FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS

This item was not heard.

ADJOURNMENT

At 12:02 a.m. on Wednesday, April 7, Mayor Paul adjourned the meeting.

Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9047, **Version:** 1

Subject: Approve a Semi-Rural Designation, Eliminating the Requirement for Sidewalks on the Eastern Portion of Carmen Road, between Scenic Boulevard and Stevens Creek Boulevard, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 1925.

Adopt Resolution No. 21-032 Designating a Portion of Carmen Road as Semi-Rural.



PUBLIC WORKS DEPARTMENT

CITY HALL
10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3255
TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3354 • FAX: (408) 777-3333
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: April 20, 2021

Subject

Approve a Semi-Rural Designation, Eliminating the Requirement for Sidewalks on the Eastern Portion of Carmen Road, between Scenic Boulevard and Stevens Creek Boulevard, Pursuant to Ordinance No. 1925.

Recommended Action

Adopt Resolution No. 21-XXX Designating a Portion of Carmen Road as Semi-Rural.

Background

In the past, several residential property owners and neighborhood residents voiced objections to the City Municipal Code requirement that City standard curb, gutter, sidewalk, and streetlights be installed along their street frontages as a condition of their residential building permits. The typical street improvement requirements call for a sidewalk to be installed behind the curb and gutter on both sides of the street. In general, the objecting property owners felt that their neighborhoods were of a rural or semi-rural character, and that would be compromised if the normal concrete curb, gutter and sidewalk, and streetlight improvements were applied.

On October 20, 2003, the City Council amended Chapter 14.04.040 of the Cupertino Municipal Code by establishing criteria to be used for designating certain streets or neighborhoods as rural or semi-rural in nature. Such a designation allows modified street improvement standards for local streets that are not covered under the Hillside Development Provisions of the Code.

The following are the findings for a semi-rural street designation:

1. Conventional improvements are not appropriate due to the character of development in the area, and surrounding developed properties lack such improvements.
2. If sidewalk is not to be provided, the street is not on a recognized route to school.
3. If sidewalk is not to be provided, traffic conditions on the street are such that pedestrians may travel safely along the street without a separate pedestrian pathway.

4. There are no significant accessibility issues that will arise from lack of sidewalk or the use of alternate sidewalk.
5. Waiver of streetlights or alternate streetlights would not contribute to an unsafe condition for traffic, pedestrian travel, or the security of the surrounding neighborhood. There are no maintenance or replacement issues with any alternate proposed.
6. Adequate drainage along the street and in the surrounding area exists, or can be achieved, with alternate curb and gutter or a dike.
7. At least two-thirds of the property owners along the affected street have signed a petition to the City requesting a semi-rural designation for their street.

Discussion

The proposed semi-rural designation request applies to the 11 properties on the eastern portion of Carmen Road, between Scenic Boulevard and Stevens Creek Boulevard. Quintero Court intersects this eastern portion; however, because it is a private dead-end street, these properties were not included in the proposed semi-rural designation area and are not required to install sidewalks. The properties on the western portion of Carmen Road, between Janice Avenue and Stevens Creek Boulevard, either already have sidewalk installed or would be required to install sidewalk at the time of development. The proposed semi-rural designation area is similar in character to the surrounding neighborhood. Furthermore, the adjacent streets of Scenic Boulevard and Mira Vista Road have already been designated a semi-rural street with no sidewalk. Please refer to Exhibit A.

The proposed portion of Carmen Road is not designated as a safe route to school. Additionally, the street does not have vehicular or pedestrian access through to Stevens Creek Boulevard. Based on the recorded accident history, there have been no pedestrian related accidents reported on this portion of the street in the past five years. The existing and future curb and gutter will allow for adequate drainage. There are no significant accessibility issues as the street serves residential properties only.

The applicant requesting the proposed semi-rural designation was provided a petition form and Exhibit A to circulate for signatures among the 11 property owners. Additionally, letters were prepared and mailed by City staff to these property owners informing them of the proposed semi-rural designation request and providing them with an enclosed voting form if they preferred to cast their vote by mail instead of signing the circulated petition. If a property owner signed the petition and mailed a voting form, this was counted as one vote.

The applicant circulated the petition and voting forms were also received showing the property owners' support of altering their neighborhood designation to semi-rural, foregoing the future installation of sidewalks. This petition does not waive the requirement for curb and gutter and street light installation. As required by Code, at least two thirds of property owners along the portion of Carmen Road have either signed the

petition or provided a voting form in support of the semi-rural designation. For this petition, 9 out of 11 property owners along Carmen Road have signed in support of the designation. A subsequent letter was mailed to the property owners informing them of the petition results and the scheduled City Council meeting.

Sustainability Impact

No sustainability impacts.

Fiscal Impact

No fiscal impact.

Prepared by: Jennifer Chu, Senior Civil Engineer


Reviewed by: Roger Lee, Director of Public Works

Approved for Submission by: Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Attachments:

A – Map of Carmen Road with Proposed and Existing Semi-Rural Areas

B – Draft Resolution



RESOLUTION NO. 21-XXX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CUPERTINO CITY COUNCIL APPROVING A SEMI-RURAL DESIGNATION FOR A PORTION OF CARMEN ROAD

WHEREAS, property owners along the eastern portion of the frontages of Carmen Road between Scenic Boulevard and Stevens Creek Boulevard have circulated a petition in support of altering their neighborhood designation to semi-rural; and

WHEREAS, Quinterno Court is a private dead-end street located along the eastern portion of Carmen Road and it is not required to install sidewalk; and

WHEREAS, the western portion of the frontages of Carmen Road between Janice Avenue and Stevens Creek Boulevard either already have sidewalk installed or would be required to install sidewalk at the time of development, and are not included in this semi-rural designation.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the City Council does hereby approve the semi-rural designation for the eastside portion of Carmen Road between Scenic Boulevard and Stevens Creek Boulevard, as recommended by the City Engineer, and make the following findings:

1. Conventional improvements are not appropriate due to the character of development in the area, and surrounding developed properties lack such improvements.

The proposed semi-rural designation area is similar in character to the surrounding neighborhood. Furthermore, the adjacent streets of Scenic Boulevard and Mira Vista Road have already been designated a semi-rural street with no sidewalk.

2. If sidewalk is not to be provided, the street is not on a recognized route to school.

The proposed portion of Carmen Road is not designated as a safe route to school.

3. If sidewalk is not to be provided, traffic conditions on the street are such that pedestrians may travel safely along the street without a separate pedestrian pathway.

The street does not have vehicular or pedestrian access through to Stevens Creek Boulevard. Based on the recorded accident history, there have been no pedestrian related accidents reported in the past five years.

Resolution No. 21-XXX

4. There are no significant accessibility issues that will arise from lack of sidewalk or the use of alternate sidewalk.

There are no significant accessibility issues as the street serves residential properties only.

5. Waiver of streetlights or alternate streetlights would not contribute to an unsafe condition for traffic, pedestrian travel, or the security of the surrounding neighborhood. There are no maintenance or replacement issues with any alternate proposed.

This petition does not waive the requirement for street light installation.

6. Adequate drainage along the street and in the surrounding area exists, or can be achieved, with alternate curb and gutter or a dike.

The existing and future curb and gutter will allow for adequate drainage.

7. At least two-thirds of the property owners along the affected street have signed a petition to the City requesting a semi-rural designation for their street.

9 out of the 11 property owners have signed in support of the semi-rural designation.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Cupertino this 20th day of April 2021, by the following vote:

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	 _____ Date
ATTEST: _____	 _____

Resolution No. 21-XXX

Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	Date
------------------------------	------



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9080, **Version:** 1

Subject: Proposed amendment to the Professional/Consulting Services Agreement between the City of Cupertino and HdL ECONsolutions of an additional \$40,800 as an extension of the contract terms and conditions to provide supplemental Economic Development (ED) staffing services for the City of Cupertino through the fiscal year ending on June 30, 2021.

Approve the proposed amendment of an additional \$40,800 to prevent an interruption in economic development staffing services.



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

CITY HALL
10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA
95014-3255 TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3308 • FAX: (408) 777-3333
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: April 20, 2021

Subject

Proposed amendment to the Professional/Consulting Services Agreement between the City of Cupertino and HdL ECONsolutions of an additional \$40,800 as an extension of the contract terms and conditions to provide supplemental Economic Development (ED) staffing services for the City of Cupertino through the fiscal year ending on June 30, 2021.

Recommended Action

Approve the proposed amendment of an additional \$40,800 to prevent an interruption in economic development staffing services.

Discussion

The current contract with HdL ECONsolutions is not to exceed \$160,000 for economic development staffing services for the Fiscal Year 2020-2021. Economic Development staffing services provided by HdL Senior Advisor Angela Tsui is charged at an hourly rate of \$170/hour, which equates to approximately 941.18 hours. As of January 31, 2021, 830 work hours had already been billed.

Due to the continued severity of the COVID pandemic a significant increase in staffing hours is a direct result of work to support the community and local Cupertino businesses, including the following projects and tasks:

- Small Business Emergency Relief Grant Program
- Re-opening Toolkit for Businesses
- COVID Resources for Businesses webpages
- Temporary Outdoor Dining (TOD) Permit
- Special Temporary Outdoor Operations Permit (STOOP)
- face mask distribution
- hosting small business webinars

Additional ongoing routine work includes, but is not limited to, regular communication and outreach to businesses and residents; assisting the WCFMA in finding a new permanent location for the Sunday Farmers Market; a Mobile Vendors ordinance; work on Council Work Program items (Pilot Online City Store, Targeted Marketing Programs

to Assist Small Businesses, and SBDC Counseling Hours); negotiations and acquisition of the 10455 Torre Avenue property; business attraction and retention efforts; and assisting business applicants through the City's permitting process.

The proposed increase of \$40,800 equates to an additional 240 hours of economic development staffing services.

Sustainability Impact

No sustainability impact.

Fiscal Impact

The City Manager's Contingency fund balance will fund the \$40,800 proposed amendment amount.

Prepared by: Benjamin Fu, Director of Community Development

Reviewed by: Kristina Alfaro, Director of Administrative Services

Approved for Submission by: Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Attachments:

A – Proposed Amended Professional/Consulting Services Agreement

Embedded Secure Document

The file <https://cupertino.legistar.com/View.ashx?M=F&ID=9312311&GUID=8A23E519-C0B5-4CB2-903A-A248347409F6> is a secure document that has been embedded in this document. Double click the pushpin to view.





CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9101, **Version:** 1

Subject: Consider amendments to Cupertino Municipal Code Sections 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to incentivize the development of affordable housing by allowing for density bonuses of up to 40 percent (Application No: MCA-2021-002; Applicant: City of Cupertino; Location: Citywide. (Continued from April 6)

That the City Council conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2226, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending Cupertino Municipal Code Section 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to Incentivize the Development of Affordable Housing by Allowing for Density Bonuses of up to 40 Percent," which includes a finding that adoption of the ordinance is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.



COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT

CITY HALL
10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA
95014-3255 TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3308 • FAX: (408) 777-3333
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: April 20, 2021

Subject

Consider amendments to Cupertino Municipal Code Sections 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to incentivize the development of affordable housing by allowing for density bonuses of up to 40 percent (Application No: MCA-2021-002; Applicant: City of Cupertino; Location: Citywide.

Recommended Action

That the City Council conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2226, "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino Amending Cupertino Municipal Code Section 19.56.030A (Table 19.56.030) and 19.56.030F (Density Bonus Ordinance) to Incentivize the Development of Affordable Housing by Allowing for Density Bonuses of up to 40 Percent," which includes a finding that adoption of the ordinance is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.

Discussion

Background

Under Government Code Section 65915, a housing development is eligible for a density bonus based on the percentage of very low, low, or moderate-income units provided in the development. The City's FY2019/2020 Work Program includes an item to incentivize affordable housing production, in part by updating the City's density bonus ordinance.

In the 2020 legislative session, AB 2345 was adopted, which increases the maximum density bonus from 35 percent to 50 percent in exchange for a 4 to 5 percent increase in affordability (Government Code § 65915(f).¹) However if the City adopts its own "housing program" or ordinance, or both, that incentivizes the development of affordable housing by allowing bonuses that exceed 35 percent, the City may enforce its own program and ordinance rather than the bonus program and the incentives and concession requirements imposed by AB 2345. (§ 65915(s).)

¹ All further references are to the Government Code.

Adoption of Zoning Code Amendments Implementing a Housing
Program to Incentivize Affordable Housing

April 20, 2021

Page 2

On December 15, 2020, the City Council, by Resolution No. 20-141, adopted a housing program to allow density bonuses up to 40 percent and initiated a zoning code amendment to incorporate those changes into the City's density bonus ordinance. Those zoning changes are now being presented to the City Council upon a recommendation from the Planning Commission. The Planning Commission reviewed the proposed changes at its February 23, 2021 regular meeting and adopted Resolution No. 6919 by a 4-1 vote (Kapil – no) recommending that the Council adopt the proposed changes. The Commission discussed the proposed changes and agreed that the proposed amendments would implement the Council-adopted housing program that would incentivize more affordable housing (*see reasons discussed below*).

Proposed Amendments

AB 2345 provides in § 65915(s) that a city may implement a housing program in place of that modified by AB 2345 if it adopts an ordinance or housing program or both that incentivizes the development of affordable housing and allows for density bonuses that exceed 35 percent. The housing program adopted by the City Council allows increased density for the same proportional increases in affordability as did § 65915 (Density Bonus Law) before AB 2345 was enacted: 2.5 percent for every 1 percent increase in very low-income units; 1.5 percent increase for every 1 percent increase in low-income units, and 1 percent increase for every 1 percent increase in moderate-income units, up to a 40 percent maximum density bonus, as illustrated below. To codify the housing program already adopted by the City Council, the zoning code would be amended by replacing current Table 19.56.030 in the zoning ordinance as follows.

Income Level of Units	% of Base Density Dwelling Units	Maximum Density Bonus
Very Low Income	5%	20%
	6% - 12% ⁽¹⁾	22.5% - 37.5%
	13% or more	40%
Low Income	10%	20%
	11% - 22% ⁽²⁾	21.5% - 38% ²
	23% or more	40%
Moderate Income (Common interest developments)	10%	5%
	11% - 44% ⁽³⁾	6% - 39%
	45% or above	40%

² The table in the staff report and the draft ordinance corrects a mathematical error in the table presented to the Planning Commission in this cell.

Adoption of Zoning Code Amendments Implementing a Housing
Program to Incentivize Affordable Housing

April 20, 2021

Page 3

⁽¹⁾ For each 1% increase over 5% of the target units, the density bonus shall be increased by 2.5%, up to a maximum of 40%.

⁽²⁾ For each 1% increase over 10% of the target units, the density bonus shall be increased by 1.5%, up to a maximum of 40%.

⁽³⁾ For each 1% increase over 10% of the target units, the density bonus shall be increased by 1%, up to a maximum of 40

In addition, other conforming changes would be made in Section 19.56.030F to show a maximum density bonus of 40 percent.

Analysis

The purpose of the City's housing program is to incentivize the development of affordable housing within the City. To verify that the City's program would incentivize affordable housing, the City asked Ms. Sally Nielsen of the Hausrath Economics Group to evaluate the program (See Attachment B.) Ms. Nielsen concluded the following:

- The City's program will incentivize rental housing production containing more very low income affordable units. The 40 percent density bonus provided by the City will enable developers to produce enough additional market rate units to offset the additional cost of providing more very low-income units and will improve the overall feasibility of rental development projects.
- The improvement in rate of return is particularly notable for higher density rental apartment development, making these projects more clearly feasible with a higher percentage of affordable housing and larger density bonus.
- For condominium development, neither the City's program nor the program in AB 2345 improve the rate of return over that of the current City requirements, which require a developer to provide 20 percent median and moderate income affordable units, for which the developer is entitled to a 15 percent density bonus.
- Overall, as density bonuses are currently structured, they are most successful in incentivizing very low-income housing. AB 2345 was modeled after a City of San Diego program providing bonuses of up to 50 percent. In San Diego, developers "overwhelmingly" used very low-income units to obtain density bonuses regardless of tenancy; all of the mixed income developments utilizing San Diego's program have included very-low and low income housing rather than moderate income housing. Similarly, in Cupertino, very low income housing has enabled the developers of Vallco, Marina, and Westport to obtain their requested bonuses. Cupertino's proposed program, like the San Diego program, will incentivize very low income rental housing, serving households with the greatest need.

Adoption of Zoning Code Amendments Implementing a Housing
Program to Incentivize Affordable Housing

April 20, 2021

Page 4

In conclusion, the City's adopted housing program and proposed ordinance will achieve their purpose of incentivizing the development of affordable housing, especially very low income housing.

At the time it adopted the housing program, the City Council also noted several reasons why it preferred the City's housing program to provisions of AB 2345:

- Cupertino's housing program allows increased density for the same proportional increases in affordability as the existing statute, which has provided adequate incentives to Cupertino developers to include affordable housing in their projects. Prior to the adoption of its housing program, market-rate developers in the City of San Diego were not using state density bonus law to any significant extent; by contrast, Cupertino developers are familiar with density bonus law and use it regularly. All but one of the developers of Cupertino's housing element sites have applied for density bonuses using the incentives provided prior to the passage of AB 2345, including the developers of Valco, Marina, and Westport.
- The program in AB 2345 could disincentivize the production of affordable housing, by providing a 50 percent bonus for only 15 percent very low income housing, while a 100 percent affordable project receives only an 80 percent bonus. The legislative history for AB 1763, adopted in 2019, which authorized the 80 percent bonus, shows that one purpose of the bill was to enable affordable developers to compete for a site against market-rate developers. The California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation (CRLAF) and the Western Center on Law & Poverty both opposed the formula contained in AB 2345 because they were concerned that AB 2345 might disincentivize the production of very low income units contained in 100 percent affordable projects. They instead advocated for maintaining the density for affordability ratio following the same pattern as density bonus law prior to the passage of AB 2345 (See Attachment C.)

Land costs in the City are very high, almost \$200,000 per unit for the affordable Veranda project, making it very difficult to create affordable housing. The City's program will moderate any increases in land costs due to the additional density bonus provided, as compared to the program included in AB 2345.

As demonstrated by the City's consistent history of approving density bonus projects, this pattern has undeniably incentivized the use of density bonus law in Cupertino. As recommended by the Western Center and CRLAF, the pattern of increases in the City's housing program follows the same pattern as the density for affordability ratio existing in density bonus law prior to the passage of AB 2345. The proposed Ordinance codifies the Council-adopted housing program by adding its provisions to the City's density bonus

Adoption of Zoning Code Amendments Implementing a Housing
Program to Incentivize Affordable Housing

April 20, 2021

Page 5

ordinance. This will also advance the Affordable Housing Strategies item on the Council's FY20/21 Work Program, which includes updates to the City's density bonus ordinance.

Sustainability Impact

No sustainability impact.

Fiscal Impact

No fiscal impact.

California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Status

The proposed zoning code amendments are exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that adoption of the amendments may have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the proposed action is not subject to CEQA. The ordinance amendments will not allow any increase in density greater than that allowed by the housing program adopted by the City Council in December 2020. The density bonus increase to 40 percent contained in the proposed amendments does not increase the number of units that may be constructed on any property, because this increase in density has already been adopted by the City Council as part of the adopted housing program.

Conclusion

The Planning Commission recommends that the City Council introduce and adopt the draft Ordinance to amend the City's density bonus ordinance to incorporate a housing program to incentivize the development of affordable housing by allowing for density bonuses up to 40 percent. The proposed Ordinance codifies the previously Council-adopted housing program in the City's density bonus ordinance. This will also advance the Affordable Housing Strategies item on the Council's FY20/21 Work Program, which includes updates to the City's density bonus ordinance.

Next Steps

The second reading of the ordinance is tentatively scheduled for May 4, 2021. The ordinance will go into effect 30 days after the second reading.

Prepared by: Piu Ghosh, Planning Manager
Reviewed by: Albert Salvador, Acting Director of Community Development
Approved for Submission by: Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Adoption of Zoning Code Amendments Implementing a Housing
Program to Incentivize Affordable Housing

April 20, 2021

Page 6

Attachments:

A – Draft Ordinance

B – Sally Nielsen, Hausrath Economics Group, *Economic Evaluation of City of Cupertino Housing Program to Incentivize the Production of Affordable Housing* (February 2021).

C – California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation and Western Center on Law & Poverty, Letter in Opposition to AB 2345 (July 17, 2020).

DRAFT ORDINANCE NO. 21-2226

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF
CUPERTINO AMENDING CUPERTINO MUNICIPAL CODE SECTIONS
19.56.030A (TABLE 19.56.030) AND 19.56.030F (DENSITY BONUS
ORDINANCE) TO INCENTIVIZE THE DEVELOPMENT OF
AFFORDABLE HOUSING BY ALLOWING FOR DENSITY BONUSES OF
UP TO 40 PERCENT

SECTION I: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Application No.: MCA-2021-002
Applicant: City of Cupertino
Location: Citywide

SECTION II: RECITALS

WHEREAS, Cupertino Municipal Code Chapter 19.56 implements the requirements of Government Code Section 65915 (state density bonus law) to incentivize the construction of affordable units through the provision of density bonuses and other benefits; and

WHEREAS, the California Legislature has adopted AB 2345 (Chapter 197, Statutes of 2020), which provides in Government Code Section 65915(s) that a city may implement a housing program in place of that in AB 2345 if it adopts an ordinance or housing program or both that incentivizes the development of affordable housing and allows for density bonuses that exceed 35 percent; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Cupertino on December 15, 2020, by Resolution 20-141, adopted a housing program as provided in Section 65915(s) and initiated a zoning code amendment to include the housing program described above into the Cupertino density bonus ordinance, Municipal Code Chapter 19.56; and

WHEREAS, as demonstrated in the report from the Hausrath Economics Group dated February 2021, the City's housing program to be incorporated into Chapter 19.56 by this Ordinance will incentivize the development of affordable housing by increasing the maximum density bonus from 35 percent to 40 percent for projects not including 100 percent affordable units (which are entitled to greater bonuses), consistent with the requirements of Section 65915(s); and

WHEREAS, the Ordinance is consistent with the City's General Plan, and the City's police power provides the City with the authority to adopt an affordable housing program to further the public health, safety, and welfare; and

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2021, at a duly and properly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission recommended on a 4-1 vote (Kapil – no) that the City Council find that the proposed zoning code amendments are exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the State CEQA Guidelines because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that adoption of the amendments may have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the proposed action is not subject to CEQA. The ordinance amendments will not allow any increase in density greater than that allowed by the housing program adopted by the City Council in December 2020. The density bonus increase to 40 percent contained in the proposed amendments does not increase the number of units that may be constructed on any property, because this increase in density has already been adopted by the City Council as part of the adopted housing program; and

WHEREAS, on February 23, 2021, at a duly and properly noticed public hearing, the Planning Commission adopted Resolution No. 6919 recommended on a 4-1 vote (Kapil – no) that the City Council adopt the draft Ordinance presented to it, in substantially similar form to this Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, all necessary public notices having been given as required by the Municipal Code of the City of Cupertino and the Government Code, on April 20, 2021, the City Council held a public hearing to consider the Ordinance; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Cupertino is the decision-making body for this Ordinance.

SECTION III

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED:

That after careful consideration of facts, exhibits, testimony and other evidence submitted in this matter the City Council hereby adopts the Ordinance based on the findings described below, the public hearing, and the record, as follows:

Section 1. The recitals set forth above are true and correct and are hereby incorporated herein by this reference as if fully set forth in their entirety.

Section 2. The City Council finds the following as set forth by Municipal Code Sections 19.152.020C and 19.152.030D:

1. That the proposed zoning is in accord with Title 19 of the Municipal Code and the City's Comprehensive General Plan (Community Vision 2040) and the proposed amendments are internally consistent with Title 19 of the Municipal Code.

The proposed amendments have been adopted in accord with the requirements of Title 19, and the proposed amendments to Section 19.56.030F are proposed to achieve internal consistency.

The amendments are consistent with the Housing Element of the General Plan, which in Program HE-2.3.7 states that the City will update the density bonus ordinance as necessary to respond to changes in State law. The proposed Ordinance is intended to update the City's density bonus ordinance to respond to changes in State law, as described in the staff report presented to the Planning Commission and City Council.

2. The proposed zoning is in compliance with the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA).

The proposed amendments are exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines since there would be no possibility of a significant effect on the environment, in that the proposed density bonus increases are already in effect, in that they were adopted by the City Council on December 15, 2020 as part of a housing program to incentivize the development of affordable housing.

3. The site is physically suitable (including, but not limited to, access, provision of utilities, compatibility with adjoining land uses, and absence of physical constraints) for the requested zoning designation(s) and anticipated land use development(s).

The proposed ordinance amendments are not being applied to any specific site, nor is it reasonably foreseeable which sites, if any, may elect to utilize the proposed ordinance amendments. The impact of a density bonus in excess of 35 percent and the suitability of a site for that bonus will be reviewed when an application is made for its use on a specific site.

4. The proposed zoning will promote orderly development of the City.

The proposed amendment is intended to promote the development of affordable housing in the City. The bonuses proposed do not exceed, and are somewhat less than, those that would otherwise be imposed by State law.

5. That the proposed zoning is not detrimental to the health, safety, peace, morals and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of subject parcels.

The proposed ordinance amendments are not being applied to any specific site, nor is it reasonably foreseeable which sites, if any, may elect to utilize the proposed ordinance amendments. The impact of a density bonus in excess of 35 percent and the effect of that bonus on the health, safety, peace, moral and general welfare of persons residing or working in the neighborhood of a site will be reviewed when an application is made for its use on a specific site.

Section 3. The City Council hereby approves the following amendments to the Cupertino Municipal Code:

1. Table 19.56.030 in Section 19.56.030A of the Cupertino Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

Income Level of unit	Proportion of Total Affordable Dwelling Units	Maximum Density Bonus
Very Low Income	5%	20%
	6% - 12% ⁽¹⁾	22.5% - 37.5%
	13% or more	40%
Low Income	10%	20%
	11% -22% ⁽²⁾	21.5% - 38%
	23% or more	40%
Moderate Income (Common interest developments)	10%	5%
	11% - 44% ⁽³⁾	6% - 39%
	45% or above	40%

⁽¹⁾ For each 1% increase over 5% of the target units, the density bonus shall be increased by 2.5%, up to a maximum of 40%.

⁽²⁾ For each 1% increase over 10% of the target units, the density bonus shall be increased by 1.5%, up to a maximum of 40%.

⁽³⁾ For each 1% increase over 10% of the target units, the density bonus shall be increased by 1%, up to a maximum of 40%.

2. Section 19.56.030F of the Cupertino Municipal Code is hereby amended to read as follows:

F. Density Bonus Calculations:

1. A density bonus may be selected from only one category listed in Section 19.56.020A(1), except that density bonuses for land donation may be combined with others, up to a combined maximum of ~~35~~40 percent, and an additional square-foot bonus may be granted for a child day care facility as provided in Section 19.56.030C.

2. In determining the number of density bonus units to be granted, any fractions of density bonus units shall be rounded up to the next whole number.

3. Density bonus units authorized by this section shall not be included when determining the number of affordable units required to qualify for the density bonus. In determining the number of affordable units required to qualify for a density bonus, any fractions of affordable units shall be rounded up to the next whole number.

4. An applicant may request a lower density bonus than the housing development is entitled to, but no reduction will be permitted in the percentage of required affordable units as shown in Section 19.56.020 or Section 19.56.020C.

5. Regardless of the affordable units, no housing development will be entitled to a density bonus of more than 40 percent, unless approved by the City pursuant to Section 19.56.030F(6).

6. The City, at its discretion, may grant a density bonus higher than the maximum set forth in Table 19.56.030 to a housing development where all units (except manager's unit(s)) are affordable to lower income households.

7. For purposes of calculating a density bonus, the residential units do not have to be based upon individual subdivision maps or parcels. The bonus units shall be permitted in geographic areas of the housing development other than the areas where the affordable units are located.

Section 4. If any portion of this Ordinance or its application is for any reason held to be invalid, unenforceable or unconstitutional, by a court of competent jurisdiction, that portion shall be deemed severable, and such invalidity, unenforceability or unconstitutionality shall not affect the validity or enforceability of the remaining portions of the Ordinance, or its application to any other person or circumstance. The City Council hereby declares that it would have adopted each section, sentence, clause or phrase of this Ordinance, irrespective of the fact that any one or more other sections, sentences, clauses or phrases of the Ordinance be declared invalid, unenforceable or unconstitutional.

Section 5. The City Council hereby finds that the proposed Ordinance amendments are exempt from environmental review pursuant to Section 15061(b)(3) of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) Guidelines because it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that adoption of the amendments may have a significant effect on the environment. Therefore, the proposed action is not subject to CEQA. The ordinance amendments will not allow any increase in density greater than that allowed by the housing program adopted by the City Council in December 2020. The density bonus increase to 40 percent contained in the proposed amendments does not increase the number of units that may be constructed on any property, because this increase in density has already been adopted by the City Council as part of the adopted housing program. The City Council further directs the Director of Community Development to file a Notice of Exemption with the Santa Clara County Recorder in accordance with CEQA and the CEQA guidelines.

SIGNED:	
<hr/>	<hr/>
Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	Date
ATTEST:	
<hr/>	<hr/>
Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	Date



**ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF CITY OF CUPERTINO HOUSING
PROGRAM TO INCENTIVIZE THE PRODUCTION OF AFFORDABLE
HOUSING**

A Report to
THE CITY OF CUPERTINO

Prepared by
HAUSRATH ECONOMICS GROUP

February 2021

ECONOMIC EVALUATION OF CITY OF CUPERTINO HOUSING PROGRAM TO INCENTIVIZE THE PRODUCTION OF AFFORDABLE HOUSING

Introduction

Hausrath Economics Group (HEG) has been asked to evaluate the housing program recently adopted by the City of Cupertino to incentivize the production of affordable housing by allowing for density bonuses up to 40 percent (City Council Resolution 20-141, December 15, 2020). The program was developed in compliance with Government Code Section 65915(s), a provision of AB 2345 (Chapter 197, Statutes of 2020), which allows cities to adopt their own program to incentivize the construction of affordable housing, as an alternative to the program included in AB 2345. AB 2345 exempts from certain of its requirements cities that have a “housing program” or ordinance or both that incentivizes development of affordable housing by allowing bonuses that exceed the prior maximum 35 percent density bonus.

The City’s program maintains the same incremental increase in bonus density codified in prior density bonus legislation (Government Code 65915): 2.5 percent for every 1 percent increase in very low-income units; 1.5 percent increase for every 1 percent increase in low-income units, and 1 percent increase for every 1 percent increase in moderate-income units. This evaluation is undertaken to determine whether or not the housing program adopted in December by the City of Cupertino incentivizes the production of affordable housing by offering density bonuses up to 40 percent.

Approach and Background Research

Sources

In addition to the staff report and resolution mentioned above, HEG reviewed other background documents to complete this evaluation: AB 2345 legislative history and letters from both supporters and opponents; information describing the City of San Diego’s 2018 housing program—*HousingSD*—that was the model for AB 2345 (City Council Staff Report, *HousingSD—Amendments to the City’s Affordable Housing Density Bonus Regulations*, December 5, 2017; and *Good Bargain: An Updated Evaluation of San Diego’s Affordable Homes Bonus Program*, Circulate San Diego, May 2020); and *Revisiting California’s Density Bonus Law: Analysis of SB 1085 and AB 2345*, Turner Center for Housing Innovation, July 2020.

2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis of the Cupertino Below Market Rate Housing Program Provides Feasibility Framework

To prepare a quantitative evaluation of whether or not the increase in the density bonus from a maximum of 35 percent up to a maximum of 40 percent would incentivize the production of affordable housing by generating sufficient development revenue to offset the additional cost of providing more affordable housing, HEG relied on the financial feasibility framework presented in a July 16, 2019 report prepared for the City of Cupertino by Strategic Economics: *Economic Feasibility Analysis – Cupertino Below Market Rate Housing Program* (July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis). This analysis evaluated changes to the City’s Below Market Rate (BMR) Housing Program, focusing on the potential to increase the inclusionary requirements (percentage of BMR housing required to be provided on-site).

The July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis defined representative residential development prototypes and developed a static real estate development pro forma model for each to evaluate how changes in the BMR inclusionary requirements would change the net revenue from new development, thereby determining whether or not a development project was financially feasible. The pro forma models were also used to test the sensitivity of the feasibility conclusions to changes in project revenues and costs, for example, how much higher would rents have to be to generate a return that met or exceeded the feasibility threshold.

A similar approach was applied in this evaluation of Cupertino's housing program to incentivize affordable housing production and to answer the following question: Do increases in the percentage of affordable units provided (increases in costs) and associated increases in the number of market rate units (increases in density) allowed under different density bonus assumptions result in higher net revenue and increases in the return or yield on new development, thereby incentivizing the production of affordable housing?

These questions are evaluated for three of the prototypes analyzed in the July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis:

- ♦ a three-story multi-family rental building with a density of 35 units per acre and parking in an above-ground podium;
- ♦ a higher-density 6-story multi-family rental building with a density of 76 units per acre; parking in an above-ground podium, and
- ♦ a three-story multi-family condominium building with a density of 35 units per acre and parking in an above-ground podium.

The conclusions of the July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis formed the basis for the City Council's decision to increase the inclusionary requirement for ownership housing from 15 percent to 20 percent—a BMR Housing Program change adopted in 2020. Although developed in 2018 and 2019, the data and information used to define the prototypes and the pro forma model assumptions remain relevant for this current analysis. First, the prototypes were defined to represent the range of typical residential development expected in Cupertino (this expectation has not changed), and the prototypes were based on recently completed projects or development proposals in the pipeline. The building characteristics for each prototype were based on prototypes analyzed in the City's 2015 Nexus Study.¹ At least two important recent housing policy analyses in Cupertino have also based economic evaluations on these prototypes.

Although market conditions may have changed since the 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis was written, it is nevertheless valid to use the report's pro forma models to evaluate how changes in the parameters of the project (number of affordable and market rate units) change the calculation of profit to the developer. The analysis can appropriately conclude that, under this set of market conditions, these

¹ Keyser Marston Associates, *Residential Below Market Rate Housing Nexus Analysis*, prepared for the City of Cupertino, March 2015.

changes to project development parameters result in either an increase or decrease in project net revenue or net operating income compared to base case project parameters.

Revenue and Cost Assumptions for the Feasibility Analysis

HEG used the per-unit revenue and cost factors from the July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis to create new pro forma models and feasibility analyses evaluating the implications of different affordability and density bonus scenarios. As in the 2019 analysis, some of the prototype revenue and cost estimates remain constant across all scenarios: the amount of retail space and associated revenue/value and cost estimates, land cost, and site preparation and demolition costs. Some costs (soft costs and financing) are calculated as a percentage of hard and/or soft costs. HEG adjusted the city fee cost estimate for affordable units assuming that the parkland dedication fee would be waived for below market rate units and that these units would be exempt from the construction tax. For estimating the cost of off-street parking, HEG assumed the reduced off-street parking maximums allowed under state density bonus law: one space per bedroom for studio and one-bedroom units and 1.5 spaces per bedroom for two- and three-bedroom units.

Rental Apartment Development Scenarios Evaluated

HEG defined a range of housing development scenarios to determine how more affordable housing units combined with higher density bonus allowances providing for more market rate units would change the calculation of project feasibility as measured by yield on cost (total project net operating income divided by total development cost). All of the scenarios start with the base program defined in the July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis: 100 market rate units at the base development density for each prototype. As in the July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis, this analysis evaluates different assumptions about the number of affordable units in the project and, for current purposes, the number of market rate units added through density bonus allowances. **Appendix Table A.1** shows the scenarios analyzed for each rental apartment prototype, detailing the total number of units, the count of market rate and affordable units, and the mix of affordable units by income category.

For rental apartment development the scenarios are:

- ♦ Base Case Inclusionary: 30 percent density bonus for 9 percent very low-income and 6 percent low-income units
- ♦ Prior Law Maximum: 35 percent density bonus for 11 percent very low-income and 4 percent low-income units
- ♦ Case A under Cupertino's December 2020 Housing Program: 40 percent maximum density bonus for 13 percent very low-income and 2 percent low-income units


Higher Density Bonus Allowances Incentivize Affordable Rental Housing Development

The results of the feasibility analysis of the housing scenarios for each rental apartment prototype are presented in below. **Appendix Tables A.2 and A.3** present the detailed pro forma analysis for each prototype.

Lower Density Rental Apartment Development

For the lower density rental apartment prototype, assuming the lowest number of BMR units are provided (15 percent of the base units), but more provided at the very low-income level, the 40 percent maximum density bonus offers a higher yield on cost than both the base case inclusionary requirement and the prior density bonus maximum of 35 percent. The difference is small, but the direction of the feasibility trend is clear (**Figure 1**).

Under the market conditions analyzed, the lower-density rental apartment prototype remains below the minimum threshold for feasibility in all cases, however. The July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis concluded that a 15 percent inclusionary requirement would be feasible for this prototype if the developer were able to increase revenues or reduce costs by 15 percent. This analysis indicates that the revenue increase or cost reduction required for a feasible project would be less with the higher density bonus incentive.




Higher Density Rental Apartment Development

The analysis of the higher-density rental apartment prototype also indicates that a higher density bonus maximum incentivizes the production of very low-income units. As for the analysis of the lower-density rental apartment prototype, each case evaluates development providing the lowest number of BMR units (15 percent of the base density units). The density bonus allowance increases as more very low-income units are provided. While the yield on cost under the base case inclusionary requirement with a 30 percent density bonus is just below the minimum threshold for feasibility, the 35 percent density bonus maximum under prior law moves the project into the feasible category at a yield of 4.75 percent. The 40 percent maximum under Cupertino's December 15, 2020 housing program results in higher yields and a more

feasible project. As with the lower-density rental prototype, the differences are small, but the direction of the feasibility trend is clear (**Figure 2**).

This prototype benefits from the cost efficiencies of higher-density development, most notably in the land and site preparation costs that are spread over a larger number of units. The July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis found that this higher density rental apartment prototype could feasibly support a 15 percent inclusionary requirement if the developer achieved revenues that were 10 percent higher or construction and/or land costs that were 5 percent lower. This analysis shows that a 35 percent density bonus for more very low-income units achieves the same result and a 40 percent density bonus for even more very low-income units results in an even higher yield on cost, eliminating the need for revenue increases or cost reductions.



Density Bonus Incentives Are Stronger for Rental Housing Development than for Ownership Housing Development

Following state density bonus law, Cupertino's BMR Housing Program has different affordability requirements for ownership and rental housing development. Ownership housing development of seven or more units is required to provide 20 percent of units affordable to median and moderate income households (10 percent to each income category). Rental housing development of seven or more units is required to provide 15 percent of units affordable to very-low income and low-income households (at a 9%/6% mix). Density bonus legislation establishes stronger incentives for adding units at the lower levels of affordability in rental development than for adding moderate income units in ownership housing development. **Table 1** shows the density bonus allowances by income category for selected affordability levels up to the maximum of 40 percent provided by Cupertino's December 15, 2020 housing program.

Table 1: Density Bonus Percentage for Selected Affordability Percentages by Income Category

Selected Affordable Unit Percentage	Density Bonus Percentage		
	Very Low Income	Low Income	Moderate Income ¹
5%	20%	na	na
9%	30%	na	na
10%	33%	20%	5%
11%	35%	22%	6%
13%	40%	24.5%	8%
15%	40%	27.5%	10%
20%	40%	35%	15%
23%	40%	40%	18%
25%	40%	40%	20%
30%	40%	40%	25%
35%	40%	40%	30%
40%	40%	40%	35%
45%	40%	40%	40%

Note: Highlighted cells indicate affordable percentages associated with maximum 40 percent density bonus by income category.

1. Moderate income applies to for-sale housing only.

Source: City of Cupertino, City Council Staff Report, December 15, 2020

In rental housing development, providing five percent very-low-income units allows a 20 percent density bonus. Meeting the minimum 15 percent Cupertino BMR Housing program requirement by providing nine percent very-low-income units and six percent low-income units allows a 30 percent density bonus while a relatively small incremental increase in affordability (13 percent very-low-income units and two percent low-income units) allows the incentivized maximum density bonus of 40 percent. By contrast, for condominium development, Cupertino's BMR Housing Program requirement of 20 percent moderate income units allows only a 15 percent density bonus; to qualify for the maximum density bonus of 40 percent, developers must provide 45 percent of the units at below-market-rate prices.

Very few larger-scale ownership housing developments pursue density bonus incentives at the high end of the affordability range. HEG evaluated the three-story lower density condominium prototype using the pro forma model from the July 2019 Economic Feasibility Analysis. That original analysis found that condominium development could support a 20 percent BMR requirement, without a density bonus. This base case inclusionary scenario qualifies for a 15 percent bonus, enhancing project feasibility. However, the economics of ownership housing development do not support the magnitude of the subsidy required to provide significantly higher proportions of below-market-rate units affordable to median and moderate-income households. Even the higher bonuses allowed under AB 2345 (up to 50 percent density bonus for 45 percent moderate income affordable units) do not generate enough project revenue to offset the BMR subsidy, resulting in lower returns to the developer than the base case inclusionary requirement scenario (15 percent density bonus for 20 percent of units affordable to median and moderate-income households).

This conclusion is supported by San Diego's experience with a 50 percent bonus for 40 percent moderate income affordable units. After 20 months of development under the Affordable Homes Bonus Program (AHBP) adopted by the San Diego City Council in 2016, **all** of the mixed income density bonus projects included very low-income units rather than moderate income units. Of 26 mixed income projects tracked during the Sept 2016 to April 2018 analysis period, the highest affordability percentage was 20 percent and this was the case for only two projects, because higher rates of return were possible with a smaller percentage of very low-income units.²

Conclusions

Cupertino's December 15, 2020 housing program increasing the density bonus allowance above 35 percent, to a maximum of 40 percent, achieves its goal of incentivizing affordable housing production. Specifically, it incentivizes affordable housing production for rental housing development. The above analysis indicates that, for rental apartments providing very low-income and low-income affordable units, the higher density bonus enables the developer to produce enough market rate units to offset the additional cost of providing more very low-income units and to improve the overall feasibility of the development project.

The affordable housing density bonus incentive program adopted by the City of Cupertino achieves this incentive while offering the same incremental density bonus under each affordability category established by prior State density bonus legislation at the lower levels of affordability within that category. Under the Cupertino development parameters assumed in this analysis for rental housing, the higher incremental density bonus allowances provided by AB 2345 are not required to incentivize this level of affordable housing production.

Incentivizing affordable housing production for condominium development is much more difficult. For ownership housing development, the net revenue gap (the per-unit subsidy for affordable units provided accounting for lower market values and the cost savings in parking cost and city fees) is greater than the net revenue gap for rental housing. The increase in market rate units allowed under both Cupertino's program and AB 2345 is not great enough to offset the net cost of providing such a large proportion of below-market-rate units. Cupertino's recent actions to increase its BMR inclusionary requirement for ownership housing to 20 percent will therefore be more successful in increasing affordable housing production in condominium development.

² The average affordability percentage for these mixed income projects under the AHBP in San Diego was 14 percent. A 2020 evaluation of the AHBP concluded: "The choice by mixed-income developers to overwhelmingly choose Very Low Income units may not be intuitive, since those units produce only a small amount of rental revenue. However, the bonus programs require relatively few units to be deed-restricted when they are the most deeply affordable. Developer pro formas perform better with the more deeply affordable units, because fewer units overall will produce less- than-market rents." Circulate San Diego, *Good Bargain: An Updated Evaluation of San Diego's Affordable Homes Bonus Program* (May 2020) page 10.

Table A.1: Housing Mix Scenarios for Density Bonus Incentive Analysis

Lower Density Rental Apartments				
Base Density (du per acre)	35	DENSITY BONUS SCENARIOS		
	No BMR Requirement	Base Case - Inclusionary at 30% bonus for 9% VLI + 6% LI	Prior Law Maximum at 35% bonus for 11% VLI + 4% LI	Case A at 40% bonus for 13% VLI + 2% LI
Total Units	100	130	136	141
Market Rate Units	100	115	121	126
Affordable Units	-	15	15	15
% very low	na	9%	11%	13%
% low	na	6%	4%	2%
% median	na	0%	0%	0%
% moderate	na	0%	0%	0%

Higher Density Rental Apartments				
Base Density (du per acre)	76	DENSITY BONUS SCENARIOS		
	No BMR Requirement	Base Case - Inclusionary at 30% bonus for 9% VLI + 6% LI	Prior Law Maximum at 35% bonus for 11% VLI + 4% LI	Case A at 40% bonus for 13% VLI + 2% LI
Total Units	100	130	135	140
Market Rate Units	100	115	120	125
Affordable Units	-	15	15	15
% very low	na	9%	11%	13%
% low	na	6%	4%	2%
% median	na	0%	0%	0%
% moderate	na	0%	0%	0%

Source: Hausrath Economics Group

Table A.2: Financial Feasibility Results for Lower Density Rental Apartment Prototype

Base Density (du per acre)		35	DENSITY BONUS SCENARIOS		
	No BMR Requirement	Base Case - Inclusionary at 30% bonus for 9% VLI + 6% LI	Prior Law Maximum at 35% bonus for 11% VLI + 4% LI	Case A at 40% bonus for 13% VLI + 2% LI	
Total Units	100	130	136	141	
Market Rate Units	100	115	121	126	
Affordable Units	-	15	15	15	
% very low	na	9%	11%	13%	
% low	na	6%	4%	2%	
% median	na	0%	0%	0%	
% moderate	na	0%	0%	0%	
Revenues					
Residential Net Operating Income	\$3,288,285	\$3,781,545	\$3,978,843	\$4,143,258	
Retail Net Operating Income	\$459,000	\$459,000	\$459,000	\$459,000	
Total Net Operating Income	\$3,747,285	\$4,240,545	\$4,437,843	\$4,602,258	
Residential Capitalized Value	\$77,371,400	\$92,446,166	\$96,991,938	\$100,763,996	
Retail Capitalized Value	\$6,557,100	\$6,557,100	\$6,557,100	\$6,557,100	
Total Capitalized Value	\$83,928,500	\$99,003,266	\$103,549,038	\$107,321,096	
Per Unit	\$839,285	\$761,564	\$761,390	\$761,143	
Development Costs					
Land Costs					
Land Costs	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	\$25,000,000	
Per Unit	\$250,000	\$192,308	\$183,824	\$177,305	
Direct Costs					
Site Prep/Demo	\$3,267,000	\$3,267,000	\$3,267,000	\$3,267,000	
Gross Residential Area	\$27,553,750	\$35,819,875	\$37,473,100	\$38,850,788	
Gross Retail Area	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	\$1,300,000	
Parking	\$8,400,000	\$6,982,500	\$7,227,500	\$7,437,500	
Subtotal Direct Costs	\$40,520,750	\$47,369,375	\$49,267,600	\$50,855,288	
Per Unit	\$405,208	\$364,380	\$362,262	\$360,676	
Indirect Costs					
City Fees	\$6,594,875	\$7,747,115	\$8,142,803	\$8,472,543	
Other Soft Costs	\$8,332,958	\$9,947,569	\$10,346,196	\$10,679,610	
Per Unit	\$83,330	\$76,520	\$76,075	\$75,742	
Subtotal Indirect Costs	\$14,927,833	\$17,694,684	\$18,488,999	\$19,152,153	
Per Unit	\$149,278	\$176,947	\$184,890	\$191,522	
Financing	\$3,276,515	\$3,903,844	\$4,065,396	\$4,200,446	
Per Unit	\$32,765	\$39,038	\$40,654	\$42,004	
Total Development Costs	\$83,725,098	\$93,967,903	\$96,821,995	\$99,207,887	
Per Unit	\$837,251	\$722,830	\$711,926	\$703,602	
Feasibility					
Net Revenue ¹	\$203,402	\$5,035,363	\$6,727,043	\$8,113,209	
Yield on Cost ²	4.48%	4.51%	4.58%	4.64%	
Meets or Exceeds Threshold YOC (4.75-5.25%)	NO	NO	NO	NO	

Note: Highlighted rows indicate revenue and cost elements that are constant across the cases. These elements are independent of the total number of units and of the mix of market rate and affordable units.

1. Net Revenue is the project total revenue minus total development costs.

2. Yield on cost is total project net operating income divided by total development costs.

Source: Hausrath Economics Group based on Strategic Economics, 2018.

Table A.3: Financial Feasibility Results for Higher Density Rental Apartment Prototype

Base Density (du per acre)		DENSITY BONUS SCENARIOS			
76					
	No BMR Requirement	Base Case - Inclusionary at 30% bonus for 9% VLI + 6% LI	Prior Law Maximum at 35% bonus for 11% VLI + 4% LI	Case A at 40% bonus for 13% VLI + 2% LI	
Total Units	100	130	135	140	
Market Rate Units	100	115	120	125	
Affordable Units	-	15	15	15	
% very low	na	9%	11%	13%	
% low	na	6%	4%	2%	
% median	na	0%	0%	0%	
% moderate	na	0%	0%	0%	
Revenues					
Residential Net Operating Income	\$3,288,285	\$3,781,545	\$3,945,960	\$4,110,375	
Retail Net Operating Income	\$688,500	\$688,500	\$688,500	\$688,500	
Total Net Operating Income	\$3,976,785	\$4,470,045	\$4,634,460	\$4,798,875	
Residential Capitalized Value	\$77,371,400	\$92,446,166	\$96,218,224	\$99,990,282	
Retail Capitalized Value	\$9,835,650	\$9,835,650	\$9,835,650	\$9,835,650	
Total Capitalized Value	\$87,207,050	\$102,281,816	\$106,053,874	\$109,825,932	
Per Unit	\$872,071	\$786,783	\$785,584	\$784,471	
Development Costs					
Land Costs					
Land Costs	\$13,157,895	\$13,157,895	\$13,157,895	\$13,157,895	
Per Unit	\$131,579	\$101,215	\$97,466	\$93,985	
Direct Costs					
Site Prep/Demo	\$1,719,474	\$1,719,474	\$1,719,474	\$1,719,474	
Gross Residential Area	\$35,175,000	\$45,727,500	\$47,486,250	\$49,245,000	
Gross Retail Area	\$1,950,000	\$1,950,000	\$1,950,000	\$1,950,000	
Parking	\$9,100,000	\$7,682,500	\$7,892,500	\$8,102,500	
Subtotal Direct Costs	\$47,944,474	\$57,079,474	\$59,048,224	\$61,016,974	
Per Unit	\$479,445	\$439,073	\$437,394	\$435,836	
Indirect Costs					
City Fees	\$6,724,069	\$7,912,645	\$8,248,845	\$8,585,045	
Other Soft Costs	\$9,877,239	\$11,986,690	\$12,400,127	\$12,813,565	
Per Unit	\$98,772	\$92,205	\$91,853	\$91,525	
Subtotal Indirect Costs	\$16,601,308	\$19,899,335	\$20,648,972	\$21,398,610	
Per Unit	\$166,013	\$153,072	\$152,955	\$152,847	
Financing	\$3,818,147	\$4,618,729	\$4,781,832	\$4,944,935	
Per Unit	\$38,181	\$35,529	\$35,421	\$35,321	
Total Development Costs	\$81,521,824	\$94,755,433	\$97,636,923	\$100,518,414	
Per Unit	\$815,218	\$728,888	\$723,236	\$717,989	
Feasibility					
Net Revenue ¹	\$5,685,226	\$7,526,383	\$8,416,951	\$9,307,518	
Yield on Cost ²	4.88%	4.72%	4.75%	4.77%	
Meets or Exceeds Threshold YOC (4.75-5.25%)		YES	NO	YES	YES

Note: Highlighted rows indicate revenue and cost elements that are constant across the cases. These elements are independent of the total number of units and of the mix of market rate and affordable units.

1. Net Revenue is the project total revenue minus total development costs.

2. Yield on cost is total project net operating income divided by total development costs.

Source: Hausrath Economics Group based on Strategic Economics, 2018.



Overview

Hausrath Economics Group (HEG) specializes in urban economics, real estate economics, market and financial feasibility analysis, economic revitalization and economic development, industry analysis and forecasting, economic benefit/impact assessment, economic and land use development forecasting, property use and reuse analysis, and fiscal and public finance analysis. The majority of the firm's work is in northern California and the San Francisco Bay Area, and includes a large share of ongoing work in Oakland, the East Bay, and San Francisco. In all our project work, HEG maintains a reputation for thorough analysis, creative strategies, realistic implementation programming, and responsiveness to both client and community concerns.

The firm was founded in 1978 and has been located in downtown Oakland since 1982. The firm has two owners and principals and is a 100 percent woman-owned business. Linda Hausrath is founding principal and Sally Nielsen has been with HEG since 1981.

HEG works for a broad spectrum of clients in the public and private sectors: local and regional governments, other public agencies, landowners and real estate developers, nonprofit entities, merchants' and business associations, attorneys, and citizen groups. Because of that range of exposure and the quality of our work, HEG is recognized for providing objective analysis that addresses the often multi-faceted perspectives on a given project.

Expertise in Development Feasibility Analysis

HEG is qualified to undertake the following types of assignments related to analysis of mixed use development projects:

- ◆ Real estate market assessments for residential, office, commercial, and industrial uses
- ◆ Financial feasibility analysis
- ◆ Development pro forma analysis and review
- ◆ Financing plans, including public improvements and infrastructure
- ◆ Property use and reuse analysis; highest and best use analysis
- ◆ Public/private development projects: implementation and funding programs; evaluation of public participation; developer/City negotiations
- ◆ Land residual/land value analysis; land acquisition or disposition strategies/terms
- ◆ Economic benefit analysis; community benefit funding

(continued on page 2)

HEG recently completed an extensive series of consulting services for a large mixed-use urban campus project in the City of Oakland. HEG prepared market analysis for office and residential uses and financial feasibility analysis and public financial analysis for a range of project alternatives. Site assembly involved acquisition of a large city-owned parcel complicating the review and approval process. HEG was a key member of the team negotiating development terms and conditions for the project with city staff and decision makers. HEG's products included technical analysis on market, financial, and public benefit topics, technical reports, and summary materials for public and community meetings.

In 2016, Oakland adopted a new citywide development impact fee program. HEG was the prime contractor for the nexus and economic feasibility studies needed to support fee adoption. Technical work centered around the development of pro forma cash flow models for representative development projects throughout Oakland (housing, office, retail/commercial, industrial), and using these models to analyze the impacts of fee program options on development feasibility. HEG was also responsible for aspects of the Affordable Housing Nexus Analysis, focusing on equivalencies between a mitigation fee and on-site mitigation options.

SALLY NIELSEN



Sally Nielsen has extensive experience defining and analyzing land use and planning policies from the economic perspective. Since joining HEG in 1981, she has prepared forecasts of employment, population, and future development patterns; economic impact analyses; market studies; as well as fiscal impact and public financing studies, including development impact fee nexus analyses. She has developed complex, well-documented models for estimating the cost implications of proposed policies and plans.

Recent work has focused on evaluating the local benefits of major development projects. This includes estimating on-going local public revenues and one-time impact fees, as well as economic development benefits from jobs, additions to the housing inventory, and increased retail and services spending.

Ms. Nielsen's experience with development impact fee nexus analysis includes a transportation systems improvement development impact fee nexus study and a park, recreation, and open space development impact fee nexus study for the *Transit Center District Plan* in San Francisco—a plan to concentrate new downtown development potential around the Transbay Transit Center regional transit hub. After evaluating the public facility, infrastructure, and community improvement programs that exist in San Francisco and the applicability of existing standards to the *Transit Center District Plan*, she devised appropriate service population estimates, investigated means of allocating costs equitably across plan participants and beneficiaries, and prepared the required documentation.

For over 20 years, Ms. Nielsen provided on-going economic consulting services for the Planning Department and the County Executive Office in Placer County—one of the fastest-growing counties in the state. She prepared detailed analysis of the County's budget for project-specific fiscal impact studies and conducted more broad-based assignments related to the implications of annexations for the County's tax base and for maintaining on-going countywide services. This work required designing detailed, flexible models of the complex County budget as well as preparing special analysis for County staff use in annexation tax-sharing negotiations, taking a broader perspective on County service and funding responsibilities and the constraints of the tax base.

Ms. Nielsen has a particular interest and expertise in the complex and collaborative efforts to develop habitat conservation plans in California. She has worked on multi-agency, multi-species plans affecting development in Placer County, Santa Clara County, Yolo County, East Contra Costa County, San Joaquin County, and El Dorado County. The level of scrutiny has been high and the many interested parties diverse. Ms. Nielsen has prepared growth and land development projections for use in impact assessment, complex implementation cost models, land acquisition cost analysis, economic and fiscal impact analyses, and feasibility assessments in support of habitat conservation planning.

In Yolo County, Ms. Nielsen directed a study of policy options for increasing agricultural land mitigation requirements. She studied the planning policy and implementation history of the current County program designed to protect farmland from development and recognize the costs of agricultural land conversion. She conducted technical analysis to derive a defensible basis for increasing the ratio of mitigation land required, evaluating a variety of policy approaches to meeting agricultural land conservation objectives.

EDUCATION

Masters in City and Regional Planning, Kennedy School of Government, Harvard University, 1981.
B.A., magna cum laude, History and Literature, Harvard University, 1976.



**California Rural
Legal Assistance
Foundation**



**WESTERN CENTER
ON LAW & POVERTY**

July 17, 2020

The Honorable Lorena Gonzalez
California State Assembly
State Capitol, Room 2114
Sacramento, CA 95814

Re: AB 2345 (Gonzalez)—Oppose unless Amended

Dear Assemblymember Gonzalez,

On behalf of our low-income clients, we write to express our concerns with your AB 2345, which proposes to amend State Density Bonus Law. Your bill would amend the law to give developers greater density increases and more incentives in exchange for including more affordable units. While we are very supportive of the concept, as drafted the bill does not adequately capture the value that it creates for developers, meaning that it will result in less affordable housing than otherwise could be achieved and potentially undermine existing local programs.

Density Bonus Law (DBL) is an important tool that allows for the inclusion of badly needed low- and very low-income rental units in predominantly market-rate housing developments without the need for direct financial subsidy. The law enables developers to access various benefits—including increased density, relief from various development restrictions, and reduced parking requirements—that enable them to reduce costs, use land more efficiently, and include more units than would otherwise be allowed. These benefits create value that allows for the inclusion of units affordable to lower-income households. The law also expressly prohibits local governments from giving developers similar benefits without requiring the inclusion of affordable units.

Current law employs a sliding scale formula to allow increased density bonuses with a corresponding increase in affordable housing set-asides. For every 1% increase in very low-income (VLI) units, a project receives a 2.5% increase in density, and for every 1% increase in low-income (LI) units, a project receives a 1.5% increase in density. Under current law, this formula sliding scale extends up to a 35% density increase.

AB 2345 increases the available density bonus up to 50% for low- and very low-income units, but uses a different sliding scale formula for density increases between 36% and 50%. For example, under the current draft of AB 2345 a 1% increase in VLI units over 11% would come with a 3.75% increase in density. This would result in more density for less affordability compared to the existing statutory formula.

Changing the formula in this way is problematic because it effectively weakens the impact of the larger density increases proposed under the bill. Additionally, we have significant concerns about the addition of more incentives and concessions, especially given that developers have to add very few additional affordable units in order access them. Your bill proposes increasing from 2 to 5 the number of incentives

or concessions a developer of a mixed-income project can access by including just 4% more VLI or LI units. This is not a sufficient increase in affordability compared to the value that is being offered.

We understand that AB 2345's provisions mirror those in San Diego's density bonus ordinance, which has had early success in increasing utilization of DBL in San Diego. However, San Diego is not the only jurisdiction that offers developers "super density bonuses" that exceed those offered under state law, which has been done successfully in a manner that replicates the existing ratios in DBL. For example, under Los Angeles' highly successful Transit-Oriented Communities (TOC) program a project receives a 50% density increase for providing 11% VLI units, as a percent of the total project unit count. When converted to be a percent of the base units (as DBL is calculated), this amounts to a 17% VLI set-aside to qualify for a 50% density increase.

Effectively, the TOC scales up the density for affordability ratio following the same pattern as in the existing DBL. In addition, the TOC limits developers to three concessions or incentives at the highest affordability set-aside (more incentives are available if a developer commits to local hire requirements and other community benefits, but not for more affordable units). We believe the success of this program provides strong evidence that replicating the ratios in current law is workable. As we take the concept of "super density bonuses" statewide, it is critical that we do so in a way that does not undermine the production of VLI units. The experience of Los Angeles shows that maintaining the current ratios is an approach that is attractive to developers and should be the model that is replicated in state law.

If AB 2345 is amended to remain consistent with the existing DBL sliding scale formula, limit developers of mixed-income projects to three concessions or incentives for mixed-income projects but ensure that the third concessions is accessible for these projects, which it is currently not, then it would address our concerns with the bill as it applies statewide as well as avoid undermining the TOC. We believe this approach would maximize the production of VLI units while also leaving room for locals to choose to offer even greater benefits to developers in exchange for higher levels of affordability.

We look forward to working with you to address these concerns.

Sincerely,



Brian Augusta
California Rural Legal Assistance Foundation



Anya Lawler
Western Center on Law & Poverty



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9103, **Version:** 1

Subject: Consideration of Municipal Code Amendments to the Cupertino Municipal Code, Chapter 10.90, expanding existing policies to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, including in multi-unit housing, entryways, public events, service areas, and outdoor worksites. (Continued from April 6)

1. Reintroduce with amendments and conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2227: "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which adds setback requirements for designated smoking areas and includes detached ADUs and JADUs in the regulations, and which includes a finding that the Ordinance is exempt from CEQA; or
2. Conduct the second reading and enact Ordinance No. 21-2224 "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which includes a finding that the Ordinance is exempt from CEQA.



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

CITY HALL
10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3255
TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3223 • FAX: (408) 777-3366
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: April 20, 2021

Subject

Consideration of Municipal Code Amendments to the Cupertino Municipal Code, Chapter 10.90, expanding existing policies to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, including in multi-unit housing, entryways, public events, service areas, and outdoor worksites.

Recommended Action

1. Reintroduce with amendments and conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2227: "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which adds additional setback requirements for designated smoking areas and includes detached single-family homes with attached and detached accessory dwelling units in the regulations, and which includes a finding that the Ordinance is exempt from CEQA; or
2. Conduct the second reading and enact Ordinance No. 21-2224 "An Ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which includes a finding that the Ordinance is exempt from CEQA.

Background

On March 2, 2021 the City Council conducted the first reading of Ordinance No. 21-2224 to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing, entryways, public events, service areas, and outdoor worksites. Council voted to approve the ordinance with an amendment to include single-family homes with attached accessory dwelling units (ADUs) within the definition of multi-unit housing (Attachment A).

At the same March 2, 2021 City Council meeting, Council requested that staff perform additional research on:

1. How other cities protect residents of neighboring properties from smoke coming from a designated smoking area on the property of a multi-unit housing residence; and

2. How to expand the ordinance to include both attached and detached ADUs and junior accessory dwelling units (JADUs).

The revised draft ordinance contains amendments to address these two requests (Attachment C).

Discussion

Ordinance No. 21-2224, which had its first reading at the March 2, 2021 City Council meeting (Attachment A), includes the following components:

1. **Require smoke-free multi-unit housing** (defined as two or more attached residences that share a wall or floor/ceiling, which could include apartments, condominiums, townhomes, attached single-family homes, single-family homes with attached ADUs, duplexes, triplexes, etc.), including:
 - a. Outdoor common areas;
 - b. A 30-foot buffer zone around doors and windows of multi-unit housing;
 - c. Inside units in multi-unit housing; and
 - d. Allows owners the option to create designated smoking areas that meet certain criteria.
2. **Require smoke-free entryways** around buildings where smoking is prohibited, including businesses, offices, grocery stores, restaurants, bars, places of worship, etc.
3. **Require public events** to be smoke-free, such as farmer's markets and street fairs.
4. **Require service areas** to be smoke-free, such as ATMs, ticket lines, bus stops or shelters.
5. **Require outdoor worksites** to be smoke-free, such as construction sites.

Other jurisdictions in Santa Clara County have adopted similar provisions and a comparison table can be found in Attachment F.

For the purposes of the ordinance, "smoking" includes the use of cigarettes, cigars, cigarillos, hookah, pipes, electronic smoking devices, and marijuana. The ordinance defines multi-unit housing as two or more attached residences that share a wall or floor/ceiling, which could include apartments, condominiums, townhomes, attached single-family homes, attached accessory dwelling units, duplexes, triplexes, etc.

More information on the health risks of exposure to secondhand smoke, the ordinance components, and the outreach conducted regarding the ordinance, can be found in the staff report from the March 2 meeting (Attachment E).

Designated Smoking Area

Among the smoke-free multi-unit housing ordinances in Santa Clara and San Mateo Counties, only the City of Santa Clara restricts the location of designated smoking areas in proximity to a neighboring property. Santa Clara's law requires designated smoking areas to be 30 feet away from any doors, windows, openings, or other vents to a neighboring property.

The revised draft ordinance (Attachment C) includes an amendment to create an additional set-back requirement for designated smoking areas as indicated below in subsection (4):

- A. Designated Smoking Areas in Multi-unit Residences. A designated smoking area shall:
1. Be an Unenclosed Area;
 2. Be a Reasonable Distance from Unenclosed Areas primarily used by children and unenclosed areas with improvements that facilitate physical activity including, for example, playgrounds, tennis courts, swimming pools, and school campuses;
 3. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area that is located at the Multi-unit Residence and is a Nonsmoking Area;
 4. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from a Nonsmoking Area and/or any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area of adjacent private property;
 5. Have a clearly marked perimeter;
 6. Have a receptacle for cigarette butts that is emptied and maintained; and
 7. Be identified by conspicuous signs.

This amendment would prohibit a designated smoking area within a reasonable distance (defined as 30 feet) from a residence on neighboring property. The amendment also would prohibit a designated smoking area within 30 feet of a neighboring outdoor area that is required to be nonsmoking, such as a public park.

Detached Accessory Dwelling Units

All other jurisdictions in Santa Clara County exempt ADUs in their smoke-free multi-unit housing ordinances, likely due to increased enforcement challenges. Currently, San Bruno, San Mateo, and South San Francisco include ADUs but exempt detached ADUs in their ordinance. Albany and Pasadena are examples of cities that include attached and detached ADUs in their smoke-free multi-unit ordinances.

Ordinance No. 21-2224 currently includes attached ADUs but not detached ADUs. The revised draft ordinance (Attachment C) includes an amendment to the definition of “multi-unit residence” to specifically include “single-family homes with an attached or detached accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit.” With this amendment, the ordinance would prohibit smoking in both the single-family home and the accessory dwelling unit.

Conclusion and Next Steps

Council can conduct the second reading and enact Ordinance No. 21-2224, which was presented to Council on March 2, 2021, or conduct the first reading of the revised draft ordinance, which

includes the additional amendments stated above. Either option will further protect residents, employees, and visitors from exposure to secondhand smoke in public places and where people live.

Sustainability Impact

Cigarettes are the most littered item and pose a significant stormwater pollution problem. Reducing smoking in outdoor public spaces will help to reduce the resulting litter from cigarette butts. In addition, these policies will improve air quality for those who may otherwise be exposed to secondhand smoke.

Fiscal Impact

The City has been awarded \$54,979 to cover the costs of developing the proposed regulations and conducting the associated outreach. No additional funding is requested at this time.

Prepared by: Leslie Zellers, JD, Consultant, Santa Clara County Department of Public Health
Astrid Robles, Management Analyst

Reviewed by: Katy Nomura, Assistant to the City Manager

Approved for Submission by: Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Attachments:

A – Draft Ordinance 21-2224 to Regulate Smoking (First Reading Approved on 3/2/21) Clean

B – Draft Ordinance 21-2224 to Regulate Smoking (First Reading Approved on 3/2/21) Redline

C – Revised Draft Ordinance to Regulate Smoking Clean

D – Revised Draft Ordinance to Regulate Smoking Redline

E – March 2, 2021 Staff Report

F – Tobacco Free Communities Policies in Santa Clara County

ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO
AMENDING CITY CODE CHAPTER 10.90 OF TITLE 10 (PUBLIC PEACE, SAFETY,
AND MORALS) TO PROHIBIT SMOKING IN MULTI-UNIT HOUSING AND
CERTAIN OUTDOOR AREAS**

The City Council of the City of Cupertino finds that:

1. The purpose of this Chapter is to: (a) To protect public health, safety, and general welfare by prohibiting smoking in multi-unit housing, public places, recreational areas, service areas, outdoor dining and various other locations set forth in this chapter; (b) To reduce litter, waste and pollution; and (c) To reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, which has been shown to cause negative health effects.
2. The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that there is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke and the California Air Resources Board identified secondhand smoke as a toxic air contaminant for which there is no safe level of exposure.
3. Secondhand smoke is responsible for an estimated 34,000 heart disease-related and 7,300 lung cancer-related deaths among adult nonsmokers each year.
4. In children, secondhand smoke causes ear infections, more frequent and severe asthma attacks, respiratory infections, and increases the risk of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).
5. Exposure to electronic smoking device aerosol has immediate impacts on the human respiratory and cardiovascular system and poses a risk to human health.
6. Secondhand cannabis smoke has been identified as a health hazard; the California Environmental Protection Agency includes cannabis smoking on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer.
7. Studies have shown that exposure to secondhand smoke outdoors can reach levels attained indoors depending on the amount of wind and number and proximity of smokers.

8. Research demonstrates that secondhand smoke in multi-unit housing can and does transfer between units, creeping under doorways and through wall cracks.
9. According to the County, close to one-third (29%) of adults who live in multi-unit housing in the County reported smelling tobacco smoke drifting into their home in the previous week. The rate of secondhand smoke exposure was higher among those with less than a high school diploma (38%) and adults with household incomes less than \$15,000 (36%).
10. Harmful residues from tobacco smoke can be absorbed by and cling to virtually all indoor surfaces long after smoking has stopped and then be emitted back into the air, making this “thirdhand smoke” a potential health hazard.
11. California cities and counties have the legal authority to adopt local laws that prohibit all tobacco use indoors and outdoors in areas not already covered by state law.
12. State law allows local governments to adopt ordinances that permit residential rental agreements to prohibit smoking tobacco products within rental units.
13. State law prohibits smoking within 25 feet of playgrounds as well as within 20 feet of government buildings and expressly authorizes local communities to enact additional restrictions.
14. Cupertino prohibits smoking in recreational areas owned or operated by the City; outdoor dining areas; at entrances and exits of places where food and drink is served; and within 25 feet of these areas.
15. The City Council of the City of Cupertino held a duly noticed public meeting on March 2, 2021, and after considering all testimony and written materials provided in connection with that meeting introduced this ordinance and waived the reading thereof.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE OF CITY OF CUPERTINO
DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. Adoption.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 3

The Cupertino Municipal Code is hereby amended as set forth in Attachment A.

SECTION 2: Severability and Continuity.

The City Council declares that each section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance is severable and independent of every other section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance. If any section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held invalid, or its application to any person or circumstance, be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable or otherwise void, the City Council declares that it would have adopted the remaining provisions of this ordinance irrespective of such portion, and further declares its express intent that the remaining portions of this ordinance should remain in effect after the invalid portion has been eliminated. To the extent the provisions of this Ordinance are substantially the same as previous provisions of the Cupertino Municipal Code, these provisions shall be construed as continuations of those provisions and not as an amendment to or readoption of the earlier provisions.

SECTION 3: California Environmental Quality Act.

This Ordinance is not a project under the requirements of the California Quality Act of 1970, together with related State CEQA Guidelines (collectively, "CEQA") because it has no potential for resulting in physical change in the environment, either directly or ultimately. In the event that this Ordinance is found to be a project under CEQA, it is subject to the CEQA exemption contained in CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty to have no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential of causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. In this circumstance, the amendments to the City Code would have no or only a de minimis impact on the environment. The foregoing determination is made by the City Council in its independent judgment.

SECTION 4: Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect thirty days after adoption as provided by Government Code Section 36937. However, the Ordinance's requirements shall not become operative until October 1, 2021, which means that the City, or its designee, will not begin to enforce the provisions and penalties under the Ordinance until October 1, 2021.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 4

SECTION 5: Publication.

The City Clerk shall give notice of adoption of this Ordinance as required by law. Pursuant to Government Code Section 36933, a summary of this Ordinance may be prepared by the City Clerk and published in lieu of publication of the entire text. The City Clerk shall post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of the Ordinance listing the names of the City Council members voting for and against the ordinance.

INTRODUCED at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on March 2nd, 2021 and **ENACTED** at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on April 20th, 2021 by the following vote:

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	 _____ Date
ATTEST: _____ Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	 _____ Date
APPROVED AS TO FORM: _____ Heather Minner, City Attorney	 _____ Date

Attachment A – An ordinance to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas

The sections of the Cupertino Municipal Code set forth below are amended or adopted as follows:

*Text added to existing provisions is shown in bold double-underlined text (**example**) and text to be deleted is shown in strikethrough (~~example~~). Text in existing provisions is not amended or readopted by this Ordinance. Text in italics is explanatory and is not an amendment to the Code.*

Where the explanatory text indicates that a new section is being added to the City Code, the new section is shown in plain text.

1. Amendments to Article 10.90 concerning Regulation of Smoking

10.90.010 Definitions.

The following words and phrases, whenever used in this chapter, shall have the meanings defined in this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

~~A. “Outdoor Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.~~

A. “Business” means any sole proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, corporation, association, landlord, or other entity formed for profit-making purposes. A Business also includes owner-operated entities with no Employees in which the owner is the only worker.

B. “Common Area” means every area of a Multi-unit Residence that residents of more than one unit are entitled to enter or use, including, but not limited to, halls, pathways, lobbies, courtyards, elevators, stairs, community rooms, playgrounds, gym facilities, swimming pools, parking garages, parking lots, grassy or landscaped areas, restrooms, laundry rooms, cooking areas, and eating areas.

C. “Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public or an employee and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.

D. “Electronic smoking device” means any device that may be used to deliver any aerosolized or vaporized substance to the person inhaling from the device, including, but not limited to, an e-cigarette, e-cigar, e-pipe, vape pen, or e-hookah.

E. "Employee" means any Person who is employed or retained as an independent contractor by any Employer in consideration for direct or indirect monetary wages or profit, or any Person who volunteers his or her services for an Employer.

F. "Employer" means any Business or Nonprofit Entity that retains the service of one or more Employees.

G. "Enclosed area" means all space between a floor and a ceiling that is bounded by walls, doorways, or windows, whether open or closed, covering more than 50 percent of the combined surface area of the vertical planes constituting the perimeter of the area. A wall includes any retractable divider, garage door, or other physical barrier, whether temporary or permanent.

H. "Landlord" means any person or agent of a person who owns, manages, or is otherwise legally responsible for a unit in a Multi-unit Residence that is leased to a residential tenant. For purposes of this ordinance, a tenant who sublets their unit (e.g., a sublessor) is not a landlord.

I. "Multi-unit Residence" means property containing two or more attached units, including, but not limited to, apartment buildings, condominiums, duplexes and triplexes, senior and assisted living facilities, and long-term health care facilities. Multi-unit Residences do not include the following:

1. a hotel or motel that meets the requirements of California Civil Code section 1940(b)(2);
2. a mobile home park;
3. a campground;
4. a marina or port;
5. a detached single-family home, except if used as a health care facility subject to licensing requirements; and
6. a detached single-family home with a detached accessory dwelling unit or second unit.

J. "Nonprofit Entity" means any entity that meets the requirements of California Corporations Code section 5003 as well as any corporation, unincorporated association, or other entity created for charitable, religious, philanthropic, educational, political, social, or similar purposes, the net proceeds of which are committed to the promotion of the objectives or purposes of the entity and not to private gain. A government agency is not a Nonprofit Entity within the meaning of this chapter.

K. "Nonsmoking Area" means any area in which smoking is prohibited by

1. this chapter or other law;
2. binding agreement relating to the ownership, occupancy, or use of real property; or

3. a person with legal control over the area.

L. "Person" means any natural person, partnership, cooperative association, corporation, personal representative, receiver, trustee, assignee, or any other legal entity, including government agencies.

M. "Place of Employment" means any area under the legal or de facto control of an Employer that an Employee or the general public may have cause to enter in the normal course of the operations, regardless of the hours of operation.

N. "Public Place" means any place, publicly or privately owned, which is open to the general public regardless of any fee or age requirement.

O. ~~B.~~ "Reasonable distance" means a distance of ~~25~~30 feet in any direction from an area in which smoking is prohibited.

P. ~~C.~~ "Recreational Area" means any outdoor area, including streets and sidewalks adjacent to Recreational ~~a~~Areas, owned or operated by the City of Cupertino and open to the general public for recreational purposes, regardless of any fee or age requirement. The term "Recreational Area" includes, but is not limited to parks, picnic areas, playgrounds, sports fields, golf courses, walking paths, gardens, hiking trails, bike paths, horseback riding trails, swimming pools, roller-skating rinks, and skateboard parks, and parking lot or other area designated or primarily used for parking vehicles of persons accessing a Recreational Area.

Q. "Service Area" means any publicly or privately owned area, including streets and sidewalks, that is designed to be used or is regularly used by one or more Persons to receive a service, wait to receive a service, or to make a transaction, whether or not such service or transaction includes the exchange of money. The term "Service Area" includes, but is not limited to, areas including or adjacent to information kiosks, automatic teller machines (ATMs), ticket lines, bus stops or shelters, mobile vendor lines, or cab stands.

R. ~~D.~~ "Smoke" means the gases, particles, or vapors released into the air as a result of combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization, when the apparent or usual purpose of the combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization is human inhalation of the byproducts, except when the combusting or vaporizing material contains no tobacco or nicotine and the purpose of inhalation is solely olfactory, such as, for example, smoke from incense. The term "Smoke" includes, but is not limited to, tobacco smoke, vapors from an electronic smoking device, and marijuana smoke.

S. ~~E.~~ "Smoking" means: ~~engaging in an act that generates Smoke, such as for example: possessing a lighted pipe, lighted hookah pipe, a lighted cigar, or a lighted cigarette of any kind; or, or lighting or igniting of a pipe, cigar, hookah pipe, or cigarette of any kind.~~

1. inhaling, exhaling, or burning, any tobacco, nicotine, cannabis, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic;
2. carrying any lighted, heated, or activated tobacco, nicotine, marijuana, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic, intended for inhalation; or
3. using an "electronic smoking device."

T. "Unenclosed Area" means any area that is not an enclosed area.

U. "Unit" means a personal dwelling space, even one lacking cooking facilities or private plumbing facilities, and includes any associated exclusive-use area, such as a private balcony, porch, deck, or patio. "Unit" includes, without limitation, an apartment; a condominium; a townhouse; a room in a senior facility; a room in a long-term health care facility, assisted living facility, community care facility, or hospital; a room in a hotel or motel; a dormitory room; a room in a single-room occupancy facility; a room in a homeless shelter; a mobile home; a camper vehicle or tent; a single-family home; and an accessory dwelling unit or second unit.

10.90.20 Smoking Prohibited.

A. Smoking is prohibited in the following Enclosed Areas:

1. In Recreational Areas ~~Places of Employment; and~~
2. In Outdoor Dining Areas ~~Public Places;~~ and
3. ~~At entrances, exits, operable windows, or air intake openings of any building area which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for selling or consuming food or drink.~~

B. Smoking is prohibited by this chapter in all Enclosed Areas exempted by the California workplace law (Labor Code section 6404.5(d), as that section may be amended from time to time) except as provided below.

1. Smoking at theatrical production sites is not prohibited by this subsection if the theater general manager certifies that smoking is an essential part of the story and the use of a fake, prop, or special effect cannot reasonably convey the idea of smoking in an effective way to a reasonable member of the anticipated audience. This exception will not apply if minors are performers within the production;
2. Smoking is not restricted by this subsection in up to twenty percent (20%) of guest room accommodations in a hotel, motel, or similar transient lodging establishment.

C. Smoking is prohibited in the following Unenclosed Areas:

1. Places of Employment;

Ordinance No. _____

Page 5

2. ~~In~~ Recreational Areas;
3. ~~In Outdoor~~ Dining Areas;
4. Service Areas; and
5. Public Places when being used for a public event, including a farmer's market, parade, craft fair, or any event which may be open to or attended by the general public, provided that Smoking is permitted on streets and sidewalks being used in a traditional capacity as pedestrian or vehicular thoroughfares, unless otherwise prohibited by this chapter or other law.

D. ~~B.~~ Reasonable Smoking Distance Required.

1. Smoking in all Unenclosed Areas shall be prohibited within a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening, crack, or vent into an Enclosed Area in which smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which Smoking is prohibited.
2. ~~1.~~ Smoking in all ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas is prohibited within a ~~r~~Reasonable Distance from any ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited.
3. ~~2.~~ The ~~s~~Smoking prohibitions in Section 10.90.020B.1 shall not apply to ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas of private residential properties that are not Multi-unit Residences.

E. ~~C.~~ Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to prohibit Smoking in any area in which such Smoking is already prohibited by state or federal law unless the applicable state or federal law does not preempt additional local regulation.

F. ~~D.~~ No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

G. ~~E.~~ Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 3, 2014; Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.30 ~~Other Requirements and Prohibitions~~ Multi-unit Housing

- A. Beginning October 1, 2021, smoking is prohibited and no person shall smoke inside any new or existing unit of a Multi-unit Residence, in any enclosed or

unenclosed Common Area of a Multi-unit Residence, or within a Reasonable Distance of any operable doorway, window, opening, or vent of a Multi-unit Residence.

- B. Smoking is prohibited in Multi-unit Residences as provided in subsection (A) of this section, except that a person with legal control over a Common Area, or authorized representative, may designate a portion of the common area as a designated smoking area; provided, that at all times the designated smoking area complies with subsection (C) of this section.
- C. Designated Smoking Areas in Multi-unit Residences. A designated smoking area shall:
1. Be an Unenclosed Area;
 2. Be a Reasonable Distance from Unenclosed Areas primarily used by children and unenclosed areas with improvements that facilitate physical activity including, for example, playgrounds, tennis courts, swimming pools, and school campuses;
 3. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area that is located at the Multi-unit Residence and is a Nonsmoking Area;
 4. Have a clearly marked perimeter;
 5. Have a receptacle for cigarette butts that is emptied and maintained;
and
 6. Be identified by conspicuous signs.
- D. Smoking and the use of Electronic Smoking Devices is prohibited in adjacent unenclosed property within a Reasonable Distance in any direction of any doorway, window, opening, or other vent into an enclosed area of a Multi-unit Residence.
- E. Common Areas Free from Smoking Waste. Persons with legal control over common areas in Multi-unit Residences, and their authorized representatives, shall ensure that all Common Areas except those meeting the requirements of subsection (C) of this section remain free of Smoking and tobacco waste, and ash trays, ash cans, or other receptacles designed for or primarily used for disposal of smoking and tobacco waste.
- F. Signage. "No smoking" signs shall be posted as required by Section 10.90.050 of this chapter, but are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence. Signs shall be maintained by the person or persons with legal control over the common areas or the authorized representative of such person.

G. Lease Terms. Every lease or other rental agreement for the occupancy of a new or existing unit in a Multi-unit Residence entered into, renewed, or continued month-to-month after October 1, 2021 shall include the following:

- 1. A clause providing that it is a material breach of the agreement to Smoke or allow Smoking:**
 - a. in the Unit, including exclusive-use areas such as balconies, porches, or patios; and**
 - b. in any Common Area of the Multi-unit Residence other than a designated Smoking area.**
- 2. A description of and/or image depicting the location(s) of any designated Smoking area(s) on the property, if any.**
- 3. A clause expressly conveying third-party beneficiary status to all occupants of the Multi-unit Residence as to the Smoking provisions of the lease or other rental agreement. Such a clause shall provide that any tenant of the Multi-unit Residence may sue another tenant/owner to enforce the Smoking provisions of the agreement but that no tenant shall have the right to evict another tenant for a breach of the Smoking provisions of the agreement.**

H. Whether or not a landlord complies with subsection (G) of this section, the clauses required by that subsection shall be implied and incorporated by law into every agreement to which subsection (G) of this section applies and shall become effective as of the earliest possible date on which the landlord could have made the insertions pursuant to subsection (G) of this section.

10.90.040 Posting of Signs Other Requirements and Prohibitions.

A. No ash can, ashtray, or other Smoking waste receptacle shall be placed in any area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

B. No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

C. Persons owning or occupying property are responsible for maintaining the premises, including the perimeter and the sidewalk in front of their premises, free of loose litter, in accordance with Section 9.18.215.

CD. The presence of Smoking waste receptacles in violation of subsection A. above or the absence of signs shall not be a defense to a violation of any provision of this chapter.

DE. Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. (Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.050 Posting of Signs.

Where ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited by this chapter, a clear conspicuous sign shall be posted at a conspicuous point within the area. The sign shall have letters of no less than one inch in height and shall include, either the international “No Smoking” symbol (consisting of a pictorial representation of a burning cigarette enclosed in a red circle with a red bar across it) or “No Smoking” in words. **Signs are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence.** Notwithstanding this provision, the presence or absence of signs shall not be a defense to a charge of ~~s~~Smoking in violation of any other provision of this chapter.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 4, 2014)

10.90.060 Violation - Penalty.

A. The remedies provided by this article are cumulative and in addition to any other remedies available at law or in equity. Except as otherwise provided, enforcement of this chapter is at the sole discretion of the City of Cupertino. Nothing in this chapter shall create a right of action in any person against the City of Cupertino or its agents to compel public enforcement of this article against any party.

B. Any person who violates any of the provisions of this chapter shall be guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished as provided in Chapter 1.12 or, in the alternative, subject to enforcement action pursuant to Chapter 1.10: Administrative Citations, Fines, and Penalties.

C. Any violation of this chapter is hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

D. In addition to other remedies provided by this chapter or otherwise available at law or in equity, any violation of this chapter may be remedied by a civil action brought by the city attorney, including, without limitation, administrative or judicial nuisance abatement proceedings, civil code enforcement proceedings, and suits for injunctive relief.

E. Any person may bring a civil action to enforce this chapter to prevent future violations and may sue to recover actual or statutory damages, including court costs, and attorney fees.

F. Owners, operators, property managers, and officers of homeowners’ associations for residential properties, whether rental or owner-occupied, are required to post signs in accordance with Section 10.90.050 and provide notice to residents or tenants of the requirements of this Chapter. Owners, operators, and property managers of rental property must include the requirements of Section 10.90.030(G) in the lease or other rental agreement. If the owners, operators, property managers, and officers of rental property and homeowners’ associations for residential properties have

Ordinance No. _____

Page 9

satisfied these requirements, they shall not be responsible for violations of the requirements of this chapter by tenants or residents, or guests of tenants or residents.

G. An owner, operator, or manager ("owner") of a commercial establishment shall not be responsible for violations of this chapter within an area under owner's control, by a patron or other member of the public ("patron"); provided, that the owner:

1. Has posted signs in accordance with this chapter; and

2. Has verbally asked the patron not to Smoke.

This limitation shall not limit the liability of an employer for the actions of employees in places of employment, or any other violation of this chapter by the employer.

10.90.070 Nonretaliation

No Person or Employer shall discharge, refuse to hire on, or in any manner retaliate against any Employee or applicant for employment because such Employee or applicant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter. No Person or landlord shall terminate a tenancy, or modify the terms of a tenancy, or in any manner retaliate against any tenant because such tenant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter.

1340813.1

ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO
AMENDING CITY CODE CHAPTER 10.90 OF TITLE 10 (PUBLIC PEACE, SAFETY,
AND MORALS) TO PROHIBIT SMOKING IN MULTI-UNIT HOUSING AND
CERTAIN OUTDOOR AREAS**

The City Council of the City of Cupertino finds that:

1. The purpose of this Chapter is to: (a) To protect public health, safety, and general welfare by prohibiting smoking in multi-unit housing, public places, recreational areas, service areas, outdoor dining and various other locations set forth in this chapter; (b) To reduce litter, waste and pollution; and (c) To reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, which has been shown to cause negative health effects.
2. The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that there is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke and the California Air Resources Board identified secondhand smoke as a toxic air contaminant for which there is no safe level of exposure.
3. Secondhand smoke is responsible for an estimated 34,000 heart disease-related and 7,300 lung cancer-related deaths among adult nonsmokers each year.
4. In children, secondhand smoke causes ear infections, more frequent and severe asthma attacks, respiratory infections, and increases the risk of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).
5. Exposure to electronic smoking device aerosol has immediate impacts on the human respiratory and cardiovascular system and poses a risk to human health.
6. Secondhand cannabis smoke has been identified as a health hazard; the California Environmental Protection Agency includes cannabis smoking on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer.
7. Studies have shown that exposure to secondhand smoke outdoors can reach levels attained indoors depending on the amount of wind and number and proximity of smokers.

8. Research demonstrates that secondhand smoke in multi-unit housing can and does transfer between units, creeping under doorways and through wall cracks.
9. According to the County, close to one-third (29%) of adults who live in multi-unit housing in the County reported smelling tobacco smoke drifting into their home in the previous week. The rate of secondhand smoke exposure was higher among those with less than a high school diploma (38%) and adults with household incomes less than \$15,000 (36%).
10. Harmful residues from tobacco smoke can be absorbed by and cling to virtually all indoor surfaces long after smoking has stopped and then be emitted back into the air, making this “thirdhand smoke” a potential health hazard.
11. California cities and counties have the legal authority to adopt local laws that prohibit all tobacco use indoors and outdoors in areas not already covered by state law.
12. State law allows local governments to adopt ordinances that permit residential rental agreements to prohibit smoking tobacco products within rental units.
13. State law prohibits smoking within 25 feet of playgrounds as well as within 20 feet of government buildings and expressly authorizes local communities to enact additional restrictions.
14. Cupertino prohibits smoking in recreational areas owned or operated by the City; outdoor dining areas; at entrances and exits of places where food and drink is served; and within 25 feet of these areas.
15. The City Council of the City of Cupertino held a duly noticed public meeting on March 2, 2021, and after considering all testimony and written materials provided in connection with that meeting introduced this ordinance and waived the reading thereof.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE OF CITY OF CUPERTINO
DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. Adoption.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 3

The Cupertino Municipal Code is hereby amended as set forth in Attachment A.

SECTION 2: Severability and Continuity.

The City Council declares that each section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance is severable and independent of every other section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance. If any section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held invalid, or its application to any person or circumstance, be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable or otherwise void, the City Council declares that it would have adopted the remaining provisions of this ordinance irrespective of such portion, and further declares its express intent that the remaining portions of this ordinance should remain in effect after the invalid portion has been eliminated. To the extent the provisions of this Ordinance are substantially the same as previous provisions of the Cupertino Municipal Code, these provisions shall be construed as continuations of those provisions and not as an amendment to or readoption of the earlier provisions.

SECTION 3: California Environmental Quality Act.

This Ordinance is not a project under the requirements of the California Quality Act of 1970, together with related State CEQA Guidelines (collectively, "CEQA") because it has no potential for resulting in physical change in the environment, either directly or ultimately. In the event that this Ordinance is found to be a project under CEQA, it is subject to the CEQA exemption contained in CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty to have no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential of causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. In this circumstance, the amendments to the City Code would have no or only a de minimis impact on the environment. The foregoing determination is made by the City Council in its independent judgment.

SECTION 4: Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect thirty days after adoption as provided by Government Code Section 36937. However, the Ordinance's requirements shall not become operative until October 1, 2021, which means that the City, or its designee, will not begin to enforce the provisions and penalties under the Ordinance until October 1, 2021.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 4

SECTION 5: Publication.

The City Clerk shall give notice of adoption of this Ordinance as required by law. Pursuant to Government Code Section 36933, a summary of this Ordinance may be prepared by the City Clerk and published in lieu of publication of the entire text. The City Clerk shall post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of the Ordinance listing the names of the City Council members voting for and against the ordinance.

INTRODUCED at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on March 2nd, 2021 and **ENACTED** at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on April 20th, 2021 by the following vote:

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	_____ Date
ATTEST: _____ Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	_____ Date
APPROVED AS TO FORM: _____ Heather Minner, City Attorney	_____ Date

Attachment A – An ordinance to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas

The sections of the Cupertino Municipal Code set forth below are amended or adopted as follows:

*Text added to existing provisions is shown in bold double-underlined text (**example**) and text to be deleted is shown in strikethrough (~~example~~). Text in existing provisions is not amended or readopted by this Ordinance. Text in italics is explanatory and is not an amendment to the Code.*

Where the explanatory text indicates that a new section is being added to the City Code, the new section is shown in plain text.

1. Amendments to Article 10.90 concerning Regulation of Smoking

10.90.010 Definitions.

The following words and phrases, whenever used in this chapter, shall have the meanings defined in this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

~~A. “Outdoor Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.~~

A. “Business” means any sole proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, corporation, association, landlord, or other entity formed for profit-making purposes. A Business also includes owner-operated entities with no Employees in which the owner is the only worker.

B. “Common Area” means every area of a Multi-unit Residence that residents of more than one unit are entitled to enter or use, including, but not limited to, halls, pathways, lobbies, courtyards, elevators, stairs, community rooms, playgrounds, gym facilities, swimming pools, parking garages, parking lots, grassy or landscaped areas, restrooms, laundry rooms, cooking areas, and eating areas.

C. “Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public or an employee and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.

D. “Electronic smoking device” means any device that may be used to deliver any aerosolized or vaporized substance to the person inhaling from the device, including, but not limited to, an e-cigarette, e-cigar, e-pipe, vape pen, or e-hookah.

E. "Employee" means any Person who is employed or retained as an independent contractor by any Employer in consideration for direct or indirect monetary wages or profit, or any Person who volunteers his or her services for an Employer.

F. "Employer" means any Business or Nonprofit Entity that retains the service of one or more Employees.

G. "Enclosed area" means all space between a floor and a ceiling that is bounded by walls, doorways, or windows, whether open or closed, covering more than 50 percent of the combined surface area of the vertical planes constituting the perimeter of the area. A wall includes any retractable divider, garage door, or other physical barrier, whether temporary or permanent.

H. "Landlord" means any person or agent of a person who owns, manages, or is otherwise legally responsible for a unit in a Multi-unit Residence that is leased to a residential tenant. For purposes of this ordinance, a tenant who sublets their unit (e.g., a sublessor) is not a landlord.

I. "Multi-unit Residence" means property containing two or more attached units, including, but not limited to, apartment buildings, condominiums, duplexes and triplexes, senior and assisted living facilities, and long-term health care facilities. Multi-unit Residences do not include the following:

1. a hotel or motel that meets the requirements of California Civil Code section 1940(b)(2);
2. a mobile home park;
3. a campground;
4. a marina or port;
5. a detached single-family home, except if used as a health care facility subject to licensing requirements; and
6. a detached single-family home with ~~a an attached or~~ detached accessory dwelling unit or second unit.

J. "Nonprofit Entity" means any entity that meets the requirements of California Corporations Code section 5003 as well as any corporation, unincorporated association, or other entity created for charitable, religious, philanthropic, educational, political, social, or similar purposes, the net proceeds of which are committed to the promotion of the objectives or purposes of the entity and not to private gain. A government agency is not a Nonprofit Entity within the meaning of this chapter.

K. "Nonsmoking Area" means any area in which smoking is prohibited by

1. this chapter or other law;
2. binding agreement relating to the ownership, occupancy, or use of real property; or

3. a person with legal control over the area.

L. "Person" means any natural person, partnership, cooperative association, corporation, personal representative, receiver, trustee, assignee, or any other legal entity, including government agencies.

M. "Place of Employment" means any area under the legal or de facto control of an Employer that an Employee or the general public may have cause to enter in the normal course of the operations, regardless of the hours of operation.

N. "Public Place" means any place, publicly or privately owned, which is open to the general public regardless of any fee or age requirement.

O. ~~B.~~ "Reasonable distance" means a distance of ~~25~~30 feet in any direction from an area in which smoking is prohibited.

P. ~~C.~~ "Recreational Area" means any outdoor area, including streets and sidewalks adjacent to Recreational ~~a~~Areas, owned or operated by the City of Cupertino and open to the general public for recreational purposes, regardless of any fee or age requirement. The term "Recreational Area" includes, but is not limited to parks, picnic areas, playgrounds, sports fields, golf courses, walking paths, gardens, hiking trails, bike paths, horseback riding trails, swimming pools, roller-skating rinks, and skateboard parks, and parking lot or other area designated or primarily used for parking vehicles of persons accessing a Recreational Area.

Q. "Service Area" means any publicly or privately owned area, including streets and sidewalks, that is designed to be used or is regularly used by one or more Persons to receive a service, wait to receive a service, or to make a transaction, whether or not such service or transaction includes the exchange of money. The term "Service Area" includes, but is not limited to, areas including or adjacent to information kiosks, automatic teller machines (ATMs), ticket lines, bus stops or shelters, mobile vendor lines, or cab stands.

R. ~~D.~~ "Smoke" means the gases, particles, or vapors released into the air as a result of combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization, when the apparent or usual purpose of the combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization is human inhalation of the byproducts, except when the combusting or vaporizing material contains no tobacco or nicotine and the purpose of inhalation is solely olfactory, such as, for example, smoke from incense. The term "Smoke" includes, but is not limited to, tobacco smoke, vapors from an electronic smoking device, and marijuana smoke.

S. ~~E.~~ "Smoking" means: ~~engaging in an act that generates Smoke, such as for example: possessing a lighted pipe, lighted hookah pipe, a lighted cigar, or a lighted cigarette of any kind; or, or lighting or igniting of a pipe, cigar, hookah pipe, or cigarette of any kind.~~

1. inhaling, exhaling, or burning, any tobacco, nicotine, cannabis, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic;
2. carrying any lighted, heated, or activated tobacco, nicotine, marijuana, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic, intended for inhalation; or
3. using an "electronic smoking device."

T. "Unenclosed Area" means any area that is not an enclosed area.

U. "Unit" means a personal dwelling space, even one lacking cooking facilities or private plumbing facilities, and includes any associated exclusive-use area, such as a private balcony, porch, deck, or patio. "Unit" includes, without limitation, an apartment; a condominium; a townhouse; a room in a senior facility; a room in a long-term health care facility, assisted living facility, community care facility, or hospital; a room in a hotel or motel; a dormitory room; a room in a single-room occupancy facility; a room in a homeless shelter; a mobile home; a camper vehicle or tent; a single-family home; and an accessory dwelling unit or second unit.

10.90.20 Smoking Prohibited.

A. Smoking is prohibited in the following Enclosed Areas:

1. In Recreational Areas ~~Places of Employment; and~~
2. In Outdoor Dining Areas ~~Public Places;~~ and
3. At entrances, exits, operable windows, or air intake openings of any building area which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for selling or consuming food or drink.

B. Smoking is prohibited by this chapter in all Enclosed Areas exempted by the California workplace law (Labor Code section 6404.5(d), as that section may be amended from time to time) except as provided below.

1. Smoking at theatrical production sites is not prohibited by this subsection if the theater general manager certifies that smoking is an essential part of the story and the use of a fake, prop, or special effect cannot reasonably convey the idea of smoking in an effective way to a reasonable member of the anticipated audience. This exception will not apply if minors are performers within the production;
2. Smoking is not restricted by this subsection in up to twenty percent (20%) of guest room accommodations in a hotel, motel, or similar transient lodging establishment.

C. Smoking is prohibited in the following Unenclosed Areas:

1. Places of Employment;

2. ~~In~~ Recreational Areas;
3. ~~In~~ Outdoor Dining Areas;
4. Service Areas; and
5. Public Places when being used for a public event, including a farmer's market, parade, craft fair, or any event which may be open to or attended by the general public, provided that Smoking is permitted on streets and sidewalks being used in a traditional capacity as pedestrian or vehicular thoroughfares, unless otherwise prohibited by this chapter or other law.

D. ~~B.~~ Reasonable Smoking Distance Required.

1. Smoking in all Unenclosed Areas shall be prohibited within a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening, crack, or vent into an Enclosed Area in which smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which Smoking is prohibited.
2. ~~1.~~ Smoking in all ~~u~~Unenclosed a~~A~~reas is prohibited within a ~~r~~Reasonable Distance from any ~~u~~Unenclosed a~~A~~reas in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited.
3. ~~2.~~ The ~~s~~Smoking prohibitions in Section 10.90.020B.1 shall not apply to ~~u~~Unenclosed a~~A~~reas of private residential properties that are not Multi-unit Residences.

E. ~~C.~~ Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to prohibit Smoking in any area in which such Smoking is already prohibited by state or federal law unless the applicable state or federal law does not preempt additional local regulation.

F. ~~D.~~ No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

G. ~~E.~~ Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 3, 2014; Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.30 ~~Other Requirements and Prohibitions~~ Multi-unit Housing

- A. Beginning October 1, 2021, smoking is prohibited and no person shall smoke inside any new or existing unit of a Multi-unit Residence, in any enclosed or

unenclosed Common Area of a Multi-unit Residence, or within a Reasonable Distance of any operable doorway, window, opening, or vent of a Multi-unit Residence.

- B. Smoking is prohibited in Multi-unit Residences as provided in subsection (A) of this section, except that a person with legal control over a Common Area, or authorized representative, may designate a portion of the common area as a designated smoking area; provided, that at all times the designated smoking area complies with subsection (C) of this section.
- C. Designated Smoking Areas in Multi-unit Residences. A designated smoking area shall:
1. Be an Unenclosed Area;
 2. Be a Reasonable Distance from Unenclosed Areas primarily used by children and unenclosed areas with improvements that facilitate physical activity including, for example, playgrounds, tennis courts, swimming pools, and school campuses;
 3. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area that is located at the Multi-unit Residence and is a Nonsmoking Area;
 4. Have a clearly marked perimeter;
 5. Have a receptacle for cigarette butts that is emptied and maintained;
and
 6. Be identified by conspicuous signs.
- D. Smoking and the use of Electronic Smoking Devices is prohibited in adjacent unenclosed property within a Reasonable Distance in any direction of any doorway, window, opening, or other vent into an enclosed area of a Multi-unit Residence.
- E. Common Areas Free from Smoking Waste. Persons with legal control over common areas in Multi-unit Residences, and their authorized representatives, shall ensure that all Common Areas except those meeting the requirements of subsection (C) of this section remain free of Smoking and tobacco waste, and ash trays, ash cans, or other receptacles designed for or primarily used for disposal of smoking and tobacco waste.
- F. Signage. "No smoking" signs shall be posted as required by Section 10.90.050 of this chapter, but are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence. Signs shall be maintained by the person or persons with legal control over the common areas or the authorized representative of such person.

G. Lease Terms. Every lease or other rental agreement for the occupancy of a new or existing unit in a Multi-unit Residence entered into, renewed, or continued month-to-month after October 1, 2021 shall include the following:

- 1. A clause providing that it is a material breach of the agreement to Smoke or allow Smoking:**
 - a. in the Unit, including exclusive-use areas such as balconies, porches, or patios; and**
 - b. in any Common Area of the Multi-unit Residence other than a designated Smoking area.**
- 2. A description of and/or image depicting the location(s) of any designated Smoking area(s) on the property, if any.**
- 3. A clause expressly conveying third-party beneficiary status to all occupants of the Multi-unit Residence as to the Smoking provisions of the lease or other rental agreement. Such a clause shall provide that any tenant of the Multi-unit Residence may sue another tenant/owner to enforce the Smoking provisions of the agreement but that no tenant shall have the right to evict another tenant for a breach of the Smoking provisions of the agreement.**

H. Whether or not a landlord complies with subsection (G) of this section, the clauses required by that subsection shall be implied and incorporated by law into every agreement to which subsection (G) of this section applies and shall become effective as of the earliest possible date on which the landlord could have made the insertions pursuant to subsection (G) of this section.

10.90.040 Posting of Signs Other Requirements and Prohibitions.

A. No ash can, ashtray, or other Smoking waste receptacle shall be placed in any area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

B. No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

C. Persons owning or occupying property are responsible for maintaining the premises, including the perimeter and the sidewalk in front of their premises, free of loose litter, in accordance with Section 9.18.215.

CD. The presence of Smoking waste receptacles in violation of subsection A. above or the absence of signs shall not be a defense to a violation of any provision of this chapter.

DE. Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. (Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.050 Posting of Signs.

Where ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited by this chapter, a clear conspicuous sign shall be posted at a conspicuous point within the area. The sign shall have letters of no less than one inch in height and shall include, either the international “No Smoking” symbol (consisting of a pictorial representation of a burning cigarette enclosed in a red circle with a red bar across it) or “No Smoking” in words. **Signs are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence.** Notwithstanding this provision, the presence or absence of signs shall not be a defense to a charge of ~~s~~Smoking in violation of any other provision of this chapter.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 4, 2014)

10.90.060 Violation - Penalty.

A. The remedies provided by this article are cumulative and in addition to any other remedies available at law or in equity. Except as otherwise provided, enforcement of this chapter is at the sole discretion of the City of Cupertino. Nothing in this chapter shall create a right of action in any person against the City of Cupertino or its agents to compel public enforcement of this article against any party.

B. Any person who violates any of the provisions of this chapter shall be guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished as provided in Chapter 1.12 or, in the alternative, subject to enforcement action pursuant to Chapter 1.10: Administrative Citations, Fines, and Penalties.

C. Any violation of this chapter is hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

D. In addition to other remedies provided by this chapter or otherwise available at law or in equity, any violation of this chapter may be remedied by a civil action brought by the city attorney, including, without limitation, administrative or judicial nuisance abatement proceedings, civil code enforcement proceedings, and suits for injunctive relief.

E. Any person may bring a civil action to enforce this chapter to prevent future violations and may sue to recover actual or statutory damages, including court costs, and attorney fees.

F. Owners, operators, property managers, and officers of homeowners’ associations for residential properties, whether rental or owner-occupied, are required to post signs in accordance with Section 10.90.050 and provide notice to residents or tenants of the requirements of this Chapter. Owners, operators, and property managers of rental property must include the requirements of Section 10.90.030(G) in the lease or other rental agreement. If the owners, operators, property managers, and officers of rental property and homeowners’ associations for residential properties have

Ordinance No. _____

Page 9

satisfied these requirements, they shall not be responsible for violations of the requirements of this chapter by tenants or residents, or guests of tenants or residents.

G. An owner, operator, or manager ("owner") of a commercial establishment shall not be responsible for violations of this chapter within an area under owner's control, by a patron or other member of the public ("patron"); provided, that the owner:

1. Has posted signs in accordance with this chapter; and

2. Has verbally asked the patron not to Smoke.

This limitation shall not limit the liability of an employer for the actions of employees in places of employment, or any other violation of this chapter by the employer.

10.90.070 Nonretaliation

No Person or Employer shall discharge, refuse to hire on, or in any manner retaliate against any Employee or applicant for employment because such Employee or applicant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter. No Person or landlord shall terminate a tenancy, or modify the terms of a tenancy, or in any manner retaliate against any tenant because such tenant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter.

1340813.1

ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO
AMENDING CITY CODE CHAPTER 10.90 OF TITLE 10 (PUBLIC PEACE, SAFETY,
AND MORALS) TO PROHIBIT SMOKING IN MULTI-UNIT HOUSING AND
CERTAIN OUTDOOR AREAS**

The City Council of the City of Cupertino finds that:

1. The purpose of this Chapter is to: (a) To protect public health, safety, and general welfare by prohibiting smoking in multi-unit housing, public places, recreational areas, service areas, outdoor dining and various other locations set forth in this chapter; (b) To reduce litter, waste and pollution; and (c) To reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, which has been shown to cause negative health effects.
2. The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that there is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke and the California Air Resources Board identified secondhand smoke as a toxic air contaminant for which there is no safe level of exposure.
3. Secondhand smoke is responsible for an estimated 34,000 heart disease-related and 7,300 lung cancer-related deaths among adult nonsmokers each year.
4. In children, secondhand smoke causes ear infections, more frequent and severe asthma attacks, respiratory infections, and increases the risk of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).
5. Exposure to electronic smoking device aerosol has immediate impacts on the human respiratory and cardiovascular system and poses a risk to human health.
6. Secondhand cannabis smoke has been identified as a health hazard; the California Environmental Protection Agency includes cannabis smoking on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer.
7. Studies have shown that exposure to secondhand smoke outdoors can reach levels attained indoors depending on the amount of wind and number and proximity of smokers.

8. Research demonstrates that secondhand smoke in multi-unit housing can and does transfer between units, creeping under doorways and through wall cracks.
9. According to the County, close to one-third (29%) of adults who live in multi-unit housing in the County reported smelling tobacco smoke drifting into their home in the previous week. The rate of secondhand smoke exposure was higher among those with less than a high school diploma (38%) and adults with household incomes less than \$15,000 (36%).
10. Harmful residues from tobacco smoke can be absorbed by and cling to virtually all indoor surfaces long after smoking has stopped and then be emitted back into the air, making this “thirdhand smoke” a potential health hazard.
11. California cities and counties have the legal authority to adopt local laws that prohibit all tobacco use indoors and outdoors in areas not already covered by state law.
12. State law allows local governments to adopt ordinances that permit residential rental agreements to prohibit smoking tobacco products within rental units.
13. State law prohibits smoking within 25 feet of playgrounds as well as within 20 feet of government buildings and expressly authorizes local communities to enact additional restrictions.
14. Cupertino prohibits smoking in recreational areas owned or operated by the City; outdoor dining areas; at entrances and exits of places where food and drink is served; and within 25 feet of these areas.
15. The City Council of the City of Cupertino held a duly noticed public meeting on March 2, 2021, and after considering all testimony and written materials provided in connection with that meeting introduced this ordinance and waived the reading thereof.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE OF CITY OF CUPERTINO
DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. Adoption.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 3

The Cupertino Municipal Code is hereby amended as set forth in Attachment A.

SECTION 2: Severability and Continuity.

The City Council declares that each section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance is severable and independent of every other section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance. If any section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held invalid, or its application to any person or circumstance, be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable or otherwise void, the City Council declares that it would have adopted the remaining provisions of this ordinance irrespective of such portion, and further declares its express intent that the remaining portions of this ordinance should remain in effect after the invalid portion has been eliminated. To the extent the provisions of this Ordinance are substantially the same as previous provisions of the Cupertino Municipal Code, these provisions shall be construed as continuations of those provisions and not as an amendment to or readoption of the earlier provisions.

SECTION 3: California Environmental Quality Act.

This Ordinance is not a project under the requirements of the California Quality Act of 1970, together with related State CEQA Guidelines (collectively, "CEQA") because it has no potential for resulting in physical change in the environment, either directly or ultimately. In the event that this Ordinance is found to be a project under CEQA, it is subject to the CEQA exemption contained in CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty to have no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential of causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. In this circumstance, the amendments to the City Code would have no or only a de minimis impact on the environment. The foregoing determination is made by the City Council in its independent judgment.

SECTION 4: Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect thirty days after adoption as provided by Government Code Section 36937. However, the Ordinance's requirements shall not become operative until October 1, 2021, which means that the City, or its designee, will not begin to enforce the provisions and penalties under the Ordinance until October 1, 2021.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 4

SECTION 5: Publication.

The City Clerk shall give notice of adoption of this Ordinance as required by law. Pursuant to Government Code Section 36933, a summary of this Ordinance may be prepared by the City Clerk and published in lieu of publication of the entire text. The City Clerk shall post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of the Ordinance listing the names of the City Council members voting for and against the ordinance.

INTRODUCED at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on April 20th, 2021 and **ENACTED** at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on May 4th, 2021 by the following vote:

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	 _____ Date
ATTEST: _____ Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	 _____ Date
APPROVED AS TO FORM: _____ Heather Minner, City Attorney	 _____ Date

Attachment A – An ordinance to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas

The sections of the Cupertino Municipal Code set forth below are amended or adopted as follows:

*Text added to existing provisions is shown in bold double-underlined text (**example**) and text to be deleted is shown in strikethrough (~~example~~). Text in existing provisions is not amended or readopted by this Ordinance. Text in italics is explanatory and is not an amendment to the Code.*

Where the explanatory text indicates that a new section is being added to the City Code, the new section is shown in plain text.

1. Amendments to Article 10.90 concerning Regulation of Smoking

10.90.010 Definitions.

The following words and phrases, whenever used in this chapter, shall have the meanings defined in this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

~~A. “Outdoor Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.~~

A. “Business” means any sole proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, corporation, association, landlord, or other entity formed for profit-making purposes. A Business also includes owner-operated entities with no Employees in which the owner is the only worker.

B. “Common Area” means every area of a Multi-unit Residence that residents of more than one unit are entitled to enter or use, including, but not limited to, halls, pathways, lobbies, courtyards, elevators, stairs, community rooms, playgrounds, gym facilities, swimming pools, parking garages, parking lots, grassy or landscaped areas, restrooms, laundry rooms, cooking areas, and eating areas.

C. “Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public or an employee and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.

D. “Electronic smoking device” means any device that may be used to deliver any aerosolized or vaporized substance to the person inhaling from the device, including, but not limited to, an e-cigarette, e-cigar, e-pipe, vape pen, or e-hookah.

- E. "Employee" means any Person who is employed or retained as an independent contractor by any Employer in consideration for direct or indirect monetary wages or profit, or any Person who volunteers his or her services for an Employer.
- F. "Employer" means any Business or Nonprofit Entity that retains the service of one or more Employees.
- G. "Enclosed area" means all space between a floor and a ceiling that is bounded by walls, doorways, or windows, whether open or closed, covering more than 50 percent of the combined surface area of the vertical planes constituting the perimeter of the area. A wall includes any retractable divider, garage door, or other physical barrier, whether temporary or permanent.
- H. "Landlord" means any person or agent of a person who owns, manages, or is otherwise legally responsible for a unit in a Multi-unit Residence that is leased to a residential tenant. For purposes of this ordinance, a tenant who sublets their unit (e.g., a sublessor) is not a landlord.
- I. "Multi-unit Residence" means property containing two or more attached units, including, but not limited to, apartment buildings, condominiums, duplexes and triplexes, attached single-family homes, senior and assisted living facilities, and long-term health care facilities. "Multi-unit Residence" also includes single-family homes with an attached or detached accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit. "Multi-unit Residences" do not include the following:
1. a hotel or motel that meets the requirements of California Civil Code section 1940(b)(2);
 2. a mobile home park;
 3. a campground;
 4. a marina or port; and
 5. a detached single-family home without an attached or detached accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit.
- J. "Nonprofit Entity" means any entity that meets the requirements of California Corporations Code section 5003 as well as any corporation, unincorporated association, or other entity created for charitable, religious, philanthropic, educational, political, social, or similar purposes, the net proceeds of which are committed to the promotion of the objectives or purposes of the entity and not to private gain. A government agency is not a Nonprofit Entity within the meaning of this chapter.
- K. "Nonsmoking Area" means any area in which smoking is prohibited by
1. this chapter or other law;

2. binding agreement relating to the ownership, occupancy, or use of real property; or

3. a person with legal control over the area.

L. "Person" means any natural person, partnership, cooperative association, corporation, personal representative, receiver, trustee, assignee, or any other legal entity, including government agencies.

M. "Place of Employment" means any area under the legal or de facto control of an Employer that an Employee or the general public may have cause to enter in the normal course of the operations, regardless of the hours of operation.

N. "Public Place" means any place, publicly or privately owned, which is open to the general public regardless of any fee or age requirement.

O.—B. "Reasonable distance" means a distance of ~~25~~30 feet in any direction from an area in which smoking is prohibited.

P. ~~€.~~ "Recreational Area" means any outdoor area, including streets and sidewalks adjacent to Recreational ~~a~~Areas, owned or operated by the City of Cupertino and open to the general public for recreational purposes, regardless of any fee or age requirement. The term "Recreational Area" includes, but is not limited to parks, picnic areas, playgrounds, sports fields, golf courses, walking paths, gardens, hiking trails, bike paths, horseback riding trails, swimming pools, roller-skating rinks, and skateboard parks, and parking lot or other area designated or primarily used for parking vehicles of persons accessing a Recreational Area.

Q. "Service Area" means any publicly or privately owned area, including streets and sidewalks, that is designed to be used or is regularly used by one or more Persons to receive a service, wait to receive a service, or to make a transaction, whether or not such service or transaction includes the exchange of money. The term "Service Area" includes, but is not limited to, areas including or adjacent to information kiosks, automatic teller machines (ATMs), ticket lines, bus stops or shelters, mobile vendor lines, or cab stands.

R. ~~D.~~ "Smoke" means the gases, particles, or vapors released into the air as a result of combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization, when the apparent or usual purpose of the combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization is human inhalation of the byproducts, except when the combusting or vaporizing material contains no tobacco or nicotine and the purpose of inhalation is solely olfactory, such as, for example, smoke from incense. The term "Smoke" includes, but is not limited to, tobacco smoke, vapors from an electronic smoking device, and marijuana smoke.

S. ~~E.~~ "Smoking" means: ~~engaging in an act that generates Smoke, such as for example: possessing a lighted pipe, lighted hookah pipe, a lighted cigar, or a lighted~~

cigarette of any kind; or; or lighting or igniting of a pipe, cigar, hookah pipe, or cigarette of any kind.

1. inhaling, exhaling, or burning, any tobacco, nicotine, cannabis, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic;
2. carrying any lighted, heated, or activated tobacco, nicotine, marijuana, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic, intended for inhalation; or
3. using an "electronic smoking device."

T. "Unenclosed Area" means any area that is not an enclosed area.

U. "Unit" means a personal dwelling space, even one lacking cooking facilities or private plumbing facilities, and includes any associated exclusive-use area, such as a private balcony, porch, deck, or patio. "Unit" includes, without limitation, an apartment; a condominium; a townhouse; a room in a senior facility; a room in a long-term health care facility, assisted living facility, community care facility, or hospital; a room in a hotel or motel; a dormitory room; a room in a single-room occupancy facility; a room in a homeless shelter; a mobile home; a camper vehicle or tent; a single-family home; and an accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit.

10.90.20 Smoking Prohibited.

A. Smoking is prohibited in the following Enclosed Areas:

1. ~~In Recreational Areas~~ Places of Employment; and
2. ~~In Outdoor Dining Areas~~ Public Places; and
3. ~~At entrances, exits, operable windows, or air intake openings of any building area which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for selling or consuming food or drink.~~

B. Smoking is prohibited by this chapter in all Enclosed Areas exempted by the California workplace law (Labor Code section 6404.5(d), as that section may be amended from time to time) except as provided below.

1. Smoking at theatrical production sites is not prohibited by this subsection if the theater general manager certifies that smoking is an essential part of the story and the use of a fake, prop, or special effect cannot reasonably convey the idea of smoking in an effective way to a reasonable member of the anticipated audience. This exception will not apply if minors are performers within the production;
2. Smoking is not restricted by this subsection in up to twenty percent (20%) of guest room accommodations in a hotel, motel, or similar transient lodging establishment.

C. Smoking is prohibited in the following Unenclosed Areas:

1. **Places of Employment;**
2. ~~In~~ Recreational Areas;
3. ~~In Outdoor~~ Dining Areas;
4. **Service Areas; and**
5. **Public Places when being used for a public event, including a farmer's market, parade, craft fair, or any event which may be open to or attended by the general public, provided that Smoking is permitted on streets and sidewalks being used in a traditional capacity as pedestrian or vehicular thoroughfares, unless otherwise prohibited by this chapter or other law.**

D. ~~B.~~ Reasonable Smoking Distance Required.

1. **Smoking in all Unenclosed Areas shall be prohibited within a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening, crack, or vent into an Enclosed Area in which smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which Smoking is prohibited.**
2. ~~1.~~ Smoking in all ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas is prohibited within a ~~r~~Reasonable Distance from any ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited.
3. ~~2.~~ The ~~s~~Smoking prohibitions in Section 10.90.020B.1 shall not apply to ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas of private residential properties **that are not Multi-unit Residences.**

E. ~~C.~~ Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to prohibit Smoking in any area in which such Smoking is already prohibited by state or federal law unless the applicable state or federal law does not preempt additional local regulation.

F. ~~D.~~ No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

G. ~~E.~~ Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 3, 2014; Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.30 ~~Other Requirements and Prohibitions~~ Multi-unit Housing

- A. Beginning October 1, 2021, smoking is prohibited and no person shall smoke inside any new or existing unit of a Multi-unit Residence, in any enclosed or unenclosed Common Area of a Multi-unit Residence, or within a Reasonable Distance of any operable doorway, window, opening, or vent of a Multi-unit Residence.
- B. Smoking is prohibited in Multi-unit Residences as provided in subsection (A) of this section, except that a person with legal control over a Common Area, or authorized representative, may designate a portion of the common area as a designated smoking area; provided, that at all times the designated smoking area complies with subsection (C) of this section.
- C. Designated Smoking Areas in Multi-unit Residences. A designated smoking area shall:
 - 1. Be an Unenclosed Area;
 - 2. Be a Reasonable Distance from Unenclosed Areas primarily used by children and unenclosed areas with improvements that facilitate physical activity including, for example, playgrounds, tennis courts, swimming pools, and school campuses;
 - 3. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area that is located at the Multi-unit Residence and is a Nonsmoking Area;
 - 4. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from a Nonsmoking Area and/or any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area of adjacent private property;
 - 5. Have a clearly marked perimeter;
 - 6. Have a receptacle for cigarette butts that is emptied and maintained;
and
 - 7. Be identified by conspicuous signs.
- D. Smoking and the use of Electronic Smoking Devices is prohibited in adjacent unenclosed property within a Reasonable Distance in any direction of any doorway, window, opening, or other vent into an enclosed area of a Multi-unit Residence.
- E. Common Areas Free from Smoking Waste. Persons with legal control over common areas in Multi-unit Residences, and their authorized representatives, shall ensure that all Common Areas except those meeting the requirements of subsection (C) of this section remain free of Smoking and tobacco waste, and ash trays, ash cans, or other receptacles designed for or primarily used for disposal of smoking and tobacco waste.

- F. Signage. "No smoking" signs shall be posted as required by Section 10.90.050 of this chapter, but are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence. Signs shall be maintained by the person or persons with legal control over the common areas or the authorized representative of such person.
- G. Lease Terms. Every lease or other rental agreement for the occupancy of a new or existing unit in a Multi-unit Residence entered into, renewed, or continued month-to-month after October 1, 2021 shall include the following:
1. A clause providing that it is a material breach of the agreement to Smoke or allow Smoking:
 - a. in the Unit, including exclusive-use areas such as balconies, porches, or patios; and
 - b. in any Common Area of the Multi-unit Residence other than a designated Smoking area.
 2. A description of and/or image depicting the location(s) of any designated Smoking area(s) on the property, if any.
 3. A clause expressly conveying third-party beneficiary status to all occupants of the Multi-unit Residence as to the Smoking provisions of the lease or other rental agreement. Such a clause shall provide that any tenant of the Multi-unit Residence may sue another tenant/owner to enforce the Smoking provisions of the agreement but that no tenant shall have the right to evict another tenant for a breach of the Smoking provisions of the agreement.
- H. Whether or not a landlord complies with subsection (G) of this section, the clauses required by that subsection shall be implied and incorporated by law into every agreement to which subsection (G) of this section applies and shall become effective as of the earliest possible date on which the landlord could have made the insertions pursuant to subsection (G) of this section.

10.90.040 ~~Posting of Signs~~ Other Requirements and Prohibitions.

A. No ash can, ashtray, or other Smoking waste receptacle shall be placed in any area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

B. No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

C. Persons owning or occupying property are responsible for maintaining the premises, including the perimeter and the sidewalk in front of their premises, free of loose litter, in accordance with Section 9.18.215.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 8

CD. The presence of Smoking waste receptacles in violation of subsection A. above or the absence of signs shall not be a defense to a violation of any provision of this chapter.

DE. Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. (Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.050 Posting of Signs.

Where sSmoking is prohibited by this chapter, a clear conspicuous sign shall be posted at a conspicuous point within the area. The sign shall have letters of no less than one inch in height and shall include, either the international "No Smoking" symbol (consisting of a pictorial representation of a burning cigarette enclosed in a red circle with a red bar across it) or "No Smoking" in words. **Signs are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence.** Notwithstanding this provision, the presence or absence of signs shall not be a defense to a charge of sSmoking in violation of any other provision of this chapter.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 4, 2014)

10.90.060 Violation - Penalty.

A. The remedies provided by this article are cumulative and in addition to any other remedies available at law or in equity. Except as otherwise provided, enforcement of this chapter is at the sole discretion of the City of Cupertino. Nothing in this chapter shall create a right of action in any person against the City of Cupertino or its agents to compel public enforcement of this article against any party.

B. Any person who violates any of the provisions of this chapter shall be guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished as provided in Chapter 1.12 or, in the alternative, subject to enforcement action pursuant to Chapter 1.10: Administrative Citations, Fines, and Penalties.

C. Any violation of this chapter is hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

D. In addition to other remedies provided by this chapter or otherwise available at law or in equity, any violation of this chapter may be remedied by a civil action brought by the city attorney, including, without limitation, administrative or judicial nuisance abatement proceedings, civil code enforcement proceedings, and suits for injunctive relief.

E. Any person may bring a civil action to enforce this chapter to prevent future violations and may sue to recover actual or statutory damages, including court costs, and attorney fees.

F. Owners, operators, property managers, and officers of homeowners' associations for residential properties, whether rental or owner-occupied, are required to post signs in accordance with Section 10.90.050 and provide notice to residents or tenants of the requirements of this Chapter. Owners, operators, and property managers of rental property must include the requirements of Section 10.90.030(G) in the lease or other rental agreement. If the owners, operators, property managers, and officers of rental property and homeowners' associations for residential properties have satisfied these requirements, they shall not be responsible for violations of the requirements of this chapter by tenants or residents, or guests of tenants or residents.

G. An owner, operator, or manager ("owner") of a commercial establishment shall not be responsible for violations of this chapter within an area under owner's control, by a patron or other member of the public ("patron"); provided, that the owner:

1. Has posted signs in accordance with this chapter; and
2. Has verbally asked the patron not to Smoke.

This limitation shall not limit the liability of an employer for the actions of employees in places of employment, or any other violation of this chapter by the employer.

10.90.070 Nonretaliation

No Person or Employer shall discharge, refuse to hire on, or in any manner retaliate against any Employee or applicant for employment because such Employee or applicant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter. No Person or landlord shall terminate a tenancy, or modify the terms of a tenancy, or in any manner retaliate against any tenant because such tenant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter.

ORDINANCE NO. _____

**AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO
AMENDING CITY CODE CHAPTER 10.90 OF TITLE 10 (PUBLIC PEACE, SAFETY,
AND MORALS) TO PROHIBIT SMOKING IN MULTI-UNIT HOUSING AND
CERTAIN OUTDOOR AREAS**

The City Council of the City of Cupertino finds that:

1. The purpose of this Chapter is to: (a) To protect public health, safety, and general welfare by prohibiting smoking in multi-unit housing, public places, recreational areas, service areas, outdoor dining and various other locations set forth in this chapter; (b) To reduce litter, waste and pollution; and (c) To reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, which has been shown to cause negative health effects.
2. The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that there is no risk-free level of exposure to secondhand smoke and the California Air Resources Board identified secondhand smoke as a toxic air contaminant for which there is no safe level of exposure.
3. Secondhand smoke is responsible for an estimated 34,000 heart disease-related and 7,300 lung cancer-related deaths among adult nonsmokers each year.
4. In children, secondhand smoke causes ear infections, more frequent and severe asthma attacks, respiratory infections, and increases the risk of Sudden Infant Death Syndrome (SIDS).
5. Exposure to electronic smoking device aerosol has immediate impacts on the human respiratory and cardiovascular system and poses a risk to human health.
6. Secondhand cannabis smoke has been identified as a health hazard; the California Environmental Protection Agency includes cannabis smoking on the Proposition 65 list of chemicals known to the state to cause cancer.
7. Studies have shown that exposure to secondhand smoke outdoors can reach levels attained indoors depending on the amount of wind and number and proximity of smokers.

8. Research demonstrates that secondhand smoke in multi-unit housing can and does transfer between units, creeping under doorways and through wall cracks.
9. According to the County, close to one-third (29%) of adults who live in multi-unit housing in the County reported smelling tobacco smoke drifting into their home in the previous week. The rate of secondhand smoke exposure was higher among those with less than a high school diploma (38%) and adults with household incomes less than \$15,000 (36%).
10. Harmful residues from tobacco smoke can be absorbed by and cling to virtually all indoor surfaces long after smoking has stopped and then be emitted back into the air, making this “thirdhand smoke” a potential health hazard.
11. California cities and counties have the legal authority to adopt local laws that prohibit all tobacco use indoors and outdoors in areas not already covered by state law.
12. State law allows local governments to adopt ordinances that permit residential rental agreements to prohibit smoking tobacco products within rental units.
13. State law prohibits smoking within 25 feet of playgrounds as well as within 20 feet of government buildings and expressly authorizes local communities to enact additional restrictions.
14. Cupertino prohibits smoking in recreational areas owned or operated by the City; outdoor dining areas; at entrances and exits of places where food and drink is served; and within 25 feet of these areas.
15. The City Council of the City of Cupertino held a duly noticed public meeting on March 2, 2021, and after considering all testimony and written materials provided in connection with that meeting introduced this ordinance and waived the reading thereof.

**NOW, THEREFORE, THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE OF CITY OF CUPERTINO
DOES ORDAIN AS FOLLOWS:**

SECTION 1. Adoption.

The Cupertino Municipal Code is hereby amended as set forth in Attachment A.

SECTION 2: Severability and Continuity.

The City Council declares that each section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance is severable and independent of every other section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause and phrase of this ordinance. If any section, sub-section, paragraph, sub-paragraph, sentence, clause or phrase of this ordinance is held invalid, or its application to any person or circumstance, be determined by a court of competent jurisdiction to be unlawful, unenforceable or otherwise void, the City Council declares that it would have adopted the remaining provisions of this ordinance irrespective of such portion, and further declares its express intent that the remaining portions of this ordinance should remain in effect after the invalid portion has been eliminated. To the extent the provisions of this Ordinance are substantially the same as previous provisions of the Cupertino Municipal Code, these provisions shall be construed as continuations of those provisions and not as an amendment to or readoption of the earlier provisions.

SECTION 3: California Environmental Quality Act.

This Ordinance is not a project under the requirements of the California Quality Act of 1970, together with related State CEQA Guidelines (collectively, "CEQA") because it has no potential for resulting in physical change in the environment, either directly or ultimately. In the event that this Ordinance is found to be a project under CEQA, it is subject to the CEQA exemption contained in CEQA Guidelines section 15061(b)(3) because it can be seen with certainty to have no possibility of a significant effect on the environment. CEQA applies only to projects which have the potential of causing a significant effect on the environment. Where it can be seen with certainty that there is no possibility that the activity in question may have a significant effect on the environment, the activity is not subject to CEQA. In this circumstance, the amendments to the City Code would have no or only a de minimis impact on the environment. The foregoing determination is made by the City Council in its independent judgment.

SECTION 4: Effective Date.

This Ordinance shall take effect thirty days after adoption as provided by Government Code Section 36937. However, the Ordinance's requirements shall not become operative until October 1, 2021, which means that the City, or its designee, will not begin to enforce the provisions and penalties under the Ordinance until October 1, 2021.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 4

SECTION 5: Publication.

The City Clerk shall give notice of adoption of this Ordinance as required by law. Pursuant to Government Code Section 36933, a summary of this Ordinance may be prepared by the City Clerk and published in lieu of publication of the entire text. The City Clerk shall post in the office of the City Clerk a certified copy of the full text of the Ordinance listing the names of the City Council members voting for and against the ordinance.

INTRODUCED at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on April 20th, 2021 and **ENACTED** at a regular meeting of the Cupertino City Council on May 4th, 2021 by the following vote:

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	_____ Date
ATTEST: _____ Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	_____ Date
APPROVED AS TO FORM: _____ Heather Minner, City Attorney	_____ Date

Attachment A – An ordinance to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas

The sections of the Cupertino Municipal Code set forth below are amended or adopted as follows:

*Text added to existing provisions is shown in bold double-underlined text (**example**) and text to be deleted is shown in strikethrough (~~example~~). Text in existing provisions is not amended or readopted by this Ordinance. Text in italics is explanatory and is not an amendment to the Code.*

Where the explanatory text indicates that a new section is being added to the City Code, the new section is shown in plain text.

1. Amendments to Article 10.90 concerning Regulation of Smoking

10.90.010 Definitions.

The following words and phrases, whenever used in this chapter, shall have the meanings defined in this chapter unless the context clearly requires otherwise:

~~A. “Outdoor Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.~~

A. “Business” means any sole proprietorship, partnership, joint venture, corporation, association, landlord, or other entity formed for profit-making purposes. A Business also includes owner-operated entities with no Employees in which the owner is the only worker.

B. “Common Area” means every area of a Multi-unit Residence that residents of more than one unit are entitled to enter or use, including, but not limited to, halls, pathways, lobbies, courtyards, elevators, stairs, community rooms, playgrounds, gym facilities, swimming pools, parking garages, parking lots, grassy or landscaped areas, restrooms, laundry rooms, cooking areas, and eating areas.

C. “Dining Area” means any privately owned or publicly owned area, street, or sidewalk, which is available or customarily used by the general public or an employee and which is designed, established, or regularly used for consuming food or drink.

D. “Electronic smoking device” means any device that may be used to deliver any aerosolized or vaporized substance to the person inhaling from the device, including, but not limited to, an e-cigarette, e-cigar, e-pipe, vape pen, or e-hookah.

- E. "Employee" means any Person who is employed or retained as an independent contractor by any Employer in consideration for direct or indirect monetary wages or profit, or any Person who volunteers his or her services for an Employer.
- F. "Employer" means any Business or Nonprofit Entity that retains the service of one or more Employees.
- G. "Enclosed area" means all space between a floor and a ceiling that is bounded by walls, doorways, or windows, whether open or closed, covering more than 50 percent of the combined surface area of the vertical planes constituting the perimeter of the area. A wall includes any retractable divider, garage door, or other physical barrier, whether temporary or permanent.
- H. "Landlord" means any person or agent of a person who owns, manages, or is otherwise legally responsible for a unit in a Multi-unit Residence that is leased to a residential tenant. For purposes of this ordinance, a tenant who sublets their unit (e.g., a sublessor) is not a landlord.
- I. "Multi-unit Residence" means property containing two or more attached units, including, but not limited to, apartment buildings, condominiums, duplexes and triplexes, ~~attached single-family homes~~, senior and assisted living facilities, and long-term health care facilities. "Multi-unit Residence" also includes ~~single-family homes with an attached or detached accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit.~~ "Multi-unit Residences" do not include the following:
1. a hotel or motel that meets the requirements of California Civil Code section 1940(b)(2);
 2. a mobile home park;
 3. a campground;
 4. a marina or port; and
 5. a detached single-family home, without an attached or detached accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit.
 5. ~~except if used as a health care facility subject to licensing requirements; and~~
 6. ~~a detached single-family home with an attached or detached accessory dwelling unit or second unit.~~
- J. "Nonprofit Entity" means any entity that meets the requirements of California Corporations Code section 5003 as well as any corporation, unincorporated association, or other entity created for charitable, religious, philanthropic, educational, political, social, or similar purposes, the net proceeds of which are committed to the promotion of the objectives or purposes of the entity and not to private gain. A government agency is not a Nonprofit Entity within the meaning of this chapter.

K. "Nonsmoking Area" means any area in which smoking is prohibited by

1. this chapter or other law;
2. binding agreement relating to the ownership, occupancy, or use of real property; or
3. a person with legal control over the area.

L. "Person" means any natural person, partnership, cooperative association, corporation, personal representative, receiver, trustee, assignee, or any other legal entity, including government agencies.

M. "Place of Employment" means any area under the legal or de facto control of an Employer that an Employee or the general public may have cause to enter in the normal course of the operations, regardless of the hours of operation.

N. "Public Place" means any place, publicly or privately owned, which is open to the general public regardless of any fee or age requirement.

O. —B. "Reasonable distance" means a distance of ~~25~~30 feet in any direction from an area in which smoking is prohibited.

P. ~~€.~~ "Recreational Area" means any outdoor area, including streets and sidewalks adjacent to Recreational ~~a~~Areas, owned or operated by the City of Cupertino and open to the general public for recreational purposes, regardless of any fee or age requirement. The term "Recreational Area" includes, but is not limited to parks, picnic areas, playgrounds, sports fields, golf courses, walking paths, gardens, hiking trails, bike paths, horseback riding trails, swimming pools, roller-skating rinks, and skateboard parks, and parking lot or other area designated or primarily used for parking vehicles of persons accessing a Recreational Area.

Q. "Service Area" means any publicly or privately owned area, including streets and sidewalks, that is designed to be used or is regularly used by one or more Persons to receive a service, wait to receive a service, or to make a transaction, whether or not such service or transaction includes the exchange of money. The term "Service Area" includes, but is not limited to, areas including or adjacent to information kiosks, automatic teller machines (ATMs), ticket lines, bus stops or shelters, mobile vendor lines, or cab stands.

R. ~~Đ.~~ "Smoke" means the gases, particles, or vapors released into the air as a result of combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization, when the apparent or usual purpose of the combustion, electrical ignition, or vaporization is human inhalation of the byproducts, except when the combusting or vaporizing material contains no tobacco or nicotine and the purpose of inhalation is solely olfactory, such as, for example, smoke from incense. The term "Smoke" includes, but is not limited to, tobacco smoke, vapors from an electronic smoking device, and marijuana smoke.

S. ~~E.~~ "Smoking" means: ~~engaging in an act that generates Smoke, such as for example: possessing a lighted pipe, lighted hookah pipe, a lighted cigar, or a lighted cigarette of any kind; or; or lighting or igniting of a pipe, cigar, hookah pipe, or cigarette of any kind.~~

1. inhaling, exhaling, or burning, any tobacco, nicotine, cannabis, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic;
2. carrying any lighted, heated, or activated tobacco, nicotine, marijuana, or plant product, whether natural or synthetic, intended for inhalation; or
3. using an "electronic smoking device."

T. "Unenclosed Area" means any area that is not an enclosed area.

U. "Unit" means a personal dwelling space, even one lacking cooking facilities or private plumbing facilities, and includes any associated exclusive-use area, such as a private balcony, porch, deck, or patio. "Unit" includes, without limitation, an apartment; a condominium; a townhouse; a room in a senior facility; a room in a long-term health care facility, assisted living facility, community care facility, or hospital; a room in a hotel or motel; a dormitory room; a room in a single-room occupancy facility; a room in a homeless shelter; a mobile home; a camper vehicle or tent; a single-family home; and an accessory dwelling unit, junior accessory dwelling unit, or second unit.

10.90.20 Smoking Prohibited.

A. Smoking is prohibited in the following Enclosed Areas:

1. ~~In Recreational Areas~~ Places of Employment; and
2. ~~In Outdoor Dining Areas~~ Public Places; and
3. ~~At entrances, exits, operable windows, or air intake openings of any building area which is available or customarily used by the general public and which is designed, established, or regularly used for selling or consuming food or drink.~~

B. Smoking is prohibited by this chapter in all Enclosed Areas exempted by the California workplace law (Labor Code section 6404.5(d), as that section may be amended from time to time) except as provided below.

1. Smoking at theatrical production sites is not prohibited by this subsection if the theater general manager certifies that smoking is an essential part of the story and the use of a fake, prop, or special effect cannot reasonably convey the idea of smoking in an effective way to a reasonable member of the anticipated audience. This exception will not apply if minors are performers within the production;

2. Smoking is not restricted by this subsection in up to twenty percent (20%) of guest room accommodations in a hotel, motel, or similar transient lodging establishment.

C. Smoking is prohibited in the following Unenclosed Areas:

1. Places of Employment;
2. ~~In~~ Recreational Areas;
3. ~~In~~ Outdoor Dining Areas;
4. Service Areas; and
5. Public Places when being used for a public event, including a farmer's market, parade, craft fair, or any event which may be open to or attended by the general public, provided that Smoking is permitted on streets and sidewalks being used in a traditional capacity as pedestrian or vehicular thoroughfares, unless otherwise prohibited by this chapter or other law.

~~D. B.~~ Reasonable Smoking Distance Required.

1. Smoking in all Unenclosed Areas shall be prohibited within a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening, crack, or vent into an Enclosed Area in which smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided Smoke does not enter any area in which Smoking is prohibited.
2. ~~1.~~ Smoking in all ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas is prohibited within a ~~r~~Reasonable Distance from any ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited under Section 10.90.020A, except while actively passing on the way to another destination and provided SSmoke does not enter any area in which ~~s~~Smoking is prohibited.
3. ~~2.~~ The ~~s~~Smoking prohibitions in Section 10.90.020B.1 shall not apply to ~~u~~Unenclosed aAreas of private residential properties that are not Multi-unit Residences.

~~E. C.~~ Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to prohibit Smoking in any area in which such Smoking is already prohibited by state or federal law unless the applicable state or federal law does not preempt additional local regulation.

~~F. D.~~ No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

G. ~~E.~~ Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 3, 2014; Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.30 Other Requirements and Prohibitions Multi-unit Housing

- A. Beginning October 1, 2021, smoking is prohibited and no person shall smoke inside any new or existing unit of a Multi-unit Residence, in any enclosed or unenclosed Common Area of a Multi-unit Residence, or within a Reasonable Distance of any operable doorway, window, opening, or vent of a Multi-unit Residence.
- B. Smoking is prohibited in Multi-unit Residences as provided in subsection (A) of this section, except that a person with legal control over a Common Area, or authorized representative, may designate a portion of the common area as a designated smoking area; provided, that at all times the designated smoking area complies with subsection (C) of this section.
- C. Designated Smoking Areas in Multi-unit Residences. A designated smoking area shall:
 1. Be an Unenclosed Area;
 2. Be a Reasonable Distance from Unenclosed Areas primarily used by children and unenclosed areas with improvements that facilitate physical activity including, for example, playgrounds, tennis courts, swimming pools, and school campuses;
 3. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area that is located at the Multi-unit Residence and is a Nonsmoking Area;
 4. Be a Reasonable Distance in any direction from a Nonsmoking Area and/or any operable doorway, window, opening or other vent into an enclosed area of adjacent private property;
 - 3.5. Have a clearly marked perimeter;
 - 4.6. Have a receptacle for cigarette butts that is emptied and maintained;
and
 - 5.7. Be identified by conspicuous signs.
- D. Smoking and the use of Electronic Smoking Devices is prohibited in adjacent unenclosed property within a Reasonable Distance in any direction of any doorway, window, opening, or other vent into an enclosed area of a Multi-unit Residence.

- E. Common Areas Free from Smoking Waste. Persons with legal control over common areas in Multi-unit Residences, and their authorized representatives, shall ensure that all Common Areas except those meeting the requirements of subsection (C) of this section remain free of Smoking and tobacco waste, and ash trays, ash cans, or other receptacles designed for or primarily used for disposal of smoking and tobacco waste.
- F. Signage. "No smoking" signs shall be posted as required by Section 10.90.050 of this chapter, but are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence. Signs shall be maintained by the person or persons with legal control over the common areas or the authorized representative of such person.
- G. Lease Terms. Every lease or other rental agreement for the occupancy of a new or existing unit in a Multi-unit Residence entered into, renewed, or continued month-to-month after October 1, 2021 shall include the following:
1. A clause providing that it is a material breach of the agreement to Smoke or allow Smoking:
 - a. in the Unit, including exclusive-use areas such as balconies, porches, or patios; and
 - b. in any Common Area of the Multi-unit Residence other than a designated Smoking area.
 2. A description of and/or image depicting the location(s) of any designated Smoking area(s) on the property, if any.
 3. A clause expressly conveying third-party beneficiary status to all occupants of the Multi-unit Residence as to the Smoking provisions of the lease or other rental agreement. Such a clause shall provide that any tenant of the Multi-unit Residence may sue another tenant/owner to enforce the Smoking provisions of the agreement but that no tenant shall have the right to evict another tenant for a breach of the Smoking provisions of the agreement.
- H. Whether or not a landlord complies with subsection (G) of this section, the clauses required by that subsection shall be implied and incorporated by law into every agreement to which subsection (G) of this section applies and shall become effective as of the earliest possible date on which the landlord could have made the insertions pursuant to subsection (G) of this section.

10.90.040 ~~Posting of Signs~~ Other Requirements and Prohibitions.

- A. No ash can, ashtray, or other Smoking waste receptacle shall be placed in any area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

Ordinance No. _____

Page 8

B. No Person shall dispose of used Smoking waste within the boundaries of an area in which Smoking is prohibited by this chapter.

C. Persons owning or occupying property are responsible for maintaining the premises, including the perimeter and the sidewalk in front of their premises, free of loose litter, in accordance with Section 9.18.215.

CD. The presence of Smoking waste receptacles in violation of subsection A. above or the absence of signs shall not be a defense to a violation of any provision of this chapter.

DE. Each instance of Smoking in violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. For violations other than Smoking, each day of a continuing violation of this chapter shall constitute a separate violation. (Ord. 11-2077 (part), 2011)

10.90.050 Posting of Signs.

Where sSmoking is prohibited by this chapter, a clear conspicuous sign shall be posted at a conspicuous point within the area. The sign shall have letters of no less than one inch in height and shall include, either the international "No Smoking" symbol (consisting of a pictorial representation of a burning cigarette enclosed in a red circle with a red bar across it) or "No Smoking" in words. **Signs are not required inside any unit of a Multi-unit Residence.** Notwithstanding this provision, the presence or absence of signs shall not be a defense to a charge of sSmoking in violation of any other provision of this chapter.

(Ord. 14-2121, § 4, 2014)

10.90.060 Violation - Penalty.

A. The remedies provided by this article are cumulative and in addition to any other remedies available at law or in equity. Except as otherwise provided, enforcement of this chapter is at the sole discretion of the City of Cupertino. Nothing in this chapter shall create a right of action in any person against the City of Cupertino or its agents to compel public enforcement of this article against any party.

B. Any person who violates any of the provisions of this chapter shall be guilty of an infraction and upon conviction thereof shall be punished as provided in Chapter 1.12 or, in the alternative, subject to enforcement action pursuant to Chapter 1.10: Administrative Citations, Fines, and Penalties.

C. Any violation of this chapter is hereby declared to be a public nuisance.

D. In addition to other remedies provided by this chapter or otherwise available at law or in equity, any violation of this chapter may be remedied by a civil action brought by the city attorney, including, without limitation, administrative or judicial

nuisance abatement proceedings, civil code enforcement proceedings, and suits for injunctive relief.

E. Any person may bring a civil action to enforce this chapter to prevent future violations and may sue to recover actual or statutory damages, including court costs, and attorney fees.

F. Owners, operators, property managers, and officers of homeowners' associations for residential properties, whether rental or owner-occupied, are required to post signs in accordance with Section 10.90.050 and provide notice to residents or tenants of the requirements of this Chapter. Owners, operators, and property managers of rental property must include the requirements of Section 10.90.030(G) in the lease or other rental agreement. If the owners, operators, property managers, and officers of rental property and homeowners' associations for residential properties have satisfied these requirements, they shall not be responsible for violations of the requirements of this chapter by tenants or residents, or guests of tenants or residents.

G. An owner, operator, or manager ("owner") of a commercial establishment shall not be responsible for violations of this chapter within an area under owner's control, by a patron or other member of the public ("patron"); provided, that the owner:

1. Has posted signs in accordance with this chapter; and

2. Has verbally asked the patron not to Smoke.

This limitation shall not limit the liability of an employer for the actions of employees in places of employment, or any other violation of this chapter by the employer.

10.90.070 Nonretaliation

No Person or Employer shall discharge, refuse to hire on, or in any manner retaliate against any Employee or applicant for employment because such Employee or applicant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter. No Person or landlord shall terminate a tenancy, or modify the terms of a tenancy, or in any manner retaliate against any tenant because such tenant makes a complaint regarding violation of this chapter or exercises any rights granted to him or her under this chapter.



CITY MANAGER'S OFFICE

CITY HALL
10300 TORRE AVENUE • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-3255
TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3223 • FAX: (408) 777-3366
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: March 2, 2021

Subject

Consideration of Municipal Code Amendments to the Cupertino Municipal Code, Chapter 10.90, expanding existing policies to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke, including in multi-unit housing, entryways, public events, service areas, and outdoor worksites.

Recommended Action

Conduct the first reading of Ordinance No. ____: "An ordinance of the City Council of the City of Cupertino amending City Code Chapter 10.90 of Title 10 (Public Peace, Safety, and Morals) to prohibit smoking in multi-unit housing and certain outdoor areas," which includes a finding that adoption of the ordinance is exempt from the California Environmental Quality Act.

Background

The health risks of tobacco use and exposure to secondhand smoke are well documented. More than 40,000 Californians die each year from smoking-related disease, making tobacco use the number one cause of preventable death. In Santa Clara County, one in eight deaths annually is attributed to smoking-related illness or diseases, such as cancer, heart disease, and respiratory diseases. The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted the risks of smoking. Smoking doubles the risk of developing respiratory infections and doubles the risk of getting sicker from COVID-19. In addition, COVID-19 is causing more individuals to stay at home and to wait in lines for longer periods of time, making secondhand smoke policies more important.

The U.S. Surgeon General has concluded that there is no safe level of exposure to secondhand smoke and the California Air Resources Board has classified secondhand smoke as a toxic air contaminant. Secondhand smoke is responsible for more than 4,000 heart disease-related and lung cancer deaths each year in California. According to the Centers for Disease Control, the only way to fully protect nonsmokers is to eliminate smoking in homes, worksites, and public places. Not only does prohibiting smoking in such locations decrease exposure to harmful secondhand smoke, it also decreases fire risk and reduces the amount of cigarette butt litter.

The Santa Clara County's Healthy Cities Program seeks to address tobacco-related health concerns by promoting policies and practices to provide tobacco-free and smoke-free

communities. As part of this funding, the City of Cupertino received a \$54,979 grant from Santa Clara County's Public Health Department (PHD) to implement tobacco prevention policies that have been shown to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke. Exploring these policies is also part of the current City Work Program.

On September 15, 2020, the City Council held a study session to consider policy options to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke. Councilmembers expressed general support for the proposed policy options and directed staff to conduct outreach on these policy options to residents and local businesses. Over the past few months, staff has conducted extensive outreach and additional research on the policy options. Results of the outreach, which includes a community survey, show that a large majority of the public supports these proposals.

Discussion

After accounting for research on best practices to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke and public input, the draft ordinance (Attachment A) amends the Cupertino Municipal Code to include the following components for Council's consideration:

1. **Require smoke-free multi-unit housing** (defined as two or more attached residences that share a wall or floor/ceiling, which could include apartments, condominiums, townhomes, attached single-family homes, duplexes, triplexes, etc.), including:
 - a. Outdoor common areas
 - b. A 30-foot buffer zone around doors and windows of multi-unit housing
 - c. Inside units in multi-unit housing and
 - d. Exempts designated smoking areas (must be 30 feet away)

A map of the approximately 9,297 multi-unit housing addresses in Cupertino, about 39%, can be found at cupertino.org/smoking.

2. **Require smoke-free entryways** around the entrances of all locations where smoking is prohibited, including businesses, offices, grocery stores, restaurants, bars, places of worship, etc.
3. **Require public events** to be smoke-free, such as farmer's markets and street fairs
4. **Require service areas** to be smoke-free, such as ATMs, ticket lines, bus stops or shelters
5. **Require outdoor worksites** to be smoke-free, such as construction sites

These measures are likely to have the greatest impact on reducing exposure to secondhand smoke and were the focus of the City's outreach. For a detailed analysis of these major policy components, see the staff report from the September 15, 2020 study session in Attachment D. Other jurisdictions in Santa Clara County have adopted similar provisions and a comparison table can be found in Attachment B.

For the purposes of the draft ordinance, “smoking” includes the use of cigarettes, cigars, cigarillos, hookah, pipes, electronic smoking devices, and marijuana. The ordinance defines multi-unit housing as two or more attached residences that share a wall or floor/ceiling, which could include apartments, condominiums, townhomes, attached single-family homes, duplexes, triplexes, etc. The ordinance would not prohibit smoking in single-family homes that are freestanding at this time. At the September 15, 2020 study session, Council expressed interest in possibly revisiting this topic at a future date.

Buffer Zone

The City of Cupertino currently prohibits smoking within 25 feet of entrances and exits of restaurants. The proposed ordinance increases this distance to 30 feet due to over 55% of the community survey respondents indicating that 25 feet was inadequate. This 30-foot buffer zone, also known as reasonable distance, is used throughout the ordinance to indicate the area in which smoking is prohibited when adjacent to a nonsmoking area, such as entrances to retail, offices, and multi-unit housing. Other jurisdictions such as Los Gatos, Santa Clara, and Santa Clara County also use 30 feet as their buffer zone. Los Altos, Morgan Hill, Mountain View, Palo Alto, and Sunnyvale use 25 feet as their buffer zone, while only Campbell and Saratoga use 20 feet.

Option to Include Attached ADUs

The proposed ordinance exempts Accessory Dwelling Units (ADUs). All other jurisdictions in Santa Clara County exempt ADUs in their smoke-free multi-unit housing ordinances, likely due to increased enforcement challenges. There was some interest from residents at a community meeting to include ADUs in this ordinance (see Outreach section). At the direction of Council, the ordinance could be updated to include attached ADUs. If ADUs are included, it is recommended that detached ADUs continue to be exempt under the definition of multi-unit housing because they do not have a shared wall. Currently, San Bruno, San Mateo, and South San Francisco include attached ADUs but exempt detached ADUs in their ordinance. Albany and Pasadena are examples of cities that include all ADUs in their smoke-free multi-unit ordinances.


Outreach

From October 2020 through February 2021, the City conducted outreach to solicit input from residents, businesses, and property owners/managers on the proposed policy options to reduce exposure to secondhand smoke. Though COVID-19 restrictions presented some challenges, the outreach effort was diverse and involved an online survey, two online community meetings, an article in the Scene, social media postings, a City webpage, a citywide mailer, a presentation to the Chamber of Commerce, and other outreach to the business community.

1. Online Community Survey

An online community survey was open from October 2 - November 16, 2020 in order to gather feedback from the community about the proposed policies. The survey was advertised through the City website, social media, the community meetings, and a City

mailer. The survey received 296 responses, with 289 respondents indicating that they live in Cupertino. There were 79 respondents who indicated that they live in multi-unit housing in Cupertino. For a full summary of responses please see Attachment C. Below are the responses to questions related to the proposed policies:



As seen in the charts above, the large majority of respondents were in favor of the proposed policies. The number of respondents who opposed or who were unsure about the policies was minor, with more uncertainty surrounding the smoke-free outdoor worksites among the various policy options. Survey results of the respondents who live in Cupertino and live in multi-unit housing are almost identical to the outcomes above.

The survey allowed respondents to provide additional comments. The majority of those comments were in support of the proposed policies. Most respondents shared that they were glad that the City is helping to reduce secondhand smoke in Cupertino. Some comments indicated that they wanted to see these regulations also imposed on single-family homes, parking lots, and all sidewalks. Many respondents indicated that they would prefer if the entire City was smoke-free. Other respondents specified that they would like stronger

finest and penalties for those violating the smoking regulations. There were only a handful of comments in opposition to the proposed policies. Of the comments in opposition, some felt that there is no need for additional City regulations if the current laws are properly enforced. One respondent was concerned about enforcement, specifically on balconies. Another respondent said the landlords should be able to enforce their own rules.

In addition to the comments received in the survey, there were three residents who provided comments via email and phone. One resident believes the proposed policies are too restrictive and another believes smoking is not an issue. Another respondent supports the policies but is concerned about cigarette littering. The proposed ordinance references Cupertino's existing code that requires property owners or occupants to keep the property, including the perimeter, free of litter. These responses can be found in Attachment C.

2. Online Community Meetings

The City hosted two online community meetings to inform and gather feedback from the public on October 29 and November 10, 2020. A total of seven community members attended, and all who participated in public comment were supportive of the proposed secondhand smoke policies. A couple residents commented that they would like to see these policies include detached single-family homes and ADUs. Another resident wanted to applaud the City for implementing these policies and regulating secondhand smoke and wanted to highlight the dangers of smoking around children inside single-family homes. Another resident wanted to recommend creating a buffer zone around schools and college campuses.

3. Business outreach

The City presented the proposed policies to the Cupertino Chamber of Commerce's Legislative Action Committee (LAC) meeting on November 6, 2020. The LAC was generally in support of these policies.

Individual phone calls and emails were provided to 51 property owners, managers, and Home Owner Associations (HOA) in Cupertino. Of the four that provided feedback, they indicated that their units are already smoke-free and that they do not have many issues or complaints. One HOA community manager commented that he wished this had come up sooner as they had recently made significant financial investment to hire a lawyer to create their own smoking regulations. He added that they have not received any negative comments in opposition from their community and that it would be beneficial to have their regulations backed by the City through the proposed ordinance.

Staff also spoke with Cupertino's Farmer's Market representative who posted flyers of the proposed policies and feedback opportunities at the Farmer's Market's operated by the Pacific Coast Farmer's Market Association. Information on the proposed policies and input opportunities were also published in the Business Buzz Newsletter and the Cupertino

Scene. The Scene was mailed to all residential and business addresses in the City, which includes individual units in multi-unit housing.

In addition to the outreach highlighted above, if the ordinance is adopted, the City will send a mailer to provide information about the new requirements.

Implementation and Enforcement

To aid in implementation of smoke-free multi-unit housing requirements, the following elements are incorporated into the draft ordinance:

1. **A phase-in period** – the smoke-free housing requirements would become operative approximately six months after ordinance adoption to allow time to notify residents and property owners/managers of the new requirements.
2. **Lease requirements** - the ordinance requires landlords to incorporate the smoke-free requirements into a tenant's lease. This means that a violation involving a person smoking inside their unit could be enforced by the landlord as a lease violation and/or by the City. New tenants who move in after the ordinance's effective date would sign the updated lease agreement including the smoking restrictions. Existing tenants would have their leases updated on a rolling basis as the leases are renewed. Condominium complexes could enforce the smoking restrictions as a violation of their restrictions.
3. **Signage** – The ordinance requires "No Smoking" signs to be posted where smoking is prohibited. Santa Clara County will provide "No Smoking" signs to multi-unit housing properties and to local businesses, which will aid in implementation of the ordinance.

Following the education period about the new ordinance, enforcement will be complaint-driven. In general, communities that have adopted smoke-free multi-family housing laws have not been overwhelmed by complaints and most have found education and warnings about the new law to be sufficient. As a last resort, the City can cite an individual for smoking in violation of the law. The ordinance also provides for private rights of enforcement by civil action. However, as a practice, education will be the first step to encourage compliance.

Conclusion and Next Steps

With the adoption of additional smoke-free policies, the City has the opportunity to further protect residents, employees, and visitors from exposure to secondhand smoke in public places and where people live. These policies would demonstrate the City's commitment to protecting the health of its residents and would improve the City's performance on the County's Healthy Cities Initiative. Additionally, adopting these smoke-free policies would likely raise the City's "grade" on the American Lung Association's State of Tobacco Control report from a "C" to an "A" (Attachment E).

Sustainability Impact

Cigarettes are the most littered item and pose a significant stormwater pollution problem. Reducing smoking in outdoor public spaces will help to reduce the resulting litter from cigarette butts. In addition, these policies will improve air quality for those who may otherwise be exposed to secondhand smoke.

Fiscal Impact

The City has been awarded \$54,979 to cover the costs of developing the proposed regulations and conducting the associated outreach. No additional funding is requested at this time.

Prepared by: Leslie Zellers, JD, Consultant, Santa Clara County Department of Public Health
Astrid Robles, Management Analyst

Reviewed by: Katy Nomura, Assistant to the City Manager

Approved for Submission by: Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Attachments:

A – Draft Ordinance to Regulate Smoking

B – Tobacco Free Communities Policies in Santa Clara County

C – Community Feedback

D – 9-15-20 Study Session Staff Report

E – American Lung Association Report Card

1340043.1

Tobacco-Free Communities - Policies Across Santa Clara County Jurisdictions														
Jurisdiction	Reducing Exposure to Secondhand Smoke								Reducing Youth Access & Exposure to Tobacco Products					
	Parks & Trails	Outdoor Dining Areas	Entryways	Service Areas	Public Events	Multi-Unit Housing	Common Areas of Multi- Unit Housing	Outdoor Worksites	Tobacco Retail Permit	Reduce Density of Tobacco Outlets	Limit Sales Near Schools	Flavored Tobacco Restrictions	No Tobacco Sales in Pharmacies	Restricts Sale of all Tobacco (T) and/or Vaping (V) Products
% of County population covered by policy	92.6%	97.2%	39.3%	85.9%	31.6%	24.3%	79.9%	7.4%	77.4%	14.7%	17.5%	18.5%	14.7%	13.30%
County of Santa Clara	2010	2010	2010	2010		2010 (Exempts ADU's)	2010		2010	2010	2010	2010	2010	2019 (V)
Campbell	2011	2011	2011	2011	2011				2012					
Cupertino	2011	2014	2014						2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2020 (V)
Gilroy									2014		2014			
Los Altos	2011	2018	2018	2018	2018				2020			2020		2020 (V)
Los Altos Hills		n/a*		n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*		n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*
Los Gatos	2013	pre-2010	2016	2016	2016	2016 (Exempts ADU's)	2016	pre-2010	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2019 (V)
Milpitas	2012	2017												
Monte Sereno	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	2020 (Exempts ADU's)	2020		n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*	n/a*
Morgan Hill	2012	2012	2012	2012	2012				2014			2019***		2019 (V)
Mountain View		2012	2012		2012									
Palo Alto	2013	2014	2014	2014	2014	2016 (Exempts ADU's)	2016	pre-2010	2017	2017	2017	2017	2017	2020 (V)
San Jose	pre-2010	2012		2012			2012		2011					
Santa Clara	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019	2019 (Exempts ADU's)	2019							
Saratoga	pre-2010	2016	2016	2016	2016		2016	2016	2015	2016	2016	2018**	2018	2020 (V)
Sunnyvale	2012	2016	2016	2016	2016	2016 (Exempts ADU's)	2016							

* Not included in denominator of % of county population covered by policy because don't have the specific venues/areas covered by policy (Ex. Monte Sereno & Los Altos Hills do not have any businesses, including tobacco retailers)

** Includes an exemption for menthol-cigarettes

***Includes exemption for loose-leaf tobacco products

Jurisdictions where work is expected in 2021



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9136, **Version:** 1

Subject: Fiscal Year (FY) 2021-22 Fee Schedule Update.

1. Adopt Resolution No. 21-033 amending FY 2020-21 Fee Schedule E to add a community garden fee effective April 21, 2021.
2. Adopt Resolution No. 21-034 approving FY 2021-22 Fee Schedules A, B, C, D, and E effective July 1, 2021.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule A - General

Fee Description	FY2020-21			FY2021-22	
	Fee	\$ Change	% Change	Fee	
Abatement Fee	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
All Municipal Code Parking Violations (including County and State fees)	\$71.90	\$1.13	1.6%	\$73.03	
Bingo Permit (new)	\$179.18	\$2.81	1.6%	\$181.99	
Business License Database	\$21.68	\$0.34	1.6%	\$22.02	
Candidate Statement Fee (County Regulated Fee)	Current County Registrar Cost			Current County Registrar Cost	
City Administrative Fee	15%			15%	
Code Enforcement	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Community Festivals - One-time Business License (correction)	\$11.19	\$0.18	1.6%	\$11.36	
Community Festivals - Business Partners	\$57.06	\$0.90	1.6%	\$57.96	
Community Festivals - Additional 10' x 10' space (includes an additional table and	\$10.29	\$0.16	1.6%	\$10.45	
Community Festivals - Non-profit partners	\$10.29	\$0.16	1.6%	\$10.45	
Compilation of New Records	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
CVC Parking Citation Dismissals Admin Fee (State Regulated Fee)	\$29.67	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.14	
Damage to City Property					
Grounds, Streets, Facilities, Traffic Engineering/Maintenance	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Dangerous Dog Annual Registration Fee	\$227.11	\$3.57	1.6%	\$230.68	
Commercial Kennel Permit	\$0.00	\$302.00		\$302.00	
Duplicate Business Licenses	\$11.41	\$0.18	1.6%	\$11.59	
Event Video Taping/Editing	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
False Alarms	\$86.74	\$1.36	1.6%	\$88.10	
Farmers Market	\$2.86	\$0.04	1.6%	\$2.90	
Fingerprinting Processing (State Fee \$32 plus County Fee \$20)	\$59.35	\$0.93	1.6%	\$60.28	
Flea Markets (per month)	\$12.10	\$0.19	1.6%	\$12.29	
Public Requests for GIS Printed Maps					
Standard pre-formatted maps					
Plotted maps	\$31.96	\$0.50	1.6%	\$32.46	
Printed maps	\$2.34	\$0.04	1.6%	\$2.38	
Custom request maps	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Prints/plots of aerial photography (see Engineering fees)					
Graffiti Cleanup	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Handbill Permit	\$141.03	\$2.21	1.6%	\$143.24	
Renewals	\$70.52	\$1.11	1.6%	\$71.62	
Internet Processing Fee	\$2.34	\$0.04	1.6%	\$2.38	
Late Payment on 30 Day Delinquent City Invoices	12% per annum			12% per annum	
Massage Establishment Fee (Includes fingerprinting/background					
check and business start-up inspection)	\$317.32	\$4.98	1.6%	\$322.31	
Renewals (Includes two inspections per year)	\$106.14	\$1.67	1.6%	\$107.81	
Massage Managing Employee (Includes fingerprint/bkgrnd ck)	\$281.89	\$4.43	1.6%	\$286.32	
Renewals	\$106.14	\$1.67	1.6%	\$107.81	
Massage Permit Appeal (Denial/Revocation)	\$705.30	\$11.07	1.6%	\$716.37	
Microfilm/Microfiche Printout	\$0.61	\$0.01	1.6%	\$0.62	
Municipal Code Book	Vendor Invoice			Vendor Invoice	
New Business Monthly Reports	\$39.94	\$0.63	1.6%	\$40.57	

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule A - General

Fee Description	FY2020-21			FY2021-22	
	Fee	\$ Change	% Change	Fee	
Noise Variances	\$219.12	\$3.44	1.6%	\$222.56	
Notary Fee (State Regulated Fee)	\$15.00/signature			\$15.00/signature	
Outside Agency Review / Services	Vendor Invoice + City Admin Fee			Vendor Invoice + City Admin Fee	
Petitions for Reconsideration	\$328.68	\$5.16	1.6%	\$333.84	
Photocopies - per sheet					
Standard sizes	\$0.26	\$0.00	1.6%	\$0.26	
For 11 x 17 sizes or color sheets	\$0.60	\$0.01	1.6%	\$0.61	
For Large format prints	\$29.84	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.31	
Fair Political Practices Commission	\$0.10	\$0.00	1.6%	\$0.10	
Fair Political Practices Commission (older than five (5) years)	\$5.15	\$0.08	1.6%	\$5.23	
Property Liens Administrative Fee	\$47.93	\$0.75	1.6%	\$48.68	
Returned Check Charge	\$29.67	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.14	
Sign Removal (Public Right-of-Way) (All except Political Signs)	\$5.71	\$0.09	1.6%	\$5.80	
Sign Recovery Fee for Political Signs	\$3.42	\$0.05	1.6%	\$3.47	
Small Income Business License	\$79.89	\$1.25	1.6%	\$81.14	
Solicitor Permit (Includes fingerprinting)	\$282.07	\$4.43	1.6%	\$286.50	
Renewals	\$70.76	\$1.11	1.6%	\$71.87	
Taxi Driver Permit (Includes fingerprinting/background check)	\$368.63	\$5.79	1.6%	\$374.42	
Renewals	\$70.76	\$1.11	1.6%	\$71.87	
Tobacco Retailer (County Regulated Fee)					
Application Fee	Current County Cost			Current County Cost	
Annual Fee	Current County Cost			Current County Cost	
Trash Fees					
Plan Review - Trash Enclosure	No Charge			No Charge	
Plan Review - Trash Management Plan	No Charge			No Charge	
Williamson Act Filings	\$126.68	\$1.99	1.6%	\$128.67	
Use Permit					
Application / Processing	\$1,145.83	\$17.99	1.6%	\$1,163.82	
Non-Conforming Use	\$305.86	\$4.80	1.6%	\$310.66	
Video/Audio Service					
DVD/CD	\$23.97	\$0.38	1.6%	\$24.35	
Flash Drive	\$14.84	\$0.23	1.6%	\$15.07	

* Actual cost is: 1) Employee hourly rate plus 55% for benefits and overhead, and
2) cost of materials, contractors, and supplies.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

FEE DESCRIPTION	FY 2020-21 Fee	\$ Change	% Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Encroachment Permits				
- Minor Encroachment Permits (Local Streets)	\$556	\$32	5.7%	\$588
- Minor Encroachment Permits (Utility)	\$384	\$22	5.7%	\$406
- Major Encroachment Permits (Arterials and Collectors)	\$958	\$55	5.7%	\$1,013
- Work without Permit	Double the permit cost			Double the permit cost
- Small Cell Facility Encroachment Permit	\$1,915	\$109	5.7%	\$2,024
Street Cuts Miscellaneous				
- Minor Street Cuts	\$1,534	\$87	5.7%	\$1,621
- Major Street Cuts	\$3,095	\$176	5.7%	\$3,271
- Special Major Permit (projects in excess of \$30,000 or over 15 working days)	5% of Project Costs and/or \$80 per inspection			5% of Project Costs and/or \$80 per inspection
Permit Extension	\$450	\$26	5.7%	\$476
Grading permit				
- <10,000 s.f. lot	\$1,183	\$67	5.7%	\$1,250
- 10,000 s.f. or greater	Greater of \$3,450 min. or 6% of cost of improvement	\$197	5.7%	Greater of \$3,647 min. or 6% of cost of improvement
Parcel Map/Tract Map (Map Checking Fee)				
- Parcel Map (1-4 lots)	\$7,021	\$400	5.7%	\$7,421
- Tract Map (> 4 lots)	\$11,527	\$657	5.7%	\$12,184
Plan Check and Inspection				
- Review of Building Permit Only	\$1,046	\$60	5.7%	\$1,106
Additional Plan Review - 3 or more reviews (Each)	\$239	\$14	5.7%	\$253/hr
Revisions to Plans and Permits	\$239/hr	\$14	5.7%	\$253/hr
Review of Public/Private Improvement Plans:				
- Residential	greater of \$4,634 min. or 5% of cost of improvement	\$264	5.7%	greater of \$4,898 min. or 5% of cost of improvement
- Commercial	greater of \$8,668 min. or 6% of cost of improvement	\$494	5.7%	greater of \$9,162 min. or 6% of cost of improvement
Geotechnical Professional Services 3rd Party Consultant Review	*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee			*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee
Structural Review	*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee			*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee
*Per Outside Agency Review/Services on Schedule A - General				
Public Works Confirmation	\$465	\$27	5.7%	\$492
Annexation (plus County filing fee)	\$2,534	\$144	5.7%	\$2,678
Certificates of Compliance				
- Initial Review	\$1,087	\$62	5.7%	\$1,149
- Finalize Certificates	\$1,087	\$62	5.7%	\$1,149
Lot Line Adjustment	\$3,497	\$199	5.7%	\$3,696
Transportation Impact Fee				
- Single Family	\$6,631/unit	\$166	2.5%	\$6,797/unit
- Multi-Family (Includes apartments, condos, and townhomes)	\$4,112/unit	\$103	2.5%	\$4,215/unit
- Retail	\$10.67/s.f.	\$0	2.5%	\$10.94/s.f.
- Office	\$18.68/s.f.	\$0	2.5%	\$19.15/s.f.
- Hotel	\$3,637/room	\$91	2.5%	\$3,728/room
- Other (per PM trip)	\$6,695/trip	\$167	2.5%	\$6,862/trip
Transportation Permit (State Regulated Fee)				
- Single	\$16			\$16
- Annual Utility Company	\$90			\$90
- Additional Engineering Investigation or Coordination	\$239/hr	\$34	5.7%	\$253/hr

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

CC 04-20-2021
193 of 842

FEE DESCRIPTION	FY 2020-21 Fee	\$ Change	% Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Banners				
- Large Banners Across Stevens Creek Boulevard	\$634	\$36	5.7%	\$673
Special Events/Parades	\$3,888	\$222	5.7%	\$4,110
Block Party	No Charge			No Charge
Vacation of Public Street ROW/PUE				
- Summary Vacation	\$2,657	\$151	5.7%	\$2,808
- Full Vacation	\$4,132	\$236	5.7%	\$4,368
Rural/Semi-Rural Classification Application				
- Application Phase	\$2,164	\$123	5.7%	\$2,287
- Implementation phase	\$1,324	\$75	5.7%	\$1,399
Certificate of Correction	\$992	\$57	5.7%	\$1,049
Floodplain Evaluation/Elevation Certificate Review	\$233	\$13	5.7%	\$246
Permit Parking Study				
- Application Phase	\$1,324	\$75	5.7%	\$1,399
- Implementation phase	\$1,083	\$62	5.7%	\$1,145
- Permit Parking Bi-annual Fee	No Charge			No Charge
Streamside Permit	\$425	\$24	5.7%	\$449
<u>Master Storm Drain Area Fees:</u>				
Low-Density Residential (Less than one dwelling unit per acre hillside zoning only)	\$3,875/dwelling unit	\$97	2.5%	\$3,972/dwelling unit
Single-Family Residential greater than one dwelling unit per acre and less than 5.2 dwelling units per	\$5,263/acre	\$132	2.5%	\$5,395/acre
Multiple Family greater than 5.2 dwelling units per acre	\$3,777/acre+	\$94	2.5%	\$3,871/acre+
	\$286/unit*	\$7	2.5%	\$293/unit*
*Maximum chargeable dwelling units of 20 units per acre.				
Commercial and Industrial	\$10,169/acre	\$254	2.5%	\$10,423/acre
Public Educational Uses	\$3,875/acre	\$97	2.5%	\$3,972/acre
Public Facility Uses	\$1,957/acre	\$49	2.5%	\$2,006/acre
Stormwater Permit Inspections - Commercial				
Initial Inspection	No charge			No charge
Re-Inspection for Violations	\$295	\$7	2.5%	\$302
Storm Management Plan Fee	\$1,484	\$37	2.5%	\$1,521
Public Works Staff Time	\$239/hr	\$14	5.7%	\$253/hr
<u>Park Land Dedication In-Lieu Fee*</u>				
Density of Dwelling Units/Ac				
0 - 5	\$105,000/DU			\$105,000/DU
5 - 10	\$60,000/DU			\$60,000/DU
10 - 20	\$60,000/DU			\$60,000/DU
20+	\$54,000/DU			\$54,000/DU
Senior Citizen Housing Dev.	\$30,000/DU			\$30,000/DU
ADU 750 SF or less more**	\$15,000 or proportional to the size of the main DU, whichever is less			\$15,000 or proportional to the size of the main DU, whichever is less
* Park Land Dedication Fees are calculated per Municipal Code section 13.08. On an annual basis, Public Works Department updates the fair market value of land based on appraised values of land sales.				
** ADU Park Land Dedication Fee is based on the density of the property per Municipal Code section 13.08, or proportionally to the size of the main dwelling unit as stipulated by State ADU mandates.				
<u>New Public Tree Cost Schedule:</u>				
Public Tree Planting Cost:				
24" Street Tree	\$427	\$11	2.5%	\$438
36" Street Tree or Larger	Actual costs			Actual costs

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

PUBLIC TREE DAMAGE OR REMOVAL FEE SCHEDULE:

This fee schedule is defined in Chapter 14.12 and establishes the fee to be paid to the City for damage to and/or removal of public trees.

1st time offenders, as defined in Chapter 14.12, shall be subject to a fee of 10% of the Public Tree Damage Fee or 10% of the Public Tree Removal Fee as defined below or \$600, whichever is higher, per public tree damaged and/or removed. No additional costs, such as stump removal, trimming, or replanting will apply.

Repeat offenders, intentional actors and professionals, as defined in Chapter 14.12, shall be subject to the following fees:

Public Tree Damage Fee:

\$100 per cumulative diameter inch of branch or root plus, if any, the actual costs incurred for immediate corrective pruning plus, if any, the calculated costs for future corrective pruning, as may be required to maintain the health of the tree.

Public Tree Removal Fee:

The fee for each tree removed shall be based upon the unmodified value of the tree removed (based upon diameter), multiplied by the species rating, multiplied by the condition rating.

FEE = UNMODIFIED TREE VALUE x SPECIES RATING x CONDITION RATING

For inputs, use the following values:

UNMODIFIED TREE	Refer to Unmodified Tree Value Table
SPECIES RATING	Refer to Species Rating Table
CONDITION RATING	Good = 1.00, Fair = 0.75, Poor = 0.50

The fee for trees less than 4 inches in diameter shall not be reduced by species or condition rating.

Trees larger than 40" shall have the fee determined by the most recent edition of the 'Guide for Plant Appraisal', published by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers, using the trunk formula method.

No additional costs, such as stump removal, trimming or replanting will apply.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Unmodified Tree Value Table:

Tree size (diameter of trunk)

1" to 2"	\$348
2" to 3"	\$348
3" to 4"	\$1,039
4" to 5"	\$1,039
5" to 6"	\$1,404
6" to 7"	\$1,851
7" to 8"	\$2,378
8" to 9"	\$2,987
9" to 10"	\$3,677
10" to 11"	\$4,449
11" to 12"	\$5,301
12" to 13"	\$6,235
13" to 14"	\$7,249
14" to 15"	\$8,345
15" to 16"	\$9,522
16" to 17"	\$10,780
17" to 18"	\$12,120
18" to 19"	\$13,540
19" to 20"	\$15,042
20" to 21"	\$16,625
21" to 22"	\$18,290
22" to 23"	\$20,036
23" to 24"	\$21,862
24" to 25"	\$23,769
25" to 26"	\$25,758
26" to 27"	\$27,829
27" to 28"	\$29,980
28" to 29"	\$32,212
29" to 30"	\$34,527
30" to 31"	\$36,920
31" to 32"	\$39,396
32" to 33"	\$41,954
33" to 34"	\$44,593
34" to 35"	\$47,312
35" to 36"	\$50,113
36" to 37"	\$52,995
37" to 38"	\$55,958
38" to 39"	\$59,003
39" to 40"	\$62,128

Measurement shall be measured 4.5 feet above the ground level and rounded down to the nearest whole inch.

If the tree is multi-trunk, use 1.5 times the diameter of the largest trunk to determine fee.

If there is tree damage 4-5 feet above the ground, trunk diameter is to be measured 1 foot above ground level and 1 inch is to be subtracted from the diameter to determine fee.

If the tree is removed to the ground, tree inventory data will be used to determine the trunk diameter.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Acacia	ACACIA	60
Blackwoodacacia	ACACIA MELANOXYLON	60
Trident maple	ACER BUERGERIANUM	90
Big leaf maple	ACER MACROPHYLLUM**	100
Japanese maple	ACER PALMATUM	90
Red maple	ACER REBRUM	70
Silver maple	ACER SACCHARINUM	80
California buckeye	AESCULUS CALIFORNICA**	100
Red hoursechesnut	AESCULUS X CARNEA	90
Tree of heaven	AILANTHUS ALTISSIMA	0
Silk tree	ALBIZIA JULIBRISSIN	50
Black Alder	ALNUS GLUTINOSA	80
Strawberry madrone	ARBUTUS MARINA	90
Madrone	ARBUTUS MENZIESII	100
Hong Kong orchid	BAUHIINIA BLAKEANA	75
Birch	BETULA ALBA	60
Incense cedar	CALOCEDRUS DECURRENS	80
Horsetail tree	CASUARINA EQUISEITIFOLIA	75
Blue atlas cedar	CEDRUS ATLANTICA**	100
Deodora cedar	CEDRUS DEODARA**	100
Chinese hackberry	CELTUS SINENSIS	65
Carob tree	CERATONIA SILIQUA	70
Redbud(eastern)	CERCIS CANADENSIS	75
Camphor tree	CINNAMOMUM CAMPHORA	70
Citrus	CITRUS SP	40
English hawthorn	CRATAEGUS LAEVIGATA	70
Cypress	CUPRESSACEAE	80
Italian cypress	CUPRESSUS SEMPERVIRENS	80
Japanese persimmon	DIOSPYROS KAKI	40
Loquat	ERIOBOTRYA DEFLEXA	60
Blue gum tree	EUCALYPTUS GLOBULUS	70
Eucalyptus	EUCALYPTUS SP	60
Mission fig	FICUS CARICA	40
Autumn purple ash	FRAXINUS AMERICANA	80
Raywood ash	FRAXINUS ANGUSTIFOLIA 'RAYWOOD'	80
Moraine ash	FRAXINUS HOLOTRICHA	80
Shamel ash	FRAXINUS UHDEI	80
Modesto ash	FRAXINUS VELUTINA 'MODESTO'	80
Australian willow	GEIJERA PARVIFOLIA	80
Maidenhair	GINKGO BILOBA	80
Honey locust	GLADISTIA TRIACANTHOS	70
Silk oak tree	GREVILLEA ROBUSTA	70
English holly	ILLEX AQUIFOLIUM	40
Jacaranda	JACARANDA MIMMOSIFOLIA	70
Walnut	JUGLANS	70

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Black walnut	JUGLANS HINDSII	70
Chinese flame tree	KOELREUTERIA BIPINNATA	80
Muskogee crape myrtle	LAGERSTROMIA 'MUSKOGEE'	80
Nanchez crape myrtle	LAGERSTROMIA 'NANCHEZ'	80
Tuscarora crape myrtle	LAGERSTROMIA 'TUSCARORA'	80
Sweet bay	LAURUS NOBILIS	80
Japanese privet	LIGUSTRUM JAPONICUM	30
American sweetgum	LIQUIDAMBER STYRACIFLUA	40
Tulip tree	LIRIODENDRON	60
Brisbane box tree	LOPHOSTEMON CONFERTUS	90
Magnolia	MAGNOLIA GRANDIFOLIA RUSSET	75
Magnolia (dwarf)	MAGNOLIA GRANDIFOLIA ST MARY	75
Saucer magnolia	MAGNOLIA SOLINGIANA	75
Crabapple tree	MALUS FLORIBUNDA	90
Apple	MALUS SP	40
Mayten tree	MAYTENUS	70
Malaleuca(broad leaf)	MELALEUCA LEUCADENDRA	60
Malaleuca(narrow leaf)	MELALEUCA LINARIFOLIA	60
Dawn redwood	METASAQUOIA GLYPTOSTROBILIDES	100
Fruitless mulberry	MORUS ALBA	40
Black mulberry	MORUS NIGRA	40
Myoprum	MYOPORUM LAETUM	70
Oleander tree	NERIUM OLEANDER	40
Olive	OLEA EUROPAEA	70
Devilwood	OSMANTHUS AMERICANUS	0
Palm	PALM*	40
Avocado	PERSEA AMERICANA	60
Red leaf photinia	PHOTINIA GLABRA	60
Spruce	PICEA	80
Colorado spruce	PICEA PUNGENS	80
Colorado blue spruce	PICEA PUNGENS 'GLAUCA'	80
Italian stone pine	PINUS PINEA	90
Pine	PINUS SP	30
Chinese pistacio	PISTACIA CHINENSIS	80
Lemonwood tree	PITTOSPORUM EUGENIODES	40
Japanese cheesewood	PITTOSPORUM TOBIRA	40
London plane 'colombiana'	PLATANUS COLUMBIANA	95
Western Sycamore	PLATANUS RACEMOSA**	100
London plane 'bloodgood'	PLATANUS X HISPANICA 'BLOODGOOD'	95
Yew pine	PODOCARPUS MACROPHYLLUS	75
Poplar	POPULUS	60
Flowering cherry	PRUNUS AKEBONO	80
Wild Plum	PRUNUS AMARACANA	40
Almond tree	PRUNUS ALMOND	50
Apricot tree	PRUNUS APRICOT	40

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Fruiting cherry	PRUNUS AVIUM	0
Carolina cherry	PRUNUS CAROLINIANA	60
Purple leaf plum	PRUNUS CERASFERA KRAUTER VESUVIUS	70
Peach tree	PRUNUS PERSICA	40
Douglas fir	PSEUDOTSUGA MENZIESII	80
Guava	PSIDIMUM GUAJAVA	40
Pomegranate	PUNICA GRANATUM	40
Aristocrat Flowering pear tree	PYRUS CALLERYANA 'ARISTOCRAT'	75
Bradford flowering pear	PYRUS CALLERYANA 'BRADFORD'	75
Chanticleer flowering pear	PYRUS CALLERYANA 'CHANTICLEER'	75
Evergreen flowering pear	PYRUS KAWAKAMII	75
Asian pear	PYRUS PYRIFOLIA	40
Oak	QUERCUS	90
Coast live oak	QUERCUS AGRIFOLIA**	100
White oak	QUERCUS ALBA	90
Texas red oak	QUERCUS BUCKEYI	90
Sierra oak	QUERCUS CAMBII	90
Blue oak	QUERCUS DOUGLASII**	100
Forest green oak	QUERCUS FRAINETTO	90
Holly oak	QUERCUS ILEX	90
Black oak	QUERCUS KELLOGGII**	100
Valley oak	QUERCUS LOBATA**	100
Red oak	QUERCUS SUBER	90
Cork oak	QUERCUS SUBER	90
Southern live oak	QUERCUS VIRGINIANA	100
Interior live oak	QUERCUS WISLIZENI**	100
African sumac	RHUS LANCIA	70
Weeping willow	SALIX BABYLONICA	40
Wild willow	SALIX SCOULERIANA	0
California pepper tree	SCHINUS MOLE	40
Brazilian pepper tree	SCHINUS TEREBINTHEFOLIUS	40
Coast redwood	SEQUIOA SEMPRIVIRONIS	95
Giant sequoia	SEQUIADENDRON GIGANTEUM	80
Japanese pogoda	SOPHORIA JAPONICA	70
Chinese tallow	TRIADICA SEBIFERA	50
Water gum	TRISTANIA LAURINA	70
Bosque chinese elm	ULMAS PARVIFOLIA 'BOSQUE'	90
Chinese elm	ULMUS PARVIFOLIA	70
Siberian elm	ULMUS PUMILA	60
Bay laurel	UMBELLULARIA CALIFORNICA**	100
Mexican fan palm	WASHINGTON ROBUSTA	0
Spanish dagger yucca	YUCCA GLORIOSA	0
Zelkova	ZELKOVA SERRATA	65

*All palms on Palm Avenue are protected heritage trees and will be rated @ 100%

**Protected tree species

DEFINITIONS

- A. Parcel Map: up to four (4) parcels (CMC Chapter 18.20).
- B. Tentative map: Five (5) or more parcels (CMC Chapter 18.16).
- C. Minor: Up to 10,000 square feet commercial/office/non-residential/industrial; up to six (6) residential units (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- D. Major: 10,000 or more square feet commercial/office/non-residential/industrial; six (6) or more residential units (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- E. Minor Architectural and Site Approval - Duplex/Residential: Architectural approval of single family homes in a planned development zoning district, redevelopment or modification of duplexes, and associated landscaping, where such review is required (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- F. Minor Architectural and Site Approval: Architectural approval of the following: minor building modifications, landscaping, signs and lighting for new development, redevelopment or modification in such zones where such review is required (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- G. Major Architectural and Site Approval: Architectural approval of all other development projects (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- H. Exceptions: An exception to the zoning standards for which an exception process and findings are identified in the Municipal Code. These include Fence, Sign, Height, Hillside, Parking, R-1, A, A-1, and R1 cluster zone exceptions. This also includes exceptions identified in the City's Specific Plans (CMC Chapter 19.12 and Title 20).
- I. Minor Modification: An application that is administratively reviewed by staff either at an advertised public hearing/meeting or in a non-hearing process (CMC Chapter 19.164).
- J. Temporary Sign Permit: A review of a temporary sign application for banners, A-frame signs and other temporary signs (CMC Chapter 19.104).
- K. Extension Permit: A one-time one-year extension of the planning permit expiration date (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- L. Appeal: A request from the project applicant or interested party to reverse or amend a decision made by the approval authority. Fee Exemption for: an appointed public official serving on the board that made the decision subject to the appeal, an appointed public official serving on a board that is directly affected by the decision and City Council members. At the conclusion of a City Council appeal hearing, it may choose to, at its sole discretion, refund all, a portion of, or none of the appeal fee (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- M. Legal Noticing Fee: Assessed for all permit applications that require noticing (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- N. Housing Mitigation Fee: A fee assessed in accordance with the City's General Plan Housing Element, Municipal Code (CMC 19.172) and the City's BMR Housing Mitigation Program Procedural Manual.
- Note: Mixed use applications will be classified based upon the highest intensity and review process. The Director of Community Development will have discretion to classify projects based upon the above criteria.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
200 of 842

Fee Description	FY 20-21 Fee	\$ Change	% Change	FY 21-22 Fee
Planning Staff Hourly Rate ¹	\$286	\$16	5.7%	\$302
General Plan				
Authorization	Staff Hourly Rate			Staff Hourly Rate
Amendment				
Zoning				
Zoning Map Amendment	Staff Hourly Rate			Staff Hourly Rate
Zoning Text Amendment				
Single-Story Overlay District				
Study Session	Staff Hourly Rate			Staff Hourly Rate
Subdivision				
Parcel Map (See Definition A)	\$17,975	\$1,025	5.7%	\$19,000
Tentative Map (See Definition B)	\$29,899	\$1,704	5.7%	\$31,603
Conditional Use/Development Permit				
Temporary Use Permit	\$3,987	\$227	5.7%	\$4,214
Administrative Conditional Use Permit	\$6,602	\$376	5.7%	\$6,978
Minor (See Definition C)	\$18,083	\$1,031	5.7%	\$19,114
Major (See Definition D)	\$30,132	\$1,718	5.7%	\$31,850
Amendment to Conditional Use/Development Permit				
Minor (See Definition C)	\$8,307	\$473	5.7%	\$8,780
Major (See Definition D)	\$15,171	\$865	5.7%	\$16,036
Architectural and Site Approval Permit				
Minor Duplex / Residential (See Definition E)	\$6,353	\$362	5.7%	\$6,715
Minor (See Definition F)	\$12,510	\$713	5.7%	\$13,223
Major (See Definition G)	\$18,620	\$1,061	5.7%	\$19,681
Single Family (R-1) Residential Permits				
Minor Residential Permit	\$3,262	\$186	5.7%	\$3,448
Two-Story Permit without Design Review	\$4,236	\$241	5.7%	\$4,477
Two-Story Permit with Design Review	\$5,083	\$290	5.7%	\$5,373
Director Minor Modification (See Definition I)	\$4,456	\$254	5.7%	\$4,710
Exceptions (See Definition H)				
Fence Exception - R1 & R2	\$1,213	\$69	5.7%	\$1,282
Fence Exception - Other	\$4,081	\$233	5.7%	\$4,314
Sign exception	\$4,645	\$265	5.7%	\$4,910
R-1 Exception	\$6,597	\$376	5.7%	\$6,973
Heart of the City Exception	\$18,442	\$1,051	5.7%	\$19,493
Hillside Exception	\$19,114	\$1,089	5.7%	\$20,203
Exception - Other	\$6,366	\$363	5.7%	\$6,729
Variance	\$7,295	\$416	5.7%	\$7,711
Reasonable Accommodation	\$1,059	\$60	5.7%	\$1,119

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
201 of 842

Fee Description	FY 20-21 Fee	Change		FY 21-22 Fee
Tree Removal Permit				
Tree Removal Permit (no Arborist review required)				
First Tree	\$282	\$16	5.7%	\$298
Each Additional Tree	\$142	\$8	5.7%	\$150
Tree Removal Permit (Arborist review required)				
First Tree	\$423	\$24	5.7%	\$447
Each Additional Tree	\$212	\$12	5.7%	\$224
Retroactive Tree Removal (per tree)	\$4,695	\$268	5.7%	\$4,963
Heritage Tree Designation	\$353	\$20	5.7%	\$373
Tree Management Plan	\$6,638	\$378	5.7%	\$7,016
Signs				
Temporary Sign Permit (See Definition J)	\$450	\$26	5.7%	\$476
Sign Program	\$3,645	\$208	5.7%	\$3,853
Planning Commission Interpretation	\$6,722	\$383	5.7%	\$7,105
Extension of Approved Entitlements (See Definition K)	\$1,807	\$103	5.7%	\$1,910
Environmental Assessment				
Environmental Impact Report (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee			Contract+Admin Fee
Negative Declaration - Major (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee			Contract+Admin Fee
Negative Declaration - Minor (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee			Contract+Admin Fee
Categorical Exemption (Plus County Filing Fee)	\$325	\$19	5.7%	\$344
Appeals (See Definition L)				
Planning Commission	\$325	\$19	5.7%	\$344
City Council	\$325	\$19	5.7%	\$344
Miscellaneous Fees				
Legal Noticing Fee (See Definition M)	\$378	\$22	5.7%	\$400
Zoning Verification Letter	\$477	\$27	5.7%	\$504
Public Convenience and Necessity Letter (Alcoholic Beverage License)	\$239	\$14	5.7%	\$253
Short-Term Rental	\$200	\$11	5.7%	\$211
Mobile Vending Permit	\$0	\$302		\$302
Fees Assessed at Building Permit Issuance				
Wireless Master Plan Fees				
Equipment Mounted on Existing Light/Utility Pole	\$8.75	\$0.50	5.7%	\$9.25
New Personal Wireless Facility (not mounted on light/utility pole)	\$1,906	\$109	5.7%	\$2,015
Zoning, Planning, Municipal Code Fees				
All Non-Residential and Multi-Family (per sq.ft.)	\$0.43	\$0.02	5.7%	\$0.45
Residential Single Family (per sq. ft.)	\$0.21	\$0.01	5.7%	\$0.22
General Plan Office Allocation Fee (per sq. ft.)	\$0.39	\$0.02	5.7%	\$0.41

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
202 of 842

FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY: ²				
<i>Housing Mitigation In-Lieu Fees ² (See Definition N)</i>				
Residential - Ownership (per sq. ft.)				
Detached Single Family Residence	\$18.98	\$0.30	1.6%	\$19.28
Small Lot Single Family Residence or Townhome	\$20.88	\$0.33	1.6%	\$21.21
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (up to 35 du/ac)	\$25.31	\$0.40	1.6%	\$25.71
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (over 35 du/ac)	\$25.31	\$0.40	1.6%	\$25.71
Residential - Rental (per sq. ft.)				
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (up to 35 du/ac)	\$25.31	\$0.40	1.6%	\$25.71
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (over 35 du/ac)	\$31.64	\$0.50	1.6%	\$32.14
Non-Residential (per sq. ft.)				
Office, Research and Development, or Industrial	\$30.00	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.47
Hotel	\$15.00	\$0.24	1.6%	\$15.24
Self-storage, employee unit provided	\$0.56	\$0.01	1.6%	\$0.57
Self-storage, employee unit not provided	\$1.18	\$0.02	1.6%	\$1.20
Warehouse	\$41.67	\$0.65	1.6%	\$42.32
Commercial/Retail	\$12.66	\$0.20	1.6%	\$12.85

¹Applications may be subject to a Planning Staff Hourly Rate fee for applicable staff time, and vendor invoice. These fees apply to projects that require a level of staff support greater than the scope of work included in the regular fee schedule and will be based on the time and materials required to process the entire project. The applicant will be notified if these fees are applicable to their project. The applicant will be required to enter into a Reimbursement Agreement with the City for such projects.

²All Housing Mitigation Fees are assessed in accordance with the BMR Housing Mitigation Manual. Non-residential Housing Mitigation In-lieu Fees are based on the 2015 and the 2020 Supplement to the Non-Residential Nexus Analysis by Keyser Marsten. Residential Housing Mitigation In-lieu Fees are based on the 2015 Nexus Study. These fees increase automatically annually (on July 1 of each year) by the Consumer Price Index of All Urban Consumers for San Francisco, CA.

An administrative fee (15%) will be charged for outside agency review/consultant services per Schedule A - General Fees.

If plans are submitted on paper, these must be sent to an outside agency for scanning. The cost of scanning the plans, plus the administrative fee per Schedule A - General Fees will be charged.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$9,590	\$63.09	\$7,992	\$52.57	\$6,393	\$42.06
	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$12,113	\$70.66	\$10,094	\$58.88	\$8,076	\$47.11
		10,000	\$15,646	\$55.53	\$13,039	\$46.27	\$10,431	\$37.02
		20,000	\$21,199	\$11.78	\$17,666	\$9.82	\$14,133	\$7.86
		50,000	\$24,734	\$12.10	\$20,612	\$10.09	\$16,489	\$8.07
		100,000	\$30,785	\$30.79	\$25,654	\$25.65	\$20,523	\$20.52
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$6,482	\$170.60	\$5,402	\$142.17	\$4,322	\$113.73
	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$8,188	\$191.05	\$6,824	\$159.20	\$5,459	\$127.36
		2,500	\$10,576	\$150.11	\$8,814	\$125.09	\$7,051	\$100.07
		5,000	\$14,329	\$31.85	\$11,941	\$26.54	\$9,553	\$21.23
		12,500	\$16,718	\$32.75	\$13,932	\$27.29	\$11,145	\$21.83
		25,000	\$20,811	\$83.25	\$17,343	\$69.37	\$13,874	\$55.50
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$12,153	\$79.95	\$10,127	\$66.62	\$8,102	\$53.30
	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$15,351	\$89.54	\$12,792	\$74.61	\$10,234	\$59.69
		10,000	\$19,828	\$70.37	\$16,523	\$58.65	\$13,218	\$46.92
		20,000	\$26,865	\$14.91	\$22,388	\$12.42	\$17,910	\$9.94
		50,000	\$31,337	\$15.36	\$26,114	\$12.80	\$20,892	\$10.24
		100,000	\$39,015	\$39.01	\$32,512	\$32.51	\$26,010	\$26.01
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$7,401	\$97.39	\$6,168	\$81.16	\$4,934	\$64.93
	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$9,349	\$109.05	\$7,791	\$90.88	\$6,233	\$72.70
		5,000	\$12,076	\$85.72	\$10,063	\$71.43	\$8,050	\$57.15
		10,000	\$16,362	\$18.18	\$13,635	\$15.15	\$10,908	\$12.12
		25,000	\$19,089	\$18.69	\$15,907	\$15.58	\$12,726	\$12.46
		50,000	\$23,763	\$47.53	\$19,802	\$39.60	\$15,842	\$31.68
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$7,831	\$103.06	\$6,526	\$85.88	\$5,221	\$68.70
	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$9,893	\$115.41	\$8,244	\$96.18	\$6,595	\$76.94
		5,000	\$12,778	\$90.68	\$10,648	\$75.56	\$8,519	\$60.45
		10,000	\$17,312	\$19.23	\$14,426	\$16.02	\$11,541	\$12.82
		25,000	\$20,196	\$19.81	\$16,830	\$16.51	\$13,464	\$13.21
		50,000	\$25,148	\$50.30	\$20,957	\$41.91	\$16,765	\$33.53
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$4,930	\$64.87	\$4,109	\$54.06	\$3,287	\$43.25
		2,500	\$6,228	\$72.64	\$5,190	\$60.53	\$4,152	\$48.42
		5,000	\$8,044	\$57.10	\$6,703	\$47.58	\$5,362	\$38.07
		10,000	\$10,899	\$12.10	\$9,082	\$10.09	\$7,266	\$8.07
		25,000	\$12,714	\$12.45	\$10,595	\$10.37	\$8,476	\$8.30
		50,000	\$15,825	\$31.65	\$13,188	\$26.38	\$10,550	\$21.10
B	Business—Bank	500	\$6,685	\$87.95	\$5,571	\$73.29	\$4,457	\$58.63
		2,500	\$8,444	\$98.53	\$7,037	\$82.11	\$5,630	\$65.69
		5,000	\$10,908	\$77.40	\$9,090	\$64.50	\$7,272	\$51.60
		10,000	\$14,778	\$16.42	\$12,315	\$13.69	\$9,852	\$10.95
		25,000	\$17,241	\$16.90	\$14,368	\$14.08	\$11,494	\$11.27
		50,000	\$21,466	\$42.93	\$17,888	\$35.78	\$14,311	\$28.62

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$5,742	\$188.88	\$4,785	\$157.40	\$3,828	\$125.92
		1,000	\$7,253	\$211.55	\$6,044	\$176.29	\$4,835	\$141.03
		2,000	\$9,369	\$166.20	\$7,807	\$138.50	\$6,246	\$110.80
		4,000	\$12,693	\$35.28	\$10,577	\$29.40	\$8,462	\$23.52
		10,000	\$14,809	\$36.26	\$12,341	\$30.22	\$9,873	\$24.17
		20,000	\$18,435	\$92.18	\$15,363	\$76.81	\$12,290	\$61.45
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$6,888	\$90.62	\$5,740	\$75.52	\$4,592	\$60.41
		2,500	\$8,701	\$101.53	\$7,250	\$84.61	\$5,800	\$67.69
		5,000	\$11,239	\$79.73	\$9,366	\$66.44	\$7,493	\$53.15
		10,000	\$15,225	\$16.91	\$12,688	\$14.09	\$10,150	\$11.27
		25,000	\$17,762	\$17.41	\$14,801	\$14.51	\$11,841	\$11.61
		50,000	\$22,115	\$44.23	\$18,429	\$36.86	\$14,743	\$29.49
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$7,606	\$74.26	\$6,338	\$61.89	\$5,071	\$49.51
		5,000	\$10,576	\$63.88	\$8,814	\$53.24	\$7,051	\$42.59
		10,000	\$13,771	\$45.17	\$11,475	\$37.64	\$9,180	\$30.11
		20,000	\$18,288	\$10.67	\$15,240	\$8.89	\$12,192	\$7.11
		50,000	\$21,488	\$11.46	\$17,907	\$9.55	\$14,326	\$7.64
		100,000	\$27,219	\$27.22	\$22,682	\$22.68	\$18,146	\$18.15
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$5,273	\$115.63	\$4,394	\$96.36	\$3,515	\$77.09
		1,500	\$6,661	\$129.51	\$5,551	\$107.93	\$4,440	\$86.34
		3,000	\$8,603	\$101.76	\$7,170	\$84.80	\$5,736	\$67.84
		6,000	\$11,656	\$21.58	\$9,713	\$17.98	\$7,771	\$14.39
		15,000	\$13,598	\$22.20	\$11,332	\$18.50	\$9,065	\$14.80
		30,000	\$16,929	\$56.43	\$14,107	\$47.02	\$11,286	\$37.62
E	Educational — Preschool / School	100	\$5,676	\$373.45	\$4,730	\$311.20	\$3,784	\$248.96
		500	\$7,170	\$418.22	\$5,975	\$348.52	\$4,780	\$278.81
		1,000	\$9,261	\$328.64	\$7,718	\$273.87	\$6,174	\$219.09
		2,000	\$12,547	\$69.72	\$10,456	\$58.10	\$8,365	\$46.48
		5,000	\$14,639	\$71.67	\$12,199	\$59.73	\$9,759	\$47.78
		10,000	\$18,223	\$182.23	\$15,186	\$151.86	\$12,148	\$121.48
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,459	\$293.33	\$3,716	\$244.44	\$2,972	\$195.55
		500	\$5,632	\$328.55	\$4,693	\$273.79	\$3,755	\$219.03
		1,000	\$7,275	\$258.13	\$6,062	\$215.11	\$4,850	\$172.09
		2,000	\$9,856	\$54.75	\$8,213	\$45.63	\$6,571	\$36.50
		5,000	\$11,499	\$56.32	\$9,582	\$46.93	\$7,666	\$37.55
		10,000	\$14,314	\$143.14	\$11,929	\$119.29	\$9,543	\$95.43
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$6,965	\$19.87	\$5,804	\$16.56	\$4,643	\$13.25
		5,000	\$7,760	\$31.79	\$6,467	\$26.49	\$5,173	\$21.19
		10,000	\$9,349	\$34.44	\$7,791	\$28.70	\$6,233	\$22.96
		20,000	\$12,793	\$5.29	\$10,661	\$4.41	\$8,529	\$3.53
		50,000	\$14,379	\$3.19	\$11,983	\$2.66	\$9,586	\$2.12
		100,000	\$15,973	\$15.97	\$13,311	\$13.31	\$10,649	\$10.65

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
F-2	Factory Industrial— Low Hazard	1,000	\$7,162	\$47.13	\$5,969	\$39.27	\$4,775	\$31.42
		5,000	\$9,048	\$52.78	\$7,540	\$43.98	\$6,032	\$35.19
		10,000	\$11,687	\$41.48	\$9,739	\$34.56	\$7,791	\$27.65
		20,000	\$15,834	\$8.77	\$13,195	\$7.31	\$10,556	\$5.85
		50,000	\$18,467	\$9.04	\$15,389	\$7.54	\$12,311	\$6.03
		100,000	\$22,988	\$22.99	\$19,157	\$19.16	\$15,325	\$15.33
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$5,243	\$34.50	\$4,369	\$28.75	\$3,495	\$23.00
		5,000	\$6,623	\$38.63	\$5,519	\$32.19	\$4,415	\$25.75
		10,000	\$8,554	\$30.35	\$7,128	\$25.29	\$5,703	\$20.23
		20,000	\$11,589	\$6.43	\$9,658	\$5.36	\$7,726	\$4.29
		50,000	\$13,520	\$6.63	\$11,267	\$5.52	\$9,013	\$4.42
		100,000	\$16,833	\$16.83	\$14,027	\$14.03	\$11,222	\$11.22
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$5,316	\$349.73	\$4,430	\$291.44	\$3,544	\$233.15
		500	\$6,715	\$391.72	\$5,596	\$326.43	\$4,477	\$261.14
		1,000	\$8,674	\$307.76	\$7,228	\$256.47	\$5,782	\$205.17
		2,000	\$11,751	\$65.30	\$9,793	\$54.41	\$7,834	\$43.53
		5,000	\$13,710	\$67.14	\$11,425	\$55.95	\$9,140	\$44.76
		10,000	\$17,067	\$170.67	\$14,222	\$142.22	\$11,378	\$113.78
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$6,379	\$419.68	\$5,316	\$349.73	\$4,253	\$279.79
		500	\$8,058	\$470.06	\$6,715	\$391.72	\$5,372	\$313.37
		1,000	\$10,408	\$369.31	\$8,674	\$307.76	\$6,939	\$246.21
		2,000	\$14,101	\$78.36	\$11,751	\$65.30	\$9,401	\$52.24
		5,000	\$16,452	\$80.56	\$13,710	\$67.14	\$10,968	\$53.71
		10,000	\$20,480	\$204.80	\$17,067	\$170.67	\$13,654	\$136.54
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$6,960	\$457.85	\$5,800	\$381.54	\$4,640	\$305.23
		500	\$8,791	\$512.79	\$7,326	\$427.33	\$5,861	\$341.86
		1,000	\$11,355	\$402.91	\$9,462	\$335.76	\$7,570	\$268.61
		2,000	\$15,384	\$85.48	\$12,820	\$71.24	\$10,256	\$56.99
		5,000	\$17,948	\$87.90	\$14,957	\$73.25	\$11,966	\$58.60
		10,000	\$22,344	\$223.44	\$18,620	\$186.20	\$14,896	\$148.96
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$5,568	\$366.28	\$4,640	\$305.23	\$3,712	\$244.19
		500	\$7,033	\$410.23	\$5,861	\$341.86	\$4,688	\$273.49
		1,000	\$9,084	\$322.33	\$7,570	\$268.61	\$6,056	\$214.88
		2,000	\$12,307	\$68.39	\$10,256	\$56.99	\$8,205	\$45.59
		5,000	\$14,359	\$70.32	\$11,966	\$58.60	\$9,573	\$46.88
		10,000	\$17,875	\$178.75	\$14,896	\$148.96	\$11,917	\$119.17
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$5,568	\$366.28	\$4,640	\$305.23	\$3,712	\$244.19
		500	\$7,033	\$410.23	\$5,861	\$341.86	\$4,688	\$273.49
		1,000	\$9,084	\$322.33	\$7,570	\$268.61	\$6,056	\$214.88
		2,000	\$12,307	\$68.39	\$10,256	\$56.99	\$8,205	\$45.59
		5,000	\$14,359	\$70.32	\$11,966	\$58.60	\$9,573	\$46.88
		10,000	\$17,875	\$178.75	\$14,896	\$148.96	\$11,917	\$119.17

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,107	\$270.16	\$3,422	\$225.14	\$2,738	\$180.11
		500	\$5,187	\$302.61	\$4,323	\$252.18	\$3,458	\$201.74
		1,000	\$6,700	\$237.75	\$5,584	\$198.13	\$4,467	\$158.50
		2,000	\$9,078	\$50.41	\$7,565	\$42.01	\$6,052	\$33.61
		5,000	\$10,590	\$51.89	\$8,825	\$43.25	\$7,060	\$34.60
		10,000	\$13,185	\$131.85	\$10,987	\$109.87	\$8,790	\$87.90
I-1	Institutional—7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$6,977	\$91.81	\$5,814	\$76.51	\$4,651	\$61.21
		2,500	\$8,813	\$102.80	\$7,344	\$85.67	\$5,876	\$68.54
		5,000	\$11,384	\$80.80	\$9,486	\$67.33	\$7,589	\$53.86
		10,000	\$15,423	\$17.13	\$12,853	\$14.27	\$10,282	\$11.42
		25,000	\$17,992	\$17.64	\$14,993	\$14.70	\$11,995	\$11.76
		50,000	\$22,402	\$44.80	\$18,668	\$37.34	\$14,934	\$29.87
I-2	Institutional—6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$8,451	\$111.20	\$7,043	\$92.67	\$5,634	\$74.14
		2,500	\$10,675	\$124.52	\$8,896	\$103.77	\$7,117	\$83.01
		5,000	\$13,788	\$97.86	\$11,490	\$81.55	\$9,192	\$65.24
		10,000	\$18,682	\$20.76	\$15,568	\$17.30	\$12,454	\$13.84
		25,000	\$21,796	\$21.33	\$18,164	\$17.78	\$14,531	\$14.22
		50,000	\$27,129	\$54.26	\$22,608	\$45.22	\$18,086	\$36.17
I-3	Institutional—6+ persons, restrained	500	\$7,775	\$102.31	\$6,479	\$85.25	\$5,184	\$68.20
		2,500	\$9,821	\$114.58	\$8,185	\$95.49	\$6,548	\$76.39
		5,000	\$12,686	\$90.05	\$10,572	\$75.04	\$8,457	\$60.03
		10,000	\$17,189	\$19.08	\$14,324	\$15.90	\$11,459	\$12.72
		25,000	\$20,050	\$19.63	\$16,709	\$16.36	\$13,367	\$13.09
		50,000	\$24,958	\$49.92	\$20,798	\$41.60	\$16,639	\$33.28
I-4	Institutional—6+ persons, day care	500	\$7,775	\$102.31	\$6,479	\$85.25	\$5,184	\$68.20
		2,500	\$9,821	\$114.58	\$8,185	\$95.49	\$6,548	\$76.39
		5,000	\$12,686	\$90.05	\$10,572	\$75.04	\$8,457	\$60.03
		10,000	\$17,189	\$19.08	\$14,324	\$15.90	\$11,459	\$12.72
		25,000	\$20,050	\$19.63	\$16,709	\$16.36	\$13,367	\$13.09
		50,000	\$24,958	\$49.92	\$20,798	\$41.60	\$16,639	\$33.28
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,111	\$270.46	\$3,426	\$225.38	\$2,741	\$180.31
		500	\$5,193	\$302.92	\$4,327	\$252.43	\$3,462	\$201.94
		1,000	\$6,707	\$238.00	\$5,590	\$198.34	\$4,472	\$158.67
		2,000	\$9,087	\$50.48	\$7,573	\$42.07	\$6,058	\$33.65
		5,000	\$10,602	\$51.95	\$8,835	\$43.29	\$7,068	\$34.63
		10,000	\$13,199	\$131.99	\$10,999	\$109.99	\$8,800	\$88.00
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$10,947	\$36.00	\$9,122	\$30.00	\$7,298	\$24.00
		10,000	\$13,826	\$40.36	\$11,522	\$33.63	\$9,218	\$26.91
		20,000	\$17,862	\$31.70	\$14,885	\$26.41	\$11,908	\$21.13
		40,000	\$24,201	\$6.71	\$20,168	\$5.59	\$16,134	\$4.47
		100,000	\$28,226	\$6.92	\$23,522	\$5.76	\$18,817	\$4.61
		200,000	\$35,143	\$17.57	\$29,285	\$14.64	\$23,428	\$11.71

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,865	\$106.68	\$4,054	\$88.90	\$3,243	\$71.12
		1,500	\$6,145	\$119.46	\$5,121	\$99.55	\$4,096	\$79.64
		3,000	\$7,937	\$93.90	\$6,614	\$78.25	\$5,291	\$62.60
		6,000	\$10,754	\$19.89	\$8,961	\$16.58	\$7,169	\$13.26
		15,000	\$12,544	\$20.50	\$10,453	\$17.09	\$8,363	\$13.67
		30,000	\$15,619	\$52.06	\$13,016	\$43.39	\$10,413	\$34.71
R-1	Residential—Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$16,236	\$7.12	\$13,530	\$5.93	\$10,824	\$4.75
		15,000	\$17,090	\$22.79	\$14,242	\$18.99	\$11,393	\$15.19
		30,000	\$20,508	\$2.87	\$17,090	\$2.39	\$13,672	\$1.91
		60,000	\$21,368	\$3.79	\$17,806	\$3.16	\$14,245	\$2.53
		150,000	\$24,779	\$0.58	\$20,649	\$0.48	\$16,519	\$0.39
		300,000	\$25,652	\$8.55	\$21,377	\$7.13	\$17,101	\$5.70
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building	800	\$14,741	\$24.25	\$12,284	\$20.21	\$9,828	\$16.17
		4,000	\$15,517	\$77.56	\$12,931	\$64.63	\$10,345	\$51.71
		8,000	\$18,620	\$9.71	\$15,516	\$8.10	\$12,413	\$6.48
		16,000	\$19,397	\$12.93	\$16,164	\$10.78	\$12,931	\$8.62
		40,000	\$22,500	\$1.95	\$18,750	\$1.62	\$15,000	\$1.30
		80,000	\$23,279	\$29.10	\$19,399	\$24.25	\$15,519	\$19.40
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building - Repeat Unit	800	\$210	\$0.34	\$175	\$0.28	\$140	\$0.23
		4,000	\$221	\$1.11	\$184	\$0.93	\$147	\$0.74
		8,000	\$265	\$0.13	\$221	\$0.10	\$177	\$0.08
		16,000	\$275	\$0.20	\$229	\$0.16	\$183	\$0.13
		40,000	\$322	\$0.02	\$269	\$0.01	\$215	\$0.01
		80,000	\$329	\$0.41	\$275	\$0.34	\$220	\$0.27
R-3	Dwellings—Custom Homes, Models, First Master Plan	499	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
		1,000	\$7,387	\$22.05	\$6,156	\$18.37	\$4,924	\$14.70
		2,500	\$7,717	\$88.32	\$6,431	\$73.60	\$5,145	\$58.88
		4,000	\$9,042	\$16.54	\$7,535	\$13.78	\$6,028	\$11.03
		6,000	\$9,373	\$66.23	\$7,811	\$55.19	\$6,249	\$44.16
		8,000	\$10,698	\$16.54	\$8,915	\$13.78	\$7,132	\$11.03
		10,000	\$11,029	\$110.29	\$9,190	\$91.90	\$7,352	\$73.52
R-3	Dwellings—Production Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	1,000	\$2,869	\$10.06	\$2,391	\$8.38	\$1,912	\$6.71
		2,500	\$3,020	\$40.26	\$2,516	\$33.55	\$2,013	\$26.84
		4,000	\$3,623	\$7.59	\$3,020	\$6.32	\$2,416	\$5.06
		6,000	\$3,775	\$30.15	\$3,146	\$25.13	\$2,517	\$20.10
		8,000	\$4,378	\$7.61	\$3,649	\$6.34	\$2,919	\$5.07
		10,000	\$4,530	\$45.30	\$3,775	\$37.75	\$3,020	\$30.20
R-3	Dwellings—Alternate Materials	1,000	\$6,538	\$22.94	\$5,449	\$19.12	\$4,359	\$15.30
		2,500	\$6,882	\$91.75	\$5,735	\$76.46	\$4,588	\$61.17
		4,000	\$8,259	\$17.24	\$6,882	\$14.36	\$5,506	\$11.49
		6,000	\$8,603	\$68.81	\$7,170	\$57.34	\$5,736	\$45.87
		8,000	\$9,980	\$17.19	\$8,316	\$14.33	\$6,653	\$11.46
		10,000	\$10,323	\$103.23	\$8,603	\$86.03	\$6,882	\$68.82

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Custom Homes	1,000	\$8,635	\$30.30	\$7,196	\$25.25	\$5,757	\$20.20
	, Models First Master Plan	2,500	\$9,090	\$121.18	\$7,575	\$100.98	\$6,060	\$80.79
		4,000	\$10,907	\$22.74	\$9,089	\$18.95	\$7,271	\$15.16
		6,000	\$11,362	\$90.88	\$9,468	\$75.73	\$7,575	\$60.59
		8,000	\$13,180	\$22.72	\$10,983	\$18.93	\$8,786	\$15.15
		10,000	\$13,634	\$136.34	\$11,362	\$113.62	\$9,089	\$90.89
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Production Phase	1,000	\$2,869	\$10.06	\$2,391	\$8.38	\$1,912	\$6.71
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$3,020	\$40.26	\$2,516	\$33.55	\$2,013	\$26.84
		4,000	\$3,623	\$7.59	\$3,020	\$6.32	\$2,416	\$5.06
		6,000	\$3,775	\$30.15	\$3,146	\$25.13	\$2,517	\$20.10
		8,000	\$4,378	\$7.61	\$3,649	\$6.34	\$2,919	\$5.07
		10,000	\$4,530	\$45.30	\$3,775	\$37.75	\$3,020	\$30.20
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Alternate Materials	1,000	\$6,538	\$22.94	\$5,449	\$19.12	\$4,359	\$15.30
		2,500	\$6,882	\$91.75	\$5,735	\$76.46	\$4,588	\$61.17
		4,000	\$8,259	\$17.24	\$6,882	\$14.36	\$5,506	\$11.49
		6,000	\$8,603	\$68.81	\$7,170	\$57.34	\$5,736	\$45.87
		8,000	\$9,980	\$17.19	\$8,316	\$14.33	\$6,653	\$11.46
		10,000	\$10,323	\$103.23	\$8,603	\$86.03	\$6,882	\$68.82
R-3.1	Group Care	1,000	\$12,212	\$16.07	\$10,177	\$13.39	\$8,142	\$10.71
		5,000	\$12,855	\$51.44	\$10,713	\$42.86	\$8,570	\$34.29
		10,000	\$15,427	\$6.40	\$12,856	\$5.33	\$10,285	\$4.27
		20,000	\$16,067	\$8.56	\$13,389	\$7.13	\$10,711	\$5.71
		50,000	\$18,635	\$1.28	\$15,529	\$1.06	\$12,423	\$0.85
		100,000	\$19,272	\$19.27	\$16,060	\$16.06	\$12,848	\$12.85
R-4	Group Care	100	\$6,893	\$90.69	\$5,744	\$75.57	\$4,595	\$60.46
		500	\$7,255	\$290.23	\$6,046	\$241.86	\$4,837	\$193.49
		1,000	\$8,706	\$36.26	\$7,255	\$30.22	\$5,804	\$24.17
		2,000	\$9,069	\$48.38	\$7,558	\$40.32	\$6,046	\$32.25
		5,000	\$10,520	\$7.25	\$8,767	\$6.04	\$7,014	\$4.83
		10,000	\$10,883	\$108.83	\$9,069	\$90.69	\$7,255	\$72.55
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$4,017	\$66.06	\$3,347	\$55.05	\$2,678	\$44.04
		400	\$4,228	\$211.42	\$3,523	\$176.18	\$2,819	\$140.94
		800	\$5,074	\$26.44	\$4,228	\$22.03	\$3,382	\$17.62
		1,600	\$5,285	\$35.22	\$4,404	\$29.35	\$3,523	\$23.48
		4,000	\$6,130	\$5.30	\$5,109	\$4.42	\$4,087	\$3.54
		8,000	\$6,343	\$79.28	\$5,286	\$66.07	\$4,228	\$52.86
S-1	Storage—Moderate Hazard	600	\$5,620	\$61.63	\$4,683	\$51.36	\$3,747	\$41.08
		3,000	\$7,099	\$69.03	\$5,916	\$57.53	\$4,733	\$46.02
		6,000	\$9,170	\$54.24	\$7,642	\$45.20	\$6,113	\$36.16
		12,000	\$12,425	\$11.50	\$10,354	\$9.58	\$8,283	\$7.66
		30,000	\$14,494	\$11.82	\$12,078	\$9.85	\$9,663	\$7.88
		60,000	\$18,040	\$30.07	\$15,033	\$25.05	\$12,026	\$20.04

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$12,976	\$8.52	\$10,813	\$7.10	\$8,651	\$5.68
		50,000	\$16,385	\$9.58	\$13,654	\$7.98	\$10,923	\$6.39
		100,000	\$21,175	\$7.52	\$17,646	\$6.27	\$14,117	\$5.01
		200,000	\$28,696	\$1.59	\$23,913	\$1.32	\$19,131	\$1.06
		500,000	\$33,464	\$1.63	\$27,886	\$1.36	\$22,309	\$1.09
		1,000,000	\$41,634	\$4.16	\$34,695	\$3.47	\$27,756	\$2.78
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$5,070	\$55.58	\$4,225	\$46.32	\$3,380	\$37.06
		3,000	\$6,404	\$62.29	\$5,337	\$51.91	\$4,269	\$41.53
		6,000	\$8,273	\$48.93	\$6,894	\$40.78	\$5,515	\$32.62
		12,000	\$11,209	\$10.36	\$9,341	\$8.64	\$7,473	\$6.91
		30,000	\$13,074	\$10.68	\$10,895	\$8.90	\$8,716	\$7.12
		60,000	\$16,278	\$27.13	\$13,565	\$22.61	\$10,852	\$18.09
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	999	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Agricultural Building	2,000	\$3,571	\$43.72	\$2,976	\$36.43	\$2,381	\$29.14
		4,000	\$4,445	\$111.14	\$3,705	\$92.61	\$2,964	\$74.09
	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$1,082	\$7.12	\$902	\$5.93	\$721	\$4.75
		5,000	\$1,367	\$7.99	\$1,139	\$6.66	\$911	\$5.33
		10,000	\$1,766	\$6.25	\$1,472	\$5.20	\$1,177	\$4.16
		20,000	\$2,391	\$1.32	\$1,992	\$1.10	\$1,594	\$0.88
		50,000	\$2,787	\$1.37	\$2,322	\$1.14	\$1,858	\$0.91
		100,000	\$3,469	\$3.47	\$2,891	\$2.89	\$2,313	\$2.31
	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$1,082	\$18.98	\$902	\$15.82	\$721	\$12.65
		2,500	\$1,367	\$26.55	\$1,139	\$22.12	\$911	\$17.70
		4,000	\$1,765	\$31.36	\$1,471	\$26.13	\$1,176	\$20.91
		6,000	\$2,392	\$19.90	\$1,993	\$16.58	\$1,595	\$13.27
		8,000	\$2,790	\$34.20	\$2,325	\$28.50	\$1,860	\$22.80
		10,000	\$3,474	\$34.74	\$2,895	\$28.95	\$2,316	\$23.16
	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$4,454	\$11.71	\$3,712	\$9.76	\$2,969	\$7.81
	w/o Podium	2,500	\$4,688	\$37.52	\$3,907	\$31.26	\$3,126	\$25.01
		5,000	\$5,626	\$4.68	\$4,688	\$3.90	\$3,751	\$3.12
		10,000	\$5,860	\$6.26	\$4,883	\$5.22	\$3,907	\$4.17
		25,000	\$6,799	\$0.92	\$5,666	\$0.76	\$4,533	\$0.61
		50,000	\$7,029	\$14.06	\$5,857	\$11.71	\$4,686	\$9.37
	Standard Comm. Foundation	3,000	\$4,779	\$12.43	\$3,982	\$10.36	\$3,186	\$8.29
	with Podium	15,000	\$5,099	\$38.32	\$4,249	\$31.93	\$3,400	\$25.55
		30,000	\$6,158	\$5.30	\$5,132	\$4.42	\$4,105	\$3.54
		60,000	\$6,580	\$6.39	\$5,483	\$5.32	\$4,387	\$4.26
		150,000	\$7,632	\$1.04	\$6,360	\$0.87	\$5,088	\$0.70
		300,000	\$8,049	\$14.40	\$6,708	\$12.00	\$5,366	\$9.60

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

			Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
SHELL BUILDINGS								
	All Shell Buildings	500	\$4,322	\$56.87	\$3,601	\$47.39	\$2,881	\$37.92
		2,500	\$5,459	\$63.68	\$4,549	\$53.06	\$3,639	\$42.45
		5,000	\$7,051	\$50.05	\$5,876	\$41.71	\$4,701	\$33.37
		10,000	\$9,553	\$10.62	\$7,961	\$8.85	\$6,369	\$7.08
		25,000	\$11,147	\$10.92	\$9,289	\$9.10	\$7,431	\$7.28
		50,000	\$13,878	\$27.76	\$11,565	\$23.13	\$9,252	\$18.50

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$5,307	\$43.19	\$4,423	\$35.99	\$3,538	\$28.80
	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$7,035	\$46.90	\$5,863	\$39.08	\$4,690	\$31.27
		10,000	\$9,380	\$20.98	\$7,817	\$17.48	\$6,254	\$13.99
		20,000	\$11,478	\$6.18	\$9,565	\$5.15	\$7,652	\$4.12
		50,000	\$13,333	\$10.37	\$11,111	\$8.64	\$8,889	\$6.91
		100,000	\$18,519	\$18.52	\$15,432	\$15.43	\$12,346	\$12.35
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$4,683	\$152.48	\$3,903	\$127.07	\$3,122	\$101.66
	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$6,208	\$165.53	\$5,173	\$137.94	\$4,139	\$110.35
		2,500	\$8,277	\$74.07	\$6,897	\$61.72	\$5,518	\$49.38
		5,000	\$10,129	\$21.79	\$8,441	\$18.16	\$6,752	\$14.52
		12,500	\$11,763	\$36.58	\$9,802	\$30.48	\$7,842	\$24.38
		25,000	\$16,335	\$65.34	\$13,612	\$54.45	\$10,890	\$43.56
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$7,961	\$64.79	\$6,634	\$53.99	\$5,307	\$43.19
	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$10,553	\$70.35	\$8,794	\$58.63	\$7,035	\$46.90
		10,000	\$14,070	\$31.47	\$11,725	\$26.23	\$9,380	\$20.98
		20,000	\$17,218	\$9.27	\$14,348	\$7.73	\$11,478	\$6.18
		50,000	\$20,000	\$15.56	\$16,667	\$12.96	\$13,333	\$10.37
		100,000	\$27,778	\$27.78	\$23,149	\$23.15	\$18,519	\$18.52
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$4,608	\$75.02	\$3,840	\$62.52	\$3,072	\$50.01
	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$6,109	\$81.46	\$5,090	\$67.88	\$4,072	\$54.31
		5,000	\$8,145	\$36.44	\$6,788	\$30.37	\$5,430	\$24.29
		10,000	\$9,967	\$10.70	\$8,306	\$8.92	\$6,645	\$7.13
		25,000	\$11,572	\$18.00	\$9,644	\$15.00	\$7,715	\$12.00
		50,000	\$16,072	\$32.14	\$13,393	\$26.79	\$10,714	\$21.43
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$5,058	\$82.34	\$4,215	\$68.62	\$3,372	\$54.89
	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$6,705	\$89.38	\$5,587	\$74.48	\$4,470	\$59.59
		5,000	\$8,939	\$40.00	\$7,449	\$33.33	\$5,959	\$26.67
		10,000	\$10,939	\$11.76	\$9,116	\$9.80	\$7,293	\$7.84
		25,000	\$12,703	\$19.74	\$10,586	\$16.45	\$8,469	\$13.16
		50,000	\$17,638	\$35.28	\$14,699	\$29.40	\$11,759	\$23.52
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$5,058	\$82.34	\$4,215	\$68.62	\$3,372	\$54.89
		2,500	\$6,705	\$89.38	\$5,587	\$74.48	\$4,470	\$59.59
		5,000	\$8,939	\$40.00	\$7,449	\$33.33	\$5,959	\$26.67
		10,000	\$10,939	\$11.76	\$9,116	\$9.80	\$7,293	\$7.84
		25,000	\$12,703	\$19.74	\$10,586	\$16.45	\$8,469	\$13.16
		50,000	\$17,638	\$35.28	\$14,699	\$29.40	\$11,759	\$23.52
B	Business—Bank	500	\$5,245	\$85.40	\$4,371	\$71.17	\$3,497	\$56.93
		2,500	\$6,953	\$92.69	\$5,794	\$77.24	\$4,635	\$61.79
		5,000	\$9,270	\$41.48	\$7,725	\$34.56	\$6,180	\$27.65
		10,000	\$11,344	\$12.19	\$9,453	\$10.16	\$7,563	\$8.13
		25,000	\$13,173	\$20.50	\$10,977	\$17.09	\$8,782	\$13.67
		50,000	\$18,299	\$36.60	\$15,249	\$30.50	\$12,199	\$24.40

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$4,683	\$190.58	\$3,903	\$158.82	\$3,122	\$127.05
		1,000	\$6,208	\$206.94	\$5,173	\$172.45	\$4,138	\$137.96
		2,000	\$8,277	\$92.56	\$6,898	\$77.13	\$5,518	\$61.70
		4,000	\$10,128	\$27.24	\$8,440	\$22.70	\$6,752	\$18.16
		10,000	\$11,763	\$45.73	\$9,802	\$38.11	\$7,842	\$30.49
		20,000	\$16,336	\$81.68	\$13,613	\$68.07	\$10,890	\$54.45
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$5,339	\$86.90	\$4,449	\$72.42	\$3,559	\$57.93
		2,500	\$7,077	\$94.37	\$5,897	\$78.64	\$4,718	\$62.91
		5,000	\$9,436	\$42.19	\$7,863	\$35.16	\$6,291	\$28.13
		10,000	\$11,546	\$12.42	\$9,621	\$10.35	\$7,697	\$8.28
		25,000	\$13,408	\$20.86	\$11,173	\$17.38	\$8,939	\$13.91
		50,000	\$18,623	\$37.25	\$15,519	\$31.04	\$12,416	\$24.83
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$7,118	\$57.94	\$5,932	\$48.28	\$4,746	\$38.63
		5,000	\$9,436	\$62.92	\$7,863	\$52.43	\$6,291	\$41.95
		10,000	\$12,582	\$28.14	\$10,485	\$23.45	\$8,388	\$18.76
		20,000	\$15,396	\$8.26	\$12,830	\$6.88	\$10,264	\$5.51
		50,000	\$17,873	\$13.90	\$14,895	\$11.58	\$11,916	\$9.27
		100,000	\$24,824	\$24.82	\$20,686	\$20.69	\$16,549	\$16.55
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,121	\$111.81	\$3,434	\$93.17	\$2,747	\$74.54
		1,500	\$5,463	\$121.41	\$4,552	\$101.17	\$3,642	\$80.94
		3,000	\$7,284	\$54.33	\$6,070	\$45.27	\$4,856	\$36.22
		6,000	\$8,914	\$15.97	\$7,428	\$13.31	\$5,942	\$10.65
		15,000	\$10,351	\$26.84	\$8,626	\$22.37	\$6,901	\$17.89
		30,000	\$14,377	\$47.92	\$11,981	\$39.94	\$9,585	\$31.95
E	Educational—Preschool / School	100	\$4,027	\$327.81	\$3,356	\$273.17	\$2,685	\$218.54
		500	\$5,339	\$355.92	\$4,449	\$296.60	\$3,559	\$237.28
		1,000	\$7,118	\$159.22	\$5,932	\$132.68	\$4,746	\$106.14
		2,000	\$8,710	\$46.83	\$7,259	\$39.02	\$5,807	\$31.22
		5,000	\$10,115	\$78.66	\$8,429	\$65.55	\$6,743	\$52.44
		10,000	\$14,048	\$140.48	\$11,707	\$117.07	\$9,365	\$93.65
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,746	\$304.93	\$3,122	\$254.11	\$2,498	\$203.29
		500	\$4,966	\$331.08	\$4,138	\$275.90	\$3,311	\$220.72
		1,000	\$6,622	\$148.14	\$5,518	\$123.45	\$4,414	\$98.76
		2,000	\$8,103	\$43.57	\$6,752	\$36.31	\$5,402	\$29.05
		5,000	\$9,410	\$73.20	\$7,842	\$61.00	\$6,273	\$48.80
		10,000	\$13,070	\$130.70	\$10,892	\$108.92	\$8,713	\$87.13
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$5,959	\$43.04	\$4,966	\$35.87	\$3,973	\$28.70
		5,000	\$7,681	\$45.04	\$6,401	\$37.53	\$5,121	\$30.02
		10,000	\$9,933	\$23.83	\$8,278	\$19.86	\$6,622	\$15.89
		20,000	\$12,316	\$6.61	\$10,264	\$5.51	\$8,211	\$4.41
		50,000	\$14,299	\$11.12	\$11,916	\$9.27	\$9,533	\$7.41
		100,000	\$19,859	\$19.86	\$16,549	\$16.55	\$13,239	\$13.24

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$7,024	\$57.18	\$5,854	\$47.65	\$4,683	\$38.12
		5,000	\$9,312	\$62.09	\$7,760	\$51.74	\$6,208	\$41.40
		10,000	\$12,416	\$27.78	\$10,347	\$23.15	\$8,278	\$18.52
		20,000	\$15,194	\$8.15	\$12,662	\$6.79	\$10,129	\$5.43
		50,000	\$17,638	\$13.74	\$14,699	\$11.45	\$11,759	\$9.16
		100,000	\$24,510	\$24.51	\$20,425	\$20.43	\$16,340	\$16.34
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$4,720	\$38.43	\$3,934	\$32.02	\$3,147	\$25.62
		5,000	\$6,258	\$41.71	\$5,215	\$34.75	\$4,172	\$27.80
		10,000	\$8,343	\$18.68	\$6,952	\$15.56	\$5,562	\$12.45
		20,000	\$10,211	\$5.48	\$8,509	\$4.57	\$6,807	\$3.65
		50,000	\$11,854	\$9.24	\$9,879	\$7.70	\$7,903	\$6.16
		100,000	\$16,474	\$16.47	\$13,729	\$13.73	\$10,983	\$10.98
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,810	\$228.71	\$2,248	\$182.97
		500	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,725	\$248.31	\$2,980	\$198.65
		1,000	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,966	\$111.07	\$3,973	\$88.86
		2,000	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$6,077	\$32.67	\$4,862	\$26.14
		5,000	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$7,057	\$54.89	\$5,646	\$43.91
		10,000	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,802	\$98.02	\$7,841	\$78.41
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$5,058	\$411.68	\$4,215	\$343.06	\$3,372	\$274.45
		500	\$6,704	\$446.96	\$5,587	\$372.47	\$4,470	\$297.97
		1,000	\$8,939	\$199.93	\$7,449	\$166.61	\$5,959	\$133.29
		2,000	\$10,939	\$58.81	\$9,115	\$49.01	\$7,292	\$39.21
		5,000	\$12,703	\$98.80	\$10,586	\$82.34	\$8,469	\$65.87
		10,000	\$17,643	\$176.43	\$14,702	\$147.02	\$11,762	\$117.62
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,222	\$262.24	\$2,685	\$218.54	\$2,148	\$174.83
		500	\$4,271	\$284.74	\$3,559	\$237.28	\$2,847	\$189.83
		1,000	\$5,695	\$127.37	\$4,746	\$106.14	\$3,796	\$84.92
		2,000	\$6,968	\$37.46	\$5,807	\$31.22	\$4,646	\$24.97
		5,000	\$8,092	\$62.93	\$6,743	\$52.44	\$5,395	\$41.95
		10,000	\$11,238	\$112.38	\$9,365	\$93.65	\$7,492	\$74.92
I-1	Institutional – 7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$4,496	\$73.19	\$3,746	\$60.99	\$2,997	\$48.79
		2,500	\$5,959	\$79.47	\$4,966	\$66.23	\$3,973	\$52.98
		5,000	\$7,946	\$35.55	\$6,622	\$29.62	\$5,298	\$23.70
		10,000	\$9,724	\$10.45	\$8,103	\$8.70	\$6,482	\$6.96
		25,000	\$11,290	\$17.58	\$9,409	\$14.65	\$7,527	\$11.72
		50,000	\$15,687	\$31.37	\$13,072	\$26.14	\$10,458	\$20.92
I-2	Institutional – 6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I-3	Institutional – 6+ persons, restrained	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I-4	Institutional – 6+ persons, day care	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,147	\$256.16	\$2,623	\$213.46	\$2,098	\$170.77
		500	\$4,172	\$278.10	\$3,476	\$231.75	\$2,781	\$185.40
		1,000	\$5,562	\$124.44	\$4,635	\$103.70	\$3,708	\$82.96
		2,000	\$6,806	\$36.59	\$5,672	\$30.49	\$4,538	\$24.39
		5,000	\$7,904	\$61.49	\$6,587	\$51.24	\$5,269	\$41.00
		10,000	\$10,979	\$109.79	\$9,149	\$91.49	\$7,319	\$73.19
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$7,961	\$32.41	\$6,634	\$27.01	\$5,307	\$21.61
		10,000	\$10,554	\$35.16	\$8,795	\$29.30	\$7,036	\$23.44
		20,000	\$14,070	\$15.74	\$11,725	\$13.11	\$9,380	\$10.49
		40,000	\$17,218	\$4.62	\$14,348	\$3.85	\$11,478	\$3.08
		100,000	\$19,989	\$7.77	\$16,657	\$6.47	\$13,326	\$5.18
		200,000	\$27,756	\$13.88	\$23,130	\$11.56	\$18,504	\$9.25

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,683	\$127.06	\$3,903	\$105.88	\$3,122	\$84.71
		1,500	\$6,208	\$137.95	\$5,173	\$114.96	\$4,139	\$91.97
		3,000	\$8,277	\$61.73	\$6,898	\$51.45	\$5,518	\$41.16
		6,000	\$10,129	\$18.14	\$8,441	\$15.12	\$6,753	\$12.09
		15,000	\$11,762	\$30.51	\$9,801	\$25.42	\$7,841	\$20.34
		30,000	\$16,338	\$54.46	\$13,615	\$45.38	\$10,892	\$36.31
R-1	Residential – Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$13,111	\$13.66	\$10,926	\$11.38	\$8,740	\$9.11
		15,000	\$14,750	\$10.95	\$12,292	\$9.12	\$9,833	\$7.30
		30,000	\$16,392	\$8.17	\$13,660	\$6.81	\$10,928	\$5.45
		60,000	\$18,843	\$1.22	\$15,702	\$1.02	\$12,562	\$0.82
		150,000	\$19,944	\$2.01	\$16,620	\$1.68	\$13,296	\$1.34
		300,000	\$22,966	\$7.66	\$19,138	\$6.38	\$15,311	\$5.10
R-2	Residential – Apartment Building	800	\$10,330	\$40.35	\$8,608	\$33.62	\$6,886	\$26.90
		4,000	\$11,621	\$32.30	\$9,684	\$26.92	\$7,747	\$21.53
		8,000	\$12,913	\$24.20	\$10,761	\$20.16	\$8,609	\$16.13
		16,000	\$14,849	\$3.60	\$12,374	\$3.00	\$9,899	\$2.40
		40,000	\$15,713	\$5.89	\$13,095	\$4.91	\$10,476	\$3.92
		80,000	\$18,068	\$22.59	\$15,057	\$18.82	\$12,045	\$15.06
R-2	Residential – Apartment Building -	800	\$8,264	\$32.28	\$6,886	\$26.90	\$5,509	\$21.52
	Repeat Unit	4,000	\$9,297	\$25.84	\$7,747	\$21.53	\$6,198	\$17.23
		8,000	\$10,330	\$19.36	\$8,609	\$16.13	\$6,887	\$12.91
		16,000	\$11,879	\$2.88	\$9,899	\$2.40	\$7,919	\$1.92
		40,000	\$12,571	\$4.71	\$10,476	\$3.92	\$8,380	\$3.14
		80,000	\$14,455	\$18.07	\$12,045	\$15.06	\$9,636	\$12.05
R-3	Dwellings – Custom Homes, Models,	499	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	First Master Plan	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
		2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70
R-3	Dwellings – Production Phase	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70
R-3	Dwellings – Alternate Materials	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
		2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Custom Homes,	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Models, First Master Plan	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Production	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Alternate	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Materials	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3.1	Group Care	1,000	\$10,594	\$33.12	\$8,829	\$27.60	\$7,063	\$22.08
		5,000	\$11,919	\$26.46	\$9,933	\$22.05	\$7,946	\$17.64
		10,000	\$13,242	\$19.88	\$11,035	\$16.56	\$8,828	\$13.25
		20,000	\$15,230	\$2.95	\$12,692	\$2.46	\$10,153	\$1.97
		50,000	\$16,116	\$4.83	\$13,430	\$4.03	\$10,744	\$3.22
		100,000	\$18,534	\$18.53	\$15,445	\$15.44	\$12,356	\$12.36
R-4	Group Care	100	\$6,622	\$206.93	\$5,518	\$172.44	\$4,414	\$137.95
		500	\$7,449	\$165.55	\$6,208	\$137.96	\$4,966	\$110.37
		1,000	\$8,277	\$124.14	\$6,898	\$103.45	\$5,518	\$82.76
		2,000	\$9,518	\$18.40	\$7,932	\$15.33	\$6,346	\$12.27
		5,000	\$10,070	\$30.35	\$8,392	\$25.29	\$6,714	\$20.23
		10,000	\$11,588	\$115.88	\$9,657	\$96.57	\$7,725	\$77.25
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$5,827	\$227.62	\$4,856	\$189.68	\$3,885	\$151.75
		400	\$6,555	\$182.07	\$5,463	\$151.72	\$4,370	\$121.38
		800	\$7,284	\$136.56	\$6,070	\$113.80	\$4,856	\$91.04
		1,600	\$8,376	\$20.25	\$6,980	\$16.87	\$5,584	\$13.50
		4,000	\$8,862	\$33.40	\$7,385	\$27.83	\$5,908	\$22.26
		8,000	\$10,198	\$127.48	\$8,498	\$106.23	\$6,799	\$84.98
S-1	Storage— Moderate Hazard	600	\$4,196	\$56.93	\$3,497	\$47.44	\$2,797	\$37.95
		3,000	\$5,562	\$61.82	\$4,635	\$51.51	\$3,708	\$41.21
		6,000	\$7,417	\$27.63	\$6,181	\$23.03	\$4,944	\$18.42
		12,000	\$9,075	\$8.14	\$7,562	\$6.78	\$6,050	\$5.43
		30,000	\$10,540	\$13.65	\$8,783	\$11.37	\$7,027	\$9.10
		60,000	\$14,634	\$24.39	\$12,195	\$20.32	\$9,756	\$16.26

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$12,083	\$9.83	\$10,069	\$8.19	\$8,055	\$6.55
		50,000	\$16,016	\$10.68	\$13,346	\$8.90	\$10,677	\$7.12
		100,000	\$21,354	\$4.79	\$17,795	\$3.99	\$14,236	\$3.19
		200,000	\$26,144	\$1.40	\$21,787	\$1.16	\$17,430	\$0.93
		500,000	\$30,330	\$2.35	\$25,275	\$1.96	\$20,220	\$1.57
		1,000,000	\$42,082	\$4.21	\$35,068	\$3.51	\$28,054	\$2.81
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$4,964	\$67.34	\$4,137	\$56.12	\$3,309	\$44.89
		3,000	\$6,580	\$73.13	\$5,483	\$60.94	\$4,387	\$48.75
		6,000	\$8,774	\$32.70	\$7,312	\$27.25	\$5,849	\$21.80
		12,000	\$10,736	\$9.63	\$8,947	\$8.03	\$7,157	\$6.42
		30,000	\$12,470	\$16.14	\$10,392	\$13.45	\$8,313	\$10.76
		60,000	\$17,312	\$28.85	\$14,426	\$24.04	\$11,541	\$19.24
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	999	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Agricultural Building	2,000	\$6,352	\$123.51	\$5,293	\$102.93	\$4,234	\$82.34
		4,000	\$8,822	\$220.55	\$7,352	\$183.79	\$5,881	\$147.03
	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$5,339	\$43.45	\$4,449	\$36.21	\$3,559	\$28.96
		5,000	\$7,077	\$47.16	\$5,897	\$39.30	\$4,718	\$31.44
		10,000	\$9,435	\$21.11	\$7,862	\$17.59	\$6,290	\$14.07
		20,000	\$11,546	\$6.21	\$9,621	\$5.17	\$7,697	\$4.14
		50,000	\$13,408	\$10.43	\$11,173	\$8.69	\$8,939	\$6.95
		100,000	\$18,623	\$18.62	\$15,519	\$15.52	\$12,416	\$12.42
	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$5,620	\$121.98	\$4,683	\$101.65	\$3,746	\$81.32
		2,500	\$7,449	\$165.52	\$6,208	\$137.93	\$4,966	\$110.35
		4,000	\$9,932	\$111.11	\$8,277	\$92.59	\$6,621	\$74.08
		6,000	\$12,154	\$98.00	\$10,129	\$81.66	\$8,103	\$65.33
		8,000	\$14,114	\$274.47	\$11,762	\$228.73	\$9,410	\$182.98
		10,000	\$19,604	\$196.04	\$16,336	\$163.36	\$13,069	\$130.69
	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$6,886	\$43.03	\$5,739	\$35.86	\$4,591	\$28.68
	w/o Podium	2,500	\$7,747	\$34.43	\$6,456	\$28.69	\$5,165	\$22.95
		5,000	\$8,608	\$25.85	\$7,173	\$21.54	\$5,738	\$17.24
		10,000	\$9,900	\$3.84	\$8,250	\$3.20	\$6,600	\$2.56
		25,000	\$10,476	\$6.31	\$8,730	\$5.26	\$6,984	\$4.21
		50,000	\$12,054	\$24.11	\$10,045	\$20.09	\$8,036	\$16.07
	Standard Comm. Foundation	3,000	\$6,219	\$16.87	\$5,183	\$14.06	\$4,146	\$11.25
	with Podium	15,000	\$8,244	\$18.32	\$6,870	\$15.27	\$5,496	\$12.21
		30,000	\$10,991	\$8.20	\$9,159	\$6.83	\$7,328	\$5.47
		60,000	\$13,452	\$2.42	\$11,210	\$2.02	\$8,968	\$1.62
		150,000	\$15,633	\$4.05	\$13,027	\$3.37	\$10,422	\$2.70
		300,000	\$21,703	\$7.23	\$18,086	\$6.03	\$14,469	\$4.82

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)			Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
SHELL BUILDINGS								
	All Shell Buildings	500	\$3,746	\$60.98	\$3,122	\$50.82	\$2,498	\$40.65
		2,500	\$4,966	\$66.24	\$4,138	\$55.20	\$3,311	\$44.16
		5,000	\$6,622	\$29.62	\$5,518	\$24.68	\$4,415	\$19.75
		10,000	\$8,103	\$8.71	\$6,752	\$7.26	\$5,402	\$5.81
		25,000	\$9,410	\$14.65	\$7,842	\$12.21	\$6,273	\$9.77
		50,000	\$13,072	\$26.14	\$10,893	\$21.79	\$8,715	\$17.43

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

STAND ALONE M/E/P PERMIT FEES

Travel and Documentation	each	\$74
Permit Issuance	each	\$56

MECHANICAL PERMIT FEE

Stand Alone Mechanical Plan Check (hourly rate)	per hour	\$221
Other Mechanical Inspections (hourly rate)	per hour	\$221
MECHANICAL UNIT FEES:		
Install/Relocate forced air or gravity-type furnace or burner (including attached ducts and vents) up to and including 100,000 Btu/h (each)		
up to and including 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
Install/Relocate floor furnace, including vent (each)	each	\$110
Install or relocate suspended heater, recessed wall heater, or floor-mounted unit		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Install, relocate or replace appliance vent installed and not included in an appliance permit		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Repair/Alter/Add heating appliance, refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption unit, split system , or each heating, cooling, absorption, or evaporative cooling system, including installation of controls		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Install or relocate boiler or compressor		
up to and including 3HP, or absorption system up to and including 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 3HP and up to and including 15 HP, or absorption system over 100,000 Btu/h and up to and including 500,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 15 HP and up to and including 30 HP, or absorption system over 500,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,000,000 Btu/h	each	\$277
over 30 HP and up to and including 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,000,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,750,000 Btu/h	each	\$277
over 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,750,000 Btu/h	each	\$331

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

Air-handling unit, including attached ducts. (Note: this fee shall not apply to an air-handling unit that is a portion of a factory-assembled appliance, cooling unit, evaporative cooler, or absorption unit for which a permit is required elsewhere)		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$331
Air-handling unit over 10,000 CFM	each	\$221
Ventilation fan connected to a single duct	each	\$110
Ventilation system that is not a portion of any heating or air-conditioning system authorized by a permit	each	\$165
Hood installation that is served by mechanical exhaust, including the ducts for such hood		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$331
Appliance or piece of equipment not classed in other appliance categories, or for which no other fee is listed	each	\$165

ELECTRICAL PERMIT FEE

Electrical Plan Check	per hour	\$221
Electrical Inspections	per hour	\$221
ELECTRICAL UNIT FEES:		
Residential Whole-House Rewire (up to 2500 sq ft)	each	\$442
Each Additional 1000 sq ft	each 1,000 sf	\$221
Receptacle, switch, lighting, or other outlets at which current is used or controlled, except services, feeders, and meters		
First 20	first 20	\$74
Each Additional	each	\$6
Lighting fixtures, sockets, or other lamp-holding devices		
First 20	first 20	\$110
Each Additional	each	\$8
Pole or platform-mounted lighting fixtures	each	\$24
Theatrical-type lighting fixtures or assemblies	each	\$22

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

Appliances		
Fixed residential appliances or receptacle outlets for same, including wall-mounted electric ovens; counter mounted cooking tops; electric ranges; self-contained room console or through-wall air conditioners; space heaters; food waste grinders; dishwashers; washing machines; water heaters; clothes dryers; or other motor-operated appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP) in rating	each	\$37
Residential appliances and self-contained factory-wired, nonresidential appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP), kilowatt (kW), or kilovolt-ampere (kVA) in rating, including medical and dental devices; food, beverage, and ice cream cabinets; illuminated show cases; drinking fountains; vending machines; laundry machines; or other similar types of equipment	each	\$74
Power Apparatus		
Motors, generators, transformers, rectifiers, synchronous converters, capacitors, industrial heating, air conditioners and heat pumps, cooking or baking equipment, and other apparatus. Rating in horsepower (HP), kilowatts (kW), or kilovolt-amperes (kVA), or kilovolt-amperes-reactive (kVAR)	each	\$221
Busways		
Trolley and plug-in-type busways	each 100 lf	\$110
Signs, Outline Lighting, and Marquees		
Supplied from one branch circuit	each	\$74
Additional branch circuits within the same sign, outline lighting system, or marquee	each	\$37
Services (including Temporary Power)		
600 volts or less, up to 200 amperes in rating	each	\$74
600 volts or less, 201 to 1000 amperes in rating	each	\$110
Over 600 volts or over 1000 amperes in rating	each	\$221
Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth	each	\$221

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

PLUMBING/GAS PERMIT FEES

Stand Alone Plumbing Plan Check	per hour	\$221
Other Plumbing and Gas Inspections	per hour	\$221
PLUMBING/GAS UNIT FEES:		
Residential Whole-House Re-Plumbing (up to 2500 sq ft)	each	\$442
Each Additional 1000 sq ft	each 1,000 sf	\$221
Plumbing fixture or trap or set of fixtures on one trap, including water, drainage piping, and backflow protection	each	\$15
Building sewer lateral or trailer park sewer sewer clean-out		
Residential	each	\$56
Commercial	each	\$110
Rainwater system inside building	per drain	\$15
Private sewage disposal system	each	\$221
Water Heater (Gas or Solar) and/or Vent		
Residential	each	\$56
Commercial	each	\$165
Industrial waste pretreatment interceptor, including its trap and vent, excepting kitchen-type grease interceptors functioning as fixture traps	each	\$165
Install, alter or repair water piping and/or water treating equipment	each	\$74
Repair/Alter drainage or vent piping	each fixture	\$74
Lawn sprinkler system on any one meter, including backflow protection devices	each	\$110
Backflow devices not included in other fee services (e.g., building/trailer park	each	\$110
Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers not included in other fee services (e.g., building/trailer park sewer)	each 5	\$110
Gas piping system per outlet	each 4	\$110
Water Service	each	\$56
Partial Re-pipe		
Residential	per fixture	\$22
Commercial	per fixture	\$14

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Standard Hourly Rate - Building	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Accessibility Hardship Exemption	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Acoustical Review				
Single Family Home/Duplex— New	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Single Family Home/Duplex— Addition/Alteration	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
Multi-Family/Commercial	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Additions (Non Hillside R3 Occupancy) - Plan Check Fees				
Plan Check Fees	up to 250 sf	\$419	\$24	\$443
Plan Check Fees	251-499 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Additions (Non Hillside R3 Occupancy) - Inspection Fees				
Inspection Fees	up to 250 sf	\$1,043	\$59	\$1,102
Inspection Fees	251-499 sf	\$1,252	\$71	\$1,323
Accessory Dwelling Unit (Non Hillside ADU) - Plan Check Fees				
Plan Check Fees	up to 500 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Plan Check Fees	500-1000 sf	\$1,667	\$95	\$1,762
Accessory Dwelling Unit (Non Hillside ADU) - Inspection Fees				
Inspection Fees	up to 500 sf	\$1,252	\$71	\$1,323
Inspection Fees	500-1000 sf	\$2,082	\$119	\$2,201
Address Assignment	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Board of Appeals	per hour		\$221	\$221
Clerical Fee	1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Alternate Materials and Methods of Construction	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Antenna— Telecom Facility				
Radio	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Cellular/Mobile Phone, free-standing	each	\$1,148	\$65	\$1,213
Cellular/Mobile Phone, attached to building	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Arbor/Trellis	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Awning/Canopy (supported by building)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Balcony Addition	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Battery Energy Storage System	up to three (3)	\$209	\$12	\$221
Each Additional	each	\$105	\$6	\$111
Carport	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Certifications				
Field Evaluation Certification	each			
Special Inspector Qualifications (initial review)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Special Inspector Qualifications (renewal / update)	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Special Inspector Certification Application	each			
Materials Testing Lab Certification	each			
Chimney (new)	each	\$626	\$36	\$662
Chimney Repair	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Close Existing Openings				
Interior wall	each	\$418	\$24	\$442
Exterior wall	each	\$626	\$36	\$662

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Commercial Coach (per unit)	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Covered Porch	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Deck (wood)	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Deck Railing	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Deferred Submittal (2 hour minimum)		based on work item		based on work item
Demolition				
Multi-Family and Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Multi-Family and Commercial (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Residential (R-3 Occ) (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Residential (R-3 Occ) (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Swimming Pool Residential	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Swimming Pool Multi-Family and Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$626	\$36	\$662
Swimming Pool Multi-Family and Commercial (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Disabled Access Compliance Inspection	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Door				
New door (non structural)	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
New door (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Duplicate / Replacement Job Card	each	\$104	\$6	\$110
Electric Vehicle Charging Station	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Extensions				
Plan Check Applications (within 180 days of Submittal)	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Permits (within 180 days of Issuance)				
Start construction, without plans	1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Resume or complete construction, without plans	1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Start construction, with plans	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Resume or complete construction, with plans	2 hours	\$418	\$24	\$442
Fence				
Non-masonry, over 7 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$419	\$24	\$443
Non-masonry, each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
Masonry, over 7 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$730	\$42	\$772
Masonry, each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$419	\$24	\$443
Fireplace				
Masonry	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Pre-Fabricated/Metal	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Flag pole (over 20 feet in height)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Foundation Repair	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Garage / Agricultural Buildings				
Wood frame up to 1,000 sf	each	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Masonry up to 1,000 sf	each	\$1,775	\$101	\$1,876

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Green Building Deposit - Third Party Certification Process				
Single-Family Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$1,000 max.		\$2/sf, \$1,000 max.
Multi-Family Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$20,000 min; \$40,000 max.		\$2/sf, \$20,000 min; \$40,000 max.
Non-Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$35,000 min; \$75,000 max.		\$2/sf, \$35,000 min; \$75,000 max.
Inspections				
Pre-Inspection Fee	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Standard Inspection Hourly Rate	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Progress Inspection	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Partial Inspection	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Courtesy Inspection - 2 hour minimum	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Cancelled inspection w/out advance notice	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Reinspection	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Outside of normal business hours (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Inspection Supplemental Fee (Projects that require more inspections than average, the Building Official may charge additional inspection fees)				
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Life Safety Report	each	\$1,252	\$71	\$1,323
Lighting pole	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
each additional pole	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Modular Structures	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Modification of Technical Code	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Occupancy				
Certificate of Occupancy/Completion	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Temporary Occupancy Permit	per six months	\$419	\$24	\$443
Partition—Commercial, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$626	\$36	\$662
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$209	\$12	\$221
Partition—Residential, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$419	\$24	\$443
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
Patio Cover / Gazebo				
Wood frame	up to 300 sf	\$502	\$29	\$531
Metal frame	up to 300 sf	\$502	\$29	\$531
Other frame	up to 300 sf	\$702	\$40	\$742
Additional patio	each 300 sf	\$301	\$17	\$318
Enclosed prefabricated Sun Room	up to 300 sf	\$702	\$40	\$742
Additional Sun Rooms	each 300 sf	\$401	\$23	\$424

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Photovoltaic System				
Residential	each	\$367	\$21	\$388
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, up to 8 kilowatts	up to 8 kW	\$522	\$30	\$552
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, each additional 1 kilowatt	each 1 kW	\$53	\$3	\$56
Pile Foundation				
Cast in Place Concrete (first 10 piles)	up to 10	\$940	\$54	\$994
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$626	\$36	\$662
Driven (steel, pre-stressed concrete)	up to 10	\$940	\$54	\$994
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$626	\$36	\$662
Product Review	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Plan Review				
Standard Plan Review Hourly Rate	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Expedite Plan Review	each	1.5 x PC Fee		1.5 x PC Fee
Overtime Plan Review (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Pre-Submittal Plan Review (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Plan Review Supplemental Fee (after 2nd review)				
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Pre-Construction Meeting	each	\$418	\$418	\$418
Remodel—Residential				
Kitchen	up to 300 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Bath	up to 300 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Other Remodel	up to 300 sf	\$626	\$36	\$662
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Other Remodel	1000 sf	\$1,983	\$113	\$2,096
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Other Remodel	2500 sf +	\$2,609	\$149	\$2,758
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Re-roof			\$0	
Residential (maximum \$500 per building)	each 100 sf	\$23	\$1	\$24
Multi-Family Dwelling (maximum \$500 per building)	each 100 sf	\$23	\$1	\$24
Commercial			\$0	
Commercial (first 5,000 sf)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Commercial (each additional 2,500 sf)	each 2,500 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Retaining Wall (concrete or masonry)			\$0	
Standard (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$940	\$54	\$994
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$626	\$36	\$662
Special Design, 3-10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$834	\$48	\$882
Special Design, over 10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,565	\$89	\$1,654
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$1,043	\$59	\$1,102

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Gravity/Crib Wall, 0-10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$834	\$48	\$882
Gravity/Crib Wall, over 10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,565	\$89	\$1,654
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$1,043	\$59	\$1,102
Revisions				
Commercial (New and Tenant Improvement)	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Single Family Dwelling (New and Additions)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Remodel	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Sauna—steam	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Siding				
Stone and Brick Veneer (interior or exterior)	up to 400 sf	\$522	\$30	\$552
All Other	up to 400 sf	\$419	\$24	\$443
Additional siding	each 400 sf	\$104	\$6	\$110
Signs				
Directional	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Each additional Directional Sign	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Ground/Roof/Projecting Signs	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Master Plan Sign Check	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Rework of any existing Ground Sign	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Other Sign	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Reinspection Fee	each	\$104	\$6	\$110
Wall/Awning Sign, Non-Electric	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
Wall/Awning Sign, Electric	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
Shed over 120 square feet	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Skylight				
50 sf or less (cumulative area)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Greater than 50 sf or structural	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Stairs—First Flight	first flight	\$419	\$24	\$443
Each additional flight	per flight	\$209	\$12	\$221
Storage Racks				
0-8' high (up to 100 l.f.)	first 100 l.f.	\$522	\$30	\$552
each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
over 8' high (up to 100 l.f.)	first 100 l.f.	\$522	\$30	\$552
each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
Stucco Applications	up to 400 sf	\$419	\$24	\$443
Additional Stucco Application	each 400 sf	\$104	\$6	\$110

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Swimming Pool/Spa				
Vinyl-lined	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Fiberglass	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Gunite (up to 800 sf)	each	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Commercial pool (up to 800 sf)	each	\$2,296	\$131	\$2,427
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$626	\$36	\$662
Spa or Hot Tub (Pre-fabricated)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Temporary Structures	each	\$626	\$36	\$662
Tenant Improvement Preparation	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Window or Sliding Glass Door				
Replacement (first 8 windows)	first 8	\$313	\$18	\$331
Replacement (each additional 8 windows)	each 8	\$104	\$6	\$110
New Window (non structural)	each	\$262	\$15	\$277
New window (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$366	\$21	\$387
Bay Window (structural)	each	\$366	\$21	\$387
Refunds - Plan Check Fees				
1st review not started (within 3 Business of Submittal)				100% of Plan Review Fees
Plan review more than 3 Business Days after the Date of Submittal				No refund
Refunds - Building Permit Fees				
No inspections and permit is active (not expired)				80% of permit fees
No inspections and permit is expired				No refund
Inspections were provided				No refund
Work without permit - based on current permit and plan check fees				Double fees
NOTE:				
Fee Adjustments: In instances where the strict application of fees from this schedule would constitute a substantial inequity to an applicant or to the City, the Chief Building Official shall be authorized to adjust such fees on a case-by-case basis. Any such adjustments shall be recorded in writing and entered into the appropriate files.				
Fees identified in this Table consist of 50% Plan Review Fee and 50% Inspection Fee				

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation

Recreation classes and excursion fees shall be determined as follows:

Classes

1. Determine the maximum hourly rate paid to instructor.
2. Multiply the instructor's hourly rate by the number of class meetings.
3. Determine the minimum number of participants and divide into the instructor's cost.
4. Add indirect overhead percent - 32%.
5. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
6. Add cost for specialized equipment or supplies.

Special Conditions: For classes taught by contract instructors, the indirect overhead is only added to the City's percentage.

Excursions

1. Transportation cost divided by the number of participants plus overhead transfer.
2. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
3. Add any admission cost, supplies or leadership cost.

Additional factors that may be used to determine the class or excursion user fee:

The total number of participants in a given activity may generate additional revenue whereby the total program cost may be reduced.

Classes that traditionally have waiting lists may have the user fee increased.

Programs in competition with adjacent cities or the private sector may require fees to be increased or decreased to remain competitive.

Facility Use Fee Schedule (Staff Use Only)

CLASSIFICATIONS:

- Group 1: Cupertino-serving non-profits with 1/3 resident membership/participation, a Cupertino business address, or demonstrated service to Cupertino; government organizations; sponsored clubs; functions held by non-profits that are free and open to the Cupertino public. These organizations must show an official structure and status.
- Group 2: Non-resident non-profit recreation, education or community service organizations for functions not open to the public. These organizations must show official structure and status.
- Group 3: Cupertino Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc. Must show proof of 95014 residency.
- Group 4: Non-Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Quinlan Community Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOURS

<u>Cupertino Room</u>	Mon-Fri up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm-Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$168	\$252	\$280	\$420
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$224	\$336	\$368	\$552
<u>Social Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$60	\$90
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$32	\$48	\$96	\$144
Resident (Group 3)	\$72	\$108	\$120	\$180
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$90	\$135	\$150	\$225
<u>Conference Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Cupertino Room	\$500
Social Room	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Community Hall

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$120	\$180	\$200	\$300
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$450

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Community Hall	\$500
----------------	-------

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Cupertino Library: Will be approved for a select number of uses of Community Hall without fee, based on approval from the ~~Recreation & Community Services~~ Department Director. The Library is exempt from the provision of deposits relating to the ~~use of Community Hall.~~ ~~use of Community Hall.~~

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation

Monta Vista Recreation Center/Creekside Park Building/Wilson Park Building

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

WILSON PARK BUILDING/CREEKSIDE/MONTA VISTA- Multi-Purpose Room

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$24	\$36	\$39	\$59
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$30	\$45	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$60	\$90	\$100	\$150
	<u>Resident</u>	<u>Non-Resident</u>		
Bounce House (Memorial & Creekside Park Only)	\$25	\$25		

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A \$100 security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Creekside Park building security deposit \$100. If alcohol is served security deposit is \$250.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Wilson Park Building rental would not include access to ceramic wheels, kiln, or specialized art equipment.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Membership Fees

	Resident	Non-Resident
Annual Membership	\$23 \$25	\$28 \$30
Volunteer Membership*	\$18 \$20	\$23 \$25

Non-Member - Additional Fees

	Resident	Non-Resident
Day Pass Fee Visitor Pass (per day)	\$5	\$5
Classes Pass (per class)	\$10	\$10
Day Trips Pass (per trip)	\$20	\$20
Flex Pass (Members only)	\$7	\$7

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fee*
Reception Hall				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$144	\$216	\$240	\$360
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$180	\$270	\$300	\$450
Bay Room/ Arts and Craft				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$22	\$33	\$36	\$54
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$27	\$41	\$45	\$68
Resident (Group 3)	\$43	\$65	\$72	\$108
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$54	\$81	\$90	\$135
Classroom				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$32	\$48
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$24	\$36	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$38	\$57	\$64	\$96
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Conference Room				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

*Members who have volunteered 20+ hours in the previous calendar year qualify for this rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Reception Hall	\$500
All Other Rooms	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/~~Child Care~~/Teen Center

	Type	Resident	Non-Resident
<u>Day Passes</u>			
Single-Day Pass		\$10	\$13 \$12
Day Pass 5 Pack		\$45	\$59
Day Pass 10 Pack		\$90	\$117
Drop-In Fitness		\$10	\$10
Drop-In Fitness 5 Pack		\$45	\$45
Drop-In Fitness 10 Pack		\$90	\$90
Drop-In Sports		\$7	\$7
Drop-In Sports 5 Pack		\$32	\$32
Drop-In Sports 10 Pack		\$63	\$63
Body-Fat-Testing		\$25	\$25
Friday Night Social Members		\$3	\$3
Friday Night Social Non-Member		\$8	\$8
<u>Monthly Passes Memberships</u>			
One Month	Single	\$65	\$75 \$78
One Month	Couple*	\$85	\$100 \$102
One Month	Family*	\$105	\$125 \$126
One Month	Senior	\$50	\$60
One Month	Students	\$30	\$30 \$36
<u>Annual Passes Memberships</u>			
One Year	Single	\$440	\$475 \$528
One Year	Couple*	\$825	\$900 \$990
One Year	Family*	\$920	\$1,000 \$1,104
One Year	Senior	\$395	\$425 \$474
One Year	Corp. Single	\$350	\$350
One Year	Corp. Family	\$850	\$850
One Year	Tennis Only	\$420	\$440

* Family membership includes up to 2 adults and ~~2~~ 1 child ~~ren~~ under 18. For residents, each additional child is \$100 per year or \$8 per month.

For non-residents, each additional child is \$120 per year or \$10 per month.

*Couple and Family memberships must live in the same household.

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Conference Room	Current
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16
Resident (Group 3)	\$31
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39
Multi-Purpose Room/Sports Court	Current
Resident	\$60
Non-Resident	\$72

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/~~Child Care~~/Teen Center

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

1. The Cupertino Tennis Club will be charged \$12.00/hour during primetime and \$8.00/hour per court during non-primetime for all C.T.C. sponsored activities other than U.S.T.A. leagues and practices.
2. All competitors in C.T.C./U.S.T.A. leagues participating at the Sports Center must purchase an annual ~~pass~~ membership.

~~3. Specials will be offered on an on-going basis.~~

~~Childcare Fees~~

One visit	\$7
Ten visits	\$63

Teen Center

Rental Rate (per 3 hours minimum)	\$210
Deposit	\$750
Overtime Rate: \$100.00 first hour; \$50.00 each half hour after.	
Extra Fees: \$35 each half hour (30 min); \$70 each hour (1 hr)	

	Teen Resident	Teen Non-Resident
Annual Rate	No Cost	\$25

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Memorial Softball Field

Cupertino residents/Resident business only	\$35 \$40/2 hrs
Non-Residents/Non-Resident business	\$50 \$60/2 hrs
Field can be reserved for a maximum of 4 hours. THERE IS NO FEE FOR CURRENT SOFTBALL TEAMS PLAYING IN CUPERTINO LEAGUES	
Field preparation (includes dragging, watering, chalking, and bases)	\$37
Field Attendant (2 hour minimum). Field Attendant is required any time lights or field preparation is requested.	\$14/hr \$17/hr
Lights	\$10/hr

Memorial Park Amphitheater

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Memorial Park Gazebo

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate)

	Resident	Non-Resident
Memorial (113 capacity)	\$113	\$154
Linda Vista (136 capacity)	\$136	\$186
Portal (80 capacity)	\$80	\$109
Electricity at Memorial or Linda Vista Park	\$25	\$25
Bounce House (Memorial Park Picnic Rental and Creekside Building Rentals Only)	\$25	\$25

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

City of Cupertino at any field and Cupertino Union School District programs at Joint Use Agreement fields	No Charge
Non-profit organization serving individuals with a disability (*)	No Charge
Resident, non-profit youth organizations (*)	\$10/hour/field
Non-resident, non-profit youth organizations (*)	\$30/hour/field
Resident adult or for-profit youth organizations (*)	\$50/hour/field
Non-resident adult or for-profit youth organizations	\$60/hour/field

*Sports Field Fees Organization Requirements

Non-profit organization serving individuals with a disability: Organizations must provide documentation, subject to review by the Department Director.
Resident, non-profit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater and must be a recognized non-profit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger.
Non-resident, non-profit youth organizations: Organizations must be recognized non-profit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger.
Resident adult or for-profit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater.

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$22
Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66
Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Deposit	\$600
Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, youth, non-profit volunteer organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Non-resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization ^(*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$88
Non-resident, adult, non-profit volunteer organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$100
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit youth sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$150
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit adult sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$175
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600

^(*) Represents whether an organization's members and board of directors are made up of 51% or more of either City residents or non-residents. After the category is determined, then the resident or non-resident fees are paid per the residency of each player.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm

<u>Picnic Areas (Daily Rate) *</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
Area 1 - 250 Person Picnic Area- Blackberry Site	\$300	\$600
Area 2 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Sycamore Site	\$120	\$240
Area 3 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Owl Site	\$120	\$240
Area 4 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Steelhead Site	\$60	\$120
Area 5 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Bobcat Site	\$60	\$120
Area 6 - 25 Person Picnic Area- Acorn Site	\$30	\$60
All Sites- 525 Person Picnic Area	\$690	\$1,380
Pool Pass for Picnic Area Groups	\$4	\$5

Day-Use Pass Only

Weekday Tuesday - Friday	\$6	\$8
Weekend Saturday - Sunday	\$8	\$10

Picnic area fees are due in full at the time of reservation.

* Organizations may rent multiple areas.

Swim Pass Options

10-day Pass	Resident	Non-Resident
	\$60	\$80

Season Swim Pass (May-Sept)

Individual Pass	\$100	\$140
2-Person Pass	\$160	\$200
3-Person Pass	\$170	\$210
4-Person Pass	\$180	\$220
5-Person Pass	\$190	\$230
6-Person Pass*	\$200	\$240
*Each additional person added to a 6-person pass	\$6	\$8

*Swim pass prices may be adjusted due to current COVID related restrictions.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Environmental Education Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Contracted Tenants and Partners*	No Fee	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$55	\$83
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$88	\$132

*Onsite tenants and partnering organizations that have a current lease agreement with the City of Cupertino.

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$300 will be due at time of booking for rentals. (except for Contracted Tenants and Partners)

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Park Special Events Permits

Application Fee (per event; non-refundable)	\$75
Memorial Park - 1/2 park per event day; 500 or fewer attendees	\$1,000
Memorial Park - full park per event day; 501 or more attendees	\$2,000
Event Day Staffing - City Staff	At Cost
Event Day Staffing - Sheriff's Office	At Cost

Memorial Park Special Event Permit for nonprofit/civic organizations only.

Additional permit fees may be charged for park uses, as determined by the ~~Department~~ Director ~~of Recreation & Community Services~~.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$1,000 is due 30 days prior to event. The security deposit payment is required before a Special Event Permit will be issued.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance is required as stipulated in the Department's Special Events Permit.

Community Gardens

Garden bed annual assessment	\$110
Garden bed annual assessment - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$55
Garden bed refundable security deposit	\$150
Garden bed refundable security deposit - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$75

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Daily Green Fee Schedule

<u>Weekends</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
9-Holes	\$18	\$20
Senior	\$18	\$20
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14
 <u>Weekdays</u>		
9-Holes	\$16	\$18
Senior	\$15	\$17
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$11 \$12	\$14

All Groups and Tournaments pay the 9-Hole rate (Cupertino residents still applies). Staff is authorized to set merchandise fees according to current cost.

	Adults (17-50)	Jr/Sr
<u>Monthly Rate*</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$165	\$150
Non-Residents	\$195	\$180
<u>Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,310	\$1,160
Non-Residents	\$1,610	\$1,460
<u>Semi-Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$710	\$630
Non-Residents	\$870	\$790
<u>Super Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,650	\$1,500
Non-Residents	\$1,950	\$1,800
<u>Super Semi-Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$880	\$800
Non-Residents	\$1,040	\$960

*Monthly rate valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1/10th of the Super Annual Rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Power Cart Rental Packs

10 Pack	\$65	\$65
20 Pack	\$120	\$120
30 Pack	\$165	\$165
40 Pack	\$200	\$200
50 Pack	\$225	\$225

Rentals

Power Cart	\$7	\$7
Pull Cart	\$3	\$3
Soccer Balls	\$3	\$3
Clubs Adult	\$6 \$10	\$6 \$10
Clubs Junior	\$3.50	\$3.50

Daily Foot Golf Fee Schedule

Weekends

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5

Week days

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5
Second 9 holes	\$12	\$14

*Specials will be offered on an on-going basis

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

~~Proposed~~ Quick Passes

<u>Base Rate</u>		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
		Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekend	Weekend
	Savings	Senior	Adult	Senior	Adult		
# of Plays	per round	Res.	Res.	NR	NR	Res.	NR
10	\$1	\$140	\$150	\$160	\$170	\$170	\$190
20	\$2	\$260	\$280	\$300	\$320	\$320	\$360
30	\$3	\$360	\$390	\$420	\$450	\$450	\$510
40	\$4	\$440	\$480	\$520	\$560	\$560	\$640
50	\$5	\$500	\$550	\$600	\$650	\$650	\$750

Golf fees may be increased/decreased at the discretion of the City Manager (Resolution No. 04-350)

~~* Monthly Passes valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1\10th of the Annual Rate.~~

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule A - General

Fee Description	FY2021-22 Fee
Abatement Fee	Actual Cost *
All Municipal Code Parking Violations (including County and State fees)	\$73.03
Bingo Permit (new)	\$181.99
Business License Database	\$22.02
Candidate Statement Fee (County Regulated Fee)	Current County Registrar Cost
City Administrative Fee	15%
Code Enforcement	Actual Cost *
Community Festivals - One-time Business License (correction)	\$11.36
Community Festivals - Business Partners	\$57.96
Community Festivals - Additional 10' x 10' space (includes an additional table and 2 chairs)	\$10.45
Community Festivals - Non-profit partners	\$10.45
Compilation of New Records	Actual Cost *
CVC Parking Citation Dismissals Admin Fee (State Regulated Fee)	\$30.14
Damage to City Property	
Grounds, Streets, Facilities, Traffic Engineering/Maintenance	Actual Cost *
Dangerous Dog Annual Registration Fee	\$230.68
Commercial Kennel Permit	\$302
Duplicate Business Licenses	\$11.59
Event Video Taping/Editing	Actual Cost *
False Alarms	\$88.10
Farmers Market	\$2.90
Fingerprinting Processing (State Fee \$32 plus County Fee \$20)	\$60.28
Flea Markets	\$12.29
Public Requests for GIS Printed Maps	
Standard pre-formatted maps	
Plotted maps	\$32.46
Printed maps	\$2.38
Custom request maps	Actual Cost *
Prints/plots of aerial photography (see Engineering fees)	Actual Cost *
Graffiti Cleanup	Actual Cost *
Handbill Permit	\$143.24
Renewals	\$71.62
Internet Processing Fee	\$2.38
Late Payment on 30 Day Delinquent City Invoices	12% per annum
Massage Establishment Fee (Includes fingerprinting/background check and business start-up inspection)	\$322.31
Renewals (Includes two inspections per year)	\$107.81
Massage Managing Employee (Includes fingerprint/background check)	\$286.32
Renewals	\$107.81
Massage Permit Appeal (Denial/Revocation)	\$716.37
Microfilm/Microfiche Printout	\$0.62
Municipal Code Book	Vendor Invoice

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule A - General

Fee Description	FY2021-22 Fee
New Business Monthly Reports	\$40.57
Noise Variances	\$222.56
Notary Fee (State Regulated Fee)	\$15.00/signature
Outside Agency Review / Services	Vendor Invoice + City Admin
Petitions for Reconsideration	\$333.84
Photocopies - per sheet	
Standard sizes	\$0.26
For 11 x 17 sizes or color sheets	\$0.61
For Large format prints	\$30.31
Fair Political Practices Commission	\$0.10
Fair Political Practices Commission (older than five (5) years)	\$5.23
Property Liens Administrative Fee	\$48.68
Returned Check Charge	\$30.14
Sign Removal (Public Right-of-Way) (All except Political Signs)	\$5.80
Sign Recovery Fee for Political Signs	\$3.47
Small Income Business License	\$81.14
Solicitor Permit (Includes fingerprinting)	\$286.50
Renewals	\$71.87
Taxi Driver Permit (Includes fingerprinting/background check)	\$374.42
Renewals	\$71.87
Tobacco Retailer (County Regulated Fee)	
Application Fee	Current County Cost
Annual Fee	Current County Cost
Trash Fees	
Plan Review - Trash Enclosure	No Charge
Plan Review - Trash Management Plan	No Charge
Williamson Act Filings	\$128.67
Use Permit	
Application / Processing	\$1,163.82
Non-Conforming Use	\$310.66
Video/Audio Service	
DVD/CD	\$24.35
Flash Drive	\$15.07

* Actual cost is: 1) Employee hourly rate plus 55% for benefits and overhead, and
2) cost of materials, contractors, and supplies.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

CC 04-20-2021
247 of 842

FEE DESCRIPTION	FY 2021-22 Fee
Encroachment Permits	
- Minor Encroachment Permits (Local Streets)	\$588
- Minor Encroachment Permits (Utility)	\$406
- Major Encroachment Permits (Arterials and Collectors)	\$1,013
- Work without Permit	Double the permit cost
- Small Cell Facility Encroachment Permit	\$2,024
Street Cuts Miscellaneous	
- Minor Street Cuts	\$1,621
- Major Street Cuts	\$3,271
- Special Major Permit (projects in excess of \$30,000 or over 15 working days)	5% of Project Costs and/or \$80 per inspection
Permit Extension	\$476
Grading permit	
- <10,000 s.f. lot	\$1,250
- 10,000 s.f or greater	Greater of \$3,647 min. or 6% of cost of improvement
Parcel Map/Tract Map (Map Checking Fee)	
- Parcel Map (1-4 lots)	\$7,421
- Tract Map (> 4 lots)	\$12,184
Plan Check and Inspection	
- Review of Building Permit Only	\$1,106
Additional Plan Review - 3 or more reviews	\$253/hr
Revisions to Plans and Permits	\$253/hr
Review of Public/Private Improvement Plans:	
- Residential	greater of \$4,898 min. or 5% of cost of improvement
- Commercial	greater of \$9,162 min. or 6% of cost of improvement
Professional Services 3rd Party Consultant Review	*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee
*Per Outside Agency Review/Services on Schedule A - General	
Public Works Confirmation	\$492
Annexation (plus County filing fee)	\$2,678
Certificates of Compliance	
- Initial Review	\$1,149
- Finalize Certificates	\$1,149
Lot Line Adjustment	\$3,696
Transportation Impact Fee	
- Single Family	\$6,797/unit
- Multi-Family (Includes apartments, condos, and townhomes)	\$4,215/unit
- Retail	\$10.94/s.f.
- Office	\$19.15/s.f.
- Hotel	\$3,728/room
- Other (per PM trip)	\$6,862/trip
Transportation Permit (State Regulated Fee)	
- Single	\$16
- Annual Utility Company	\$90
- Additional Engineering Investigation or Coordination	\$253/hr

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

CC 04-20-2021
248 of 842

FEE DESCRIPTION	FY 2021-22 Fee
Banners	
- Large Banners Across Stevens Creek Boulevard	\$673
Special Events/Parades	\$4,110
Block Party	No Charge
Vacation of Public Street ROW/PUE	
- Summary Vacation	\$2,808
- Full Vacation	\$4,368
Rural/Semi-Rural Classification Application	
- Application Phase	\$2,287
- Implementation phase	\$1,399
Certificate of Correction	\$1,049
Floodplain Evaluation/Elevation Certificate Review	\$246
Permit Parking Study	
- Application Phase	\$1,399
- Implementation phase	\$1,145
- Permit Parking Bi-annual Fee	No Charge
Streamside Permit	\$449
<u>Master Storm Drain Area Fees:</u>	
Low-Density Residential (Less than one dwelling unit per acre hillside zoning only)	\$3,972/dwelling unit
Single-Family Residential greater than one dwelling unit per acre and less than 5.2 dwelling units per	\$5,395/acre
Multiple Family greater than 5.2 dwelling units per acre	\$3,871/acre+
	\$293/unit*
*Maximum chargeable dwelling units of 20 units per acre.	
Commercial and Industrial	\$10,423/acre
Public Educational Uses	\$3,972/acre
Public Facility Uses	\$2,006/acre
Stormwater Permit Inspections - Commercial	
Initial Inspection	No charge
Re-Inspection for Violations	\$302
Storm Management Plan Fee	\$1,521
Public Works Staff Time	\$253/hr

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Park Land Dedication In-Lieu Fee*

Density of Dwelling Units/Ac

0 - 5	\$105,000/DU
5 - 10	\$60,000/DU
10 - 20	\$60,000/DU
20+	\$54,000/DU
Senior Citizen Housing Dev.	\$30,000/DU
ADU 750 SF or more**	\$15,000 or proportional to the size of the main DU, whichever is less

* Park Land Dedication Fees are calculated per Municipal Code section 13.08. On an annual basis, Public Works Department updates the fair market value of land based on appraised values of land sales.

** ADU Park Land Dedication Fee is based on the density of the property per Municipal Code section 13.08, or proportionally to the size of the main dwelling unit as stipulated by State ADU mandates.

New Public Tree Cost Schedule:

Public Tree Planting Cost:

24" Street Tree	\$438
36" Street Tree or Larger	Actual costs

PUBLIC TREE DAMAGE OR REMOVAL FEE SCHEDULE:

This fee schedule is defined in Chapter 14.12 and establishes the fee to be paid to the City for damage to and/or removal of public trees.

1st time offenders, as defined in Chapter 14.12, shall be subject to a fee of 10% of the Public Tree Damage Fee or 10% of the Public Tree Removal Fee as defined below or \$600, whichever is higher, per public tree damaged and/or removed. No additional costs, such as stump removal, trimming, or replanting will apply.

Repeat offenders, intentional actors and professionals, as defined in Chapter 14.12, shall be subject to the following fees:

Public Tree Damage Fee:

\$100 per cumulative diameter inch of branch or root plus, if any, the actual costs incurred for immediate corrective pruning plus, if any, the calculated costs for future corrective pruning, as may be required to maintain the health of the tree.

Public Tree Removal Fee:

The fee for each tree removed shall be based upon the unmodified value of the tree removed (based upon diameter), multiplied by the species rating, multiplied by the condition rating.

FEE = UNMODIFIED TREE VALUE x SPECIES RATING x CONDITION RATING

For inputs, use the following values:

UNMODIFIED TREE	Refer to Unmodified Tree Value Table
SPECIES RATING	Refer to Species Rating Table
CONDITION RATING	Good = 1.00, Fair = 0.75, Poor = 0.50

The fee for trees less than 4 inches in diameter shall not be reduced by species or condition rating.

Trees larger than 40" shall have the fee determined by the most recent edition of the 'Guide for Plant Appraisal', published by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers, using the trunk formula method.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

CC 04-20-2021
250 of 842

No additional costs, such as stump removal, trimming or replanting will apply.

Unmodified Tree Value Table:

Tree size (diameter of trunk)

1" to 2"	\$348
2" to 3"	\$348
3" to 4"	\$1,039
4" to 5"	\$1,039
5" to 6"	\$1,404
6" to 7"	\$1,851
7" to 8"	\$2,378
8" to 9"	\$2,987
9" to 10"	\$3,677
10" to 11"	\$4,449
11" to 12"	\$5,301
12" to 13"	\$6,235
13" to 14"	\$7,249
14" to 15"	\$8,345
15" to 16"	\$9,522
16" to 17"	\$10,780
17" to 18"	\$12,120
18" to 19"	\$13,540
19" to 20"	\$15,042
20" to 21"	\$16,625
21" to 22"	\$18,290
22" to 23"	\$20,036
23" to 24"	\$21,862
24" to 25"	\$23,769
25" to 26"	\$25,758
26" to 27"	\$27,829
27" to 28"	\$29,980
28" to 29"	\$32,212
29" to 30"	\$34,527
30" to 31"	\$36,920
31" to 32"	\$39,396
32" to 33"	\$41,954
33" to 34"	\$44,593
34" to 35"	\$47,312
35" to 36"	\$50,113
36" to 37"	\$52,995
37" to 38"	\$55,958
38" to 39"	\$59,003
39" to 40"	\$62,128

Measurement shall be measured 4.5 feet above the ground level and rounded down to the nearest whole inch.

If the tree is multi-trunk, use 1.5 times the diameter of the largest trunk to determine fee.

If there is tree damage 4-5 feet above the ground, trunk diameter is to be measured 1 foot above ground level and 1 inch is to be subtracted from the diameter to determine fee.

If the tree is removed to the ground, tree inventory data will be used to determine the trunk diameter.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Acacia	ACACIA	60
Blackwoodacaia	ACACIA MELANOXYLON	60
Trident maple	ACER BUERGERIANUM	90
Big leaf maple	ACER MACROPHYLLUM**	100
Japanese maple	ACER PALMATUM	90
Red maple	ACER REBRUM	70
Silver maple	ACER SACCHARINUM	80
California buckeye	AESCLUSUS CALIFORNICA**	100
Red hoursechesnut	AESCLUSUS X CARNEA	90
Tree of heaven	AILANTHUS ALTISSIMA	0
Silk tree	ALBIZIA JULIBRISSIN	50
Black Alder	ALNUS GLUTINOSA	80
Strawberry madrone	ARBUTUS MARINA	90
Madrone	ARBUTUS MENZIESII	100
Hong Kong orchid	BAUHINIA BLAKEANA	75
Birch	BETULA ALBA	60
Incense cedar	CALOCEDRUS DECURRENS	80
Horsetail tree	CASUARINA EQUISETIFOLIA	75
Blue atlas cedar	CEDRUS ATLANTICA**	100
Deodora cedar	CEDRUS DEODARA**	100
Chinese hackberry	CELTUS SINENSIS	65
Carob tree	CERATONIA SILIQUA	70
Redbud(eastern)	CERCIS CANADENSIS	75
Camphor tree	CINNAMOMUM CAMPHORA	70
Citrus	CITRUS SP	40
English hawthorn	CRATAEGUS LAEVIGATA	70
Cypress	CUPRESSACEAE	80
Italian cypress	CUPRESSUS SEMPERVIREN	80
Japanese persimmon	DIOSPYROS KAKI	40
Loquat	ERIOBOTRYA DEFLEXA	60
Blue gum tree	EUCALYPTUS GLOBULUS	70
Eucalyptus	EUCALYPTUS SP	60
Misson fig	FICUS CARICA	40
Autumn purple ash	FRAXINUS AMERICANA	80
Raywood ash	FRAXINUS ANGUSTIFOLIA 'RAYWOOD'	80
Moraine ash	FRAXINUS HOLOTRICHA	80
Shamel ash	FRAXINUS UHDEI	80
Modesto ash	FRAXINUS VELUTINA 'MODESTO'	80
Australian willow	GEIJERA PARVIFOLIA	80
Maidenhair	GINKO BILOBA	80
Honey locust	GLEDITSIA TRIACANTHOS	70
Silk oak tree	GREVILLEA ROBUSTA	70
English holly	ILLEX AQUIFOLIUM	40
Jacaranda	JACARANDA MIMMOSIFOLIA	70
Walnut	JUGLANS	70

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Black walnut	<i>JUGLANS HINDSII</i>	70
Chinese flame tree	<i>KOELREUTERIA BIPINNATA</i>	80
Muskogee crape myrtle	<i>LAGERSTROMIA 'MUSKOGEE'</i>	80
Nanchez crape myrtle	<i>LAGERSTROMIA 'NANCHEZ'</i>	80
Tuscarora crape myrtle	<i>LAGERSTROMIA 'TUSCARORA'</i>	80
Sweet bay	<i>LAURUS NOBILIS</i>	80
Japanese privet	<i>LIGUSTRUM JAPONICUM</i>	30
American sweetgum	<i>LIQUIDAMBER STYRACIFLUA</i>	40
Tulip tree	<i>LIRIODENDRON</i>	60
Brisbane box tree	<i>LOPHOSTEMON CONFERTUS</i>	90
Magnolia	<i>MAGNOLIA GRANDIFOLIA RUSSET</i>	75
Magnolia (dwarf)	<i>MAGNOLIA GRANDIFOLIA ST MARY</i>	75
Saucer magnolia	<i>MAGNOLIA SOLINGIANA</i>	75
Crabapple tree	<i>MALUS FLORIBUNDA</i>	90
Apple	<i>MALUS SP</i>	40
Mayten tree	<i>MAYTENUS</i>	70
Malaleuca(broad leaf)	<i>MELALEUCA LEUCADENDRA</i>	60
Malaleuca(narrow leaf)	<i>MELALEUCA LINARIFOLIA</i>	60
Dawn redwood	<i>METASAQUOIA GLYPTOSTROBOIDES</i>	100
Fruitless mulberry	<i>MORUS ALBA</i>	40
Black mulberry	<i>MORUS NIGRA</i>	40
Myoprum	<i>MYOPORUM LAETUM</i>	70
Oleander tree	<i>NERIUM OLEANDER</i>	40
Olive	<i>OLEA EUROPAEA</i>	70
Devilwood	<i>OSMANTHUS AMERICANUS</i>	0
Palm	<i>PALM*</i>	40
Avocado	<i>PERSEA AMERICANA</i>	60
Red leaf photinia	<i>PHOTINIA GLABRA</i>	60
Spruce	<i>PICEA</i>	80
Colorado spruce	<i>PICEA PUNGENS</i>	80
Colorado blue spruce	<i>PICEA PUNGENS 'GLAUCA'</i>	80
Italian stone pine	<i>PINUS PINEA</i>	90
Pine	<i>PINUS SP</i>	30
Chinese pistacio	<i>PISTACIA CHINENSIS</i>	80
Lemonwood tree	<i>PITTOSPORUM EUGENIOIDES</i>	40
Japanese cheesewood	<i>PITTOSPORUM TOBIRA</i>	40
London plane 'colombiana'	<i>PLATANUS COLUMBIANA</i>	95
Western Sycamore	<i>PLATANUS RACEMOSA**</i>	100
London plane 'bloodgood'	<i>PLATANUS X HISPANICA 'BLOODGOOD'</i>	95
Yew pine	<i>PODOCURPUS MACROPHYLLUS</i>	75
Poplar	<i>POPULUS</i>	60
Flowering cherry	<i>PRUNUS AKEBONO</i>	80
Wild Plum	<i>PRUNUS AMARACANA</i>	40
Almond tree	<i>PRUNUS ALMOND</i>	50
Apricot tree	<i>PRUNUS APRICOT</i>	40

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Fruiting cherry	<i>PRUNUS AVIUM</i>	0
Carolina cherry	<i>PRUNUS CAROLINIANA</i>	60
Purple leaf plum	<i>PRUNUS CERASFERA KRAUTER VESUVIUS</i>	70
Peach tree	<i>PRUNUS PERSICA</i>	40
Douglas fir	<i>PSEUDOTSUGA MENZIESII</i>	80
Guava	<i>PSIDIUM GUAJAVA</i>	40
Pomegranate	<i>PUNICA GRANATUM</i>	40
Aristocrat Flowering pear tree	<i>PYRUS CALLERYANA 'ARISTOCRAT'</i>	75
Bradford flowering pear	<i>PYRUS CALLERYANA 'BRADFORD'</i>	75
Chanticleer flowering pear	<i>PYRUS CALLERYANA 'CHANTICLEER'</i>	75
Evergreen flowering pear	<i>PYRUS KAWAKAMII</i>	75
Asian pear	<i>PYRUS PYRIFOLIA</i>	40
Oak	<i>QUERCUS</i>	90
Coast live oak	<i>QUERCUS AGRIFOLIA**</i>	100
White oak	<i>QUERCUS ALBA</i>	90
Texas red oak	<i>QUERCUS BUCKEYI</i>	90
Sierra oak	<i>QUERCUS CAMBII</i>	90
Blue oak	<i>QUERCUS DOUGLASII**</i>	100
Forest green oak	<i>QUERCUS FRAINETTO</i>	90
Holly oak	<i>QUERCUS ILEX</i>	90
Black oak	<i>QUERCUS KELLOGGII**</i>	100
Valley oak	<i>QUERCUS LOBATA**</i>	100
Red oak	<i>QUERCUS SUBER</i>	90
Cork oak	<i>QUERCUS SUBER</i>	90
Southern live oak	<i>QUERCUS VIRGINIANA</i>	100
Interior live oak	<i>QUERCUS WISLIZENI**</i>	100
African sumac	<i>RHUS LANCIA</i>	70
Weeping willow	<i>SALIX BABYLONICA</i>	40
Wild willow	<i>SALIX SCOULERIANA</i>	0
California pepper tree	<i>SCHINUS MOLE</i>	40
Brazilian pepper tree	<i>SCHINUS TEREBINTHEFOLIUS</i>	40
Coast redwood	<i>SEQUIOA SEMPRIVIRONS</i>	95
Giant sequoia	<i>SEQUIOIA DENDRON GIGANTEUM</i>	80
Japanese pogoda	<i>SOPHORIA JAPONICA</i>	70
Chinese tallow	<i>TRIADICA SEBIFERA</i>	50
Water gum	<i>TRISTANIA LAURINA</i>	70
Bosque chinese elm	<i>ULMAS PARVIFOLIA 'BOSQUE'</i>	90
Chinese elm	<i>ULMUS PARVIFOLIA</i>	70
Siberian elm	<i>ULMUS PUMILA</i>	60
Bay laurel	<i>UMBELLULARIA CALIFORNICA**</i>	100
Mexican fan palm	<i>WASHINGTON ROBUSTA</i>	0
Spanish dagger yucca	<i>YUCCA GLORIOSA</i>	0
Zelkova	<i>ZELKOVA SERRATA</i>	65

*All palms on Palm Avenue are protected heritage trees and will be rated @ 100%

**Protected tree species

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
255 of 842

DEFINITIONS

- A. Parcel Map: up to four (4) parcels (CMC Chapter 18.20).
- B. Tentative map: Five (5) or more parcels (CMC Chapter 18.16).
- C. Minor: Up to 10,000 square feet commercial/office/non-residential/industrial; up to six (6) residential units (CMC Chapter 19.12)
- D. Major: 10,000 or more square feet commercial/office/non-residential/industrial; six (6) or more residential units (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- E. Minor Architectural and Site Approval - Duplex/Residential: Architectural approval of single family homes in a planned development zoning district, redevelopment or modification of duplexes, and associated landscaping, where such review is required (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- F. Minor Architectural and Site Approval: Architectural approval of the following: minor building modifications, landscaping, signs and lighting for new development, redevelopment or modification in such zones where such review is required (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- G. Major Architectural and Site Approval: Architectural approval of all other development projects (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- H. Exceptions: An exception to the zoning standards for which an exception process and findings are identified in the Municipal Code. These include Fence, Sign, Height, Hillside, Parking, R-1, A, A-1, and R1 cluster zone exceptions. This also includes exceptions identified in the City's Specific Plans (CMC Chapter 19.12 and Title 20).
- I. Minor Modification: An application that is administratively reviewed by staff either at an advertised public hearing/meeting or in a non-hearing process (CMC Chapter 19.164).
- J. Temporary Sign Permit: A review of a temporary sign application for banners, A-frame signs and other temporary signs (CMC Chapter 19.104).
- K. Extension Permit: A one-time one-year extension of the planning permit expiration date (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- L. Appeal: A request from the project applicant or interested party to reverse or amend a decision made by the approval authority. Fee Exemption for: an appointed public official serving on the board that made the decision subject to the appeal, an appointed public official serving on a board that is directly affected by the decision and City Council members. At the conclusion of a City Council appeal hearing, it may choose in its sole discretion, refund all, a portion of, or none of the appeal fee (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- M. Legal Noticing Fee: Assessed for all permit applications that require noticing (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- N. Housing Mitigation Fee: A fee assessed in accordance with the City's General Plan Housing Element Municipal Code (CMC 19.172) and the City's BMR Housing Mitigation Program Procedural Manual.
- Note: Mixed use applications will be classified based upon the highest intensity and review process. The Director of Community Development will have discretion to classify projects based upon the

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
256 of 842

Fee Description	FY 21-22 Fee
Planning Staff Hourly Rate ¹	\$302
General Plan	
Authorization	Staff Hourly Rate
Amendment	
Zoning	
Zoning Map Amendment	Staff Hourly Rate
Zoning Text Amendment	
Single-Story Overlay District	
Study Session	Staff Hourly Rate
Subdivision	
Parcel Map (See Definition A)	\$19,000
Tentative Map (See Definition B)	\$31,603
Conditional Use/Development Permit	
Temporary Use Permit	\$4,214
Administrative Conditional Use Permit	\$6,978
Minor (See Definition C)	\$19,114
Major (See Definition D)	\$31,850
Amendment to Conditional Use/Development Permit	
Minor (See Definition C)	\$8,780
Major (See Definition D)	\$16,036
Architectural and Site Approval Permit	
Minor Duplex / Residential (See Definition E)	\$6,715
Minor (See Definition F)	\$13,223
Major (See Definition G)	\$19,681
Single Family (R-1) Residential Permits	
Minor Residential Permit	\$3,448
Two-Story Permit without Design Review	\$4,477
Two-Story Permit with Design Review	\$5,373
Director Minor Modification (See Definition I)	\$4,710
Exceptions (See Definition H)	
Fence Exception - R1 & R2	\$1,282
Fence Exception - Other	\$4,314
Sign exception	\$4,910
R-1 Exception	\$6,973
Heart of the City Exception	\$19,493
Hillside Exception	\$20,203
Exception - Other	\$6,729
Variance	\$7,711
Reasonable Accommodation	\$1,119

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

Fee Description	Effective July 1, 2021
<i>Tree Removal Permit</i>	
Tree Removal Permit (no Arborist review required)	
First Tree	\$298
Each Additional Tree	\$150
Tree Removal Permit (Arborist review required)	
First Tree	\$447
Each Additional Tree	\$224
Retroactive Tree Removal (per tree)	\$4,963
Heritage Tree Designation	\$373
Tree Management Plan	\$7,016
<i>Signs</i>	
Temporary Sign Permit (See Definition J)	\$476
Sign Program	\$3,853
Planning Commission Interpretation	\$7,105
Extension of Approved Entitlements (See Definition K)	\$1,910
<i>Environmental Assessment</i>	
Environmental Impact Report (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee
Negative Declaration - Major (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee
Negative Declaration - Minor (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee
Categorical Exemption (Plus County Filing Fee)	\$344
Appeals (See Definition L)	
Planning Commission	\$344
City Council	\$344
<i>Miscellaneous Fees</i>	
Legal Noticing Fee (See Definition M)	\$400
Zoning Verification Letter	\$504
Public Convenience and Necessity Letter (Alcoholic Beverage License)	\$253
Short-Term Rental	\$211
Mobile Vending Permit	\$302
<i>Fees Assessed at Building Permit Issuance</i>	
<i>Wireless Master Plan Fees</i>	
Equipment Mounted on Existing Light/Utility Pole	\$9.25
New Personal Wireless Facility (not mounted on light/utility pole)	\$2,015
<i>Zoning, Planning, Municipal Code Fees</i>	
All Non-Residential and Multi-Family (per sq.ft.)	\$0.45
Residential Single Family (per sq. ft.)	\$0.22
General Plan Office Allocation Fee (per sq. ft.)	\$0.41

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY:²	
<i>Housing Mitigation In-Lieu Fees² (See Definition N)</i>	
Residential - Ownership (per sq. ft.)	
Detached Single Family Residence	\$19.28
Small Lot Single Family Residence or Townhome	\$21.21
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (up to 35 du/ac)	\$25.71
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (over 35 du/ac)	\$25.71
Residential - Rental (per sq. ft.)	
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (up to 35 du/ac)	\$25.71
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (over 35 du/ac)	\$32.14
Non-Residential (per sq. ft.)	
Office, Research and Development, or Industrial	\$30.47
Hotel	\$15.24
Self-storage, employee unit provided	\$0.57
Self-storage, employee unit not provided	\$1.20
Warehouse	\$42.32
Commercial/Retail	\$12.85

¹Applications may be subject to a Planning Staff Hourly Rate fee for applicable staff time, and vendor invoice. These fees apply to projects that require a level of staff support greater than the scope of work included in the regular fee schedule and will be based on the time and materials required to process the entire project. The applicant will be notified if these fees are applicable to their project. The applicant will be required to enter into a Reimbursement Agreement with the City for such projects.

²All Housing Mitigation Fees are assessed in accordance with the BMR Housing Mitigation Manual. Non-residential Housing Mitigation In-lieu Fees are based on the 2015 and the 2020 Supplement to the Non-Residential Nexus Analysis by Keyser Marsten. Residential Housing Mitigation In-lieu Fees ~~is~~ are based on the 2015 Nexus Study. These fees increase automatically annually (on July 1 of each year) by the Consumer Price Index of All Urban Consumers for San Francisco, CA.

An administrative fee (15%) will be charged for outside agency review/consultant services per Schedule A - General Fees.

If plans are submitted on paper, these must be sent to an outside agency for scanning. The cost of scanning the plans, plus the administrative fee per Schedule A - General Fees will be charged.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly — Fixed Seating	1,000	\$9,590	\$63.09	\$7,992	\$52.57	\$6,393	\$42.06
	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$12,113	\$70.66	\$10,094	\$58.88	\$8,076	\$47.11
		10,000	\$15,646	\$55.53	\$13,039	\$46.27	\$10,431	\$37.02
		20,000	\$21,199	\$11.78	\$17,666	\$9.82	\$14,133	\$7.86
		50,000	\$24,734	\$12.10	\$20,612	\$10.09	\$16,489	\$8.07
		100,000	\$30,785	\$30.79	\$25,654	\$25.65	\$20,523	\$20.52
A-2	Assembly — Food & Drink	250	\$6,482	\$170.60	\$5,402	\$142.17	\$4,322	\$113.73
	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$8,188	\$191.05	\$6,824	\$159.20	\$5,459	\$127.36
		2,500	\$10,576	\$150.11	\$8,814	\$125.09	\$7,051	\$100.07
		5,000	\$14,329	\$31.85	\$11,941	\$26.54	\$9,553	\$21.23
		12,500	\$16,718	\$32.75	\$13,932	\$27.29	\$11,145	\$21.83
		25,000	\$20,811	\$83.25	\$17,343	\$69.37	\$13,874	\$55.50
A-3	Assembly — Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$12,153	\$79.95	\$10,127	\$66.62	\$8,102	\$53.30
	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$15,351	\$89.54	\$12,792	\$74.61	\$10,234	\$59.69
		10,000	\$19,828	\$70.37	\$16,523	\$58.65	\$13,218	\$46.92
		20,000	\$26,865	\$14.91	\$22,388	\$12.42	\$17,910	\$9.94
		50,000	\$31,337	\$15.36	\$26,114	\$12.80	\$20,892	\$10.24
		100,000	\$39,015	\$39.01	\$32,512	\$32.51	\$26,010	\$26.01
A-4	Assembly — Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$7,401	\$97.39	\$6,168	\$81.16	\$4,934	\$64.93
	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$9,349	\$109.05	\$7,791	\$90.88	\$6,233	\$72.70
		5,000	\$12,076	\$85.72	\$10,063	\$71.43	\$8,050	\$57.15
		10,000	\$16,362	\$18.18	\$13,635	\$15.15	\$10,908	\$12.12
		25,000	\$19,089	\$18.69	\$15,907	\$15.58	\$12,726	\$12.46
		50,000	\$23,763	\$47.53	\$19,802	\$39.60	\$15,842	\$31.68
A-5	Assembly — Outdoor Activities	500	\$7,831	\$103.06	\$6,526	\$85.88	\$5,221	\$68.70
	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$9,893	\$115.41	\$8,244	\$96.18	\$6,595	\$76.94
		5,000	\$12,778	\$90.68	\$10,648	\$75.56	\$8,519	\$60.45
		10,000	\$17,312	\$19.23	\$14,426	\$16.02	\$11,541	\$12.82
		25,000	\$20,196	\$19.81	\$16,830	\$16.51	\$13,464	\$13.21
		50,000	\$25,148	\$50.30	\$20,957	\$41.91	\$16,765	\$33.53
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$4,930	\$64.87	\$4,109	\$54.06	\$3,287	\$43.25
		2,500	\$6,228	\$72.64	\$5,190	\$60.53	\$4,152	\$48.42
		5,000	\$8,044	\$57.10	\$6,703	\$47.58	\$5,362	\$38.07
		10,000	\$10,899	\$12.10	\$9,082	\$10.09	\$7,266	\$8.07
		25,000	\$12,714	\$12.45	\$10,595	\$10.37	\$8,476	\$8.30
		50,000	\$15,825	\$31.65	\$13,188	\$26.38	\$10,550	\$21.10
B	Business — Bank	500	\$6,685	\$87.95	\$5,571	\$73.29	\$4,457	\$58.63
		2,500	\$8,444	\$98.53	\$7,037	\$82.11	\$5,630	\$65.69
		5,000	\$10,908	\$77.40	\$9,090	\$64.50	\$7,272	\$51.60
		10,000	\$14,778	\$16.42	\$12,315	\$13.69	\$9,852	\$10.95
		25,000	\$17,241	\$16.90	\$14,368	\$14.08	\$11,494	\$11.27
		50,000	\$21,466	\$42.93	\$17,888	\$35.78	\$14,311	\$28.62

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$5,742	\$188.88	\$4,785	\$157.40	\$3,828	\$125.92
		1,000	\$7,253	\$211.55	\$6,044	\$176.29	\$4,835	\$141.03
		2,000	\$9,369	\$166.20	\$7,807	\$138.50	\$6,246	\$110.80
		4,000	\$12,693	\$35.28	\$10,577	\$29.40	\$8,462	\$23.52
		10,000	\$14,809	\$36.26	\$12,341	\$30.22	\$9,873	\$24.17
		20,000	\$18,435	\$92.18	\$15,363	\$76.81	\$12,290	\$61.45
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$6,888	\$90.62	\$5,740	\$75.52	\$4,592	\$60.41
		2,500	\$8,701	\$101.53	\$7,250	\$84.61	\$5,800	\$67.69
		5,000	\$11,239	\$79.73	\$9,366	\$66.44	\$7,493	\$53.15
		10,000	\$15,225	\$16.91	\$12,688	\$14.09	\$10,150	\$11.27
		25,000	\$17,762	\$17.41	\$14,801	\$14.51	\$11,841	\$11.61
		50,000	\$22,115	\$44.23	\$18,429	\$36.86	\$14,743	\$29.49
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$7,606	\$74.26	\$6,338	\$61.89	\$5,071	\$49.51
		5,000	\$10,576	\$63.88	\$8,814	\$53.24	\$7,051	\$42.59
		10,000	\$13,771	\$45.17	\$11,475	\$37.64	\$9,180	\$30.11
		20,000	\$18,288	\$10.67	\$15,240	\$8.89	\$12,192	\$7.11
		50,000	\$21,488	\$11.46	\$17,907	\$9.55	\$14,326	\$7.64
		100,000	\$27,219	\$27.22	\$22,682	\$22.68	\$18,146	\$18.15
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$5,273	\$115.63	\$4,394	\$96.36	\$3,515	\$77.09
		1,500	\$6,661	\$129.51	\$5,551	\$107.93	\$4,440	\$86.34
		3,000	\$8,603	\$101.76	\$7,170	\$84.80	\$5,736	\$67.84
		6,000	\$11,656	\$21.58	\$9,713	\$17.98	\$7,771	\$14.39
		15,000	\$13,598	\$22.20	\$11,332	\$18.50	\$9,065	\$14.80
		30,000	\$16,929	\$56.43	\$14,107	\$47.02	\$11,286	\$37.62
E	Educational—Preschool / School	100	\$5,676	\$373.45	\$4,730	\$311.20	\$3,784	\$248.96
		500	\$7,170	\$418.22	\$5,975	\$348.52	\$4,780	\$278.81
		1,000	\$9,261	\$328.64	\$7,718	\$273.87	\$6,174	\$219.09
		2,000	\$12,547	\$69.72	\$10,456	\$58.10	\$8,365	\$46.48
		5,000	\$14,639	\$71.67	\$12,199	\$59.73	\$9,759	\$47.78
		10,000	\$18,223	\$182.23	\$15,186	\$151.86	\$12,148	\$121.48
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,459	\$293.33	\$3,716	\$244.44	\$2,972	\$195.55
		500	\$5,632	\$328.55	\$4,693	\$273.79	\$3,755	\$219.03
		1,000	\$7,275	\$258.13	\$6,062	\$215.11	\$4,850	\$172.09
		2,000	\$9,856	\$54.75	\$8,213	\$45.63	\$6,571	\$36.50
		5,000	\$11,499	\$56.32	\$9,582	\$46.93	\$7,666	\$37.55
		10,000	\$14,314	\$143.14	\$11,929	\$119.29	\$9,543	\$95.43
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$6,965	\$19.87	\$5,804	\$16.56	\$4,643	\$13.25
		5,000	\$7,760	\$31.79	\$6,467	\$26.49	\$5,173	\$21.19
		10,000	\$9,349	\$34.44	\$7,791	\$28.70	\$6,233	\$22.96
		20,000	\$12,793	\$5.29	\$10,661	\$4.41	\$8,529	\$3.53
		50,000	\$14,379	\$3.19	\$11,983	\$2.66	\$9,586	\$2.12
		100,000	\$15,973	\$15.97	\$13,311	\$13.31	\$10,649	\$10.65

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$7,162	\$47.13	\$5,969	\$39.27	\$4,775	\$31.42
		5,000	\$9,048	\$52.78	\$7,540	\$43.98	\$6,032	\$35.19
		10,000	\$11,687	\$41.48	\$9,739	\$34.56	\$7,791	\$27.65
		20,000	\$15,834	\$8.77	\$13,195	\$7.31	\$10,556	\$5.85
		50,000	\$18,467	\$9.04	\$15,389	\$7.54	\$12,311	\$6.03
		100,000	\$22,988	\$22.99	\$19,157	\$19.16	\$15,325	\$15.33
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$5,243	\$34.50	\$4,369	\$28.75	\$3,495	\$23.00
		5,000	\$6,623	\$38.63	\$5,519	\$32.19	\$4,415	\$25.75
		10,000	\$8,554	\$30.35	\$7,128	\$25.29	\$5,703	\$20.23
		20,000	\$11,589	\$6.43	\$9,658	\$5.36	\$7,726	\$4.29
		50,000	\$13,520	\$6.63	\$11,267	\$5.52	\$9,013	\$4.42
		100,000	\$16,833	\$16.83	\$14,027	\$14.03	\$11,222	\$11.22
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$5,316	\$349.73	\$4,430	\$291.44	\$3,544	\$233.15
		500	\$6,715	\$391.72	\$5,596	\$326.43	\$4,477	\$261.14
		1,000	\$8,674	\$307.76	\$7,228	\$256.47	\$5,782	\$205.17
		2,000	\$11,751	\$65.30	\$9,793	\$54.41	\$7,834	\$43.53
		5,000	\$13,710	\$67.14	\$11,425	\$55.95	\$9,140	\$44.76
		10,000	\$17,067	\$170.67	\$14,222	\$142.22	\$11,378	\$113.78
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$6,379	\$419.68	\$5,316	\$349.73	\$4,253	\$279.79
		500	\$8,058	\$470.06	\$6,715	\$391.72	\$5,372	\$313.37
		1,000	\$10,408	\$369.31	\$8,674	\$307.76	\$6,939	\$246.21
		2,000	\$14,101	\$78.36	\$11,751	\$65.30	\$9,401	\$52.24
		5,000	\$16,452	\$80.56	\$13,710	\$67.14	\$10,968	\$53.71
		10,000	\$20,480	\$204.80	\$17,067	\$170.67	\$13,654	\$136.54
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$6,960	\$457.85	\$5,800	\$381.54	\$4,640	\$305.23
		500	\$8,791	\$512.79	\$7,326	\$427.33	\$5,861	\$341.86
		1,000	\$11,355	\$402.91	\$9,462	\$335.76	\$7,570	\$268.61
		2,000	\$15,384	\$85.48	\$12,820	\$71.24	\$10,256	\$56.99
		5,000	\$17,948	\$87.90	\$14,957	\$73.25	\$11,966	\$58.60
		10,000	\$22,344	\$223.44	\$18,620	\$186.20	\$14,896	\$148.96
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$5,568	\$366.28	\$4,640	\$305.23	\$3,712	\$244.19
		500	\$7,033	\$410.23	\$5,861	\$341.86	\$4,688	\$273.49
		1,000	\$9,084	\$322.33	\$7,570	\$268.61	\$6,056	\$214.88
		2,000	\$12,307	\$68.39	\$10,256	\$56.99	\$8,205	\$45.59
		5,000	\$14,359	\$70.32	\$11,966	\$58.60	\$9,573	\$46.88
		10,000	\$17,875	\$178.75	\$14,896	\$148.96	\$11,917	\$119.17
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$5,568	\$366.28	\$4,640	\$305.23	\$3,712	\$244.19
		500	\$7,033	\$410.23	\$5,861	\$341.86	\$4,688	\$273.49
		1,000	\$9,084	\$322.33	\$7,570	\$268.61	\$6,056	\$214.88
		2,000	\$12,307	\$68.39	\$10,256	\$56.99	\$8,205	\$45.59
		5,000	\$14,359	\$70.32	\$11,966	\$58.60	\$9,573	\$46.88
		10,000	\$17,875	\$178.75	\$14,896	\$148.96	\$11,917	\$119.17

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,107	\$270.16	\$3,422	\$225.14	\$2,738	\$180.11
		500	\$5,187	\$302.61	\$4,323	\$252.18	\$3,458	\$201.74
		1,000	\$6,700	\$237.75	\$5,584	\$198.13	\$4,467	\$158.50
		2,000	\$9,078	\$50.41	\$7,565	\$42.01	\$6,052	\$33.61
		5,000	\$10,590	\$51.89	\$8,825	\$43.25	\$7,060	\$34.60
		10,000	\$13,185	\$131.85	\$10,987	\$109.87	\$8,790	\$87.90
I-1	Institutional – 7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$6,977	\$91.81	\$5,814	\$76.51	\$4,651	\$61.21
		2,500	\$8,813	\$102.80	\$7,344	\$85.67	\$5,876	\$68.54
		5,000	\$11,384	\$80.80	\$9,486	\$67.33	\$7,589	\$53.86
		10,000	\$15,423	\$17.13	\$12,853	\$14.27	\$10,282	\$11.42
		25,000	\$17,992	\$17.64	\$14,993	\$14.70	\$11,995	\$11.76
		50,000	\$22,402	\$44.80	\$18,668	\$37.34	\$14,934	\$29.87
I-2	Institutional – 6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$8,451	\$111.20	\$7,043	\$92.67	\$5,634	\$74.14
		2,500	\$10,675	\$124.52	\$8,896	\$103.77	\$7,117	\$83.01
		5,000	\$13,788	\$97.86	\$11,490	\$81.55	\$9,192	\$65.24
		10,000	\$18,682	\$20.76	\$15,568	\$17.30	\$12,454	\$13.84
		25,000	\$21,796	\$21.33	\$18,164	\$17.78	\$14,531	\$14.22
		50,000	\$27,129	\$54.26	\$22,608	\$45.22	\$18,086	\$36.17
I-3	Institutional – 6+ persons, restrained	500	\$7,775	\$102.31	\$6,479	\$85.25	\$5,184	\$68.20
		2,500	\$9,821	\$114.58	\$8,185	\$95.49	\$6,548	\$76.39
		5,000	\$12,686	\$90.05	\$10,572	\$75.04	\$8,457	\$60.03
		10,000	\$17,189	\$19.08	\$14,324	\$15.90	\$11,459	\$12.72
		25,000	\$20,050	\$19.63	\$16,709	\$16.36	\$13,367	\$13.09
		50,000	\$24,958	\$49.92	\$20,798	\$41.60	\$16,639	\$33.28
I-4	Institutional – 6+ persons, day care	500	\$7,775	\$102.31	\$6,479	\$85.25	\$5,184	\$68.20
		2,500	\$9,821	\$114.58	\$8,185	\$95.49	\$6,548	\$76.39
		5,000	\$12,686	\$90.05	\$10,572	\$75.04	\$8,457	\$60.03
		10,000	\$17,189	\$19.08	\$14,324	\$15.90	\$11,459	\$12.72
		25,000	\$20,050	\$19.63	\$16,709	\$16.36	\$13,367	\$13.09
		50,000	\$24,958	\$49.92	\$20,798	\$41.60	\$16,639	\$33.28
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,111	\$270.46	\$3,426	\$225.38	\$2,741	\$180.31
		500	\$5,193	\$302.92	\$4,327	\$252.43	\$3,462	\$201.94
		1,000	\$6,707	\$238.00	\$5,590	\$198.34	\$4,472	\$158.67
		2,000	\$9,087	\$50.48	\$7,573	\$42.07	\$6,058	\$33.65
		5,000	\$10,602	\$51.95	\$8,835	\$43.29	\$7,068	\$34.63
		10,000	\$13,199	\$131.99	\$10,999	\$109.99	\$8,800	\$88.00
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$10,947	\$36.00	\$9,122	\$30.00	\$7,298	\$24.00
		10,000	\$13,826	\$40.36	\$11,522	\$33.63	\$9,218	\$26.91
		20,000	\$17,862	\$31.70	\$14,885	\$26.41	\$11,908	\$21.13
		40,000	\$24,201	\$6.71	\$20,168	\$5.59	\$16,134	\$4.47
		100,000	\$28,226	\$6.92	\$23,522	\$5.76	\$18,817	\$4.61
		200,000	\$35,143	\$17.57	\$29,285	\$14.64	\$23,428	\$11.71

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,865	\$106.68	\$4,054	\$88.90	\$3,243	\$71.12
		1,500	\$6,145	\$119.46	\$5,121	\$99.55	\$4,096	\$79.64
		3,000	\$7,937	\$93.90	\$6,614	\$78.25	\$5,291	\$62.60
		6,000	\$10,754	\$19.89	\$8,961	\$16.58	\$7,169	\$13.26
		15,000	\$12,544	\$20.50	\$10,453	\$17.09	\$8,363	\$13.67
		30,000	\$15,619	\$52.06	\$13,016	\$43.39	\$10,413	\$34.71
R-1	Residential—Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$16,236	\$7.12	\$13,530	\$5.93	\$10,824	\$4.75
		15,000	\$17,090	\$22.79	\$14,242	\$18.99	\$11,393	\$15.19
		30,000	\$20,508	\$2.87	\$17,090	\$2.39	\$13,672	\$1.91
		60,000	\$21,368	\$3.79	\$17,806	\$3.16	\$14,245	\$2.53
		150,000	\$24,779	\$0.58	\$20,649	\$0.48	\$16,519	\$0.39
		300,000	\$25,652	\$8.55	\$21,377	\$7.13	\$17,101	\$5.70
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building	800	\$14,741	\$24.25	\$12,284	\$20.21	\$9,828	\$16.17
		4,000	\$15,517	\$77.56	\$12,931	\$64.63	\$10,345	\$51.71
		8,000	\$18,620	\$9.71	\$15,516	\$8.10	\$12,413	\$6.48
		16,000	\$19,397	\$12.93	\$16,164	\$10.78	\$12,931	\$8.62
		40,000	\$22,500	\$1.95	\$18,750	\$1.62	\$15,000	\$1.30
		80,000	\$23,279	\$29.10	\$19,399	\$24.25	\$15,519	\$19.40
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building -	800	\$210	\$0.34	\$175	\$0.28	\$140	\$0.23
	Repeat Unit	4,000	\$221	\$1.11	\$184	\$0.93	\$147	\$0.74
		8,000	\$265	\$0.13	\$221	\$0.10	\$177	\$0.08
		16,000	\$275	\$0.20	\$229	\$0.16	\$183	\$0.13
		40,000	\$322	\$0.02	\$269	\$0.01	\$215	\$0.01
		80,000	\$329	\$0.41	\$275	\$0.34	\$220	\$0.27
R-3	Dwellings—Custom Homes, Models, First	499	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Master Plan	1,000	\$7,387	\$22.05	\$6,156	\$18.37	\$4,924	\$14.70
		2,500	\$7,717	\$88.32	\$6,431	\$73.60	\$5,145	\$58.88
		4,000	\$9,042	\$16.54	\$7,535	\$13.78	\$6,028	\$11.03
		6,000	\$9,373	\$66.23	\$7,811	\$55.19	\$6,249	\$44.16
		8,000	\$10,698	\$16.54	\$8,915	\$13.78	\$7,132	\$11.03
		10,000	\$11,029	\$110.29	\$9,190	\$91.90	\$7,352	\$73.52
R-3	Dwellings—Production Phase	1,000	\$2,869	\$10.06	\$2,391	\$8.38	\$1,912	\$6.71
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$3,020	\$40.26	\$2,516	\$33.55	\$2,013	\$26.84
		4,000	\$3,623	\$7.59	\$3,020	\$6.32	\$2,416	\$5.06
		6,000	\$3,775	\$30.15	\$3,146	\$25.13	\$2,517	\$20.10
		8,000	\$4,378	\$7.61	\$3,649	\$6.34	\$2,919	\$5.07
		10,000	\$4,530	\$45.30	\$3,775	\$37.75	\$3,020	\$30.20
R-3	Dwellings—Alternate Materials	1,000	\$6,538	\$22.94	\$5,449	\$19.12	\$4,359	\$15.30
		2,500	\$6,882	\$91.75	\$5,735	\$76.46	\$4,588	\$61.17
		4,000	\$8,259	\$17.24	\$6,882	\$14.36	\$5,506	\$11.49
		6,000	\$8,603	\$68.81	\$7,170	\$57.34	\$5,736	\$45.87
		8,000	\$9,980	\$17.19	\$8,316	\$14.33	\$6,653	\$11.46
		10,000	\$10,323	\$103.23	\$8,603	\$86.03	\$6,882	\$68.82

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Custom Homes	1,000	\$8,635	\$30.30	\$7,196	\$25.25	\$5,757	\$20.20
	, Models First Master Plan	2,500	\$9,090	\$121.18	\$7,575	\$100.98	\$6,060	\$80.79
		4,000	\$10,907	\$22.74	\$9,089	\$18.95	\$7,271	\$15.16
		6,000	\$11,362	\$90.88	\$9,468	\$75.73	\$7,575	\$60.59
		8,000	\$13,180	\$22.72	\$10,983	\$18.93	\$8,786	\$15.15
		10,000	\$13,634	\$136.34	\$11,362	\$113.62	\$9,089	\$90.89
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Production Phase	1,000	\$2,869	\$10.06	\$2,391	\$8.38	\$1,912	\$6.71
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$3,020	\$40.26	\$2,516	\$33.55	\$2,013	\$26.84
		4,000	\$3,623	\$7.59	\$3,020	\$6.32	\$2,416	\$5.06
		6,000	\$3,775	\$30.15	\$3,146	\$25.13	\$2,517	\$20.10
		8,000	\$4,378	\$7.61	\$3,649	\$6.34	\$2,919	\$5.07
		10,000	\$4,530	\$45.30	\$3,775	\$37.75	\$3,020	\$30.20
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Alternate Materials	1,000	\$6,538	\$22.94	\$5,449	\$19.12	\$4,359	\$15.30
		2,500	\$6,882	\$91.75	\$5,735	\$76.46	\$4,588	\$61.17
		4,000	\$8,259	\$17.24	\$6,882	\$14.36	\$5,506	\$11.49
		6,000	\$8,603	\$68.81	\$7,170	\$57.34	\$5,736	\$45.87
		8,000	\$9,980	\$17.19	\$8,316	\$14.33	\$6,653	\$11.46
		10,000	\$10,323	\$103.23	\$8,603	\$86.03	\$6,882	\$68.82
R-3.1	Group Care	1,000	\$12,212	\$16.07	\$10,177	\$13.39	\$8,142	\$10.71
		5,000	\$12,855	\$51.44	\$10,713	\$42.86	\$8,570	\$34.29
		10,000	\$15,427	\$6.40	\$12,856	\$5.33	\$10,285	\$4.27
		20,000	\$16,067	\$8.56	\$13,389	\$7.13	\$10,711	\$5.71
		50,000	\$18,635	\$1.28	\$15,529	\$1.06	\$12,423	\$0.85
		100,000	\$19,272	\$19.27	\$16,060	\$16.06	\$12,848	\$12.85
R-4	Group Care	100	\$6,893	\$90.69	\$5,744	\$75.57	\$4,595	\$60.46
		500	\$7,255	\$290.23	\$6,046	\$241.86	\$4,837	\$193.49
		1,000	\$8,706	\$36.26	\$7,255	\$30.22	\$5,804	\$24.17
		2,000	\$9,069	\$48.38	\$7,558	\$40.32	\$6,046	\$32.25
		5,000	\$10,520	\$7.25	\$8,767	\$6.04	\$7,014	\$4.83
		10,000	\$10,883	\$108.83	\$9,069	\$90.69	\$7,255	\$72.55
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$4,017	\$66.06	\$3,347	\$55.05	\$2,678	\$44.04
		400	\$4,228	\$211.42	\$3,523	\$176.18	\$2,819	\$140.94
		800	\$5,074	\$26.44	\$4,228	\$22.03	\$3,382	\$17.62
		1,600	\$5,285	\$35.22	\$4,404	\$29.35	\$3,523	\$23.48
		4,000	\$6,130	\$5.30	\$5,109	\$4.42	\$4,087	\$3.54
		8,000	\$6,343	\$79.28	\$5,286	\$66.07	\$4,228	\$52.86
S-1	Storage—Moderate Hazard	600	\$5,620	\$61.63	\$4,683	\$51.36	\$3,747	\$41.08
		3,000	\$7,099	\$69.03	\$5,916	\$57.53	\$4,733	\$46.02
		6,000	\$9,170	\$54.24	\$7,642	\$45.20	\$6,113	\$36.16
		12,000	\$12,425	\$11.50	\$10,354	\$9.58	\$8,283	\$7.66
		30,000	\$14,494	\$11.82	\$12,078	\$9.85	\$9,663	\$7.88
		60,000	\$18,040	\$30.07	\$15,033	\$25.05	\$12,026	\$20.04

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$12,976	\$8.52	\$10,813	\$7.10	\$8,651	\$5.68
		50,000	\$16,385	\$9.58	\$13,654	\$7.98	\$10,923	\$6.39
		100,000	\$21,175	\$7.52	\$17,646	\$6.27	\$14,117	\$5.01
		200,000	\$28,696	\$1.59	\$23,913	\$1.32	\$19,131	\$1.06
		500,000	\$33,464	\$1.63	\$27,886	\$1.36	\$22,309	\$1.09
		1,000,000	\$41,634	\$4.16	\$34,695	\$3.47	\$27,756	\$2.78
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$5,070	\$55.58	\$4,225	\$46.32	\$3,380	\$37.06
		3,000	\$6,404	\$62.29	\$5,337	\$51.91	\$4,269	\$41.53
		6,000	\$8,273	\$48.93	\$6,894	\$40.78	\$5,515	\$32.62
		12,000	\$11,209	\$10.36	\$9,341	\$8.64	\$7,473	\$6.91
		30,000	\$13,074	\$10.68	\$10,895	\$8.90	\$8,716	\$7.12
		60,000	\$16,278	\$27.13	\$13,565	\$22.61	\$10,852	\$18.09
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	999	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Agricultural Building	2,000	\$3,571	\$43.72	\$2,976	\$36.43	\$2,381	\$29.14
		4,000	\$4,445	\$111.14	\$3,705	\$92.61	\$2,964	\$74.09
	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$1,082	\$7.12	\$902	\$5.93	\$721	\$4.75
		5,000	\$1,367	\$7.99	\$1,139	\$6.66	\$911	\$5.33
		10,000	\$1,766	\$6.25	\$1,472	\$5.20	\$1,177	\$4.16
		20,000	\$2,391	\$1.32	\$1,992	\$1.10	\$1,594	\$0.88
		50,000	\$2,787	\$1.37	\$2,322	\$1.14	\$1,858	\$0.91
		100,000	\$3,469	\$3.47	\$2,891	\$2.89	\$2,313	\$2.31
	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$1,082	\$18.98	\$902	\$15.82	\$721	\$12.65
		2,500	\$1,367	\$26.55	\$1,139	\$22.12	\$911	\$17.70
		4,000	\$1,765	\$31.36	\$1,471	\$26.13	\$1,176	\$20.91
		6,000	\$2,392	\$19.90	\$1,993	\$16.58	\$1,595	\$13.27
		8,000	\$2,790	\$34.20	\$2,325	\$28.50	\$1,860	\$22.80
		10,000	\$3,474	\$34.74	\$2,895	\$28.95	\$2,316	\$23.16
	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$4,454	\$11.71	\$3,712	\$9.76	\$2,969	\$7.81
	w/o Podium	2,500	\$4,688	\$37.52	\$3,907	\$31.26	\$3,126	\$25.01
		5,000	\$5,626	\$4.68	\$4,688	\$3.90	\$3,751	\$3.12
		10,000	\$5,860	\$6.26	\$4,883	\$5.22	\$3,907	\$4.17
		25,000	\$6,799	\$0.92	\$5,666	\$0.76	\$4,533	\$0.61
		50,000	\$7,029	\$14.06	\$5,857	\$11.71	\$4,686	\$9.37
	Standard Comm. Foundation	3,000	\$4,521	\$11.76	\$3,767	\$9.80	\$3,014	\$7.84
	with Podium	15,000	\$4,824	\$36.25	\$4,020	\$30.21	\$3,216	\$24.17
		30,000	\$5,826	\$5.02	\$4,855	\$4.18	\$3,884	\$3.35
		60,000	\$6,225	\$6.04	\$5,188	\$5.03	\$4,150	\$4.03
		150,000	\$7,220	\$0.99	\$6,017	\$0.82	\$4,813	\$0.66
		300,000	\$7,615	\$13.62	\$6,346	\$11.35	\$5,077	\$9.08

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

			Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
SHELL BUILDINGS								
	All Shell Buildings	500	\$4,322	\$56.87	\$3,601	\$47.39	\$2,881	\$37.92
		2,500	\$5,459	\$63.68	\$4,549	\$53.06	\$3,639	\$42.45
		5,000	\$7,051	\$50.05	\$5,876	\$41.71	\$4,701	\$33.37
		10,000	\$9,553	\$10.62	\$7,961	\$8.85	\$6,369	\$7.08
		25,000	\$11,147	\$10.92	\$9,289	\$9.10	\$7,431	\$7.28
		50,000	\$13,878	\$27.76	\$11,565	\$23.13	\$9,252	\$18.50

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$5,307	\$43.19	\$4,423	\$35.99	\$3,538	\$28.80
	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$7,035	\$46.90	\$5,863	\$39.08	\$4,690	\$31.27
		10,000	\$9,380	\$20.98	\$7,817	\$17.48	\$6,254	\$13.99
		20,000	\$11,478	\$6.18	\$9,565	\$5.15	\$7,652	\$4.12
		50,000	\$13,333	\$10.37	\$11,111	\$8.64	\$8,889	\$6.91
		100,000	\$18,519	\$18.52	\$15,432	\$15.43	\$12,346	\$12.35
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$4,683	\$152.48	\$3,903	\$127.07	\$3,122	\$101.66
	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$6,208	\$165.53	\$5,173	\$137.94	\$4,139	\$110.35
		2,500	\$8,277	\$74.07	\$6,897	\$61.72	\$5,518	\$49.38
		5,000	\$10,129	\$21.79	\$8,441	\$18.16	\$6,752	\$14.52
		12,500	\$11,763	\$36.58	\$9,802	\$30.48	\$7,842	\$24.38
		25,000	\$16,335	\$65.34	\$13,612	\$54.45	\$10,890	\$43.56
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$7,961	\$64.79	\$6,634	\$53.99	\$5,307	\$43.19
	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$10,553	\$70.35	\$8,794	\$58.63	\$7,035	\$46.90
		10,000	\$14,070	\$31.47	\$11,725	\$26.23	\$9,380	\$20.98
		20,000	\$17,218	\$9.27	\$14,348	\$7.73	\$11,478	\$6.18
		50,000	\$20,000	\$15.56	\$16,667	\$12.96	\$13,333	\$10.37
		100,000	\$27,778	\$27.78	\$23,149	\$23.15	\$18,519	\$18.52
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$4,608	\$75.02	\$3,840	\$62.52	\$3,072	\$50.01
	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$6,109	\$81.46	\$5,090	\$67.88	\$4,072	\$54.31
		5,000	\$8,145	\$36.44	\$6,788	\$30.37	\$5,430	\$24.29
		10,000	\$9,967	\$10.70	\$8,306	\$8.92	\$6,645	\$7.13
		25,000	\$11,572	\$18.00	\$9,644	\$15.00	\$7,715	\$12.00
		50,000	\$16,072	\$32.14	\$13,393	\$26.79	\$10,714	\$21.43
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$5,058	\$82.34	\$4,215	\$68.62	\$3,372	\$54.89
	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$6,705	\$89.38	\$5,587	\$74.48	\$4,470	\$59.59
		5,000	\$8,939	\$40.00	\$7,449	\$33.33	\$5,959	\$26.67
		10,000	\$10,939	\$11.76	\$9,116	\$9.80	\$7,293	\$7.84
		25,000	\$12,703	\$19.74	\$10,586	\$16.45	\$8,469	\$13.16
		50,000	\$17,638	\$35.28	\$14,699	\$29.40	\$11,759	\$23.52
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$5,058	\$82.34	\$4,215	\$68.62	\$3,372	\$54.89
		2,500	\$6,705	\$89.38	\$5,587	\$74.48	\$4,470	\$59.59
		5,000	\$8,939	\$40.00	\$7,449	\$33.33	\$5,959	\$26.67
		10,000	\$10,939	\$11.76	\$9,116	\$9.80	\$7,293	\$7.84
		25,000	\$12,703	\$19.74	\$10,586	\$16.45	\$8,469	\$13.16
		50,000	\$17,638	\$35.28	\$14,699	\$29.40	\$11,759	\$23.52
B	Business—Bank	500	\$5,245	\$85.40	\$4,371	\$71.17	\$3,497	\$56.93
		2,500	\$6,953	\$92.69	\$5,794	\$77.24	\$4,635	\$61.79
		5,000	\$9,270	\$41.48	\$7,725	\$34.56	\$6,180	\$27.65
		10,000	\$11,344	\$12.19	\$9,453	\$10.16	\$7,563	\$8.13
		25,000	\$13,173	\$20.50	\$10,977	\$17.09	\$8,782	\$13.67
		50,000	\$18,299	\$36.60	\$15,249	\$30.50	\$12,199	\$24.40

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$4,683	\$190.58	\$3,903	\$158.82	\$3,122	\$127.05
		1,000	\$6,208	\$206.94	\$5,173	\$172.45	\$4,138	\$137.96
		2,000	\$8,277	\$92.56	\$6,898	\$77.13	\$5,518	\$61.70
		4,000	\$10,128	\$27.24	\$8,440	\$22.70	\$6,752	\$18.16
		10,000	\$11,763	\$45.73	\$9,802	\$38.11	\$7,842	\$30.49
		20,000	\$16,336	\$81.68	\$13,613	\$68.07	\$10,890	\$54.45
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$5,339	\$86.90	\$4,449	\$72.42	\$3,559	\$57.93
		2,500	\$7,077	\$94.37	\$5,897	\$78.64	\$4,718	\$62.91
		5,000	\$9,436	\$42.19	\$7,863	\$35.16	\$6,291	\$28.13
		10,000	\$11,546	\$12.42	\$9,621	\$10.35	\$7,697	\$8.28
		25,000	\$13,408	\$20.86	\$11,173	\$17.38	\$8,939	\$13.91
		50,000	\$18,623	\$37.25	\$15,519	\$31.04	\$12,416	\$24.83
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$7,118	\$57.94	\$5,932	\$48.28	\$4,746	\$38.63
		5,000	\$9,436	\$62.92	\$7,863	\$52.43	\$6,291	\$41.95
		10,000	\$12,582	\$28.14	\$10,485	\$23.45	\$8,388	\$18.76
		20,000	\$15,396	\$8.26	\$12,830	\$6.88	\$10,264	\$5.51
		50,000	\$17,873	\$13.90	\$14,895	\$11.58	\$11,916	\$9.27
		100,000	\$24,824	\$24.82	\$20,686	\$20.69	\$16,549	\$16.55
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,121	\$111.81	\$3,434	\$93.17	\$2,747	\$74.54
		1,500	\$5,463	\$121.41	\$4,552	\$101.17	\$3,642	\$80.94
		3,000	\$7,284	\$54.33	\$6,070	\$45.27	\$4,856	\$36.22
		6,000	\$8,914	\$15.97	\$7,428	\$13.31	\$5,942	\$10.65
		15,000	\$10,351	\$26.84	\$8,626	\$22.37	\$6,901	\$17.89
		30,000	\$14,377	\$47.92	\$11,981	\$39.94	\$9,585	\$31.95
E	Educational—Preschool / School	100	\$4,027	\$327.81	\$3,356	\$273.17	\$2,685	\$218.54
		500	\$5,339	\$355.92	\$4,449	\$296.60	\$3,559	\$237.28
		1,000	\$7,118	\$159.22	\$5,932	\$132.68	\$4,746	\$106.14
		2,000	\$8,710	\$46.83	\$7,259	\$39.02	\$5,807	\$31.22
		5,000	\$10,115	\$78.66	\$8,429	\$65.55	\$6,743	\$52.44
		10,000	\$14,048	\$140.48	\$11,707	\$117.07	\$9,365	\$93.65
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,746	\$304.93	\$3,122	\$254.11	\$2,498	\$203.29
		500	\$4,966	\$331.08	\$4,138	\$275.90	\$3,311	\$220.72
		1,000	\$6,622	\$148.14	\$5,518	\$123.45	\$4,414	\$98.76
		2,000	\$8,103	\$43.57	\$6,752	\$36.31	\$5,402	\$29.05
		5,000	\$9,410	\$73.20	\$7,842	\$61.00	\$6,273	\$48.80
		10,000	\$13,070	\$130.70	\$10,892	\$108.92	\$8,713	\$87.13
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$5,959	\$43.04	\$4,966	\$35.87	\$3,973	\$28.70
		5,000	\$7,681	\$45.04	\$6,401	\$37.53	\$5,121	\$30.02
		10,000	\$9,933	\$23.83	\$8,278	\$19.86	\$6,622	\$15.89
		20,000	\$12,316	\$6.61	\$10,264	\$5.51	\$8,211	\$4.41
		50,000	\$14,299	\$11.12	\$11,916	\$9.27	\$9,533	\$7.41
		100,000	\$19,859	\$19.86	\$16,549	\$16.55	\$13,239	\$13.24

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$7,024	\$57.18	\$5,854	\$47.65	\$4,683	\$38.12
		5,000	\$9,312	\$62.09	\$7,760	\$51.74	\$6,208	\$41.40
		10,000	\$12,416	\$27.78	\$10,347	\$23.15	\$8,278	\$18.52
		20,000	\$15,194	\$8.15	\$12,662	\$6.79	\$10,129	\$5.43
		50,000	\$17,638	\$13.74	\$14,699	\$11.45	\$11,759	\$9.16
		100,000	\$24,510	\$24.51	\$20,425	\$20.43	\$16,340	\$16.34
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$4,720	\$38.43	\$3,934	\$32.02	\$3,147	\$25.62
		5,000	\$6,258	\$41.71	\$5,215	\$34.75	\$4,172	\$27.80
		10,000	\$8,343	\$18.68	\$6,952	\$15.56	\$5,562	\$12.45
		20,000	\$10,211	\$5.48	\$8,509	\$4.57	\$6,807	\$3.65
		50,000	\$11,854	\$9.24	\$9,879	\$7.70	\$7,903	\$6.16
		100,000	\$16,474	\$16.47	\$13,729	\$13.73	\$10,983	\$10.98
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,810	\$228.71	\$2,248	\$182.97
		500	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,725	\$248.31	\$2,980	\$198.65
		1,000	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,966	\$111.07	\$3,973	\$88.86
		2,000	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$6,077	\$32.67	\$4,862	\$26.14
		5,000	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$7,057	\$54.89	\$5,646	\$43.91
		10,000	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,802	\$98.02	\$7,841	\$78.41
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$5,058	\$411.68	\$4,215	\$343.06	\$3,372	\$274.45
		500	\$6,704	\$446.96	\$5,587	\$372.47	\$4,470	\$297.97
		1,000	\$8,939	\$199.93	\$7,449	\$166.61	\$5,959	\$133.29
		2,000	\$10,939	\$58.81	\$9,115	\$49.01	\$7,292	\$39.21
		5,000	\$12,703	\$98.80	\$10,586	\$82.34	\$8,469	\$65.87
		10,000	\$17,643	\$176.43	\$14,702	\$147.02	\$11,762	\$117.62
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,222	\$262.24	\$2,685	\$218.54	\$2,148	\$174.83
		500	\$4,271	\$284.74	\$3,559	\$237.28	\$2,847	\$189.83
		1,000	\$5,695	\$127.37	\$4,746	\$106.14	\$3,796	\$84.92
		2,000	\$6,968	\$37.46	\$5,807	\$31.22	\$4,646	\$24.97
		5,000	\$8,092	\$62.93	\$6,743	\$52.44	\$5,395	\$41.95
		10,000	\$11,238	\$112.38	\$9,365	\$93.65	\$7,492	\$74.92
I-1	Institutional – 7+ persons,	500	\$4,496	\$73.19	\$3,746	\$60.99	\$2,997	\$48.79
	ambulatory	2,500	\$5,959	\$79.47	\$4,966	\$66.23	\$3,973	\$52.98
		5,000	\$7,946	\$35.55	\$6,622	\$29.62	\$5,298	\$23.70
		10,000	\$9,724	\$10.45	\$8,103	\$8.70	\$6,482	\$6.96
		25,000	\$11,290	\$17.58	\$9,409	\$14.65	\$7,527	\$11.72
		50,000	\$15,687	\$31.37	\$13,072	\$26.14	\$10,458	\$20.92
I-2	Institutional – 6+ persons,	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
	non-ambulatory	2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I-3	Institutional – 6+ persons, restrained	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I-4	Institutional – 6+ persons, day care	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,147	\$256.16	\$2,623	\$213.46	\$2,098	\$170.77
		500	\$4,172	\$278.10	\$3,476	\$231.75	\$2,781	\$185.40
		1,000	\$5,562	\$124.44	\$4,635	\$103.70	\$3,708	\$82.96
		2,000	\$6,806	\$36.59	\$5,672	\$30.49	\$4,538	\$24.39
		5,000	\$7,904	\$61.49	\$6,587	\$51.24	\$5,269	\$41.00
		10,000	\$10,979	\$109.79	\$9,149	\$91.49	\$7,319	\$73.19
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$7,961	\$32.41	\$6,634	\$27.01	\$5,307	\$21.61
		10,000	\$10,554	\$35.16	\$8,795	\$29.30	\$7,036	\$23.44
		20,000	\$14,070	\$15.74	\$11,725	\$13.11	\$9,380	\$10.49
		40,000	\$17,218	\$4.62	\$14,348	\$3.85	\$11,478	\$3.08
		100,000	\$19,989	\$7.77	\$16,657	\$6.47	\$13,326	\$5.18
		200,000	\$27,756	\$13.88	\$23,130	\$11.56	\$18,504	\$9.25

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,683	\$127.06	\$3,903	\$105.88	\$3,122	\$84.71
		1,500	\$6,208	\$137.95	\$5,173	\$114.96	\$4,139	\$91.97
		3,000	\$8,277	\$61.73	\$6,898	\$51.45	\$5,518	\$41.16
		6,000	\$10,129	\$18.14	\$8,441	\$15.12	\$6,753	\$12.09
		15,000	\$11,762	\$30.51	\$9,801	\$25.42	\$7,841	\$20.34
		30,000	\$16,338	\$54.46	\$13,615	\$45.38	\$10,892	\$36.31
R-1	Residential – Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$13,111	\$13.66	\$10,926	\$11.38	\$8,740	\$9.11
		15,000	\$14,750	\$10.95	\$12,292	\$9.12	\$9,833	\$7.30
		30,000	\$16,392	\$8.17	\$13,660	\$6.81	\$10,928	\$5.45
		60,000	\$18,843	\$1.22	\$15,702	\$1.02	\$12,562	\$0.82
		150,000	\$19,944	\$2.01	\$16,620	\$1.68	\$13,296	\$1.34
		300,000	\$22,966	\$7.66	\$19,138	\$6.38	\$15,311	\$5.10
R-2	Residential – Apartment Building	800	\$10,330	\$40.35	\$8,608	\$33.62	\$6,886	\$26.90
		4,000	\$11,621	\$32.30	\$9,684	\$26.92	\$7,747	\$21.53
		8,000	\$12,913	\$24.20	\$10,761	\$20.16	\$8,609	\$16.13
		16,000	\$14,849	\$3.60	\$12,374	\$3.00	\$9,899	\$2.40
		40,000	\$15,713	\$5.89	\$13,095	\$4.91	\$10,476	\$3.92
		80,000	\$18,068	\$22.59	\$15,057	\$18.82	\$12,045	\$15.06
R-2	Residential – Apartment Building -	800	\$8,264	\$32.28	\$6,886	\$26.90	\$5,509	\$21.52
	Repeat Unit	4,000	\$9,297	\$25.84	\$7,747	\$21.53	\$6,198	\$17.23
		8,000	\$10,330	\$19.36	\$8,609	\$16.13	\$6,887	\$12.91
		16,000	\$11,879	\$2.88	\$9,899	\$2.40	\$7,919	\$1.92
		40,000	\$12,571	\$4.71	\$10,476	\$3.92	\$8,380	\$3.14
		80,000	\$14,455	\$18.07	\$12,045	\$15.06	\$9,636	\$12.05
R-3	Dwellings – Custom Homes, Models,	499	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	First Master Plan	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
		2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70
R-3	Dwellings – Production Phase	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70
R-3	Dwellings – Alternate Materials	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
		2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Custom Homes,	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Models, First Master Plan	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Production	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Alternate	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Materials	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3.1	Group Care	1,000	\$10,594	\$33.12	\$8,829	\$27.60	\$7,063	\$22.08
		5,000	\$11,919	\$26.46	\$9,933	\$22.05	\$7,946	\$17.64
		10,000	\$13,242	\$19.88	\$11,035	\$16.56	\$8,828	\$13.25
		20,000	\$15,230	\$2.95	\$12,692	\$2.46	\$10,153	\$1.97
		50,000	\$16,116	\$4.83	\$13,430	\$4.03	\$10,744	\$3.22
		100,000	\$18,534	\$18.53	\$15,445	\$15.44	\$12,356	\$12.36
R-4	Group Care	100	\$6,622	\$206.93	\$5,518	\$172.44	\$4,414	\$137.95
		500	\$7,449	\$165.55	\$6,208	\$137.96	\$4,966	\$110.37
		1,000	\$8,277	\$124.14	\$6,898	\$103.45	\$5,518	\$82.76
		2,000	\$9,518	\$18.40	\$7,932	\$15.33	\$6,346	\$12.27
		5,000	\$10,070	\$30.35	\$8,392	\$25.29	\$6,714	\$20.23
		10,000	\$11,588	\$115.88	\$9,657	\$96.57	\$7,725	\$77.25
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$5,827	\$227.62	\$4,856	\$189.68	\$3,885	\$151.75
		400	\$6,555	\$182.07	\$5,463	\$151.72	\$4,370	\$121.38
		800	\$7,284	\$136.56	\$6,070	\$113.80	\$4,856	\$91.04
		1,600	\$8,376	\$20.25	\$6,980	\$16.87	\$5,584	\$13.50
		4,000	\$8,862	\$33.40	\$7,385	\$27.83	\$5,908	\$22.26
		8,000	\$10,198	\$127.48	\$8,498	\$106.23	\$6,799	\$84.98
S-1	Storage— Moderate Hazard	600	\$4,196	\$56.93	\$3,497	\$47.44	\$2,797	\$37.95
		3,000	\$5,562	\$61.82	\$4,635	\$51.51	\$3,708	\$41.21
		6,000	\$7,417	\$27.63	\$6,181	\$23.03	\$4,944	\$18.42
		12,000	\$9,075	\$8.14	\$7,562	\$6.78	\$6,050	\$5.43
		30,000	\$10,540	\$13.65	\$8,783	\$11.37	\$7,027	\$9.10
		60,000	\$14,634	\$24.39	\$12,195	\$20.32	\$9,756	\$16.26

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$12,083	\$9.83	\$10,069	\$8.19	\$8,055	\$6.55
		50,000	\$16,016	\$10.68	\$13,346	\$8.90	\$10,677	\$7.12
		100,000	\$21,354	\$4.79	\$17,795	\$3.99	\$14,236	\$3.19
		200,000	\$26,144	\$1.40	\$21,787	\$1.16	\$17,430	\$0.93
		500,000	\$30,330	\$2.35	\$25,275	\$1.96	\$20,220	\$1.57
		1,000,000	\$42,082	\$4.21	\$35,068	\$3.51	\$28,054	\$2.81
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$4,964	\$67.34	\$4,137	\$56.12	\$3,309	\$44.89
		3,000	\$6,580	\$73.13	\$5,483	\$60.94	\$4,387	\$48.75
		6,000	\$8,774	\$32.70	\$7,312	\$27.25	\$5,849	\$21.80
		12,000	\$10,736	\$9.63	\$8,947	\$8.03	\$7,157	\$6.42
		30,000	\$12,470	\$16.14	\$10,392	\$13.45	\$8,313	\$10.76
		60,000	\$17,312	\$28.85	\$14,426	\$24.04	\$11,541	\$19.24
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	999	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Agricultural Building	2,000	\$6,352	\$123.51	\$5,293	\$102.93	\$4,234	\$82.34
		4,000	\$8,822	\$220.55	\$7,352	\$183.79	\$5,881	\$147.03
	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$5,339	\$43.45	\$4,449	\$36.21	\$3,559	\$28.96
		5,000	\$7,077	\$47.16	\$5,897	\$39.30	\$4,718	\$31.44
		10,000	\$9,435	\$21.11	\$7,862	\$17.59	\$6,290	\$14.07
		20,000	\$11,546	\$6.21	\$9,621	\$5.17	\$7,697	\$4.14
		50,000	\$13,408	\$10.43	\$11,173	\$8.69	\$8,939	\$6.95
		100,000	\$18,623	\$18.62	\$15,519	\$15.52	\$12,416	\$12.42
	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$5,620	\$121.98	\$4,683	\$101.65	\$3,746	\$81.32
		2,500	\$7,449	\$165.52	\$6,208	\$137.93	\$4,966	\$110.35
		4,000	\$9,932	\$111.11	\$8,277	\$92.59	\$6,621	\$74.08
		6,000	\$12,154	\$98.00	\$10,129	\$81.66	\$8,103	\$65.33
		8,000	\$14,114	\$274.47	\$11,762	\$228.73	\$9,410	\$182.98
		10,000	\$19,604	\$196.04	\$16,336	\$163.36	\$13,069	\$130.69
	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$6,886	\$43.03	\$5,739	\$35.86	\$4,591	\$28.68
	w/o Podium	2,500	\$7,747	\$34.43	\$6,456	\$28.69	\$5,165	\$22.95
		5,000	\$8,608	\$25.85	\$7,173	\$21.54	\$5,738	\$17.24
		10,000	\$9,900	\$3.84	\$8,250	\$3.20	\$6,600	\$2.56
		25,000	\$10,476	\$6.31	\$8,730	\$5.26	\$6,984	\$4.21
		50,000	\$12,054	\$24.11	\$10,045	\$20.09	\$8,036	\$16.07
	Standard Comm. Foundation	3,000	\$6,219	\$16.87	\$5,183	\$14.06	\$4,146	\$11.25
	with Podium	15,000	\$8,244	\$18.32	\$6,870	\$15.27	\$5,496	\$12.21
		30,000	\$10,991	\$8.20	\$9,159	\$6.83	\$7,328	\$5.47
		60,000	\$13,452	\$2.42	\$11,210	\$2.02	\$8,968	\$1.62
		150,000	\$15,633	\$4.05	\$13,027	\$3.37	\$10,422	\$2.70
		300,000	\$21,703	\$7.23	\$18,086	\$6.03	\$14,469	\$4.82

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

			Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
SHELL BUILDINGS								
	All Shell Buildings	500	\$3,746	\$60.98	\$3,122	\$50.82	\$2,498	\$40.65
		2,500	\$4,966	\$66.24	\$4,138	\$55.20	\$3,311	\$44.16
		5,000	\$6,622	\$29.62	\$5,518	\$24.68	\$4,415	\$19.75
		10,000	\$8,103	\$8.71	\$6,752	\$7.26	\$5,402	\$5.81
		25,000	\$9,410	\$14.65	\$7,842	\$12.21	\$6,273	\$9.77
		50,000	\$13,072	\$26.14	\$10,893	\$21.79	\$8,715	\$17.43

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

FEE DESCRIPTION	UNIT	FY2021-22 Fee
-----------------	------	------------------

STAND ALONE M/E/P PERMIT FEES

Travel and Documentation	each	\$74
Permit Issuance	each	\$56

MECHANICAL PERMIT FEE

Stand Alone Mechanical Plan Check (hourly rate)	per hour	\$221
Other Mechanical Inspections (hourly rate)	per hour	\$221
MECHANICAL UNIT FEES:		
Install/Relocate forced air or gravity-type furnace or burner (including attached ducts and vents) up to and including 100,000 Btu/h (each)		
up to and including 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
Install/Relocate floor furnace, including vent (each)	each	\$110
Install or relocate suspended heater, recessed wall heater, or floor-mounted unit		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Install, relocate or replace appliance vent installed and not included in an appliance permit		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Repair/Alter/Add heating appliance, refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption unit, split system, or each heating, cooling, absorption, or evaporative cooling system, including installation of controls		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Install or relocate boiler or compressor		
up to and including 3HP, or absorption system up to and including 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 3HP and up to and including 15 HP, or absorption system over 100,000 Btu/h and up to and including 500,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 15 HP and up to and including 30 HP, or absorption system over 500,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,000,000 Btu/h	each	\$277
over 30 HP and up to and including 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,000,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,750,000 Btu/h	each	\$277
over 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,750,000 Btu/h	each	\$331

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

FEE DESCRIPTION	UNIT	FY2021-22 Fee
Air-handling unit, including attached ducts. (Note: this fee shall not apply to an air-handling unit that is a portion of a factory-assembled appliance, cooling unit, evaporative cooler, or absorption unit for which a permit is required elsewhere)		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$331
Air-handling unit over 10,000 CFM	each	\$221
Ventilation fan connected to a single duct	each	\$110
Ventilation system that is not a portion of any heating or air-conditioning system authorized by a permit	each	\$165
Hood installation that is served by mechanical exhaust, including the ducts for such hood		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$331
Appliance or piece of equipment not classed in other appliance categories, or for which no other fee is listed	each	\$165

ELECTRICAL PERMIT FEE

Electrical Plan Check	per hour	\$221
Electrical Inspections	per hour	\$221
ELECTRICAL UNIT FEES:		
Residential Whole-House Rewire (up to 2500 sq ft)	each	\$442
Each Additional 1000 sq ft	each 1,000 sf	\$221
Receptacle, switch, lighting, or other outlets at which current is used or controlled, except services, feeders, and meters		
First 20	first 20	\$74
Each Additional	each	\$6
Lighting fixtures, sockets, or other lamp-holding devices		
First 20	first 20	\$110
Each Additional	each	\$8
Pole or platform-mounted lighting fixtures	each	\$24
Theatrical-type lighting fixtures or assemblies	each	\$22

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

FEE DESCRIPTION	UNIT	FY2021-22 Fee
Appliances		
Fixed residential appliances or receptacle outlets for same, including wall-mounted electric ovens; counter mounted cooking tops; electric ranges; self-contained room console or through-wall air conditioners; space heaters; food waste grinders; dishwashers; washing machines; water heaters; clothes dryers; or other motor-operated appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP) in rating	each	\$37
Residential appliances and self-contained factory-wired, nonresidential appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP), kilowatt (kW), or kilovolt-ampere (kVA) in rating, including medical and dental devices; food, beverage, and ice cream cabinets; illuminated show cases; drinking fountains; vending machines; laundry machines; or other similar types of equipment	each	\$74
Power Apparatus		
Motors, generators, transformers, rectifiers, synchronous converters, capacitors, industrial heating, air conditioners and heat pumps, cooking or baking equipment, and other apparatus. Rating in horsepower (HP), kilowatts (kW), or kilovolt-amperes (kVA), or kilovolt-amperes-reactive (kVAR)	each	\$221
Busways		
Trolley and plug-in-type busways	each 100 lf	\$110
Signs, Outline Lighting, and Marquees		
Supplied from one branch circuit	each	\$74
Additional branch circuits within the same sign, outline lighting system, or marquee	each	\$37
Services (including Temporary Power)		
600 volts or less, up to 200 amperes in rating	each	\$74
600 volts or less, 201 to 1000 amperes in rating	each	\$110
Over 600 volts or over 1000 amperes in rating	each	\$221
Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth	each	\$221

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

FEE DESCRIPTION	UNIT	FY2021-22 Fee
PLUMBING/GAS PERMIT FEES		
Stand Alone Plumbing Plan Check	per hour	\$221
Other Plumbing and Gas Inspections	per hour	\$221
PLUMBING/GAS UNIT FEES:		
Residential Whole-House Re-Plumbing (up to 2500 sq ft)	each	\$442
Each Additional 1000 sq ft	each 1,000 sq ft	\$221
Plumbing fixture or trap or set of fixtures on one trap, including water, drainage piping, and backflow protection	each	\$15
Building sewer lateral or sewer clean-out		
Residential	each	\$56
Commercial	each	\$110
Rainwater system inside building	per drain	\$15
Private sewage disposal system	each	\$221
Water Heater (Gas or Solar) and/or Vent		
Residential	each	\$56
Commercial	each	\$165
Industrial waste pretreatment interceptor, including its trap and vent, excepting kitchen-type grease interceptors functioning as fixture traps	each	\$165
Install, alter or repair water piping and/or water treating equipment	each	\$74
Repair/Alter drainage or vent piping	each fixture	\$74
Lawn sprinkler system on any one meter, including backflow protection devices	each	\$110
Backflow devices not included in other fee services (e.g., building/trailer park	each	\$110
Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers not included in other fee services (e.g., building/trailer park sewer)	each 5	\$110
Gas piping system per outlet	each 4	\$110
Water Service	each	\$56
Partial Re-pipe		
Residential	per fixture	\$22
Commercial	per fixture	\$14

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

Work Item	Unit	FY 2021-22 Fee
Standard Hourly Rate - Building	per hour	\$221
Accessibility Hardship Exemption	1 hour	\$221
Acoustical Review		
Single Family Home/Duplex— New	each	\$552
Single Family Home/Duplex— Addition/Alteration	each	\$331
Multi-Family/Commercial	each	\$552
Additions (Non Hillside R3 Occupancy) - Plan Check Fees		
Plan Check Fees	up to 250 sf	\$443
Plan Check Fees	251-499 sf	\$882
Additions (Non Hillside R3 Occupancy) - Inspection Fees		
Inspection Fees	up to 250 sf	\$1,102
Inspection Fees	251-499 sf	\$1,323
Accessory Dwelling Unit (Non Hillside ADU) - Plan Check Fees		
Plan Check Fees	up to 500 sf	\$882
Plan Check Fees	500-1000 sf	\$1,762
Accessory Dwelling Unit (Non Hillside ADU) - Inspection Fees		
Inspection Fees	up to 500 sf	\$1,323
Inspection Fees	500-1000 sf	\$2,201
Address Assignment	per hour	\$221
Board of Appeals	per hour	\$221
Clerical Fee	1/2 hour	\$110
Alternate Materials and Methods of Construction	1 hour	\$221
Antenna— Telecom Facility		
Radio	each	\$552
Cellular/Mobile Phone, free-standing	each	\$1,213
Cellular/Mobile Phone, attached to building	each	\$994
Arbor/Trellis	each	\$443
Awning/Canopy (supported by building)	each	\$443
Balcony Addition	each	\$994
Battery Energy Storage System	up to three (3)	\$209
Each Additional	each	\$105
Carport	each	\$772
Certifications		
Special Inspector Qualifications (initial review)	each	\$443
Special Inspector Qualifications (renewal / update)	each	\$221
Chimney (new)	each	\$662
Chimney Repair	each	\$443
Close Existing Openings		
Interior wall	each	\$442
Exterior wall	each	\$662

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

Work Item	Unit	FY 2021-22 Fee
Commercial Coach (per unit)	each	\$994
Covered Porch	each	\$772
Deck (wood)	each	\$772
Deck Railing	each	\$443
Deferred Submittal (2 hour minimum)		based on work item
Demolition		
Multi-Family and Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$552
Multi-Family and Commercial (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$221
Residential (R-3 Occ) (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$443
Residential (R-3 Occ) (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$221
Swimming Pool Residential	each	\$443
Swimming Pool Multi-Family and Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$662
Swimming Pool Multi-Family and Commercial (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$221
Disabled Access Compliance Inspection	per hour	\$221
Door		
New door (non structural)	each	\$331
New door (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$443
Duplicate / Replacement Job Card	each	\$110
Electric Vehicle Charging Station	each	\$221
Extensions		
Plan Check Applications (within 180 days of Submittal)	1 hour	\$221
Permits (within 180 days of Issuance)		
Start construction, without plans	1/2 hour	\$110
Resume or complete construction, without plans	1/2 hour	\$110
Start construction, with plans	1 hour	\$221
Resume or complete construction, with plans	2 hours	\$442
Fence		
Non-masonry, over 7 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$443
Non-masonry, each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$110
Masonry, over 7 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$772
Masonry, each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$443
Fireplace		
Masonry	each	\$772
Pre-Fabricated/Metal	each	\$443
Flag pole (over 20 feet in height)	each	\$443
Foundation Repair	each	\$994
Garage / Agricultural Buildings		
Wood frame up to 1,000 sf	each	\$1,433
Masonry up to 1,000 sf	each	\$1,876

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

Work Item	Unit	FY 2021-22 Fee
Green Building Deposit - Third Party Certification Process		
Single-Family Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$1,000 max.
Multi-Family Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$20,000 min; \$40,000 max.
Non-Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$35,000 min; \$75,000 max.
Inspections		
Pre-Inspection Fee	per hour	\$221
Standard Inspection Hourly Rate	per hour	\$221
Progress Inspection	per hour	\$221
Partial Inspection	per hour	\$221
Courtesy Inspection - 2 hour minimum	per hour	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Cancelled inspection w/out advance notice	1 hour	\$221
Reinspection	1 hour	\$221
Outside of normal business hours (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Inspection Supplemental Fee (Projects that require more inspections than average, the Building Official may charge additional inspection fees)		
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 1/2 hour	\$110
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$221
Life Safety Report	each	\$1,323
Lighting pole	each	\$552
each additional pole	each	\$221
Modular Structures	each	\$994
Modification of Technical Code	1 hour	\$221
Occupancy		
Certificate of Occupancy/Completion	each	\$443
Temporary Occupancy Permit	per six months	\$443
Partition—Commercial, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$662
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$221
Partition—Residential, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$443
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$110
Patio Cover / Gazebo		
Wood frame	up to 300 sf	\$531
Metal frame	up to 300 sf	\$531
Other frame	up to 300 sf	\$742
Additional patio	each 300 sf	\$318
Enclosed prefabricated Sun Room	up to 300 sf	\$742
Additional Sun Rooms	each 300 sf	\$424

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

Work Item	Unit	FY 2021-22 Fee
Photovoltaic System		
Residential	each	\$388
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, up to 8 kilowatts	up to 8 kW	\$552
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, each additional 1 kilowatt	each 1 kW	\$56
Pile Foundation		
Cast in Place Concrete (first 10 piles)	up to 10	\$994
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$662
Driven (steel, pre-stressed concrete)	up to 10	\$994
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$662
Product Review	per hour	\$221
Plan Review		
Standard Plan Review Hourly Rate	per hour	\$221
Expedite Plan Review	each	1.5 x PC Fee
Overtime Plan Review (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Pre-Submittal Plan Review (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Plan Review Supplemental Fee (after 2nd review)		
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 1/2 hour	\$110
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$221
Pre-Construction Meeting	each	\$418
Remodel—Residential		
Kitchen	up to 300 sf	\$882
Bath	up to 300 sf	\$882
Other Remodel	up to 300 sf	\$662
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$331
Other Remodel	1000 sf	\$2,096
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$331
Other Remodel	2500 sf +	\$2,758
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$331
Re-roof		
Residential (maximum \$500 per building)	each 100 sf	\$24
Multi-Family Dwelling (maximum \$500 per building)	each 100 sf	\$24
Commercial		
Commercial (first 5,000 sf)	each	\$552
Commercial (each additional 2,500 sf)	each 2,500 sf	\$221
Retaining Wall (concrete or masonry)		
Standard (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$994
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$662
Special Design, 3-10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,433
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$882
Special Design, over 10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,654
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$1,102

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

Work Item	Unit	FY 2021-22 Fee
Gravity/Crib Wall, 0-10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,433
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$882
Gravity/Crib Wall, over 10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,654
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$1,102
Revisions		
Commercial (New and Tenant Improvement)	each	\$994
Single Family Dwelling (New and Additions)	each	\$552
Remodel	each	\$443
Sauna—steam	each	\$772
Siding		
Stone and Brick Veneer (interior or exterior)	up to 400 sf	\$552
All Other	up to 400 sf	\$443
Additional siding	each 400 sf	\$110
Signs		
Directional	each	\$443
Each additional Directional Sign	each	\$221
Ground/Roof/Projecting Signs	each	\$443
Master Plan Sign Check	each	\$443
Rework of any existing Ground Sign	each	\$443
Other Sign	each	\$443
Reinspection Fee	each	\$110
Wall/Awning Sign, Non-Electric	each	\$331
Wall/Awning Sign, Electric	each	\$331
Shed over 120 square feet	each	\$994
Skylight		
50 sf or less (cumulative area)	each	\$552
Greater than 50 sf or structural	each	\$772
Stairs— First Flight	first flight	\$443
Each additional flight	per flight	\$221
Storage Racks		
0-8' high (up to 100 l.f.)	first 100 l.f.	\$552
each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$110
over 8' high (up to 100 l.f.)	first 100 l.f.	\$552
each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$110
Stucco Applications	up to 400 sf	\$443
Additional Stucco Application	each 400 sf	\$110

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

Work Item	Unit	FY 2021-22 Fee
Swimming Pool/Spa		
Vinyl-lined	each	\$994
Fiberglass	each	\$994
Guniting (up to 800 sf)	each	\$1,433
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$331
Commercial pool (up to 800 sf)	each	\$2,427
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$662
Spa or Hot Tub (Pre-fabricated)	each	\$443
Temporary Structures	each	\$662
Tenant Improvement Preparation	each	\$443
Window or Sliding Glass Door		
Replacement (first 8 windows)	first 8	\$331
Replacement (each additional 8 windows)	each 8	\$110
New Window (non structural)	each	\$277
New window (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$387
Bay Window (structural)	each	\$387
Refunds - Plan Check Fees		
1st review not started (within 3 Business of Submittal)		100% of Plan Review Fees
Plan review more than 3 Business Days after the Date of Submittal		No refund
Refunds - Building Permit Fees		
No inspections and permit is active (not expired)		80% of permit fees
No inspections and permit is expired		No refund
Inspections were provided		No refund
Work without permit - based on current permit and plan check fees		Double fees
NOTE:		
Fee Adjustments: In instances where the strict application of fees from this schedule would constitute a substantial inequity to an applicant or to the City, the Chief Building Official shall be authorized to adjust such fees on a case-by-case basis. Any such adjustments shall be recorded in writing and entered into the appropriate files.		
Fees identified in this Table consist of 50% Plan Review Fee and 50% Inspection Fee		

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation

Recreation classes and excursion fees shall be determined as follows:

Classes

1. Determine the maximum hourly rate paid to instructor.
2. Multiply the instructor's hourly rate by the number of class meetings.
3. Determine the minimum number of participants and divide into the instructor's cost.
4. Add indirect overhead percent - 32%.
5. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
6. Add cost for specialized equipment or supplies.

Special Conditions: For classes taught by contract instructors, the indirect overhead is only added to the City's percentage.

Excursions

1. Transportation cost divided by the number of participants plus overhead transfer.
2. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
3. Add any admission cost, supplies or leadership cost.

Additional factors that may be used to determine the class or excursion user fee:

The total number of participants in a given activity may generate additional revenue whereby the total program cost may be reduced.

Classes that traditionally have waiting lists may have the user fee increased.

Programs in competition with adjacent cities or the private sector may require fees to be increased or decreased to remain competitive.

Facility Use Fee Schedule (Staff Use Only)

CLASSIFICATIONS:

- Group 1: Cupertino-serving non-profits with 1/3 resident membership/participation, a Cupertino business address, or demonstrated service to Cupertino; government organizations; sponsored clubs; functions held by non-profits that are free and open to the Cupertino public. These organizations must show an official structure and status.
- Group 2: Non-resident non-profit recreation, education or community service organizations for functions not open to the public. These organizations must show official structure and status.
- Group 3: Cupertino Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc. Must show proof of 95014 residency.
- Group 4: Non-Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Quinlan Community Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOURS

<u>Cupertino Room</u>	Mon-Fri up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm-Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$168	\$252	\$280	\$420
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$224	\$336	\$368	\$552
<u>Social Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$60	\$90
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$32	\$48	\$96	\$144
Resident (Group 3)	\$72	\$108	\$120	\$180
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$90	\$135	\$150	\$225
<u>Conference Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Cupertino Room	\$500
Social Room	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Community Hall

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$120	\$180	\$200	\$300
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$450

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Community Hall

\$500

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Cupertino Library: Will be approved for a select number of uses of Community Hall without fee, based on approval from the use of Community Hall.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Monta Vista Recreation Center/Creekside Park Building/Wilson Park Building

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

WILSON PARK BUILDING/CREEKSIDE/MONTA VISTA- Multi-Purpose Room

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$24	\$36	\$39	\$59
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$30	\$45	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$60	\$90	\$100	\$150
	<hr/>			
	Resident	Non-Resident		
Bounce House (Memorial & Creekside Park Only)	\$25	\$25		

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A \$100 security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Creekside Park building security deposit \$100. If alcohol is served security deposit is \$250.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Wilson Park Building rental would not include access to ceramic wheels, kiln, or specialized art equipment.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Membership Fees

	Resident	Non-Resident
Annual Membership	\$25	\$30
Volunteer Membership*	\$20	\$25

Non-Member - Additional Fees

	Resident	Non-Resident
Visitor Pass (per day)	\$5	\$5
Classes (per class)	\$10	\$10
Day Trips (per trip)	\$20	\$20
Flex Pass (Members only)	\$7	\$7

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Reception Hall

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$144	\$216	\$240	\$360
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$180	\$270	\$300	\$450

Bay Room/ Arts and Craft

Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$22	\$33	\$36	\$54
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$27	\$41	\$45	\$68
Resident (Group 3)	\$43	\$65	\$72	\$108
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$54	\$81	\$90	\$135

Classroom

Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$32	\$48
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$24	\$36	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$38	\$57	\$64	\$96
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120

Conference Room

Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

*Members who have volunteered 20+ hours in the previous calendar year qualify for this rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Reception Hall	\$500
All Other Rooms	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Teen Center

	Type	Resident	Non-Resident
<u>Passes</u>			
Day Pass		\$10	\$12
<u>Monthly Memberships</u>			
One Month	Single	\$65	\$78
One Month	Couple*	\$85	\$102
One Month	Family*	\$105	\$126
One Month	Senior	\$50	\$60
One Month	Students	\$30	\$36
<u>Annual Memberships</u>			
One Year	Single	\$440	\$528
One Year	Couple*	\$825	\$990
One Year	Family*	\$920	\$1,104
One Year	Senior	\$395	\$474

*Family membership includes up to 2 adults and 1 child under 18. For residents, each additional child is \$100 per year or \$8 per month. For non-residents, each additional child is \$120 per year or \$10 per month.

*Couple and Family memberships must live in the same household.

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Conference Room	Current
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16
Resident (Group 3)	\$31
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39
Multi-Purpose Room/Sports Court	Current
Resident	\$60
Non-Resident	\$72

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Teen Center

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

1. The Cupertino Tennis Club will be charged \$12.00/hour during primetime and \$8.00/hour per court during non-primetime for all C.T.C. sponsored activities other than U.S.T.A. leagues and practices.
2. All competitors in C.T.C./U.S.T.A. leagues participating at the Sports Center must purchase an annual membership.

Teen Center

Rental Rate (per 3 hours minimum)	\$210	
Deposit	\$750	
Overtime Rate: \$100.00 first hour; \$50.00 each half hour after.		
Extra Fees: \$35 each half hour (30 min); \$70 each hour (1 hr)		
	Teen Resident	Teen Non-Resident
Annual Rate	No Cost	\$25

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Memorial Softball Field

Cupertino residents/Resident business only	\$40/2 hrs
Non-Residents/Non-Resident business	\$60/2 hrs
Field can be reserved for a maximum of 4 hours. THERE IS NO FEE FOR CURRENT SOFTBALL TEAMS PLAYING IN CUPERTINO LEAGUES	
Field preparation (includes dragging, watering, chalking, and bases)	\$37
Field Attendant (2 hour minimum). Field Attendant is required any time lights or field preparation is requested.	\$17/hr
Lights	\$10/hr

Memorial Park Amphitheater

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Memorial Park Gazebo

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate)

	Resident	Non-Resident
Memorial (113 capacity)	\$113	\$154
Linda Vista (136 capacity)	\$136	\$186
Portal (80 capacity)	\$80	\$109
Electricity at Memorial or Linda Vista Park	\$25	\$25
Bounce House (Memorial Park Picnic Rental and Creekside Building Rentals Only)	\$25	\$25

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

City of Cupertino at any field and Cupertino Union School District programs at Joint Use Agreement fields	No Charge
Non-profit organization serving individuals with a disability (*)	No Charge
Resident, non-profit youth organizations (*)	\$10/hour/field
Non-resident, non-profit youth organizations (*)	\$30/hour/field
Resident adult or for-profit youth organizations (*)	\$50/hour/field
Non-resident adult or for-profit youth organizations	\$60/hour/field

*Sports Field Fees Organization Requirements

Non-profit organization serving individuals with a disability: Organizations must provide documentation, subject to review by the Department Director.
 Resident, non-profit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater and must be a recognized non-profit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger.
 Non-resident, non-profit youth organizations: Organizations must be recognized non-profit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger.
 Resident adult or for-profit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate) *

	Resident	Non-Resident
Area 1 - 250 Person Picnic Area- Blackberry Site	\$300	\$600
Area 2 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Sycamore Site	\$120	\$240
Area 3 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Owl Site	\$120	\$240
Area 4 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Steelhead Site	\$60	\$120
Area 5 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Bobcat Site	\$60	\$120
Area 6 - 25 Person Picnic Area- Acorn Site	\$30	\$60
All Sites- 525 Person Picnic Area	\$690	\$1,380
Pool Pass for Picnic Area Groups	\$4	\$5

Day-Use Pass Only

Weekday Tuesday - Friday	\$6	\$8
Weekend Saturday - Sunday	\$8	\$10

Picnic area fees are due in full at the time of reservation.

* Organizations may rent multiple areas.

Swim Pass Options

	Resident	Non-Resident
10-day Pass	\$60	\$80

Season Swim Pass (May-Sept)

Individual Pass	\$100	\$140
2-Person Pass	\$160	\$200
3-Person Pass	\$170	\$210
4-Person Pass	\$180	\$220
5-Person Pass	\$190	\$230
6-Person Pass*	\$200	\$240
*Each additional person added to a 6-person pass	\$6	\$8

*Swim pass prices may be adjusted due to current COVID related restrictions.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Environmental Education Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Contracted Tenants and Partners*	No Charge	Overtime Charges*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$55	\$83
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$88	\$132

*Onsite tenants and partnering organizations that have a current lease agreement with the City of Cupertino.

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$300 will be due at time of booking for rentals. (except for Contracted Tenants and Partners)

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Park Special Events Permits

Application Fee (per event; non-refundable)	\$75
Memorial Park - 1/2 park per event day; 500 or fewer attendees	\$1,000
Memorial Park - full park per event day; 501 or more attendees	\$2,000
Event Day Staffing - City Staff	At Cost
Event Day Staffing - Sheriff's Office	At Cost

*Memorial Park Special Event Permit for nonprofit/civic organizations only.
Additional permit fees may be charged for park uses, as determined by the Department Director.*

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$1,000 is due 30 days prior to event. The security deposit payment is required before a Special Event Permit will be issued.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance is required as stipulated in the Department's Special Events Permit.

Community Gardens

Garden bed annual assessment	\$110
Garden bed annual assessment - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$55
Garden bed refundable security deposit	\$150
Garden bed refundable security deposit - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$75

**CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course**

Daily Green Fee Schedule

<u>Weekends</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
9-Holes	\$18	\$20
Senior	\$18	\$20
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14
<u>Weekdays</u>		
9-Holes	\$16	\$18
Senior	\$15	\$17
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14

All Groups and Tournaments pay the 9-Hole rate (Cupertino residents still applies). Staff is authorized to set merchandise fees according to current cost.

	Adults (17-50)	Jr/Sr
<u>Monthly Rate*</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$165	\$150
Non-Residents	\$195	\$180
<u>Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,310	\$1,160
Non-Residents	\$1,610	\$1,460
<u>Semi-Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$710	\$630
Non-Residents	\$870	\$790
<u>Super Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,650	\$1,500
Non-Residents	\$1,950	\$1,800
<u>Super Semi-Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$880	\$800
Non-Residents	\$1,040	\$960

*Monthly rate valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1/10th of the Super Annual Rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Power Cart Rental Packs

10 Pack	\$65	\$65
20 Pack	\$120	\$120
30 Pack	\$165	\$165
40 Pack	\$200	\$200
50 Pack	\$225	\$225

Rentals

Power Cart	\$7	\$7
Pull Cart	\$3	\$3
Soccer Balls	\$3	\$3
Clubs	\$10	\$10

Daily Foot Golf Fee Schedule

Weekends

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5

Week days

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5
Second 9 holes	\$12	\$14

*Specials will be offered on an on-going basis

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Quick Passes

<u>Base Rate</u>		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
		Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekend	Weekend
	Savings	Senior	Adult	Senior	Adult		
	per						
# of Plays	round	Res.	Res.	NR	NR	Res.	NR
10	\$1	\$140	\$150	\$160	\$170	\$170	\$190
20	\$2	\$260	\$280	\$300	\$320	\$320	\$360
30	\$3	\$360	\$390	\$420	\$450	\$450	\$510
40	\$4	\$440	\$480	\$520	\$560	\$560	\$640
50	\$5	\$500	\$550	\$600	\$650	\$650	\$750

Golf fees may be increased/decreased at the discretion of the City Manager (Resolution No. 04-350)

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation

Recreation classes and excursion fees shall be determined as follows:

Classes

1. Determine the maximum hourly rate paid to instructor.
2. Multiply the instructor's hourly rate by the number of class meetings.
3. Determine the minimum number of participants and divide into the instructor's cost.
4. Add indirect overhead percent - 32%.
5. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
6. Add cost for specialized equipment or supplies.

Special Conditions: For classes taught by contract instructors, the indirect overhead is only added to the City's percentage.

Excursions

1. Transportation cost divided by the number of participants plus overhead transfer.
2. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
3. Add any admission cost, supplies or leadership cost.

Additional factors that may be used to determine the class or excursion user fee:

The total number of participants in a given activity may generate additional revenue whereby the total program cost may be reduced.

Classes that traditionally have waiting lists may have the user fee increased.

Programs in competition with adjacent cities or the private sector may require fees to be increased or decreased to remain competitive.

Facility Use Fee Schedule (Staff Use Only)

CLASSIFICATIONS:

- Group 1: Cupertino-serving non-profits with 1/3 resident membership/participation, a Cupertino business address, or demonstrated service to Cupertino; government organizations; sponsored clubs; functions held by non-profits that are free and open to the Cupertino public. These organizations must show an official structure and status.
- Group 2: Non-resident non-profit recreation, education or community service organizations for functions not open to the public. These organizations must show official structure and status.
- Group 3: Cupertino Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc. Must show proof of 95014 residency.
- Group 4: Non-Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Quinlan Community Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOURS

<u>Cupertino Room</u>	Mon-Fri up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm-Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$168	\$252	\$280	\$420
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$224	\$336	\$368	\$552
 <u>Social Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$60	\$90
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$32	\$48	\$96	\$144
Resident (Group 3)	\$72	\$108	\$120	\$180
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$90	\$135	\$150	\$225
 <u>Conference Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Cupertino Room	\$500
Social Room	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Community Hall

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$120	\$180	\$200	\$300
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$450

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Community Hall	\$500
----------------	-------

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Cupertino Library: Will be approved for a select number of uses of Community Hall without fee, based on approval from the Recreation & Community Services Department Director. The Library is exempt from the provision of deposits relating to the use of Community Hall.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Monta Vista Recreation Center/Creekside Park Building/Wilson Park Building

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

WILSON PARK BUILDING/CREEKSIDE/MONTA VISTA- Multi-Purpose Room

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$24	\$36	\$39	\$59
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$30	\$45	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$60	\$90	\$100	\$150
	Resident	Non-Resident		
Bounce House (Memorial & Creekside Park Only)	\$25	\$25		

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A \$100 security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Creekside Park building security deposit \$100. If alcohol is served security deposit is \$250.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Wilson Park Building rental would not include access to ceramic wheels, kiln, or specialized art equipment.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

	Resident	Non-Resident
Annual Membership	\$23	\$28
Volunteer Membership*	\$18	\$23
Day Pass Fee	\$5	\$5
Class Pass	\$10	\$10
Day Trip Pass	\$20	\$20

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Reception Hall				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$144	\$216	\$240	\$360
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$180	\$270	\$300	\$450
Bay Room/ Arts and Craft				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$22	\$33	\$36	\$54
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$27	\$41	\$45	\$68
Resident (Group 3)	\$43	\$65	\$72	\$108
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$54	\$81	\$90	\$135
Classroom				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$32	\$48
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$24	\$36	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$38	\$57	\$64	\$96
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Conference Room				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

*Members who have volunteered 20+ hours in the previous calendar year qualify for this rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Reception Hall	\$500
All Other Rooms	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Child Care/Teen Center

	Type	Resident	Non-Resident
<u>Day Passes</u>			
Single Day Pass		\$10	\$13
Day Pass 5 Pack		\$45	\$59
Day Pass 10 Pack		\$90	\$117
Drop-In Fitness		\$10	\$10
Drop-In Fitness 5 Pack		\$45	\$45
Drop-In Fitness 10 Pack		\$90	\$90
Drop-In Sports		\$7	\$7
Drop-In Sports 5 Pack		\$32	\$32
Drop-In Sports 10 Pack		\$63	\$63
Body Fat Testing		\$25	\$25
Friday Night Social Members		\$3	\$3
Friday Night Social Non-Member		\$8	\$8
<u>Monthly Passes</u>			
One Month	Single	\$65	\$75
One Month	Couple	\$85	\$100
One Month	Family	\$105	\$125
One Month	Senior	\$50	\$60
One Month	Students	\$30	\$30
<u>Annual Passes</u>			
One Year	Single	\$440	\$475
One Year	Couple	\$825	\$900
One Year	Family	\$920	\$1,000
One Year	Senior	\$395	\$425
One Year	Corp. Single	\$350	\$350
One Year	Corp. Family	\$850	\$850
One Year	Tennis Only	\$420	\$440

Family membership includes up to 2 adults and 2 children under 18, each additional child is \$100/year or \$8/month.

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Conference Room	Current
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16
Resident (Group 3)	\$31
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39
Multi-Purpose Room/Sports Court	Current
Resident	\$60
Non-Resident	\$72

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Child Care/Teen Center

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

1. The Cupertino Tennis Club will be charged \$12.00/hour during primetime and \$8.00/hour per court during non-primetime for all C.T.C. sponsored activities other than U.S.T.A. leagues and practices.
2. All competitors in C.T.C./U.S.T.A. leagues participating at the Sports Center must purchase an annual pass.
3. Specials will be offered on an on-going basis.

Childcare Fees

One visit	\$7
Ten visits	\$63

Teen Center

Rental Rate (per 3 hours minimum)	\$210
Deposit	\$750
Overtime Rate: \$100.00 first hour; \$50.00 each half hour after.	
Extra Fees: \$35 each half hour (30 min); \$70 each hour (1 hr)	

	Teen Resident	Teen Non-Resident
Annual Rate	No Cost	\$25

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Memorial Softball Field

Cupertino residents/Resident business only	\$35/2 hrs
Non-Residents/Non-Resident business	\$50/2 hrs
Field can be reserved for a maximum of 4 hours. THERE IS NO FEE FOR CURRENT SOFTBALL TEAMS PLAYING IN CUPERTINO LEAGUES	
Field preparation (includes dragging, watering, chalking, and bases)	\$37
Field Attendant (2 hour minimum). Field Attendant is required any time lights or field preparation is requested.	\$14/hr
Lights	\$10/hr

Memorial Park Amphitheater

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Memorial Park Gazebo

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate)

	Resident	Non-Resident
Memorial (113 capacity)	\$113	\$154
Linda Vista (136 capacity)	\$136	\$186
Portal (80 capacity)	\$80	\$109
Electricity at Memorial or Linda Vista Park	\$25	\$25
Bounce House (Memorial Park Only)	\$25	\$25

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$22
Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66
Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Deposit	\$600
Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, youth, non-profit volunteer organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Non-resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$88
Non-resident, adult, non-profit volunteer organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$100
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit youth sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$150
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit adult sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$175
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600

* Represents whether an organization's members and board of directors are made up of 51% or more of either City residents or non-residents. After the category is determined, then the resident or non-resident fees are paid per the residency of each player.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm

<u>Picnic Areas (Daily Rate) *</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
Area 1 - 250 Person Picnic Area- Blackberry Site	\$300	\$600
Area 2 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Sycamore Site	\$120	\$240
Area 3 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Owl Site	\$120	\$240
Area 4 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Steelhead Site	\$60	\$120
Area 5 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Bobcat Site	\$60	\$120
Area 6 - 25 Person Picnic Area- Acorn Site	\$30	\$60
All Sites- 525 Person Picnic Area	\$690	\$1,380
Pool Pass for Picnic Area Groups	\$4	\$5
<u>Day-Use Pass Only</u>		
Weekday Tuesday - Friday	\$6	\$8
Weekend Saturday - Sunday	\$8	\$10

Picnic area fees are due in full at the time of reservation.

* Organizations may rent multiple areas.

<u>Swim Pass Options</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
10-day Pass	\$60	\$80
<u>Season Swim Pass (May-Sept)</u>		
Individual Pass	\$100	\$140
2-Person Pass	\$160	\$200
3-Person Pass	\$170	\$210
4-Person Pass	\$180	\$220
5-Person Pass	\$190	\$230
6-Person Pass*	\$200	\$240
*Each additional person added to a 6-person pass	\$6	\$8

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Environmental Education Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Contracted Tenants and Partners*	No Charge	Overtime Charges*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$55	\$83
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$88	\$132

*Onsite tenants and partnering organizations that have a current lease agreement with the City of Cupertino.

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$300 will be due at time of booking for rentals. (except for Contracted Tenants and Partners)

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Park Special Events Permits

Application Fee (per event; non-refundable)	\$75
Memorial Park - 1/2 park per event day; 500 or fewer attendees	\$1,000
Memorial Park - full park per event day; 501 or more attendees	\$2,000
Event Day Staffing - City Staff	At Cost
Event Day Staffing - Sheriff's Office	At Cost

Memorial Park Special Event Permit for nonprofit/civic organizations only.

Additional permit fees may be charged for park uses, as determined by the Director of Recreation & Community Services.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$1,000 is due 30 days prior to event. The security deposit payment is required before a Special Event Permit will be issued.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance is required as stipulated in the Department's Special Events Permit.

Community Gardens

Full Plot	\$100/year
Half Plot	\$50/year
Box	\$25/year
Garden bed annual assessment	\$110
Garden bed annual assessment - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$55

Garden bed refundable security deposit	\$150
Garden bed refundable security deposit - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$75

Security Deposit

~~A security deposit of \$50 is required for first year gardeners.~~

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Daily Green Fee Schedule

<u>Weekends</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
9-Holes	\$18	\$20
Senior	\$18	\$20
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14
 <u>Weekdays</u>		
9-Holes	\$16	\$18
Senior	\$15	\$17
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$11	\$14

All Groups and Tournaments pay the 9-Hole rate (Cupertino residents still applies). Staff is authorized to set merchandise fees according to current cost.

	Adults (17-50)	Jr/Sr
<u>Monthly Rate*</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$165	\$150
Non-Residents	\$195	\$180
<u>Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,310	\$1,160
Non-Residents	\$1,610	\$1,460
<u>Semi-Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$710	\$630
Non-Residents	\$870	\$790
<u>Super Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,650	\$1,500
Non-Residents	\$1,950	\$1,800
<u>Super Semi-Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$880	\$800
Non-Residents	\$1,040	\$960

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Power Cart Rental Packs

10 Pack	\$65	\$65
20 Pack	\$120	\$120
30 Pack	\$165	\$165
40 Pack	\$200	\$200
50 Pack	\$225	\$225

Rentals

Power Cart	\$7	\$7
Pull Cart	\$3	\$3
Soccer Balls	\$3	\$3
Clubs - Adult	\$6	\$6
Clubs - Junior	\$3.50	\$3.50

Daily Foot Golf Fee Schedule

Weekends

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5

Week days

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5
Second 9 holes	\$12	\$14

*Specials will be offered on an on-going basis

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Proposed Quick Passes

<u>Base Rate</u>		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
		Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekend	Weekend
	Savings	Senior	Adult	Senior	Adult		
	per						
# of Plays	round	Res.	Res.	NR	NR	Res.	NR
10	\$1	\$140	\$150	\$160	\$170	\$170	\$190
20	\$2	\$260	\$280	\$300	\$320	\$320	\$360
30	\$3	\$360	\$390	\$420	\$450	\$450	\$510
40	\$4	\$440	\$480	\$520	\$560	\$560	\$640
50	\$5	\$500	\$550	\$600	\$650	\$650	\$750

Golf fees may be increased/decreased at the discretion of the City Manager (Resolution No. 04-350)

* Monthly Passes valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1\10th of the Annual Rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation

Recreation classes and excursion fees shall be determined as follows:

Classes

1. Determine the maximum hourly rate paid to instructor.
2. Multiply the instructor's hourly rate by the number of class meetings.
3. Determine the minimum number of participants and divide into the instructor's cost.
4. Add indirect overhead percent - 32%.
5. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
6. Add cost for specialized equipment or supplies.

Special Conditions: For classes taught by contract instructors, the indirect overhead is only added to the City's percentage.

Excursions

1. Transportation cost divided by the number of participants plus overhead transfer.
2. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
3. Add any admission cost, supplies or leadership cost.

Additional factors that may be used to determine the class or excursion user fee:

The total number of participants in a given activity may generate additional revenue whereby the total program cost may be reduced.

Classes that traditionally have waiting lists may have the user fee increased.

Programs in competition with adjacent cities or the private sector may require fees to be increased or decreased to remain competitive.

Facility Use Fee Schedule (Staff Use Only)

CLASSIFICATIONS:

- Group 1: Cupertino-serving non-profits with 1/3 resident membership/participation, a Cupertino business address, or demonstrated service to Cupertino; government organizations; sponsored clubs; functions held by non-profits that are free and open to the Cupertino public. These organizations must show an official structure and status.
- Group 2: Non-resident non-profit recreation, education or community service organizations for functions not open to the public. These organizations must show official structure and status.
- Group 3: Cupertino Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc. Must show proof of 95014 residency.
- Group 4: Non-Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Quinlan Community Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOURS

<u>Cupertino Room</u>	Mon-Fri up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm-Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$168	\$252	\$280	\$420
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$224	\$336	\$368	\$552
 <u>Social Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$60	\$90
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$32	\$48	\$96	\$144
Resident (Group 3)	\$72	\$108	\$120	\$180
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$90	\$135	\$150	\$225
 <u>Conference Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Cupertino Room	\$500
Social Room	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Community Hall

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$120	\$180	\$200	\$300
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$450

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Community Hall	\$500
----------------	-------

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Cupertino Library: Will be approved for a select number of uses of Community Hall without fee, based on approval from the Recreation & Community Services Department Director. The Library is exempt from the provision of deposits relating to the use of Community Hall.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Monta Vista Recreation Center/Creekside Park Building/Wilson Park Building

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

WILSON PARK BUILDING/CREEKSIDE/MONTA VISTA- Multi-Purpose Room

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$24	\$36	\$39	\$59
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$30	\$45	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$60	\$90	\$100	\$150
	Resident	Non-Resident		
Bounce House (Memorial & Creekside Park Only)	\$25	\$25		

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A \$100 security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Creekside Park building security deposit \$100. If alcohol is served security deposit is \$250.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Wilson Park Building rental would not include access to ceramic wheels, kiln, or specialized art equipment.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

	Resident	Non-Resident
Annual Membership	\$23	\$28
Volunteer Membership*	\$18	\$23
Day Pass Fee	\$5	\$5
Class Pass	\$10	\$10
Day Trip Pass	\$20	\$20

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Reception Hall				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$144	\$216	\$240	\$360
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$180	\$270	\$300	\$450
Bay Room/ Arts and Craft				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$22	\$33	\$36	\$54
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$27	\$41	\$45	\$68
Resident (Group 3)	\$43	\$65	\$72	\$108
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$54	\$81	\$90	\$135
Classroom				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$32	\$48
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$24	\$36	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$38	\$57	\$64	\$96
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Conference Room				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

*Members who have volunteered 20+ hours in the previous calendar year qualify for this rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Reception Hall	\$500
All Other Rooms	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Child Care/Teen Center

	Type	Resident	Non-Resident
<u>Day Passes</u>			
Single Day Pass		\$10	\$13
Day Pass 5 Pack		\$45	\$59
Day Pass 10 Pack		\$90	\$117
Drop-In Fitness		\$10	\$10
Drop-In Fitness 5 Pack		\$45	\$45
Drop-In Fitness 10 Pack		\$90	\$90
Drop-In Sports		\$7	\$7
Drop-In Sports 5 Pack		\$32	\$32
Drop-In Sports 10 Pack		\$63	\$63
Body Fat Testing		\$25	\$25
Friday Night Social Members		\$3	\$3
Friday Night Social Non-Member		\$8	\$8
<u>Monthly Passes</u>			
One Month	Single	\$65	\$75
One Month	Couple	\$85	\$100
One Month	Family	\$105	\$125
One Month	Senior	\$50	\$60
One Month	Students	\$30	\$30
<u>Annual Passes</u>			
One Year	Single	\$440	\$475
One Year	Couple	\$825	\$900
One Year	Family	\$920	\$1,000
One Year	Senior	\$395	\$425
One Year	Corp. Single	\$350	\$350
One Year	Corp. Family	\$850	\$850
One Year	Tennis Only	\$420	\$440

Family membership includes up to 2 adults and 2 children under 18, each additional child is \$100/year or \$8/month.

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Conference Room	Current
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16
Resident (Group 3)	\$31
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39
Multi-Purpose Room/Sports Court	Current
Resident	\$60
Non-Resident	\$72

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Child Care/Teen Center

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

1. The Cupertino Tennis Club will be charged \$12.00/hour during primetime and \$8.00/hour per court during non-primetime for all C.T.C. sponsored activities other than U.S.T.A. leagues and practices.
2. All competitors in C.T.C./U.S.T.A. leagues participating at the Sports Center must purchase an annual pass.
3. Specials will be offered on an on-going basis.

Childcare Fees

One visit	\$7
Ten visits	\$63

Teen Center

Rental Rate (per 3 hours minimum)	\$210
Deposit	\$750
Overtime Rate: \$100.00 first hour; \$50.00 each half hour after.	
Extra Fees: \$35 each half hour (30 min); \$70 each hour (1 hr)	

	Teen Resident	Teen Non-Resident
Annual Rate	No Cost	\$25

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Memorial Softball Field

Cupertino residents/Resident business only	\$35/2 hrs
Non-Residents/Non-Resident business	\$50/2 hrs
Field can be reserved for a maximum of 4 hours. THERE IS NO FEE FOR CURRENT SOFTBALL TEAMS PLAYING IN CUPERTINO LEAGUES	
Field preparation (includes dragging, watering, chalking, and bases)	\$37
Field Attendant (2 hour minimum). Field Attendant is required any time lights or field preparation is requested.	\$14/hr
Lights	\$10/hr

Memorial Park Amphitheater

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Memorial Park Gazebo

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate)

	Resident	Non-Resident
Memorial (113 capacity)	\$113	\$154
Linda Vista (136 capacity)	\$136	\$186
Portal (80 capacity)	\$80	\$109
Electricity at Memorial or Linda Vista Park	\$25	\$25
Bounce House (Memorial Park Only)	\$25	\$25

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$22
Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66
Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Deposit	\$600
Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, youth, non-profit volunteer organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Non-resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$88
Non-resident, adult, non-profit volunteer organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$100
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit youth sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$150
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit adult sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$175
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600

* Represents whether an organization's members and board of directors are made up of 51% or more of either City residents or non-residents. After the category is determined, then the resident or non-resident fees are paid per the residency of each player.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm

<u>Picnic Areas (Daily Rate) *</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
Area 1 - 250 Person Picnic Area- Blackberry Site	\$300	\$600
Area 2 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Sycamore Site	\$120	\$240
Area 3 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Owl Site	\$120	\$240
Area 4 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Steelhead Site	\$60	\$120
Area 5 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Bobcat Site	\$60	\$120
Area 6 - 25 Person Picnic Area- Acorn Site	\$30	\$60
All Sites- 525 Person Picnic Area	\$690	\$1,380
Pool Pass for Picnic Area Groups	\$4	\$5
 <u>Day-Use Pass Only</u>		
Weekday Tuesday - Friday	\$6	\$8
Weekend Saturday - Sunday	\$8	\$10

Picnic area fees are due in full at the time of reservation.

* Organizations may rent multiple areas.

<u>Swim Pass Options</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
10-day Pass	\$60	\$80
 <u>Season Swim Pass (May-Sept)</u>		
Individual Pass	\$100	\$140
2-Person Pass	\$160	\$200
3-Person Pass	\$170	\$210
4-Person Pass	\$180	\$220
5-Person Pass	\$190	\$230
6-Person Pass*	\$200	\$240
*Each additional person added to a 6-person pass	\$6	\$8

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Environmental Education Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Contracted Tenants and Partners*	No Charge	Overtime Charges*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$55	\$83
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$88	\$132

*Onsite tenants and partnering organizations that have a current lease agreement with the City of Cupertino.

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$300 will be due at time of booking for rentals. (except for Contracted Tenants and Partners)

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Park Special Events Permits

Application Fee (per event; non-refundable)	\$75
Memorial Park - 1/2 park per event day; 500 or fewer attendees	\$1,000
Memorial Park - full park per event day; 501 or more attendees	\$2,000
Event Day Staffing - City Staff	At Cost
Event Day Staffing - Sheriff's Office	At Cost

Memorial Park Special Event Permit for nonprofit/civic organizations only.

Additional permit fees may be charged for park uses, as determined by the Director of Recreation & Community Services.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$1,000 is due 30 days prior to event. The security deposit payment is required before a Special Event Permit will be issued.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance is required as stipulated in the Department's Special Events Permit.

Community Gardens

Garden bed annual assessment	\$110
Garden bed annual assessment - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$55
Garden bed refundable security deposit	\$150
Garden bed refundable security deposit - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$75

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 20-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Daily Green Fee Schedule

<u>Weekends</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
9-Holes	\$18	\$20
Senior	\$18	\$20
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14
 <u>Weekdays</u>		
9-Holes	\$16	\$18
Senior	\$15	\$17
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$11	\$14

All Groups and Tournaments pay the 9-Hole rate (Cupertino residents still applies). Staff is authorized to set merchandise fees according to current cost.

	Adults (17-50)	Jr/Sr
<u>Monthly Rate*</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$165	\$150
Non-Residents	\$195	\$180
<u>Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,310	\$1,160
Non-Residents	\$1,610	\$1,460
<u>Semi-Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$710	\$630
Non-Residents	\$870	\$790
<u>Super Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,650	\$1,500
Non-Residents	\$1,950	\$1,800
<u>Super Semi-Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$880	\$800
Non-Residents	\$1,040	\$960

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 20-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Power Cart Rental Packs

10 Pack	\$65	\$65
20 Pack	\$120	\$120
30 Pack	\$165	\$165
40 Pack	\$200	\$200
50 Pack	\$225	\$225

Rentals

Power Cart	\$7	\$7
Pull Cart	\$3	\$3
Soccer Balls	\$3	\$3
Clubs - Adult	\$6	\$6
Clubs - Junior	\$3.50	\$3.50

Daily Foot Golf Fee Schedule

Weekends

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5

Week days

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5
Second 9 holes	\$12	\$14

*Specials will be offered on an on-going basis

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 20-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Proposed Quick Passes

<u>Base Rate</u>		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
		Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekend	Weekend
	Savings	Senior	Adult	Senior	Adult		
	per						
# of Plays	round	Res.	Res.	NR	NR	Res.	NR
10	\$1	\$140	\$150	\$160	\$170	\$170	\$190
20	\$2	\$260	\$280	\$300	\$320	\$320	\$360
30	\$3	\$360	\$390	\$420	\$450	\$450	\$510
40	\$4	\$440	\$480	\$520	\$560	\$560	\$640
50	\$5	\$500	\$550	\$600	\$650	\$650	\$750

Golf fees may be increased/decreased at the discretion of the City Manager (Resolution No. 04-350)

* Monthly Passes valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1\10th of the Annual Rate.

Community Garden User Fee Worksheet

Water Use

Past Garden Water Usage	Garden Beds	Cost Per Garden Bed	Cost Recovery Goal
\$ 6,150.00	112	\$ 54.91	\$ 21.96

Tools and Supplies

Annual Tools and Supply Budget	Total Garden Beds	Cost Per Garden Bed	Cost Recovery Goal
\$ 900.00	112	\$ 8.04	\$ 3.21

Staffing Costs

Staff Position	Average Salary	Staff Time Allocation	Total Garden Beds	Cost Per Garden Bed	Cost Recovery Goal
Recreation Coordinator	\$ 124,719.23	15%	112	\$ 168.71	\$ 67.48
Maintenance Worker (compost delivery 4 times per year, 2hrs ea.)	\$ 311.28	100%	112	\$ 2.78	\$ 1.11
Park Ranger (garbage service 50 hours @ step 5)	\$ 1,678.00	100%	112	\$ 14.98	\$ 5.99

Water Use Per Garden Bed	\$ 54.91
Tools and Supplies Per Garden Bed	\$ 8.04
Staffing Costs Per Garden Bed	\$186.47
 Total Cost Per Garden Bed	 \$ 249.41
 Cost Recover Percentage (Below)	 40%
 Adjust Cost based on Cost Recovery	 \$ 99.77
ActiveNET and Bank Charges	\$ 10.72
 Estimated Garden Bed Cost	 \$ 110.48
Rounded Amount	\$ 110
 PG&E CARES Participant Discount Cost Recover Percentage (Below)	 20%
 PG&E CARES Participant Discount Adjusted Cost based on Cost Recovery	 \$ 49.88
PG&E CARES Participant Discount ActiveNET and Bank Charges	\$ 5.41
 PG&E CARES Participant Discount Estimated Garden Bed Cost	 \$ 55.29
PG&E CARES Participant Discount Rounded Amount	\$ 55

Bank Charges Calculation		
	Cost per Bed	Deposit*
	\$ 99.77	\$ 150.00
Bank Charge %	0.0425	
	\$ 4.24	\$ 6.38
Refund cost of \$.10		\$ 0.10
		\$ 6.48
Total Cost of Bank Fees		\$ 10.72
Cost per Bed Including Base Fee and Bank Charges for Base Fee/Deposit		\$ 110.48

*Assuming that gardeners will only hold gardens for 1 year.

PG&E CARES Participant Discount Bank Charges Calculation		
	Cost per Bed	Deposit*
	\$ 49.88	\$ 75.00
Bank Charge %	0.0425	
	\$ 2.12	\$ 3.19
Refund cost of \$.10		\$ 0.10
		\$ 3.29
Total Cost of Bank Fees		\$ 5.41
Cost per Bed Including Base Fee and Bank Charges for Base Fee/Deposit		\$ 55.29

*Assuming that gardeners will only hold gardens for 1 year.

	Youth Resident	Average	Youth Non-resident	Average	Adult Resident	Average	Adult Non-resident	Average
Campbell	\$20-\$30	\$25	\$20-\$30	\$25	\$20-\$30	\$25	\$20-\$30	\$25
Los Altos	\$45	\$45	\$56	\$56	\$45	\$45	\$56	\$56
Los Altos Hills	\$20	\$20	\$40	\$40	\$20	\$20	\$40	\$40
Mountain View	\$8	\$8	\$45	\$45	\$36	\$36	\$45	\$45
Palo Alto	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89
San Jose	\$25	\$25	\$80	\$80	\$60	\$60	\$80	\$80
Santa Clara	\$0	\$0	\$276	\$276	\$106	\$106	\$276	\$276
Saratoga	\$33-\$38	\$36	\$52-\$59	\$56	\$33-\$38	\$36	\$52-\$59	\$56
Sunnyvale	\$25	\$25	\$40	\$40	\$25	\$25	\$40	\$40
Average		\$30.28		\$78.50		\$49.06		\$85.19
Commission Recommended Fees		\$10.00		\$30.00		\$50.00		\$60.00
Proposed Fees 25% Cost Recovery (Hourly Fees)		\$20.00		\$30.00		\$50.00		\$60.00
Proposed Fees 40% Cost Recovery (Hourly Fees)		\$30.00		\$50.00		\$60.00		\$80.00

		Commission Recommended 17% - 20% Cost Recovery Option		25% Cost Recovery Option		40% Cost Recovery Option	
Sports League	FY18/19 Total Cost	R-\$10	NR-\$30	R-\$20	NR-\$30	R-\$30	NR-\$50
AYSO 35	\$8,008.00	\$11,220.00		\$22,440.00		\$44,880.00	
AYSO64	\$3,520.00		\$11,580.00		\$11,580.00		\$19,300.00
Cal Cricket Academy	\$1,177.00	\$7,760.00		\$15,520.00		\$31,040.00	
Cupertino FC	\$6,501.00	\$11,150.00		\$22,300.00		\$44,600.00	
Cupertino Girls Softball	\$1,529.00		\$22,800.00		\$22,800.00		\$38,000.00
Cupertino Little League	\$3,278.00	\$15,910.00		\$31,820.00		\$63,640.00	
De Anza Youth Soccer	\$110,616.00		\$231,600.00		\$231,600.00		\$386,000.00
Golden Triangle	\$506.00	\$4,400.00		\$8,800.00		\$17,600.00	
US Youth Volleyball	\$2,794.00	\$800.00		\$1,600.00		\$2,400.00	
Totals	\$137,929.00	\$51,240.00	\$265,980.00	\$102,480.00	\$265,980.00	\$204,160.00	\$443,300.00
		Total 17% - 20% Cost Recovery Option	\$317,220.00	Total 25% Cost Recovery Option	\$368,460.00	Total 40% Cost Recovery Option	\$647,460.00

Field Use Cost Recovery Worksheet

Public Works Field Maintenance Budget

Public Works Field Budget	Total Number of Fields	Total Rentable Hours per Field	Total Rentable Hours	60% Utilization of Rentable Hours	Cost Per Hour	40% Cost Recovery Goal
\$1,859,573	27	1159	31293	18775.8	\$99.04	\$39.62

Staffing Costs

Staff Position	Average Salary	Staff Time Allocation (12%)	Total Number of Fields	Total Rentable Hours per Field	Total Rentable Hours	60% Utilization of Rentable Hours	Cost Per Hour	Cost Recovery Goal
Recreation Coordinator	\$124,719.23	\$14,966.31	27	1159	31293	18775.8	\$0.80	\$ 0.32

Total Cost	\$1,874,539.31
40% Total Cost	\$749,815.72
Public Works Budget Per Hour	\$99.04
Recreation Staffing Costs Per Hour	\$0.80
Total Cost Per Hour	\$99.84

Cost Recovery Percentage	40%	20%	30%
--------------------------	-----	-----	-----

Adjusted Cost based on Cost Recovery	\$ 39.94	\$ 19.97	\$ 29.95
--------------------------------------	----------	----------	----------

Estimated Cost per hour	\$ 39.94
-------------------------	----------

Revenue	Average Revenue (FY17-19)	Projected Revenue \$30R/\$50NR (Average \$40) 40% Utilization	Projected Revenue \$30R/\$50NR (Average \$40) 50% Utilization	Projected Revenue \$30R/\$50NR (Average \$40) 60% Utilization
	\$151,630.00	\$500,688.00	\$625,860.00	\$751,032.00

Senior Center Membership Comparison to Nearby Cities

City	Resident Fee	Non-resident Fee
Los Altos	\$ 32.00	\$ 46.00
Joan Pisani Community Center	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
Sunnyvale	\$ 28.00	\$ 43.00
Los Altos Hills	\$ 26.00	\$ 40.00
Los Gatos Adult Recreation	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
Campbell	\$ 25.00	\$ 30.00
Cupertino	\$ 23.00	\$ 28.00

Average	\$ 27.67	\$ 35.67
Current Cupertino Fees	\$ 23.00	\$ 28.00
Proposed Adjustment	\$ 25.00	\$ 30.00

Senior Center Membership Comparison to Bay Area Cities

City	Resident Fee	Nonresident Fee
Palo Alto (Avenidas)	\$ 50.00	\$ 50.00
Los Altos	\$ 32.00	\$ 46.00
Saratoga (Joan Pisani Community Center)	\$ 30.00	\$ 30.00
Sunnyvale	\$ 28.00	\$ 43.00
Los Altos Hills	\$ 26.00	\$ 40.00
Campbell	\$ 25.00	\$ 30.00
Los Gatos (Los Gatos Adult Recreation)	\$ 25.00	\$ 25.00
Cupertino	\$ 23.00	\$ 28.00
Milpitas	\$ 12.00	\$ 30.00
Santa Clara	\$ -	\$ -
Mountain View	\$ -	\$ -
San Mateo	\$ -	\$ -

Average	\$ 28.50	\$ 36.75
Current Cupertino Fees	\$ 23.00	\$ 28.00
Proposed Adjustment	\$ 25.00	\$ 30.00

Cost of Services (User Fee) Study
Final Report
CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA

matrix 
consulting group
201 San Antonio Circle, Suite 148
Mountain View, CA 94040
v.650.858.0507 f.650.917.2310

March 2016

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	3
2. LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS	10
3. USER FEE STUDY METHODOLOGY	14
4. RESULTS OVERVIEW	17
5. BUILDING	18
6. ENGINEERING (PUBLIC WORKS)	20
7. GENERAL FEES	25
8. PLANNING	32
9. RECREATION SERVICES	36
10. COMPARATIVE MARKET SURVEY	44
11. CONCLUSION	47

ATTACHMENTS:

- PLAN CHECK – NEW CONSTRUCTION FEE SCHEDULE**
- INSPECTION – NEW CONSTRUCTION FEE SCHEDULE**
- MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, PLUMBING FEE SCHEDULES**
- MISCELLANEOUS FEES (BUILDING)**
- COMPARATIVE SURVEY**

1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The report, which follows, presents the results of the Cost of Services (User Fee) Study conducted by the Matrix Consulting Group for the City of Cupertino.

1. PROJECT BACKGROUND AND SCOPE OF WORK

The Matrix Consulting Group analyzed the cost of service relationships that exist between fees for service activities related to building permits, planning applications, public works, general services, and parks and recreation. The results of this Study provide a tool for understanding current service levels, the cost and demand for those services, and what fees for service can and should be charged.

2. GENERAL PROJECT APPROACH AND METHODOLOGY

The methodology employed by the Matrix Consulting Group is a widely accepted “bottom up” approach to cost analysis, where time spent per unit of fee activity is determined for each position within a division. Once time spent for a fee activity is determined, all applicable City costs are then considered in the calculation of the “full” cost of providing each service. The table on the following page provides an overview of types of costs applied in establishing the “full” cost of services provided by each Department included in this Study:

Cost Component	Description
Direct	Fiscal Year 2015/16 Adopted Budgeted salaries, benefits and allowable expenditures.
Overhead	Division and departmental administration / management and clerical support, along with Citywide overhead as calculated through the Cost Allocation Plan.
Supporting (Cross) Unit Review	Where applicable, direct and indirect costs associated with external divisions' assistance.

Together, the cost components in the table above comprise the calculation of the total “full” cost of providing any particular service, whether a fee for that service is charged or not.

The work accomplished by the Matrix Consulting Group in the analysis of the proposed fees for service involved the following steps:

- **Divisional Staff Interviews:** The project team interviewed staff in each division regarding their needs for clarification to the structure of existing fee items, or for addition of new fee items.
- **Data Collection:** Data was collected for each item, including time estimates and volume of activity. In addition, all budgeted costs and staffing levels for Fiscal Year 15/16 were entered into the Matrix Consulting Group’s analytical software model.
- **Cost Analysis:** The full cost of providing each service included in the analysis was established. Cross-checks including allocation of not more than 100% of staff resources to both fee and non-fee related activities assured the validity of the data used in the Study.
- **Review and Approval of Results with City Staff:** Department and City management have reviewed and approved these documented results.

A more detailed description of user fee methodology, as well as legal and policy considerations are provided in subsequent chapters of this report.

3. CURRENT COST RECOVERY

When comparing Fiscal Year 15/16 budgeted expenditures with revenue generated in Fiscal Year 14/15 the City is under-recovering its costs by approximately \$7,248,000 per year for Building, Planning, Engineering, and Recreation services. The table on the following page presents a summary of results by Department / Division for the City of Cupertino:

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

Department / Division	FY15 Revenue ¹	FY16 Budget	Surplus / (Deficit)	Current Cost Recovery Percentage
Building	\$2,401,459	\$3,388,927	\$(987,468)	71%
Engineering	\$1,039,185	\$1,716,277	\$(677,092)	61%
Planning	\$651,759	\$1,510,364	\$(858,605)	43%
Recreation Services	\$5,719,171	\$10,443,575	\$(4,724,404)	55%
TOTAL	\$9,811,574	\$17,059,143	\$(7,247,569)	58%

The table above shows that Building has the highest cost recovery for its services at 71%, while Planning services has the lowest cost recovery percentage at 43%. The largest subsidy provided by the City is related to Recreation Services, however, this is a typical phenomenon seen for Recreation services as those services are heavily subsidized to provide benefit to the community.

While Recreation Services is part of this study, these programs and fees are routinely reviewed, and cost recovery is constantly being monitored, as market driven factors heavily influence fee-setting policies. As such, the \$4,724,000 subsidy associated with Recreation Services should not be a focal point in this study. Therefore, excluding Recreation Services from the above calculation, the City is actually under-recovering by approximately \$2,500,000 annually for its Building, Engineering, and Planning services.

4. SUMMARY OF FEE-RELATED RESULTS

To provide additional context to the \$2.5 million under-recovery shown in the previous section, the project team analyzed the City's cost recovery in the context of fee-related services and fee-related annual costs. Based upon this information, the study concluded that the City under-recovers its fee-related costs by approximately \$1,377,000 per year. While the detailed documentation of the Study will show an over-collection in some divisions and / or certain fees (on a per unit basis), and an

¹ This is based on FY 14-15 unaudited actuals.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

undercharge for others, overall, the City is providing an annual subsidy to fee payers for all services included in the analysis. The following table presents a summary of results by Department / Division for the City of Cupertino:

Department / Division	Fee-Related Revenue ²	Annual Cost ³	Surplus / (Deficit)	Current Cost Recovery Percentage
Building ⁴	\$2,224,555	\$2,983,276	\$(758,722)	75%
Engineering	\$527,765	\$634,520	\$(106,755)	83%
Planning	\$517,406	\$1,018,683	\$(501,277)	51%
TOTAL	\$3,269,726	\$4,636,479	\$(1,366,754)	71%

The table above shows that all of the divisions are under-recovering for their fee-related Services. The largest source of the under-recovery, 56%, is associated with the Building Division at \$759,000. While at a divisional level Engineering is under-recovering its costs by approximately \$680,000, on a fee-related basis its subsidy is only \$107,000 annually. Likewise, the Planning Division is under-recovering its costs by \$860,000 annually, whereas fee-related costs are only showing a subsidy of \$500,000.

The display of the cost recovery figures shown in this report are meant to provide a basis for policy development discussions among Council members and City staff, and do not represent a recommendation for where or how the Council should take action. The setting of the “rate” or “price” for services, whether at 100 percent full cost recovery or lower, is a policy decision to be made only by the Council, often with input from City staff and the community.

² This is the total revenue for fee-related services and has been calculated utilizing workload and volume statistics provided by the Department and current fee information.

³ This represents fee-related annual costs for providing services.

⁴ The revenue for building is annualized based upon about 70% of permit volume data that could be translated. 30% of permit volume data was classified as general residential and commercial permits and could not be broken out into the appropriate fee categories.

5. CONSIDERATIONS FOR COST RECOVERY POLICY AND UPDATES

The Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the City use the information contained in this report to discuss, adopt, and implement a formal Cost Recovery Policy, and also to implement a mechanism for the annual update of fees for service.


(1) Adopt a Formal Cost Recovery Policy

The Matrix Consulting Group strongly recommends that the Council adopt a formalized, individual cost recovery policy for each department included in this Study. Whenever a cost recovery policy is established at less than 100% of the full cost of providing services, a known gap in funding is recognized and may then potentially be recovered through other revenue sources. The following table presents typical cost recovery levels seen in other jurisdictions:

Department	Typical Cost Recovery
Building	80 – 100%
Planning	50 – 80%
Public Works	80 – 100%
Parks & Rec	20 – 40%

Information presented in the table above is based on the Matrix Consulting Group's experience in analyzing local government's operations across the United States and in California, and reflects the *typical* cost recovery levels observed by local adopting authorities. The graph on the following page depicts how Cupertino compares to industry cost recovery standards.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study



As the graph above shows, Recreation Services has a cost recovery percentage higher than the typical cost recovery seen for those Departments. While Planning and Public Works (Engineering) have cost recovery percentages that while within the typical range are at the low end of cost recovery. The Building Division is the only division that has a cost recovery level lower than that is seen of other typical building departments or divisions.

In recent years, more local jurisdictions have adopted formal cost recovery policies at the department / division level. The Matrix Consulting Group considers a formalized cost recovery policy for various fees for service an industry Best Management Practice.

(2) Adopt an Annual Fee Update / Increase Mechanism

The purpose of a comprehensive update is to completely revisit the analytical structure, service level estimates and assumptions applied in the previous study, and to account for any major shifts in cost components or organizational structures. The Matrix Consulting Group believes it is a best management practice to perform a complete update of a Fee Assessment every 3 to 5 years.

In between comprehensive updates, the City could utilize published industry economic factors such as CPI or other regional factors to update the cost calculations established in the Study on an annual basis. The City could also consider the use of its own anticipated labor cost increases such as step increases, benefit enhancements, or cost of living raises. Alternatively, the project team will provide the City with user fee models, which can be utilized to update time estimates and costs on an annual basis. Utilizing an annual increase mechanism would ensure that the City receives appropriate fee and revenue increases that reflect growth in costs.

2. LEGAL FRAMEWORK AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS

A “user fee” is a charge for service provided by a governmental agency to a public citizen or group. In California, several constitutional laws such as Propositions 13, 4, and 218, State Government Codes 66014 and 66016, and more recently Prop 26 and the Attorney General’s Opinion 92-506 set the parameters under which the user fees typically administered by local government are established and administered. Specifically, California State Law, Government Code 66014(a), stipulates that user fees charged by local agencies “...may not exceed the estimated reasonable cost of providing the service for which the fee is charged”.

1. GENERAL PRINCIPLES AND PHILOSOPHIES REGARDING USER FEES

Local governments are providers of many types of general services to their communities. While all services provided by local government are beneficial to constituents, some services can be classified as globally beneficial to all citizens, while others provide more of a direct benefit to a specific group or individual. The following table provides examples of services provided by local government within a continuum of the degree of community benefit received:

Services that Provide General “Global” Community Benefit	Services that Provide Both “Global” Benefit and also a Specific Group or Individual Benefit	Services that Provide a Primary Benefit to an Individual or Group, with less “Global” Community Benefit
<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Police• Park Maintenance	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Recreation / Community Services• Fire Suppression / Prevention	<ul style="list-style-type: none">• Building Permits• Planning and Zoning Approval• Site Plan Review• Engineering Development Review• Facility Rentals

Funding for local government is obtained from a myriad of revenue sources such as taxes, fines, grants, special charges, user fees, etc. In recent years, alternative tax revenues, which typically offset subsidies for services provided to the community, have become increasingly limited. These limitations have caused increased attention on user fee activities as a revenue source that can offset costs otherwise subsidized (usually) by the general fund. In table 4 on the previous page, services in the “global benefit” section tend to be funded primarily through voter approved tax revenues. In the middle of the table, one typically finds a mixture of taxes, user fee, and other funding sources. Finally, in the “individual / group benefit” section of the table, lie the services provided by local government that are typically funded almost entirely by user fee revenue.

The following are two central concepts regarding the establishment of user fees:

- **Fees should be assessed according to the degree of individual or private benefit gained from services.** For example, the processing and approval of a land use or building permit will generally result in monetary gain to the applicant, whereas Police services and Fire Suppression are examples of services that are essential to the safety of the community at large.
- **A profit making objective should not be included in the assessment of user fees.** In fact, California laws require that the charges for service be in direct proportion to the costs associated with providing those services. Once a charge for service is assessed at a level higher than the actual cost of providing a service, the term “user fee” no longer applies. The charge then becomes a tax subject to voter approval.

Therefore, it is commonly accepted that user fees are established at a level that will recover up to, and not more than, the cost of providing a particular service.

2. GENERAL POLICY CONSIDERATIONS REGARDING USER FEES

Undoubtedly, there are programs, circumstances, and services that justify a subsidy from a tax based or alternative revenue source. However, it is essential that jurisdictions prioritize the use of revenue sources for the provision of services based on

the continuum of benefit received.

Within the services that are typically funded by user fees, the Matrix Consulting Group recognizes several reasons why City staff or the Council may not advocate the full cost recovery of services. The following factors are key policy considerations in setting fees at less than 100 percent of cost recovery:

- **Limitations posed by an external agency.** The State or an outside agency will occasionally set a maximum, minimum, or limit the jurisdiction's ability to charge a fee at all. An example includes time spent copying and retrieving public documents in the City Clerk's office.
- **Encouragement of desired behaviors.** Keeping fees for certain services below full cost recovery may provide better compliance from the community. For example, if the cost of a permit for changing a water heater in a residential home is higher than the cost of the water heater itself, many citizens will avoid pulling the permit.
- **Affect on demand for a particular service.** Sometimes raising the "price" charged for services might reduce the number of participants in a program. This is largely the case in Recreation programs such as camps or enrichment classes, where participants often compare the City's fees to surrounding jurisdictions or other options for leisure activities.
- **Benefit received by user of the service and the community at large is mutual.** Many services that directly benefit a group or individual equally benefit the community as a whole. Examples include Recreation programs, Planning Design Review, historical dedications and certain types of special events.

The Matrix Consulting Group recognizes the need for policies that intentionally subsidize certain activities. The primary goals of a User Fee Study are to provide a fair and equitable basis for determining the costs of providing services, and assure that the City is in compliance with State law.

Once the full cost of providing services is known, the next step is to determine the "rate" or "price" for services at a level which is up to, and not more than the full cost amount. The Council is responsible for this decision, which often becomes a question of

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

balancing service levels and funding sources. The placement of a service or activity within the continuum of benefit received may require extensive discussion and at times fall into a “grey area”. However, with the resulting cost of services information from a User Fee Study, the Council can be assured that the adopted fee for service is reasonable, fair, and legal.

3. USER FEE STUDY METHODOLOGY

The Matrix Consulting Group utilizes a cost allocation methodology, commonly known and accepted as the “bottom-up” approach to establishing User Fees. The term means that several cost components are calculated for each fee or service. These components then build upon each other to comprise the total cost for providing the service. The components of a full cost calculation are typically as follows:

Cost Component	Description
Direct	Salaries, benefits and allowable departmental expenditures.
Departmental Overhead	Division or Departmental administration / management and clerical support.
Citywide Overhead	City costs associated with central service costs such as payroll, human resources, budgeting, City management, etc. Established for this Study through a separate Study performed by the Matrix Consulting Group.
Cross-Departmental Support	Costs associated with review or assistance in providing specific services. For example, costs established via study of the Building department for intake and routing of Planning applications and permits are included as an applicable cost toward Planning fees for service.

The general steps utilized by the project team to determine allocations of cost components to a particular fee or service are:

- Calculate the fully burdened hourly rate for each position, including direct and indirect costs;
- Develop time estimates for each service included in the study;
- Ensure that not more than 100% of a position’s time is allocated between fee and non-fee services.

The result of these allocations provides detailed documentation for the reasonable estimate of the actual cost of providing each service. The following are critical points about the use of time estimates and the validity of cost allocation models.

1. TIME ESTIMATES ARE A MEASURE OF SERVICE LEVELS REQUIRED TO PERFORM A PARTICULAR SERVICE

One of the key study assumptions utilized in the “bottom up” approach is the use of time estimates for the provision of each fee related service. Utilization of time estimates is a reasonable and defensible approach, especially since experienced staff members who understand service levels and processes unique to the City of Cupertino, developed these estimates.

The project team worked closely with each Department’s staff in developing time estimates with the following criteria:

- Estimates are representative of average times for providing services. Extremely difficult or abnormally simple projects are excluded from the analysis.
- Estimates reflect the time associated with the position or positions that typically perform a service.
- Estimates provided by staff are reviewed and approved by the department, and often involve multiple iterations before a Study is finalized.
- Estimates are reviewed by the project team for “reasonableness” against their experience with other agencies.
- Estimates were not based on time in motion studies, as they are not practical for the scope of services and time frame for this project.

The Matrix Consulting Group agrees that while the use of time estimates is not perfect, it is the best alternative available for setting a standard level of service for which to base a jurisdiction’s fees for service, and it meets the requirements of California law.

The alternative to time estimating is actual time tracking, often referred to billing on a “time and materials” basis. Except in the case of anomalous or sometimes very large and complex projects, the Matrix Consulting Group believes this approach to not be cost effective or reasonable for the following reasons:

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

- Accuracy in time tracking is compromised by the additional administrative burden required to track, bill, and collect for services in this manner.
- Additional costs are associated with administrative staff's billing, refunding, and monitoring deposit accounts.
- Customers often prefer to know the fees for services in advance of applying for permits or participating in programs.
- Applicants may request assignment of less expensive personnel to their project.
- Departments can better predict revenue streams and staff needs using standardized time estimates and anticipated permit volumes.

Situations arise where the size and complexity of a given project warrants time tracking and billing on a "time and materials" basis. The Matrix Consulting Group has recommended taking a deposit and charging Actual Costs for such fees as appropriate and itemized in each department.

2. CROSS CHECKS ENSURE THE VALIDITY OF OUR ANALYTICAL MODEL

In addition to the collection of time estimate data for each fee or service included in the User Fee Study, annual volume of activity data assumptions are also a critical component. By collecting data on the estimated volume of activity for each fee or service, a number of analyses are performed which not only provide useful information to departments regarding allocation of staff resources, but also provide valuable cross checks that ensure the validity of each cost allocation model. This includes assurance that 100% of staff resources are accounted for and allocated to a fee for service, or "other non fee" related category. Since there are no objectives to make a profit in establishing user fees, it is very important to ensure that services are not estimated at a level that exceeds budgeted resource capacity. By accounting for not more than 100% of staff resources, no more than 100% of costs will be allocated through the Study.

4. RESULTS OVERVIEW

The motivation behind a cost of services (User Fee) analysis is for the City Council and City staff to maintain services at a level that is both accepted and effective for the community, and also to maintain control over the policy and management of these services.

It should be noted that the results presented in this report are not a precise measurement. In general a cost of service analysis takes a “snapshot in time”, where a fiscal year of adopted budgeted cost information is compared to the same fiscal year of revenue, and workload data available. Changes to the structure of fee names, along with the use of time estimates allow only for a reasonable projection of subsidies and revenue. Consequently, the Council and City staff should rely conservatively upon these estimates to gauge the impact of implementation going forward.

Discussion of each department / division’s results in the following chapters is intended as a summary of extensive and voluminous cost allocation documentation produced during the Study. Each chapter will include detailed cost calculation results for each department / division including the following:

- **Modifications or Issues:** discussions regarding any revisions to the current fee schedule, including elimination or addition of fees.
- **“Per Unit” Results:** comparison of the full cost of providing each unit of service to the current fee for each unit of service (where applicable).
- **Annualized Results:** utilizing volume of activity estimates annual subsidies and revenue impacts were projected.

The full analytical results associated with each department / division were provided to City staff under separate cover from this summary report.

5. BUILDING

The Building division of the Community Development Department is committed to safeguarding life, health, property and public welfare through the administration and enforcement of the uniform building codes and adopted City ordinances and policies.

Specifically, the Building division provides the following services:

- Plan review and permit issuance of all proposed construction to assure compliance with all state and local building codes.
- Explaining codes, ordinances, requirements and regulations that apply to individual building projects.
- Assisting the public with their concerns about public safety within their homes or places of business.
- Providing building inspection services for all privately funded development.

The fees included for examination in this study relate to plan review and inspection of buildings and structures within the City of Cupertino.

1. NEW CONSTRUCTION PLAN CHECK AND INSPECTION SERVICES

Currently, the City of Cupertino assesses new construction plan check and inspection fees based upon square footage, occupancy, and construction type. The last fee study was done in 2007, which converted the City from a valuation based fee schedule to a square-footage based fee schedule. While the City has updated its building fees annually based upon a CPI and / or COLA they had not accounted for changes in processes and permitting systems. The project team worked with staff to alter time estimates for all building fees to better reflect current building division processes and requirements. The attachment to this report shows the new Plan Check and Inspection Fee Schedule for New Construction.

2. MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, AND PLUMBING PERMITS

The City of Cupertino currently charges flat fees for all of its mechanical, electrical, and plumbing permits. Similar to new construction, the project team worked with staff to revise the time estimates associated with plan check, inspection, and issuance of these permits. Based upon a per unit analysis, the division is recovering on average approximately 89% of its costs related to these services. The complete Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing Fee analysis is attached to this report.

3. MISCELLANEOUS BUILDING SERVICES

In addition to New Construction and Mechanical, Electrical, and Plumbing Permits, the City of Cupertino also processes additional building services by charging a flat fee. These miscellaneous services include remodels, additions, reroofing, swimming pools, etc. Similar to other building services, the project team to staff to revise time estimates where appropriate to account for changes in processes. For example, currently there was a huge difference in fees for remodels where if someone had a remodel at 999 square feet they were a charged significantly lower fee than if their remodel was 1,000 square feet. Due to changes in requirements and processes the plan check and inspection time estimates for this service was revised down. Overall, on a per unit basis miscellaneous fees on average are recovering approximately 136%. The complete miscellaneous fee analysis is provided in the appendix to this report.

6. ENGINEERING (PUBLIC WORKS)

The Engineering division of the Public Works Department is responsible for reviewing plans and applications for private developments to ensure conformance with City standards, including work related to onsite grading and drainage operations. Additionally, the division issues encroachment permits and conducts inspections of any work within the Public Right of Way. The fees examined within this study relate to Parcel Maps, Development Inspections, Grading Permits, Street Cuts, Block Parties, and other fees associated with tasks performed by the Engineering Division.

1. FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

There were several modifications made to the Public Works Fee Schedule, which included eliminating fees and developing new ranges for current fee categories. The Division eliminated Pool Permit under Encroachment Permits, as this process has been incorporated into other permitting processes. Similarly, the Division also eliminated Comprehensive Neighborhood Traffic Requests from its Traffic Fees schedule, as this service is no longer provided.

The Division expanded certain fee categories to account for differences in service levels. Engineering staff expanded the Rural / Semi-Rural Application from one fee into two separate fees to account for two different processes – application and implementation. This same breakout was also applied to the Permit Parking Study. The thought process behind this was that the application portion would cover staff time related to reviewing the application without any implementation happening and as such if the applicant decided to withdraw their application without implementing a parking

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

permit zone then the department would at least to be able to recover for the application review process. Additionally, the Vacation Street of Right-of-Way fees were expanded to account for two different vacation processes – Summary & Full.

During discussions with Engineering Staff the decision was made to alter the current structure of Grading Permits as they are currently based on either a flat fee or percentage of improvement cost. Staff identified developing two new ranges: Less than 10,000 square feet, and greater than 10,000 square feet. The first range will be flat fee, while there will be a deposit or percentage applied for the second range. Lastly, the fee schedule was also modified to include an “Additional Plan Review” fee that will be applied to each subsequent plan review from three reviews and beyond, to be consistent with other development services fee schedules.

2. DETAILED RESULTS

The following table details the current fee charged for each fee category, the total cost calculated through this study, and the resulting surplus or deficit.

Fee Name	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit ⁵	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
ENGINEERING FEES			
Banners:			
Large Across Stevens Creek Blvd	\$412	\$449	\$(37)
Small - on light poles (20 poles)	\$811	\$1,139	\$(328)
Certificates of Compliance	\$1,540	\$2,461	\$(921)
Certificates of Correction	\$169	\$703	\$(534)
Encroachment Permits:			
Minor Utility Permit	\$263	\$272	\$(9)
Minor	\$263	\$394	\$(131)
Major	\$525	\$678	\$(153)
Work Without Permit – 2x original permit cost (fine)			
Grading Permit			
<10,000 s.f. lot	\$2,618	\$838	\$1,780
10,000 s.f. - 1 Acre Lot or Hillside	\$2,618	\$2,825	\$(207)
1+ Acre Lot - based on scope	\$-	\$-	\$-
Lot Line Adjustment	\$3,012	\$2,477	\$535

⁵ If there is no cost in this column it is because it is a deposit-based fee, a fine, or a pass-through cost and was not assessed as part of this study. All deposit-based fees are assessed on scope.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

Fee Name	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit ⁵	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Parcel Map / Tract Map (Map Checking Fees):			
Parcel Map (1-4 lots)	\$4,254	\$4,973	\$(719)
Tract Map (>4 lots)	\$8,831	\$8,165	\$666
Plan Check & Inspection:			
Review of building permit only	\$655	\$741	\$(86)
Review of Public / Private Improvement Plans:	\$-	\$-	\$-
Residential - greater of \$2,788 or 5%	\$2,788	\$3,349	\$(561)
Commercial - Greater of \$4,498 or 6%	\$4,498	\$6,266	\$(1,768)
Additional Plan Review - beyond 3 reviews - New	\$-	\$165	\$(165)
Public Works Confirmation	\$282	\$329	\$(47)
Rural / Semi-Rural Application:			
Application	\$771	\$1,533	\$(762)
Implementation - New	\$-	\$938	\$(938)
Storm Management Plan Fee	\$715	\$1,208	\$(493)
Stormwater Inspections: (Commercial)			
Initial Inspection – No charge	\$0	\$-	\$-
Re-inspection for Violations	\$103	\$240	\$(137)
Streamside Modification Permit	\$409	\$301	\$108
Street Cuts:			
Minor Street Cuts	\$655	\$1,086	\$(431)
Major Street Cuts	\$1,703	\$2,192	\$(489)
Special Major Street Cuts – based on scope	\$-	\$-	\$-
Vacation of Street of Right-of-Way			
Summary	\$603	\$1,882	\$(1,279)
Full - New	\$603	\$2,927	\$(2,324)
TRAFFIC FEES			
Block Party	\$-	\$533	\$(533)
Permit Parking Study			
Application	\$1,128	\$938	\$190
Implementation - New	\$-	\$767	\$(767)
Parking Permit Fee - New	\$-	\$4	\$(4)
Special Events & Parade	\$1,400	\$2,754	\$(1,354)
Additional Engineering Investigation or Coordination	\$60	\$165	\$(105)

The table above shows that Engineering is under-recovering for the majority of its fees, and this under-recovery ranges from a low of \$9 to a high of \$2,324. There are some fees for which the division is over-recovering; however, this over-recovery ranges from a low of \$108 to a high of \$1,780. The over-recovery shown for Grading Permits for projects less than 10,000 square feet is one of the reasons that the Division has requested additional ranges be added to the fee schedule to better capture the level of effort in performing plan review and inspection services for those types of grading permits.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

3. REVENUE IMPACTS

The division's cost recovery level of 83% is fairly typical of other Engineering divisions / departments. The following table shows the volume associated with each fee category, the current revenue, projected annual cost, and associated annual deficit.

Fee Name	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
ENGINEERING FEES				
Encroachment Permits:				
Minor Utility Permit				
Minor	180	\$47,340	\$70,981	\$(23,641)
Major	38	\$19,950	\$25,757	\$(5,807)
Work Without Permit				
Grading Permit				
<10,000 s.f. lot	9	\$23,562	\$7,544	\$16,018
10,000 s.f. - 1 Acre Lot or Hillside				
1+ Acre Lot				
Lot Line Adjustment	4	\$12,048	\$9,908	\$2,140
Parcel Map / Tract Map (Map Checking Fees):				
Parcel Map (1-4 lots)	3	\$12,762	\$14,919	\$(2,157)
Tract Map (>4 lots)				
Plan Check & Inspection:				
Review of building permit only	430	\$281,650	\$318,762	\$(37,112)
Streamside Modification Permit	10	\$4,090	\$3,012	\$1,078
Street Cuts:				
Minor Street Cuts	62	\$40,610	\$67,311	\$(26,701)
Major Street Cuts	50	\$85,150	\$109,621	\$(24,471)
Special Major Street Cuts				
Vacation of Street of Right-of-Way				
Summary	1	\$603	\$1,882	\$(1,279)
Full				
TRAFFIC FEES				
Parking Permit Fee	1,179		\$4,824	\$(4,824)
TOTAL		\$527,765	\$634,520	\$(106,755)

As shown in the table above, the City is currently subsidizing its engineering fees by approximately \$107,000. The largest subsidy is associated with review of building permits, followed by the minor street cuts, major street cuts, and minor encroachment permits. The current per unit subsidy for review of building permits is only \$86 per unit, but due to the high volume of building permits this translate to an annual subsidy of \$37,000. If the city were to raise the review of building permits fees to full cost, it could

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

cut its subsidy by \$37,000 and increase its cost recovery from 83% to 89%. Additionally, the table above also shows that currently the city is subsidizing the parking permit program annually by approximately \$4,800, which translates to about \$4 per parking permit. If the City chose to charge a parking permit fee, it could either charge \$4 per year or \$8 per two years (when it issues the permit) to recover the material and staff costs associated with managing and issuing parking permits.

7. GENERAL FEES

Several of the fees that were included in the fee study did not belong to a specific department or division and were included as part of the General Fee Schedule. As the study evaluated all fees for service, business license applications, database requests, code enforcement permits, and other City Clerk services were included as part of the study.

1. MODIFICATIONS TO THE FEE SCHEDULE

Similar to other Departments / Divisions, there were several modifications made to the General Services Fee Schedule. These modifications primarily consisted of eliminating fees that are either no longer relevant to the City or represent outdated processes. The following points list all of the fees that have been eliminated from the General Services fee schedule:

- Budget Documents – CD Only
- CAFR – CD Only
- Massage (all therapist related fees)
- VHS & Audio Cassette fees in Video & Audio Reproduction

For the first two fees listed above, both of those documents are available on the City's website, as such, staff decided that there was no need to charge citizens for reproducing the document as they would simply direct them to the website. In regards to the Massage Therapist fees, the State currently licenses all Massage Therapists and the city no longer provides that permitting service; neither does it have the staffing qualifications or capabilities to provide that service. Therefore all of those fees were

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

eliminated. Lastly, VHS & Audio Cassettes were eliminated from the fee schedule as this technology is outdated and even if requested by a citizen the City would not be able to reproduce video or audio documentation on those forms of media.

2. BUSINESS LICENSE FEES

A cost of service study does not typically evaluate business licenses itself as that is a tax; however, certain processes associated with business licenses, such as printing databases, issuing duplicating business licenses, processing false alarm notifications, and issuing new business monthly reports. The following table shows the current fee category, the current fee charged, the total cost per unit, and the associated surplus / deficit.

Fee Name	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Business License Database	\$114	\$19	\$95
Duplicate Business Licenses	\$30	\$10	\$20
False Alarms	\$164	\$76	\$88
New Business Monthly Reports	\$24	\$342	\$(318)

As the table above shows that except for one fee category, this set of fees is over-recovering for all other fees. The difference in fees is due to changes in processes since the last time the fee was assessed, as now there is a system in place for issuing duplicate business licenses and developing the monthly reports and databases.

Currently, business license fees are annually recovering approximately 205% of its costs; however, this percentage only reflects an over-recovery of \$5,000. The table on the following page shows the fee category, the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total cost, and the associated annual surplus / deficit with each business license fee-for-service included in the study.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

Fee Name	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Business License Database	2	\$228	\$38	\$190
Duplicate Business Licenses	40	\$1,200	\$380	\$820
False Alarms	50	\$8,200	\$3,801	\$4,399
New Business Monthly Reports	20	\$483	\$703	\$(220)
TOTAL		\$10,111	\$4,922	\$5,189

As the table above shows the primary source of over-recovery for business licenses is associated with False Alarms. Typically in many jurisdictions a false alarm fine is imposed rather than a fee, as it is only applied after the first or second false alarm. As it is not a fee-for-service it is not held to the same limitations and requirements as printing a duplicate business license. The cost in this table just provides the City with the knowledge that their current fine accounts for beyond the cost associated with processing and generating false alarm notification letters.

3. CITY CLERK FEES

There are two fee categories on the General Services Fee Schedules for which the City Clerk charges fees that are not either County or State set fees. These two fee categories relate to providing documents to citizens or for processing petitions for reconsideration.

The current fee schedule for providing video and / or documentation to citizens is through a VHS, DVD, CD, or Audio Cassette. As the technology associated with VHS and Audio Cassettes is outdated the city has decided to remove these two fees and replace it with a USB / Flashdrive category. Additionally, currently the City does not charge material costs associated with providing DVDs or CDs. Therefore, the project team suggested that the City consider either incorporating the material costs directly into the fee or adding a plus materials cost designation to the fee. The following table

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

presents the current / proposed fee categories, the current fee, the total cost per unit, and the associated surplus / deficit.

Fee Name	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit – Staff Time Only	Total Cost Per Unit (Including Materials)	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Flash Drive	\$0	\$37	\$49	\$(49)
CD	\$13	\$37	\$37.50	\$(24.50)
DVD	\$22	\$37	\$38.50	\$(25.50)

As the table above shows the City Clerk is under-recovering for audio / video reproduction services, not only in terms of material costs but also in terms of staff time. Depending upon the volume of these audio / video reproduction requests the City should not only consider raising the base fee but also charging material costs, especially as it relates to flash drives.

In addition to audio / video reproduction services, the City Clerk's office is also the primary starting point for the petitions for reconsideration application. This application is / can be routed to multiple departments for an initial review and can then require additional meetings and reviews. During discussions with City staff it was decided that this fee was meant to not only account for the intake and routing of the application by the City Clerk's department but also review of the application and handling by other departments. Currently, the City charges approximately \$273 for each petition, whereas the total cost of providing that service is \$288. It is the project teams recommendation that the City increase the current fee by \$15 to its full cost to account for staff time in reviewing these petitions.

4. CODE ENFORCEMENT FEES

The last set of fees includes as part of the study on the General Services Fee Schedule related to Code Enforcement. Code Enforcement is involved with inspecting

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

and issuing permits related to handbills, massage establishments, sign removals, solicitor permits, use permits, trash fees, and bingo permits. The following table lists the current fee category, the current fee, the total cost per unit and the associated surplus / (deficit):

Fee Name	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit)
Handbill Permit - Initial	\$67	\$124	\$(57)
Renewals	\$34	\$62	\$(28)
Massage Establishment Fee (fingerprinting / background check / initial inspection)	\$378	\$278	\$100
Renewal	\$97	\$93	\$4
Massage Managing Employee (fingerprinting & background check)	\$313	\$247	\$66
Renewals	\$65	\$93	\$(28)
Massage Permit Appeal	\$168	\$618	\$(450)
Sign Removal - Public Right of Way	\$5	\$93	\$(88)
Sign Recovery Fee - Political Signs	\$3	\$124	\$(121)
Solicitor Permit - Initial	\$331	\$247	\$84
Renewal	\$199	\$62	\$137
Taxi Driver Permit - Initial	\$331	\$494	\$(163)
Renewal	\$199	\$62	\$137
Use Permit - Initial			
Application / Processing	\$-	\$1,004	\$(1,004)
Non-Conforming Use	\$-	\$268	\$(268)
Trash Fees - Initial			
Plan Review - Trash Enclosure	\$-	\$332	\$(332)
Plan Review - Trash Management Plan	\$-	\$483	\$(483)
Bingo Permit	\$-	\$131	\$(131)

As shown in the table above, Code Enforcement is under-recovering for the majority of its fees on a per unit basis. The under-recovery ranges from a low of \$28 to a high of \$1,004. However, there are several fees for which Code Enforcement is over-recovering its costs, but that over-recovery ranges from a low of \$4 to a high of \$137. Additionally, there are some fees shown in the table above that do not have a current fee as while these services are being provided by Code Enforcement staff there is no fee being charged for those services. These services are related to Use Permits, Trash Fees, and Bingo Permits. As significant staff time is being spent on these efforts the City should consider adding these fees to its fee schedule.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

Based upon volume information provided by Code enforcement, the division is annually under-recovering its costs by \$9,000, or recovering 62% of its fee-related costs. The following table shows the current fee categories, the annual volume, the revenue at current fee, the total annual cost, and the associated annual surplus / deficit.

Fee Name	Annual Volume	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit)
Handbill Permit - Initial	16	\$1,072	\$1,977	\$(905)
Renewals				
Massage Establishment Fee (fingerprinting / background check / initial inspection)	10	\$3,780	\$2,780	\$1,000
Renewal				
Solicitor Permit - Initial	18	\$5,958	\$4,449	\$1,509
Renewal	19	\$3,781	\$1,174	\$2,607
Taxi Driver Permit - Initial				
Renewal	-	\$-	\$-	\$-
Use Permit - Initial				
Application / Processing	4	\$-	\$4,016	\$(4,016)
Non-Conforming Use	3	\$-	\$803	\$(803)
Trash Fees - Initial				
Plan Review - Trash Enclosure	12	\$-	\$3,985	\$(3,985)
Plan Review - Trash Management Plan	8	\$-	\$3,862	\$(3,862)
Bingo Permit	4	\$-	\$525	\$(525)
TOTAL		\$14,591	\$23,572	\$(8,981)

As the table above shows, the largest source of annual under-recovery is related to renewal of Solicitor Permits and to other permitting services that are not currently being charged by the City. If the City decided to start charging for these services it could recover up to \$13,000 in revenue annually for these services.

5. COMMUNITY FESTIVAL PROGRAM

As part of the General Services fee schedule, the project team also evaluated the cost associated with community festivals. Currently, the City puts on one major community festival – Earth Day festival. While this is a one-day festival there is significant amount of staff time that is devoted for prepping for the festival, for setting up the festival, managing the festival, and breaking the festival down on the day of the festival. The project team worked with City staff to identify staff and material costs

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

associated with the Earth Day festival to cost out this program and service to the Community. The following table shows the total cost of setting up and managing the Earth Day Festival:

Category	Amount
Staffing Costs	\$30,624
Material Costs	\$3,000
TOTAL PROGRAM COST	\$33,624

As shown in the table above the total program cost for the Earth Day Festival is approximately \$33,600. As this festival is primarily comprised of vendors, the project team worked with staff to identify the total number of vendors to come up with a per vendor cost for the program. Staff identified that over the past several years the event has grown to include approximately 100 vendors, therefore the cost per vendor is approximately \$336.

Due to the nature of the festival being about promoting environmental and sustainable practices, staff expressed the desire to not charge non-profit vendors or vendors complying with environmental standards and practices. Therefore, the project team recommends that should the City choose to charge other vendors, it should charge the full cost of \$336 per vendor.

8. PLANNING

The Planning Division is responsible for administering all required review and evaluation processes for proposed land use projects. The Department also serves to maintain the goals and objectives of the City's General Plan and Zoning Ordinance. The fees examined within this study relate to Use Permits, Architectural and Site Approvals, Design Review, Variances, and other planning fees.

1. FEE SCHEDULE MODIFICATIONS

Following discussion with division staff, some changes were made to the fee schedules. These changes included expanding fee categories and adding a new fee. Planning broke out the current Heart of the City / Hillside exception fee into two separate fees – Heart of the City Exception and Hillside Exception – in order to account for changes in the processing of those exceptions. Similarly, staff also expanded the current Appeals fee into two separate categories – Appeals to Planning Commission and Appeals to City Council. These new categories allow staff to capture the time and different processes associated with appealing to the two different decision-making bodies.

Lastly, Planning Division has also added a noticing fee. This noticing fee is meant to capture staff and material costs associated with the noticing process. Currently, this fee is charged as a deposit, but staff mentioned that for ease of applicants and staff it would be better to transition this to a flat fee.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study**2. DETAILED RESULTS**

The following table details the total cost per unit for each fee category, the current fee charged, the total cost calculated through this study, and the resulting surplus or deficit.

Fee Name	Current Fee	Total Cost Per Unit	Surplus / (Deficit) per Unit
Tentative Parcel Map (Five or More)	\$15,974	\$21,179	\$(5,205)
Parcel Map (0-4)	\$7,461	\$12,732	\$(5,271)
Use Permit / Development - Major	\$15,974	\$21,343	\$(5,369)
Use Permit / Development - Minor	\$7,461	\$12,809	\$(5,348)
Amendment to Use Permit / Development Permit - Major	\$7,988	\$10,746	\$(2,758)
Amendment to Use Permit / Development Permit - Minor	\$3,730	\$5,884	\$(2,154)
Architectural & Site Approval - Major	\$7,461	\$13,189	\$(5,728)
Architectural & Site Approval - Minor	\$3,609	\$8,862	\$(5,253)
Architectural & Site Approval - Minor Duplex / Residential	\$3,609	\$5,768	\$(2,159)
Planning Commission Interpretation	\$3,649	\$4,762	\$(1,113)
Exception	\$2,813	\$4,509	\$(1,696)
Heart of the City Exception	\$7,077	\$13,063	\$(5,986)
Hillside Exception	\$7,077	\$13,539	\$(6,462)
R-1 Design Review	\$2,400	\$3,910	\$(1,510)
R-1 No Design Review	\$2,400	\$3,271	\$(871)
R-1 Exception	\$2,694	\$4,673	\$(1,979)
Minor Residential Permit	\$1,206	\$2,311	\$(1,105)
Env. Impact Report (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	\$27,948		\$27,948
Categorical Exemption (Plus County Filing Fee)	\$250	\$230	\$20
Sign Exception	\$2,108	\$3,290	\$(1,182)
Fence Exception	\$716	\$2,891	\$(2,175)
Variance	\$2,813	\$5,167	\$(2,354)
Director - Minor Modification	\$1,259	\$3,156	\$(1,897)
Conditional Use Permit - Administrative	\$3,730	\$4,676	\$(946)
Reasonable Accommodation			
First Tree	\$180	\$1,362	\$(1,182)
Each Additional Tree	\$92	\$160	\$(68)
Tree Management Plan	\$1,259	\$4,702	\$(3,443)
Heritage Tree Designation	\$107	\$2,630	\$(2,523)
Temporary Use Permit	\$1,439	\$2,824	\$(1,385)
Temporary Sign Permit	\$211	\$319	\$(108)
Sign Program	\$721	\$2,582	\$(1,861)
Appeals			
Planning Commission	\$182	\$7,834	\$(7,652)
City Council	\$182	\$8,239	\$(8,057)
Zoning Verification Letter	\$190	\$338	\$(148)
Public Convenience & Necessity Letter	\$190	\$169	\$21
Noticing Fee		\$368	\$(368)

The table above shows that Planning is under-recovering for the majority of its fees, and these under-recoveries ranges from a low of \$68 to a high of \$8,057. There

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

are only two fees for which the division is over-recovering its costs; however this over-recovery is only \$20 and \$21 respectively. While many of these fees show a large under-recovery on a per unit basis, in order of the division to make decisions about raising its fees it should look at the fees with the highest volume or workload.

3. REVENUE IMPACTS

Overall, the Planning Division is currently maintaining a cost recovery level of 51% and is under-recovering its costs by \$501,000. The following table shows the volume associated with each fee category, the current revenue, projected annual cost, and associated annual deficit.

Fee Name	Annual Volume	Revenue at Current Fee	Total Annual Cost	Annual Surplus / (Deficit)
Use Permit / Development - Major	3	\$47,922	\$64,030	\$(16,108)
Use Permit / Development - Minor	4	\$29,844	\$51,235	\$(21,391)
Amendment to Use Permit / Development Permit - Major	1	\$7,988	\$10,746	\$(2,758)
Amendment to Use Permit / Development Permit - Minor	1	\$3,730	\$5,884	\$(2,154)
Architectural and Site Approval - Major	13	\$96,993	\$171,460	\$(74,467)
Architectural and Site Approval - Minor	13	\$46,917	\$115,200	\$(68,283)
Exception	7	\$19,691	\$31,561	\$(11,870)
R1 Design Review	56	\$134,400	\$218,962	\$(84,562)
Minor Residential Permit	46	\$55,476	\$106,321	\$(50,845)
Sign Exception	4	\$8,432	\$13,159	\$(4,727)
Fence Exception	3	\$2,148	\$8,673	\$(6,525)
Director - Minor Modification	36	\$45,324	\$113,602	\$(68,278)
Tree Removal Permit				
First Tree	64	\$11,520	\$87,171	\$(75,651)
Tree Management Plan	1	\$1,259	\$4,702	\$(3,443)
Temporary Use Permit	2	\$2,878	\$5,648	\$(2,770)
Sign Program	4	\$2,884	\$10,329	\$(7,445)
TOTAL		\$517,406	\$1,018,683	\$(501,277)

As the table above shows the majority of the division's under-recovery is associated with R1- Design Review, Removal of First Tree, and Architectural Major Site Approval. These three applications alone account for approximately 47% of the division's under-recovery. This is due to the large amount of volume in those

applications. However, it is important to note that the under-recovery associated with the tree removal permit might be slightly overstated as all of the volume was coded to the removal of the first tree, as there is currently no tracking mechanism that breaks out first tree vs. each additional tree. These high annual subsidies are associated with the large per unit subsidies. As previously discussed the division should focus on some of these high volume permits like R1 Design Review, Minor Residential and Director-Minor Modification permits when considering raising fees.

9. RECREATION SERVICES

The Recreation Services Department strives to enhance the leisure lifestyle and quality of life of both residents of and visitors to the City by providing affordable, fun, integrated, and safe recreational activities for people of all ages and abilities. The Department organizes, markets, and oversees recreation and leisure services in a variety of programs, including a Sports Center, Senior Programs, Youth and Teen activities and classes, trips, facility rentals, and other recreation activities. The following subsections provide a brief introduction to Recreation Services fees and costs assessed in the study, the cost recovery on each division level, and a discussion of facility rentals.

1. BACKGROUND & INTRODUCTION

Recreation fees are historically market driven, as residents have a choice between utilizing programs offered in their own city or those of a neighboring city. Grants, special funding, or general fund subsidies often offset programs and services provided by Recreation departments in order to ensure that all citizens have equal opportunity and choice of participation. Recreation fees were not assessed in this study, as they are not subject to the same regulations and guidelines as other user fees.

Currently, the Cupertino Recreation & Community Services Departments sets and updates its fees internally on a seasonal basis. The primary methodology used for fee-setting is to determine the demand of a program based upon participation, conducting market surveys, and determining the benefit of the program to the community. These are typically the most important components of determining parks and recreation fees. Additionally, each division and program supervisor sets fees for

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

each program prior to implementing the program; ensuring that all of these various factors are considered prior to launching any program.

While the project team did not assess individual program fees as part of this study, it did review the cost recovery associated with each Recreation Services Division, and the department as a whole. The Recreation Department is recovering approximately 55% of its direct costs, which is above the average, 20-40% seen in other jurisdictions. This cost recovery percentage equates to an annual subsidy of approximately \$4.7 million. In order to assess each program's recovery level, the project team compared fiscal year 15/16 budgeted expenditures with fiscal year 14/15 actual revenue to assess the direct cost recovery. The following table shows program expenditures, revenue and cost recovery for Recreation & Community Services division.

Division	Program	FY 15 Revenue	FY 16 Budget	Cost Recovery %
60	Parks & Recreation	\$44,295	\$500,595	9%
61	Facilities & Community Events	\$383,485	\$1,032,269	37%
62	Youth & Teen Programs	\$2,004,659	\$3,877,135	52%
63	Sports & Fitness	\$2,566,662	\$3,030,393	85%
64	Senior Programs	\$712,460	\$1,923,704	37%
65	Community Services	\$7,608	\$79,479	10%
TOTAL		\$5,719,171	\$10,443,575	55%

As the table shows above that Parks & Recreation, which primarily consists of Leadership, Administration, and parks planning has the lowest cost recovery. The revenue in this section is related to providing training opportunities for growing leaders within the Cupertino community. It is not a fee-based service that is being provided to citizens but rather a special program. Similarly, the Community Services program (Division 65) is also providing emergency preparedness training and information to the citizens of Cupertino.

In terms of actual fee-for-service programs and facility rentals the Department is

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

recovering approximately 57% of its costs. The highest cost recovery is associated with sports and fitness and youth and teen programs; while the lowest cost recovery is related to senior programs and facilities and community events.

It is important to note that the cost recovery presented in the table on the previous page only incorporates direct budgeted expenditures for Recreation & Community Services. However, there are indirect costs associated with managing all of the different divisions and programs for Recreation & Community Services. The following table shows the cost recovery percentages on a division level based upon comparing FY 14/15 Actual Revenue to FY 15/16 Direct and Indirect Expenditures.

Division	Program	FY 15 Revenue	Direct + Indirect Costs	Cost Recovery %
60	Parks & Recreation	\$44,295	\$564,232	8%
61	Facilities & Community Events	\$383,485	\$1,910,295	20%
62	Youth & Teen Programs	\$2,004,659	\$4,736,604	42%
63	Sports & Fitness	\$2,566,662	\$3,810,764	67%
64	Senior Programs	\$712,460	\$2,573,453	28%
65	Community Services	\$7,608	\$153,106	5%
TOTAL		\$5,719,171	\$13,748,454	42%

As the table above shows that incorporating the indirect costs associated with Recreation & Community Services decreases the cost recovery percentage from 55% to 42%. The 42% cost recovery is still slightly higher than the 20-40% cost recovery that is seen for many other jurisdictions. The annual subsidy for Recreation & Community services is \$8 million. The most drastic impact on cost recovery is for Youth & Teen Programs and Fitness Programs, which declined from 52% to 42% and 85% to 67% respectively. The following subsections provide further information regarding each of the fee-related services and programs.

(1.1) Facilities & Community Events

The Facilities & Community Events Division primarily oversees facility and park rentals for various Cupertino City Recreation Centers, Halls, and picnic facilities. This program also manages all special events for the city – Cupertino Day, Summer Concert Series, 4th of July, etc. Currently, the Facilities and Community Events division is recovering 37% of its costs, or providing an annual subsidy of \$649,000 in relation to its direct costs. However, once indirect costs are factored this division is only recovering 20% of its costs or providing an annual subsidy of \$1.5 million.

While the division charges rental fees to private citizens, a majority of its facilities are rented out and used by city Departments, the Library, or other non-profit entities. As such, the Division currently has a directive to not charge rental fees for those entities, and is unable to recover the costs associated with those rentals. This could help explain some of the subsidy.

(1.2) Youth & Teen Programs

The Youth And Teen Programs divisions oversees all of the recreation activities in the community offered to youth and teens, including summer camps, tutoring services, etc. Currently this program is subsidizing its activities by \$1.9 million in relation to direct costs, but this deficit increases to \$2.7 million when indirect costs are factored into the calculation. This annual subsidy reflects a cost recovery percentage of 42%.

However, it is important to note that this subsidy is for the overall program and not for individual activities. Certain premium activities such as Math Olympiad or Science Summer Camps are full cost recovery while other activities are subsidized to either foster participation or due to community benefit such as afterschool programs.

(1.3) Sports & Fitness Programs

Sports & Fitness Programs refers to not only all of the athletic programs offered by the community but also to the Sports Center membership offered by the City of Cupertino to its residents and non-residents.

Currently, this programming is recovering approximately 85% of its costs or subsidizing its costs annually by \$463,000 on a direct cost and revenue level. Incorporating indirect costs reduces its cost recovery to 67% and increases the annual subsidy to \$1.2 million. Similar to youth and teen programs certain programs such as the Sports Center is recovering all of its costs while other programs are being subsidized due to market demand and the burden that can be borne by the community for those services.

(1.4) Senior Programs

Senior Programs comprises of a senior center that is rented for community purposes when it is not being used for senior classes and events. Additionally, it also includes classes and activities, recreation programs, travel, socials, and volunteer opportunities for senior citizens within the community. The Case Management Program is also offered through senior programs, which allows a case manager to service any and all seniors that are members of the Cupertino Senior Center directly in their home. A portion of this program is funded through an aging grant.

Currently senior programs is recovering about 37% of its costs or providing an annual subsidy of \$1.2 million in regards to direct costs and expenditures. Factoring in indirect costs and support reduces the cost recovery to 28% or an annual subsidy of \$1.9 million. This cost recovery is pretty typical for senior services, and while some of its

programs such as trips and classes might be full cost recovery based, other services such as the Case Management Program are deliberately subsidized to provide benefit to the community.

2. FACILITY RENTALS

Currently, the City of Cupertino similar to other cities has a tiered system in relation to the use of its facilities; this means that depending on where an individual falls in the tier system their facility rental charge will vary. The following points highlight each of the six tiers currently in place:

- **Group I: City sponsored** activities and programs.
- **Group II: City co-sponsored clubs** or clubs with 51% resident memberships and recreation programs.
- **Group III: Cupertino Resident Non-Profit Organization**, or non-profit organizations with more than 51% of their membership comprising of Cupertino residents.
- **Group IV: Cupertino Residents / Cupertino Businesses** – private, special interest or business groups for functions that are not open to the public, but are hosted by either a Cupertino resident or a business that is located in Cupertino.
- **Group V: Non-Resident Non-Profit Organization** – or non-profit organization whose membership does not comprise of Cupertino Residents, but still meets the criteria of being a non-profit entity.
- **Group VI: Non-Residents / Non-Resident Business** – private, special interest, or business groups for functions that are not open to the public, but are hosted by either a non Cupertino Resident or a business not located in Cupertino.

As the points above indicate there are several different tiers in Cupertino, more tiers than are typically seen in other cities. Most other jurisdictions usually max out at five tiers, with the average being between three to four tiers. It is the project team's recommendation that the Department consider simplifying its tiered system, especially as it relates to Non-profit entities and organizations.

There are different mechanisms to simplify the tiered system as it relates to Non-profit entities. Other jurisdictions typically will only have one non-profit category and not specify whether the entity is a resident or non-resident. This type of tier, simplifies the process for staff as they no longer have to verify the level of membership of a non-profit entity as it relates to the number of Cupertino residents, but simply whether an organization qualifies as a non-profit or not.

Alternatively, the project team would recommend that if City staff would like to continue to keep the two separate non-profit tiers that these tiers and their criteria should be defined more clearly. Calculating 51% resident participation to determine residency status requires paperwork and documentation verification by Recreation staff. A different method or basis to qualify as a resident non-profit organization could be to utilize the physical address or location of the non-profit; i.e. if the non-profit is physically in Cupertino it qualifies as a Cupertino Non-Profit. Staff could ask for a utility bill or another form of documentation to verify residency as is done by staff for other categories already.

Both of these methods are valid and could result in either simplifying the tier system or more clearly defining certain groups and thereby the appropriate discounts those groups will receive. The project team recommends that Recreation & Community Services staff consider altering their current tiered system to be more transparent and clear; along with ensuring that the different tiers represent the different levels of benefit to the community.

3. SUMMARY

Overall, the project team recommends that the Recreation & Services Department continue its current best management practice of setting fees on an activity-by-activity basis. However, the recreation coordinators should ensure that for all services, programs, and activities the cost per participant incorporates the citywide overhead rate for Recreation & Community Services. This overhead rate is 32% for recreation & community services.

The overhead rate can be applied to direct costs to determine the total indirect costs for implementing any recreation activity. Incorporating the overhead rate into the calculation will allow the recreation coordinator to determine the subsidy / premium level of any activity being offered by the Department. Setting fees based upon direct, indirect costs, and market rates, allows the department with the flexibility to assess different cost recovery levels for different activities. For e.g. premium services might be set at full cost recovery, while senior and youth programs might be subsidized and only be set to recover a portion of direct and indirect costs.

This type of methodology is fairly typical for Parks & Recreation services and allows the Department / Division with the greatest flexibility in setting fees to ensure that the needs of their community are being addressed in an affordable manner.

10. COMPARATIVE MARKET SURVEY

As part of this Cost of Services (User Fee) Study for the City of Cupertino, the Matrix Consulting Group conducted a comparative survey of fees. The City identified six jurisdictions to be included in the comparative survey: Campbell, Milpitas, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Santa Clara, and Sunnyvale.

While this report will provide the City with a reasonable estimate and understanding of the true costs of providing services, many jurisdictions also wish to consider the local “market rates” for services as a means for assessing what types of changes in fee levels their community can bear. However, a comparative survey does not provide adequate or objective information regarding the relationship of a jurisdiction’s cost to its fees. Three important factors to consider when comparing fees across multiple jurisdictions are: population, operating budget, and size of workforce. The following tables provide statistical information regarding the jurisdictions included in the comparative survey.

Jurisdiction	2013 Census
Campbell	40,584
Cupertino	60,189
Palo Alto	66,642
Milpitas	69,783
Mountain View	77,846
Santa Clara	120,245
Sunnyvale	147,559

Jurisdiction	FY 15/16 Budget
Campbell	\$46,892,127
Cupertino	\$67,575,053
Milpitas	\$76,344,332
Mountain View	\$107,133,730
Sunnyvale	\$153,226,521
Santa Clara	\$182,488,000
Palo Alto	\$185,700,000

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
Cost of Services (User Fee) Study

Jurisdiction	FY 15/16 FTE
Campbell	164.30
Cupertino	180.75
Milpitas	328.25
Mountain View	590.50
Sunnyvale	877.00
Palo Alto	1,040.10
Santa Clara	1,054.50
Campbell	164.30

Based on the data shown in the above tables, the City of Cupertino ranks below average in regards to population, budget, and total staffing levels. In order to provide additional context, the project team also obtained cost recovery information for the three major fee-related services: Community Development (Building & Planning), Engineering, and Recreation Services. The following tables show the cost recovery percentages for each of the jurisdictions surveyed and how Cupertino ranks in comparison those jurisdictions.

Jurisdiction	Community Development Cost Recovery %
Cupertino	62%
Milpitas	69%
Mountain View	73%
Santa Clara	114%
Sunnyvale	115%
Campbell	139%
Palo Alto	200%

Jurisdiction	Engineering Cost Recovery %
Santa Clara	17%
Milpitas	30%
Campbell	32%
Cupertino	61%
Sunnyvale	73%
Mountain View	86%

Jurisdiction	Parks & Recreation Cost Recovery %
Santa Clara	9%
Palo Alto	17%
Mountain View	29%
Sunnyvale	38%
Campbell	50%
Milpitas	55%
Cupertino	55%

As the tables on the previous page show Cupertino has the lowest recovery percentage for Community Development Fees as compared to neighboring Cities, while its Engineering cost recovery is slightly above the average seen by other cities. However, Cupertino has the highest cost recovery percentage or the same level as Milpitas (55%) of all of the cities surveyed.

Along with keeping these statistics in mind, the following issues should also be noted regarding the use of market surveys in the setting of fees for service:

- Each jurisdiction and its fees are different, and many are not based on actual cost of providing services.
- The same “fee” with the same name may include more or less steps or sub-activities. In addition, jurisdictions provide varying levels of service and have varying levels of costs associated with providing services such as staffing levels, salary levels, indirect overhead costs, etc.

In addition to the issues noted above, market surveys can also run the risk of creating a confusing excess of data that will obscure rather than clarify policy issues. Because each jurisdiction is different, the Matrix Consulting Group recommends that the information contained in the market comparison of fees be used as a secondary decision-making tool, rather than a tool for establishing an acceptable price point for services.

The survey covered Building, Planning and Public Works fees. On average, the survey showed that the City’s fees are in line with the jurisdictions surveyed, with some fees higher than other cities and other fees significantly lower. The results of the survey are shown as an attachment to this report.

11. CONCLUSION

The City of Cupertino engaged the Matrix Consulting Group to determine the total cost of services provided to its citizens and businesses for fee related services. To calculate the total cost of each Department / Division's services, the Matrix Consulting Group employed both a widely accepted and defensible methodology, as well as the experience and input of City staff to complete the necessary data collection and discussion to complete the analysis. City leaders can now use this information to make informed decisions and set its fees to meet the fiscal and policy goal objectives of the City.

Overall, this Cost of Services Study concluded that the City under-recovers its costs by approximately \$1,377,000 year for its *fee-related* services. While the detailed documentation of the Study will show an over-collection in some departments / divisions and / or certain fees (on a per unit basis), and an undercharge for others, overall, the City is providing an annual subsidy to fee payers for all services included in the analysis.

The project team recommends the City lower fees that show an over-recovery in order to comply with state laws. For fees that show an under-recovery, the City should review all circumstances and policy factors and raise fees where feasible. For fees that the City chooses to subsidize, policies should be established to outline target recovery percentages.

PLAN CHECK – NEW CONSTRUCTION FEE SCHEDULE

New Construction - Plan Check Fees

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Building Division
Schedule of New Construction Fees
PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$6,427	\$42.2750	\$5,355	\$35.2292	\$4,284	\$28.1833
-	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$8,118	\$47.3500	\$6,765	\$39.4583	\$5,412	\$31.5667
-	-	10,000	\$10,485	\$37.2100	\$8,738	\$31.0083	\$6,990	\$24.8067
-	-	20,000	\$14,206	\$7.8967	\$11,838	\$6.5806	\$9,471	\$5.2644
-	-	50,000	\$16,575	\$8.1100	\$13,813	\$6.7583	\$11,050	\$5.4067
-	-	100,000	\$20,630	\$20.6300	\$17,192	\$17.1917	\$13,753	\$13.7533
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$4,344	\$114.3225	\$3,620	\$95.2688	\$2,896	\$76.2150
-	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$5,487	\$128.0250	\$4,573	\$106.6875	\$3,658	\$85.3500
-	-	2,500	\$7,088	\$100.5900	\$5,906	\$83.8250	\$4,725	\$67.0600
-	-	5,000	\$9,602	\$21.3450	\$8,002	\$17.7875	\$6,402	\$14.2300
-	-	12,500	\$11,203	\$21.9450	\$9,336	\$18.2875	\$7,469	\$14.6300
-	-	25,000	\$13,946	\$55.7850	\$11,622	\$46.4875	\$9,298	\$37.1900
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$8,144	\$53.5763	\$6,787	\$44.6469	\$5,429	\$35.7175
-	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$10,287	\$60.0000	\$8,573	\$50.0000	\$6,858	\$40.0000
-	-	10,000	\$13,287	\$47.1600	\$11,073	\$39.3000	\$8,858	\$31.4400
-	-	20,000	\$18,003	\$9.9900	\$15,003	\$8.3250	\$12,002	\$6.6600
-	-	50,000	\$21,000	\$10.2900	\$17,500	\$8.5750	\$14,000	\$6.8600
-	-	100,000	\$26,145	\$26.1450	\$21,788	\$21.7875	\$17,430	\$17.4300
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$4,960	\$65.2650	\$4,133	\$54.3875	\$3,307	\$43.5100
-	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$6,265	\$73.0800	\$5,221	\$60.9000	\$4,177	\$48.7200
-	-	5,000	\$8,092	\$57.4440	\$6,744	\$47.8700	\$5,395	\$38.2960
-	-	10,000	\$10,964	\$12.1840	\$9,137	\$10.1533	\$7,310	\$8.1227
-	-	25,000	\$12,792	\$12.5280	\$10,660	\$10.4400	\$8,528	\$8.3520
-	-	50,000	\$15,924	\$31.8480	\$13,270	\$26.5400	\$10,616	\$21.2320
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$5,248	\$69.0600	\$4,373	\$57.5500	\$3,499	\$46.0400
-	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$6,629	\$77.3400	\$5,524	\$64.4500	\$4,420	\$51.5600
-	-	5,000	\$8,563	\$60.7650	\$7,136	\$50.6375	\$5,709	\$40.5100
-	-	10,000	\$11,601	\$12.8850	\$9,668	\$10.7375	\$7,734	\$8.5900
-	-	25,000	\$13,534	\$13.2750	\$11,278	\$11.0625	\$9,023	\$8.8500
-	-	50,000	\$16,853	\$33.7050	\$14,044	\$28.0875	\$11,235	\$22.4700
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$3,304	\$43.4738	\$2,753	\$36.2281	\$2,203	\$28.9825
-	-	2,500	\$4,173	\$48.6750	\$3,478	\$40.5625	\$2,782	\$32.4500
-	-	5,000	\$5,390	\$38.2650	\$4,492	\$31.8875	\$3,594	\$25.5100
-	-	10,000	\$7,304	\$8.1100	\$6,086	\$6.7583	\$4,869	\$5.4067
-	-	25,000	\$8,520	\$8.3400	\$7,100	\$6.9500	\$5,680	\$5.5600
-	-	50,000	\$10,605	\$21.2100	\$8,838	\$17.6750	\$7,070	\$14.1400
B	Business—Bank	500	\$4,480	\$58.9388	\$3,733	\$49.1156	\$2,987	\$39.2925
-	-	2,500	\$5,659	\$66.0300	\$4,716	\$55.0250	\$3,773	\$44.0200
-	-	5,000	\$7,310	\$51.8700	\$6,091	\$43.2250	\$4,873	\$34.5800
-	-	10,000	\$9,903	\$11.0050	\$8,253	\$9.1708	\$6,602	\$7.3367
-	-	25,000	\$11,554	\$11.3250	\$9,628	\$9.4375	\$7,703	\$7.5500
-	-	50,000	\$14,385	\$28.7700	\$11,988	\$23.9750	\$9,590	\$19.1800
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$3,848	\$126.5738	\$3,207	\$105.4781	\$2,565	\$84.3825
-	-	1,000	\$4,860	\$141.7650	\$4,050	\$118.1375	\$3,240	\$94.5100
-	-	2,000	\$6,278	\$111.3750	\$5,232	\$92.8125	\$4,185	\$74.2500
-	-	4,000	\$8,506	\$23.6400	\$7,088	\$19.7000	\$5,670	\$15.7600
-	-	10,000	\$9,924	\$24.3000	\$8,270	\$20.2500	\$6,616	\$16.2000
-	-	20,000	\$12,354	\$61.7700	\$10,295	\$51.4750	\$8,236	\$41.1800
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$4,616	\$60.7275	\$3,847	\$50.6063	\$3,077	\$40.4850
-	-	2,500	\$5,831	\$68.0400	\$4,859	\$56.7000	\$3,887	\$45.3600
-	-	5,000	\$7,532	\$53.4300	\$6,276	\$44.5250	\$5,021	\$35.6200
-	-	10,000	\$10,203	\$11.3300	\$8,503	\$9.4417	\$6,802	\$7.5533
-	-	25,000	\$11,903	\$11.6700	\$9,919	\$9.7250	\$7,935	\$7.7800
-	-	50,000	\$14,820	\$29.6400	\$12,350	\$24.7000	\$9,880	\$19.7600
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$5,097	\$49.7663	\$4,247	\$41.4719	\$3,398	\$33.1775
-	-	5,000	\$7,088	\$42.8100	\$5,906	\$35.6750	\$4,725	\$28.5400
-	-	10,000	\$9,228	\$30.2700	\$7,690	\$25.2250	\$6,152	\$20.1800
-	-	20,000	\$12,255	\$7.1500	\$10,213	\$5.9583	\$8,170	\$4.7667
-	-	50,000	\$14,400	\$7.6800	\$12,000	\$6.4000	\$9,600	\$5.1200
-	-	100,000	\$18,240	\$18.2400	\$15,200	\$15.2000	\$12,160	\$12.1600

New Construction - Plan Check Fees

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$3,534	\$77.4900	\$2,945	\$64.5750	\$2,356	\$51.6600
-	-	1,500	\$4,464	\$86.7900	\$3,720	\$72.3250	\$2,976	\$57.8600
-	-	3,000	\$5,765	\$68.1900	\$4,805	\$56.8250	\$3,844	\$45.4600
-	-	6,000	\$7,811	\$14.4600	\$6,509	\$12.0500	\$5,207	\$9.6400
-	-	15,000	\$9,113	\$14.8800	\$7,594	\$12.4000	\$6,075	\$9.9200
-	-	30,000	\$11,345	\$37.8150	\$9,454	\$31.5125	\$7,563	\$25.2100
E	Educational— Preschool / School	100	\$3,804	\$250.2563	\$3,170	\$208.5469	\$2,536	\$166.8375
-	-	500	\$4,805	\$280.2600	\$4,004	\$233.5500	\$3,203	\$186.8400
-	-	1,000	\$6,206	\$220.2300	\$5,172	\$183.5250	\$4,137	\$146.8200
-	-	2,000	\$8,408	\$46.7200	\$7,007	\$38.9333	\$5,606	\$31.1467
-	-	5,000	\$9,810	\$48.0300	\$8,175	\$40.0250	\$6,540	\$32.0200
-	-	10,000	\$12,212	\$122.1150	\$10,176	\$101.7625	\$8,141	\$81.4100
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$2,988	\$196.5675	\$2,490	\$163.8063	\$1,992	\$131.0450
-	-	500	\$3,774	\$220.1700	\$3,145	\$183.4750	\$2,516	\$146.7800
-	-	1,000	\$4,875	\$172.9800	\$4,063	\$144.1500	\$3,250	\$115.3200
-	-	2,000	\$6,605	\$36.6900	\$5,504	\$30.5750	\$4,403	\$24.4600
-	-	5,000	\$7,706	\$37.7400	\$6,421	\$31.4500	\$5,137	\$25.1600
-	-	10,000	\$9,593	\$95.9250	\$7,994	\$79.9375	\$6,395	\$63.9500
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$4,668	\$13.3170	\$3,890	\$11.0975	\$3,112	\$8.8780
-	-	5,000	\$5,200	\$21.3000	\$4,334	\$17.7500	\$3,467	\$14.2000
-	-	10,000	\$6,265	\$23.0760	\$5,221	\$19.2300	\$4,177	\$15.3840
-	-	20,000	\$8,573	\$3.5440	\$7,144	\$2.9533	\$5,715	\$2.3627
-	-	50,000	\$9,636	\$2.1360	\$8,030	\$1.7800	\$6,424	\$1.4240
-	-	100,000	\$10,704	\$10.7040	\$8,920	\$8.9200	\$7,136	\$7.1360
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$4,800	\$31.5825	\$4,000	\$26.3188	\$3,200	\$21.0550
-	-	5,000	\$6,063	\$35.3700	\$5,053	\$29.4750	\$4,042	\$23.5800
-	-	10,000	\$7,832	\$27.7950	\$6,526	\$23.1625	\$5,221	\$18.5300
-	-	20,000	\$10,611	\$5.8800	\$8,843	\$4.9000	\$7,074	\$3.9200
-	-	50,000	\$12,375	\$6.0600	\$10,313	\$5.0500	\$8,250	\$4.0400
-	-	100,000	\$15,405	\$15.4050	\$12,838	\$12.8375	\$10,270	\$10.2700
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$3,513	\$23.1180	\$2,928	\$19.2650	\$2,342	\$15.4120
-	-	5,000	\$4,438	\$25.8840	\$3,699	\$21.5700	\$2,959	\$17.2560
-	-	10,000	\$5,732	\$20.3400	\$4,777	\$16.9500	\$3,822	\$13.5600
-	-	20,000	\$7,766	\$4.3120	\$6,472	\$3.5933	\$5,178	\$2.8747
-	-	50,000	\$9,060	\$4.4400	\$7,550	\$3.7000	\$6,040	\$2.9600
-	-	100,000	\$11,280	\$11.2800	\$9,400	\$9.4000	\$7,520	\$7.5200
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$3,562	\$234.3650	\$2,969	\$195.3042	\$2,375	\$156.2433
-	-	500	\$4,500	\$262.5000	\$3,750	\$218.7500	\$3,000	\$175.0000
-	-	1,000	\$5,812	\$206.2400	\$4,844	\$171.8667	\$3,875	\$137.4933
-	-	2,000	\$7,875	\$43.7567	\$6,562	\$36.4639	\$5,250	\$29.1711
-	-	5,000	\$9,188	\$44.9900	\$7,656	\$37.4917	\$6,125	\$29.9933
-	-	10,000	\$11,437	\$114.3700	\$9,531	\$95.3083	\$7,625	\$76.2467
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$4,275	\$281.2380	\$3,562	\$234.3650	\$2,850	\$187.4920
-	-	500	\$5,400	\$315.0000	\$4,500	\$262.5000	\$3,600	\$210.0000
-	-	1,000	\$6,975	\$247.4880	\$5,812	\$206.2400	\$4,650	\$164.9920
-	-	2,000	\$9,450	\$52.5080	\$7,875	\$43.7567	\$6,300	\$35.0053
-	-	5,000	\$11,025	\$53.9880	\$9,188	\$44.9900	\$7,350	\$35.9920
-	-	10,000	\$13,724	\$137.2440	\$11,437	\$114.3700	\$9,150	\$91.4960
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$4,664	\$306.8175	\$3,886	\$255.6813	\$3,109	\$204.5450
-	-	500	\$5,891	\$343.6350	\$4,909	\$286.3625	\$3,927	\$229.0900
-	-	1,000	\$7,609	\$270.0000	\$6,341	\$225.0000	\$5,073	\$180.0000
-	-	2,000	\$10,309	\$57.2850	\$8,591	\$47.7375	\$6,873	\$38.1900
-	-	5,000	\$12,028	\$58.9050	\$10,023	\$49.0875	\$8,019	\$39.2700
-	-	10,000	\$14,973	\$149.7300	\$12,478	\$124.7750	\$9,982	\$99.8200
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$3,731	\$245.4540	\$3,109	\$204.5450	\$2,487	\$163.6360
-	-	500	\$4,713	\$274.9080	\$3,927	\$229.0900	\$3,142	\$183.2720
-	-	1,000	\$6,087	\$216.0000	\$5,073	\$180.0000	\$4,058	\$144.0000
-	-	2,000	\$8,247	\$45.8280	\$6,873	\$38.1900	\$5,498	\$30.5520
-	-	5,000	\$9,622	\$47.1240	\$8,019	\$39.2700	\$6,415	\$31.4160
-	-	10,000	\$11,978	\$119.7840	\$9,982	\$99.8200	\$7,986	\$79.8560
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$3,731	\$245.4540	\$3,109	\$204.5450	\$2,487	\$163.6360
-	-	500	\$4,713	\$274.9080	\$3,927	\$229.0900	\$3,142	\$183.2720
-	-	1,000	\$6,087	\$216.0000	\$5,073	\$180.0000	\$4,058	\$144.0000
-	-	2,000	\$8,247	\$45.8280	\$6,873	\$38.1900	\$5,498	\$30.5520
-	-	5,000	\$9,622	\$47.1240	\$8,019	\$39.2700	\$6,415	\$31.4160
-	-	10,000	\$11,978	\$119.7840	\$9,982	\$99.8200	\$7,986	\$79.8560

New Construction - Plan Check Fees

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$2,752	\$181.0440	\$2,293	\$150.8700	\$1,835	\$120.6960
-	-	500	\$3,476	\$202.7880	\$2,897	\$168.9900	\$2,317	\$135.1920
-	-	1,000	\$4,490	\$159.3240	\$3,742	\$132.7700	\$2,993	\$106.2160
-	-	2,000	\$6,083	\$33.7840	\$5,069	\$28.1533	\$4,056	\$22.5227
-	-	5,000	\$7,097	\$34.7760	\$5,914	\$28.9800	\$4,731	\$23.1840
-	-	10,000	\$8,836	\$88.3560	\$7,363	\$73.6300	\$5,890	\$58.9040
I-1	Institutional—7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$4,676	\$61.5270	\$3,896	\$51.2725	\$3,117	\$41.0180
-	-	2,500	\$5,906	\$68.8920	\$4,922	\$57.4100	\$3,937	\$45.9280
-	-	5,000	\$7,628	\$54.1440	\$6,357	\$45.1200	\$5,086	\$36.0960
-	-	10,000	\$10,336	\$11.4760	\$8,613	\$9.5633	\$6,890	\$7.6507
-	-	25,000	\$12,057	\$11.8200	\$10,048	\$9.8500	\$8,038	\$7.8800
-	-	50,000	\$15,012	\$30.0240	\$12,510	\$25.0200	\$10,008	\$20.0160
I-2	Institutional—6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$5,663	\$74.5200	\$4,720	\$62.1000	\$3,776	\$49.6800
-	-	2,500	\$7,154	\$83.4450	\$5,962	\$69.5375	\$4,769	\$55.6300
-	-	5,000	\$9,240	\$65.5800	\$7,700	\$54.6500	\$6,160	\$43.7200
-	-	10,000	\$12,519	\$13.9150	\$10,433	\$11.5958	\$8,346	\$9.2767
-	-	25,000	\$14,606	\$14.2950	\$12,172	\$11.9125	\$9,738	\$9.5300
-	-	50,000	\$18,180	\$36.3600	\$15,150	\$30.3000	\$12,120	\$24.2400
I-3	Institutional—6+ persons, restrained	500	\$5,210	\$68.5575	\$4,342	\$57.1313	\$3,474	\$45.7050
-	-	2,500	\$6,582	\$76.7850	\$5,485	\$63.9875	\$4,388	\$51.1900
-	-	5,000	\$8,501	\$60.3450	\$7,084	\$50.2875	\$5,668	\$40.2300
-	-	10,000	\$11,519	\$12.7850	\$9,599	\$10.6542	\$7,679	\$8.5233
-	-	25,000	\$13,436	\$13.1550	\$11,197	\$10.9625	\$8,958	\$8.7700
-	-	50,000	\$16,725	\$33.4500	\$13,938	\$27.8750	\$11,150	\$22.3000
I-4	Institutional—6+ persons, day care	500	\$5,210	\$68.5575	\$4,342	\$57.1313	\$3,474	\$45.7050
-	-	2,500	\$6,582	\$76.7850	\$5,485	\$63.9875	\$4,388	\$51.1900
-	-	5,000	\$8,501	\$60.3450	\$7,084	\$50.2875	\$5,668	\$40.2300
-	-	10,000	\$11,519	\$12.7850	\$9,599	\$10.6542	\$7,679	\$8.5233
-	-	25,000	\$13,436	\$13.1550	\$11,197	\$10.9625	\$8,958	\$8.7700
-	-	50,000	\$16,725	\$33.4500	\$13,938	\$27.8750	\$11,150	\$22.3000
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$2,755	\$181.2420	\$2,296	\$151.0350	\$1,837	\$120.8280
-	-	500	\$3,480	\$202.9920	\$2,900	\$169.1600	\$2,320	\$135.3280
-	-	1,000	\$4,495	\$159.4920	\$3,746	\$132.9100	\$2,997	\$106.3280
-	-	2,000	\$6,090	\$33.8280	\$5,075	\$28.1900	\$4,060	\$22.5520
-	-	5,000	\$7,105	\$34.8120	\$5,921	\$29.0100	\$4,736	\$23.2080
-	-	10,000	\$8,845	\$88.4520	\$7,371	\$73.7100	\$5,897	\$58.9680
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$7,336	\$24.1238	\$6,113	\$20.1031	\$4,890	\$16.0825
-	-	10,000	\$9,266	\$27.0450	\$7,721	\$22.5375	\$6,177	\$18.0300
-	-	20,000	\$11,970	\$21.2400	\$9,975	\$17.7000	\$7,980	\$14.1600
-	-	40,000	\$16,218	\$4.4950	\$13,515	\$3.7458	\$10,812	\$2.9967
-	-	100,000	\$18,915	\$4.6350	\$15,763	\$3.8625	\$12,610	\$3.0900
-	-	200,000	\$23,550	\$11.7750	\$19,625	\$9.8125	\$15,700	\$7.8500
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$3,260	\$71.4900	\$2,717	\$59.5750	\$2,173	\$47.6600
-	-	1,500	\$4,118	\$80.0550	\$3,431	\$66.7125	\$2,745	\$53.3700
-	-	3,000	\$5,319	\$62.9250	\$4,432	\$52.4375	\$3,546	\$41.9500
-	-	6,000	\$7,206	\$13.3300	\$6,005	\$11.1083	\$4,804	\$8.8867
-	-	15,000	\$8,406	\$13.7400	\$7,005	\$11.4500	\$5,604	\$9.1600
-	-	30,000	\$10,467	\$34.8900	\$8,723	\$29.0750	\$6,978	\$23.2600
R-1	Residential—Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$10,880	\$4.7700	\$9,067	\$3.9750	\$7,253	\$3.1800
-	-	15,000	\$11,453	\$15.2700	\$9,544	\$12.7250	\$7,635	\$10.1800
-	-	30,000	\$13,743	\$1.9200	\$11,453	\$1.6000	\$9,162	\$1.2800
-	-	60,000	\$14,319	\$2.5400	\$11,933	\$2.1167	\$9,546	\$1.6933
-	-	150,000	\$16,605	\$0.3900	\$13,838	\$0.3250	\$11,070	\$0.2600
-	-	300,000	\$17,190	\$5.7300	\$14,325	\$4.7750	\$11,460	\$3.8200
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building	800	\$9,879	\$16.2525	\$8,232	\$13.5438	\$6,586	\$10.8350
-	-	4,000	\$10,399	\$51.9750	\$8,666	\$43.3125	\$6,932	\$34.6500
-	-	8,000	\$12,478	\$6.5100	\$10,398	\$5.4250	\$8,318	\$4.3400
-	-	16,000	\$12,998	\$8.6650	\$10,832	\$7.2208	\$8,666	\$5.7767
-	-	40,000	\$15,078	\$1.3050	\$12,565	\$1.0875	\$10,052	\$0.8700
-	-	80,000	\$15,600	\$19.5000	\$13,000	\$16.2500	\$10,400	\$13.0000
R-3	Dwellings—Custom Homes, Models, First M	1,000	\$4,950	\$14.7750	\$4,125	\$12.3125	\$3,300	\$9.8500
-	-	2,500	\$5,172	\$59.1850	\$4,310	\$49.3208	\$3,448	\$39.4567
-	-	4,000	\$6,059	\$11.0850	\$5,050	\$9.2375	\$4,040	\$7.3900
-	-	6,000	\$6,281	\$44.3850	\$5,234	\$36.9875	\$4,187	\$29.5900
-	-	8,000	\$7,169	\$11.0850	\$5,974	\$9.2375	\$4,779	\$7.3900
-	-	10,000	\$7,391	\$73.9050	\$6,159	\$61.5875	\$4,927	\$49.2700

New Construction - Plan Check Fees

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings—Production Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	1,000	\$1,922	\$6.7400	\$1,602	\$5.6167	\$1,282	\$4.4933
-	-	2,500	\$2,024	\$26.9800	\$1,686	\$22.4833	\$1,349	\$17.9867
-	-	4,000	\$2,428	\$5.0850	\$2,024	\$4.2375	\$1,619	\$3.3900
-	-	6,000	\$2,530	\$20.2050	\$2,108	\$16.8375	\$1,687	\$13.4700
-	-	8,000	\$2,934	\$5.1000	\$2,445	\$4.2500	\$1,956	\$3.4000
-	-	10,000	\$3,036	\$30.3600	\$2,530	\$25.3000	\$2,024	\$20.2400
R-3	Dwellings—Alternate Materials	1,000	\$4,382	\$15.3750	\$3,651	\$12.8125	\$2,921	\$10.2500
-	-	2,500	\$4,612	\$61.4850	\$3,843	\$51.2375	\$3,075	\$40.9900
-	-	4,000	\$5,534	\$11.5500	\$4,612	\$9.6250	\$3,690	\$7.7000
-	-	6,000	\$5,765	\$46.1100	\$4,805	\$38.4250	\$3,844	\$30.7400
-	-	8,000	\$6,688	\$11.5200	\$5,573	\$9.6000	\$4,458	\$7.6800
-	-	10,000	\$6,918	\$69.1800	\$5,765	\$57.6500	\$4,612	\$46.1200
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Custom Homes, Model First Master Plan	1,000	\$5,787	\$20.3050	\$4,822	\$16.9208	\$3,858	\$13.5367
-	-	2,500	\$6,091	\$81.2050	\$5,076	\$67.6708	\$4,061	\$54.1367
-	-	4,000	\$7,309	\$15.2400	\$6,091	\$12.7000	\$4,873	\$10.1600
-	-	6,000	\$7,614	\$60.9000	\$6,345	\$50.7500	\$5,076	\$40.6000
-	-	8,000	\$8,832	\$15.2250	\$7,360	\$12.6875	\$5,888	\$10.1500
-	-	10,000	\$9,137	\$91.3650	\$7,614	\$76.1375	\$6,091	\$60.9100
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Production Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	1,000	\$1,922	\$6.7400	\$1,602	\$5.6167	\$1,282	\$4.4933
-	-	2,500	\$2,024	\$26.9800	\$1,686	\$22.4833	\$1,349	\$17.9867
-	-	4,000	\$2,428	\$5.0850	\$2,024	\$4.2375	\$1,619	\$3.3900
-	-	6,000	\$2,530	\$20.2050	\$2,108	\$16.8375	\$1,687	\$13.4700
-	-	8,000	\$2,934	\$5.1000	\$2,445	\$4.2500	\$1,956	\$3.4000
-	-	10,000	\$3,036	\$30.3600	\$2,530	\$25.3000	\$2,024	\$20.2400
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Alternate Materials	1,000	\$4,382	\$15.3750	\$3,651	\$12.8125	\$2,921	\$10.2500
-	-	2,500	\$4,612	\$61.4850	\$3,843	\$51.2375	\$3,075	\$40.9900
-	-	4,000	\$5,534	\$11.5500	\$4,612	\$9.6250	\$3,690	\$7.7000
-	-	6,000	\$5,765	\$46.1100	\$4,805	\$38.4250	\$3,844	\$30.7400
-	-	8,000	\$6,688	\$11.5200	\$5,573	\$9.6000	\$4,458	\$7.6800
-	-	10,000	\$6,918	\$69.1800	\$5,765	\$57.6500	\$4,612	\$46.1200
R-3	Group Care	1,000	\$8,184	\$10.7663	\$6,820	\$8.9719	\$5,456	\$7.1775
-	-	5,000	\$8,615	\$34.4700	\$7,179	\$28.7250	\$5,743	\$22.9800
-	-	10,000	\$10,338	\$4.2900	\$8,615	\$3.5750	\$6,892	\$2.8600
-	-	20,000	\$10,767	\$5.7350	\$8,973	\$4.7792	\$7,178	\$3.8233
-	-	50,000	\$12,488	\$0.8550	\$10,406	\$0.7125	\$8,325	\$0.5700
-	-	100,000	\$12,915	\$12.9150	\$10,763	\$10.7625	\$8,610	\$8.6100
R-4	Group Care	100	\$4,619	\$60.7725	\$3,849	\$50.6438	\$3,079	\$40.5150
-	-	500	\$4,862	\$194.4900	\$4,052	\$162.0750	\$3,241	\$129.6600
-	-	1,000	\$5,834	\$24.3000	\$4,862	\$20.2500	\$3,890	\$16.2000
-	-	2,000	\$6,077	\$32.4200	\$5,065	\$27.0167	\$4,052	\$21.6133
-	-	5,000	\$7,050	\$4.8600	\$5,875	\$4.0500	\$4,700	\$3.2400
-	-	10,000	\$7,293	\$72.9300	\$6,078	\$60.7750	\$4,862	\$48.6200
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$2,692	\$44.2688	\$2,243	\$36.8906	\$1,794	\$29.5125
-	-	400	\$2,833	\$141.6750	\$2,361	\$118.0625	\$1,889	\$94.4500
-	-	800	\$3,400	\$17.7150	\$2,833	\$14.7625	\$2,267	\$11.8100
-	-	1,600	\$3,542	\$23.6050	\$2,951	\$19.6708	\$2,361	\$15.7367
-	-	4,000	\$4,108	\$3.5550	\$3,424	\$2.9625	\$2,739	\$2.3700
-	-	8,000	\$4,250	\$53.1300	\$3,542	\$44.2750	\$2,834	\$35.4200
S-1	Storage—Moderate Hazard	600	\$3,766	\$41.2980	\$3,139	\$34.4150	\$2,511	\$27.5320
-	-	3,000	\$4,757	\$46.2600	\$3,965	\$38.5500	\$3,172	\$30.8400
-	-	6,000	\$6,145	\$36.3480	\$5,121	\$30.2900	\$4,097	\$24.2320
-	-	12,000	\$8,326	\$7.7040	\$6,938	\$6.4200	\$5,551	\$5.1360
-	-	30,000	\$9,713	\$7.9200	\$8,094	\$6.6000	\$6,475	\$5.2800
-	-	60,000	\$12,089	\$20.1480	\$10,074	\$16.7900	\$8,059	\$13.4320
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$8,696	\$5.7113	\$7,246	\$4.7594	\$5,797	\$3.8075
-	-	50,000	\$10,980	\$6.4200	\$9,150	\$5.3500	\$7,320	\$4.2800
-	-	100,000	\$14,190	\$5.0400	\$11,825	\$4.2000	\$9,460	\$3.3600
-	-	200,000	\$19,230	\$1.0650	\$16,025	\$0.8875	\$12,820	\$0.7100
-	-	500,000	\$22,425	\$1.0950	\$18,688	\$0.9125	\$14,950	\$0.7300
-	-	1,000,000	\$27,900	\$2.7900	\$23,250	\$2.3250	\$18,600	\$1.8600
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$3,398	\$37.2488	\$2,831	\$31.0406	\$2,265	\$24.8325
-	-	3,000	\$4,292	\$41.7450	\$3,576	\$34.7875	\$2,861	\$27.8300
-	-	6,000	\$5,544	\$32.7900	\$4,620	\$27.3250	\$3,696	\$21.8600
-	-	12,000	\$7,511	\$6.9450	\$6,260	\$5.7875	\$5,008	\$4.6300
-	-	30,000	\$8,762	\$7.1550	\$7,301	\$5.9625	\$5,841	\$4.7700
-	-	60,000	\$10,908	\$18.1800	\$9,090	\$15.1500	\$7,272	\$12.1200

New Construction - Plan Check Fees

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	40	\$928	\$152.6213	\$773	\$127.1844	\$619	\$101.7475
-	Agricultural Building	200	\$1,172	\$170.9400	\$977	\$142.4500	\$781	\$113.9600
-	-	400	\$1,514	\$134.3100	\$1,262	\$111.9250	\$1,009	\$89.5400
-	-	800	\$2,051	\$28.4850	\$1,709	\$23.7375	\$1,368	\$18.9900
-	-	2,000	\$2,393	\$29.2950	\$1,994	\$24.4125	\$1,595	\$19.5300
-	-	4,000	\$2,979	\$74.4750	\$2,483	\$62.0625	\$1,986	\$49.6500
-	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$725	\$4.7700	\$604	\$3.9750	\$483	\$3.1800
-	-	5,000	\$916	\$5.3550	\$763	\$4.4625	\$611	\$3.5700
-	-	10,000	\$1,184	\$4.1850	\$986	\$3.4875	\$789	\$2.7900
-	-	20,000	\$1,602	\$0.8850	\$1,335	\$0.7375	\$1,068	\$0.5900
-	-	50,000	\$1,868	\$0.9150	\$1,556	\$0.7625	\$1,245	\$0.6100
-	-	100,000	\$2,325	\$2.3250	\$1,938	\$1.9375	\$1,550	\$1.5500
-	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$725	\$12.7200	\$604	\$10.6000	\$483	\$8.4800
-	-	2,500	\$916	\$17.7900	\$763	\$14.8250	\$611	\$11.8600
-	-	4,000	\$1,183	\$21.0150	\$986	\$17.5125	\$788	\$14.0100
-	-	6,000	\$1,603	\$13.3350	\$1,336	\$11.1125	\$1,069	\$8.8900
-	-	8,000	\$1,870	\$22.9200	\$1,558	\$19.1000	\$1,246	\$15.2800
-	-	10,000	\$2,328	\$23.2800	\$1,940	\$19.4000	\$1,552	\$15.5200
-	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$2,985	\$7.8488	\$2,487	\$6.5406	\$1,990	\$5.2325
-	w/o Podium	2,500	\$3,142	\$25.1400	\$2,618	\$20.9500	\$2,095	\$16.7600
-	-	5,000	\$3,770	\$3.1350	\$3,142	\$2.6125	\$2,514	\$2.0900
-	-	10,000	\$3,927	\$4.1950	\$3,273	\$3.4958	\$2,618	\$2.7967
-	-	25,000	\$4,556	\$0.6150	\$3,797	\$0.5125	\$3,038	\$0.4100
-	-	50,000	\$4,710	\$9.4200	\$3,925	\$7.8500	\$3,140	\$6.2800
SHELL BUILDINGS								
-	All Shell Buildings	500	\$2,896	\$38.1120	\$2,413	\$31.7600	\$1,931	\$25.4080
-	-	2,500	\$3,658	\$42.6720	\$3,049	\$35.5600	\$2,439	\$28.4480
-	-	5,000	\$4,725	\$33.5400	\$3,938	\$27.9500	\$3,150	\$22.3600
-	-	10,000	\$6,402	\$7.1200	\$5,335	\$5.9333	\$4,268	\$4.7467
-	-	25,000	\$7,470	\$7.3200	\$6,225	\$6.1000	\$4,980	\$4.8800
-	-	50,000	\$9,300	\$18.6000	\$7,750	\$15.5000	\$6,200	\$12.4000

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

INSPECTION – NEW CONSTRUCTION FEE SCHEDULE

Inspection - New Construction Fee Schedule

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Building Division
Schedule of New Construction Fees
INSPECTION FEES ONLY

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$3,557	\$28.9450	\$2,964	\$24.1208	\$2,371	\$19.2967
-	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$4,715	\$31.4300	\$3,929	\$26.1917	\$3,143	\$20.9533
-	-	10,000	\$6,286	\$14.0600	\$5,238	\$11.7167	\$4,191	\$9.3733
-	-	20,000	\$7,692	\$4.1433	\$6,410	\$3.4528	\$5,128	\$2.7622
-	-	50,000	\$8,935	\$6.9500	\$7,446	\$5.7917	\$5,957	\$4.6333
-	-	100,000	\$12,410	\$12.4100	\$10,342	\$10.3417	\$8,273	\$8.2733
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$3,138	\$102.1838	\$2,615	\$85.1531	\$2,092	\$68.1225
-	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$4,160	\$110.9250	\$3,467	\$92.4375	\$2,773	\$73.9500
-	-	2,500	\$5,547	\$49.6350	\$4,622	\$41.3625	\$3,698	\$33.0900
-	-	5,000	\$6,788	\$14.6000	\$5,656	\$12.1667	\$4,525	\$9.7333
-	-	12,500	\$7,883	\$24.5100	\$6,569	\$20.4250	\$5,255	\$16.3400
-	-	25,000	\$10,946	\$43.7850	\$9,122	\$36.4875	\$7,298	\$29.1900
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$5,335	\$43.4175	\$4,446	\$36.1813	\$3,557	\$28.9450
-	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$7,072	\$47.1450	\$5,893	\$39.2875	\$4,715	\$31.4300
-	-	10,000	\$9,429	\$21.0900	\$7,858	\$17.5750	\$6,286	\$14.0600
-	-	20,000	\$11,538	\$6.2150	\$9,615	\$5.1792	\$7,692	\$4.1433
-	-	50,000	\$13,403	\$10.4250	\$11,169	\$8.6875	\$8,935	\$6.9500
-	-	100,000	\$18,615	\$18.6150	\$15,513	\$15.5125	\$12,410	\$12.4100
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$3,088	\$50.2740	\$2,573	\$41.8950	\$2,059	\$33.5160
-	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$4,094	\$54.5880	\$3,411	\$45.4900	\$2,729	\$36.3920
-	-	5,000	\$5,458	\$24.4200	\$4,549	\$20.3500	\$3,639	\$16.2800
-	-	10,000	\$6,679	\$7.1720	\$5,566	\$5.9767	\$4,453	\$4.7813
-	-	25,000	\$7,755	\$12.0600	\$6,463	\$10.0500	\$5,170	\$8.0400
-	-	50,000	\$10,770	\$21.5400	\$8,975	\$17.9500	\$7,180	\$14.3600
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$3,389	\$55.1775	\$2,824	\$45.9813	\$2,260	\$36.7850
-	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$4,493	\$59.8950	\$3,744	\$49.9125	\$2,995	\$39.9300
-	-	5,000	\$5,990	\$26.8050	\$4,992	\$22.3375	\$3,994	\$17.8700
-	-	10,000	\$7,331	\$7.8800	\$6,109	\$6.5667	\$4,887	\$5.2533
-	-	25,000	\$8,513	\$13.2300	\$7,094	\$11.0250	\$5,675	\$8.8200
-	-	50,000	\$11,820	\$23.6400	\$9,850	\$19.7000	\$7,880	\$15.7600
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$3,389	\$55.1775	\$2,824	\$45.9813	\$2,260	\$36.7850
-	-	2,500	\$4,493	\$59.8950	\$3,744	\$49.9125	\$2,995	\$39.9300
-	-	5,000	\$5,990	\$26.8050	\$4,992	\$22.3375	\$3,994	\$17.8700
-	-	10,000	\$7,331	\$7.8800	\$6,109	\$6.5667	\$4,887	\$5.2533
-	-	25,000	\$8,513	\$13.2300	\$7,094	\$11.0250	\$5,675	\$8.8200
-	-	50,000	\$11,820	\$23.6400	\$9,850	\$19.7000	\$7,880	\$15.7600
B	Business—Bank	500	\$3,515	\$57.2288	\$2,929	\$47.6906	\$2,343	\$38.1525
-	-	2,500	\$4,659	\$62.1150	\$3,883	\$51.7625	\$3,106	\$41.4100
-	-	5,000	\$6,212	\$27.7950	\$5,177	\$23.1625	\$4,142	\$18.5300
-	-	10,000	\$7,602	\$8.1700	\$6,335	\$6.8083	\$5,068	\$5.4467
-	-	25,000	\$8,828	\$13.7400	\$7,356	\$11.4500	\$5,885	\$9.1600
-	-	50,000	\$12,263	\$24.5250	\$10,219	\$20.4375	\$8,175	\$16.3500
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$3,138	\$127.7138	\$2,615	\$106.4281	\$2,092	\$85.1425
-	-	1,000	\$4,160	\$138.6750	\$3,467	\$115.5625	\$2,773	\$92.4500
-	-	2,000	\$5,547	\$62.0250	\$4,622	\$51.6875	\$3,698	\$41.3500
-	-	4,000	\$6,787	\$18.2550	\$5,656	\$15.2125	\$4,525	\$12.1700
-	-	10,000	\$7,883	\$30.6450	\$6,569	\$25.5375	\$5,255	\$20.4300
-	-	20,000	\$10,947	\$54.7350	\$9,123	\$45.6125	\$7,298	\$36.4900
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$3,578	\$58.2338	\$2,981	\$48.5281	\$2,385	\$38.8225
-	-	2,500	\$4,742	\$63.2400	\$3,952	\$52.7000	\$3,162	\$42.1600
-	-	5,000	\$6,323	\$28.2750	\$5,269	\$23.5625	\$4,216	\$18.8500
-	-	10,000	\$7,737	\$8.3200	\$6,448	\$6.9333	\$5,158	\$5.5467
-	-	25,000	\$8,985	\$13.9800	\$7,488	\$11.6500	\$5,990	\$9.3200
-	-	50,000	\$12,480	\$24.9600	\$10,400	\$20.8000	\$8,320	\$16.6400
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$4,770	\$38.8275	\$3,975	\$32.3563	\$3,180	\$25.8850
-	-	5,000	\$6,323	\$42.1650	\$5,269	\$35.1375	\$4,216	\$28.1100
-	-	10,000	\$8,432	\$18.8550	\$7,026	\$15.7125	\$5,621	\$12.5700
-	-	20,000	\$10,317	\$5.5350	\$8,598	\$4.6125	\$6,878	\$3.6900
-	-	50,000	\$11,978	\$9.3150	\$9,981	\$7.7625	\$7,985	\$6.2100
-	-	100,000	\$16,635	\$16.6350	\$13,863	\$13.8625	\$11,090	\$11.0900
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$2,762	\$74.9250	\$2,301	\$62.4375	\$1,841	\$49.9500
-	-	1,500	\$3,661	\$81.3600	\$3,051	\$67.8000	\$2,441	\$54.2400
-	-	3,000	\$4,881	\$36.4050	\$4,068	\$30.3375	\$3,254	\$24.2700
-	-	6,000	\$5,973	\$10.7050	\$4,978	\$8.9208	\$3,982	\$7.1367
-	-	15,000	\$6,937	\$17.9850	\$5,781	\$14.9875	\$4,625	\$11.9900
-	-	30,000	\$9,635	\$32.1150	\$8,029	\$26.7625	\$6,423	\$21.4100

Inspection - New Construction Fee Schedule

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
E	Educational—Preschool / School	100	\$2,699	\$219.6713	\$2,249	\$183.0594	\$1,799	\$146.4475
-	-	500	\$3,578	\$238.5150	\$2,981	\$198.7625	\$2,385	\$159.0100
-	-	1,000	\$4,770	\$106.6950	\$3,975	\$88.9125	\$3,180	\$71.1300
-	-	2,000	\$5,837	\$31.3800	\$4,864	\$26.1500	\$3,891	\$20.9200
-	-	5,000	\$6,779	\$52.7100	\$5,649	\$43.9250	\$4,519	\$35.1400
-	-	10,000	\$9,414	\$94.1400	\$7,845	\$78.4500	\$6,276	\$62.7600
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$2,511	\$204.3450	\$2,092	\$170.2875	\$1,674	\$136.2300
-	-	500	\$3,328	\$221.8650	\$2,773	\$184.8875	\$2,219	\$147.9100
-	-	1,000	\$4,437	\$99.2700	\$3,698	\$82.7250	\$2,958	\$66.1800
-	-	2,000	\$5,430	\$29.2000	\$4,525	\$24.3333	\$3,620	\$19.4667
-	-	5,000	\$6,306	\$49.0500	\$5,255	\$40.8750	\$4,204	\$32.7000
-	-	10,000	\$8,759	\$87.5850	\$7,299	\$72.9875	\$5,839	\$58.3900
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$3,994	\$28.8450	\$3,328	\$24.0375	\$2,662	\$19.2300
-	-	5,000	\$5,147	\$30.1800	\$4,290	\$25.1500	\$3,432	\$20.1200
-	-	10,000	\$6,656	\$15.9720	\$5,547	\$13.3100	\$4,438	\$10.6480
-	-	20,000	\$8,254	\$4.4280	\$6,878	\$3.6900	\$5,502	\$2.9520
-	-	50,000	\$9,582	\$7.4520	\$7,985	\$6.2100	\$6,388	\$4.9680
-	-	100,000	\$13,308	\$13.3080	\$11,090	\$11.0900	\$8,872	\$8.8720
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$4,707	\$38.3175	\$3,923	\$31.9313	\$3,138	\$25.5450
-	-	5,000	\$6,240	\$41.6100	\$5,200	\$34.6750	\$4,160	\$27.7400
-	-	10,000	\$8,321	\$18.6150	\$6,934	\$15.5125	\$5,547	\$12.4100
-	-	20,000	\$10,182	\$5.4600	\$8,485	\$4.5500	\$6,788	\$3.6400
-	-	50,000	\$11,820	\$9.2100	\$9,850	\$7.6750	\$7,880	\$6.1400
-	-	100,000	\$16,425	\$16.4250	\$13,688	\$13.6875	\$10,950	\$10.9500
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$3,163	\$25.7520	\$2,636	\$21.4600	\$2,109	\$17.1680
-	-	5,000	\$4,193	\$27.9480	\$3,495	\$23.2900	\$2,796	\$18.6320
-	-	10,000	\$5,591	\$12.5160	\$4,659	\$10.4300	\$3,727	\$8.3440
-	-	20,000	\$6,842	\$3.6720	\$5,702	\$3.0600	\$4,562	\$2.4480
-	-	50,000	\$7,944	\$6.1920	\$6,620	\$5.1600	\$5,296	\$4.1280
-	-	100,000	\$11,040	\$11.0400	\$9,200	\$9.2000	\$7,360	\$7.3600
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$2,260	\$183.9175	\$1,883	\$153.2646	\$1,506	\$122.6117
-	-	500	\$2,995	\$199.6800	\$2,496	\$166.4000	\$1,997	\$133.1200
-	-	1,000	\$3,994	\$89.3200	\$3,328	\$74.4333	\$2,662	\$59.5467
-	-	2,000	\$4,887	\$26.2733	\$4,072	\$21.8944	\$3,258	\$17.5156
-	-	5,000	\$5,675	\$44.1400	\$4,729	\$36.7833	\$3,783	\$29.4267
-	-	10,000	\$7,882	\$78.8200	\$6,568	\$65.6833	\$5,255	\$52.5467
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$2,711	\$220.7010	\$2,260	\$183.9175	\$1,808	\$147.1340
-	-	500	\$3,594	\$239.6160	\$2,995	\$199.6800	\$2,396	\$159.7440
-	-	1,000	\$4,792	\$107.1840	\$3,994	\$89.3200	\$3,195	\$71.4560
-	-	2,000	\$5,864	\$31.5280	\$4,887	\$26.2733	\$3,909	\$21.0187
-	-	5,000	\$6,810	\$52.9680	\$5,675	\$44.1400	\$4,540	\$35.3120
-	-	10,000	\$9,458	\$94.5840	\$7,882	\$78.8200	\$6,306	\$63.0560
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$3,389	\$275.8763	\$2,824	\$229.8969	\$2,260	\$183.9175
-	-	500	\$4,493	\$299.5200	\$3,744	\$249.6000	\$2,995	\$199.6800
-	-	1,000	\$5,990	\$133.9800	\$4,992	\$111.6500	\$3,994	\$89.3200
-	-	2,000	\$7,330	\$39.4100	\$6,109	\$32.8417	\$4,887	\$26.2733
-	-	5,000	\$8,513	\$66.2100	\$7,094	\$55.1750	\$5,675	\$44.1400
-	-	10,000	\$11,823	\$118.2300	\$9,853	\$98.5250	\$7,882	\$78.8200
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$2,711	\$220.7010	\$2,260	\$183.9175	\$1,808	\$147.1340
-	-	500	\$3,594	\$239.6160	\$2,995	\$199.6800	\$2,396	\$159.7440
-	-	1,000	\$4,792	\$107.1840	\$3,994	\$89.3200	\$3,195	\$71.4560
-	-	2,000	\$5,864	\$31.5280	\$4,887	\$26.2733	\$3,909	\$21.0187
-	-	5,000	\$6,810	\$52.9680	\$5,675	\$44.1400	\$4,540	\$35.3120
-	-	10,000	\$9,458	\$94.5840	\$7,882	\$78.8200	\$6,306	\$63.0560
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$2,711	\$220.7010	\$2,260	\$183.9175	\$1,808	\$147.1340
-	-	500	\$3,594	\$239.6160	\$2,995	\$199.6800	\$2,396	\$159.7440
-	-	1,000	\$4,792	\$107.1840	\$3,994	\$89.3200	\$3,195	\$71.4560
-	-	2,000	\$5,864	\$31.5280	\$4,887	\$26.2733	\$3,909	\$21.0187
-	-	5,000	\$6,810	\$52.9680	\$5,675	\$44.1400	\$4,540	\$35.3120
-	-	10,000	\$9,458	\$94.5840	\$7,882	\$78.8200	\$6,306	\$63.0560
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$2,159	\$175.7370	\$1,799	\$146.4475	\$1,439	\$117.1580
-	-	500	\$2,862	\$190.8120	\$2,385	\$159.0100	\$1,908	\$127.2080
-	-	1,000	\$3,816	\$85.3560	\$3,180	\$71.1300	\$2,544	\$56.9040
-	-	2,000	\$4,670	\$25.1040	\$3,891	\$20.9200	\$3,113	\$16.7360
-	-	5,000	\$5,423	\$42.1680	\$4,519	\$35.1400	\$3,615	\$28.1120
-	-	10,000	\$7,531	\$75.3120	\$6,276	\$62.7600	\$5,021	\$50.2080
I-1	Institutional—7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$3,013	\$49.0440	\$2,511	\$40.8700	\$2,008	\$32.6960
-	-	2,500	\$3,994	\$53.2560	\$3,328	\$44.3800	\$2,662	\$35.5040
-	-	5,000	\$5,325	\$23.8200	\$4,438	\$19.8500	\$3,550	\$15.8800
-	-	10,000	\$6,516	\$7.0000	\$5,430	\$5.8333	\$4,344	\$4.6667
-	-	25,000	\$7,566	\$11.7840	\$6,305	\$9.8200	\$5,044	\$7.8560
-	-	50,000	\$10,512	\$21.0240	\$8,760	\$17.5200	\$7,008	\$14.0160
I-2	Institutional—6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$3,766	\$61.3050	\$3,138	\$51.0875	\$2,511	\$40.8700
-	-	2,500	\$4,992	\$66.5700	\$4,160	\$55.4750	\$3,328	\$44.3800
-	-	5,000	\$6,656	\$29.7750	\$5,547	\$24.8125	\$4,438	\$19.8500
-	-	10,000	\$8,145	\$8.7500	\$6,788	\$7.2917	\$5,430	\$5.8333
-	-	25,000	\$9,458	\$14.7300	\$7,881	\$12.2750	\$6,305	\$9.8200
-	-	50,000	\$13,140	\$26.2800	\$10,950	\$21.9000	\$8,760	\$17.5200

Inspection - New Construction Fee Schedule

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
I-3	Institutional—6+ persons, restrained	500	\$3,766	\$61.3050	\$3,138	\$51.0875	\$2,511	\$40.8700
-	-	2,500	\$4,992	\$66.5700	\$4,160	\$55.4750	\$3,328	\$44.3800
-	-	5,000	\$6,656	\$29.7750	\$5,547	\$24.8125	\$4,438	\$19.8500
-	-	10,000	\$8,145	\$8.7500	\$6,788	\$7.2917	\$5,430	\$5.8333
-	-	25,000	\$9,458	\$14.7300	\$7,881	\$12.2750	\$6,305	\$9.8200
-	-	50,000	\$13,140	\$26.2800	\$10,950	\$21.9000	\$8,760	\$17.5200
I-4	Institutional—6+ persons, day care	500	\$3,766	\$61.3050	\$3,138	\$51.0875	\$2,511	\$40.8700
-	-	2,500	\$4,992	\$66.5700	\$4,160	\$55.4750	\$3,328	\$44.3800
-	-	5,000	\$6,656	\$29.7750	\$5,547	\$24.8125	\$4,438	\$19.8500
-	-	10,000	\$8,145	\$8.7500	\$6,788	\$7.2917	\$5,430	\$5.8333
-	-	25,000	\$9,458	\$14.7300	\$7,881	\$12.2750	\$6,305	\$9.8200
-	-	50,000	\$13,140	\$26.2800	\$10,950	\$21.9000	\$8,760	\$17.5200
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$2,109	\$171.6570	\$1,757	\$143.0475	\$1,406	\$114.4380
-	-	500	\$2,796	\$186.3600	\$2,330	\$155.3000	\$1,864	\$124.2400
-	-	1,000	\$3,727	\$83.3880	\$3,106	\$69.4900	\$2,485	\$55.5920
-	-	2,000	\$4,561	\$24.5200	\$3,801	\$20.4333	\$3,041	\$16.3467
-	-	5,000	\$5,297	\$41.2080	\$4,414	\$34.3400	\$3,531	\$27.4720
-	-	10,000	\$7,357	\$73.5720	\$6,131	\$61.3100	\$4,905	\$49.0480
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$5,335	\$21.7200	\$4,446	\$18.1000	\$3,557	\$14.4800
-	-	10,000	\$7,073	\$23.5650	\$5,894	\$19.6375	\$4,715	\$15.7100
-	-	20,000	\$9,429	\$10.5450	\$7,858	\$8.7875	\$6,286	\$7.0300
-	-	40,000	\$11,538	\$3.0950	\$9,615	\$2.5792	\$7,692	\$2.0633
-	-	100,000	\$13,395	\$5.2050	\$11,163	\$4.3375	\$8,930	\$3.4700
-	-	200,000	\$18,600	\$9.3000	\$15,500	\$7.7500	\$12,400	\$6.2000
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$3,138	\$85.1475	\$2,615	\$70.9563	\$2,092	\$56.7650
-	-	1,500	\$4,160	\$92.4450	\$3,467	\$77.0375	\$2,773	\$61.6300
-	-	3,000	\$5,547	\$41.3700	\$4,622	\$34.4750	\$3,698	\$27.5800
-	-	6,000	\$6,788	\$12.1550	\$5,657	\$10.1292	\$4,525	\$8.1033
-	-	15,000	\$7,882	\$20.4450	\$6,568	\$17.0375	\$5,255	\$13.6300
-	-	30,000	\$10,949	\$36.4950	\$9,124	\$30.4125	\$7,299	\$24.3300
R-1	Residential—Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$8,786	\$9.1538	\$7,322	\$7.6281	\$5,857	\$6.1025
-	-	15,000	\$9,884	\$7.3350	\$8,237	\$6.1125	\$6,590	\$4.8900
-	-	30,000	\$10,985	\$5.4750	\$9,154	\$4.6625	\$7,323	\$3.6500
-	-	60,000	\$12,627	\$0.8200	\$10,523	\$0.6833	\$8,418	\$0.5467
-	-	150,000	\$13,365	\$1.3500	\$11,138	\$1.1250	\$8,910	\$0.9000
-	-	300,000	\$15,390	\$5.1300	\$12,825	\$4.2750	\$10,260	\$3.4200
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building	800	\$6,922	\$27.0375	\$5,769	\$22.5313	\$4,615	\$18.0250
-	-	4,000	\$7,787	\$21.6450	\$6,490	\$18.0375	\$5,192	\$14.4300
-	-	8,000	\$8,653	\$16.2150	\$7,211	\$13.5125	\$5,769	\$10.8100
-	-	16,000	\$9,950	\$2.4150	\$8,292	\$2.0125	\$6,634	\$1.6100
-	-	40,000	\$10,530	\$3.9450	\$8,775	\$3.2875	\$7,020	\$2.6300
-	-	80,000	\$12,108	\$15.1350	\$10,090	\$12.6125	\$8,072	\$10.0900
R-3	Dwellings—Custom Homes, Models, First Master Plan	1,000	\$5,325	\$44.3600	\$4,437	\$36.9667	\$3,550	\$29.5733
-	-	2,500	\$5,990	\$44.3700	\$4,992	\$36.9750	\$3,994	\$29.5800
-	-	4,000	\$6,656	\$49.9350	\$5,547	\$41.6125	\$4,437	\$33.2900
-	-	6,000	\$7,655	\$22.1550	\$6,379	\$18.4625	\$5,103	\$14.7700
-	-	8,000	\$8,098	\$61.0200	\$6,748	\$50.8500	\$5,398	\$40.6800
-	-	10,000	\$9,318	\$93.1800	\$7,765	\$77.6500	\$6,212	\$62.1200
R-3	Dwellings—Production Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	1,000	\$5,325	\$44.3600	\$4,437	\$36.9667	\$3,550	\$29.5733
-	-	2,500	\$5,990	\$44.3700	\$4,992	\$36.9750	\$3,994	\$29.5800
-	-	4,000	\$6,656	\$49.9350	\$5,547	\$41.6125	\$4,437	\$33.2900
-	-	6,000	\$7,655	\$22.1550	\$6,379	\$18.4625	\$5,103	\$14.7700
-	-	8,000	\$8,098	\$61.0200	\$6,748	\$50.8500	\$5,398	\$40.6800
-	-	10,000	\$9,318	\$93.1800	\$7,765	\$77.6500	\$6,212	\$62.1200
R-3	Dwellings—Alternate Materials	1,000	\$5,325	\$44.3600	\$4,437	\$36.9667	\$3,550	\$29.5733
-	-	2,500	\$5,990	\$44.3700	\$4,992	\$36.9750	\$3,994	\$29.5800
-	-	4,000	\$6,656	\$49.9350	\$5,547	\$41.6125	\$4,437	\$33.2900
-	-	6,000	\$7,655	\$22.1550	\$6,379	\$18.4625	\$5,103	\$14.7700
-	-	8,000	\$8,098	\$61.0200	\$6,748	\$50.8500	\$5,398	\$40.6800
-	-	10,000	\$9,318	\$93.1800	\$7,765	\$77.6500	\$6,212	\$62.1200
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Custom Homes, Models First Master Plan	1,000	\$5,502	\$45.8550	\$4,585	\$38.2125	\$3,668	\$30.5700
-	-	2,500	\$6,190	\$45.8450	\$5,158	\$38.2042	\$4,127	\$30.5633
-	-	4,000	\$6,878	\$51.5700	\$5,732	\$42.9750	\$4,585	\$34.3800
-	-	6,000	\$7,909	\$22.9200	\$6,591	\$19.1000	\$5,273	\$15.2800
-	-	8,000	\$8,368	\$63.0450	\$6,973	\$52.5375	\$5,578	\$42.0300
-	-	10,000	\$9,629	\$96.2850	\$8,024	\$80.2375	\$6,419	\$64.1900
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Production Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	1,000	\$5,502	\$45.8550	\$4,585	\$38.2125	\$3,668	\$30.5700
-	-	2,500	\$6,190	\$45.8450	\$5,158	\$38.2042	\$4,127	\$30.5633
-	-	4,000	\$6,878	\$51.5700	\$5,732	\$42.9750	\$4,585	\$34.3800
-	-	6,000	\$7,909	\$22.9200	\$6,591	\$19.1000	\$5,273	\$15.2800
-	-	8,000	\$8,368	\$63.0450	\$6,973	\$52.5375	\$5,578	\$42.0300
-	-	10,000	\$9,629	\$96.2850	\$8,024	\$80.2375	\$6,419	\$64.1900
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Alternate Materials	1,000	\$5,502	\$45.8550	\$4,585	\$38.2125	\$3,668	\$30.5700
-	-	2,500	\$6,190	\$45.8450	\$5,158	\$38.2042	\$4,127	\$30.5633
-	-	4,000	\$6,878	\$51.5700	\$5,732	\$42.9750	\$4,585	\$34.3800
-	-	6,000	\$7,909	\$22.9200	\$6,591	\$19.1000	\$5,273	\$15.2800
-	-	8,000	\$8,368	\$63.0450	\$6,973	\$52.5375	\$5,578	\$42.0300
-	-	10,000	\$9,629	\$96.2850	\$8,024	\$80.2375	\$6,419	\$64.1900

Inspection - New Construction Fee Schedule

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Group Care	1,000	\$7,100	\$22,1963	\$5,916	\$18,4969	\$4,733	\$14,7975
-	-	5,000	\$7,988	\$17,7300	\$6,656	\$14,7750	\$5,325	\$11,8200
-	-	10,000	\$8,874	\$13,3200	\$7,395	\$11,1000	\$5,916	\$8,8800
-	-	20,000	\$10,206	\$1,9800	\$8,505	\$1,6500	\$6,804	\$1,3200
-	-	50,000	\$10,800	\$3,2400	\$9,000	\$2,7000	\$7,200	\$2,1600
-	-	100,000	\$12,420	\$12,4200	\$10,350	\$10,3500	\$8,280	\$8,2800
R-4	Group Care	100	\$4,437	\$138,6675	\$3,698	\$115,5563	\$2,958	\$92,4450
-	-	500	\$4,992	\$110,9400	\$4,160	\$92,4500	\$3,328	\$73,9600
-	-	1,000	\$5,547	\$83,1900	\$4,622	\$69,3250	\$3,698	\$55,4600
-	-	2,000	\$6,379	\$12,3300	\$5,316	\$10,2750	\$4,252	\$8,2200
-	-	5,000	\$6,749	\$20,3400	\$5,624	\$16,9500	\$4,499	\$13,5600
-	-	10,000	\$7,766	\$77,6550	\$6,471	\$64,7125	\$5,177	\$51,7700
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$3,905	\$152,5350	\$3,254	\$127,1125	\$2,603	\$101,6900
-	-	400	\$4,393	\$122,0100	\$3,661	\$101,6750	\$2,929	\$81,3400
-	-	800	\$4,881	\$91,5150	\$4,068	\$76,2625	\$3,254	\$61,0100
-	-	1,600	\$5,613	\$13,5700	\$4,678	\$11,3083	\$3,742	\$9,0467
-	-	4,000	\$5,939	\$22,3800	\$4,949	\$18,6500	\$3,959	\$14,9200
-	-	8,000	\$6,834	\$85,4250	\$5,695	\$71,1875	\$4,556	\$56,9500
S-1	Storage—Moderate Hazard	600	\$2,812	\$38,1480	\$2,343	\$31,7900	\$1,875	\$25,4320
-	-	3,000	\$3,727	\$41,4240	\$3,106	\$34,5200	\$2,485	\$27,6160
-	-	6,000	\$4,970	\$18,5160	\$4,142	\$15,4300	\$3,313	\$12,3440
-	-	12,000	\$6,081	\$5,4560	\$5,068	\$4,5467	\$4,054	\$3,6373
-	-	30,000	\$7,063	\$9,1440	\$5,886	\$7,6200	\$4,709	\$6,0960
-	-	60,000	\$9,806	\$16,3440	\$8,172	\$13,6200	\$6,538	\$10,8960
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$8,097	\$6,5888	\$6,748	\$5,4906	\$5,398	\$4,3925
-	-	50,000	\$10,733	\$7,1550	\$8,944	\$5,9625	\$7,155	\$4,7700
-	-	100,000	\$14,310	\$3,2100	\$11,925	\$2,6750	\$9,540	\$2,1400
-	-	200,000	\$17,520	\$0,9350	\$14,600	\$0,7792	\$11,680	\$0,6233
-	-	500,000	\$20,325	\$1,5750	\$16,938	\$1,3125	\$13,550	\$1,0500
-	-	1,000,000	\$28,200	\$2,8200	\$23,500	\$2,3500	\$18,800	\$1,8800
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$3,326	\$45,1275	\$2,772	\$37,6063	\$2,218	\$30,0850
-	-	3,000	\$4,410	\$49,0050	\$3,675	\$40,8375	\$2,940	\$32,6700
-	-	6,000	\$5,880	\$21,9150	\$4,900	\$18,2625	\$3,920	\$14,6100
-	-	12,000	\$7,195	\$6,4550	\$5,996	\$5,3792	\$4,796	\$4,3033
-	-	30,000	\$8,357	\$10,8150	\$6,964	\$9,0125	\$5,571	\$7,2100
-	-	60,000	\$11,601	\$19,3350	\$9,668	\$16,1125	\$7,734	\$12,8900
U	Accessory—Private Garage / Agricultural Building	40	\$1,695	\$344,8425	\$1,412	\$287,3688	\$1,130	\$229,8950
-	-	200	\$2,246	\$374,4000	\$1,872	\$312,0000	\$1,498	\$249,6000
-	-	400	\$2,995	\$167,4900	\$2,496	\$139,5750	\$1,997	\$111,6600
-	-	800	\$3,665	\$49,2700	\$3,054	\$41,0583	\$2,443	\$32,8467
-	-	2,000	\$4,256	\$82,7700	\$3,547	\$68,9750	\$2,838	\$55,1800
-	-	4,000	\$5,912	\$147,7950	\$4,927	\$123,1625	\$3,941	\$98,5300
-	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$3,578	\$29,1150	\$2,981	\$24,2625	\$2,385	\$19,4100
-	-	5,000	\$4,742	\$31,6050	\$3,952	\$26,3375	\$3,162	\$21,0700
-	-	10,000	\$6,323	\$14,1450	\$5,269	\$11,7875	\$4,215	\$9,4300
-	-	20,000	\$7,737	\$4,1600	\$6,448	\$3,4667	\$5,158	\$2,7733
-	-	50,000	\$8,985	\$6,9900	\$7,488	\$5,8250	\$5,990	\$4,6600
-	-	100,000	\$12,480	\$12,4800	\$10,400	\$10,4000	\$8,320	\$8,3200
-	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$3,766	\$81,7400	\$3,138	\$68,1167	\$2,511	\$54,4933
-	-	2,500	\$4,992	\$110,9200	\$4,160	\$92,4333	\$3,328	\$73,9467
-	-	4,000	\$6,656	\$74,4600	\$5,547	\$62,0500	\$4,437	\$49,6400
-	-	6,000	\$8,145	\$65,6700	\$6,788	\$54,7250	\$5,430	\$43,7800
-	-	8,000	\$9,458	\$183,9300	\$7,882	\$153,2750	\$6,306	\$122,6200
-	-	10,000	\$13,137	\$131,3700	\$10,948	\$109,4750	\$8,758	\$87,5800
-	Standard Comm. Foundation w/o Podium	500	\$4,615	\$28,8338	\$3,846	\$24,0281	\$3,077	\$19,2225
-	-	2,500	\$5,192	\$23,0700	\$4,326	\$19,2250	\$3,461	\$15,3800
-	-	5,000	\$5,768	\$17,3250	\$4,807	\$14,4375	\$3,846	\$11,5500
-	-	10,000	\$6,635	\$2,5700	\$5,529	\$2,1417	\$4,423	\$1,7133
-	-	25,000	\$7,020	\$4,2300	\$5,850	\$3,5250	\$4,680	\$2,8200
-	-	50,000	\$8,078	\$16,1550	\$6,731	\$13,4625	\$5,385	\$10,7700
SHELL BUILDINGS								
-	All Shell Buildings	500	\$2,511	\$40,8660	\$2,092	\$34,0550	\$1,674	\$27,2440
-	-	2,500	\$3,328	\$44,3880	\$2,773	\$36,9900	\$2,219	\$29,5920
-	-	5,000	\$4,438	\$19,8480	\$3,698	\$16,5400	\$2,958	\$13,2320
-	-	10,000	\$5,430	\$5,8400	\$4,525	\$4,8667	\$3,620	\$3,8933
-	-	25,000	\$6,306	\$9,8160	\$5,255	\$8,1800	\$4,204	\$6,5440
-	-	50,000	\$8,760	\$17,5200	\$7,300	\$14,6000	\$5,840	\$11,6800

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL, & PLUMBING FEES

MECHANICAL, ELECTRICAL & PLUMBING PERMIT FEES

FEE TYPES	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
	Actual Unit Cost \$ 2.47	FY 14-15 Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
ADMINISTRATIVE AND MISC. FEES				
Travel and Documentation Fees:	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
MECHANICAL PERMIT FEES	\$ 49.30	\$ 48.00	\$ (1.30)	97%
Stand Alone Mechanical Plan Check (hourly rate)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
UNIT FEES:				0%
Install/Relocate forced air or gravity-type furnace or burner (including attached ducts and vents) up to and including 100,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Install/Relocate forced air or gravity-type furnace or burner (including attached ducts and vents) over 100,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Install/Relocate floor furnace, including vent (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Install/Relocate suspended heater, recessed wall heater, or floor-mounted unit heater (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Install/Relocate suspended heater, recessed wall heater, or floor-mounted unit heater (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Install/Relocate/Replace appliance vent installed and not included in an appliance permit (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Install/Relocate/Replace appliance vent installed and not included in an appliance permit (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Repair/Alter/Add heating appliance, refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption unit, or each heating, cooling, absorption, or evaporative cooling system, including installation of controls (each) - Residential	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Repair/Alter/Add heating appliance, refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption unit, or each heating, cooling, absorption, or evaporative cooling system, including installation of controls (each) - Commercial	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Install/Relocate boiler or compressor, up to and including 3HP, or absorption system up to and including 100,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 107.00	\$ (40.91)	72%
Install/Relocate boiler or compressor, over 3HP and up to and including 15 HP, or absorption system over 100,000 Btu/h and up to and including 500,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 132.00	\$ (15.91)	89%
Install/Relocate boiler or compressor, over 15 HP and up to and including 30 HP, or absorption system over 500,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,000,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 184.89	\$ 156.00	\$ (28.89)	84%
Install/Relocate boiler or compressor, over 30 HP and up to and including 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,000,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,750,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 184.89	\$ 179.00	\$ (5.89)	97%
Install/Relocate boiler or compressor, over 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,750,000 Btu/h (each)	\$ 221.87	\$ 203.00	\$ (18.87)	91%
Air-handling unit, including attached ducts. (Note: this fee shall not apply to an air-handling unit that is a portion of a factory-assembled appliance, cooling unit, evaporative cooler, or absorption unit for which a permit is required elsewhere) (each) - Residential	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Air-handling unit, including attached ducts. (Note: this fee shall not apply to an air-handling unit that is a portion of a factory-assembled appliance, cooling unit, evaporative cooler, or absorption unit for which a permit is required elsewhere) (each) - Commercial	\$ 221.87	\$ 143.00	\$ (78.87)	64%
Air-handling unit over 10,000 CFM (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Ventilation fan connected to a single duct (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 25.00	\$ (48.96)	34%
Ventilation system that is not a portion of any heating or air-conditioning system authorized by a permit (each)	\$ 110.93		\$ (110.93)	0%
Hood installation that is served by mechanical exhaust, including the ducts for such hood (each) - Residential	\$ 73.96	\$ 36.00	\$ (37.96)	49%
Hood installation that is served by mechanical exhaust, including the ducts for such hood (each) - Commercial	\$ 221.87	\$ 143.00	\$ (78.87)	64%
Appliance or piece of equipment not classed in other appliance categories, or for which no other fee is listed (each)	\$ 110.93	\$ 107.00	\$ (3.93)	96%
OTHER FEES:	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Other Mechanical Inspections (per hour)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%

FEE TYPES	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
	Actual Unit Cost \$ 2.47	FY 14-15 Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
PLUMBING/GAS PERMIT FEES	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Stand Alone Plumbing Plan Check (hourly rate)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
UNIT FEES:				
Plumbing fixture or trap or set of fixtures on one trap, including water, drainage piping, and backflow protection (each)	\$ 10.35	\$ 10.00	\$ (0.35)	97%
Building or trailer park sewer (each - Residential)	\$ 36.98	\$ 25.00	\$ (11.98)	68%
Building or trailer park sewer (each - Commercial)	\$ 73.96	\$ 25.00	\$ (48.96)	34%
Rainwater system inside building (per drain)	\$ 10.35		\$ (10.35)	0%
Cesspool (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Private sewage disposal system (each)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Water Heater and/or vent (each) - Residential	\$ 36.98	\$ 29.00	\$ (7.98)	78%
Water Heater and/or vent (each) - Commercial	\$ 110.93	\$ 29.00	\$ (81.93)	26%
Industrial waste pretreatment interceptor, including its trap and vent, excepting kitchen-type grease interceptors functioning as fixture traps (each)	\$ 110.93	\$ 36.00	\$ (74.93)	32%
Install/Alter/Repair water piping and/or water treating equipment (each)	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Repair/Alter drainage or vent piping (each fixture)	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Lawn sprinkler system on any one meter, including backflow protection devices therefore (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Backflow devices not included in other fee services, e.g., building/trailer park sewer (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers not included in other fee services, e.g., building/trailer park sewer (1-5 units)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers not included in other fee services, e.g., building/trailer park sewer (each unit over 5 units)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
For each gas piping system of 1-4 outlets	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
For each gas piping system of 1-4 outlets	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
For each gas piping system of 5 or more outlets (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Water Service (Residential)	\$ 36.98	\$ 25.00	\$ (11.98)	68%
Re-pipe per fixture - Residential	\$ 14.79	\$ 14.00	\$ (0.79)	95%
Re-pipe per fixture - Commercial	\$ 9.86	\$ 9.00	\$ (0.86)	91%
OTHER FEES:	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Other Plumbing and Gas Inspections (per hour)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%

FEE TYPES	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
	Actual Unit Cost	FY 14-15 Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
	\$ 2.47			
ELECTRICAL PERMIT FEES	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Stand Alone Electrical Plan Check (hourly rate)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
UNIT FEES:				
Receptacle, Switch, and Lighting Outlets				
Receptacle, switch, lighting, or other outlets at which current is used or controlled, except services, feeders, and meters				
First 20	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Each Additional	\$ 4.44	\$ 5.00	\$ 0.56	113%
Lighting Fixtures	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Lighting Fixtures, sockets, or other lamp-holding devices	\$ -		\$ -	0%
First 20	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Additional Fixtures, each	\$ 5.92	\$ 6.00	\$ 0.08	101%
Pole or platform-mounted lighting fixtures (each)	\$ 16.27	\$ 16.00	\$ (0.27)	98%
Theatrical-type lighting fixtures or assemblies (each)	\$ 14.79	\$ 14.00	\$ (0.79)	95%
Residential Appliances			\$ -	0%
Fixed residential appliances or receptacle outlets for same, including wall-mounted electric ovens; counter mounted cooking tops; electric ranges; self-contained room console or through-wall air conditioners; space heaters; food waste grinders; dishwashers; washing machines; water heaters; clothes dryers; or other motor-operated appliances (each) not exceeding one horsepower (HP) in rating (each)	\$ 25.14	\$ 25.00	\$ (0.14)	99%
Nonresidential Appliances	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Residential appliances and self-contained factory-wired, nonresidential appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP), kilowatt (kW), or kilovolt-ampere (kVA) in rating, including medical and dental devices; food, beverage, and ice cream cabinets; illuminated show cases; drinking fountains; vending machines; laundry machines; or other similar types of equipment (each)	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Power Apparatus				
Motors, generators, transformers, rectifiers, synchronous converters, capacitors, industrial heating, air conditioners and heat pumps, cooking or baking equipment, and other apparatus. Rating in horsepower (HP), kilowatts (kW), or kilovolt-amperes (kVA), or kilovolt-amperes-reactive (kVAR)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
1 Unit	\$ 36.98	\$ 36.00	\$ (0.98)	97%
2 through 5 units, each additional	\$ 36.98	\$ 36.00	\$ (0.98)	97%
6 and over, each additional	\$ 36.98	\$ 36.00	\$ (0.98)	97%
Busways	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Trolley and plug-in-type busways - each 100 feet or fraction thereof	\$ 73.96	\$ 25.00	\$ (48.96)	34%
Signs, Outline Lighting, and Marquees	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Signs, Outline Lighting, or Marquees supplied from one branch circuit (each)	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Additional branch circuits within the same sign, outline lighting system, or marquee (each)	\$ 25.14	\$ 25.00	\$ (0.14)	99%
Services (Temporary Power)	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Services of 600 volts or less, up to 200 amperes in rating (each)	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
Services of 600 volts or less, 201 to 1000 amperes in rating (each)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Services over 600 volts or over 1000 amperes in rating (each)	\$ 87.27	\$ 84.00	\$ (3.27)	96%
For services of 600 volts or less and not over 200 amperes in rating, each (Residential)	\$ 50.29	\$ 48.00	\$ (2.29)	95%
For services of 600 volts or less and over 200 amperes to 1,000 amperes in rating, each (Residential)	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
For services over 600 volts over 1,000 amperes in rating, each (Residential)	\$ 147.91	\$ 84.00	\$ (63.91)	57%
Miscellaneous Apparatus, Conduits, and Conductors	\$ -		\$ -	0%
Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
OTHER FEES:				
Other Electrical Inspections (per hour)	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%

MISCELLANEOUS BUILDING FEES

MISCELLANEOUS ITEMS PERMIT FEES

Work Item	Unit	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
		Total Actual Cost	Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
Standard Hourly Rate		\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Accessibility Hardship Exemption	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 187.00	\$ 39.09	126%
Acoustical Review				\$ -	
Single Family Home/Duplex—New	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 645.00	\$ 275.22	174%
Single Family Home/Duplex—Addition/Alteration	each	\$ 221.87	\$ 716.00	\$ 494.13	323%
Multi-Family/Commercial	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 286.00	\$ (83.78)	77%
Additions (R3 Occ) - Plan Check Fees				\$ -	
Over the counter-(not hillside-has conventional framing- Minimum 2 hours	up to 250 sf	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%
Plan Check Fees (not hillside).	up to 250 sf	\$ 295.82	\$ 1,091.00	\$ 795.18	369%
Plan Check Fees (not hillside).	251-499 sf	\$ 591.64	\$ 1,626.00	\$ 1,034.36	275%
each additional hour	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Additions (R3 Occ) - Inspection Fees				\$ -	
Inspection Fees (Not Hillside)	up to 250 sf	\$ 739.55	\$ 1,057.00	\$ 317.45	143%
Inspection Fees (Not Hillside)	251-499 sf	\$ 887.46	\$ 1,323.00	\$ 435.54	149%
Address Assignment	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 359.00	\$ 211.09	243%
Administrative/Clerical Fee - 1/2 hour flat rate	1/2 hour	\$ 73.96	\$ 45.00	\$ (28.96)	61%
Alternate Materials and Methods of Construction	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 187.00	\$ 39.09	126%
Antenna—Telecom Facility				\$ -	
Radio	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 431.00	\$ 61.22	117%
Cellular/Mobile Phone, free-standing	each	\$ 813.51	\$ 1,145.00	\$ 331.49	141%
Cellular/Mobile Phone, attached to building	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 1,145.00	\$ 479.40	172%
Arbor/Trellis	each	\$ 295.82	\$ -	\$ (295.82)	0%
Awning/Canopy (supported by building)	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Balcony Addition	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 788.00	\$ 122.40	118%
Carport	each	\$ 517.69	\$ 645.00	\$ 127.31	125%
Certifications					
Special Inspector Qualifications (initial review)	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%
Special Inspector Qualifications (renewal / update)	each	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Special Inspector Certification Application	each	\$ 5,028.97	\$ 4,867.00	\$ (161.97)	97%
Materials Testing Lab Certification	each	\$ 3,106.13	\$ 3,006.00	\$ (100.13)	97%
Chimney	each	\$ 443.73	\$ 574.00	\$ 130.27	129%
Chimney Repair	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 574.00	\$ 278.18	194%
Close Existing Openings					
Interior wall	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 503.00	\$ 207.18	170%
Exterior wall	each	\$ 443.73	\$ 503.00	\$ 59.27	113%
Commercial Coach (per unit)	each unit	\$ 665.60	\$ 859.00	\$ 193.40	129%
Covered Porch	each	\$ 517.69	\$ 1,003.00	\$ 485.31	194%
Deck (wood)	each	\$ 517.69	\$ 503.00	\$ (14.69)	97%
Deck Railing	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 503.00	\$ 207.18	170%
Deferred Submittal (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$ 295.82	\$ 143.00	\$ (152.82)	48%

Work Item	Unit	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
		Total Actual Cost	Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
Demolition					
Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 574.00	\$ 204.22	155%
Commercial (each add'l 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$ 147.91	\$ 286.00	\$ 138.09	193%
Residential (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 574.00	\$ 278.18	194%
Residential (each add'l 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Demolition					
Swimming Pool Residential	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 329.00	\$ 33.18	111%
Swimming Pool Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$ 443.73	\$ 574.00	\$ 130.27	129%
Swimming Pool Commercial (each add'l 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$ 147.91	\$ 286.00	\$ 138.09	193%
Disabled Access Compliance Inspection	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Door					
New door (non structural)	each	\$ 221.87	\$ 286.00	\$ 64.13	129%
New door (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 431.00	\$ 135.18	146%
Duplicate/Replacement Job Card	each	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Electric Vehicle Charging Station	each	\$ -	\$ 109.00	\$ 109.00	0%
Extensions					
Plan Check Applications (within 180 days of Submittal)	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 187.00	\$ 39.09	126%
Permits (within 180 days of Issuance)		\$ -		\$ -	0%
Start construction, w/o plans	30 min	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Resume or complete construction, w/o plans	30 min	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Start construction, w/ plans	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 187.00	\$ 39.09	126%
Resume or complete construction, w/ plans	2 hours	\$ 295.82	\$ 231.00	\$ (64.82)	78%
Fence					
Non-masonry, over 6 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$ 295.82	\$ 431.00	\$ 135.18	146%
Non-masonry, each additional 100 lf	each 100 l.f.	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Masonry, over 6 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$ 517.69	\$ 716.00	\$ 198.31	138%
Masonry, each additional 100 lf	each 100 l.f.	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%
Fireplace					
Masonry	each	\$ 517.69	\$ 716.00	\$ 198.31	138%
Pre-Fabricated/Metal	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 503.00	\$ 207.18	170%
Flag pole (over 20 feet in height)	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 394.00	\$ 98.18	133%
Foundation Repair	each	\$ 665.60	\$859.00	\$ 193.40	129%
Garage / Agricultural Buildings					
Wood frame up to 1,000 sf	each	\$ 961.42	\$129.00	\$ (832.42)	13%
Masonry up to 1,000 sf	each	\$ 1,257.24	\$1,290.00	\$ 32.76	103%
Inspections					
Standard Inspection Hourly Rate	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Outside of normal business hours, 0-2 hours (minimum charge)	up to 2 hrs	\$ 295.82	\$430.00	\$ 134.18	145%
Each additional hour or portion thereof	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 214.00	\$ 66.09	145%
Reinspection fee—per hour	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Progress Inspection	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Partial Inspection	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Courtesy Inspection - 2 hour minimum	2 hours	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%

Work Item	Unit	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
		Total Actual Cost	Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
Inspections for which no fee is specifically indicated—per hour (minimum charge = 1/2 hour)	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Cancelled inspection w/out advance notice	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Life Safety Report	each	\$ 887.46	\$ 859.00	\$ (28.46)	97%
Lighting pole	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 431.00	\$ 61.22	117%
each add'l pole	each	\$ 147.91	\$ 431.00	\$ 283.09	291%
Modular Structures	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 859.00	\$ 193.40	129%
Modification of Technical Code	1 hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 187.00	\$ 39.09	126%
Partition—Commercial, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$ 443.73	\$ 503.00	\$ 59.27	113%
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$ 147.91	\$ 107.00	\$ (40.91)	72%
Partition—Residential, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$ 295.82	\$ 503.00	\$ 207.18	170%
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$ 73.96	\$ 107.00	\$ 33.04	145%
Patio Cover/ Sun Room					
Wood frame	up to 300 sf	\$ 369.78	\$ 716.00	\$ 346.22	194%
Metal frame	up to 300 sf	\$ 369.78	\$ 716.00	\$ 346.22	194%
Other frame	up to 300 sf	\$ 517.69	\$ 716.00	\$ 198.31	138%
Additional patio	each 300 sf	\$ 221.87	\$ 574.00	\$ 352.13	259%
Enclosed, wood frame	up to 300 sf	\$ 517.69	\$ 859.00	\$ 341.31	166%
Enclosed, metal frame	up to 300 sf	\$ 517.69	\$ 859.00	\$ 341.31	166%
Enclosed, other frame	up to 300 sf	\$ 517.69	\$ 859.00	\$ 341.31	166%
Additional enclosed patio	each 300 sf	\$ 295.82	\$ 716.00	\$ 420.18	242%
Photovoltaic System					
Residential	each	\$ 258.84	\$ 236.00	\$ (22.84)	91%
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, up to 8 kilowatts	up to 8 kW	\$ 369.78	\$ 572.00	\$ 202.22	155%
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, each additional 1 kilowatt	each 1 kW	\$ 36.98	\$ 11.00	\$ (25.98)	30%
Pile Foundation					
Cast in Place Concrete (first 10 piles)	up to 10	\$ 665.60	\$ 1,003.00	\$ 337.40	151%
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$ 443.73	\$ 859.00	\$ 415.27	194%
Driven (steel, pre-stressed concrete)	up to 10	\$ 665.60	\$ 1,003.00	\$ 337.40	151%
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$ 443.73	\$ 859.00	\$ 415.27	194%
Product Review	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Plan Review					
Standard Plan Review Hourly Rate	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
CALGreen Plan Review (minimum 2 hr charge in addition to Plan Check Fees)	per hour	\$ 295.82	\$ 143.00	\$ (152.82)	48%
Expedite Plan Review (1.5 times plan review)		\$ -		\$ -	0%
Outside of normal business hours, (minimum 2 hr charge in addition to Plan Check Fees)	per hour	\$ 295.82	\$ 214.00	\$ (81.82)	72%
Remodel—Residential					
Kitchen	up to 300 sf	\$ 591.64	\$ 645.00	\$ 53.36	109%
Bath	up to 300 sf	\$ 591.64	\$ 645.00	\$ 53.36	109%
Other Remodel	up to 300 sf	\$ 443.73	\$ 431.00	\$ (12.73)	97%
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$ 221.87	\$ 72.00	\$ (149.87)	32%
Other Remodel	1000 sf	\$ 1,405.15	\$ 4,320.00	\$ 2,914.85	307%
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$ 221.87	\$ 284.00	\$ 62.13	128%

Work Item	Unit	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
		Total Actual Cost	Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
Other Remodel	2500 sf +	\$ 1,848.89	\$ 5,739.00	\$ 3,890.11	310%
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$ 221.87	\$ 205.00	\$ (16.87)	92%
Re-roof					
Residential (max \$400 per building)	each 100 sf	\$ 15.68	\$ 17.00	\$ 1.32	108%
Multi-Family Dwelling (max \$400 per building)	each 100 sf	\$ 15.68	\$ 17.00	\$ 1.32	108%
Commercial (first 5,000 sf)	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 394.00	\$ 24.22	107%
Commercial (each add'l 2,500 sf)	each 2,500 sf	\$ 147.91	\$ 179.00	\$ 31.09	121%
Retaining Wall (concrete or masonry)					
Standard (up to 50 lf)	up to 50 l.f.	\$ 665.60	\$ 859.00	\$ 193.40	129%
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$ 443.73	\$ 716.00	\$ 272.27	161%
Special Design, 3-10' high (up to 50 lf)	up to 50 l.f.	\$ 961.42	\$ 1,003.00	\$ 41.58	104%
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$ 591.64	\$ 859.00	\$ 267.36	145%
Special Design, over 10' high (up to 50 lf)	up to 50 l.f.	\$ 1,109.33	\$ 1,074.00	\$ (35.33)	97%
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$ 739.55	\$ 930.00	\$ 190.45	126%
Gravity/Crib Wall, 0-10' high (up to 50 lf)	up to 50 l.f.	\$ 961.42	\$ 1,074.00	\$ 112.58	112%
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$ 591.64	\$ 930.00	\$ 338.36	157%
Gravity/Crib Wall, over 10' high (up to 50 lf)	up to 50 l.f.	\$ 1,109.33	\$ 1,074.00	\$ (35.33)	97%
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$ 739.55	\$ 930.00	\$ 190.45	126%
Revisions					
Commercial New	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 859.00	\$ 193.40	129%
Tenant Improvement	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 859.00	\$ 193.40	129%
SFDWL	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 859.00	\$ 489.22	232%
Addition	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 716.00	\$ 346.22	194%
Remodel	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 716.00	\$ 420.18	242%
Sauna—steam	each	\$ 517.69	\$ 788.00	\$ 270.31	152%
Siding					
Stone and Brick Veneer (interior or exterior)	up to 400 sf	\$ 369.78	\$ 645.00	\$ 275.22	174%
All Other	up to 400 sf	\$ 295.82	\$ 431.00	\$ 135.18	146%
Additional siding	each 400 sf	\$ 73.96	\$ 215.00	\$ 141.04	291%
Signs					
Directional	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%
Each additional Directional Sign	each	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Ground/Roof/Projecting Signs	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Master Plan Sign Check	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Rework of any existing Ground Sign	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Other Sign	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Reinspection Fee	each	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Wall/Awning Sign, Non-Electric	each	\$ 221.87	\$ 286.00	\$ 64.13	129%
Wall, Electric	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%
Shed over 120 square feet	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 430.00	\$ (235.60)	65%
Skylight					
50 sf or less (cumulative area)	each	\$ 369.78	\$ 431.00	\$ 61.22	117%
Greater than 50 sf or structural	each	\$ 517.69	\$ 788.00	\$ 270.31	152%


Work Item	Unit	UNIT COSTS			Cost Recovery %
		Total Actual Cost	Average Current Fee	Subsidy to Public per Unit	
Solar Water Heating	each	\$ 147.91	\$ 109.00	\$ (38.91)	74%
Stairs—First Flight	first flight	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Each additional flight	per flight	\$ 147.91	\$ 215.00	\$ 67.09	145%
Storage Racks					
0-8' high (up to 100 lf)	first 100 lf	\$ 369.78	\$ 359.00	\$ (10.78)	97%
each additional 100 lf	each 100 lf	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
over 8' high (up to 100 lf)	first 100 lf	\$ 369.78	\$ 394.00	\$ 24.22	107%
each additional 100 lf	each 100 lf	\$ 73.96	\$ 107.00	\$ 33.04	145%
Stucco Applications	up to 400 sf	\$ 295.82	\$ 503.00	\$ 207.18	170%
Additional Stucco Application	each 400 sf	\$ 73.96	\$ 36.00	\$ (37.96)	49%
Supplemental Inspection Fee—If a project requires significantly more inspections than average, the Building Official may charge additional inspection fees		\$ -		\$ -	0%
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 30 min	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Overtime Plan Check Fee	per hour	\$ 221.87	\$ 214.00	\$ (7.87)	96%
Supplemental Plan Check Fee (after 2nd review)					
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 30 min	\$ 73.96	\$ 72.00	\$ (1.96)	97%
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$ 147.91	\$ 143.00	\$ (4.91)	97%
Swimming Pool/Spa					
Vinyl-lined (up to 800 sf)	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 1,003.00	\$ 337.40	151%
Fiberglass	each	\$ 665.60	\$ 716.00	\$ 50.40	108%
Gunite (up to 800 sf)	each	\$ 961.42	\$ 1,574.00	\$ 612.58	164%
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$ 221.87	\$ 215.00	\$ (6.87)	97%
Commercial pool (up to 800 sf)	each	\$ 1,627.02	\$ 2,004.00	\$ 376.98	123%
Commercial pool (over 800 sf)	each	\$ 443.73	\$ 251.00	\$ (192.73)	57%
Spa or Hot Tub (Pre-fabricated)	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 359.00	\$ 63.18	121%
Temporary Occupancy Permit - flat rate	2 hours	\$ 295.82	\$ 286.00	\$ (9.82)	97%
Temporary Structures	each	\$ 443.73	\$ 430.00	\$ (13.73)	97%
Tenant Improvement Preparation	each	\$ 295.82	\$ 417.00	\$ 121.18	141%
Window or Sliding Glass Door					
Replacement (first 8 windows)	first 8	\$ 221.87	\$ 431.00	\$ 209.13	194%
Replacement (each add'l 8 windows)	each 8	\$ 73.96	\$ 143.00	\$ 69.04	193%
New Window (non structural)	each	\$ 184.89	\$ 503.00	\$ 318.11	272%
New window (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$ 258.84	\$ 859.00	\$ 600.16	332%
Bay Window (structural)	each	\$ 258.84	\$ 859.00	\$ 600.16	332%

COMPARATIVE SURVEY

**CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
BUILDING COMPARATIVE FEE SURVEY**

Single Family Home - New
Occupancy Type: R3
Square Footage: 3,100 sqft.
Valuation: \$371,165


	BP Fee	PC Fee	Total
Cupertino - Current - Square Footage	\$ 3,060	\$ 3,088	\$ 6,147
Cupertino @ 100% Cost Recovery	\$ 4,171	\$ 3,685	\$ 7,856
Santa Clara - Valuation	\$ 2,530	\$ 1,897	\$ 4,427
Mountain View - Valuation	\$ 3,899	\$ 2,535	\$ 6,434
Milpitas - Square Footage	\$ 5,461	\$ 3,580	\$ 9,041
Sunnyvale - Valuation	\$ 3,622	\$ 2,536	\$ 6,158
Palo Alto - Valuation	\$ 4,348	\$ 3,478	\$ 7,826
Average	\$ 3,972	\$ 2,805	\$ 6,777



**CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
BUILDING COMPARATIVE FEE SURVEY**

Retail Shell Building
Occupancy Type: M
Square Footage: 20,000 sqft.
Valuation: \$1,841,000


	BP Fee	PC Fee	Total
Cupertino - Current - Square Footage	\$ 9,908	\$ 5,484	\$ 15,392
Cupertino @ 100% Cost Recovery	\$ 6,286	\$ 7,980	\$ 14,266
Santa Clara - Valuation	\$ 8,691	\$ 6,518	\$ 15,209
Mountain View - Valuation	\$ 13,445	\$ 8,739	\$ 22,185
Milpitas - Square Footage	\$ 5,195	\$ 13,199	\$ 18,394
Sunnyvale - Valuation	\$ 11,770	\$ 8,239	\$ 20,009
Palo Alto - Valuation	\$ 15,154	\$ 12,123	\$ 27,277
Average	\$ 10,851	\$ 9,764	\$ 20,615



**CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
BUILDING COMPARATIVE FEE SURVEY**

Office - Tenant Improvement
Occupancy Type: B
Square Footage: 3,000 sqft.
Valuation: \$388,476


	BP Fee	PC Fee	Total
Cupertino - Current - Square Footage	\$ 2,613	\$ 2,377	\$ 4,990
Cupertino @ 100% Cost Recovery	\$ 3,844	\$ 3,254	\$ 7,098
Santa Clara - Valuation	\$ 2,627	\$ 1,970	\$ 4,596
Mountain View - Valuation	\$ 4,050	\$ 2,633	\$ 6,683
Milpitas - Square Footage	\$ 2,037	\$ 1,611	\$ 3,648
Sunnyvale - Valuation	\$ 3,759	\$ 2,632	\$ 6,391
Palo Alto - Valuation	\$ 4,515	\$ 3,612	\$ 8,128
Average	\$ 3,398	\$ 2,491	\$ 5,889



**CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
BUILDING COMPARATIVE FEE SURVEY**

Restaurant - New
Occupancy Type: A-2
Square Footage: 10,000 sqft.
Valuation: \$2,713,600

	BP Fee	PC Fee	Total
Cupertino - Current - Square Footage	\$ 11,109	\$ 7,192	\$ 18,301
Cupertino @ 100% Cost Recovery	\$ 5,012	\$ 7,114	\$ 12,125
Santa Clara - Valuation	\$ 11,876	\$ 8,907	\$ 20,783
Mountain View - Valuation	\$ 18,375	\$ 11,944	\$ 30,319
Milpitas - Square Footage	\$ 6,878	\$ 7,779	\$ 14,657
Sunnyvale - Valuation	\$ 15,670	\$ 10,969	\$ 26,640
Palo Alto - Valuation	\$ 20,817	\$ 16,653	\$ 37,470
Average	\$ 14,723	\$ 11,251	\$ 25,974



**CITY OF CUPERTINO, CALIFORNIA
BUILDING COMPARATIVE FEE SURVEY**


Warehouse - New

Occupancy Type: S-1

Square Footage: 20,000 sqft.

Valuation: \$1,234,600


	BP Fee	PC Fee	Total
Cupertino - Current - Square Footage	\$ 11,898	\$ 8,262	\$ 20,160
Cupertino @ 100% Cost Recovery	\$ 4,345	\$ 5,962	\$ 10,307
Santa Clara - Valuation	\$ 6,477	\$ 4,858	\$ 11,335
Mountain View - Valuation	\$ 10,019	\$ 6,512	\$ 16,531
Milpitas - Square Footage	\$ 5,195	\$ 13,199	\$ 18,394
Sunnyvale - Valuation	\$ 9,059	\$ 6,341	\$ 15,401
Palo Alto - Valuation	\$ 11,218	\$ 8,974	\$ 20,193
Average	\$ 8,394	\$ 7,977	\$ 16,371




Category	Cupertino		Santa Clara	Mountain View	Milpitas	Sunnyvale	Palo Alto
	Current	Full Cost					
Tentative Map (Five or More Parcels)	\$15,974	\$21,179	Up to one Acre \$7,300 One Acre+ \$12,200	\$2,889	\$10,000 deposit	N / A	\$5,905 Deposit
Parcel Map (0-4 Parcels)	\$7,461	\$12,732	Tentative: Up to one Acre \$4,300 One Acre+ \$5,200 Vesting: Up to one Acre \$6,000 One Acre+ \$6,900	\$1,947	Tentative: \$5,000 deposit	N / A	\$1,077
Use Permit / Development Permit - Major	\$15,974	\$21,343	\$7,800	N / A	N / A	N / A	\$3,799
Use Permit / Development Permit - Minor	\$7,461	\$12,809	\$2,500				
Amendment to Use Permit / Development Permit - Major	\$7,988	\$10,746	N / A				N / A
Amendment to Use Permit / Development Permit - Minor	\$3,730	\$5,884					\$961
Architectural and Site Approval - Major	\$7,461	\$13,189	\$5,800	N / A	N / A	N / A	\$3,712 deposit
Architectural and Site Approval - Minor	\$3,609	\$8,862	\$5,800				\$2,896
Architectural and Site Approval - Minor Duplex / Residential	\$3,609	\$5,768	\$610				\$1,448
Environmental Impact Report (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	\$27,948	\$27,948	\$16,000	Cost + 15%	\$20,000 deposit	N / A	N / A
Negative Declaration - Major (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	\$4,299	\$4,299	\$12,500	\$2,973		\$770	\$3,309
Negative Declaration - Minor (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	\$2,149	\$2,149	\$9,000				
Categorical Exemption (Plus County Filing Fee)	\$250	\$230	N / A	N / A		N / A	\$324
Fence Exception	\$716	\$2,891	N / A	\$526	N / A	N / A	\$1,193
Variance	\$2,813	\$5,167	Single Family Detached \$1,300 All Others \$4,300	\$2,457	Single Family: \$375 Non Residential: \$3,000 deposit	\$440	Residential \$2,436 Commercial \$5,138
Conditional Use Permit - Administrative	\$3,730	\$4,676	N / A	\$3,858	\$3,000 deposit	N / A	N / A
Tree Removal Permit	\$0	\$0	N / A		N / A	\$279	N / A
First Tree	\$180	\$1,362					
Each Additional Tree	\$92	\$160					
Heritage Tree Designation	\$107	\$2,630		\$526		\$161	
Temporary Use Permit	\$1,439	\$2,824	N / A	\$368	N / A	\$161	\$190
Temporary Sign Permit	\$211	\$319	\$50	N / A	N / A	\$0	\$61
Sign Program	\$721	\$2,582	Individual: \$300 Master: \$453	\$579	N / A	\$788	N / A
Appeals	\$182	Planning Commission \$7,834 City Council \$8,239	\$360	50% of original fee	\$100	\$161	N / A
Zoning Verification Letter	\$190	\$338	\$300	N / A	\$40	N / A	N / A
Public Convenience & Necessity Letter (Alcohol Beverage License)	\$190	\$169	N / A	\$1,333	N / A	N / A	N / A
Noticing	\$0	\$368	Single Family: \$330 All Other: \$5,600	N / A	N / A	500 Ft: \$309 1,000 Ft: \$972 2,000 Ft: \$1,989 Renoticing: \$143	N / A

Planning Comparative Survey - Charts


Tentative Map (Five or More Parcels)




Parcel Map (0-4 Parcels)



Use Permit




Architectural and Site Approval




Planning Comparative Survey - Charts


Environmental Review




Fence Exception







Variance



Conditional Use Permit - Administrative




Planning Comparative Survey - Charts




Planning Comparative Survey - Charts

Noticing



Zoning Verification Letter



Public Works Comparative Survey


CC 04-20-2021

415 of 842


FEE CATEGORY	Cupertino		Campbell	Sunnyvale	Santa Clara	Mountain View
	Current Fee	Full Cost				
Block Party	\$ -	\$ 533		\$ 25	\$ -	
Certificates of Compliance	\$ 1,540	\$ 2,461	\$ 1,840	\$ 575	Actual Cost	\$ 700
Certificates of Correction	\$ 169	\$ 703	\$ 550	N / A	\$ 3,122	N / A
Lot Line Adjustment	\$ 3,012	\$ 2,477	\$ 1,856	\$ 1,157	\$ 6,948	\$ 2,350
				per lot		
				\$ 49		
Parcel Map / Tract Map (Map Checking Fees):						
Parcel Map (1-4 lots)	\$ 4,254	\$ 4,973	\$ 3,850	\$ 3,093	\$ 17,855	\$ 2,908
			per lot			
			\$ 83			
Tract Map (>4 lots)	\$ 8,831	\$ 8,165	\$ 4,681	5-10 lots	\$ 19,972	\$ 4,907
				\$ 4,949		
				11-50 lots		
				\$ 5,774		
				50+ lots		
				\$ 8,660		
Plus Each lot (Tract Map Only)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ 114	N / A	\$ 289	\$ 60
Vacation of Street of Right-of-Way						
Summary	\$ 603	\$ 1,882	N / A	\$ 1,930	N / A	\$ 1,596
Full	\$ 603	\$ 2,997		\$ 3,012		
Encroachment Permits						
Minor Utility Permit Minor Major	\$ 263	\$ 272	\$ 385	Construction less than 50 feet	Processing Fee	Debris Box / Temporary Storage Container
	\$ 263	\$ 394	\$ 220	\$ 301	\$ 242	\$ 118
	\$ 525	\$ 678	\$ 385	50+ ft in length	All Others	Non-Residential
				\$ 301 + \$181 / 100 ft	\$320 min or 8% of cost	\$ 2,034
Other Categories	N / A	N / A	N / A	N / A	Field Marking - Sanitary Sewer or Storm Drain Encroachment	Residential
					0-50 ft	\$ 1,112
					\$ 161	Temporary
					50+ ft	\$ 874
					\$161 + \$1.32 / foot	
Review of building permit only	\$ 655	\$ 741		\$ 455		
Plan Check & Inspection - Review of Public / Private Improvement Plans:						
Residential	\$ 2,788 or 5% of cost	\$ 3,349	\$0-\$250,000	\$0 to \$10,000	N / A	\$0-\$50,000
			14% of Cost	\$4,030		7.5% of Construction Cost
			\$250,000-\$550,000	\$10,001-\$50,000		\$50,001-\$500,000
			\$35,000 + 8% of Cost	\$4,030 + 35% of cost		\$3,750 + 4.5% of Construction Cost
Commercial	\$ 4,498 or 6% of cost	\$ 6,266	\$550,001+	\$50,001-\$1,000,000	N / A	\$500,001+
			\$55,000 + 7% of Cost	\$18,030 + 4% of cost		\$24,000 + 3.5% of Construction Cost
				\$1,000,001+		
				\$56,030 + 1% of cost		

Public Works Comparative Survey - Charts


Certificates of Compliance




Certificate of Correction





Tract Map (4+ lots)



Parcel Map (0-4 lots)



Public Works Comparative Survey - Charts



RESOLUTION NO: 21-XXX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO AMENDING PREVIOUS FEE SCHEDULES

WHEREAS, the State of California requires fees charged for service rendered not to exceed the cost of delivering said services; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing has been held to review user fees; and

WHEREAS, the City Council of the City of Cupertino has established guidelines for setting user fees;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. User Fee Resolution Number 20-038 is hereby amended
2. User fees are amended per attached Schedule E to this Resolution, effective April 21, 2021.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Cupertino this 20th day of April, 2021 by the following vote:

Vote

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	_____ Date
ATTEST: _____ Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	_____ Date

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation

Recreation classes and excursion fees shall be determined as follows:

Classes

1. Determine the maximum hourly rate paid to instructor.
2. Multiply the instructor's hourly rate by the number of class meetings.
3. Determine the minimum number of participants and divide into the instructor's cost.
4. Add indirect overhead percent - 32%.
5. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
6. Add cost for specialized equipment or supplies.

Special Conditions: For classes taught by contract instructors, the indirect overhead is only added to the City's percentage.

Excursions

1. Transportation cost divided by the number of participants plus overhead transfer.
2. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
3. Add any admission cost, supplies or leadership cost.

Additional factors that may be used to determine the class or excursion user fee:

The total number of participants in a given activity may generate additional revenue whereby the total program cost may be reduced.

Classes that traditionally have waiting lists may have the user fee increased.

Programs in competition with adjacent cities or the private sector may require fees to be increased or decreased to remain competitive.

Facility Use Fee Schedule (Staff Use Only)

CLASSIFICATIONS:

- Group 1: Cupertino-serving non-profits with 1/3 resident membership/participation, a Cupertino business address, or demonstrated service to Cupertino; government organizations; sponsored clubs; functions held by non-profits that are free and open to the Cupertino public. These organizations must show an official structure and status.
- Group 2: Non-resident non-profit recreation, education or community service organizations for functions not open to the public. These organizations must show official structure and status.
- Group 3: Cupertino Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc. Must show proof of 95014 residency.
- Group 4: Non-Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Quinlan Community Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOURS

<u>Cupertino Room</u>	Mon-Fri up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm-Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$168	\$252	\$280	\$420
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$224	\$336	\$368	\$552
 <u>Social Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$60	\$90
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$32	\$48	\$96	\$144
Resident (Group 3)	\$72	\$108	\$120	\$180
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$90	\$135	\$150	\$225
 <u>Conference Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Cupertino Room	\$500
Social Room	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Community Hall

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$120	\$180	\$200	\$300
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$450

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Community Hall

\$500

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Cupertino Library: Will be approved for a select number of uses of Community Hall without fee, based on approval from the Recreation & Community Services Department Director. The Library is exempt from the provision of deposits relating to the use of Community Hall.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Monta Vista Recreation Center/Creekside Park Building/Wilson Park Building

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

WILSON PARK BUILDING/CREEKSIDE/MONTA VISTA- Multi-Purpose Room

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$24	\$36	\$39	\$59
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$30	\$45	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$60	\$90	\$100	\$150
	<hr/>			
	Resident	Non-Resident		
Bounce House (Memorial & Creekside Park Only)	\$25	\$25		

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A \$100 security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Creekside Park building security deposit \$100. If alcohol is served security deposit is \$250.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Wilson Park Building rental would not include access to ceramic wheels, kiln, or specialized art equipment.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

	Resident	Non-Resident
Annual Membership	\$23	\$28
Volunteer Membership*	\$18	\$23
Day Pass Fee	\$5	\$5
Class Pass	\$10	\$10
Day Trip Pass	\$20	\$20

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fees*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fees*
Reception Hall				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$144	\$216	\$240	\$360
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$180	\$270	\$300	\$450
Bay Room/ Arts and Craft				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$22	\$33	\$36	\$54
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$27	\$41	\$45	\$68
Resident (Group 3)	\$43	\$65	\$72	\$108
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$54	\$81	\$90	\$135
Classroom				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$32	\$48
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$24	\$36	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$38	\$57	\$64	\$96
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Conference Room				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

*Members who have volunteered 20+ hours in the previous calendar year qualify for this rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Reception Hall	\$500
All Other Rooms	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Child Care/Teen Center

	Type	Resident	Non-Resident
<u>Day Passes</u>			
Single Day Pass		\$10	\$13
Day Pass 5 Pack		\$45	\$59
Day Pass 10 Pack		\$90	\$117
Drop-In Fitness		\$10	\$10
Drop-In Fitness 5 Pack		\$45	\$45
Drop-In Fitness 10 Pack		\$90	\$90
Drop-In Sports		\$7	\$7
Drop-In Sports 5 Pack		\$32	\$32
Drop-In Sports 10 Pack		\$63	\$63
Body Fat Testing		\$25	\$25
Friday Night Social Members		\$3	\$3
Friday Night Social Non-Member		\$8	\$8
<u>Monthly Passes</u>			
One Month	Single	\$65	\$75
One Month	Couple	\$85	\$100
One Month	Family	\$105	\$125
One Month	Senior	\$50	\$60
One Month	Students	\$30	\$30
<u>Annual Passes</u>			
One Year	Single	\$440	\$475
One Year	Couple	\$825	\$900
One Year	Family	\$920	\$1,000
One Year	Senior	\$395	\$425
One Year	Corp. Single	\$350	\$350
One Year	Corp. Family	\$850	\$850
One Year	Tennis Only	\$420	\$440

Family membership includes up to 2 adults and 2 children under 18, each additional child is \$100/year or \$8/month.

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Conference Room	Current
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16
Resident (Group 3)	\$31
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39
Multi-Purpose Room/Sports Court	Current
Resident	\$60
Non-Resident	\$72

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/Child Care/Teen Center

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

1. The Cupertino Tennis Club will be charged \$12.00/hour during primetime and \$8.00/hour per court during non-primetime for all C.T.C. sponsored activities other than U.S.T.A. leagues and practices.
2. All competitors in C.T.C./U.S.T.A. leagues participating at the Sports Center must purchase an annual pass.
3. Specials will be offered on an on-going basis.

Childcare Fees

One visit	\$7
Ten visits	\$63

Teen Center

Rental Rate (per 3 hours minimum)	\$210
Deposit	\$750
Overtime Rate: \$100.00 first hour; \$50.00 each half hour after.	
Extra Fees: \$35 each half hour (30 min); \$70 each hour (1 hr)	

	Teen Resident	Teen Non-Resident
Annual Rate	No Cost	\$25

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Memorial Softball Field

Cupertino residents/Resident business only	\$35/2 hrs
Non-Residents/Non-Resident business	\$50/2 hrs
Field can be reserved for a maximum of 4 hours. THERE IS NO FEE FOR CURRENT SOFTBALL TEAMS PLAYING IN CUPERTINO LEAGUES	
Field preparation (includes dragging, watering, chalking, and bases)	\$37
Field Attendant (2 hour minimum). Field Attendant is required any time lights or field preparation is requested.	\$14/hr
Lights	\$10/hr

Memorial Park Amphitheater

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Memorial Park Gazebo

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate)

	Resident	Non-Resident
Memorial (113 capacity)	\$113	\$154
Linda Vista (136 capacity)	\$136	\$186
Portal (80 capacity)	\$80	\$109
Electricity at Memorial or Linda Vista Park	\$25	\$25
Bounce House (Memorial Park Only)	\$25	\$25

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$22
Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66
Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Deposit	\$600
Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, youth, non-profit volunteer organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Non-resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$88
Non-resident, adult, non-profit volunteer organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$100
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit youth sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$150
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit adult sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$175
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600

* Represents whether an organization's members and board of directors are made up of 51% or more of either City residents or non-residents. After the category is determined, then the resident or non-resident fees are paid per the residency of each player.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm

<u>Picnic Areas (Daily Rate) *</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
Area 1 - 250 Person Picnic Area- Blackberry Site	\$300	\$600
Area 2 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Sycamore Site	\$120	\$240
Area 3 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Owl Site	\$120	\$240
Area 4 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Steelhead Site	\$60	\$120
Area 5 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Bobcat Site	\$60	\$120
Area 6 - 25 Person Picnic Area- Acorn Site	\$30	\$60
All Sites- 525 Person Picnic Area	\$690	\$1,380
Pool Pass for Picnic Area Groups	\$4	\$5
<u>Day-Use Pass Only</u>		
Weekday Tuesday - Friday	\$6	\$8
Weekend Saturday - Sunday	\$8	\$10

Picnic area fees are due in full at the time of reservation.

* Organizations may rent multiple areas.

<u>Swim Pass Options</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
10-day Pass	\$60	\$80
<u>Season Swim Pass (May-Sept)</u>		
Individual Pass	\$100	\$140
2-Person Pass	\$160	\$200
3-Person Pass	\$170	\$210
4-Person Pass	\$180	\$220
5-Person Pass	\$190	\$230
6-Person Pass*	\$200	\$240
*Each additional person added to a 6-person pass	\$6	\$8

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Environmental Education Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Contracted Tenants and Partners*	No Charge	Overtime Charges*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$55	\$83
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$88	\$132

*Onsite tenants and partnering organizations that have a current lease agreement with the City of Cupertino.

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$300 will be due at time of booking for rentals. (except for Contracted Tenants and Partners)

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Park Special Events Permits

Application Fee (per event; non-refundable)	\$75
Memorial Park - 1/2 park per event day; 500 or fewer attendees	\$1,000
Memorial Park - full park per event day; 501 or more attendees	\$2,000
Event Day Staffing - City Staff	At Cost
Event Day Staffing - Sheriff's Office	At Cost

Memorial Park Special Event Permit for nonprofit/civic organizations only.

Additional permit fees may be charged for park uses, as determined by the Director of Recreation & Community Services.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$1,000 is due 30 days prior to event. The security deposit payment is required before a Special Event Permit will be issued.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance is required as stipulated in the Department's Special Events Permit.

Community Gardens

Full Plot	\$100/year
Half Plot	\$50/year
Box	\$25/year
Garden bed annual assessment	\$110
Garden bed annual assessment - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$55
Garden bed refundable security deposit	\$150
Garden bed refundable security deposit - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$75
<u>Security Deposit</u>	
A security deposit of \$50 is required for first year gardeners.	

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Daily Green Fee Schedule

<u>Weekends</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
9-Holes	\$18	\$20
Senior	\$18	\$20
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14
 <u>Weekdays</u>		
9-Holes	\$16	\$18
Senior	\$15	\$17
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$11	\$14

All Groups and Tournaments pay the 9-Hole rate (Cupertino residents still applies). Staff is authorized to set merchandise fees according to current cost.

	Adults (17-50)	Jr/Sr
<u>Monthly Rate*</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$165	\$150
Non-Residents	\$195	\$180
<u>Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,310	\$1,160
Non-Residents	\$1,610	\$1,460
<u>Semi-Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$710	\$630
Non-Residents	\$870	\$790
<u>Super Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,650	\$1,500
Non-Residents	\$1,950	\$1,800
<u>Super Semi-Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$880	\$800
Non-Residents	\$1,040	\$960

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Power Cart Rental Packs

10 Pack	\$65	\$65
20 Pack	\$120	\$120
30 Pack	\$165	\$165
40 Pack	\$200	\$200
50 Pack	\$225	\$225

Rentals

Power Cart	\$7	\$7
Pull Cart	\$3	\$3
Soccer Balls	\$3	\$3
Clubs - Adult	\$6	\$6
Clubs - Junior	\$3.50	\$3.50

Daily Foot Golf Fee Schedule

Weekends

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5

Week days

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5
Second 9 holes	\$12	\$14

*Specials will be offered on an on-going basis

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective April 21, 2021
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Proposed Quick Passes

<u>Base Rate</u>		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
		Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekend	Weekend
	Savings	Senior	Adult	Senior	Adult		
	per						
# of Plays	round	Res.	Res.	NR	NR	Res.	NR
10	\$1	\$140	\$150	\$160	\$170	\$170	\$190
20	\$2	\$260	\$280	\$300	\$320	\$320	\$360
30	\$3	\$360	\$390	\$420	\$450	\$450	\$510
40	\$4	\$440	\$480	\$520	\$560	\$560	\$640
50	\$5	\$500	\$550	\$600	\$650	\$650	\$750

Golf fees may be increased/decreased at the discretion of the City Manager (Resolution No. 04-350)

* Monthly Passes valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1\10th of the Annual Rate.

RESOLUTION NO: 21-XXX

A RESOLUTION OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO AMENDING PREVIOUS FEE SCHEDULES

WHEREAS, the State of California requires fees charged for service rendered not to exceed the cost of delivering said services; and

WHEREAS, a public hearing has been held to review user fees; and

WHEREAS, In 2016 the City conducted a Cost of Service (User Fee) Study and conducts annual updates pursuant to economic factors discussed in the staff report for this item to ensure that the fees charged do not exceed the cost of delivering the services.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that:

1. User fees are amended per attached Schedules A, B, C, D, and E to this Resolution, effective July 1, 2021.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at a regular meeting of the City Council of the City of Cupertino this 20th day of April, 2021 by the following vote:

Vote

Members of the City Council

AYES:

NOES:

ABSENT:

ABSTAIN:

SIGNED: _____ Darcy Paul, Mayor City of Cupertino	_____ Date
ATTEST: _____ Kirsten Squarcia, City Clerk	_____ Date

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule A - General

Fee Description	FY2020-21			FY2021-22	
	Fee	\$ Change	% Change	Fee	
Abatement Fee	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
All Municipal Code Parking Violations (including County and State fees)	\$71.90	\$1.13	1.6%	\$73.03	
Bingo Permit (new)	\$179.18	\$2.81	1.6%	\$181.99	
Business License Database	\$21.68	\$0.34	1.6%	\$22.02	
Candidate Statement Fee (County Regulated Fee)	Current County Registrar Cost			Current County Registrar Cost	
City Administrative Fee	15%			15%	
Code Enforcement	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Community Festivals - One-time Business License (correction)	\$11.19	\$0.18	1.6%	\$11.36	
Community Festivals - Business Partners	\$57.06	\$0.90	1.6%	\$57.96	
Community Festivals - Additional 10' x 10' space (includes an additional table and	\$10.29	\$0.16	1.6%	\$10.45	
Community Festivals - Non-profit partners	\$10.29	\$0.16	1.6%	\$10.45	
Compilation of New Records	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
CVC Parking Citation Dismissals Admin Fee (State Regulated Fee)	\$29.67	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.14	
Damage to City Property					
Grounds, Streets, Facilities, Traffic Engineering/Maintenance	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Dangerous Dog Annual Registration Fee	\$227.11	\$3.57	1.6%	\$230.68	
Commercial Kennel Permit	\$0.00	\$302.00		\$302.00	
Duplicate Business Licenses	\$11.41	\$0.18	1.6%	\$11.59	
Event Video Taping/Editing	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
False Alarms	\$86.74	\$1.36	1.6%	\$88.10	
Farmers Market	\$2.86	\$0.04	1.6%	\$2.90	
Fingerprinting Processing (State Fee \$32 plus County Fee \$20)	\$59.35	\$0.93	1.6%	\$60.28	
Flea Markets (per month)	\$12.10	\$0.19	1.6%	\$12.29	
Public Requests for GIS Printed Maps					
Standard pre-formatted maps					
Plotted maps	\$31.96	\$0.50	1.6%	\$32.46	
Printed maps	\$2.34	\$0.04	1.6%	\$2.38	
Custom request maps	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Prints/plots of aerial photography (see Engineering fees)					
Graffiti Cleanup	Actual Cost *			Actual Cost *	
Handbill Permit	\$141.03	\$2.21	1.6%	\$143.24	
Renewals	\$70.52	\$1.11	1.6%	\$71.62	
Internet Processing Fee	\$2.34	\$0.04	1.6%	\$2.38	
Late Payment on 30 Day Delinquent City Invoices	12% per annum			12% per annum	
Massage Establishment Fee (Includes fingerprinting/background					
check and business start-up inspection)	\$317.32	\$4.98	1.6%	\$322.31	
Renewals (Includes two inspections per year)	\$106.14	\$1.67	1.6%	\$107.81	
Massage Managing Employee (Includes fingerprint/bkgrnd ck)	\$281.89	\$4.43	1.6%	\$286.32	
Renewals	\$106.14	\$1.67	1.6%	\$107.81	
Massage Permit Appeal (Denial/Revocation)	\$705.30	\$11.07	1.6%	\$716.37	
Microfilm/Microfiche Printout	\$0.61	\$0.01	1.6%	\$0.62	
Municipal Code Book	Vendor Invoice			Vendor Invoice	
New Business Monthly Reports	\$39.94	\$0.63	1.6%	\$40.57	

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule A - General

Fee Description	FY2020-21			FY2021-22	
	Fee	\$ Change	% Change	Fee	
Noise Variances	\$219.12	\$3.44	1.6%	\$222.56	
Notary Fee (State Regulated Fee)	\$15.00/signature			\$15.00/signature	
Outside Agency Review / Services	Vendor Invoice + City Admin Fee			Vendor Invoice + City Admin Fee	
Petitions for Reconsideration	\$328.68	\$5.16	1.6%	\$333.84	
Photocopies - per sheet					
Standard sizes	\$0.26	\$0.00	1.6%	\$0.26	
For 11 x 17 sizes or color sheets	\$0.60	\$0.01	1.6%	\$0.61	
For Large format prints	\$29.84	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.31	
Fair Political Practices Commission	\$0.10	\$0.00	1.6%	\$0.10	
Fair Political Practices Commission (older than five (5) years)	\$5.15	\$0.08	1.6%	\$5.23	
Property Liens Administrative Fee	\$47.93	\$0.75	1.6%	\$48.68	
Returned Check Charge	\$29.67	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.14	
Sign Removal (Public Right-of-Way) (All except Political Signs)	\$5.71	\$0.09	1.6%	\$5.80	
Sign Recovery Fee for Political Signs	\$3.42	\$0.05	1.6%	\$3.47	
Small Income Business License	\$79.89	\$1.25	1.6%	\$81.14	
Solicitor Permit (Includes fingerprinting)	\$282.07	\$4.43	1.6%	\$286.50	
Renewals	\$70.76	\$1.11	1.6%	\$71.87	
Taxi Driver Permit (Includes fingerprinting/background check)	\$368.63	\$5.79	1.6%	\$374.42	
Renewals	\$70.76	\$1.11	1.6%	\$71.87	
Tobacco Retailer (County Regulated Fee)					
Application Fee	Current County Cost			Current County Cost	
Annual Fee	Current County Cost			Current County Cost	
Trash Fees					
Plan Review - Trash Enclosure	No Charge			No Charge	
Plan Review - Trash Management Plan	No Charge			No Charge	
Williamson Act Filings	\$126.68	\$1.99	1.6%	\$128.67	
Use Permit					
Application / Processing	\$1,145.83	\$17.99	1.6%	\$1,163.82	
Non-Conforming Use	\$305.86	\$4.80	1.6%	\$310.66	
Video/Audio Service					
DVD/CD	\$23.97	\$0.38	1.6%	\$24.35	
Flash Drive	\$14.84	\$0.23	1.6%	\$15.07	

* Actual cost is: 1) Employee hourly rate plus 55% for benefits and overhead, and
2) cost of materials, contractors, and supplies.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

FEE DESCRIPTION	FY 2020-21 Fee	\$ Change	% Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Encroachment Permits				
- Minor Encroachment Permits (Local Streets)	\$556	\$32	5.7%	\$588
- Minor Encroachment Permits (Utility)	\$384	\$22	5.7%	\$406
- Major Encroachment Permits (Arterials and Collectors)	\$958	\$55	5.7%	\$1,013
- Work without Permit	Double the permit cost			Double the permit cost
- Small Cell Facility Encroachment Permit	\$1,915	\$109	5.7%	\$2,024
Street Cuts Miscellaneous				
- Minor Street Cuts	\$1,534	\$87	5.7%	\$1,621
- Major Street Cuts	\$3,095	\$176	5.7%	\$3,271
- Special Major Permit (projects in excess of \$30,000 or over 15 working days)	5% of Project Costs and/or \$80 per inspection			5% of Project Costs and/or \$80 per inspection
Permit Extension	\$450	\$26	5.7%	\$476
Grading permit				
- <10,000 s.f. lot	\$1,183	\$67	5.7%	\$1,250
- 10,000 s.f. or greater	Greater of \$3,450 min. or 6% of cost of improvement	\$197	5.7%	Greater of \$3,647 min. or 6% of cost of improvement
Parcel Map/Tract Map (Map Checking Fee)				
- Parcel Map (1-4 lots)	\$7,021	\$400	5.7%	\$7,421
- Tract Map (> 4 lots)	\$11,527	\$657	5.7%	\$12,184
Plan Check and Inspection				
- Review of Building Permit Only	\$1,046	\$60	5.7%	\$1,106
Additional Plan Review - 3 or more reviews (Each)	\$239	\$14	5.7%	\$253/hr
Revisions to Plans and Permits	\$239/hr	\$14	5.7%	\$253/hr
Review of Public/Private Improvement Plans:				
- Residential	greater of \$4,634 min. or 5% of cost of improvement	\$264	5.7%	greater of \$4,898 min. or 5% of cost of improvement
- Commercial	greater of \$8,668 min. or 6% of cost of improvement	\$494	5.7%	greater of \$9,162 min. or 6% of cost of improvement
Geotechnical Professional Services 3rd Party Consultant Review	*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee			*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee
Structural Review	*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee			*Cost of review + City Administrative Fee
*Per Outside Agency Review/Services on Schedule A - General				
Public Works Confirmation	\$465	\$27	5.7%	\$492
Annexation (plus County filing fee)	\$2,534	\$144	5.7%	\$2,678
Certificates of Compliance				
- Initial Review	\$1,087	\$62	5.7%	\$1,149
- Finalize Certificates	\$1,087	\$62	5.7%	\$1,149
Lot Line Adjustment	\$3,497	\$199	5.7%	\$3,696
Transportation Impact Fee				
- Single Family	\$6,631/unit	\$166	2.5%	\$6,797/unit
- Multi-Family (Includes apartments, condos, and townhomes)	\$4,112/unit	\$103	2.5%	\$4,215/unit
- Retail	\$10.67/s.f.	\$0	2.5%	\$10.94/s.f.
- Office	\$18.68/s.f.	\$0	2.5%	\$19.15/s.f.
- Hotel	\$3,637/room	\$91	2.5%	\$3,728/room
- Other (per PM trip)	\$6,695/trip	\$167	2.5%	\$6,862/trip
Transportation Permit (State Regulated Fee)				
- Single	\$16			\$16
- Annual Utility Company	\$90			\$90
- Additional Engineering Investigation or Coordination	\$239/hr	\$34	5.7%	\$253/hr

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

CC 04-20-2021
439 of 842

FEE DESCRIPTION	FY 2020-21 Fee	\$ Change	% Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Banners				
- Large Banners Across Stevens Creek Boulevard	\$634	\$36	5.7%	\$673
Special Events/Parades	\$3,888	\$222	5.7%	\$4,110
Block Party	No Charge			No Charge
Vacation of Public Street ROW/PUE				
- Summary Vacation	\$2,657	\$151	5.7%	\$2,808
- Full Vacation	\$4,132	\$236	5.7%	\$4,368
Rural/Semi-Rural Classification Application				
- Application Phase	\$2,164	\$123	5.7%	\$2,287
- Implementation phase	\$1,324	\$75	5.7%	\$1,399
Certificate of Correction	\$992	\$57	5.7%	\$1,049
Floodplain Evaluation/Elevation Certificate Review	\$233	\$13	5.7%	\$246
Permit Parking Study				
- Application Phase	\$1,324	\$75	5.7%	\$1,399
- Implementation phase	\$1,083	\$62	5.7%	\$1,145
- Permit Parking Bi-annual Fee	No Charge			No Charge
Streamside Permit	\$425	\$24	5.7%	\$449
<u>Master Storm Drain Area Fees:</u>				
Low-Density Residential (Less than one dwelling unit per acre hillside zoning only)	\$3,875/dwelling unit	\$97	2.5%	\$3,972/dwelling unit
Single-Family Residential greater than one dwelling unit per acre and less than 5.2 dwelling units per	\$5,263/acre	\$132	2.5%	\$5,395/acre
Multiple Family greater than 5.2 dwelling units per acre	\$3,777/acre+	\$94	2.5%	\$3,871/acre+
	\$286/unit*	\$7	2.5%	\$293/unit*
*Maximum chargeable dwelling units of 20 units per acre.				
Commercial and Industrial	\$10,169/acre	\$254	2.5%	\$10,423/acre
Public Educational Uses	\$3,875/acre	\$97	2.5%	\$3,972/acre
Public Facility Uses	\$1,957/acre	\$49	2.5%	\$2,006/acre
Stormwater Permit Inspections - Commercial				
Initial Inspection	No charge			No charge
Re-Inspection for Violations	\$295	\$7	2.5%	\$302
Storm Management Plan Fee	\$1,484	\$37	2.5%	\$1,521
Public Works Staff Time	\$239/hr	\$14	5.7%	\$253/hr
<u>Park Land Dedication In-Lieu Fee*</u>				
Density of Dwelling Units/Ac				
0 - 5	\$105,000/DU			\$105,000/DU
5 - 10	\$60,000/DU			\$60,000/DU
10 - 20	\$60,000/DU			\$60,000/DU
20+	\$54,000/DU			\$54,000/DU
Senior Citizen Housing Dev.	\$30,000/DU			\$30,000/DU
ADU 750 SF or less more**	\$15,000 or proportional to the size of the main DU, whichever is less			\$15,000 or proportional to the size of the main DU, whichever is less
* Park Land Dedication Fees are calculated per Municipal Code section 13.08. On an annual basis, Public Works Department updates the fair market value of land based on appraised values of land sales.				
** ADU Park Land Dedication Fee is based on the density of the property per Municipal Code section 13.08, or proportionally to the size of the main dwelling unit as stipulated by State ADU mandates.				
<u>New Public Tree Cost Schedule:</u>				
Public Tree Planting Cost:				
24" Street Tree	\$427	\$11	2.5%	\$438
36" Street Tree or Larger	Actual costs			Actual costs

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

PUBLIC TREE DAMAGE OR REMOVAL FEE SCHEDULE:

This fee schedule is defined in Chapter 14.12 and establishes the fee to be paid to the City for damage to and/or removal of public trees.

1st time offenders, as defined in Chapter 14.12, shall be subject to a fee of 10% of the Public Tree Damage Fee or 10% of the Public Tree Removal Fee as defined below or \$600, whichever is higher, per public tree damaged and/or removed. No additional costs, such as stump removal, trimming, or replanting will apply.

Repeat offenders, intentional actors and professionals, as defined in Chapter 14.12, shall be subject to the following fees:

Public Tree Damage Fee:

\$100 per cumulative diameter inch of branch or root plus, if any, the actual costs incurred for immediate corrective pruning plus, if any, the calculated costs for future corrective pruning, as may be required to maintain the health of the tree.

Public Tree Removal Fee:

The fee for each tree removed shall be based upon the unmodified value of the tree removed (based upon diameter), multiplied by the species rating, multiplied by the condition rating.

$FEE = UNMODIFIED\ TREE\ VALUE \times SPECIES\ RATING \times CONDITION\ RATING$

For inputs, use the following values:

UNMODIFIED TREE	Refer to Unmodified Tree Value Table
SPECIES RATING	Refer to Species Rating Table
CONDITION RATING	Good = 1.00, Fair = 0.75, Poor = 0.50

The fee for trees less than 4 inches in diameter shall not be reduced by species or condition rating.

Trees larger than 40" shall have the fee determined by the most recent edition of the 'Guide for Plant Appraisal', published by the Council of Tree and Landscape Appraisers, using the trunk formula method.

No additional costs, such as stump removal, trimming or replanting will apply.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Unmodified Tree Value Table:

Tree size (diameter of trunk)

1" to 2"	\$348
2" to 3"	\$348
3" to 4"	\$1,039
4" to 5"	\$1,039
5" to 6"	\$1,404
6" to 7"	\$1,851
7" to 8"	\$2,378
8" to 9"	\$2,987
9" to 10"	\$3,677
10" to 11"	\$4,449
11" to 12"	\$5,301
12" to 13"	\$6,235
13" to 14"	\$7,249
14" to 15"	\$8,345
15" to 16"	\$9,522
16" to 17"	\$10,780
17" to 18"	\$12,120
18" to 19"	\$13,540
19" to 20"	\$15,042
20" to 21"	\$16,625
21" to 22"	\$18,290
22" to 23"	\$20,036
23" to 24"	\$21,862
24" to 25"	\$23,769
25" to 26"	\$25,758
26" to 27"	\$27,829
27" to 28"	\$29,980
28" to 29"	\$32,212
29" to 30"	\$34,527
30" to 31"	\$36,920
31" to 32"	\$39,396
32" to 33"	\$41,954
33" to 34"	\$44,593
34" to 35"	\$47,312
35" to 36"	\$50,113
36" to 37"	\$52,995
37" to 38"	\$55,958
38" to 39"	\$59,003
39" to 40"	\$62,128

Measurement shall be measured 4.5 feet above the ground level and rounded down to the nearest whole inch.

If the tree is multi-trunk, use 1.5 times the diameter of the largest trunk to determine fee.

If there is tree damage 4-5 feet above the ground, trunk diameter is to be measured 1 foot above ground level and 1 inch is to be subtracted from the diameter to determine fee.

If the tree is removed to the ground, tree inventory data will be used to determine the trunk diameter.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Acacia	ACACIA	60
Blackwoodacacia	ACACIA MELANOXYLON	60
Trident maple	ACER BUERGERIANUM	90
Big leaf maple	ACER MACROPHYLLUM**	100
Japanese maple	ACER PALMATUM	90
Red maple	ACER REBRUM	70
Silver maple	ACER SACCHARINUM	80
California buckeye	AESCULUS CALIFORNICA**	100
Red hoursechesnut	AESCULUS X CARNEA	90
Tree of heaven	AILANTHUS ALTISSIMA	0
Silk tree	ALBIZIA JULIBRISSIN	50
Black Alder	ALNUS GLUTINOSA	80
Strawberry madrone	ARBUTUS MARINA	90
Madrone	ARBUTUS MENZIESII	100
Hong Kong orchid	BAUHIARIA BLAKEANA	75
Birch	BETULA ALBA	60
Incense cedar	CALOCEDRUS DECURRENS	80
Horsetail tree	CASUARINA EQUISETIFOLIA	75
Blue atlas cedar	CEDRUS ATLANTICA**	100
Deodora cedar	CEDRUS DEODARA**	100
Chinese hackberry	CELTUS SINENSIS	65
Carob tree	CERATONIA SILIQUA	70
Redbud(eastern)	CERCIS CANADENSIS	75
Camphor tree	CINNAMOMUM CAMPHORA	70
Citrus	CITRUS SP	40
English hawthorn	CRATAEGUS LAEVIGATA	70
Cypress	CUPRESSACEAE	80
Italian cypress	CUPRESSUS SEMPERVIRENS	80
Japanese persimmon	DIOSPYROS KAKI	40
Loquat	ERIOPHYTUM DEFLEXA	60
Blue gum tree	EUCALYPTUS GLOBULUS	70
Eucalyptus	EUCALYPTUS SP	60
Mission fig	FICUS CARICA	40
Autumn purple ash	FRAXINUS AMERICANA	80
Raywood ash	FRAXINUS ANGUSTIFOLIA 'RAYWOOD'	80
Moraine ash	FRAXINUS HOLOTRICHA	80
Shamel ash	FRAXINUS UHDEI	80
Modesto ash	FRAXINUS VELUTINA 'MODESTO'	80
Australian willow	GEIJERA PARVIFOLIA	80
Maidenhair	GINKGO BILOBA	80
Honey locust	GLADYSTIA TRIACANTHOS	70
Silk oak tree	GREVILLEA ROBUSTA	70
English holly	ILLEX AQUIFOLIUM	40
Jacaranda	JACARANDA MIMMOSIFOLIA	70
Walnut	JUGLANS	70

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Black walnut	JUGLANS HINDSII	70
Chinese flame tree	KOELREUTERIA BIPINNATA	80
Muskogee crape myrtle	LAGERSTROMIA 'MUSKOGEE'	80
Nanchez crape myrtle	LAGERSTROMIA 'NANCHEZ'	80
Tuscarora crape myrtle	LAGERSTROMIA 'TUSCARORA'	80
Sweet bay	LAURUS NOBILIS	80
Japanese privet	LIGUSTRUM JAPONICUM	30
American sweetgum	LIQUIDAMBER STYRACIFLUA	40
Tulip tree	LIRIODENDRON	60
Brisbane box tree	LOPHOSTEMON CONFERTUS	90
Magnolia	MAGNOLIA GRANDIFOLIA RUSSET	75
Magnolia (dwarf)	MAGNOLIA GRANDIFOLIA ST MARY	75
Saucer magnolia	MAGNOLIA SOLINGIANA	75
Crabapple tree	MALUS FLORIBUNDA	90
Apple	MALUS SP	40
Mayten tree	MAYTENUS	70
Malaleuca(broad leaf)	MELALEUCA LEUCADENDRA	60
Malaleuca(narrow leaf)	MELALEUCA LINARIFOLIA	60
Dawn redwood	METASAUQUOIA GLYPTOSTROBILIDES	100
Fruitless mulberry	MORUS ALBA	40
Black mulberry	MORUS NIGRA	40
Myoprum	MYOPORUM LAETUM	70
Oleander tree	NERIUM OLEANDER	40
Olive	OLEA EUROPAEA	70
Devilwood	OSMANTHUS AMERICANUS	0
Palm	PALM*	40
Avocado	PERSEA AMERICANA	60
Red leaf photinia	PHOTINIA GLABRA	60
Spruce	PICEA	80
Colorado spruce	PICEA PUNGENS	80
Colorado blue spruce	PICEA PUNGENS 'GLAUCA'	80
Italian stone pine	PINUS PINEA	90
Pine	PINUS SP	30
Chinese pistacio	PISTACIA CHINENSIS	80
Lemonwood tree	PITTOSPORUM EUGENIODES	40
Japanese cheesewood	PITTOSPORUM TOBIRA	40
London plane 'colombiana'	PLATANUS COLUMBIANA	95
Western Sycamore	PLATANUS RACEMOSA**	100
London plane 'bloodgood'	PLATANUS X HISPANICA 'BLOODGOOD'	95
Yew pine	PODOCARPUS MACROPHYLLUS	75
Poplar	POPULUS	60
Flowering cherry	PRUNUS AKEBONO	80
Wild Plum	PRUNUS AMARACANA	40
Almond tree	PRUNUS ALMOND	50
Apricot tree	PRUNUS APRICOT	40

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule B - Engineering

Species Rating Table

Common Name	Species	Rating%
Fruiting cherry	PRUNUS AVIUM	0
Carolina cherry	PRUNUS CAROLINIANA	60
Purple leaf plum	PRUNUS CERASFERA KRAUTER VESUVIUS	70
Peach tree	PRUNUS PERSICA	40
Douglas fir	PSEUDOTSUGA MENZIESII	80
Guava	PSIDIMUM GUAJAVA	40
Pomegranate	PUNICA GRANATUM	40
Aristocrat Flowering pear tree	PYRUS CALLERYANA 'ARISTOCRAT'	75
Bradford flowering pear	PYRUS CALLERYANA 'BRADFORD'	75
Chanticleer flowering pear	PYRUS CALLERYANA 'CHANTICLEER'	75
Evergreen flowering pear	PYRUS KAWAKAMII	75
Asian pear	PYRUS PYRIFOLIA	40
Oak	QUERCUS	90
Coast live oak	QUERCUS AGRIFOLIA**	100
White oak	QUERCUS ALBA	90
Texas red oak	QUERCUS BUCKEYI	90
Sierra oak	QUERCUS CAMBII	90
Blue oak	QUERCUS DOUGLASII**	100
Forest green oak	QUERCUS FRAINETTO	90
Holly oak	QUERCUS ILEX	90
Black oak	QUERCUS KELLOGGII**	100
Valley oak	QUERCUS LOBATA**	100
Red oak	QUERCUS SUBER	90
Cork oak	QUERCUS SUBER	90
Southern live oak	QUERCUS VIRGINIANA	100
Interior live oak	QUERCUS WISLIZENI**	100
African sumac	RHUS LANCIA	70
Weeping willow	SALIX BABYLONICA	40
Wild willow	SALIX SCOULERIANA	0
California pepper tree	SCHINUS MOLE	40
Brazilian pepper tree	SCHINUS TEREBINTHEFOLIUS	40
Coast redwood	SEQUIOA SEMPRIVIRONIS	95
Giant sequoia	SEQUIADENDRON GIGANTEUM	80
Japanese pogoda	SOPHORIA JAPONICA	70
Chinese tallow	TRIADICA SEBIFERA	50
Water gum	TRISTANIA LAURINA	70
Bosque chinese elm	ULMAS PARVIFOLIA 'BOSQUE'	90
Chinese elm	ULMUS PARVIFOLIA	70
Siberian elm	ULMUS PUMILA	60
Bay laurel	UMBELLULARIA CALIFORNICA**	100
Mexican fan palm	WASHINGTON ROBUSTA	0
Spanish dagger yucca	YUCCA GLORIOSA	0
Zelkova	ZELKOVA SERRATA	65

*All palms on Palm Avenue are protected heritage trees and will be rated @ 100%

**Protected tree species

DEFINITIONS

- A. Parcel Map: up to four (4) parcels (CMC Chapter 18.20).
- B. Tentative map: Five (5) or more parcels (CMC Chapter 18.16).
- C. Minor: Up to 10,000 square feet commercial/office/non-residential/industrial; up to six (6) residential units (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- D. Major: 10,000 or more square feet commercial/office/non-residential/industrial; six (6) or more residential units (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- E. Minor Architectural and Site Approval - Duplex/Residential: Architectural approval of single family homes in a planned development zoning district, redevelopment or modification of duplexes, and associated landscaping, where such review is required (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- F. Minor Architectural and Site Approval: Architectural approval of the following: minor building modifications, landscaping, signs and lighting for new development, redevelopment or modification in such zones where such review is required (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- G. Major Architectural and Site Approval: Architectural approval of all other development projects (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- H. Exceptions: An exception to the zoning standards for which an exception process and findings are identified in the Municipal Code. These include Fence, Sign, Height, Hillside, Parking, R-1, A, A-1, and R1 cluster zone exceptions. This also includes exceptions identified in the City's Specific Plans (CMC Chapter 19.12 and Title 20).
- I. Minor Modification: An application that is administratively reviewed by staff either at an advertised public hearing/meeting or in a non-hearing process (CMC Chapter 19.164).
- J. Temporary Sign Permit: A review of a temporary sign application for banners, A-frame signs and other temporary signs (CMC Chapter 19.104).
- K. Extension Permit: A one-time one-year extension of the planning permit expiration date (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- L. Appeal: A request from the project applicant or interested party to reverse or amend a decision made by the approval authority. Fee Exemption for: an appointed public official serving on the board that made the decision subject to the appeal, an appointed public official serving on a board that is directly affected by the decision and City Council members. At the conclusion of a City Council appeal hearing, it may choose to, at its sole discretion, refund all, a portion of, or none of the appeal fee (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- M. Legal Noticing Fee: Assessed for all permit applications that require noticing (CMC Chapter 19.12).
- N. Housing Mitigation Fee: A fee assessed in accordance with the City's General Plan Housing Element, Municipal Code (CMC 19.172) and the City's BMR Housing Mitigation Program Procedural Manual.
- Note: Mixed use applications will be classified based upon the highest intensity and review process. The Director of Community Development will have discretion to classify projects based upon the above criteria.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
446 of 842

Fee Description	FY 20-21 Fee	\$ Change	% Change	FY 21-22 Fee
Planning Staff Hourly Rate ¹	\$286	\$16	5.7%	\$302
General Plan				
Authorization	Staff Hourly Rate			Staff Hourly Rate
Amendment				
Zoning				
Zoning Map Amendment	Staff Hourly Rate			Staff Hourly Rate
Zoning Text Amendment				
Single-Story Overlay District				
Study Session	Staff Hourly Rate			Staff Hourly Rate
Subdivision				
Parcel Map (See Definition A)	\$17,975	\$1,025	5.7%	\$19,000
Tentative Map (See Definition B)	\$29,899	\$1,704	5.7%	\$31,603
Conditional Use/Development Permit				
Temporary Use Permit	\$3,987	\$227	5.7%	\$4,214
Administrative Conditional Use Permit	\$6,602	\$376	5.7%	\$6,978
Minor (See Definition C)	\$18,083	\$1,031	5.7%	\$19,114
Major (See Definition D)	\$30,132	\$1,718	5.7%	\$31,850
Amendment to Conditional Use/Development Permit				
Minor (See Definition C)	\$8,307	\$473	5.7%	\$8,780
Major (See Definition D)	\$15,171	\$865	5.7%	\$16,036
Architectural and Site Approval Permit				
Minor Duplex / Residential (See Definition E)	\$6,353	\$362	5.7%	\$6,715
Minor (See Definition F)	\$12,510	\$713	5.7%	\$13,223
Major (See Definition G)	\$18,620	\$1,061	5.7%	\$19,681
Single Family (R-1) Residential Permits				
Minor Residential Permit	\$3,262	\$186	5.7%	\$3,448
Two-Story Permit without Design Review	\$4,236	\$241	5.7%	\$4,477
Two-Story Permit with Design Review	\$5,083	\$290	5.7%	\$5,373
Director Minor Modification (See Definition I)	\$4,456	\$254	5.7%	\$4,710
Exceptions (See Definition H)				
Fence Exception - R1 & R2	\$1,213	\$69	5.7%	\$1,282
Fence Exception - Other	\$4,081	\$233	5.7%	\$4,314
Sign exception	\$4,645	\$265	5.7%	\$4,910
R-1 Exception	\$6,597	\$376	5.7%	\$6,973
Heart of the City Exception	\$18,442	\$1,051	5.7%	\$19,493
Hillside Exception	\$19,114	\$1,089	5.7%	\$20,203
Exception - Other	\$6,366	\$363	5.7%	\$6,729
Variance	\$7,295	\$416	5.7%	\$7,711
Reasonable Accommodation	\$1,059	\$60	5.7%	\$1,119

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
447 of 842

Fee Description	FY 20-21 Fee	Change		FY 21-22 Fee
<i>Tree Removal Permit</i>				
Tree Removal Permit (no Arborist review required)				
First Tree	\$282	\$16	5.7%	\$298
Each Additional Tree	\$142	\$8	5.7%	\$150
Tree Removal Permit (Arborist review required)				
First Tree	\$423	\$24	5.7%	\$447
Each Additional Tree	\$212	\$12	5.7%	\$224
Retroactive Tree Removal (per tree)	\$4,695	\$268	5.7%	\$4,963
Heritage Tree Designation	\$353	\$20	5.7%	\$373
Tree Management Plan	\$6,638	\$378	5.7%	\$7,016
<i>Signs</i>				
Temporary Sign Permit (See Definition J)	\$450	\$26	5.7%	\$476
Sign Program	\$3,645	\$208	5.7%	\$3,853
Planning Commission Interpretation	\$6,722	\$383	5.7%	\$7,105
Extension of Approved Entitlements (See Definition K)	\$1,807	\$103	5.7%	\$1,910
<i>Environmental Assessment</i>				
Environmental Impact Report (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee			Contract+Admin Fee
Negative Declaration - Major (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee			Contract+Admin Fee
Negative Declaration - Minor (Plus State & County Filing Fees)	Contract+Admin Fee			Contract+Admin Fee
Categorical Exemption (Plus County Filing Fee)	\$325	\$19	5.7%	\$344
<i>Appeals (See Definition L)</i>				
Planning Commission	\$325	\$19	5.7%	\$344
City Council	\$325	\$19	5.7%	\$344
<i>Miscellaneous Fees</i>				
Legal Noticing Fee (See Definition M)	\$378	\$22	5.7%	\$400
Zoning Verification Letter	\$477	\$27	5.7%	\$504
Public Convenience and Necessity Letter (Alcoholic Beverage License)	\$239	\$14	5.7%	\$253
Short-Term Rental	\$200	\$11	5.7%	\$211
<i>Mobile Vending Permit</i>	\$0	\$302		\$302
<i>Fees Assessed at Building Permit Issuance</i>				
<i>Wireless Master Plan Fees</i>				
Equipment Mounted on Existing Light/Utility Pole	\$8.75	\$0.50	5.7%	\$9.25
New Personal Wireless Facility (not mounted on light/utility pole)	\$1,906	\$109	5.7%	\$2,015
<i>Zoning, Planning, Municipal Code Fees</i>				
All Non-Residential and Multi-Family (per sq.ft.)	\$0.43	\$0.02	5.7%	\$0.45
Residential Single Family (per sq. ft.)	\$0.21	\$0.01	5.7%	\$0.22
General Plan Office Allocation Fee (per sq. ft.)	\$0.39	\$0.02	5.7%	\$0.41

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective July 1, 2021
Schedule C - Planning

CC 04-20-2021
448 of 842

FOR INFORMATIONAL PURPOSES ONLY: ²				
<i>Housing Mitigation In-Lieu Fees</i> ² (See Definition N)				
Residential - Ownership (per sq. ft.)				
Detached Single Family Residence	\$18.98	\$0.30	1.6%	\$19.28
Small Lot Single Family Residence or Townhome	\$20.88	\$0.33	1.6%	\$21.21
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (up to 35 du/ac)	\$25.31	\$0.40	1.6%	\$25.71
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (over 35 du/ac)	\$25.31	\$0.40	1.6%	\$25.71
Residential - Rental (per sq. ft.)				
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (up to 35 du/ac)	\$25.31	\$0.40	1.6%	\$25.71
Multi-family Attached Townhome, Apartment, or Condominium (over 35 du/ac)	\$31.64	\$0.50	1.6%	\$32.14
Non-Residential (per sq. ft.)				
Office, Research and Development, or Industrial	\$30.00	\$0.47	1.6%	\$30.47
Hotel	\$15.00	\$0.24	1.6%	\$15.24
Self-storage, employee unit provided	\$0.56	\$0.01	1.6%	\$0.57
Self-storage, employee unit not provided	\$1.18	\$0.02	1.6%	\$1.20
Warehouse	\$41.67	\$0.65	1.6%	\$42.32
Commercial/Retail	\$12.66	\$0.20	1.6%	\$12.85

¹Applications may be subject to a Planning Staff Hourly Rate fee for applicable staff time, and vendor invoice. These fees apply to projects that require a level of staff support greater than the scope of work included in the regular fee schedule and will be based on the time and materials required to process the entire project. The applicant will be notified if these fees are applicable to their project. The applicant will be required to enter into a Reimbursement Agreement with the City for such projects.

²All Housing Mitigation Fees are assessed in accordance with the BMR Housing Mitigation Manual. Non-residential Housing Mitigation In-lieu Fees are based on the 2015 and the 2020 Supplement to the Non-Residential Nexus Analysis by Keyser Marsten. Residential Housing Mitigation In-lieu Fees are based on the 2015 Nexus Study. These fees increase automatically annually (on July 1 of each year) by the Consumer Price Index of All Urban Consumers for San Francisco, CA.

An administrative fee (15%) will be charged for outside agency review/consultant services per Schedule A - General Fees.

If plans are submitted on paper, these must be sent to an outside agency for scanning. The cost of scanning the plans, plus the administrative fee per Schedule A - General Fees will be charged.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$9,590	\$63.09	\$7,992	\$52.57	\$6,393	\$42.06
	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$12,113	\$70.66	\$10,094	\$58.88	\$8,076	\$47.11
		10,000	\$15,646	\$55.53	\$13,039	\$46.27	\$10,431	\$37.02
		20,000	\$21,199	\$11.78	\$17,666	\$9.82	\$14,133	\$7.86
		50,000	\$24,734	\$12.10	\$20,612	\$10.09	\$16,489	\$8.07
		100,000	\$30,785	\$30.79	\$25,654	\$25.65	\$20,523	\$20.52
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$6,482	\$170.60	\$5,402	\$142.17	\$4,322	\$113.73
	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$8,188	\$191.05	\$6,824	\$159.20	\$5,459	\$127.36
		2,500	\$10,576	\$150.11	\$8,814	\$125.09	\$7,051	\$100.07
		5,000	\$14,329	\$31.85	\$11,941	\$26.54	\$9,553	\$21.23
		12,500	\$16,718	\$32.75	\$13,932	\$27.29	\$11,145	\$21.83
		25,000	\$20,811	\$83.25	\$17,343	\$69.37	\$13,874	\$55.50
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$12,153	\$79.95	\$10,127	\$66.62	\$8,102	\$53.30
	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$15,351	\$89.54	\$12,792	\$74.61	\$10,234	\$59.69
		10,000	\$19,828	\$70.37	\$16,523	\$58.65	\$13,218	\$46.92
		20,000	\$26,865	\$14.91	\$22,388	\$12.42	\$17,910	\$9.94
		50,000	\$31,337	\$15.36	\$26,114	\$12.80	\$20,892	\$10.24
		100,000	\$39,015	\$39.01	\$32,512	\$32.51	\$26,010	\$26.01
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$7,401	\$97.39	\$6,168	\$81.16	\$4,934	\$64.93
	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$9,349	\$109.05	\$7,791	\$90.88	\$6,233	\$72.70
		5,000	\$12,076	\$85.72	\$10,063	\$71.43	\$8,050	\$57.15
		10,000	\$16,362	\$18.18	\$13,635	\$15.15	\$10,908	\$12.12
		25,000	\$19,089	\$18.69	\$15,907	\$15.58	\$12,726	\$12.46
		50,000	\$23,763	\$47.53	\$19,802	\$39.60	\$15,842	\$31.68
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$7,831	\$103.06	\$6,526	\$85.88	\$5,221	\$68.70
	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$9,893	\$115.41	\$8,244	\$96.18	\$6,595	\$76.94
		5,000	\$12,778	\$90.68	\$10,648	\$75.56	\$8,519	\$60.45
		10,000	\$17,312	\$19.23	\$14,426	\$16.02	\$11,541	\$12.82
		25,000	\$20,196	\$19.81	\$16,830	\$16.51	\$13,464	\$13.21
		50,000	\$25,148	\$50.30	\$20,957	\$41.91	\$16,765	\$33.53
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$4,930	\$64.87	\$4,109	\$54.06	\$3,287	\$43.25
		2,500	\$6,228	\$72.64	\$5,190	\$60.53	\$4,152	\$48.42
		5,000	\$8,044	\$57.10	\$6,703	\$47.58	\$5,362	\$38.07
		10,000	\$10,899	\$12.10	\$9,082	\$10.09	\$7,266	\$8.07
		25,000	\$12,714	\$12.45	\$10,595	\$10.37	\$8,476	\$8.30
		50,000	\$15,825	\$31.65	\$13,188	\$26.38	\$10,550	\$21.10
B	Business—Bank	500	\$6,685	\$87.95	\$5,571	\$73.29	\$4,457	\$58.63
		2,500	\$8,444	\$98.53	\$7,037	\$82.11	\$5,630	\$65.69
		5,000	\$10,908	\$77.40	\$9,090	\$64.50	\$7,272	\$51.60
		10,000	\$14,778	\$16.42	\$12,315	\$13.69	\$9,852	\$10.95
		25,000	\$17,241	\$16.90	\$14,368	\$14.08	\$11,494	\$11.27
		50,000	\$21,466	\$42.93	\$17,888	\$35.78	\$14,311	\$28.62

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$5,742	\$188.88	\$4,785	\$157.40	\$3,828	\$125.92
		1,000	\$7,253	\$211.55	\$6,044	\$176.29	\$4,835	\$141.03
		2,000	\$9,369	\$166.20	\$7,807	\$138.50	\$6,246	\$110.80
		4,000	\$12,693	\$35.28	\$10,577	\$29.40	\$8,462	\$23.52
		10,000	\$14,809	\$36.26	\$12,341	\$30.22	\$9,873	\$24.17
		20,000	\$18,435	\$92.18	\$15,363	\$76.81	\$12,290	\$61.45
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$6,888	\$90.62	\$5,740	\$75.52	\$4,592	\$60.41
		2,500	\$8,701	\$101.53	\$7,250	\$84.61	\$5,800	\$67.69
		5,000	\$11,239	\$79.73	\$9,366	\$66.44	\$7,493	\$53.15
		10,000	\$15,225	\$16.91	\$12,688	\$14.09	\$10,150	\$11.27
		25,000	\$17,762	\$17.41	\$14,801	\$14.51	\$11,841	\$11.61
		50,000	\$22,115	\$44.23	\$18,429	\$36.86	\$14,743	\$29.49
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$7,606	\$74.26	\$6,338	\$61.89	\$5,071	\$49.51
		5,000	\$10,576	\$63.88	\$8,814	\$53.24	\$7,051	\$42.59
		10,000	\$13,771	\$45.17	\$11,475	\$37.64	\$9,180	\$30.11
		20,000	\$18,288	\$10.67	\$15,240	\$8.89	\$12,192	\$7.11
		50,000	\$21,488	\$11.46	\$17,907	\$9.55	\$14,326	\$7.64
		100,000	\$27,219	\$27.22	\$22,682	\$22.68	\$18,146	\$18.15
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$5,273	\$115.63	\$4,394	\$96.36	\$3,515	\$77.09
		1,500	\$6,661	\$129.51	\$5,551	\$107.93	\$4,440	\$86.34
		3,000	\$8,603	\$101.76	\$7,170	\$84.80	\$5,736	\$67.84
		6,000	\$11,656	\$21.58	\$9,713	\$17.98	\$7,771	\$14.39
		15,000	\$13,598	\$22.20	\$11,332	\$18.50	\$9,065	\$14.80
		30,000	\$16,929	\$56.43	\$14,107	\$47.02	\$11,286	\$37.62
E	Educational — Preschool / School	100	\$5,676	\$373.45	\$4,730	\$311.20	\$3,784	\$248.96
		500	\$7,170	\$418.22	\$5,975	\$348.52	\$4,780	\$278.81
		1,000	\$9,261	\$328.64	\$7,718	\$273.87	\$6,174	\$219.09
		2,000	\$12,547	\$69.72	\$10,456	\$58.10	\$8,365	\$46.48
		5,000	\$14,639	\$71.67	\$12,199	\$59.73	\$9,759	\$47.78
		10,000	\$18,223	\$182.23	\$15,186	\$151.86	\$12,148	\$121.48
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,459	\$293.33	\$3,716	\$244.44	\$2,972	\$195.55
		500	\$5,632	\$328.55	\$4,693	\$273.79	\$3,755	\$219.03
		1,000	\$7,275	\$258.13	\$6,062	\$215.11	\$4,850	\$172.09
		2,000	\$9,856	\$54.75	\$8,213	\$45.63	\$6,571	\$36.50
		5,000	\$11,499	\$56.32	\$9,582	\$46.93	\$7,666	\$37.55
		10,000	\$14,314	\$143.14	\$11,929	\$119.29	\$9,543	\$95.43
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$6,965	\$19.87	\$5,804	\$16.56	\$4,643	\$13.25
		5,000	\$7,760	\$31.79	\$6,467	\$26.49	\$5,173	\$21.19
		10,000	\$9,349	\$34.44	\$7,791	\$28.70	\$6,233	\$22.96
		20,000	\$12,793	\$5.29	\$10,661	\$4.41	\$8,529	\$3.53
		50,000	\$14,379	\$3.19	\$11,983	\$2.66	\$9,586	\$2.12
		100,000	\$15,973	\$15.97	\$13,311	\$13.31	\$10,649	\$10.65

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$7,162	\$47.13	\$5,969	\$39.27	\$4,775	\$31.42
		5,000	\$9,048	\$52.78	\$7,540	\$43.98	\$6,032	\$35.19
		10,000	\$11,687	\$41.48	\$9,739	\$34.56	\$7,791	\$27.65
		20,000	\$15,834	\$8.77	\$13,195	\$7.31	\$10,556	\$5.85
		50,000	\$18,467	\$9.04	\$15,389	\$7.54	\$12,311	\$6.03
		100,000	\$22,988	\$22.99	\$19,157	\$19.16	\$15,325	\$15.33
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$5,243	\$34.50	\$4,369	\$28.75	\$3,495	\$23.00
		5,000	\$6,623	\$38.63	\$5,519	\$32.19	\$4,415	\$25.75
		10,000	\$8,554	\$30.35	\$7,128	\$25.29	\$5,703	\$20.23
		20,000	\$11,589	\$6.43	\$9,658	\$5.36	\$7,726	\$4.29
		50,000	\$13,520	\$6.63	\$11,267	\$5.52	\$9,013	\$4.42
		100,000	\$16,833	\$16.83	\$14,027	\$14.03	\$11,222	\$11.22
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$5,316	\$349.73	\$4,430	\$291.44	\$3,544	\$233.15
		500	\$6,715	\$391.72	\$5,596	\$326.43	\$4,477	\$261.14
		1,000	\$8,674	\$307.76	\$7,228	\$256.47	\$5,782	\$205.17
		2,000	\$11,751	\$65.30	\$9,793	\$54.41	\$7,834	\$43.53
		5,000	\$13,710	\$67.14	\$11,425	\$55.95	\$9,140	\$44.76
		10,000	\$17,067	\$170.67	\$14,222	\$142.22	\$11,378	\$113.78
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$6,379	\$419.68	\$5,316	\$349.73	\$4,253	\$279.79
		500	\$8,058	\$470.06	\$6,715	\$391.72	\$5,372	\$313.37
		1,000	\$10,408	\$369.31	\$8,674	\$307.76	\$6,939	\$246.21
		2,000	\$14,101	\$78.36	\$11,751	\$65.30	\$9,401	\$52.24
		5,000	\$16,452	\$80.56	\$13,710	\$67.14	\$10,968	\$53.71
		10,000	\$20,480	\$204.80	\$17,067	\$170.67	\$13,654	\$136.54
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$6,960	\$457.85	\$5,800	\$381.54	\$4,640	\$305.23
		500	\$8,791	\$512.79	\$7,326	\$427.33	\$5,861	\$341.86
		1,000	\$11,355	\$402.91	\$9,462	\$335.76	\$7,570	\$268.61
		2,000	\$15,384	\$85.48	\$12,820	\$71.24	\$10,256	\$56.99
		5,000	\$17,948	\$87.90	\$14,957	\$73.25	\$11,966	\$58.60
		10,000	\$22,344	\$223.44	\$18,620	\$186.20	\$14,896	\$148.96
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$5,568	\$366.28	\$4,640	\$305.23	\$3,712	\$244.19
		500	\$7,033	\$410.23	\$5,861	\$341.86	\$4,688	\$273.49
		1,000	\$9,084	\$322.33	\$7,570	\$268.61	\$6,056	\$214.88
		2,000	\$12,307	\$68.39	\$10,256	\$56.99	\$8,205	\$45.59
		5,000	\$14,359	\$70.32	\$11,966	\$58.60	\$9,573	\$46.88
		10,000	\$17,875	\$178.75	\$14,896	\$148.96	\$11,917	\$119.17
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$5,568	\$366.28	\$4,640	\$305.23	\$3,712	\$244.19
		500	\$7,033	\$410.23	\$5,861	\$341.86	\$4,688	\$273.49
		1,000	\$9,084	\$322.33	\$7,570	\$268.61	\$6,056	\$214.88
		2,000	\$12,307	\$68.39	\$10,256	\$56.99	\$8,205	\$45.59
		5,000	\$14,359	\$70.32	\$11,966	\$58.60	\$9,573	\$46.88
		10,000	\$17,875	\$178.75	\$14,896	\$148.96	\$11,917	\$119.17

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,107	\$270.16	\$3,422	\$225.14	\$2,738	\$180.11
		500	\$5,187	\$302.61	\$4,323	\$252.18	\$3,458	\$201.74
		1,000	\$6,700	\$237.75	\$5,584	\$198.13	\$4,467	\$158.50
		2,000	\$9,078	\$50.41	\$7,565	\$42.01	\$6,052	\$33.61
		5,000	\$10,590	\$51.89	\$8,825	\$43.25	\$7,060	\$34.60
		10,000	\$13,185	\$131.85	\$10,987	\$109.87	\$8,790	\$87.90
I-1	Institutional—7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$6,977	\$91.81	\$5,814	\$76.51	\$4,651	\$61.21
		2,500	\$8,813	\$102.80	\$7,344	\$85.67	\$5,876	\$68.54
		5,000	\$11,384	\$80.80	\$9,486	\$67.33	\$7,589	\$53.86
		10,000	\$15,423	\$17.13	\$12,853	\$14.27	\$10,282	\$11.42
		25,000	\$17,992	\$17.64	\$14,993	\$14.70	\$11,995	\$11.76
		50,000	\$22,402	\$44.80	\$18,668	\$37.34	\$14,934	\$29.87
I-2	Institutional—6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$8,451	\$111.20	\$7,043	\$92.67	\$5,634	\$74.14
		2,500	\$10,675	\$124.52	\$8,896	\$103.77	\$7,117	\$83.01
		5,000	\$13,788	\$97.86	\$11,490	\$81.55	\$9,192	\$65.24
		10,000	\$18,682	\$20.76	\$15,568	\$17.30	\$12,454	\$13.84
		25,000	\$21,796	\$21.33	\$18,164	\$17.78	\$14,531	\$14.22
		50,000	\$27,129	\$54.26	\$22,608	\$45.22	\$18,086	\$36.17
I-3	Institutional—6+ persons, restrained	500	\$7,775	\$102.31	\$6,479	\$85.25	\$5,184	\$68.20
		2,500	\$9,821	\$114.58	\$8,185	\$95.49	\$6,548	\$76.39
		5,000	\$12,686	\$90.05	\$10,572	\$75.04	\$8,457	\$60.03
		10,000	\$17,189	\$19.08	\$14,324	\$15.90	\$11,459	\$12.72
		25,000	\$20,050	\$19.63	\$16,709	\$16.36	\$13,367	\$13.09
		50,000	\$24,958	\$49.92	\$20,798	\$41.60	\$16,639	\$33.28
I-4	Institutional—6+ persons, day care	500	\$7,775	\$102.31	\$6,479	\$85.25	\$5,184	\$68.20
		2,500	\$9,821	\$114.58	\$8,185	\$95.49	\$6,548	\$76.39
		5,000	\$12,686	\$90.05	\$10,572	\$75.04	\$8,457	\$60.03
		10,000	\$17,189	\$19.08	\$14,324	\$15.90	\$11,459	\$12.72
		25,000	\$20,050	\$19.63	\$16,709	\$16.36	\$13,367	\$13.09
		50,000	\$24,958	\$49.92	\$20,798	\$41.60	\$16,639	\$33.28
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$4,111	\$270.46	\$3,426	\$225.38	\$2,741	\$180.31
		500	\$5,193	\$302.92	\$4,327	\$252.43	\$3,462	\$201.94
		1,000	\$6,707	\$238.00	\$5,590	\$198.34	\$4,472	\$158.67
		2,000	\$9,087	\$50.48	\$7,573	\$42.07	\$6,058	\$33.65
		5,000	\$10,602	\$51.95	\$8,835	\$43.29	\$7,068	\$34.63
		10,000	\$13,199	\$131.99	\$10,999	\$109.99	\$8,800	\$88.00
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$10,947	\$36.00	\$9,122	\$30.00	\$7,298	\$24.00
		10,000	\$13,826	\$40.36	\$11,522	\$33.63	\$9,218	\$26.91
		20,000	\$17,862	\$31.70	\$14,885	\$26.41	\$11,908	\$21.13
		40,000	\$24,201	\$6.71	\$20,168	\$5.59	\$16,134	\$4.47
		100,000	\$28,226	\$6.92	\$23,522	\$5.76	\$18,817	\$4.61
		200,000	\$35,143	\$17.57	\$29,285	\$14.64	\$23,428	\$11.71

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,865	\$106.68	\$4,054	\$88.90	\$3,243	\$71.12
		1,500	\$6,145	\$119.46	\$5,121	\$99.55	\$4,096	\$79.64
		3,000	\$7,937	\$93.90	\$6,614	\$78.25	\$5,291	\$62.60
		6,000	\$10,754	\$19.89	\$8,961	\$16.58	\$7,169	\$13.26
		15,000	\$12,544	\$20.50	\$10,453	\$17.09	\$8,363	\$13.67
		30,000	\$15,619	\$52.06	\$13,016	\$43.39	\$10,413	\$34.71
R-1	Residential—Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$16,236	\$7.12	\$13,530	\$5.93	\$10,824	\$4.75
		15,000	\$17,090	\$22.79	\$14,242	\$18.99	\$11,393	\$15.19
		30,000	\$20,508	\$2.87	\$17,090	\$2.39	\$13,672	\$1.91
		60,000	\$21,368	\$3.79	\$17,806	\$3.16	\$14,245	\$2.53
		150,000	\$24,779	\$0.58	\$20,649	\$0.48	\$16,519	\$0.39
		300,000	\$25,652	\$8.55	\$21,377	\$7.13	\$17,101	\$5.70
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building	800	\$14,741	\$24.25	\$12,284	\$20.21	\$9,828	\$16.17
		4,000	\$15,517	\$77.56	\$12,931	\$64.63	\$10,345	\$51.71
		8,000	\$18,620	\$9.71	\$15,516	\$8.10	\$12,413	\$6.48
		16,000	\$19,397	\$12.93	\$16,164	\$10.78	\$12,931	\$8.62
		40,000	\$22,500	\$1.95	\$18,750	\$1.62	\$15,000	\$1.30
		80,000	\$23,279	\$29.10	\$19,399	\$24.25	\$15,519	\$19.40
R-2	Residential—Apartment Building - Repeat Unit	800	\$210	\$0.34	\$175	\$0.28	\$140	\$0.23
		4,000	\$221	\$1.11	\$184	\$0.93	\$147	\$0.74
		8,000	\$265	\$0.13	\$221	\$0.10	\$177	\$0.08
		16,000	\$275	\$0.20	\$229	\$0.16	\$183	\$0.13
		40,000	\$322	\$0.02	\$269	\$0.01	\$215	\$0.01
		80,000	\$329	\$0.41	\$275	\$0.34	\$220	\$0.27
R-3	Dwellings—Custom Homes, Models, First Master Plan	499	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
		1,000	\$7,387	\$22.05	\$6,156	\$18.37	\$4,924	\$14.70
		2,500	\$7,717	\$88.32	\$6,431	\$73.60	\$5,145	\$58.88
		4,000	\$9,042	\$16.54	\$7,535	\$13.78	\$6,028	\$11.03
		6,000	\$9,373	\$66.23	\$7,811	\$55.19	\$6,249	\$44.16
		8,000	\$10,698	\$16.54	\$8,915	\$13.78	\$7,132	\$11.03
		10,000	\$11,029	\$110.29	\$9,190	\$91.90	\$7,352	\$73.52
R-3	Dwellings—Production Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	1,000	\$2,869	\$10.06	\$2,391	\$8.38	\$1,912	\$6.71
		2,500	\$3,020	\$40.26	\$2,516	\$33.55	\$2,013	\$26.84
		4,000	\$3,623	\$7.59	\$3,020	\$6.32	\$2,416	\$5.06
		6,000	\$3,775	\$30.15	\$3,146	\$25.13	\$2,517	\$20.10
		8,000	\$4,378	\$7.61	\$3,649	\$6.34	\$2,919	\$5.07
		10,000	\$4,530	\$45.30	\$3,775	\$37.75	\$3,020	\$30.20
R-3	Dwellings—Alternate Materials	1,000	\$6,538	\$22.94	\$5,449	\$19.12	\$4,359	\$15.30
		2,500	\$6,882	\$91.75	\$5,735	\$76.46	\$4,588	\$61.17
		4,000	\$8,259	\$17.24	\$6,882	\$14.36	\$5,506	\$11.49
		6,000	\$8,603	\$68.81	\$7,170	\$57.34	\$5,736	\$45.87
		8,000	\$9,980	\$17.19	\$8,316	\$14.33	\$6,653	\$11.46
		10,000	\$10,323	\$103.23	\$8,603	\$86.03	\$6,882	\$68.82

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Custom Homes	1,000	\$8,635	\$30.30	\$7,196	\$25.25	\$5,757	\$20.20
	, Models First Master Plan	2,500	\$9,090	\$121.18	\$7,575	\$100.98	\$6,060	\$80.79
		4,000	\$10,907	\$22.74	\$9,089	\$18.95	\$7,271	\$15.16
		6,000	\$11,362	\$90.88	\$9,468	\$75.73	\$7,575	\$60.59
		8,000	\$13,180	\$22.72	\$10,983	\$18.93	\$8,786	\$15.15
		10,000	\$13,634	\$136.34	\$11,362	\$113.62	\$9,089	\$90.89
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Production Phase	1,000	\$2,869	\$10.06	\$2,391	\$8.38	\$1,912	\$6.71
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$3,020	\$40.26	\$2,516	\$33.55	\$2,013	\$26.84
		4,000	\$3,623	\$7.59	\$3,020	\$6.32	\$2,416	\$5.06
		6,000	\$3,775	\$30.15	\$3,146	\$25.13	\$2,517	\$20.10
		8,000	\$4,378	\$7.61	\$3,649	\$6.34	\$2,919	\$5.07
		10,000	\$4,530	\$45.30	\$3,775	\$37.75	\$3,020	\$30.20
R-3	Dwellings—Hillside - Alternate Materials	1,000	\$6,538	\$22.94	\$5,449	\$19.12	\$4,359	\$15.30
		2,500	\$6,882	\$91.75	\$5,735	\$76.46	\$4,588	\$61.17
		4,000	\$8,259	\$17.24	\$6,882	\$14.36	\$5,506	\$11.49
		6,000	\$8,603	\$68.81	\$7,170	\$57.34	\$5,736	\$45.87
		8,000	\$9,980	\$17.19	\$8,316	\$14.33	\$6,653	\$11.46
		10,000	\$10,323	\$103.23	\$8,603	\$86.03	\$6,882	\$68.82
R-3.1	Group Care	1,000	\$12,212	\$16.07	\$10,177	\$13.39	\$8,142	\$10.71
		5,000	\$12,855	\$51.44	\$10,713	\$42.86	\$8,570	\$34.29
		10,000	\$15,427	\$6.40	\$12,856	\$5.33	\$10,285	\$4.27
		20,000	\$16,067	\$8.56	\$13,389	\$7.13	\$10,711	\$5.71
		50,000	\$18,635	\$1.28	\$15,529	\$1.06	\$12,423	\$0.85
		100,000	\$19,272	\$19.27	\$16,060	\$16.06	\$12,848	\$12.85
R-4	Group Care	100	\$6,893	\$90.69	\$5,744	\$75.57	\$4,595	\$60.46
		500	\$7,255	\$290.23	\$6,046	\$241.86	\$4,837	\$193.49
		1,000	\$8,706	\$36.26	\$7,255	\$30.22	\$5,804	\$24.17
		2,000	\$9,069	\$48.38	\$7,558	\$40.32	\$6,046	\$32.25
		5,000	\$10,520	\$7.25	\$8,767	\$6.04	\$7,014	\$4.83
		10,000	\$10,883	\$108.83	\$9,069	\$90.69	\$7,255	\$72.55
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$4,017	\$66.06	\$3,347	\$55.05	\$2,678	\$44.04
		400	\$4,228	\$211.42	\$3,523	\$176.18	\$2,819	\$140.94
		800	\$5,074	\$26.44	\$4,228	\$22.03	\$3,382	\$17.62
		1,600	\$5,285	\$35.22	\$4,404	\$29.35	\$3,523	\$23.48
		4,000	\$6,130	\$5.30	\$5,109	\$4.42	\$4,087	\$3.54
		8,000	\$6,343	\$79.28	\$5,286	\$66.07	\$4,228	\$52.86
S-1	Storage—Moderate Hazard	600	\$5,620	\$61.63	\$4,683	\$51.36	\$3,747	\$41.08
		3,000	\$7,099	\$69.03	\$5,916	\$57.53	\$4,733	\$46.02
		6,000	\$9,170	\$54.24	\$7,642	\$45.20	\$6,113	\$36.16
		12,000	\$12,425	\$11.50	\$10,354	\$9.58	\$8,283	\$7.66
		30,000	\$14,494	\$11.82	\$12,078	\$9.85	\$9,663	\$7.88
		60,000	\$18,040	\$30.07	\$15,033	\$25.05	\$12,026	\$20.04

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$12,976	\$8.52	\$10,813	\$7.10	\$8,651	\$5.68
		50,000	\$16,385	\$9.58	\$13,654	\$7.98	\$10,923	\$6.39
		100,000	\$21,175	\$7.52	\$17,646	\$6.27	\$14,117	\$5.01
		200,000	\$28,696	\$1.59	\$23,913	\$1.32	\$19,131	\$1.06
		500,000	\$33,464	\$1.63	\$27,886	\$1.36	\$22,309	\$1.09
		1,000,000	\$41,634	\$4.16	\$34,695	\$3.47	\$27,756	\$2.78
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$5,070	\$55.58	\$4,225	\$46.32	\$3,380	\$37.06
		3,000	\$6,404	\$62.29	\$5,337	\$51.91	\$4,269	\$41.53
		6,000	\$8,273	\$48.93	\$6,894	\$40.78	\$5,515	\$32.62
		12,000	\$11,209	\$10.36	\$9,341	\$8.64	\$7,473	\$6.91
		30,000	\$13,074	\$10.68	\$10,895	\$8.90	\$8,716	\$7.12
		60,000	\$16,278	\$27.13	\$13,565	\$22.61	\$10,852	\$18.09
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	999	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Agricultural Building	2,000	\$3,571	\$43.72	\$2,976	\$36.43	\$2,381	\$29.14
		4,000	\$4,445	\$111.14	\$3,705	\$92.61	\$2,964	\$74.09
	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$1,082	\$7.12	\$902	\$5.93	\$721	\$4.75
		5,000	\$1,367	\$7.99	\$1,139	\$6.66	\$911	\$5.33
		10,000	\$1,766	\$6.25	\$1,472	\$5.20	\$1,177	\$4.16
		20,000	\$2,391	\$1.32	\$1,992	\$1.10	\$1,594	\$0.88
		50,000	\$2,787	\$1.37	\$2,322	\$1.14	\$1,858	\$0.91
		100,000	\$3,469	\$3.47	\$2,891	\$2.89	\$2,313	\$2.31
	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$1,082	\$18.98	\$902	\$15.82	\$721	\$12.65
		2,500	\$1,367	\$26.55	\$1,139	\$22.12	\$911	\$17.70
		4,000	\$1,765	\$31.36	\$1,471	\$26.13	\$1,176	\$20.91
		6,000	\$2,392	\$19.90	\$1,993	\$16.58	\$1,595	\$13.27
		8,000	\$2,790	\$34.20	\$2,325	\$28.50	\$1,860	\$22.80
		10,000	\$3,474	\$34.74	\$2,895	\$28.95	\$2,316	\$23.16
	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$4,454	\$11.71	\$3,712	\$9.76	\$2,969	\$7.81
	w/o Podium	2,500	\$4,688	\$37.52	\$3,907	\$31.26	\$3,126	\$25.01
		5,000	\$5,626	\$4.68	\$4,688	\$3.90	\$3,751	\$3.12
		10,000	\$5,860	\$6.26	\$4,883	\$5.22	\$3,907	\$4.17
		25,000	\$6,799	\$0.92	\$5,666	\$0.76	\$4,533	\$0.61
		50,000	\$7,029	\$14.06	\$5,857	\$11.71	\$4,686	\$9.37
	Standard Comm. Foundation	3,000	\$4,779	\$12.43	\$3,982	\$10.36	\$3,186	\$8.29
	with Podium	15,000	\$5,099	\$38.32	\$4,249	\$31.93	\$3,400	\$25.55
		30,000	\$6,158	\$5.30	\$5,132	\$4.42	\$4,105	\$3.54
		60,000	\$6,580	\$6.39	\$5,483	\$5.32	\$4,387	\$4.26
		150,000	\$7,632	\$1.04	\$6,360	\$0.87	\$5,088	\$0.70
		300,000	\$8,049	\$14.40	\$6,708	\$12.00	\$5,366	\$9.60

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-xxx
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 1 PLAN CHECK FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

			Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
SHELL BUILDINGS								
	All Shell Buildings	500	\$4,322	\$56.87	\$3,601	\$47.39	\$2,881	\$37.92
		2,500	\$5,459	\$63.68	\$4,549	\$53.06	\$3,639	\$42.45
		5,000	\$7,051	\$50.05	\$5,876	\$41.71	\$4,701	\$33.37
		10,000	\$9,553	\$10.62	\$7,961	\$8.85	\$6,369	\$7.08
		25,000	\$11,147	\$10.92	\$9,289	\$9.10	\$7,431	\$7.28
		50,000	\$13,878	\$27.76	\$11,565	\$23.13	\$9,252	\$18.50

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
A-1	Assembly—Fixed Seating	1,000	\$5,307	\$43.19	\$4,423	\$35.99	\$3,538	\$28.80
	Theater, Concert Hall	5,000	\$7,035	\$46.90	\$5,863	\$39.08	\$4,690	\$31.27
		10,000	\$9,380	\$20.98	\$7,817	\$17.48	\$6,254	\$13.99
		20,000	\$11,478	\$6.18	\$9,565	\$5.15	\$7,652	\$4.12
		50,000	\$13,333	\$10.37	\$11,111	\$8.64	\$8,889	\$6.91
		100,000	\$18,519	\$18.52	\$15,432	\$15.43	\$12,346	\$12.35
A-2	Assembly—Food & Drink	250	\$4,683	\$152.48	\$3,903	\$127.07	\$3,122	\$101.66
	Restaurant, Night Club, Bar	1,250	\$6,208	\$165.53	\$5,173	\$137.94	\$4,139	\$110.35
		2,500	\$8,277	\$74.07	\$6,897	\$61.72	\$5,518	\$49.38
		5,000	\$10,129	\$21.79	\$8,441	\$18.16	\$6,752	\$14.52
		12,500	\$11,763	\$36.58	\$9,802	\$30.48	\$7,842	\$24.38
		25,000	\$16,335	\$65.34	\$13,612	\$54.45	\$10,890	\$43.56
A-3	Assembly—Worship, Amusement	1,000	\$7,961	\$64.79	\$6,634	\$53.99	\$5,307	\$43.19
	Arcade, Church, Community Hall	5,000	\$10,553	\$70.35	\$8,794	\$58.63	\$7,035	\$46.90
		10,000	\$14,070	\$31.47	\$11,725	\$26.23	\$9,380	\$20.98
		20,000	\$17,218	\$9.27	\$14,348	\$7.73	\$11,478	\$6.18
		50,000	\$20,000	\$15.56	\$16,667	\$12.96	\$13,333	\$10.37
		100,000	\$27,778	\$27.78	\$23,149	\$23.15	\$18,519	\$18.52
A-4	Assembly—Indoor Sport Viewing	500	\$4,608	\$75.02	\$3,840	\$62.52	\$3,072	\$50.01
	Arena, Skating Rink, Tennis Court	2,500	\$6,109	\$81.46	\$5,090	\$67.88	\$4,072	\$54.31
		5,000	\$8,145	\$36.44	\$6,788	\$30.37	\$5,430	\$24.29
		10,000	\$9,967	\$10.70	\$8,306	\$8.92	\$6,645	\$7.13
		25,000	\$11,572	\$18.00	\$9,644	\$15.00	\$7,715	\$12.00
		50,000	\$16,072	\$32.14	\$13,393	\$26.79	\$10,714	\$21.43
A-5	Assembly—Outdoor Activities	500	\$5,058	\$82.34	\$4,215	\$68.62	\$3,372	\$54.89
	Amusement Park, Bleacher, Stadium	2,500	\$6,705	\$89.38	\$5,587	\$74.48	\$4,470	\$59.59
		5,000	\$8,939	\$40.00	\$7,449	\$33.33	\$5,959	\$26.67
		10,000	\$10,939	\$11.76	\$9,116	\$9.80	\$7,293	\$7.84
		25,000	\$12,703	\$19.74	\$10,586	\$16.45	\$8,469	\$13.16
		50,000	\$17,638	\$35.28	\$14,699	\$29.40	\$11,759	\$23.52
A	A Occupancy Tenant Improvements	500	\$5,058	\$82.34	\$4,215	\$68.62	\$3,372	\$54.89
		2,500	\$6,705	\$89.38	\$5,587	\$74.48	\$4,470	\$59.59
		5,000	\$8,939	\$40.00	\$7,449	\$33.33	\$5,959	\$26.67
		10,000	\$10,939	\$11.76	\$9,116	\$9.80	\$7,293	\$7.84
		25,000	\$12,703	\$19.74	\$10,586	\$16.45	\$8,469	\$13.16
		50,000	\$17,638	\$35.28	\$14,699	\$29.40	\$11,759	\$23.52
B	Business—Bank	500	\$5,245	\$85.40	\$4,371	\$71.17	\$3,497	\$56.93
		2,500	\$6,953	\$92.69	\$5,794	\$77.24	\$4,635	\$61.79
		5,000	\$9,270	\$41.48	\$7,725	\$34.56	\$6,180	\$27.65
		10,000	\$11,344	\$12.19	\$9,453	\$10.16	\$7,563	\$8.13
		25,000	\$13,173	\$20.50	\$10,977	\$17.09	\$8,782	\$13.67
		50,000	\$18,299	\$36.60	\$15,249	\$30.50	\$12,199	\$24.40

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
B	Business—Laundromat	200	\$4,683	\$190.58	\$3,903	\$158.82	\$3,122	\$127.05
		1,000	\$6,208	\$206.94	\$5,173	\$172.45	\$4,138	\$137.96
		2,000	\$8,277	\$92.56	\$6,898	\$77.13	\$5,518	\$61.70
		4,000	\$10,128	\$27.24	\$8,440	\$22.70	\$6,752	\$18.16
		10,000	\$11,763	\$45.73	\$9,802	\$38.11	\$7,842	\$30.49
		20,000	\$16,336	\$81.68	\$13,613	\$68.07	\$10,890	\$54.45
B	Business—Clinic, Outpatient	500	\$5,339	\$86.90	\$4,449	\$72.42	\$3,559	\$57.93
		2,500	\$7,077	\$94.37	\$5,897	\$78.64	\$4,718	\$62.91
		5,000	\$9,436	\$42.19	\$7,863	\$35.16	\$6,291	\$28.13
		10,000	\$11,546	\$12.42	\$9,621	\$10.35	\$7,697	\$8.28
		25,000	\$13,408	\$20.86	\$11,173	\$17.38	\$8,939	\$13.91
		50,000	\$18,623	\$37.25	\$15,519	\$31.04	\$12,416	\$24.83
B	Business—Professional Office	1,000	\$7,118	\$57.94	\$5,932	\$48.28	\$4,746	\$38.63
		5,000	\$9,436	\$62.92	\$7,863	\$52.43	\$6,291	\$41.95
		10,000	\$12,582	\$28.14	\$10,485	\$23.45	\$8,388	\$18.76
		20,000	\$15,396	\$8.26	\$12,830	\$6.88	\$10,264	\$5.51
		50,000	\$17,873	\$13.90	\$14,895	\$11.58	\$11,916	\$9.27
		100,000	\$24,824	\$24.82	\$20,686	\$20.69	\$16,549	\$16.55
B	B Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,121	\$111.81	\$3,434	\$93.17	\$2,747	\$74.54
		1,500	\$5,463	\$121.41	\$4,552	\$101.17	\$3,642	\$80.94
		3,000	\$7,284	\$54.33	\$6,070	\$45.27	\$4,856	\$36.22
		6,000	\$8,914	\$15.97	\$7,428	\$13.31	\$5,942	\$10.65
		15,000	\$10,351	\$26.84	\$8,626	\$22.37	\$6,901	\$17.89
		30,000	\$14,377	\$47.92	\$11,981	\$39.94	\$9,585	\$31.95
E	Educational—Preschool / School	100	\$4,027	\$327.81	\$3,356	\$273.17	\$2,685	\$218.54
		500	\$5,339	\$355.92	\$4,449	\$296.60	\$3,559	\$237.28
		1,000	\$7,118	\$159.22	\$5,932	\$132.68	\$4,746	\$106.14
		2,000	\$8,710	\$46.83	\$7,259	\$39.02	\$5,807	\$31.22
		5,000	\$10,115	\$78.66	\$8,429	\$65.55	\$6,743	\$52.44
		10,000	\$14,048	\$140.48	\$11,707	\$117.07	\$9,365	\$93.65
E	E Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,746	\$304.93	\$3,122	\$254.11	\$2,498	\$203.29
		500	\$4,966	\$331.08	\$4,138	\$275.90	\$3,311	\$220.72
		1,000	\$6,622	\$148.14	\$5,518	\$123.45	\$4,414	\$98.76
		2,000	\$8,103	\$43.57	\$6,752	\$36.31	\$5,402	\$29.05
		5,000	\$9,410	\$73.20	\$7,842	\$61.00	\$6,273	\$48.80
		10,000	\$13,070	\$130.70	\$10,892	\$108.92	\$8,713	\$87.13
F-1	Factory Industrial—Moderate Hazard	1,000	\$5,959	\$43.04	\$4,966	\$35.87	\$3,973	\$28.70
		5,000	\$7,681	\$45.04	\$6,401	\$37.53	\$5,121	\$30.02
		10,000	\$9,933	\$23.83	\$8,278	\$19.86	\$6,622	\$15.89
		20,000	\$12,316	\$6.61	\$10,264	\$5.51	\$8,211	\$4.41
		50,000	\$14,299	\$11.12	\$11,916	\$9.27	\$9,533	\$7.41
		100,000	\$19,859	\$19.86	\$16,549	\$16.55	\$13,239	\$13.24

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
F-2	Factory Industrial—Low Hazard	1,000	\$7,024	\$57.18	\$5,854	\$47.65	\$4,683	\$38.12
		5,000	\$9,312	\$62.09	\$7,760	\$51.74	\$6,208	\$41.40
		10,000	\$12,416	\$27.78	\$10,347	\$23.15	\$8,278	\$18.52
		20,000	\$15,194	\$8.15	\$12,662	\$6.79	\$10,129	\$5.43
		50,000	\$17,638	\$13.74	\$14,699	\$11.45	\$11,759	\$9.16
		100,000	\$24,510	\$24.51	\$20,425	\$20.43	\$16,340	\$16.34
F	F Occupancy Tenant Improvements	1,000	\$4,720	\$38.43	\$3,934	\$32.02	\$3,147	\$25.62
		5,000	\$6,258	\$41.71	\$5,215	\$34.75	\$4,172	\$27.80
		10,000	\$8,343	\$18.68	\$6,952	\$15.56	\$5,562	\$12.45
		20,000	\$10,211	\$5.48	\$8,509	\$4.57	\$6,807	\$3.65
		50,000	\$11,854	\$9.24	\$9,879	\$7.70	\$7,903	\$6.16
		100,000	\$16,474	\$16.47	\$13,729	\$13.73	\$10,983	\$10.98
H-1	High Hazard Group H-1	100	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,810	\$228.71	\$2,248	\$182.97
		500	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,725	\$248.31	\$2,980	\$198.65
		1,000	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,966	\$111.07	\$3,973	\$88.86
		2,000	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$6,077	\$32.67	\$4,862	\$26.14
		5,000	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$7,057	\$54.89	\$5,646	\$43.91
		10,000	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,802	\$98.02	\$7,841	\$78.41
H-2	High Hazard Group H-2	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10
H-3	High Hazard Group H-3	100	\$5,058	\$411.68	\$4,215	\$343.06	\$3,372	\$274.45
		500	\$6,704	\$446.96	\$5,587	\$372.47	\$4,470	\$297.97
		1,000	\$8,939	\$199.93	\$7,449	\$166.61	\$5,959	\$133.29
		2,000	\$10,939	\$58.81	\$9,115	\$49.01	\$7,292	\$39.21
		5,000	\$12,703	\$98.80	\$10,586	\$82.34	\$8,469	\$65.87
		10,000	\$17,643	\$176.43	\$14,702	\$147.02	\$11,762	\$117.62
H-4	High Hazard Group H-4	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10
H-5	High Hazard Group H-5	100	\$4,046	\$329.34	\$3,372	\$274.45	\$2,697	\$219.56
		500	\$5,364	\$357.57	\$4,470	\$297.97	\$3,576	\$238.38
		1,000	\$7,151	\$159.95	\$5,959	\$133.29	\$4,768	\$106.63
		2,000	\$8,751	\$47.05	\$7,292	\$39.21	\$5,834	\$31.37
		5,000	\$10,162	\$79.04	\$8,469	\$65.87	\$6,775	\$52.69
		10,000	\$14,114	\$141.14	\$11,762	\$117.62	\$9,410	\$94.10

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
H	H Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,222	\$262.24	\$2,685	\$218.54	\$2,148	\$174.83
		500	\$4,271	\$284.74	\$3,559	\$237.28	\$2,847	\$189.83
		1,000	\$5,695	\$127.37	\$4,746	\$106.14	\$3,796	\$84.92
		2,000	\$6,968	\$37.46	\$5,807	\$31.22	\$4,646	\$24.97
		5,000	\$8,092	\$62.93	\$6,743	\$52.44	\$5,395	\$41.95
		10,000	\$11,238	\$112.38	\$9,365	\$93.65	\$7,492	\$74.92
I-1	Institutional – 7+ persons, ambulatory	500	\$4,496	\$73.19	\$3,746	\$60.99	\$2,997	\$48.79
		2,500	\$5,959	\$79.47	\$4,966	\$66.23	\$3,973	\$52.98
		5,000	\$7,946	\$35.55	\$6,622	\$29.62	\$5,298	\$23.70
		10,000	\$9,724	\$10.45	\$8,103	\$8.70	\$6,482	\$6.96
		25,000	\$11,290	\$17.58	\$9,409	\$14.65	\$7,527	\$11.72
		50,000	\$15,687	\$31.37	\$13,072	\$26.14	\$10,458	\$20.92
I-2	Institutional – 6+ persons, non-ambulatory	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I-3	Institutional – 6+ persons, restrained	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I-4	Institutional – 6+ persons, day care	500	\$5,620	\$91.48	\$4,683	\$76.24	\$3,746	\$60.99
		2,500	\$7,449	\$99.34	\$6,208	\$82.78	\$4,966	\$66.23
		5,000	\$9,933	\$44.43	\$8,277	\$37.03	\$6,622	\$29.62
		10,000	\$12,154	\$13.06	\$10,129	\$10.88	\$8,103	\$8.70
		25,000	\$14,113	\$21.98	\$11,761	\$18.32	\$9,409	\$14.65
		50,000	\$19,608	\$39.22	\$16,340	\$32.68	\$13,072	\$26.14
I	I Occupancy Tenant Improvements	100	\$3,147	\$256.16	\$2,623	\$213.46	\$2,098	\$170.77
		500	\$4,172	\$278.10	\$3,476	\$231.75	\$2,781	\$185.40
		1,000	\$5,562	\$124.44	\$4,635	\$103.70	\$3,708	\$82.96
		2,000	\$6,806	\$36.59	\$5,672	\$30.49	\$4,538	\$24.39
		5,000	\$7,904	\$61.49	\$6,587	\$51.24	\$5,269	\$41.00
		10,000	\$10,979	\$109.79	\$9,149	\$91.49	\$7,319	\$73.19
M	Mercantile	2,000	\$7,961	\$32.41	\$6,634	\$27.01	\$5,307	\$21.61
		10,000	\$10,554	\$35.16	\$8,795	\$29.30	\$7,036	\$23.44
		20,000	\$14,070	\$15.74	\$11,725	\$13.11	\$9,380	\$10.49
		40,000	\$17,218	\$4.62	\$14,348	\$3.85	\$11,478	\$3.08
		100,000	\$19,989	\$7.77	\$16,657	\$6.47	\$13,326	\$5.18
		200,000	\$27,756	\$13.88	\$23,130	\$11.56	\$18,504	\$9.25

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
M	M Occupancy Tenant Improvements	300	\$4,683	\$127.06	\$3,903	\$105.88	\$3,122	\$84.71
		1,500	\$6,208	\$137.95	\$5,173	\$114.96	\$4,139	\$91.97
		3,000	\$8,277	\$61.73	\$6,898	\$51.45	\$5,518	\$41.16
		6,000	\$10,129	\$18.14	\$8,441	\$15.12	\$6,753	\$12.09
		15,000	\$11,762	\$30.51	\$9,801	\$25.42	\$7,841	\$20.34
		30,000	\$16,338	\$54.46	\$13,615	\$45.38	\$10,892	\$36.31
R-1	Residential – Hotels & Motels	3,000	\$13,111	\$13.66	\$10,926	\$11.38	\$8,740	\$9.11
		15,000	\$14,750	\$10.95	\$12,292	\$9.12	\$9,833	\$7.30
		30,000	\$16,392	\$8.17	\$13,660	\$6.81	\$10,928	\$5.45
		60,000	\$18,843	\$1.22	\$15,702	\$1.02	\$12,562	\$0.82
		150,000	\$19,944	\$2.01	\$16,620	\$1.68	\$13,296	\$1.34
		300,000	\$22,966	\$7.66	\$19,138	\$6.38	\$15,311	\$5.10
R-2	Residential – Apartment Building	800	\$10,330	\$40.35	\$8,608	\$33.62	\$6,886	\$26.90
		4,000	\$11,621	\$32.30	\$9,684	\$26.92	\$7,747	\$21.53
		8,000	\$12,913	\$24.20	\$10,761	\$20.16	\$8,609	\$16.13
		16,000	\$14,849	\$3.60	\$12,374	\$3.00	\$9,899	\$2.40
		40,000	\$15,713	\$5.89	\$13,095	\$4.91	\$10,476	\$3.92
		80,000	\$18,068	\$22.59	\$15,057	\$18.82	\$12,045	\$15.06
R-2	Residential – Apartment Building -	800	\$8,264	\$32.28	\$6,886	\$26.90	\$5,509	\$21.52
	Repeat Unit	4,000	\$9,297	\$25.84	\$7,747	\$21.53	\$6,198	\$17.23
		8,000	\$10,330	\$19.36	\$8,609	\$16.13	\$6,887	\$12.91
		16,000	\$11,879	\$2.88	\$9,899	\$2.40	\$7,919	\$1.92
		40,000	\$12,571	\$4.71	\$10,476	\$3.92	\$8,380	\$3.14
		80,000	\$14,455	\$18.07	\$12,045	\$15.06	\$9,636	\$12.05
R-3	Dwellings – Custom Homes, Models,	499	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	First Master Plan	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
		2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70
R-3	Dwellings – Production Phase	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
	of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70
R-3	Dwellings – Alternate Materials	1,000	\$7,946	\$66.20	\$6,622	\$55.16	\$5,297	\$44.13
		2,500	\$8,939	\$66.21	\$7,449	\$55.18	\$5,959	\$44.14
		4,000	\$9,932	\$74.52	\$8,277	\$62.10	\$6,621	\$49.68
		6,000	\$11,422	\$33.06	\$9,519	\$27.55	\$7,615	\$22.04
		8,000	\$12,084	\$91.06	\$10,070	\$75.88	\$8,056	\$60.70
		10,000	\$13,905	\$139.05	\$11,587	\$115.87	\$9,270	\$92.70

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Custom Homes,	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Models, First Master Plan	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Production	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Phase of Master Plan (repeats)	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3	Dwellings— Hillside - Alternate	1,000	\$8,211	\$68.43	\$6,842	\$57.02	\$5,474	\$45.62
	Materials	2,500	\$9,237	\$68.41	\$7,698	\$57.01	\$6,158	\$45.61
		4,000	\$10,263	\$76.96	\$8,553	\$64.13	\$6,842	\$51.30
		6,000	\$11,803	\$34.20	\$9,835	\$28.50	\$7,868	\$22.80
		8,000	\$12,487	\$94.08	\$10,405	\$78.40	\$8,324	\$62.72
		10,000	\$14,368	\$143.68	\$11,973	\$119.73	\$9,579	\$95.79
R-3.1	Group Care	1,000	\$10,594	\$33.12	\$8,829	\$27.60	\$7,063	\$22.08
		5,000	\$11,919	\$26.46	\$9,933	\$22.05	\$7,946	\$17.64
		10,000	\$13,242	\$19.88	\$11,035	\$16.56	\$8,828	\$13.25
		20,000	\$15,230	\$2.95	\$12,692	\$2.46	\$10,153	\$1.97
		50,000	\$16,116	\$4.83	\$13,430	\$4.03	\$10,744	\$3.22
		100,000	\$18,534	\$18.53	\$15,445	\$15.44	\$12,356	\$12.36
R-4	Group Care	100	\$6,622	\$206.93	\$5,518	\$172.44	\$4,414	\$137.95
		500	\$7,449	\$165.55	\$6,208	\$137.96	\$4,966	\$110.37
		1,000	\$8,277	\$124.14	\$6,898	\$103.45	\$5,518	\$82.76
		2,000	\$9,518	\$18.40	\$7,932	\$15.33	\$6,346	\$12.27
		5,000	\$10,070	\$30.35	\$8,392	\$25.29	\$6,714	\$20.23
		10,000	\$11,588	\$115.88	\$9,657	\$96.57	\$7,725	\$77.25
R	R Occupancy Tenant Improvements	80	\$5,827	\$227.62	\$4,856	\$189.68	\$3,885	\$151.75
		400	\$6,555	\$182.07	\$5,463	\$151.72	\$4,370	\$121.38
		800	\$7,284	\$136.56	\$6,070	\$113.80	\$4,856	\$91.04
		1,600	\$8,376	\$20.25	\$6,980	\$16.87	\$5,584	\$13.50
		4,000	\$8,862	\$33.40	\$7,385	\$27.83	\$5,908	\$22.26
		8,000	\$10,198	\$127.48	\$8,498	\$106.23	\$6,799	\$84.98
S-1	Storage— Moderate Hazard	600	\$4,196	\$56.93	\$3,497	\$47.44	\$2,797	\$37.95
		3,000	\$5,562	\$61.82	\$4,635	\$51.51	\$3,708	\$41.21
		6,000	\$7,417	\$27.63	\$6,181	\$23.03	\$4,944	\$18.42
		12,000	\$9,075	\$8.14	\$7,562	\$6.78	\$6,050	\$5.43
		30,000	\$10,540	\$13.65	\$8,783	\$11.37	\$7,027	\$9.10
		60,000	\$14,634	\$24.39	\$12,195	\$20.32	\$9,756	\$16.26

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
			Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
S-2	Storage—Low Hazard	10,000	\$12,083	\$9.83	\$10,069	\$8.19	\$8,055	\$6.55
		50,000	\$16,016	\$10.68	\$13,346	\$8.90	\$10,677	\$7.12
		100,000	\$21,354	\$4.79	\$17,795	\$3.99	\$14,236	\$3.19
		200,000	\$26,144	\$1.40	\$21,787	\$1.16	\$17,430	\$0.93
		500,000	\$30,330	\$2.35	\$25,275	\$1.96	\$20,220	\$1.57
		1,000,000	\$42,082	\$4.21	\$35,068	\$3.51	\$28,054	\$2.81
S	S Occupancy Tenant Improvements	600	\$4,964	\$67.34	\$4,137	\$56.12	\$3,309	\$44.89
		3,000	\$6,580	\$73.13	\$5,483	\$60.94	\$4,387	\$48.75
		6,000	\$8,774	\$32.70	\$7,312	\$27.25	\$5,849	\$21.80
		12,000	\$10,736	\$9.63	\$8,947	\$8.03	\$7,157	\$6.42
		30,000	\$12,470	\$16.14	\$10,392	\$13.45	\$8,313	\$10.76
		60,000	\$17,312	\$28.85	\$14,426	\$24.04	\$11,541	\$19.24
U	Accessory—Private Garage /	999	SEE MISCELLANEOUS FEE SCHEDULE					
	Agricultural Building	2,000	\$6,352	\$123.51	\$5,293	\$102.93	\$4,234	\$82.34
		4,000	\$8,822	\$220.55	\$7,352	\$183.79	\$5,881	\$147.03
	Deferred Submittal - All Except R-3	1,000	\$5,339	\$43.45	\$4,449	\$36.21	\$3,559	\$28.96
		5,000	\$7,077	\$47.16	\$5,897	\$39.30	\$4,718	\$31.44
		10,000	\$9,435	\$21.11	\$7,862	\$17.59	\$6,290	\$14.07
		20,000	\$11,546	\$6.21	\$9,621	\$5.17	\$7,697	\$4.14
		50,000	\$13,408	\$10.43	\$11,173	\$8.69	\$8,939	\$6.95
		100,000	\$18,623	\$18.62	\$15,519	\$15.52	\$12,416	\$12.42
	Deferred Submittal - R-3	1,000	\$5,620	\$121.98	\$4,683	\$101.65	\$3,746	\$81.32
		2,500	\$7,449	\$165.52	\$6,208	\$137.93	\$4,966	\$110.35
		4,000	\$9,932	\$111.11	\$8,277	\$92.59	\$6,621	\$74.08
		6,000	\$12,154	\$98.00	\$10,129	\$81.66	\$8,103	\$65.33
		8,000	\$14,114	\$274.47	\$11,762	\$228.73	\$9,410	\$182.98
		10,000	\$19,604	\$196.04	\$16,336	\$163.36	\$13,069	\$130.69
	Standard Comm. Foundation	500	\$6,886	\$43.03	\$5,739	\$35.86	\$4,591	\$28.68
	w/o Podium	2,500	\$7,747	\$34.43	\$6,456	\$28.69	\$5,165	\$22.95
		5,000	\$8,608	\$25.85	\$7,173	\$21.54	\$5,738	\$17.24
		10,000	\$9,900	\$3.84	\$8,250	\$3.20	\$6,600	\$2.56
		25,000	\$10,476	\$6.31	\$8,730	\$5.26	\$6,984	\$4.21
		50,000	\$12,054	\$24.11	\$10,045	\$20.09	\$8,036	\$16.07
	Standard Comm. Foundation	3,000	\$6,219	\$16.87	\$5,183	\$14.06	\$4,146	\$11.25
	with Podium	15,000	\$8,244	\$18.32	\$6,870	\$15.27	\$5,496	\$12.21
		30,000	\$10,991	\$8.20	\$9,159	\$6.83	\$7,328	\$5.47
		60,000	\$13,452	\$2.42	\$11,210	\$2.02	\$8,968	\$1.62
		150,000	\$15,633	\$4.05	\$13,027	\$3.37	\$10,422	\$2.70
		300,000	\$21,703	\$7.23	\$18,086	\$6.03	\$14,469	\$4.82

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
SCHEDULE D - TABLE 2 INSPECTION FEES ONLY

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)			Construction Type IA, IB		Construction Type IIA, IIB, IIIA, IIIB, IV		Construction Type VA, VB	
IBC Class	IBC Occupancy Type	Project Size Threshold	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *	Base Cost @ Threshold Size	Cost for Each Additional 100 sf *
SHELL BUILDINGS								
	All Shell Buildings	500	\$3,746	\$60.98	\$3,122	\$50.82	\$2,498	\$40.65
		2,500	\$4,966	\$66.24	\$4,138	\$55.20	\$3,311	\$44.16
		5,000	\$6,622	\$29.62	\$5,518	\$24.68	\$4,415	\$19.75
		10,000	\$8,103	\$8.71	\$6,752	\$7.26	\$5,402	\$5.81
		25,000	\$9,410	\$14.65	\$7,842	\$12.21	\$6,273	\$9.77
		50,000	\$13,072	\$26.14	\$10,893	\$21.79	\$8,715	\$17.43

* Each additional 100 square feet, or portion thereof, up to the next highest project size threshold.

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

STAND ALONE M/E/P PERMIT FEES

Travel and Documentation	each	\$74
Permit Issuance	each	\$56

MECHANICAL PERMIT FEE

Stand Alone Mechanical Plan Check (hourly rate)	per hour	\$221
Other Mechanical Inspections (hourly rate)	per hour	\$221
MECHANICAL UNIT FEES:		
Install/Relocate forced air or gravity-type furnace or burner (including attached ducts and vents) up to and including 100,000 Btu/h (each)		
up to and including 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
Install/Relocate floor furnace, including vent (each)	each	\$110
Install or relocate suspended heater, recessed wall heater, or floor-mounted unit		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Install, relocate or replace appliance vent installed and not included in an appliance permit		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Repair/Alter/Add heating appliance, refrigeration unit, cooling unit, absorption unit, split system , or each heating, cooling, absorption, or evaporative cooling system, including installation of controls		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$221
Install or relocate boiler or compressor		
up to and including 3HP, or absorption system up to and including 100,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 3HP and up to and including 15 HP, or absorption system over 100,000 Btu/h and up to and including 500,000 Btu/h	each	\$221
over 15 HP and up to and including 30 HP, or absorption system over 500,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,000,000 Btu/h	each	\$277
over 30 HP and up to and including 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,000,000 Btu/h and up to and including 1,750,000 Btu/h	each	\$277
over 50 HP, or absorption system over 1,750,000 Btu/h	each	\$331

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

Air-handling unit, including attached ducts. (Note: this fee shall not apply to an air-handling unit that is a portion of a factory-assembled appliance, cooling unit, evaporative cooler, or absorption unit for which a permit is required elsewhere)		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$331
Air-handling unit over 10,000 CFM	each	\$221
Ventilation fan connected to a single duct	each	\$110
Ventilation system that is not a portion of any heating or air-conditioning system authorized by a permit	each	\$165
Hood installation that is served by mechanical exhaust, including the ducts for such hood		
Residential	each	\$110
Commercial	each	\$331
Appliance or piece of equipment not classed in other appliance categories, or for which no other fee is listed	each	\$165

ELECTRICAL PERMIT FEE

Electrical Plan Check	per hour	\$221
Electrical Inspections	per hour	\$221
ELECTRICAL UNIT FEES:		
Residential Whole-House Rewire (up to 2500 sq ft)	each	\$442
Each Additional 1000 sq ft	each 1,000 sf	\$221
Receptacle, switch, lighting, or other outlets at which current is used or controlled, except services, feeders, and meters		
First 20	first 20	\$74
Each Additional	each	\$6
Lighting fixtures, sockets, or other lamp-holding devices		
First 20	first 20	\$110
Each Additional	each	\$8
Pole or platform-mounted lighting fixtures	each	\$24
Theatrical-type lighting fixtures or assemblies	each	\$22

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

Appliances		
Fixed residential appliances or receptacle outlets for same, including wall-mounted electric ovens; counter mounted cooking tops; electric ranges; self-contained room console or through-wall air conditioners; space heaters; food waste grinders; dishwashers; washing machines; water heaters; clothes dryers; or other motor-operated appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP) in rating	each	\$37
Residential appliances and self-contained factory-wired, nonresidential appliances not exceeding one horsepower (HP), kilowatt (kW), or kilovolt-ampere (kVA) in rating, including medical and dental devices; food, beverage, and ice cream cabinets; illuminated show cases; drinking fountains; vending machines; laundry machines; or other similar types of equipment	each	\$74
Power Apparatus		
Motors, generators, transformers, rectifiers, synchronous converters, capacitors, industrial heating, air conditioners and heat pumps, cooking or baking equipment, and other apparatus. Rating in horsepower (HP), kilowatts (kW), or kilovolt-amperes (kVA), or kilovolt-amperes-reactive (kVAR)	each	\$221
Busways		
Trolley and plug-in-type busways	each 100 lf	\$110
Signs, Outline Lighting, and Marquees		
Supplied from one branch circuit	each	\$74
Additional branch circuits within the same sign, outline lighting system, or marquee	each	\$37
Services (including Temporary Power)		
600 volts or less, up to 200 amperes in rating	each	\$74
600 volts or less, 201 to 1000 amperes in rating	each	\$110
Over 600 volts or over 1000 amperes in rating	each	\$221
Electrical apparatus, conduits, and conductors for which a permit is required, but for which no fee is herein set forth	each	\$221

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 3 Mechanical, Electrical & Plumbing Fees

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)

FEE DESCRIPTION

UNIT

FY2021-22

Fee

PLUMBING/GAS PERMIT FEES

Stand Alone Plumbing Plan Check	per hour	\$221
Other Plumbing and Gas Inspections	per hour	\$221
PLUMBING/GAS UNIT FEES:		
Residential Whole-House Re-Plumbing (up to 2500 sq ft)	each	\$442
Each Additional 1000 sq ft	each 1,000 sf	\$221
Plumbing fixture or trap or set of fixtures on one trap, including water, drainage piping, and backflow protection	each	\$15
Building sewer lateral or trailer park sewer sewer clean-out		
Residential	each	\$56
Commercial	each	\$110
Rainwater system inside building	per drain	\$15
Private sewage disposal system	each	\$221
Water Heater (Gas or Solar) and/or Vent		
Residential	each	\$56
Commercial	each	\$165
Industrial waste pretreatment interceptor, including its trap and vent, excepting kitchen-type grease interceptors functioning as fixture traps	each	\$165
Install, alter or repair water piping and/or water treating equipment	each	\$74
Repair/Alter drainage or vent piping	each fixture	\$74
Lawn sprinkler system on any one meter, including backflow protection devices	each	\$110
Backflow devices not included in other fee services (e.g., building/trailer park	each	\$110
Atmospheric-type vacuum breakers not included in other fee services (e.g., building/trailer park sewer)	each 5	\$110
Gas piping system per outlet	each 4	\$110
Water Service	each	\$56
Partial Re-pipe		
Residential	per fixture	\$22
Commercial	per fixture	\$14

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Standard Hourly Rate - Building	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Accessibility Hardship Exemption	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Acoustical Review				
Single Family Home/Duplex— New	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Single Family Home/Duplex— Addition/Alteration	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
Multi-Family/Commercial	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Additions (Non Hillside R3 Occupancy) - Plan Check Fees				
Plan Check Fees	up to 250 sf	\$419	\$24	\$443
Plan Check Fees	251-499 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Additions (Non Hillside R3 Occupancy) - Inspection Fees				
Inspection Fees	up to 250 sf	\$1,043	\$59	\$1,102
Inspection Fees	251-499 sf	\$1,252	\$71	\$1,323
Accessory Dwelling Unit (Non Hillside ADU) - Plan Check Fees				
Plan Check Fees	up to 500 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Plan Check Fees	500-1000 sf	\$1,667	\$95	\$1,762
Accessory Dwelling Unit (Non Hillside ADU) - Inspection Fees				
Inspection Fees	up to 500 sf	\$1,252	\$71	\$1,323
Inspection Fees	500-1000 sf	\$2,082	\$119	\$2,201
Address Assignment	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Board of Appeals	per hour		\$221	\$221
Clerical Fee	1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Alternate Materials and Methods of Construction	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Antenna— Telecom Facility				
Radio	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Cellular/Mobile Phone, free-standing	each	\$1,148	\$65	\$1,213
Cellular/Mobile Phone, attached to building	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Arbor/Trellis	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Awning/Canopy (supported by building)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Balcony Addition	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Battery Energy Storage System	up to three (3)	\$209	\$12	\$221
Each Additional	each	\$105	\$6	\$111
Carport	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Certifications				
Field Evaluation Certification	each			
Special Inspector Qualifications (initial review)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Special Inspector Qualifications (renewal / update)	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Special Inspector Certification Application	each			
Materials Testing Lab Certification	each			
Chimney (new)	each	\$626	\$36	\$662
Chimney Repair	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Close Existing Openings				
Interior wall	each	\$418	\$24	\$442
Exterior wall	each	\$626	\$36	\$662

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Commercial Coach (per unit)	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Covered Porch	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Deck (wood)	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Deck Railing	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Deferred Submittal (2 hour minimum)		based on work item		based on work item
Demolition				
Multi-Family and Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Multi-Family and Commercial (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Residential (R-3 Occ) (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Residential (R-3 Occ) (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Swimming Pool Residential	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Swimming Pool Multi-Family and Commercial (up to 3,000 sf)	each	\$626	\$36	\$662
Swimming Pool Multi-Family and Commercial (each additional 3,000 sf)	each 3,000 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Disabled Access Compliance Inspection	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Door				
New door (non structural)	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
New door (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Duplicate / Replacement Job Card	each	\$104	\$6	\$110
Electric Vehicle Charging Station	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Extensions				
Plan Check Applications (within 180 days of Submittal)	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Permits (within 180 days of Issuance)				
Start construction, without plans	1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Resume or complete construction, without plans	1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Start construction, with plans	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Resume or complete construction, with plans	2 hours	\$418	\$24	\$442
Fence				
Non-masonry, over 7 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$419	\$24	\$443
Non-masonry, each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
Masonry, over 7 feet in height	up to 100 l.f.	\$730	\$42	\$772
Masonry, each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$419	\$24	\$443
Fireplace				
Masonry	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Pre-Fabricated/Metal	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Flag pole (over 20 feet in height)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Foundation Repair	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Garage / Agricultural Buildings				
Wood frame up to 1,000 sf	each	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Masonry up to 1,000 sf	each	\$1,775	\$101	\$1,876

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Green Building Deposit - Third Party Certification Process				
Single-Family Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$1,000 max.		\$2/sf, \$1,000 max.
Multi-Family Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$20,000 min; \$40,000 max.		\$2/sf, \$20,000 min; \$40,000 max.
Non-Residential	sf	\$2/sf, \$35,000 min; \$75,000 max.		\$2/sf, \$35,000 min; \$75,000 max.
Inspections				
Pre-Inspection Fee	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Standard Inspection Hourly Rate	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Progress Inspection	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Partial Inspection	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Courtesy Inspection - 2 hour minimum	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Cancelled inspection w/out advance notice	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Reinspection	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Outside of normal business hours (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Inspection Supplemental Fee (Projects that require more inspections than average, the Building Official may charge additional inspection fees)				
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Life Safety Report	each	\$1,252	\$71	\$1,323
Lighting pole	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
each additional pole	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Modular Structures	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Modification of Technical Code	1 hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Occupancy				
Certificate of Occupancy/Completion	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Temporary Occupancy Permit	per six months	\$419	\$24	\$443
Partition—Commercial, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$626	\$36	\$662
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$209	\$12	\$221
Partition—Residential, Interior (up to 30 l.f.)	up to 30 l.f.	\$419	\$24	\$443
Additional partition	each 30 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
Patio Cover / Gazebo				
Wood frame	up to 300 sf	\$502	\$29	\$531
Metal frame	up to 300 sf	\$502	\$29	\$531
Other frame	up to 300 sf	\$702	\$40	\$742
Additional patio	each 300 sf	\$301	\$17	\$318
Enclosed prefabricated Sun Room	up to 300 sf	\$702	\$40	\$742
Additional Sun Rooms	each 300 sf	\$401	\$23	\$424

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Photovoltaic System				
Residential	each	\$367	\$21	\$388
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, up to 8 kilowatts	up to 8 kW	\$522	\$30	\$552
Multi-Family Res/Commercial, each additional 1 kilowatt	each 1 kW	\$53	\$3	\$56
Pile Foundation				
Cast in Place Concrete (first 10 piles)	up to 10	\$940	\$54	\$994
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$626	\$36	\$662
Driven (steel, pre-stressed concrete)	up to 10	\$940	\$54	\$994
Additional Piles (increments of 10)	each 10	\$626	\$36	\$662
Product Review	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Plan Review				
Standard Plan Review Hourly Rate	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Expedite Plan Review	each	1.5 x PC Fee		1.5 x PC Fee
Overtime Plan Review (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Pre-Submittal Plan Review (2 hour minimum)	per hour	\$209/hr, \$418 or 2 hr min.	\$31/\$62	\$219/hr, \$438 or 2 hr min.
Plan Review Supplemental Fee (after 2nd review)				
First 1/2 hour minimum	first 1/2 hour	\$104	\$6	\$110
Each Additional hour	per hour	\$209	\$12	\$221
Pre-Construction Meeting	each	\$418	\$418	\$418
Remodel—Residential				
Kitchen	up to 300 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Bath	up to 300 sf	\$834	\$48	\$882
Other Remodel	up to 300 sf	\$626	\$36	\$662
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Other Remodel	1000 sf	\$1,983	\$113	\$2,096
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Other Remodel	2500 sf +	\$2,609	\$149	\$2,758
Additional remodel	each 300 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Re-roof			\$0	
Residential (maximum \$500 per building)	each 100 sf	\$23	\$1	\$24
Multi-Family Dwelling (maximum \$500 per building)	each 100 sf	\$23	\$1	\$24
Commercial			\$0	
Commercial (first 5,000 sf)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Commercial (each additional 2,500 sf)	each 2,500 sf	\$209	\$12	\$221
Retaining Wall (concrete or masonry)			\$0	
Standard (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$940	\$54	\$994
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$626	\$36	\$662
Special Design, 3-10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$834	\$48	\$882
Special Design, over 10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,565	\$89	\$1,654
Additional retaining wall	each 50 l.f.	\$1,043	\$59	\$1,102

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Gravity/Crib Wall, 0-10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$834	\$48	\$882
Gravity/Crib Wall, over 10' high (up to 50 l.f.)	up to 50 l.f.	\$1,565	\$89	\$1,654
Additional Gravity/Crib Wall	each 50 l.f.	\$1,043	\$59	\$1,102
Revisions				
Commercial (New and Tenant Improvement)	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Single Family Dwelling (New and Additions)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Remodel	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Sauna—steam	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Siding				
Stone and Brick Veneer (interior or exterior)	up to 400 sf	\$522	\$30	\$552
All Other	up to 400 sf	\$419	\$24	\$443
Additional siding	each 400 sf	\$104	\$6	\$110
Signs				
Directional	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Each additional Directional Sign	each	\$209	\$12	\$221
Ground/Roof/Projecting Signs	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Master Plan Sign Check	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Rework of any existing Ground Sign	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Other Sign	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Reinspection Fee	each	\$104	\$6	\$110
Wall/Awning Sign, Non-Electric	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
Wall/Awning Sign, Electric	each	\$313	\$18	\$331
Shed over 120 square feet	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Skylight				
50 sf or less (cumulative area)	each	\$522	\$30	\$552
Greater than 50 sf or structural	each	\$730	\$42	\$772
Stairs—First Flight	first flight	\$419	\$24	\$443
Each additional flight	per flight	\$209	\$12	\$221
Storage Racks				
0-8' high (up to 100 l.f.)	first 100 l.f.	\$522	\$30	\$552
each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
over 8' high (up to 100 l.f.)	first 100 l.f.	\$522	\$30	\$552
each additional 100 l.f.	each 100 l.f.	\$104	\$6	\$110
Stucco Applications	up to 400 sf	\$419	\$24	\$443
Additional Stucco Application	each 400 sf	\$104	\$6	\$110

CITY OF CUPERTINO, CA
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule D - Table 4 Miscellaneous Items

ALL FEES ON SCHEDULE D INCREASED BY THE COST OF LABOR (5.7%)	Unit	FY 2020-21 Fee	Change	FY 2021-22 Fee
Swimming Pool/Spa				
Vinyl-lined	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Fiberglass	each	\$940	\$54	\$994
Gunite (up to 800 sf)	each	\$1,356	\$77	\$1,433
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$313	\$18	\$331
Commercial pool (up to 800 sf)	each	\$2,296	\$131	\$2,427
Additional pool (over 800 sf)	each 100 sf	\$626	\$36	\$662
Spa or Hot Tub (Pre-fabricated)	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Temporary Structures	each	\$626	\$36	\$662
Tenant Improvement Preparation	each	\$419	\$24	\$443
Window or Sliding Glass Door				
Replacement (first 8 windows)	first 8	\$313	\$18	\$331
Replacement (each additional 8 windows)	each 8	\$104	\$6	\$110
New Window (non structural)	each	\$262	\$15	\$277
New window (structural shear wall/masonry)	each	\$366	\$21	\$387
Bay Window (structural)	each	\$366	\$21	\$387
Refunds - Plan Check Fees				
1st review not started (within 3 Business of Submittal)				100% of Plan Review Fees
Plan review more than 3 Business Days after the Date of Submittal				No refund
Refunds - Building Permit Fees				
No inspections and permit is active (not expired)				80% of permit fees
No inspections and permit is expired				No refund
Inspections were provided				No refund
Work without permit - based on current permit and plan check fees				Double fees
NOTE:				
Fee Adjustments: In instances where the strict application of fees from this schedule would constitute a substantial inequity to an applicant or to the City, the Chief Building Official shall be authorized to adjust such fees on a case-by-case basis. Any such adjustments shall be recorded in writing and entered into the appropriate files.				
Fees identified in this Table consist of 50% Plan Review Fee and 50% Inspection Fee				

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation

Recreation classes and excursion fees shall be determined as follows:

Classes

1. Determine the maximum hourly rate paid to instructor.
2. Multiply the instructor's hourly rate by the number of class meetings.
3. Determine the minimum number of participants and divide into the instructor's cost.
4. Add indirect overhead percent - 32%.
5. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
6. Add cost for specialized equipment or supplies.

Special Conditions: For classes taught by contract instructors, the indirect overhead is only added to the City's percentage.

Excursions

1. Transportation cost divided by the number of participants plus overhead transfer.
2. Add 20% to establish non-resident fee.
3. Add any admission cost, supplies or leadership cost.

Additional factors that may be used to determine the class or excursion user fee:

The total number of participants in a given activity may generate additional revenue whereby the total program cost may be reduced.

Classes that traditionally have waiting lists may have the user fee increased.

Programs in competition with adjacent cities or the private sector may require fees to be increased or decreased to remain competitive.

Facility Use Fee Schedule (Staff Use Only)

CLASSIFICATIONS:

- Group 1: Cupertino-serving non-profits with 1/3 resident membership/participation, a Cupertino business address, or demonstrated service to Cupertino; government organizations; sponsored clubs; functions held by non-profits that are free and open to the Cupertino public. These organizations must show an official structure and status.
- Group 2: Non-resident non-profit recreation, education or community service organizations for functions not open to the public. These organizations must show official structure and status.
- Group 3: Cupertino Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc. Must show proof of 95014 residency.
- Group 4: Non-Residents - Private, special interest or business groups for functions not open to the public. These functions would include parties, banquets, receptions, industrial conferences, seminars, trade shows, etc.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Quinlan Community Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOURS

<u>Cupertino Room</u>	Mon-Fri up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm-Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$168	\$252	\$280	\$420
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$224	\$336	\$368	\$552
<u>Social Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$60	\$90
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$32	\$48	\$96	\$144
Resident (Group 3)	\$72	\$108	\$120	\$180
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$90	\$135	\$150	\$225
<u>Conference Room</u>				
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Cupertino Room	\$500
Social Room	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Community Hall

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$120	\$180	\$200	\$300
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$200	\$300	\$300	\$450

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Community Hall	\$500
----------------	-------

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Cupertino Library: Will be approved for a select number of uses of Community Hall without fee, based on approval from the ~~Recreation & Community Services~~ Department Director. The Library is exempt from the provision of deposits relating to the ~~use of Community Hall.~~ ~~use of Community Hall.~~

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation

Monta Vista Recreation Center/Creekside Park Building/Wilson Park Building

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

WILSON PARK BUILDING/CREEKSIDE/MONTA VISTA- Multi-Purpose Room

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$24	\$36	\$39	\$59
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$30	\$45	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$60	\$90	\$100	\$150
	<u>Resident</u>	<u>Non-Resident</u>		
Bounce House (Memorial & Creekside Park Only)	\$25	\$25		

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A \$100 security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

Creekside Park building security deposit \$100. If alcohol is served security deposit is \$250.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

Wilson Park Building rental would not include access to ceramic wheels, kiln, or specialized art equipment.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Membership Fees

	Resident	Non-Resident
Annual Membership	\$23 \$25	\$28 \$30
Volunteer Membership*	\$18 \$20	\$23 \$25

Non-Member - Additional Fees

	Resident	Non-Resident
Day Pass Fee Visitor Pass (per day)	\$5	\$5
Classes Pass (per class)	\$10	\$10
Day Trips Pass (per trip)	\$20	\$20
Flex Pass (Members only)	\$7	\$7

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Reception Hall

	Mon-Fri Up to 5:00pm	Overtime Fee*	Fri 5:00pm to Sun	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45	\$80	\$120
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72	\$128	\$192
Resident (Group 3)	\$144	\$216	\$240	\$360
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$180	\$270	\$300	\$450

Bay Room/ Arts and Craft

Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$22	\$33	\$36	\$54
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$27	\$41	\$45	\$68
Resident (Group 3)	\$43	\$65	\$72	\$108
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$54	\$81	\$90	\$135

Classroom

Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$20	\$30	\$32	\$48
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$24	\$36	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$38	\$57	\$64	\$96
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$48	\$72	\$80	\$120

Conference Room

Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10	\$15	\$25	\$38
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16	\$24	\$40	\$60
Resident (Group 3)	\$31	\$47	\$52	\$78
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39	\$59	\$65	\$98

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit shall be required for all groups. Security deposit is due at time of reservation. The Department Director may also require or alter a deposit based on the nature of an event, deposits on account for other permits, etc. The security deposit will be refunded if no damage occurs, rooms are left in clean condition, and permits conclude on time.

*Members who have volunteered 20+ hours in the previous calendar year qualify for this rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Senior Center

Reception Hall	\$500
All Other Rooms	\$300

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/~~Child Care~~/Teen Center

	Type	Resident	Non-Resident
<u>Day Passes</u>			
Single-Day Pass		\$10	\$13 \$12
Day Pass 5 Pack		\$45	\$59
Day Pass 10 Pack		\$90	\$117
Drop-In Fitness		\$10	\$10
Drop-In Fitness 5 Pack		\$45	\$45
Drop-In Fitness 10 Pack		\$90	\$90
Drop-In Sports		\$7	\$7
Drop-In Sports 5 Pack		\$32	\$32
Drop-In Sports 10 Pack		\$63	\$63
Body-Fat-Testing		\$25	\$25
Friday Night Social Members		\$3	\$3
Friday Night Social Non-Member		\$8	\$8
<u>Monthly Passes Memberships</u>			
One Month	Single	\$65	\$75 \$78
One Month	Couple*	\$85	\$100 \$102
One Month	Family*	\$105	\$125 \$126
One Month	Senior	\$50	\$60
One Month	Students	\$30	\$30 \$36
<u>Annual Passes Memberships</u>			
One Year	Single	\$440	\$475 \$528
One Year	Couple*	\$825	\$900 \$990
One Year	Family*	\$920	\$1,000 \$1,104
One Year	Senior	\$395	\$425 \$474
One Year	Corp. Single	\$350	\$350
One Year	Corp. Family	\$850	\$850
One Year	Tennis Only	\$420	\$440

* Family membership includes up to 2 adults and ~~2~~ 1 child ~~ren~~ under 18. For residents, each additional child is \$100 per year or \$8 per month.

For non-residents, each additional child is \$120 per year or \$10 per month.

*Couple and Family memberships must live in the same household.

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Conference Room	Current
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$10
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$16
Resident (Group 3)	\$31
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$39
Multi-Purpose Room/Sports Court	Current
Resident	\$60
Non-Resident	\$72

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Sports Center/~~Child Care~~/Teen Center

ADDITIONAL CONDITIONS

1. The Cupertino Tennis Club will be charged \$12.00/hour during primetime and \$8.00/hour per court during non-primetime for all C.T.C. sponsored activities other than U.S.T.A. leagues and practices.
2. All competitors in C.T.C./U.S.T.A. leagues participating at the Sports Center must purchase an annual ~~pass~~ membership.

~~3. Specials will be offered on an on-going basis.~~

~~Childcare Fees~~

One visit	\$7
Ten visits	\$63

Teen Center

Rental Rate (per 3 hours minimum)	\$210
Deposit	\$750
Overtime Rate: \$100.00 first hour; \$50.00 each half hour after.	
Extra Fees: \$35 each half hour (30 min); \$70 each hour (1 hr)	

	Teen Resident	Teen Non-Resident
Annual Rate	No Cost	\$25

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Memorial Softball Field

Cupertino residents/Resident business only	\$35 \$40/2 hrs
Non-Residents/Non-Resident business	\$50 \$60/2 hrs
Field can be reserved for a maximum of 4 hours. THERE IS NO FEE FOR CURRENT SOFTBALL TEAMS PLAYING IN CUPERTINO LEAGUES	
Field preparation (includes dragging, watering, chalking, and bases)	\$37
Field Attendant (2 hour minimum). Field Attendant is required any time lights or field preparation is requested.	\$14/hr \$17/hr
Lights	\$10/hr

Memorial Park Amphitheater

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Memorial Park Gazebo

Residents/Cupertino Non-Profit	\$55/2 hours
Non-resident/Non-Profit	\$75/2 hours

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate)

	Resident	Non-Resident
Memorial (113 capacity)	\$113	\$154
Linda Vista (136 capacity)	\$136	\$186
Portal (80 capacity)	\$80	\$109
Electricity at Memorial or Linda Vista Park	\$25	\$25
Bounce House (Memorial Park Picnic Rental and Creekside Building Rentals Only)	\$25	\$25

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

City of Cupertino at any field and Cupertino Union School District programs at Joint Use Agreement fields	No Charge
Non-profit organization serving individuals with a disability (*)	No Charge
Resident, non-profit youth organizations (*)	\$10/hour/field
Non-resident, non-profit youth organizations (*)	\$30/hour/field
Resident adult or for-profit youth organizations (*)	\$50/hour/field
Non-resident adult or for-profit youth organizations	\$60/hour/field

*Sports Field Fees Organization Requirements

Non-profit organization serving individuals with a disability: Organizations must provide documentation, subject to review by the Department Director.
Resident, non-profit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater and must be a recognized non-profit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger.
Non-resident, non-profit youth organizations: Organizations must be recognized non-profit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger.
Resident adult or for-profit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater.

Sports Field Fees (Per Athletic Field Use Policy)

Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$22
Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66
Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Deposit	\$600
Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, youth, non-profit volunteer organization (*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$66

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Outdoor Facilities

Non-resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization ^(*)	
Resident/player/season	\$11
Non-resident/player/season	\$88
Non-resident, adult, non-profit volunteer organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$50
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
Non-resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$100
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit youth sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$150
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600
For-profit adult sports events	
2-hour minimum/hour/field	\$175
Base fee/site/seasonal use period	\$600
Deposit	\$600

^(*) Represents whether an organization's members and board of directors are made up of 51% or more of either City residents or non-residents. After the category is determined, then the resident or non-resident fees are paid per the residency of each player.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm

Picnic Areas (Daily Rate) *

	Resident	Non-Resident
Area 1 - 250 Person Picnic Area- Blackberry Site	\$300	\$600
Area 2 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Sycamore Site	\$120	\$240
Area 3 - 100 Person Picnic Area- Owl Site	\$120	\$240
Area 4 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Steelhead Site	\$60	\$120
Area 5 - 50 Person Picnic Area- Bobcat Site	\$60	\$120
Area 6 - 25 Person Picnic Area- Acorn Site	\$30	\$60
All Sites- 525 Person Picnic Area	\$690	\$1,380
Pool Pass for Picnic Area Groups	\$4	\$5

Day-Use Pass Only

Weekday Tuesday - Friday	\$6	\$8
Weekend Saturday - Sunday	\$8	\$10

Picnic area fees are due in full at the time of reservation.

* Organizations may rent multiple areas.

Swim Pass Options

	Resident	Non-Resident
10-day Pass	\$60	\$80

Season Swim Pass (May-Sept)

Individual Pass	\$100	\$140
2-Person Pass	\$160	\$200
3-Person Pass	\$170	\$210
4-Person Pass	\$180	\$220
5-Person Pass	\$190	\$230
6-Person Pass*	\$200	\$240
*Each additional person added to a 6-person pass	\$6	\$8

*Swim pass prices may be adjusted due to current COVID related restrictions.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Environmental Education Center

ROOM RENTAL SCHEDULE PER HOUR

Contracted Tenants and Partners*	No Fee	Overtime Fee*
Cupertino Non-Profit (Group 1)	\$30	\$45
Non-Profit (Group 2)	\$48	\$72
Resident (Group 3)	\$55	\$83
Non-Resident (Group 4)	\$88	\$132

*Onsite tenants and partnering organizations that have a current lease agreement with the City of Cupertino.

Security Staff

Security staff is required when alcohol is served at any City facility. \$40.00 per hour. Minimum of 6 hours.

Overtime Fee*

Rentals exceeding, prior to or following the permitted reservation time, shall be charged the overtime fee for any time up to the first half-hour, and the overtime fee per hour for every hour thereafter. This charge will be deducted from the security deposit.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$300 will be due at time of booking for rentals. (except for Contracted Tenants and Partners)

Insurance

General Liability Insurance may be required as determined by Department and stipulated in Department's Facility Use Insurance Requirement Policy.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Park Special Events Permits

Application Fee (per event; non-refundable)	\$75
Memorial Park - 1/2 park per event day; 500 or fewer attendees	\$1,000
Memorial Park - full park per event day; 501 or more attendees	\$2,000
Event Day Staffing - City Staff	At Cost
Event Day Staffing - Sheriff's Office	At Cost

Memorial Park Special Event Permit for nonprofit/civic organizations only.

Additional permit fees may be charged for park uses, as determined by the ~~Department~~ Director ~~of Recreation & Community Services~~.

Security Deposit

A security deposit of \$1,000 is due 30 days prior to event. The security deposit payment is required before a Special Event Permit will be issued.

Insurance

General Liability Insurance is required as stipulated in the Department's Special Events Permit.

Community Gardens

Garden bed annual assessment	\$110
Garden bed annual assessment - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$55
Garden bed refundable security deposit	\$150
Garden bed refundable security deposit - PG&E CARES Program Discount	\$75

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Daily Green Fee Schedule

<u>Weekends</u>	Resident	Non-Resident
9-Holes	\$18	\$20
Senior	\$18	\$20
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$12	\$14
 <u>Weekdays</u>		
9-Holes	\$16	\$18
Senior	\$15	\$17
Junior	\$15	\$17
Second 9 Holes (all players)	\$11 \$12	\$14

All Groups and Tournaments pay the 9-Hole rate (Cupertino residents still applies). Staff is authorized to set merchandise fees according to current cost.

	Adults (17-50)	Jr/Sr
<u>Monthly Rate*</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$165	\$150
Non-Residents	\$195	\$180
<u>Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,310	\$1,160
Non-Residents	\$1,610	\$1,460
<u>Semi-Annual Rate (Weekdays Only)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$710	\$630
Non-Residents	\$870	\$790
<u>Super Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$1,650	\$1,500
Non-Residents	\$1,950	\$1,800
<u>Super Semi-Annual Rate (Good on Weekends & Holidays)</u>		
Cupertino Residents	\$880	\$800
Non-Residents	\$1,040	\$960

*Monthly rate valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1/10th of the Super Annual Rate.

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

Power Cart Rental Packs

10 Pack	\$65	\$65
20 Pack	\$120	\$120
30 Pack	\$165	\$165
40 Pack	\$200	\$200
50 Pack	\$225	\$225

Rentals

Power Cart	\$7	\$7
Pull Cart	\$3	\$3
Soccer Balls	\$3	\$3
Clubs Adult	\$6 \$10	\$6 \$10
Clubs Junior	\$3.50	\$3.50

Daily Foot Golf Fee Schedule

Weekends

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5

Week days

Adult	\$15	\$15
Junior	\$12	\$12
Spectator Fee	\$5	\$5
Second 9 holes	\$12	\$14

*Specials will be offered on an on-going basis

CITY OF CUPERTINO
Resolution 21-XXX
Fees Effective FY 2021-22
Schedule E - Recreation
Blackberry Farm Golf Course

~~Proposed~~ Quick Passes

<u>Base Rate</u>		<u>15</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>18</u>	<u>20</u>
		Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekday	Weekend	Weekend
	Savings	Senior	Adult	Senior	Adult		
# of Plays	per round	Res.	Res.	NR	NR	Res.	NR
10	\$1	\$140	\$150	\$160	\$170	\$170	\$190
20	\$2	\$260	\$280	\$300	\$320	\$320	\$360
30	\$3	\$360	\$390	\$420	\$450	\$450	\$510
40	\$4	\$440	\$480	\$520	\$560	\$560	\$640
50	\$5	\$500	\$550	\$600	\$650	\$650	\$750

Golf fees may be increased/decreased at the discretion of the City Manager (Resolution No. 04-350)

~~* Monthly Passes valid 7 days a week and Holidays. Based on 1\10th of the Annual Rate.~~



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9042, **Version:** 1

Subject: Consider approving the updated Athletic Field Use Policy

Approve the updated Athletic Field Use Policy and provide any input



PARKS AND RECREATION DEPARTMENT

QUINLAN COMMUNITY CENTER
10185 NORTH STELLING ROAD • CUPERTINO, CA 95014-5732
TELEPHONE: (408) 777-3120 • FAX: (408) 777-1305
CUPERTINO.ORG

CITY COUNCIL STAFF REPORT

Meeting: April 20, 2021

Subject

Consider approving the updated Athletic Field Use Policy

Recommended Action

Approve the updated Athletic Field Use Policy and provide any input

Background

The current City of Cupertino Athletic Field Use Policy (Attachment E) was established to ensure that all City-managed fields are utilized for recreational, athletic, cultural, educational, social, and community service functions in a manner that preserves neighborhood quality of life and protects the park resource. The existing policy was approved in 2004. The policy requires field use permits for exclusive field use or for any repeated, organized use of athletic fields maintained by the City of Cupertino. A study of the current Athletic Field Use Policy became a City Work Program item in Fiscal Year 2019-2020 with the objective of assessing the current Athletic Field Use Policy including a review of scheduling, the fee schedule, cost recovery, and Sunday reservation feasibility. The Parks and Recreation System Master Plan adopted in February 2020 indicates the need to evaluate and implement changes to field scheduling to increase capacity and usage.

Fields at the following sites are governed by the Athletic Field Use Policy:

- Creekside Park
- Collins Elementary
- Eaton Elementary
- Faria Elementary
- Garden Gate Elementary
- Hoover Park
- Hyde Middle School
- Jollyman Park
- Kennedy Middle School
- Library Field
- Lincoln Elementary
- Monta Vista Park
- Regnart Elementary
- Stevens Creek Elementary
- Wilson Park

Discussion

After reviewing field use policies in neighboring cities and conducting community outreach, the updated proposed policy includes an option to allow for additional Sunday field reservations, a transition to an hourly fee structure, and provisions to allow for necessary field closures. Currently, Sunday field reservations are limited to two

Sunday tournaments per year per sports group and for groups serving individuals with a disability. Additional Sunday field use may require annual field closures to rest the fields and allow for any maintenance.

Other minor changes in the policy include incorporating existing guidelines for storing equipment and goals, insurance requirements, and the requirement for following State and Federal Guidelines regarding mandated reporting, fingerprinting, and concussion protocols. These items are already part of the current permitting process but are being included in the proposed policy for consistency (Attachment A). Group prioritization was also simplified for efficiency but remains consistent with current practice.

Outreach

Outreach to the community included a dedicated webpage, a citywide postcard, social media posts, an online survey (February 21 – March 21), and an online community meeting on March 9. Staff also met with all youth sports organizations that currently use the fields, collecting feedback about Sunday use, annual field closures, and the change in fees.

Youth Sport Organization Feedback

Several meetings were held with the youth sports organizations since February 2020. Groups were informed about the potential change to an hourly fee structure and a proposed youth resident group fee of \$20/hour/field. In fall 2020, groups also provided their feedback about their preferred Sunday use as shown in the following table.

Youth Sport Organization Sunday Field Use Feedback

	Currently Allowed	Additional Sunday Use Requested		
	Actual Sunday Use	Requested Practices	Requested Games	Additional Requested Tournaments
Resident Groups (≥ 51%)				
American Youth Soccer - 35	2 tournaments	0	4 Make-Up	2
California Cricket Academy	2 tournaments	0	All	0
Cupertino Football Club (Soccer)	2 tournaments	0	0	2
Cupertino Little League	0	0	4-6	0
Golden Triangle Soccer	0	All	All	0
US Youth Volleyball League	0	0	3-6 Make-Up	0
Non-resident Groups				
American Youth Soccer - 64	2 tournaments	0	0	0


De Anza Youth Soccer	2 tournaments	0	20	0
Cupertino Girls Softball	1 tournament	0	7	0
Groups primarily serving individuals with disabilities				
Little League Challenger	4-6 half-day games	The current policy allows groups primarily serving individuals with disabilities to use fields on Sundays. This practice is continued in the proposed updated policy.		
South Bay VIP	20 half-day games			

Survey Results

The survey was completed by a total of 979 people, including 581 self-identified residents (59%). 397 respondents (41%) indicated that someone in their household participates in a sports group that uses Cupertino fields, including 60 self-identified residents. 415 (42%) respondents said they lived by one of the fields in the Athletic Field Use Policy, including 378 self-identified residents (Attachment B).


Survey results were analyzed in the following groupings and are shown in the following charts:

- Residents
- Respondents where someone in the household participates in a sports group that uses Cupertino fields (Sports Groups)
- Respondents that live by a field in the Athletic Field Use Policy (Lives by Fields)
- All respondents (Total)





If Sunday use is expanded to allow general practice and games, please rank the following options in order of preference.

- Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
- Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
- Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row



If sports groups are allowed to use fields on Sundays for general practice and games, which time frame would you prefer this use to be allowed?





Overall, residents and those living near the fields did not want additional Sunday field use while sports groups did. If sports groups were allowed additional Sunday field use, residents and those living near fields preferred only adding four Sundays per group per year from 10 a.m. to 2 p.m. Sports groups preferred adding all Sundays from 10 a.m. to 5 p.m. Across all respondents, only one month of field closure was preferred.

The survey also allowed respondents to provide comments. Of the 238 comments received, 17% supported Sunday field use and 17% did not. 27% of the comments indicated general support for field use but did not specify Sundays. 17% of the comments indicated that fields should only be closed due to poor conditions and weather.

Additional Sunday Field Use

A review of field use policies in neighboring cities included Campbell, Los Altos, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, Saratoga, and Sunnyvale (Attachment C). All cities reviewed allow permitted field use on all Sundays.

Cupertino's current limitations on Sunday field use allow Sundays to be used to rest the fields and allow residents to use the fields freely. At prior City Council meetings, youth sports groups have advocated for expanding Sunday use. Expanding Sunday field use would let youth sports teams to schedule games, make-up games, and practices. It would also allow groups who do not hold tournaments to hold games on Sundays.

On April 8, 2021 the Parks and Recreation Commission considered the updated Athletic Field Use Policy. The Commission recognized that residents preferred no additional Sunday use while sports groups could benefit from opportunities for make-up games

and limited Sunday use. The Commission wanted to continue to support the recreational use of fields by residents and families.

After discussion, the Parks and Recreation Commission recommended allowing each group to reserve a field on two additional Sundays a year from 10 a.m.-2 p.m. for games and practices. This would be in addition to any Sunday use that is currently allowed such as tournaments and groups primarily serving individuals with disabilities.

Fee Structure

After reviewing the fee structures for Campbell, Los Altos, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Palo Alto, San Jose, Santa Clara, Saratoga, and Sunnyvale (Attachment D), staff found they all use an hourly fee. To better align with neighboring cities, it is recommended that Cupertino shift from the current per player fee structure to an hourly fee structure. This would improve cost recovery as well as encourage field reservations to be made more efficiently. The per player fee structure results in an estimated 7% cost recovery. Implementing an hourly fee structure will result in higher fees for sports groups. All sports groups were made aware of the potential change to an hourly fee structure in February 2020. Groups generally understood the need to increase fees. Some expressed a need for sufficient time to adjust their rates to account for the increase.

The Parks and Recreation Commission recommended moving from a per player fee to an hourly fee structure. The Commission was concerned about the increase in fees but was supportive of more competitive fees in line with neighboring cities.

The Commission considered the estimated 25% and 40% cost recovery options presented. While 40% cost recovery is often used in Parks and Recreation fees, the 25% cost recovery option was included to ease in the increase. Due to the significant increase from current rates, the Commission recommended a phased approach for the youth resident groups beginning at \$10/hour/field, with all other categories starting at 25% cost recovery levels. The Commission suggested a \$5 increase per year until the 25% cost recovery schedule was reached but deferred to staff to determine appropriate increases in subsequent years based on actual use. This fee structure would result in an estimated 17% to 20% cost recovery initially.

Options for Field Use Hourly Fees

Proposed Fee categories	Average Cost in Neighboring Cities	Commission Recommendation (Estimated 17% to 20% Cost Recovery)	Estimated 25% Cost Recovery Option	Estimated 40% Cost Recovery Option
City of Cupertino at any field/CUSD programs at JUA fields	No charge	No charge	No charge	No charge

Non-profit organizations serving individuals with a disability	N/A*	No charge	No charge	No charge
Resident (≥ 51%), nonprofit youth organizations	\$30/hour/field	\$10/hour/field	\$20/hour/field	\$30/hour/field
Non-resident, nonprofit youth organizations	\$78/hour/field	\$30/hour/field	\$30/hour/field	\$50/hour/field
Resident adult (≥ 51%) or for-profit youth organizations	\$49/hour/field	\$50/hour/field	\$50/hour/field	\$60/hour/field
Non-resident adult or for-profit youth organizations	\$85/hour/field	\$60/hour/field	\$60/hour/field	\$80/hour/field

*Other cities do not have a category for organizations serving individuals with a disability. Cupertino has historically assigned special priority status to groups providing a unique recreational experience for individuals with a disability, free of charge.

Estimated Impact on Current Sports Groups

Sports Groups	FY 18/19 Total Fees Paid	Commission Recommendation (Estimated 17% to 20% Cost Recovery)	Estimated 25% Cost Recovery Fees	Estimated 40% Cost Recovery Fees
Resident Groups (≥ 51%)				
AYSO-35	\$8,008.00	\$11,220.00	\$22,440.00	\$44,880.00
California Cricket Academy	\$1,177.00	\$7,760.00	\$15,520.00	\$31,040.00
Cupertino Little League	\$3,278.00	\$15,910.00	\$31,820.00	\$63,640.00
Cupertino Football Club	\$6,501.00	\$11,150.00	\$22,300.00	\$44,600.00
Golden Triangle Soccer	\$506.00 (new group-1 season only)	\$4,440.00	\$8,800.00	\$17,600.00
U.S. Youth Volleyball League	\$2,794.00	\$800.00	\$1,600.00	\$2,400.00
Non-resident Groups				
AYSO-64	\$3,520.00	\$11,580.00	\$11,580.00	\$19,300.00
Cupertino Girls Softball	\$1,529.00	\$22,800.00	\$22,800.00	\$38,000.00
De Anza Youth Soccer	\$110,616.00	\$231,600.00	\$231,600.00	\$386,000.00
Total	\$137,929.00	\$317,220.00	\$368,460.00	\$647,460.00

Annual Field Closure Period

The cities of Campbell, Los Altos, Los Altos Hills, Mountain View, Palo Alto, Saratoga, and Sunnyvale all have annual winter field closures to rest the fields and conduct maintenance. The length of the closures varies from two months to three and half months. The cities of San Jose and Santa Clara do not have regularly scheduled closures.

According to the survey, respondents preferred a shorter closure. In addition, it is unknown whether the fields will require a resting period and it would depend on how heavily they are used under the new hourly rental structure. With these considerations, it is recommended that fields be closed only on an as-needed basis, to be determined by Public Works as they maintain the fields. This will avoid any unnecessary closure of fields. Any planned field closures will be posted on the City website and sports groups will be notified. The Parks and Recreation Commission expressed support for this approach.

Parks and Recreation Commission Recommendation

On April 8, the Parks and Recreation Commission unanimously recommended (with Commissioner Tambe absent) that City Council adopt the Updated Athletic Field Use Policy with the following components:

- Expand Sunday use with two additional Sundays per group per year from 10 a.m. – 2 p.m.
- An hourly fee structure including:
 - City of Cupertino at any field/CUSD programs at JUA fields—no charge
 - Non-profit organizations primarily serving individuals with a disability—no charge
 - Resident, nonprofit youth organizations – \$10/hour/field
 - Non-resident, nonprofit youth organizations – \$30/hour/field
 - Resident adult or resident for-profit youth organizations – \$50/hour/field
 - Non-resident adult or non-resident for-profit youth organizations — \$60/hour/field
- Scheduling field closures as needed

Sustainability Impact

No sustainability impacts.

Fiscal Impact

In Fiscal Year 2018-2019, field revenue was \$139,589. Fall 2019 revenue was \$62,726 with no play allowed in the Spring of 2020 due to COVID-19. Participation was limited due to COVID-19 restrictions in Fall 2020 resulting in revenue of \$46,013. It costs an estimated \$1,874,539 to maintain and operate the fields annually.

Based on current group usage during a non-pandemic year, revenue with the recommended 25% cost recovery hourly fee structure is estimated to be \$368,460. The 40% cost recovery hourly fee structure is estimated to result in \$647,460 in revenue. The

fee structure recommended by the Parks and Recreation Commission would result in an estimated \$317,220 in revenue. However, an hourly fee structure would allow for more efficient scheduling of the fields. If more efficient scheduling results in additional hours reserved, it is estimated that field reservations could generate revenues up to \$374,908 under the model recommended by Parks and Recreation Commission (20% cost recovery), up to \$468,635 under the 25% cost recovery model, and up to \$749,816 under the 40% cost recovery model. Cost recovery models are estimated based on information available and will be revisited annually for adjustments if needed.

Prepared by: Karen Levy, Recreation Coordinator

Reviewed by: Jenny Koverman, Recreation Supervisor

Katy Nomura, Assistant to the City Manager

Approved for Submission by: Joanne Magrini, Parks and Recreation Director

Dianne Thompson, Assistant City Manager

Attachments:

A – Proposed City of Cupertino Athletic Field Use Policy

B – Sunday Field Use and Annual Field Closure Survey Results

C – Comparison of Other Cities

D – Fee Comparison and Cost Recovery Chart

E – Current City of Cupertino Athletic Field Use Policy



Athletic Field Use Policy

The City of Cupertino Athletic Field Use Policy has been established to ensure that all City-managed fields are utilized for recreational, athletic, cultural, educational, social, and community service functions in a manner that preserves neighborhood quality of life and protects the park resource.

Purpose:

- To establish procedures governing the exclusive use of City of Cupertino and Cupertino Union School District playing fields managed by the City of Cupertino.
- To ensure that Cupertino residents have priority access to the fields.
- To support organizations that foster a sense of community and encourage the development of youth athletes.
- To collect reasonable fees for the use of the fields, in support of their ongoing maintenance.
- To incorporate turf recovery periods as needed to maintain safe, quality fields.

Permit Required

Field Use Permits are required for exclusive field use or for any repeated, organized use of athletic fields maintained by the City of Cupertino. The Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department issues Field Use Permits.

City of Cupertino Maintained Fields

The fields listed below are available for permitting through the City of Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department. City of Cupertino owned fields are noted as '**City**', and fields under the Cupertino Union School District/City of Cupertino Joint Use Agreement are noted as '**JUA**'.

- | | |
|----------------------------------|---|
| ▪ Creekside Park (City) | 3 soccer fields |
| ▪ Jollyman Park (City) | 1 baseball/soccer joint use field, 1 soccer field |
| ▪ Hoover Park (City) | 2 soccer fields |
| ▪ Wilson Park (City) | 2 baseball, 1 soccer/baseball joint use field |
| ▪ Monta Vista Park (City) | 2 softball fields |
| ▪ Garden Gate Elementary (JUA) | 1 soccer field or 2 fields for younger kids |
| ▪ Eaton Elementary (JUA) | 1 soccer field or 2 fields for younger kids |
| ▪ Faria Elementary (JUA) | 1 baseball/soccer joint use field |
| ▪ Hyde Middle School (JUA) | 1 soccer (track) field, 1 baseball/soccer joint use field |
| ▪ Kennedy Middle School (JUA) | 1 baseball Field, 3 baseball/soccer joint use fields |
| ▪ Regnart Elementary (JUA) | 1 baseball/soccer joint use field |
| ▪ Stevens Creek Elementary (JUA) | 2 soccer fields |
| ▪ Lincoln Elementary (JUA) | 1 soccer field or 2 fields for younger kids |
| ▪ Collins Elementary (JUA) | 1 baseball/soccer joint use field |
| ▪ Library Field (City) | 1 field joint use for youth cricket, soccer, volleyball |

Field Availability

City-owned fields	Monday-Saturday	9 a.m.-Dusk
CUSD fields under the JUA:		
Elementary Schools	Monday-Friday	4 p.m.-Dusk
Kennedy/Hyde Middle School	Monday-Thursday	5 p.m.-Dusk
All JUA fields	Saturdays, School Holidays, School Summer Vacation	9 a.m.-Dusk

Two Sunday permit dates/year/organization may be granted by staff to accommodate special tournaments or events (not regularly scheduled league play). Tournaments may be held at multiple fields on the same date. Sunday permits are exclusive to those organizations already in possession of Monday-Saturday Permits. Sunday access to fields will be granted no earlier than 9 a.m., with games beginning no earlier than 10 a.m.

Each group may reserve fields on two Sundays a year from 10 a.m.-2p.m. Sunday use may be permitted by staff to those organizations already in possession of Monday-Saturday Permits. Organizations may request two Sunday field permits per year for games or practice. Each Sunday field permit is for one field only. Sunday access to fields will be granted no earlier than 10 a.m. and use must be completed by 2 p.m. In addition, two Sunday permit dates/year/organization may be granted by staff to accommodate special tournaments or events (not regularly scheduled league play). Tournaments may be held at multiple fields on the same date. Access to field for tournaments will be granted no earlier than 9 a.m., with games beginning no earlier than 10 a.m.

Priority groups 1 and 2 (see Priority section) are not subject to these restrictions and will be scheduled as reasonable.

Fields will be permitted for the activities that the field(s) are intended for, designed for, classified as, or for activities conducted in a manner that does not compromise public safety or field quality and integrity.

Athletic Field Permit Application

A completed athletic field reservation application must be submitted to the Parks and Recreation Department to be eligible for a Field Use Permit. All groups are required to follow State and Federal Guidelines regarding mandated reporting, fingerprinting, and concussion protocols. Detailed requirements will be provided to any interested group. Applications, along with proof of insurance as required by the City, must be submitted before the deadlines listed below. Insurance requirements will be provided to any interested group.

Seasons are as follows:

<u>Season</u>	<u>Dates</u>	<u>Deadline</u>
Spring	January-June	December 1st
Fall	July-December	May 1st

Groups should only request the amount of field space needed for the operation of their programs. It is the responsibility of the permitted organization to notify the Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department as soon as possible if it is determined by the permit organization that an approved permit date(s) is no longer needed. The Parks and Recreation Department has the right to re-assign unused field space as needed.

Priority

Field permits are assigned based on the following priority system:

- 1 City of Cupertino programs at any field and CUSD programs at JUA fields
- 2 Nonprofit organizations primarily serving individuals with a disability, with priority given to majority resident groups. Organizations must provide documentation, subject to review by the Director of Parks and Recreation.
- 3 Resident, nonprofit youth organizations: Organizations must maintain Cupertino resident participation of 51% or greater and must be a recognized nonprofit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger
- 4 Non-resident, nonprofit youth organizations: Group must be recognized nonprofit 501(c)(3) serving youth ages 18 or younger
- 5 Resident adult or resident for-profit youth organizations
- 6 Non-resident adult or non-resident for-profit youth organizations
- 7 **Organizations that have violated the terms of the field use policy:** Any group found violating any term of the field use policy or providing misleading or false information to the City of Cupertino will have last priority for scheduling field time. Any violations may affect future field use permit eligibility.

Seasonal Use Priority

- Baseball/softball organizations will have priority on soccer/baseball joint use fields during the spring.
- Soccer organizations will have priority on soccer/baseball joint use fields during the fall.

Fees

- 1 City of Cupertino at any field/CUSD programs at JUA fields—no charge
- 2 Non-profit organizations primarily serving individuals with a disability—no charge
- 3 Resident, nonprofit youth organizations --\$10/hour/field
- 4 Non-resident, nonprofit youth organizations--\$30/hour/field
- 5 Resident adult or resident for-profit youth organizations--\$50/hour/field
- 6 Non-resident adult or non-resident for-profit youth organizations--\$60/hour/field

Residency verification

All organizations will be required to submit a roster each season for players scheduled on Cupertino fields. After the request is made, rosters must be submitted within 14 days. Rosters must include the following information: first name, last name, address, city, and zip code.

Payment of Fees

The City of Cupertino will invoice the organization based on the approved number of hours. Payment will be due 14 days after invoice is received.

Cancellations/Refunds

Requests for refunds can be made up to ten (10) working days prior to scheduled use. Requests must be made to the recreation coordinator responsible for field scheduling.

Groups will not be charged for any scheduled field use during a weather or maintenance related field closure.

Field Closures/Field Hotline

Fields may be closed to avoid turf damage due to inclement weather or field saturation. Fields may be closed for field maintenance. Call the field hotline at 408-777-3566 or check @cupertinofields on Twitter to determine whether the fields are open or closed.

Organizations found playing on a CLOSED field will first receive a written warning from the City. A second offense will result in loss of priority rankings, revocation of existing permits, and/or penalties pursuant to Municipal Code Chapter 13.04.240.

Good Neighbor Guidelines

Cupertino's athletic fields are located on school property and in residential neighborhoods. User groups are expected to be respectful of the schools and neighbors by keeping noise at acceptable levels, cleaning up after use, following speed limits, and parking in designated areas. All participants are expected to abide by park rules and good neighbor guidelines. Failure to follow the rules may result in the denial of future field use or the revoking of existing permits.

General Regulations for Field Use

- A copy of the approved permit must be available for inspection when an organization is using the field.
- Field users are required to observe all City of Cupertino park regulations.
- Field use is limited to the times and dates indicated on the permit, and any changes are subject to availability and approval by the department.
- Organizations must notify the Parks and Recreation Department prior to the placement of portable restrooms. Placement must be in a location approved by the Public Works Department. The responsible party's contact information must be given to the department. Portable restrooms must be maintained regularly, secured in the approved location, and locked when not in use.
- No alcoholic beverages are allowed; violation will result in forfeiture of the permit and no fees will be returned.
- No group shall litter, soil, damage, or defile the field, rest rooms, or other park areas.
- No group or persons shall use any system to amplify sound whether for speech or music.
- No group or person shall make or kindle a fire for any purpose, except at places so designated.
- No group shall enter an area posted as "closed to the public" or "field closed". No persons shall remove such postings.
- No group or persons shall indulge in riotous, boisterous, threatening, or indecent conduct.

Goals and Equipment Storage and Safety Requirements

It is each organizations responsibility to ensure safe and secure use of any movable sports goal, net, or cage including but not limited to the following:

- The City of Cupertino does not furnish any moveable sports goal, net, or cage for any athletic field use sites. All moveable sports goals, nets, and cages shall be the responsibility of the permitted youth sports league. Only permitted youth sports leagues can store goals, nets, and cages on site. All equipment and storage containers, as well as their location, must be approved by the Public Works Department. Storage areas must be kept clean and well maintained.
- At the beginning of each permitted season (Jan-Jun and July-Dec), all youth sports organizations must inspect all moveable sports goals, nets, and cages located at all athletic field use sites listed in the Athletic Field Use Policy. All movable sports goals, nets, and cages must be in good

ATTACHMENT A

condition, safe to use, identified with ownership information, and labeled with the following warning:

- "WARNING – KEEP OFF"
- "WARNING - PROPERLY ANCHOR BEFORE USE"
- "WARNING – USE ONLY FOR AUTHORIZED ACTIVITY"
- All moveable sports goals, nets, and cages must be removed from athletic fields and stored in an approved area when not in use for games or practices. All moveable sports goals, nets, and cages will be secured with chains and locks. Securing to trees is not an accepted practice. Nets must be removed from the frames of all moveable sports goals, nets, and cages when stored and not in use.
- According to the U.S. Consumer Product Safety Commission (CPSC), a properly anchored/counterweighted moveable sports goals, nets, and cages is much less likely to tip over. All moveable sports goals, nets, and cages MUST be anchored and secured to the ground when in use. All anchors are to be flush with the ground and visible. All moveable sports goals, nets, and cages must be anchored using sandbags or gravel bags.
- All coaches, officials, volunteers, administrators, and parents participating in permitted activity are required to abide by guidelines relating to the safe and secure storage of moveable sports goals, nets, and cages, proper anchoring, and rules concerning field usage where moveable sports goals, nets and cages are placed. Each youth sports group is responsible for training and notifying their members of their responsibility under this policy.
- Every member of each youth sports league is responsible for stopping unauthorized use of moveable sports goals, nets, and cages. This includes but is not limited to moving any moveable sports goal, net, or cage and any use of a moveable sports goal, net, or cage that is inconsistent with sports-related activity such as playing, climbing, or hanging on any part of the moveable sports goal, net, or cage. This especially applies to children climbing on or hanging from nets or frames.
- Code Enforcement will cite any moveable sports goal, net, or cage found in violation of this policy. Owner will have one week (7 days) to make them compliant with this policy, or they will be removed from the site by the Public Works Department. Any moveable sports goal, net, or cage removed from athletic field sites may be disposed of at the discretion of the City of Cupertino. Removal, storage, and disposal fees may apply and must be paid before any new athletic field use permit will be issued. Repeated violations of this policy may result in Youth Sports Leagues losing the privilege of storing goals at any or all athletic field use sites listed in the Athletic Field Use Policy.

This policy constitutes the exclusive Field Use Policy surrounding field use for City of Cupertino owned fields and Cupertino Union School District (CUSD) fields specified in the Joint Use Agreement.



Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

March 23, 2021, 4:26 PM

Contents

i.	Summary of responses	2
ii.	Survey questions	5
iii.	Individual responses	6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Summary Of Responses

As of March 23, 2021, 4:26 PM, this forum had:

Attendees: 1044
Responses: 979
Hours of Public Comment: 49.0





Topic Start

February 5, 2021, 8:39 AM

Topic End

March 23, 2021, 4:26 PM

QUESTION 1**Please check all that apply:**

		%	Count
I am a Cupertino resident		59.3%	581
I am not a Cupertino resident		26.1%	256
A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino		40.6%	397
I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools		42.4%	415

QUESTION 2

Currently, youth sports groups are the primary users of City-managed fields and have requested additional Sunday field use for general practice and games. Would you support allowing sports groups to use fields on Sundays for these purposes?

		%	Count
Yes		58.7%	575
Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row		4.0%	39

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

		%	Count
No		36.5%	357
Not sure		0.8%	8

QUESTION 3

If Sunday use is expanded to allow general practice and games, please rank the following options in order of preference (1 is most preferred, 3 is least preferred):

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

QUESTION 4

If sports groups are allowed to use fields on Sundays for general practice and games, which time frame would you prefer this use to be allowed?

		%	Count
10 a.m. to 5 p.m.		48.4%	474
10 a.m. to 2 p.m.		42.5%	416
1 p.m. to 5 p.m.		5.8%	57
Not sure		3.3%	32

QUESTION 5

An annual field closure is being proposed to rest fields and conduct maintenance. Fields would be closed to sports groups and the public during this closure and would most likely take place during December and/or January. Which would you prefer?

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

		%	Count
A one-month closure		80.2%	785
A two-month closure		9.1%	89
Not sure		10.7%	105

QUESTION 6

Please share any comments you have regarding field use.

Answered	238
Skipped	741

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Survey Questions

QUESTION 1

Please check all that apply:

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

- Not sure

QUESTION 5

An annual field closure is being proposed to rest fields and conduct maintenance. Fields would be closed to sports groups and the public during this closure and would most likely take place during December and/or January. Which would you prefer?

- A one-month closure
- A two-month closure
- Not sure

QUESTION 2

Currently, youth sports groups are the primary users of City-managed fields and have requested additional Sunday field use for general practice and games. Would you support allowing sports groups to use fields on Sundays for these purposes?

- Yes
- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row
- No
- Not sure

QUESTION 6

Please share any comments you have regarding field use.

QUESTION 3

If Sunday use is expanded to allow general practice and games, please rank the following options in order of preference (1 is most preferred, 3 is least preferred):

- Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
- Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
- Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

QUESTION 4

If sports groups are allowed to use fields on Sundays for general practice and games, which time frame would you prefer this use to be allowed?

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.
- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.
- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Individual Responses

Name not shown

February 11, 2021, 1:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Satish Selvaraj

February 24, 2021, 12:02 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 24, 2021, 12:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 24, 2021, 12:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 24, 2021, 12:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I think a one month closure is too long. Perhaps fields could be officially closed during periods of rain to protect from damage. Also, your survey does not address the dog park use of the athletic field at Jollyman. I hope this will continue.

Name not available

February 24, 2021, 1:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I think the fields should not be open on Sundays because of the increased neighborhood traffic and extra noise for the neighbors bordering the

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

sport fields. These fields are very busy every evening and I think the neighbors deserve some quiet time on Sundays.

Name not available

February 24, 2021, 2:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 24, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Trial a pilot study and see how the fields weather the use. Certain sports may impact the condition of the field more than others. Noise pollution may be another concern from the residents who live nearby, so that aspect will need to be considered, as well as parking logistics. I completely support the idea of allowing Sundays for field use however.

Name not shown

February 24, 2021, 6:08 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Eugene Chikovani

February 25, 2021, 11:41 AM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:52 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I strongly encourage youth sports and the fields should be open to their use

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 12:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 12:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 1:05 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

One of the main benefits of living in Cupertino as opposed to a large city like San Francisco is that it is a very family friendly community with lots of activities for the children who live here. That is the main reason I chose to live here. If you close the parks and fields on Sunday, children would be stuck inside their homes. They wouldn't be able to participate in the sports that bring so much fun into their lives, like soccer, baseball, etc. PLEASE LET THE CHILDREN PLAY! Thank you so much!

Chris Ding

February 25, 2021, 2:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 2:08 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 2:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 3:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 3:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 3:29 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 3:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Residents that live by parks should be supported in having quiet Sunday mornings. It is reasonable to start sports at 1PM and have them run to 4 or 5PM.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 3:37 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I assume field use by any for-profit business/league would pay a reasonable fee for field use, and should have consistent (weekly) practices and games; otherwise what use would a league have. If not, they should be paying for this use.

I also assume that city organized leagues also would have consistent (weekly) use of the same field, during their season. City organized events would clearly not have the same fee.

Closures should *not* happen, unless fields are being overused and worn down. I see the Library field has some overused sections roped off, this is good. But it's likely that just the overused sections need to be shut down, not the whole field. But if there are any closures they should be on a rotating basis (one field at a time).

Unrelated, but worth mentioning to the staff: I take offense to the lack of gate opening for some fields and (tennis) courts. Namely Lawson. During this pandemic it's been difficult to stay active, and closing such facilities that we all pay for is just wrong!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 3:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

How many sports group will be using the field at any one time? There should be a portion of the field for the general public to use.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 3:54 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:05 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Allow public/private parties to reserve the fields on some weekends too.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:17 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Close fields when sports groups are not scheduled for games to allow all youth sports time on the fields. Rotate field closures to allow for some fields to be available for use all year round. Close some in December and

January, some in January & February, February & March, etc. Or close during least used months.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:18 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Why close the fields on the kids if they are in good shape.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I think the closure would depend on several factors. (1) the condition of the fields (2) which is probably related to how much use they are getting overall and (3) the recent and current weather. We are presumably talking about grass fields here I'm assuming. Years ago after the Santa Clara Youth Soccer Park next to 49ers HQ had 2 grass and 1 turf field. They would rotate the grass fields periodically to keep them in top shape -- often not at the same time. I would be interested in what their policy was/is.

Vikram Pillai

February 25, 2021, 4:31 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:31 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:31 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:32 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:32 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:32 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

After the extensive lockdown, everyone needs more opportunities to get outside. Opening the fields will help support this effort.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:32 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Considering a lot of these kids have been held out of activity for a full year, please do open the fields back up for full use. They need to be active.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No closures

Sunil Shanbhag

February 25, 2021, 4:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:34 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

field closure is difficult to schedule as it is mostly weather related. some fields (Creekside) seem to have very uneven drainage, with some dry areas even in winter and some muddy areas even in summer - that's not so much a question of resting the fields as it is of updating/fixing the drainage system.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Valerie Hinton

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please keep the fields open for Youth Sports usage on Sundays. Kids in this neighborhood really need open fields to get more outdoor activities, especially in the current pandemic situation.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I think it is good idea to let kids be active and promote a healthy lifestyle.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No need to closure at all, please allow weekly use without breaks in-between

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Our youth have suffered enough from COVID-19 related closures to their sports programs. Please do all you can to keep the fields open and restore the mental health of our youth.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:36 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:37 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:37 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Would prefer fields only closed when need rest

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:37 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Our children have had enough hardship living under the necessary stay-at-home restrictions. Let's reward their discipline and patience with getting as many chances as possible to play the sports they love.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:37 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

If neighbors are complaining about traffic and folks parking in their neighborhood, may want to setup parking permitting in the neighborhood

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:37 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

The social and physical impact Covid has placed on our children this past year is extraordinary. I hope that the children can play games and have utilize as many fields as possible to help facilitate this.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

No response

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:39 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:39 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:39 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Albert Fishman

February 25, 2021, 4:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The kids have waited a year to get back to something closer to normal sports which is vitally important to their physical and mental health. We

sincerely hope you will allow the field to continue to be used other than resting the field to preserve them as needed. Thanks for your consideration.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Huichung Chiang

February 25, 2021, 4:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No closure unless the fields absolutely need it that year

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Flat open fields are perfect for sporting activities and dogs running off-leash. Since off-leash dogs are not allowed, set aside some field space for recreational sporting activities and leave the majority for organized sporting events. People who want to enjoy the outdoors and sun have a number of smaller parks in which to do so. The open space should be best utilized for its inherent nature.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:41 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Thank you for hearing our feedback. With a year of kids not playing much by way of sports this is vital to getting our kids back outside and playing the games they love. Both for their physical AND mental well being. Resident of Cupertino for 49 years.

Carrie Dean

February 25, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Hua Zhong

February 25, 2021, 4:42 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Young children are not a big risk for COVID, and given the prolonged lockdown, they desperately need to have the opportunity to practice and play their favorite sports, especially given that vaccination is going on and case rate is dropping. Please consider the huge cost of not allowing people to live their lives, especially for young children.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Parks are meant for playing and fields are important to the youth sports in the community. They've lost so much and have so much to make up for in terms of sports play and socialization, keep the fields open for them through the week and weekends.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:44 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Anna Musgrove

February 25, 2021, 4:44 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No closure of the fields, these kids have been stuck inside to long!!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:44 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Vanny Chow

February 25, 2021, 4:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:44 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:45 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:45 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Jean Query

February 25, 2021, 4:45 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please open the fields for youth sports. It's necessary to keep our youth healthy, both physically and mentally.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:45 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Baohong LI

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Cara Fernandez

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Is there that much damage done that the fields need to rest? Just wondering as if the fields are not wet, why not let the groups use them. There are way too many kids wanting the ability to play outdoors, and not enough spots!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

the kids need the fields and need the outlet that they provide. More field use the better.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:47 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

Don't fix a specific calendar for closing fields, because you can't control the weather. Close them as necessary for maintenance, and communicate with the organizations frequently about field condition and maintenance considerations.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:47 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Let them play the kids have been no able for a year

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:47 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:48 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Fields should always be open unless grass and need a rest.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:48 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

There were closures this year due to the anticipated rain which we really ended up with a pretty dry winter. I feel that it would be fair to close the fields for specific maintenance or due to heavy rain due to risk of ruining the fields but not necessarily just for a preemptive measure for rain.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:48 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:49 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:49 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Eric McKinlay

February 25, 2021, 4:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:50 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:50 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:50 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:50 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

It seems silly to limit field access for youth sport teams on Sundays. If its because of neighbors of the fields, it should be expected that if you move or live next to a park to not be shocked that cars need to park for a sport event where children need to be driven to said field. It's like moving or living next to a church but hating school traffic or the bell noise or loitering kids. Fields should be used for sports and recreation. As it is, most open space is turned into condos or apartments instead of community and sports parks, so to limit use is just limiting options for sports teams. And in today's climate of children's mental health around school closures taking a toll due to the pandemic, for some, sports is the only thing holding it all together for them.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:51 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Youth sports are an essential to kids and their mental health. They have gone through enough already this past year. Taking away field time for practice and play games are not ideal to them. All the cities want to do is give them less options to do what they love. All I hear are how fields are taken away and fees are raised for these poor kids (and their parents) to not have a place to do what they love. Much rather have them be out playing games and practicing rather than sitting on the couch watching television.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:51 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:51 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:51 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:52 PM

Question 1

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

please let these kids play anytime and/or any day. they have my support

Jenny Mosher

February 25, 2021, 4:53 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The youth in our community have lost so much, they need to play catch up in terms of sports and socialization. Parks and fields are important to these kids, the sports that they play are important to their health mentally and physically. Let them train on Sundays and play games. They've lost enough.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:53 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:53 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:54 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:55 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

don't close the fields!!!! us sports teams need them after being gone from real games for so long

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:55 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:55 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please keep fields open for use on Sundays. Demand for fields already surpasses the supply.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:56 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:57 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

After an extremely difficult year lost for youth sports, I feel we need the fields open as much as possible moving forward. We really need the fields open 7 days a week and no field closure. The only field closure should

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

happen if the fields need rest and maintenance for a week or two. Later December to early January for closure seems fair given the span of holidays and new years.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 4:57 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Critical to keep the field OPEN all the time

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:57 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Please no field closures. Fields should only be rested if they desperately need repair.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:57 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:58 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Do not close the fields. They only need to be closed when there's bad weather ie rain, to keep them healthy. Isn't the purpose of the fields to be played on!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 4:59 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Tassia Babalis

February 25, 2021, 4:59 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I am not in support of any field closures. Kids and adults need access to the fields. My two daughters use this field for soccer and it would be a shame to stop practicing as fields are hard to find. Please keep your parks open!

Becki Lemoge

February 25, 2021, 4:59 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I would prefer no field closures at all. The community and teams need access all year.

Graham Handyside

February 25, 2021, 4:59 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

To whom it may concern, sports are such an important part of our children's lives. With the limited amount playing fields available in the area and the growing number of players registering, it is so important that the City allows the use of their fields throughout the year including Sundays. This gives more chances for the children who want to get out and play sports, however they need the field space to do so. This is extremely important to the mental health of our children with the growing stress that is put on the children these days.

Thank you

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:00 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:00 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Meilin Liang

February 25, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

If fields are closed on Sundays, youth sports groups will have trouble to find the places to play games. They already have been suffering from the unavailability of the fields. Restricting further will affect youth sports groups in the area. Maybe turning fields to artificial turf would be another option to consider if the field maintenance is major concern. Artificial turf costs higher than grass in the beginning. But the maintenance cost of turf is much lower than grass. It's expected to be cheaper in the long run.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Stuart Chessen

February 25, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields at Wilson shouldn't be locked. Let public use

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

no closures

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We the tax payers pay for these fields and they used by our youth to promote physical activity. Why on earth would we have fields that are not utilized 100% of the time.
As the old axiom stipulates "a healthy mind resides in a healthy body"

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:02 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We need fields open as much as possible after the year the youth just went through. The only field closure should happen if the fields are over used and need rest. End of year closure for holidays plus new years week shutdown is similar to my work shutdown so i can support that for rest and maintenance.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:02 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:02 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:02 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:02 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:03 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:03 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:03 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Kids need fields. Keep them open 24/7

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Closing it in December sounds a good idea. Please do NOT close it in Q1 or Q2!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:04 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Brad Sloan

February 25, 2021, 5:04 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

America is about getting outside and enjoy the beauty of our creator! America is about sports and exercise. We are a beautiful and free country! Let our kids and taxpayers utilize our beautiful outdoor spaces as much as possible for gosh sake!

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:05 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

The only reason the fields should be down is for overuse/maintenance to keep them in good shape. If the fields are in good condition, let the kids use them

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:05 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I completely understand resting a field due to overuse, but it looks like this is not the motivation. I don't see the purpose for closing fields on Sundays for use during the daytime. Weekends are the best time for kids to get out and play sports!! Please don't take that away.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:06 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Christina Moreno

February 25, 2021, 5:06 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

February 25, 2021, 5:06 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:06 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:07 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:07 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We would love to continue to utilize fields on sundays. The community is grateful to be able to count on fields. Please do not close fields for long periods of times, our children enjoy Sunday game very much and field availability in is crucial .

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:08 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:09 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:10 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:10 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please keep our fields open all year with no closure on Sundays every Sunday for as long as hours allow.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:11 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:11 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Please let the kids play. A year off from their favorite activities is enough. Literally begging on behalf of kids.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Miguel Palomino

February 25, 2021, 5:12 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:13 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Field closure only if needed - fields should not be closed at all for youth sports. Kids sacrifice too much. Residents need to understand sports and outdoor activities is part of life. Residents can't expect absolute silence.

Yan Lin

February 25, 2021, 5:14 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

RAMESH SETHURAMAN

February 25, 2021, 5:14 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Close the fields during the time they need maintenance or if use would result in major maintenance (after a heavy rain).

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:16 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:16 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No closures & let youth sports use fields as much as possible. The reason we have parks & fields is for their use. They should be used by youth athletics whenever it is needed.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:17 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No closure unless needed. And let the field be used every Sunday.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

OPEN the fields and let the kids PLAY!!!!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:18 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:18 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:19 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields for youth sports are already nearly impossible to secure. Taking away field use and time away from kids should never happen!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:20 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:20 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

JOSHUA RICHARDSON

February 25, 2021, 5:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:21 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Don't close the fields. Kids need to be outdoors. Kids need sports.

Robert Rosenberg

February 25, 2021, 5:21 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We do not have enough fields in the bay area for all the sports. Cupertino kids play on other cities' fields and vice versa. We have punish the kids enough with Covid and their inability to play sports. We need to find ways to encourage the kids to play and be outside not restrict them.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:22 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:23 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

All Sundays and No closure. Let the kids play!!!!

Leah Canepa

February 25, 2021, 5:23 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

These kids need to play. It has been a year with NO games, scrimmages and regular practices. The kids have practiced with no end in sight. Kids are losing motivation to play sports and they can't afford that as obesity is a problem. As you know, sports are good for the body, mind and soul. Let them play, let them smile.let's stop the depression of these kids, they have suffered enough for a 99.98% survival rate and are not in the age groups that are affected. Enough is ENOUGH. Thank you for allowing us parents to voice our opinion. I have 6 kids, 4 play soccer and it has affected them not playing. We are a very Happy and close family, I see them struggling.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:26 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:27 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:29 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Just close the fields if the NEED to be closed (case by case basis).
If you have to close, close from Dec. 15-Jan. 15.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:29 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:29 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Kids deserve to play instead staying home.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:29 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Sukhpreet Singh

February 25, 2021, 5:30 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:30 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:30 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Only if fields need it.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:30 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Rotate the fields to be closed so they're not all closed at same time

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:31 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Kids deserve to play instead staying home.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:31 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:31 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:32 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:32 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:33 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Cupertino fields should be made available for youth sports as much as possible.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Alan Xing

February 25, 2021, 5:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:38 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Parents are working parents and coaches are also working parents. By allowing use of the fields on sundays, it would allow more flexibility for family time as well as sports time => a good balance.

Sunday is typically a freer day for all and there would also be more availability to schedule games.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:42 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Bobby Joe Stewart

February 25, 2021, 5:43 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:44 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Sonya Hanson

February 25, 2021, 5:45 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:46 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I feel the Feilds should be used all the time . I mean what were the Feilds built for? Where are teams supposed to go ?

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 5:50 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Carmen Elliott

February 25, 2021, 5:50 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:50 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Tristan Davison

February 25, 2021, 5:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

We are short on fields and sports complexes and they are a safe, healthy, positive part of every community. Our kids need fields open all day every day.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:51 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Shanker Iyer

February 25, 2021, 5:52 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:54 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please have fields open all year round unless and close individually based on maintenance/recovery needs.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:54 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:54 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:56 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:56 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I'm against field closure.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 5:57 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:02 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:03 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields should be available all year round, 7 days a week. If you need to close fields for maintenance and repair please rotate which fields are closed so that during any given month fields are available. Closing fields on a weekday is acceptable. If the goal is to have fewer days a week look at closing a field on a weekday. I believe that field maintenance can be

maintained with proper scheduling but limiting play on weekends seems to hurt your largest customers more than an alternate weekday.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:04 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

FrancisGlenn Rebugio

February 25, 2021, 6:04 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We would appreciate the city of Cupertino's generosity in sharing its fields to Youth sport as much as possible. Youth sports is very important to youth overall positive development.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:05 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:06 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

There are many sports teams looking for fields and the kids need as many fields open for kids to be able to practice and play. Get them off their technology as much as possible! Keep fields open as much as possible.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:07 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:09 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:10 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:11 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:15 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:16 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:17 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Why are you against Sunday usage?

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:19 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please open the fields for weekend sports use, because sports are the highlight of my day and all my friends who participate. Our soccer club and team is really careful about taking proper precautions. It would make us all super happy to be able to play on weekends. Thank you!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:19 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

As long as the city is compensated for the field use teams should be able to use the fields.

Namrata Vora

February 25, 2021, 6:25 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:25 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:29 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:34 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Don't close the fields

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:42 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Any closures should be dependent on the weather and be flexible, done as needed. I don't think a closure of a set amount of time and length makes sense.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Keep the fields open unless they pose a health risk but since this outdoors and proven to be safe, then there should be no issues. Kids need to be able compete and have an outlet since most or in shelter in place.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 6:48 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

fields should only be closed if they are deemed to be in need of repair or if it is raining or if they are too wet from recent rains to prevent them from getting damaged... otherwise they should stay open year round. Otherwise fields should be left open at all times for both paid and non-paid uses as they are public facilities paid for by our county wide property taxes..

Rajiv Chamraj

February 25, 2021, 6:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I'm not sure about this because I don't know enough about resting fields, what kinds of maintenance are required, and how long those processes take. I'm also curious if a "resting field" will also be closed to (unavailable for) "pick-up games" and informal community use (i.e., by a group of friends as opposed to a youth sports group or league).

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:56 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:56 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:58 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Covid has impacted our youth in tremendous ways albeit some that are yet understood. Having access to fields is vital to youth sports and youth will benefit most. It is imperative for the youth population's mental, emotional and physical integrity. We just want to allow the kids to play and resume their "normal" lives and love of sports!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 6:58 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Stefano Invernizzi

February 25, 2021, 7:00 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Field use is more critical than ever: our kids need space where they can play and compete. They have been left without active sport competition for almost a year. Fields closures are just not a good idea right now.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 7:00 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:02 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:04 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:05 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:09 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:10 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:10 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 7:14 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Let me start by saying that there are not enough fields in Cupertino/Santa Clara County to accommodate all of the residents and activities. We keep building and building a concrete jungle with no useable sports fields being installed, improved or expanded. Fields need to have regular routine maintenance. Rotating field closures might need to be considered. I know closing fields in the winter months is common practice for many reasons. Something to consider. Only allowing groups to use a field so many Sundays is ridiculous! Who is going to monitor? wasted time on that. The equity side of which teams get to use said field could potentially be an issue. boys vs girls, young vs older players, better teams.

As for the use of fields on Sundays my first point stated above is the biggest reason to support fields being used for general practice and game use (on Sundays). We do not have enough quality city fields. High school, middle school, elementary and De Anza college fields are relied on. So putting a restriction on playing only 8 Sundays or 4 or every other Sunday is not a decision I can support.

When does the city plan to address putting lights on fields. When will the city put in all weather surfaces on some of the grass fields! (long overdue) These fields can be used when it is raining and provide field use space for when it is dark at 5pm.

I have been involved in sports and lived in the area my whole life. It is sad for me to see that some of the same grass fields that I played on have not been improved upon in the last 35 years. ie Jollyman and many others.

Now more than ever Cupertino should be expanding and improving the quality of the athletic fields in its city.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Let the fields be used. Keep our children involved and active.
The NIMBY attitude has got to end! You buy a house next to a school,
park you should expect use all day everyday.
Are you going to close the playgrounds on Sunday!

where can I play pickleball?

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:20 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

This might be the worst survey I've ever decided to participate. Did anyone actually read the questions and available responses?? Obviously you don't give a shit that our kids haven't played organized sports for a year. I'm open minded...so please explain why the county/city wouldn't do everything possible to open everything possible for children to get back to playing organized sports. What is the alternative here? Who else are you considering for Sunday?

If Sunday use is expanded to allow general practice and games, please rank the following options in order of preference (1 is most preferred, 3 is least preferred):

Item Remove

Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Pick your next priority, if you have one.

Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:21 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:22 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We need fields open for our kids to participate in sports especially right now! Kids need outlets and sports are proven to help with their mental health. When sports teams can't find fields they have to cancel practice. Fields are there for a reason...to be used. I understand if they are needing to be closed as they need a rest and are showing signs of damage or if conditions are too wet which would then cause damage if they were used but to shut them down on Sundays in general is a horrendous idea! Keep the fields open 7 days a week!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:25 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:26 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:32 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Karen McCay

February 25, 2021, 7:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The fields should be open as much as possible. The only field closure should be if the fields are over used and need rest. Please do not unnecessarily limit this vital resource for local youth sports programs.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:53 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 7:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 7:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Joel Wallace

February 25, 2021, 7:56 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:57 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 7:57 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 7:59 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:01 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:04 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:04 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I think it's important to allow kids to play in the open, especially as they are restricted indoors. I think as a community we should support as much field use as they need. Plus they pay for the fields, so that is revenue for the city.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:05 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:05 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:07 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:12 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No closure at all. Thank you.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:13 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:29 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I think these fields are valuable assets in the community and should be used as often as the health of the fields allow. Of course it's important to consider impact on neighboring residents so activity should not occur too early in the morning or late in the evening. Reasonable limits on sound levels make sense as well.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:32 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

In the past many non youth groups are using the fields for soccer, and other adult sports on Sundays. I would prefer children use the facilities.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

The question I would ask is why would you make a blanket statement to close fields. I would think the health and well being of the youth would be enough motivation to look at every field condition and make an educated assessment of that particular field. Furthermore, I have a real hard time even believing Cupertino is asking this question during a time where the youth have been completely ignored by the city's, county and state. Suicide rate among teens is up 75% and your sending a survey asking about reducing field use to youth. Really.....I would suggest providing more resources to the youth for the next few years not reduce!

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:36 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please open up the fields to Youth Sports Groups on Sundays.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:37 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Darren Gowdy

February 25, 2021, 8:39 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:39 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Passi Rassa

February 25, 2021, 8:40 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Given that my daughters are remote learning, I encourage them to get out as much as possible and be active during these tough times. It is essential that they play games and practice every opportunity available to them. Their social and emotional well being is very important and if

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

allowing them to play and practice on Sundays will help the cause I support that.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:41 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please give kids more chances to play. My daughter being able to practice with her soccer team during Covid has made a HUGE difference in her life. It has greatly improved her mental and physical health. We don't have access to many fields near us and so this time has been vital to her well-being. Please allow fields to open on Sundays. Thanks!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:43 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:44 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:44 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:46 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

The field are in terrible condition, and need to be protected during the wet winter Months so families can enjoy the green grass. School have soccer seasons in the winter and the need for public fields should not be as crucial as it is to maintain the health of the grass.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:46 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Our community, the greater silicon valley, does not have enough facilities to support all children and adult sports activities. These are very important activities for a community and the Cupertino fields are critical to help meet these needs. I live next to a field in a neighboring city. It is a decision we made when we purchased our home and we celebrate all the activity in the activity in the park. Fields do need to be maintained, but cycling through different fields at different times will keep many fields available to sports. Closing them all at the same time does not support an active and healthy community. Please keep the fields open and available on Sundays.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 8:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:54 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Allow sports program for youth, fight obesity in US. Thank you !

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:58 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 8:59 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

What's the primary purpose of athletic fields? I assume it's to be used for athletic activities. The city invests tax dollars in securing and maintaining the fields. IMO, they have a huge community benefit. How is it responsible to limit the usage beyond what the fields are designed to accommodate? It makes sense to limit usage when either weather or use could damage the field. But, I don't understand how it makes sense to make that a 1 or 2 month limitation- it should vary based on the weather and the field (e.g. turf or grass?). We live in a blended Cupertino/Sunnyvale/CUSD area - formally a Sunnyvale address, but CUSD schools and Cupertino fields next door. That was/is a huge attraction for us to this area. And, we firmly believe that all city athletic fields (no matter what city) should be made available to the maximum extent reasonable, based on field and weather conditions. Any other choice seems problematic.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 9:02 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

PLEASE! The only field closure should happen if the fields are over used and need rest.

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 9:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Michael Paiko

February 25, 2021, 9:04 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 9:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:12 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:13 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:18 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:18 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:19 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 9:19 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Fields should rest during extreme weather otherwise youth sports may benefit as a community overall. Thank you for your consideration.

Joe Masetti

February 25, 2021, 9:24 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields have had plenty of rest time during the last year. Keep them open so kids can get back out as much as possible. They've been without full practice times long enough

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:28 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

open the fields!!!!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Kids need sports and there are few fields available. The city should do whatever is necessary to allow youth sports to happen for positive youth development and community building.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:37 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:40 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 9:46 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 9:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:03 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please ALLOW Sunday use and DO NOT close the fields down for 3 months during the winter.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:13 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Benhai Zhang

February 25, 2021, 10:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:32 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Wesley Van Camp

February 25, 2021, 10:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Apparently there are not enough fields to meet the recreation demands of the community. The Sunday restrictions should be lifted as a short term solution, and the City needs to find a way to develop more field space if it wants to foster a healthy community.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 10:38 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:43 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 10:48 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 10:50 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 10:51 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 10:51 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 10:53 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:02 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 11:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:12 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:13 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Access to sports fields is already a big challenge. Please don't exacerbate the problem by limiting field use.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:31 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:37 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 25, 2021, 11:38 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

Please support our kids in returning to something besides zoom classes and screens of all shapes and sizes. Let them play! Thank you!

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:41 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

pick the rainy month, if you must.

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:43 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 25, 2021, 11:45 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 12:15 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:17 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I think field use should be encouraged. Additionally, I think the grass on the local fields could be improved.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:24 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:50 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 1:27 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

If you allow sports groups like soccer to use the fields, they will use all the fields for the maximum times they can book it, so the fields will be more like just rental space and income for the city, and will no longer be usable for residents to enjoy. I think that would be a big shame and decrease the attractiveness of Cupertino in general.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 2:06 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 2:57 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 3:57 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Rico Lardizabal

February 26, 2021, 4:52 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

City needs to build a sports park with all-weather fields to supplement their current fields.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 5:26 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Mary OConnor

February 26, 2021, 5:45 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 6:22 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

<https://www.jerseywatch.com/blog/benefits-of-youth-sports-participation/>

<https://www.dlgsc.wa.gov.au/sport-and-recreation/benefits-to-the-community>

Jaime Miller

February 26, 2021, 6:30 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

There are so many studies on the benefits of organized youth sports to communities. Cupertino should be proud to be a community that supports and encourages such healthy activities through the use of these available facilities. Let the kids play! Let them play as often as they can!

Here are some great articles on the benefits of organized youth sports to both the participants and the communities from the CDC, Stanford, and others:

https://health.gov/sites/default/files/2020-09/YSS_Report_OnePager_2020-08-31_web.pdf

<https://www.stanfordchildrens.org/en/topic/default?id=organized-sports-for-kids-1-4556>

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 6:30 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

why are we try to limit the ability for kids to be outdoors?

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 6:31 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 6:39 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I think there are different issues that warrant keeping separate:

- field maintenance and care is a common issue and warrants closure for natural grass surfaces. Doing this in December/January makes the most sense.
- neighbor concerns on noise/traffic is a different concern. However, choosing to live next to a public park is part of that expectation. Some restriction in hours can help.

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 6:41 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I thing if they are foing the protocol let the kids practice they need to get out and do social sports

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 6:43 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Every Sunday Creekside Park is packed with non-Cupertino adult groups tearing up the fields. Why would you not protect the field by having the paid user groups police the proper activity on these fields. Furthermore resting fields that dont need rest is hard to understand.

Allen Levitas

February 26, 2021, 6:46 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please let the kids use the fields 7 days a week. They need sports and recreation and the Cupertino fields enable them to get this, Sundays included

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:04 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

The City should take advantage of the max amount of money from sports groups to use fields and only shut down due to wet weather.

Alfred Edmonds

February 26, 2021, 7:19 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

The fields should not be closed barring weather that would damage the field.

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 7:20 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Hello all,
With so many children having missed out on a year of sports training and competition, now is the time as an extended Bay Area community to do all

we can to reunite these kids with their passion for sport. With so many school fields closed due to covid, I ask Cupertino leaders to support Sunday field usage by teams so that kids can get back to healthy, enjoyable play!

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:24 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:42 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:47 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:47 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:50 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 7:50 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:50 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:51 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

It's very important for sports clubs to be able to use fields on Sundays. The more fields available and more days available means less wear and tear on the fields. Closing fields on sundays just means they'd get more use the other 6 days.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:52 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:54 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Takashi Okudera

February 26, 2021, 8:00 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:05 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:09 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:18 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:20 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

It's unclear why City is looking to restrict field use. Kids should have the opportunity to play sports, and cancelling games and practices due to lack of field space is detrimental to our kids' physical and emotional wellbeing.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 8:22 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:21 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

Sundays are an important game day for soccer and supports family availability.

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 8:47 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:54 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

It is critical for children to get the opportunity to socially distance and exercise. In a time when suicide rates for children and teenagers has skyrocketed due to isolation, we should provide as many opportunities for children to gather and exercise safely. Club sports has saved my daughter from complete collapse. Please allow their soccer teams to train on Sundays. Do not close the fields!!! Thank you!!

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 8:55 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:56 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Make the soccer goals hardy and durable and allow the public to use them.

More recycling and trash can are needed in the parks.

Cupertino is a great town. Always has been.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:00 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

James Koren

February 26, 2021, 9:01 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 9:02 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 9:17 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 9:17 AM

Question 1

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

After the year we just had and how it impacted kids and their ability to play sports, it's incomprehensible that you would be considering more restrictions to further limit their ability to play.

Also, this survey is too limited. Why don't you give people the option to state they want kids to be able to use a field every week (52 weeks/year). Instead, you only offer 26, 8, or 4 week options. What if we don't want the fields to ever be closed? You only offer 1 or 2 months options. If you want to know what we really think, your survey needs to be written in a way that allows that. That said, thank you for at least asking how we feel about this.

As our population grows, corporate and consumer real estate has created a landscape with fewer and fewer places for kids to play. As a community we should be looking to expand opportunities for kids to live healthy lifestyles, not further restrict them. If you want kids to be healthy and physically, fields need to remain open to the maximum extent possible.

Thank you and have a great day.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:28 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Judith Carmichael

February 26, 2021, 9:29 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Closure period based on best required to maintain fields in A-1 condition.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:41 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:46 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:52 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:54 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:56 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 10:00 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Past 1 year all the fields are closed but no maintenance at all to the fields. Past 1 year pandemic closure should have been utilized to make nice and beautiful fields. But Our city didn't do any maintenance to the fields, this is very bad. Now no use to put restrictions as with these restrictions we are not sure that City will take any corrective action to takecare of fields

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:02 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Let the children play, they deserve to.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:14 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:17 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:19 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Let the kids play!

Karim ElFishawy

February 26, 2021, 10:32 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

We really need space for our kids to play and run around. We are doing a disservice to our children by restricting their ability to get outside and play.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:36 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:37 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:53 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Richard Nelson

February 26, 2021, 11:07 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Let the kids play- that's what fields are for!

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 11:07 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Let the kids play!!!

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 11:08 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 11:15 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The fiels should be closed in December

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 11:24 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 11:44 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 12:21 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:32 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:39 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:47 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Sports is a necessary element of growth, development and exercise for our young generation. Use of the community facilities is essential in the support of our young people.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 12:52 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 1:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

With regard to field closure, set aside a two month period with some fields closed for one month and other fields closed for the other month. There are some sports, e.g. youth rugby, that typically start practice in December and play matches January to early March. It seems unfair to either block these sports from Cupertino or to force them to adjust a season that is already cut to fit more majority sports.

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 1:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 1:19 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 1:19 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

no sunday play ever, we need a break from noise and traffic. enough activity already during the week....everyday is too much already. this survey is biased towards sunday play you do not provide enough option to vote against it and too many options to support it times, frequency etc

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 1:21 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

February 26, 2021, 1:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

We usually go to Creekside Park. With so many places closed due to Covid this year, it was difficult to find places to play outdoors for my 5 year old. Some weekends and evenings, Creekside Park was completely packed by soccer practices and no room for just general use. Has been less of an issue with the play structures open again, but seems like there should be a limit how many space can be for the organized sports and leave a little space for general use.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 1:55 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 1:56 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 1:59 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 2:13 PM

Question 1

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 2:23 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 2:45 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I can't speak on all the fields as I most frequent Jollyman Park. As I understand it, a permit from the city is required to hold any sports activities. At Jollyman someone is conducting Volleyball Classes every week day and sometimes Saturdays. Not sure this is allowed without city permission. Also a large Soccer group (20+) show up once a week with nets and field markers, also without permission. Perhaps the City should look into this activity due to the field getting destroyed by these large groups. Thank You

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 2:48 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 3:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 3:06 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 3:35 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 3:57 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 4:09 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 4:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 4:13 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Charlene Dugan

February 26, 2021, 4:38 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I'm not sure about the length of an annual field closure because I don't know enough about resting fields (Is one month enough? Or is one month so short it doesn't really provide any benefit?), and I don't know what maintenance activities are needed and how long those will take. I believe that, given the number of sports groups in the city, we need to give serious consideration to increasing, not decreasing, the number of fields and the amount of time fields are available for use.

Ayako Nagashima

February 26, 2021, 4:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 5:00 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Youth need open space to play and currently the field use for youth is limited- there is not enough space now for all the youth that need space. Making fields available (particularly after this hard year of little-no activity for children is paramount to rebuilding confidence, character, social-emotional skills and health through fitness.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 5:14 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 5:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 6:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 6:25 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 7:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 8:11 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Would be great to not have any field closures.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Kelly Vaughn

February 26, 2021, 8:17 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 8:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:14 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:15 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Kazuyuki Yamaguchi

February 26, 2021, 9:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 26, 2021, 9:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

City needs to resolve parking issues on resident side of street as public doesn't seem to care or follow street sign.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 9:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Let kids use the fields. My son used to play Little League and it was tough to be able to schedule their practices, games, and/or make-up games

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

with limited or zero use on Sundays.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:17 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Young sports essentially benefit kids healthy.

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 10:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

February 26, 2021, 10:48 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 26, 2021, 11:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

What is the primary purpose of these fields? Are they for athletic groups or for local residents and families ?

I believe the priority should be for local resident and families. They are public resources for are meant for all people, not just for a small group of people, especially for weekends.

In addition, weekend time is pretty much the only time families can enjoy taking kids out together to the fields.

Moreover, it only takes about 10 athletic groups to fully occupy all the Sundays in entire year, that mean pubic will not have chance to use the fields all year round. Does this sound right to you ?

With all that said, I think a better solution might be to allow one Sunday to be booked by athletic group every month for each field, and no group can use more than 4 times a year, and scheduling is based on first come first serve basis.

This will make sure local residents and family still have plenty of access, and avoid certain field to be over-crowded, and still provide opportunity and assistance to athletic groups.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 12:01 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 12:35 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 2:44 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Justin Pearce

February 27, 2021, 7:32 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The kids have not been able to play meaningful sports for a year. The rest of the country had been playing. Let's not let field availability be the limiting factor for why they cannot play now that COVID regulations are easing. LET THEM PLAY.

Shilpi Narang

February 27, 2021, 8:08 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Donna Austin

February 27, 2021, 8:27 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Playing fields are important for our youth

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 8:38 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 9:11 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 9:12 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I am deeply offended that the mailed card was in only two languages?????
What about all the other nationalities in Cupertino?????

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 9:25 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 9:45 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Please allow Cupertino residents to peacefully enjoy the fields on Sunday.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 10:17 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 10:18 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

My issue is with the library field being used for cricket at any time. I was struck in the arm by a batted ball while riding my bike on Pacifica. That ball is hard and I suffered a large bruise. I have also had batted balls come rolling by me on the sidewalk. My kids grew up playing organized sports in and for Cupertino, but it was always on a baseball, softball or soccer field. The library field doesn't work for baseball, softball or cricket.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 11:48 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Don Phillips

February 27, 2021, 12:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The options of 8 Sundays per year versus 4 Sundays per year are a bit fuzzy to me - is this per seasonal permit (3+ months) or really for the entire calendar year? I assume every other Sunday is the most usage of the three secondary options? If I answered Yes to the previous question (allow sports groups on Sundays) then should I not answer the secondary question (every other Sunday / 8 weeks / 4 weeks)?

Field closures seem to be a case-by-case basis. For school sites, will the fields also be closed to students? What about the option to close to sports groups (assume heavy users) but keep open for the public?

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 12:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 12:47 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 27, 2021, 1:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 27, 2021, 1:21 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 2:12 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 27, 2021, 3:41 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 3:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Field use on Sundays should be for the residents of Cupertino to enjoy and exercise. In addition, not having team sport use will give the grass more time to heal from heavy use.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 4:54 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 27, 2021, 6:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 6:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 7:06 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Sunday need rest for all. It's a day for God.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 7:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

There should strict guidelines and booking rules that should address public nuisance issues and groups should made to sign and strictly adhere to it.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 7:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 8:00 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Quality of Life continues to be eroded in Cupertino. Quiet, peaceful parks on the weekend is a major component of Quality of Life. The introduction to this survey did not provide adequate background on what specific groups are seeking expanded park use. If the interested parties only included Cupertino residents, then I might be more open to expanded use. However, since we are left to guess, then the suspicion is that this request is driven by Club Sports teams. The first issue here is that there is no requirement that any Club Sports team contain any Cupertino residents and in this case there is little benefit to Cupertino residents. The second issue, that many of us know well, is that Club Sports have gotten carried away in the past few years. Cupertino youth need more 'days off' to expand their horizons outside of these Club Sports.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 8:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Not using the fields on Sunday is a waste of half of the weekend, which is when they are needed the most.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 8:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

Not only cause noise, but parking issue.

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 9:54 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 27, 2021, 9:54 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Fields should be open for public use during daytimes 7 days a week.

Nitya Kansal

February 27, 2021, 10:17 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

The park near the Cupertino library is used for Cricket. It is a dangerous game and I have missed being hit by the hard seasoned ball twice. Then I

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

get told by the foks playing that if I walk in the periphery of the park, I will get hit. I believe someone is going to get badly hurt and will sue the city. It should not be allowed in the park. Baseball is not allowed, so why is Cricket? I see the responses and I believe that all the parents whose kids play cricket have an opinion of opening the fields to more sports.

Name not shown

February 27, 2021, 10:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 7:54 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 8:20 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

No response

John Larger

February 28, 2021, 8:22 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:05 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 9:26 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I think one thing that could help would be to have a day when the

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

community can come together to help repair/maintain the fields. I am very grateful for the Cupertino work on the fields, but I also know that there is too much to do. I think any person who enjoys using the fields would be happy to spend a morning or afternoon taking care of things to help the fields such as (things I have noticed): 1) filling in depressions that fill with water during rains or from watering, 2) pulling weeds (there are some kinds that produce thick, woody bases that can trip and injure users), 3) seed bald spots, 4) paint back stops, or whatever. We all love the parks and would be happy to help keep them up.

The second thing that would help is to not water on the weekends. Since the weekends are when most people are free to use the parks, that is the time they will get used most. I noticed in the past when I went to the park to play on Sunday morning, the field had been water prior. Then, when the field got used by us and other people, the wet field gets torn up due to the wet, muddy soil. Perhaps instead water during the week in the early morning, since kids and adults are at school and work during the day and the field will dry out more before any use in the evening.

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:50 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Youth Sports are important for mental and physical health! Please leave the parks open!

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 11:10 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 11:31 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Years ago our daughter played Bobby Sox Softball on community and school fields. It was a great experience for our family. If the turf could stand it, I would favor 52 Sundays/ year available. Re: turf restoration, I would trust the judgement of your grounds crew.

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 12:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Annual closure should be long enough for the field to recover. There are people who know more about this than I do! It seems that it would be best during rainy months, but least impactful over the holidays.

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 12:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 12:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 12:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 1:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

it is unclear which option actually results in more or less activity - no two sundays in a row or 8x year because you do not say how many groups there are. I think opening it to some degree is good but should not be all day.

Steve Damozonio

February 28, 2021, 2:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I think youth organizations use of fields on Sunday is good for the community

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 4:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 5:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 5:33 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 5:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 7:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 7:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 7:46 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 8:05 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

city should also open high school field for citizens to jog. (Monta Vista High school).

Nick Chan

February 28, 2021, 8:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

My impression is that the parks and elementary schools have very different facilities and different use patterns by the community. Have you considered a different segmented approach? Or provide more segmented data on usage before asking the questions?
It seems to me the schools that have grass fields and baseball diamonds

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

have these resources unused on Sundays. And the community that using the school for recreation on Sunday typically use the school playgrounds and not the grass pitch or baseball field. Also, the basketball hoops are not fully utilized on Sundays. So my prioritization would not be the same as posed by your questionnaire. I would propose only using school resources until completely filled and not put parks under consideration at this time until data shows different pattern to my impressions. The parks are highly used by the community on Sundays and we should give the general community higher priority at the parks.

Also, your question about closure for maintenance does not explain the trade-off between one month versus two month closure, so it is not a very useful question.

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 8:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 8:59 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:03 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 9:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:24 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:39 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 9:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Good for anybody to use the public resource but make sure to rest the field and to be considerate of neighbors.

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 9:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

• I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

• No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

• 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

• A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Candy Hamill

February 28, 2021, 9:55 PM

Question 1

• I am a Cupertino resident
• I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

• Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

• Not sure

Question 5

• A one-month closure

Question 6

If field use may be impacted by sports groups why is the Cupertino High School track not open for the public to use? This would alleviate some of the issues with fields being used as it will allow the community to still get exercise at the Cupertino high school track. We all help pay with our taxes for the high school and I do not understand why this can not be used by the community.

Hemant Buch

February 28, 2021, 10:09 PM

Question 1

• I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

• Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

• 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

• A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields are rested M-F for most part. Why do we need to close for a month? Please do not keep fields closed. If maintenance is required, we will close it at that time but if no work is going to be done, please don't close. Field use are light.

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 10:31 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 10:41 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 10:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 11:02 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

February 28, 2021, 11:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

February 28, 2021, 11:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 12:42 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:11 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:31 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:35 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:44 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 3:15 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 8:21 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Field use and parks should be available to all children and community residence as this is super important for our mental health and overall health in general. Exercise and outdoor activities are essential.

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 8:40 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Can maintenance be done on specific low-volume days during the year rather than closing the fields for the entire month?

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 9:08 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 10:45 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 10:51 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 11:11 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I noticed on Sundays there is minimal use of the fields. I think it is a good idea to allow sports groups to use the fields provided they are approved and authorized to use the field. There should be an easy way that the public can verify that the sports group has authorization to use the fields such as a sign or document that can be posted at the field.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:16 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:17 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:25 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:28 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:39 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Organized sports groups use the fields, in particular baseball and softball leave them tended (dragged, raked, watered, etc) which helps keep them maintained. With Covid, the increased usage of the fields by more individuals in an organized manner can only be a benefit to the community. Currently, small groups "squat" on the fields on Sunday allowing far fewer players participate and these squatters leave the fields untended.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:43 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:50 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 12:14 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 12:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

What are the rules for adult teams?

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 12:39 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 12:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Open the fields to everyone.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:01 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 1:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 1:56 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 2:54 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 3:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 3:37 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 3:48 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Field closure should be based on conditions of the field based on standards for long term health of the field, not just based on time of year.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 3:55 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 4:27 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Melissa Macko

March 1, 2021, 4:54 PM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 6:05 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields are already used on Sundays by adults. It is false to say that the City does not currently allow Sunday field use.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 6:29 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 7:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Have fair scheduling allocation and requirements to allow more sports group using the fields

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 7:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 7:42 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 7:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 1, 2021, 8:01 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 8:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 8:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 8:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 8:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 9:05 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 9:48 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 10:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 10:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 10:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 10:59 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Sports groups should be responsible for picking up and disposing of their own garbage. Empty food containers on the ground and overflowing garbage bins are not acceptable. Damage to trees and other shrubbery is not acceptable. Sports groups should not block sidewalks and streets adjacent to the fields.

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:01 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 1, 2021, 11:59 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 12:10 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 1:04 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 5:12 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 2, 2021, 9:24 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I live right next to Creekside Park, and walk in it each day. The fields need rest, as the grass gets very torn up with all the use, especially the soccer clinics where everyone wears cleats. The edges of the fields are particularly torn up, where teams and parents congregate. It gets excessive wear, leaving it bare dirt--mud in the winter--and very torn up. Often these parents and players sit or leave their gear on the sidewalks surrounding the fields, making it difficult to navigate for walkers and cyclists. Also an issue is that the soccer groups that tend to be on it are large (paid league) groups with almost all players from out of Cupertino. They use all of the available grassy space, making it unavailable to Cupertino residents to enjoy as park space. Having soccer groups on it both Saturdays and Sundays will result in the green space in the park not being available all weekend for residents to play casual games, walk their dogs, or just enjoy the green quiet space. These parks are intended for residents to enjoy green open spaces, not to generate revenue. Let's keep our parks for that purpose and allow them rest as much as possible.

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 9:42 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 11:04 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 11:10 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 12:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 1:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Please open school field and turf for public sports using. Outdoor sports risk is lower during covid-19.

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 1:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 2, 2021, 3:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 4:21 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 5:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 2, 2021, 5:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I would to have park open & available for most of residents not just limit to sports teams using the park.

Many families walk their dogs in the park too. And residents also exercise or jogging in the park during weekends

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 5:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 5:41 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 5:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:40 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 6:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 7:40 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 7:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

The field should open to all residents not just for sport group.

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 9:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 2, 2021, 10:22 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Are there any cupertino employee who monitor the field usage on Sunday? I saw soccer teams use Creekside park soccer field before 10:00 AM every Sunday morning. Are they permitted?

How does Cupertino city check if the people on the athlete fields are permitted? What's the penalty if some people use the fields without permits?

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 10:39 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 10:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 2, 2021, 11:08 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 12:56 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 1:19 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 6:51 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 9:18 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 10:03 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 10:29 AM

Question 1

- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Field closures do not make sense unless they are over used

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:23 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:27 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:28 AM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:36 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 1:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 1:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 1:25 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 2:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 2:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Betty Liu

March 3, 2021, 2:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:27 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:29 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:47 PM

Question 1

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 3:58 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 4:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 4:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 5:01 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 6:11 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 7:22 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 7:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 8:01 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

This policy update is pitting property owners around the fields against the rest of the city (and beyond), and ignoring the negative noise/traffic impact brought on by extending the Sunday use.

What does the city expect the outcome will be? The majority of the responses will come from non-property owners around the fields, and they will be for the proposal because it does them no harm, so the end result is known already, and the survey is just a front for the so called "consensus" so the city can go ahead with it.

The sport leagues already have 6 out of a week to do what they want/need - just leave that one day on the weekend for us field-side property owners to get some quiet/peace time in our lives.

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 8:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 8:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 8:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 9:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 9:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 3, 2021, 10:03 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Noel Eberhardt

March 3, 2021, 10:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

My experience has been primarily with Kennedy. This field seems to always be reserved Monday through Saturday for organized youth sports making it closed and unavailable for public use. As a senior, a group of us have been using the field for non-sport exercise-type activity on Sunday mornings for 15 years, the field's only available "open" time. It was in 2006 Parks & Recreation Director Mark Linder who suggested and encouraged a small group of us seniors to use Kennedy. Sundays were the only available.

It's been my observation that Sunday mornings it's common to see young family activity, dog walking, and people of all ages walking, exercising, and interacting. Through the years I personally have had the pleasure of meeting many neighbors, new and old. It's not unusual to see grandparents with children doing things. However, with ball games or practices, there is little or no family or casual pick-up activity.

I'm not sure it's fair to the neighbors having to contend with the 7th day of traffic, parking and noise issues.

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 10:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 10:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 10:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not shown

March 3, 2021, 11:20 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:25 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:32 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 3, 2021, 11:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 12:02 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Chuong Vu

March 4, 2021, 12:13 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 12:17 AM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Fields got plenty of rest with COVID. The kids need to be out there and playing.

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 12:24 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 9:31 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 11:20 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 11:49 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Allowing private groups access to the fields on Sunday further reduces their availability to the general public for general recreational use.

Name not available

March 4, 2021, 3:29 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Ronald Jou

March 4, 2021, 6:57 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 3:40 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 3:44 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:20 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:33 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:48 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 10:04 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Athlete field should open to general public whenever there is no school.

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 10:59 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 12:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Should not be a lot of noise and concentrated activity on the fields during early morning on Sunday. Should be quiet so that families can play on the fields with children and walk around without being hit by a ball. League activities should not use fields until afternoon.

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 12:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 12:39 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 1:22 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 1:58 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 2:01 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I mostly walk in the parks, and on the walkways, so fields are just to observe and enjoy.

Name not shown

March 5, 2021, 2:34 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 2:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 2:56 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 3:08 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 3:58 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:05 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Field closures allow for other fields to be more crowded. Older kids need more room to practice and practice on a better maintained fields.

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I think the closure duration should consider the use frequency and resulting field conditions. Minimization of the closure duration would be best so that we can get the kids/adults back to physical activity.

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:26 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 5, 2021, 6:45 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 11:01 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 6, 2021, 2:29 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Leigh Stevens

March 6, 2021, 6:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 8:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 8:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 8:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 8:57 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 9:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 9:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 9:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 10:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 10:45 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 6, 2021, 11:47 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 1:10 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 1:52 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 2:54 AM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 8:19 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

no closure

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 9:58 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 10:12 AM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 10:22 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 10:38 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 11:25 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 12:46 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 7, 2021, 1:20 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

General availability of the field to neighboring residents should be a priority from 4pm to sunset everyday, including Sundays.

Groups using the fields need to be better about cleaning up after using the fields. Usually, litter has been left on the fields and surrounding streets.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 1:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

As a tax payer no closure!

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 3:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 3:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 3:19 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 3:27 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 3:45 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 4:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I do not mind sports groups using the fields, however, they need to pick up after themselves or be hit with clean up crew fees.

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 4:15 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 5:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 6:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Our parks are already overcrowded and it is expensive for schools to do maintenance in fields, CUSD already is suffering financially.

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 6:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 9:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

During the pandemic, a dog walking group formed at Stevens Creek Elementary. Families and individuals have magically formed wonderful friendships. Children come out to play with as many as 16 dogs at a time. This school field has been a wonderful venue for community building and bonding. It has helped to create neighborly friendships of those who otherwise would never cross paths. All are respectful of each other and dog owners are respectful of keeping the grounds safe and litter-free. Varian park is too small for such a daily gathering. Sports teams using the fields would prevent us from being able to have our daily neighborly gatherings.

Name not available

March 7, 2021, 10:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 7, 2021, 10:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Please keep the library field for public use only on Saturdays and Sundays. Please stop any sports group use on the library field on

Saturdays and Sundays.

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 12:07 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 8:54 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

I would like to see Sundays be reserved for local residents and their families, and not be for organized teams to conduct one of their many weekly practices.

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 10:15 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 11:24 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

We hope that residents nearby to these fields will be considered when making this decision. Without Sunday close, some residents will have traffic in front of their homes and in their neighborhoods 7 days a week. This is especially a concern for fields on school grounds.

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 11:27 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 12:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 1:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 4:59 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 5:16 PM

Question 1

- I am not a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 5:32 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 9:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

• I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

• Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

• 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

• A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 10:14 PM

Question 1

• I am a Cupertino resident
• I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

• No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

• 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

• A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 8, 2021, 11:28 PM

Question 1

• I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

• Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

• 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

• A two-month closure

Question 6

Please stop any sports practice on the library field on Saturdays and Sundays.

Name not available

March 9, 2021, 12:54 AM

Question 1

• I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 9, 2021, 10:33 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Not sure

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

If opening up these fields to use results in punishing the public by removing access to parks for 1-2 months then DON'T DO IT! Whatever you do, do it as a TRIAL PERIOD to see how the fields and the public respond. At max, only do part of a day on non-consecutive Sundays to allow for public access.

Who is going to monitor compliance?

It's not clear how allowing limiting one sports group would work yet then would you allow another to go in its place? That defeats the limit on overall field usage. Do you really mean sports seasons? But there are multiple sports in a season...

I've heard that many of the elite groups do not have a majority of their members from Cupertino. Is this true?

Name not available

March 9, 2021, 11:46 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 9, 2021, 6:57 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 1:14 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 1:16 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 1:17 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 12:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 2:40 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 2:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Chih-Yang Chang

March 10, 2021, 3:58 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 6:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 10, 2021, 10:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 1:17 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 2:35 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 3:18 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 3:21 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 3:22 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 8:59 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

We encourage as much team oriented activity, especially youth activity as a great community building experience.

Ed Puccinelli

March 11, 2021, 10:53 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Fields should be available for general use on Sundays. For example such as flying a kite or playing catch with your kids. Allowed should be pick up games where non-organized (no money, no membership) can be played. Sports groups that involve money and membership (such as youth soccer) are laudable and should be supported but not to the point of further limitation of general use.

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 11:05 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

Cupertino does not have sufficient sports facilities compared to other nearby cities such as Sunnyvale and Campbell. We should make as much of the existing ones as we can. Also we should request that the facilities in the high schools be available for use to the general public when they are not in use by their school programs. This should include tennis courts and swimming pools. As taxpayers we should be entitled to them, in particular since we are asked to support parcel taxes as well.

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 11:10 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 3:37 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 3:41 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 4:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 4:32 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 4:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 4:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 5:17 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 5:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 5:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 5:54 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 6:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 6:16 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Jeff Moe

March 11, 2021, 7:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

Sunday should be a day for quiet neighborhood and family use of our parks. Also, to provide better balance for our youth, organized youth sports leagues should take the day off.

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 9:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 9:49 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 9:59 PM

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 10:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 10:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 10:25 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 10:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 11, 2021, 10:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 1:09 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 10:44 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 11:32 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Raymond Yin

March 12, 2021, 11:50 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

There are numerous competing sport groups for Cupertino fields. They are all valuable to the well-being of Cupertino youths and citizens. It is vital to keep sport fields available as much as possible to expand their usage for the community. Our citizens deserve this.

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 12:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 12:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 3:24 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

The City needs to set a limit on the number of people at these events. Some events attract over 100 people and over 50 cars. Cars block driveways because there is not enough space to park. Code enforcement needs to cite parking violations at every event and remind people to refrain from playing loud music or leaving trash (beer cans, left-over fast food, bags) in the neighborhood streets. These are real problems that we see in the neighborhood. We are ok with outsiders using the fields in our neighborhood but they need to respect our environment.

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 5:41 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 5:45 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 6:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 6:24 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 6:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 8:25 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 8:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 8:38 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 9:08 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 9:10 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 9:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 9:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 12, 2021, 9:47 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 12:52 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 3:08 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Lisa Nakamatsu

March 13, 2021, 10:20 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I am against opening up Sundays for paid usage. I live across the street from one of the parks in question and I walk my dog everyday. Consequently, I have seen that when groups have reserved the park they become territorial; everyone co-exists the rest of the week, just not on Saturdays. Consequently, I don't go to the park on Saturdays. If the park is open for paid usage on Sundays, too, then that would mean I would have to avoid the park on Sundays, as well.

The part I am most against, though, is the park being closed for a month or two every year to the public. It is bad enough access is limited on the days it is rented out, but now you are proposing further restrictions on everyone. While I recognize why this is being proposed, it seems more like punishment for the rest of us that aren't benefiting from expanding paid usage. I say limit paid usage and keep the park open for all year round.

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 1:47 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 1:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 2:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 2:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 3:08 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 6:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 10:00 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 10:03 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 10:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 10:32 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 13, 2021, 10:56 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 12:44 AM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 12:28 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 1:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 2:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 3:55 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 4:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 4:13 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 4:56 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 4:59 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 6:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 8:58 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 9:27 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 9:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 9:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 10:07 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 10:32 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 11:06 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 14, 2021, 11:51 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 8:50 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 8:56 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

With the difficult year we have had outdoor activities for youth and the residents of Cupertino should be encouraged. Obesity, depression, lack of sunlight all contribute to declines in health, and are all improved by spending more time outdoors. I feel all fields should be open all daylight hours and maximum use allowed for at least one year to encourage residents to get outside and move. The fields have literally had a year to rest, they can handle the use, and if not, so be it. We can look at this again as needed and modify, but at this point, the city should be encouraging outdoor recreation classes, outdoor field use and general outdoor activity as much as possible.

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 10:20 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 10:54 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 11:59 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 12:29 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Yong Shen

March 15, 2021, 2:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 15, 2021, 3:00 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 3:05 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 3:38 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not shown

March 15, 2021, 3:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

This survey is forcing me to provide misleading answers. I want the fields open to EVERYONE as much as possible. I don't want one group claiming the field any more than necessary. I don't want the fields closed at all (forcing me to say one month closure or two month closure is not accurate; I want no closure AT ALL.).

Name not shown

March 15, 2021, 4:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 1 p.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

The De Anza Force and other groups perhaps persistent usage of the field at Creekside Park is in my opinion not sustainable. The field and facilities are too small to accommodate such a large amount of people. I have been going to this park for years to play soccer by myself and have noticed a huge amount of wear on the field since they started. Not to mention, such a large amount of kids with generally no mask poses a health risk to other members of the public. Additionally, I have noticed an increase in trash on the field. I understand field space is limited given these times, but I do not believe this is the correct field to host such a large amount of practices and people... perhaps half the amount or less would be reasonable. There is very little to no room for people who live in the community to enjoy their local parks' fields. Furthermore, these teams are at these fields for great lengths of time. Please take into account that members of the community value and respect these fields and take the time to ensure the park is hospitable to each other.

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 5:25 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 6:47 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 15, 2021, 11:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 11:03 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 11:27 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 12:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 12:33 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 2:43 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 3:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 4:19 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 4:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 5:19 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 8:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

Without outdoor competitive sports returning, I think it is important that the kids have more fields and more days to use the fields so that games are not forced to be canceled as a result of field closures. All the sports clubs in our area have patiently waited to return and they are deserving of

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

the extra day.

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 8:31 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- A member of my household participates in a sports group that uses the fields in Cupertino
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 11:44 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 11:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- Not sure

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- Not sure

Question 6

Sunday should be reserved for the public to use Only since residents do pay property tax and not be always about revenue. Also it gives time when public not using for the field off time to not be overused. Just my two cents.

Name not available

March 16, 2021, 11:54 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 12:41 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 11:25 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 12:34 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 2:36 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 10:22 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 11:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 17, 2021, 11:40 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2021, 10:46 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2021, 3:25 PM

Question 1

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 18, 2021, 4:23 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes, provided that any given field is not scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

For the last question regarding a closure period in Dec/Jan, I think a 6 week closure would be fine.

Name not available

March 18, 2021, 5:54 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2021, 10:53 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 18, 2021, 11:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 12:26 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 12:27 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 8:21 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 10:00 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 10:01 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 12:29 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 12:39 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 1:11 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 6:59 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 19, 2021, 11:19 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 12:37 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not shown

March 20, 2021, 12:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 12:14 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

I want to participate in this survey, but am not truly comfortable with the limitation the QA's have built in. Survey takers likely fit in to one of two categories - They don't understand the true/accurate definition of 'sports groups', or they have a real grasp of that reference. This Sunday use has been a subject of discussion for years. I have witnessed uniformed 'youth' lined up behind coaches and other adults at public meetings. At those same meetings, resident and non resident speakers have voiced their support, or non support of filing our park fields (and parking lots/streets) with organized activity participants and therefore limiting casual use for Cupertino residents. I believe that Sundays should remain 'non league' days. Already, after school practices monopolize fields during the best seasons for afternoon enjoyment by non league individuals and groups. If Sundays are going to be offered to 'sport groups', that offering should be done for groups with a majority of Cupertino residents (has not always been the case) and in a limited capacity. Parks differ in resident popularity, density, and in size/parking. The opening of Sunday to organized groups should most definitely be limited to areas where space per resident is higher. If a decision is made to open Sundays in any fashion, a trial period would not be unreasonable, and would be encouraged.

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 12:26 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

1. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
3. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 3:35 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year
2. Allow each sports group to use a field eight (8) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- Not sure

Question 6

I would most like to see the track (Monta Vista) lit at night for running.

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 7:30 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 7:50 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 8:12 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 8:42 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 9:02 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 9:09 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 10:08 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 10:21 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Name not available

March 20, 2021, 10:52 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2021, 10:30 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2021, 10:44 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Question 2

- Yes

Question 3

1. Allow sports groups to use fields on all Sundays, provided that no fields are scheduled for two Sundays in a row
2. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 5 p.m.

Question 5

- A two-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2021, 10:54 AM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2021, 12:18 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2021, 12:54 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Name not available

March 21, 2021, 11:04 PM

Question 1

- I am a Cupertino resident
- I live next to one of the following field sites: Creekside, Jollyman, Hoover, Wilson, and Monta Vista parks; Library Field; Garden Gate, Eaton, Faria, Regnart, Stevens Creek, Lincoln, and Collins elementary schools; Hyde and Kennedy middle schools

Athletic Fields: Sunday Use and Rest Period Survey

Let the City of Cupertino know what you think about potential updates to the Athletic Field Use Policy regarding Sunday use and annual field closures.

Question 2

- No

Question 3

1. Allow each sports group to use a field four (4) Sundays a year

Question 4

- 10 a.m. to 2 p.m.

Question 5

- A one-month closure

Question 6

No response

Attachment C - Comparison of Other Cities										
	Campbell	Los Altos	Los Altos Hills	Mountain View	Palo Alto	San Jose	Santa Clara	Saratoga	Sunnyvale	Cupertino
Method used to verify residency	All non-profit groups must submit rosters if they want the resident discount. Discount is based on residency percentage.	Verification is not required for recognized groups. They do not require proof for applicants.	Renter/payee must be a resident. They have a contract for cost sharing with Little League. They are a non-resident group and are not required to submit rosters.	The prior year's rosters are used to determine residency.	They require a master league roster complete with players' first and last names alphabetized, address, phone number, team, and proof of residency.	User groups are not required to send in rosters. They are on the honor system.	Groups are required to submit rosters.	Legacy groups are on the honor system and not required to submit rosters	Recognized groups are required to submit rosters. Private renters have to show proof of residency for whoever is renting.	Current procedure - groups submit rosters.
Are Sundays allowed?	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Current - Tournaments & programs serving individuals who are disabled.
Annual field closures	Mid-November through the end of February	Baseball fields are closed from the end of October through the end of January. Multi-use or soccer fields are closed mid-December through mid-February.	December through mid to all of January. Length of the closure is weather dependent.	December 15 through the end of February	Closure guidance, fields, and dates come from the Parks division. Typically closures are December through the end of February	Only closed due to weather or Parks Department scheduled renovations.	No regularly scheduled closure	December 10 through the second Monday in February	December through the end of February. Four fields are kept open for 1 month during the 3 month closure. Open fields are available for drop-in play, no permits	Current - limited closure at Creekside from January - February.
Number of field sites	2 sites	3 sites	1 site	16 sites	15 sites	44 sites	8 sites	3 sites	26 sites	15 sites
Number of consistent/returning groups	5 groups--2 resident and 3 non-resident	5 groups-2 resident and 3 non-resident	2 groups-1 resident and 1 non-resident	7 groups-3 resident and 4 non-resident	24-30 groups, 9 resident and the rest are non-resident	40 regular groups, 40 are resident	5 groups-5 resident	4 groups-2 resident and 2 non-resident.	6 groups-6 resident	Current - 9 groups-6 residents and 3 non-residents
Revenue/cost recovery	Not required to recover costs. Revenue is approximately \$22,000	Not required to recover costs. Revenue is approximately \$80,000	50% cost recovery through agreement with Little League. Total revenue is approximately \$57,000.	Not required to recover costs. Revenue is approximately \$90,000	Not required to recover costs. Revenue is approximately \$550,000	Cost recovery is around 30%. Revenue is approximately \$1.1 million.	Not required to recover costs. No revenue at this time.	Not required to recover costs. Revenue is approximately \$75,000/year	Not required to recover cost yet. Revenue is approximately \$191,000	Current - Approximately \$140,000 per year.

Attachment D - Fee Comparison Chart								
	Youth Resident	Average	Youth Non-resident	Average	Adult Resident	Average	Adult Non-resident	Average
Campbell	\$20-\$30	\$25	\$20-\$30	\$25	\$20-\$30	\$25	\$20-\$30	\$25
Los Altos	\$45	\$45	\$56	\$56	\$45	\$45	\$56	\$56
Los Altos Hills	\$20	\$20	\$40	\$40	\$20	\$20	\$40	\$40
Mountain View	\$8	\$8	\$45	\$45	\$36	\$36	\$45	\$45
Palo Alto	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89	\$89
San Jose	\$25	\$25	\$80	\$80	\$60	\$60	\$80	\$80
Santa Clara	\$0	\$0	\$276	\$276	\$106	\$106	\$276	\$276
Saratoga	\$33-\$38	\$36	\$52-\$59	\$56	\$33-\$38	\$36	\$52-\$59	\$56
Sunnyvale	\$25	\$25	\$40	\$40	\$25	\$25	\$40	\$40
Average		\$30.28		\$78.50		\$49.06		\$85.19
Commission Recommended Fees		\$10.00		\$30.00		\$50.00		\$60.00
25% Cost Recovery Hourly Fees		\$20.00		\$30.00		\$50.00		\$60.00
40% Cost Recovery Hourly Fees		\$30.00		\$50.00		\$60.00		\$80.00

Field Use Cost Recovery Worksheet
Public Works Field Maintenance Budget

Public Works Field Budget	Total Number of Fields	Total Rentable Hours per Field	Total Rentable Hours	60% Utilization of Rentable Hours	Cost Per Hour	40% Cost Recovery Goal
\$1,859,573	27	1159	31293	18775.8	\$99.04	\$39.62

Staffing Costs

Staff Position	Average Salary	Staff Time Allocation (12%)	Total Number of Fields	Total Rentable Hours per Field	Total Rentable Hours	60% Utilization of Rentable Hours	Cost Per Hour	Cost Recovery Goal
Recreation Coordinator	\$124,719.23	\$14,966.31	27	1159	31293	18775.8	\$0.80	\$ 0.32

Total Cost	40% Cost Recovery	Public Works Cost Per Hour	Recreation Staff Cost Per Hour	Total Cost Per Hour	20% Cost Recovery per hour	25% Cost Recovery per hour	40% Cost Recovery per hour
\$1,874,539.31	\$749,815.72	\$99.04	\$0.80	\$99.84	\$19.97	\$24.96	\$39.94

Revenue	Average Revenue (FY17-19)	Projected Revenue \$30R/\$50NR (Average \$40) 40% Utilization	Projected Revenue \$30R/\$50NR (Average \$40) 50% Utilization	Projected Revenue \$30R/\$50NR (Average \$40) 60% Utilization
	\$151,630.00	\$500,688.00	\$625,860.00	\$751,032.00



Athletic Field Use Policy

It is the policy of the City of Cupertino to support and encourage flexible and inclusive use of athletic fields in a manner that preserves neighborhood quality of life and protects the park resource. The City supports and encourages volunteerism and the sense of community created when individuals with common goals work together. The City values the healthy benefits of recreational pursuits and supports the development of young athletes.

Purpose:

- To strive to provide all Cupertino residents with an opportunity to participate in their activity of choice.
- To establish procedures governing the exclusive use of City of Cupertino and Cupertino Union School District playing fields managed by the City of Cupertino.
- To ensure that Cupertino residents have priority access to the fields they have paid for.
- To provide for a variety of activities reflecting the athletic preferences of Cupertino residents.
- To contribute a proportionate amount of field time to regional organizations that Cupertino residents participate in.
- To collect reasonable fees for the use of the fields, in support of their ongoing maintenance.
- To adopt a fee schedule that rewards volunteerism and community involvement.

Permit Required: Field Use Permits are required for exclusive field use or for any repeated, organized use of athletic fields maintained by the City of Cupertino. The Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department issues field use permits.

Applying for a Field Use Permit: Please thoroughly read the entire Field Use Policy and its regulations.

City of Cupertino Maintained Fields: The fields listed below are available for permitting through the City of Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department. City of Cupertino owned fields are noted as 'City', and fields under the Cupertino Union School District/City of Cupertino Joint Powers Agreement are noted as 'JPA'.

Soccer, Youth Softball, and Little League Fields

- Creekside Park (City)3 Soccer Fields
- Jollyman Park (City).....1 Baseball/Soccer Joint Use Field, 1 Soccer Field
- Hoover Park (City)2 Soccer Fields
- Wilson Park (City).....2 Baseball, 1 Soccer/Baseball Joint Use Field
- Monta Vista Park (City)2 Softball Fields
- Garden Gate Elementary (JPA)1 Soccer Field
- Eaton School (JPA)1 Soccer/Baseball Joint Use Field
- Faria School (JPA)1 Soccer/Baseball Joint Use Field
- Hyde Middle School (JPA)1 Soccer (track) Field, 1 Baseball/Soccer Joint Use Field

- Kennedy Middle School (JPA)1 Baseball Field, 1 Soccer (track) Field, 2 Baseball Soccer Joint use fields
- Regnart School (JPA)2 Baseball/Soccer joint use fields
- Stevens Creek School (JPA)2 Softball diamonds adjoining 1 Soccer Field
- Lincoln School (JPA)2 Softball/Soccer joint use fields

Multi–Use Field

- Library Field (City)Youth Cricket, Soccer, Volleyball (all on 1 Field)

Adult Softball Field

- Memorial Park (City)Cupertino Adult Softball League Play Only

Field Availability:

City-owned fields:	Monday-Saturday	9:00am-Dusk
CUSD fields under the Joint Powers Agreement (JPA)	Monday-Friday	4:00pm-Dusk
	Saturdays, School Holidays	9:00am-Dusk

Two Sunday permit dates/year/organization/site may be granted by staff to accommodate special tournaments or events (not regularly scheduled league play). Sunday permits are exclusive to those organizations already in possession of Monday-Saturday Permits who use fields regularly over the course of the Fall or Spring Use Period. Sunday access to fields will be granted no earlier than 9 a.m., with games beginning no earlier than 10 a.m.

Fields will be permitted for the activities that the field(s) are intended for, designed for, classified as, or for activities conducted in a manner that does not compromise public safety or field quality and integrity.

Field Hotline:

Fields may be closed to avoid turf damage due to inclement weather or field saturation. Fields may be closed for field maintenance. Call the field hotline at 408-777-3566 to determine whether or not the fields are playable.

Eligibility: Field permits are assigned based on the following priority system (see definitions):

Priority

- 1Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department
- 2Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer nonprofit organization
- 3Cupertino resident, youth, commercial nonprofit organization
- 4Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer nonprofit organization
- 5Cupertino resident, adult, commercial nonprofit organization
- 6Nonresident, youth, volunteer nonprofit organization
- 7Nonresident, youth, commercial nonprofit organization
- 8Nonresident, adult, volunteer nonprofit organization
- 9Nonresident, adult, commercial nonprofit organization
- 10For-profit youth sports events
- 11For-profit adult sports events
- 12Organizations that have previously violated the terms of the field use policy

Eligibility is based on an assessment of each organization's prior season eligibility status. It is the Permit Organization's responsibility to notify the City of Cupertino, upon permit application submittal, of a change in Eligibility Status for the upcoming season. Permit approval for new organizations will be based on current registration information. Permits may be revoked if it is found that an organization's Eligibility Status has changed without notifying City of Cupertino prior to permit application submittal.

An organization providing a unique recreational opportunity, such as one serving special needs youth, may be assigned a special priority status following review of their offering by the Parks and Recreation Commission and approval by the City Council, including use of fields on Sunday.

Definitions:

Priority 1 - Cupertino Parks and Recreation Programming: Programs offered by the City of Cupertino will have first priority for scheduling.

Priority 2 - Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization: An organization that maintains participation of at least 51% Cupertino residents and has a board of directors made up of at least 51% Cupertino residents. The organization must be all-volunteer with no paid coaching or training, to be in this priority group.

Priority 3 - Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization: An organization that maintains participation of at least 51% Cupertino residents and has a board of directors made up of at least 51% Cupertino residents. Organizations in this category can hire paid staff.

Priority 4 -Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization: An organization that maintains participation of at least 51% Cupertino residents and has a board of directors made up of at least 51% Cupertino residents. The organization must be all-volunteer with no paid coaching or training, to be in this priority group.

Priority 5 - Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization: An organization that maintains participation of at least 51% Cupertino residents and has a board of directors made up of at least 51% Cupertino residents. Organizations in this category can hire paid staff.

Priority 6 - Nonresident, youth, volunteer non-profit organizations: These organizations do not meet the 51% residency requirement for participants or board of directors. They are non-profit and volunteer in nature.

Priority 7 - Nonresident youth, commercial non-profit organizations: These organizations do not meet the 51% residency requirement for participants and/or board of directors.

Priority 8 - Nonresident, adult, volunteer non-profit organizations: These organizations do not meet the 51% residency requirement for participants and/or board of directors. They are non-profit, volunteer in nature.

Priority 9 - Nonresident adult, commercial non-profit organizations: These organizations do not meet the 51% residency requirement for participants and/or board of directors. Organizations in this category can hire paid staff.

Priority 10 - For-profit youth sports events: In this category would be field rental for businesses that run special clinics and camps for youth.

Priority 11 - For-profit adult sports events: In this category would be field rental for businesses that run special clinics and camps for adults.

Organizations that have violated the terms of the field use policy: Beginning 1/1/05, any group found violating any term of the field use policy or providing misleading or false information to the City of Cupertino will have last priority for scheduling field time.

Seasonal Use Dates Defined:

Fall Use Period: 7/1-12/31

Spring Use Period: 1/1-6/30

Seasonal Use Date Priority:

- Cupertino Resident Youth Non-Profit Soccer Organizations have field priority on Soccer/Baseball Joint Use Fields, during the **Fall Use Period**, and **annual** field priority on **exclusive** soccer fields.
- Cupertino Resident Youth Non-Profit Baseball and Softball Organizations have field priority on the Baseball-Softball/Soccer Joint Use Fields, during the **Spring Use Period**, and **annual** field priority on **exclusive** Baseball or Softball Fields.

Exceptions to Seasonal Priority:

- Little League Baseball has **annual** priority at the Hyde Middle School 90 ft. Diamond Baseball/Soccer Joint Use Field.
- Memorial Park Softball Field is exclusive to the City of Cupertino Adult Softball Leagues.
- Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department programs have annual priority on all fields.
- The City Council may assign a special priority status to a group offering a unique program to Cupertino residents that would be otherwise unavailable.

Two or More Equally Eligible Groups Requesting the Same Field:

In the event that two or more field requests with equivalent eligibility overlap, the groups shall first work together to resolve the issue through compromise. If the issue cannot be resolved by the groups, the City's Field Coordinator will use good judgment in determining the field allocation for the season. In these cases, priority consideration shall be given in the order stated below:

- A. The organization with a history of past field use on specific fields will have first priority
- B. A program providing a service that does not currently exist (does not duplicate a program already available)
- C. Timely receipt of field use request and required accompanying materials.
- D. Other Elements

How Cupertino residency is calculated: Because leagues are regional in nature, city staff will calculate the residency percentage by reviewing the rosters for players scheduled on Cupertino fields, and not the league as a whole. This calculation is intended to encourage league field schedulers to secure playing time in all cities from which they draw participation.

Definition of a Cupertino Resident: A person who lives in the City of Cupertino.

How Field Use Policy compliance is verified: The City of Cupertino requires a Master League Roster complete with player first and last names alphabetized, address, and phone number, and all Team Rosters of participants. This information will be presented to City of Cupertino Staff immediately after registration for the organization closes. Coaches will be required to have team rosters and permits on site during each field use, available for inspection. The City of Cupertino will make spot field checks, and any organization found to be misrepresenting its residency status will have its permit revoked for the season and will be last priority for field scheduling for the next season.

Organization within an organization, or “under the umbrella of an organization”: Any organization found to be scheduling field time for use by another organization (that would otherwise have a lower priority for field scheduling) will have its permit revoked for the season and will be last priority for field scheduling for the next season.

To Apply for an Athletic Field Use Permit:

To apply for an Athletic Field Use Permit, contact the City of Cupertino Athletic Field Coordinator at (408) 777-3120.

Fees:

Priority

1 Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department

No charge

2 Cupertino resident, youth, volunteer non-profit organization

\$11/player/season for residents; \$22/player/season/nonresidents

3 Cupertino resident, youth, commercial non-profit organization

\$11/player/season for residents; \$66/player/season/nonresidents

4 Cupertino resident, adult, volunteer non-profit organization

\$50/hour/field, 2-hour minimum, \$600 refundable damage deposit

5 Cupertino resident, adult, commercial non-profit organization

\$50/hour/field, 2-hour minimum, \$600 base fee/site/seasonal use period and \$600 refundable damage deposit

6 Nonresident, youth, nonprofit volunteer organization

\$11/player/season for residents; \$66/player/season/nonresidents

7 Nonresident, youth, commercial non-profit organization

\$11/player/season for residents; \$88/player/season/nonresidents

8 Nonresident, adult, nonprofit volunteer organization

\$50/hour, 2-hour minimum, \$600 base fee/site/seasonal use period and \$600 refundable damage deposit

9 Nonresident, adult, commercial non-profit organization

\$100/hour, 2-hour minimum, \$600 base fee/site/seasonal use period and \$600 refundable damage deposit

10 For-profit youth sports events

\$150/hour, 2-hour minimum, \$600 base fee/site/seasonal use period and \$600 refundable damage deposit

11 For-profit adult sports events

\$175/hour, 2-hour minimum, \$600 base fee/site/seasonal use period and \$600 refundable damage deposit

12 Organizations that have violated the terms of the field use policy

To be determined on a case-by-case basis, considering the type of organization and the nature of the violation.

Fee Payment Protocol for Youth Non-Profit Organizations: Once the Volunteer or Commercial Youth, Non-profit Organization's primary player registration has closed for their upcoming season, an accurate, computer-generated master league roster that includes names, addresses and phone numbers of only the players using Cupertino fields, will be required. The City of Cupertino will use the 'per player fee' formula (see Fee Section) to invoice the league. For any additional registrations during the course of the year, the same protocol will apply. The City may require, at any time, to review certified league registration forms for all participants. The permit will be revoked if the fees are not submitted on time (see 'Fee Payment Deadlines').

The City Council has levied lower fees for Cupertino residents, and staff will check to ensure that the registration amounts paid by Cupertino residents is accurate.

Fee Payment Protocol for Groups OTHER THAN Youth Non-Profit Organizations: Organizations **OTHER THAN** Youth Non-Profits will submit, attached to their Athletic Field Use Permit Application(s), an accurate assessment of: # of individual fields requested, multiplied by # of hours requested using each field, multiplied by the hourly rate for your Eligibility Status (see 'Fee' section). The City of Cupertino will then invoice the organization based on the approved amount of fixed field use hours. In the event of field closures due to inclement weather, rainouts, field over-saturation, or field maintenance, the organization will have an opportunity to schedule 'make-up dates' with the City of Cupertino or have the option of being refunded all applicable fees. The permit will be revoked if the fees are not submitted on time. (see 'Fee Payment Deadlines')

Permit and Insurance Certificate Submittal Deadlines to Remember:

For Spring Seasonal Use Dates (1/1-6/30):

Permit Applications may be submitted to the City of Cupertino between 11/1-12/1.

For Fall Seasonal Use Dates (7/1-12/31):

Permit Applications may be submitted to the City of Cupertino between 5/1-6/1.

Organizations that miss the above deadline(s) will be subject to the 'first come, first serve' priority system.

Rosters Submittal Deadlines to Remember:

For Spring Seasonal Use Dates and Fall Seasonal Use Dates: Team and Organizational Rosters must be submitted to the City of Cupertino no later than 14 days after the Permit Organization's primary registration period(s) closes. For any additional registrations during the course of the year, the same protocol will apply.

Fee Payment Deadlines to Remember:

Cupertino Youth Non-Profits: 14 days after receiving the City of Cupertino Invoice.

Groups OTHER THAN Cupertino Youth Non-Profits: 7 days prior to first permitted date.

Cancellations:

For groups other than Cupertino Youth Non-Profits, cancellations will be accepted with full refund up to three 3 working days prior to scheduled use. The recreation coordinator responsible for field scheduling must be notified.

Permit applications for organizations offering new programs will be reviewed by staff and, if necessary, forwarded on to the Parks and Recreation Commission and City Council. Staff will endeavor, to the extent possible, to facilitate new program offerings.

General Regulations For Field Use:

- A copy of the approved permit must be available for inspection when an organization is using the field
- Field users are required to observe all City of Cupertino park regulations.
- Field use is limited to the times and dates indicated on the permit, and any changes are subject to availability and approval by the department.
- Fields must not be used when wet; permits are invalid on rainy days or after rain if the ground is considered too saturated for play:
 - Call the field hotline at 408-777-3566 to determine whether or not the fields are playable.
- No alcoholic beverages are allowed; violation will result in forfeiture of the permit and no fees will be returned.
- No group shall litter, soil, or defile the field, rest rooms or other park areas.
- No group or persons shall use any system to amplify sound whether for speech or music.
- No group or person having control or care of a dog shall enter or remain in the park unless posted for such use, and then only if it is led by a leash of suitable strength.
- No group or person shall make or kindle a fire for any purpose, except at places so designated.
- No group shall enter an area posted as "closed to the public" or "field closed". No persons shall remove such postings.
- No group or persons shall indulge in riotous, boisterous, threatening or indecent conduct.
- All permit organizations or groups must show proof of liability insurance with the City of Cupertino named as additional insured. Liability insurance must be for a minimum of \$1 million.
- It is the responsibility of the permitted organization to notify the Cupertino Parks and Recreation Department ASAP if it is determined by the permit organization that an approved permit date(s) is no longer needed.

This policy constitutes the exclusive Field Use Policy surrounding field use for City of Cupertino owned fields and Cupertino Union School District (CUSD) fields specified in the Joint Powers agreement.



CITY OF CUPERTINO

Legislation Text

File #: 21-9135, **Version:** 1

Subject: If the meeting has not concluded by midnight, the Mayor will entertain a motion to continue any unfinished business to a future meeting date.