CITY OF CUPERTINO 10300 Torre Avenue Cupertino, California 95014

DRAFT RESOLUTION

OF THE PLANNING COMMISSION OF THE CITY OF CUPERTINO ADOPTING A MITIGATED NEGATIVE DECLARATION AND MITIGATION MONITORING AND REPORTING PROGRAM FOR THE DEVELOPMENT OF A VACANT PROPERTY LOCATED AT LOT 1 LINDY LANE (APN 356-25-031)

SECTION I: PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Application No: EA-2016-01 Applicant: Glush Dada

Location: Lot 1 Lindy Lane (APN 356-25-031)

SECTION II: ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW PROCESS

WHEREAS, Glush Dada submitted an application to the City of Cupertino on June 28, 2016 for a Hillside Exception, Two Story Permit and Minor Residential permits to allow the development of a new two-story home and accessory dwelling unit on a vacant lot on slopes greater than 30%, and associated environmental review ("Project"); and

WHEREAS, pursuant to the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act of 1970 (Public Resources Code Section 21000 et seq.) ("CEQA") together with the State CEQA Guidelines (California Code of Regulations, Title 14, Section 15000 et seq.) (hereinafter, "CEQA Guidelines"), the City prepared an Initial Study and proposed Mitigated Negative Declaration for the Project ("IS/MND"); and

WHEREAS, the Project is additionally described in the August 2017 "Qi Residence" Draft Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration ("Draft IS/MND"); and

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2017 the City issued a Notice of Intent to Adopt a Mitigated Negative Declaration; and

WHEREAS, on July 10, 2017 the Draft IS/MND for the project was distributed to responsible agencies and the public for review and comment for a 20-day period that ended July 30, 2017; and

WHEREAS, the IS/MND concluded that significant environmental effect on Geology and Soils, Air Quality, Biological Resources, Cultural Resources, and Noise would be avoided

or reduced to less-than-significant levels by mitigation measures ("MM") identified in the IS/MND; and

WHEREAS, ON July 27, 2017, prior to making any recommendations regarding the adoption of the Draft IS/MND, the City of Cupertino's Environmental Review Committee held a duly noticed public hearing to receive public testimony where it reviewed and considered the information contained in the Draft IS/MND, voted 5-0-0 to recommend that the Planning Commission adopt the Draft IS/MND (EA-2016-01) and mitigation measures with amendments made with their motion;

WHEREAS, additional mitigation measures have been identified in response to the public comments received during the public comment period and these have been incorporated in the Final Initial Study/Mitigated Negative Declaration ("Final IS/MND") as MM BIO-4 and MM BIO-5;

WHEREAS, the changes made after publication of the IS/MND, including changes recommended by the Environmental Committee, merely clarify, amplify or make insignificant modifications to the IS/MND, and recirculation of the IS/MND is not required;

WHEREAS, for all comments received following the close of the public review and comment period, responses have been provided with staff reports;

WHEREAS, the comments received on the IS/MND, along with responses to these comments, are included in the staff report, summarized;

WHEREAS, the Planning Commission has independently reviewed and considered the IS/MND together with the comments and the responses to those comments prior to taking action on the Project;

WHEREAS, the comments received do not require major revisions to the IS/MND due to new or substantially more severe significant effects on the environment; and

WHEREAS, prior to taking action on the Project, the Planning Commission held a duly noticed public hearing to receive staff's presentation and public testimony and to further consider the information contained in the Final IS/MND, along with all staff reports, other pertinent documents, and all written and oral statements received prior to or at the public hearing;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED:

That after careful consideration of maps, facts, exhibits, testimony, staff reports, public comments, and other evidence submitted in this matter, the Planning Commission:

1. Finds that the Final IS/MND for the Project has been completed in compliance with the CEQA and reflects the independent judgment and analysis of the City.

- 2. Finds that, on the basis of the whole record before it, there is no substantial evidence that the Project as proposed and mitigated will have a significant effect on the environment.
- 3. Adopts the Final Mitigated Negative Declaration (Final MND) for the Project.
- 4. Adopts the Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program for the Project, attached hereto as Exhibit EA-1, and incorporated herein by reference, which incorporates all the mitigation measures required under the Final MND. The Planning Commission further makes the mitigation measures required conditions of approval of the Project to the extent they are within the responsibility and jurisdiction of the City as summarized below:

a. GEOLOGY AND SOILS MITIGATION MEASURES

MM GEO-1: Construction Shoring Plans – **Prior to approval of grading permits**, shoring plans shall be submitted to the City for review and approval. The contractor/geotechnical consultant shall document the existing conditions along the neighboring property prior to grading.

MM GEO-2: The project plans and shoring plans shall be reviewed by the project geotechnical consultant to assure that their recommendations have been properly incorporated. The Construction Shoring Plans, and Geotechnical Plan Review shall be submitted to the City for review and approval by City staff **prior to issuance of building permits**.

MM GEO-3: The Project Geotechnical Consultant shall inspect, test (as needed), and approve all geotechnical aspects of the project construction. The inspections shall include, but not necessarily be limited to: site preparation and grading, site surface and subsurface drainage improvements and excavations for foundations and retaining walls prior to the placement of steel and concrete. The results of these inspections and the as-built conditions of the project should be described by the Project Geotechnical Consultant in a letter and submitted to the Town Engineer for review **prior to final (as-built) project approval**.

b. AIR QUALITY MITIGATION MEASURES

MM AIR-1:

The following shall be strictly adhered to during the construction of the proposed project and shall be incorporated into the building plans **prior to grading and/or**

building permit issuance to ensure that the building contractor is aware of these requirements:

- All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and unpaved access roads) shall be watered two times per day.
- All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or other loose material off-site shall be covered.
- All visible mud or dirt track-out onto adjacent public roads shall be removed using wet power vacuum street sweepers at least once per day. The use of dry power sweeping is prohibited.
- All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall be limited to 15 mph.
- All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks to be paved shall be completed as soon as possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon as possible after grading unless seeding or soil binders are used.
- Idling times shall be minimized either by shutting equipment off when not in use or reducing the maximum idling time to 5 minutes (as required by the California airborne toxics control measure Title 13, Section 2485 of California Code of Regulations [CCR]). Clear signage shall be provided for construction workers at all access points.
- All construction equipment shall be maintained and properly tuned in accordance with manufacturer's specifications. All equipment shall be checked by a certified visible emissions evaluator.
- Post a publicly visible sign with the telephone number and person to contact at the lead agency regarding dust complaints. This person shall respond and take corrective action within 48 hours. The Air District's phone number shall also be visible to ensure compliance with applicable regulations.

c. <u>BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES MITIGATION MEASURES</u>

MM BIO-1:

- The applicant shall plant replacement trees in accordance with the replacements requirements of the Protected Tree Ordinance. The trees shall be planted **prior to final occupancy** of site permits.
- Any replacement tree or tree required to be planted for screening, shall be a native California Oak (Coast Live Oak) or any native species listed in the Protected Tree Ordinance.

MM BIO-2:

The following shall be indicated on the building plans, **prior to issuance of grading and/or building permits**:

- On-site irrigation shall be required at the start of construction until completion of construction to assure the survival of the trees near the construction envelope.
- The existing trees to remain shall be protected during construction per the City's Protected Tree Ordinance (Chapter 14.18 of the Municipal Code). The City's standard tree protection measures (City of Cupertino Standard Detail 6-4) shall be listed on the plans, and protective fencing shall be installed around the trees to remain prior to issuance of grading permits. A report ascertaining the good health of these trees shall be provided prior to issuance of final occupancy.

MM BIO-3:

Nests of raptors and other birds shall be protected when in active use, as required by the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and the California Department of Fish and Game Code. If construction activities and any required tree removal occur during the breeding season (February 1 and August 31), a qualified biologist shall be required to conduct surveys prior to tree removal or construction activities. Preconstruction surveys are not required for tree removal or construction activities outside the nesting period. If construction would occur during the nesting season (February 1 to August 31), preconstruction surveys shall be conducted no more than 14 days prior to the start of tree removal or construction. Preconstruction surveys shall be repeated at 14-day intervals until construction has been initiated in the area after which surveys can be stopped. Locations of active nests containing viable eggs or young birds shall be documented and protective measures implemented under the direction of the qualified biologist until the nests no longer contain eggs or young birds. Protective measures shall include establishment of clearly delineated exclusion zones (i.e., demarcated by identifiable fencing, such as orange construction fencing or equivalent) around each nest location as determined by a qualified biologist, taking into account the species of birds nesting, their tolerance for disturbance and proximity to existing development. In general, exclusion zones shall be a minimum of 300 feet for raptors and 75 feet for passerines and other birds. The active nest within an exclusion zone shall be monitored on a weekly basis throughout the nesting season to identify signs of disturbance and confirm nesting status. The radius of an exclusion zone may be increased by the qualified biologist if project activities are determined to be adversely affecting the nesting birds. Exclusion zones may be reduced by the qualified biologist only in consultation with California Department of Fish and Wildlife. The protection measures shall remain in effect until the young have left the nest and are foraging independently or the nest is no longer active.

MM BIO-4:

In order to allow the movement of wildlife, only 5,000 square feet (excluding the principal building) of net lot area may be enclosed with solid board fencing. Fencing shall not be located within the Slope Easement on the property.

MM BIO-5:

The project shall comply with Chapter 14.15: Landscape Ordinance of the City of Cupertino's Municipal Code. Turf shall not be planted on slopes greater than 25% and as the site is located within in an area designated wildland urban interface by Chapter 16.74, fire-prone plant materials and highly flammable mulches are strongly discouraged. Plants shall be selected, arranged and maintained to provide defensible space for wildfire protection, in conformance with California Public Resources Code Section 4291. The installation of invasive plant species and noxious weeds is prohibited.

d. CULTURAL RESOURCES MITIGATION MEASURES

MM CR-1:

In the event that any prehistoric or historic subsurface cultural resources are discovered during ground disturbing activities, all work should be temporarily halted in the vicinity of the discovered materials and workers should avoid altering the materials and their context until a qualified professional archaeologist has evaluated the situation and provided appropriate recommendations. Project personnel should not collect cultural resources. The City of Cupertino (or its representative) shall consult with a qualified archaeologist or paleontologist to assess the significance of the find. If any find is determined to be significant, the City of Cupertino (or its representative) and the archaeologist and/or paleontologist would meet to determine the appropriate avoidance measures. All significant cultural materials recovered shall be subject to scientific analysis, professional museum duration, and a report prepared by the qualified archaeologist according to current professional standards.

e. NOISE MITIGATION MEASURES

MM NOI-1:

The following construction noise mitigation measures shall be taken in order to reduce noise event impacts to nearby receptor areas and shall be incorporated into the building plan set **prior to grading and/or building permit issuance**:

• Construction activities shall be limited to the hours of 7:00 am to 8:00 pm on weekdays and 9:00 am to 6:00 pm on weekends. Construction activities are prohibited on holidays.

- All construction equipment shall use noise-reduction features that are no less effective than those originally installed by the manufacturer. If no noise-reduction features were originally installed, then the contractor shall require that at least a muffler be installed on the equipment.
- No individual device will produce a noise level more than 87 dBA at a distance of twenty-five feet or the noise level on any nearby property does not exceed 80 dBA.

PASSED AND ADOPTED at an Regular Meeting of the Planning Commission of the City of Cupertino the 26th day of September 2017, by the following roll call vote:

| Vote: | Members of the Planning Commission | on: |
|----------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------|
| AYES: NOES: ABSTAIN: | | |
| ABSENT: | | |
| | | |
| ATTEST: | | APPROVED: |
| | | |
| Benjamin Fu | | Don Sun |
| Assist. Direc | tor of Community Development | Chair, Planning Commission |

Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program

Qi Residence

Lot 1 Lindy Lane, APN# 356-25-031 September 2017

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversig | tht of |
|--------------------------|---|-------------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|
| | | Responsibility for | Compliance | Impleme | ntation |
| | | Implementation | _ | _ | |
| | GEOLOGY A | AND SOILS | | | |
| Impact A – Geo | Mitigation Measure GEO-1: | At the final design | The Director of | Director o | f Public |
| Expose people or | Construction Shoring Plans - Prior to | phase, the project | Public Works | Works, City (| Geologist |
| structures to potential | approval of grading permits, shoring plans | proponent shall be | and City | | |
| substantial adverse | shall be submitted to the City for review and | responsible for | Geologist shall | | |
| effects, including the | approval. The contractor/geotechnical | ensuring that the | review the design- | | |
| risk of loss, injury, or | consultant shall document the existing | recommendations in | level geotechnical | | |
| death involving: | conditions along the neighboring property | the final-design level | analysis and | | |
| • i) Rupture of a | prior to grading. | geotechnical | construction | | |
| known | | investigation are | documents, | | |
| earthquake | Mitigation Measure GEO-2: | incorporated into the | contracts, and | | |
| fault, as | The project plans and shoring plans shall be | final project design. | project plans | | |
| delineated on | reviewed by the project geotechnical | These | prior to issuance | | |
| the most recent | consultant to assure that their | recommendations | of grading and/or | | |
| Alquist-Priolo | recommendations have been properly | shall be printed on all | building permits. | | |
| Earthquake | incorporated. The Construction Shoring | construction | | | |
| Fault Zoning | Plans, and Geotechnical Plan Review shall be | documents, contracts, | | | |
| Map issued by | submitted to the City for review and | and project plans. | | | |
| the State | approval by City staff prior to issuance of | | | | |
| Geologist for the | building permits. | At the construction | | | |
| area or based on | | phase, the project | | | |
| other | Mitigation Measure GEO-3: | proponent shall be | | | |
| substantial | The Project Geotechnical Consultant shall | responsible for | | | |
| evidence of a | inspect, test (as needed), and approve all | completing all | | | |
| known fault? | geotechnical aspects of the project | improvements related | | | |
| Refer to | construction. The inspections shall include, | to these measures. | | | |
| Division of | but not necessarily be limited to: site | | | | |
| Mines and | preparation and grading, site surface and | | | | |
| Geology Special | subsurface drainage improvements and | | | | |
| Publication 42. | excavations for foundations and retaining | | | | |

| Strong seismic ground shaking? Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? Landslides? Impact B – Geo Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Impact C – Geo Strong seismic walls prior to the placement of steel and concrete. The results of these inspections and the as-built conditions of the project should be described by the Project Geotechnical Consultant in a letter and submitted to the Town Engineer for review prior to final (as-built) project approval. Walls prior to the placement of steel and concrete. The results of these inspections and the as-built conditions of the project should be described by the Project Geotechnical Consultant in a letter and submitted to the Town Engineer for review prior to final (as-built) project approval. | Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation | Method of Compliance | Oversight of Implementation |
|--|---|--|---|-------------------------|--------------------------------|
| unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? Impact D – Geo Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code, creating substantial risks to life or property? | ground shaking? • Seismic-related ground failure, including liquefaction? • Landslides? Impact B – Geo Result in substantial soil erosion or the loss of topsoil? Impact C – Geo Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, liquefaction or collapse? Impact D – Geo Be located on expansive soil, as defined in Table 18-1-B of the Uniform Building Code, creating substantial risks to life or | concrete. The results of these inspections and the as-built conditions of the project should be described by the Project Geotechnical Consultant in a letter and submitted to the Town Engineer for review prior to final (as- | Implementation | | |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversight of | | | |
|---------------------------|---|------------------------|------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Responsibility for | Compliance | Implementation | | | |
| | | Implementation | | | | | |
| | AIR QUALITY | | | | | | |
| Impact A- AIR | Mitigation Measure AIR-1: | During the | Improvements | Director of Community | | | |
| Conflict with or obstruct | | construction and post- | related to these | Development | | | |
| implementation of the | 1. All exposed surfaces (e.g., parking areas, | construction phases, | measures shall | | | | |
| applicable air quality | staging areas, soil piles, graded areas, and | the project proponent | be printed on | | | | |
| plan? | unpaved access roads) shall be watered two | shall be responsible | all construction | | | | |
| Impact B – AIR | times per day. | for implementing | documents, | | | | |
| Violate any air quality | 2. All haul trucks transporting soil, sand, or | these measures, | contracts, and | | | | |
| standard or contribute | other loose material off-site shall be covered. | including | project plans | | | | |
| substantially to an | | improvements. | and shall be | | | | |
| existing or projected air | 3. All visible mud or dirt track-out onto | | reviewed by | | | | |
| quality violation? | adjacent public roads shall be removed using | | the Director of | | | | |
| Impact C - AIR | wet power vacuum street sweepers at least | | Community | | | | |
| Result in a cumulatively | once per day. The use of dry power | | Development | | | | |
| considerable net | sweeping is prohibited. | | prior to | | | | |
| increase of any criteria | 4. All vehicle speeds on unpaved roads shall | | issuance of | | | | |
| pollutant for which the | be limited to 15 mph. | | grading and/or | | | | |
| project region is non- | 5. All roadways, driveways, and sidewalks | | building | | | | |
| attainment under an | to be paved shall be completed as soon as | | permits. | | | | |
| applicable federal or | possible. Building pads shall be laid as soon | | | | | | |
| state ambient air quality | as possible after grading unless seeding or | | | | | | |
| standard (including | soil binders are used. | | | | | | |
| releasing emissions | | | | | | | |
| which exceed | 6. Idling times shall be minimized either by | | | | | | |
| quantitative thresholds | shutting equipment off when not in use or | | | | | | |
| for ozone precursors)? | reducing the maximum idling time to 5 | | | | | | |
| Impact D - AIR | minutes (as required by the California | | | | | | |
| Expose sensitive | airborne toxics control measure Title 13, | | | | | | |
| receptors to substantial | Section 2485 of California Code of | | | | | | |
| pollutant | Regulations [CCR]). Clear signage shall be | | | | | | |
| concentrations? | provided for construction workers at all | | | | | | |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversight of |
|----------------------------|---|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|
| Impact | Willigation Weasure | Responsibility for | Compliance | Implementation |
| | | Implementation | Compliance | implementation |
| | access points. | Implementation | | |
| | - | | | |
| | 7. All construction equipment shall be | | | |
| | maintained and properly tuned in | | | |
| | accordance with manufacturer's | | | |
| | specifications. All equipment shall be | | | |
| | checked by a certified visible emissions | | | |
| | evaluator. | | | |
| | 8. Post a publicly visible sign with the | | | |
| | telephone number and person to contact at | | | |
| | the lead agency regarding dust complaints. | | | |
| | This person shall respond and take | | | |
| | corrective action within 48 hours. The Air | | | |
| | District's phone number shall also be visible | | | |
| | to ensure compliance with applicable | | | |
| | regulations. | | | |
| | | | | |
| | BIOLOGICAL | | | |
| IMPACT A - BIO | Mitigation Measure BIO-3: | If construction | If grading and/or | Director of Community |
| Have a substantial | 1. Nests of raptors and other birds shall be | activities and any | tree removal | Development |
| adverse effect, either | protected when in active use, as required by | required tree removal | begins during the | |
| directly or through | the federal Migratory Bird Treaty Act and | occur during the | stated dates in the | |
| habitat modifications, on | the California Department of Fish and Game | breeding season | mitigation | |
| any species identified as | Code. If construction activities and any | (February 1 and | measure, A final | |
| a candidate, sensitive, or | required tree removal occur during the | August 31), a qualified | report of nesting | |
| special status species in | breeding season (February 1 and August 31), | biologist (hired by the | birds, including | |
| local or regional plans, | a qualified biologist shall be required to | Project proponent) is | any protection | |
| policies, or regulations, | conduct surveys prior to tree removal or | responsible to shall be | measures, shall | |
| or by the California | construction activities. Preconstruction | required to conduct | be submitted to | |
| Department of Fish and | surveys are not required for tree removal or | surveys prior to tree | the Director of | |
| | construction activities outside the nesting | removal or | Community | |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversight of |
|-----------------------|--|--------------------------|--------------------|----------------|
| | | Responsibility for | Compliance | Implementation |
| | | Implementation | _ | |
| Game or U.S. Fish and | period. If construction would occur during | construction activities. | Development | |
| Wildlife Service? | the nesting season (February 1 to August | Preconstruction | prior to the start | |
| | 31), preconstruction surveys shall be | surveys are not | of grading. | |
| | conducted no more than 14 days prior to the | required for tree | | |
| | start of tree removal or construction. | removal or | | |
| | Preconstruction surveys shall be repeated at | construction activities | | |
| | 14-day intervals until construction has been | outside the nesting | | |
| | initiated in the area after which surveys can | period. If construction | | |
| | be stopped. Locations of active nests | would occur during | | |
| | containing viable eggs or young birds shall | the nesting season | | |
| | be documented and protective measures | (February 1 to August | | |
| | implemented under the direction of the | 31), preconstruction | | |
| | qualified biologist until the nests no longer | surveys shall be | | |
| | contain eggs or young birds. Protective | conducted no more | | |
| | measures shall include establishment of | than 14 days prior to | | |
| | clearly delineated exclusion zones (i.e., | the start of tree | | |
| | demarcated by identifiable fencing, such as | removal or | | |
| | orange construction fencing or equivalent) | construction. | | |
| | around each nest location as determined by | Preconstruction | | |
| | a qualified biologist, taking into account the | surveys shall be | | |
| | species of birds nesting, their tolerance for | repeated at 14-day | | |
| | disturbance and proximity to existing | intervals until | | |
| | development. In general, exclusion zones | construction has been | | |
| | shall be a minimum of 300 feet for raptors | initiated in the area | | |
| | and 75 feet for passerines and other birds. | after which surveys | | |
| | The active nest within an exclusion zone | can be stopped. | | |
| | shall be monitored on a weekly basis | | | |
| | throughout the nesting season to identify | | | |
| | signs of disturbance and confirm nesting | | | |
| | status. The radius of an exclusion zone may | | | |
| | be increased by the qualified biologist if | | | |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversight of |
|---|---|--|--------------------------|----------------|
| | | Responsibility for Implementation | Compliance | Implementation |
| | project activities are determined to be | Implementation | | |
| | adversely affecting the nesting birds. | | | |
| | Exclusion zones may be reduced by the | | | |
| | qualified biologist only in consultation with | | | |
| | California Department of Fish and Wildlife. | | | |
| | The protection measures shall remain in | | | |
| | effect until the young have left the nest and | | | |
| | are foraging independently or the nest is no | | | |
| | longer active. | | | |
| IMPACT D – BIO | Mitigation Measure BIO-4: | Ongoing mitigation. | Construction plan | |
| Interfere substantially | In order to allow the movement of wildlife, | | sets shall | |
| with the movement of | only 5,000 square feet (excluding the | | demonstrate | |
| any native resident or | principal building) of net lot area may be | | compliance with | |
| migratory fish or | enclosed with solid board fencing. Fencing | | mitigation | |
| wildlife species or with | shall not be located within the Slope | | measure. Prior to | |
| established native | Easement on the property. | | final occupancy | |
| resident or migratory | | | and Ongoing | |
| wildlife corridors, or | | | monitoring by | |
| impede the use of native | | | City Staff. | |
| wildlife nursery sites? IMPACT E - BIO | Mitiation Massace BIO 1 | At the Circal designs | The | |
| Conflict with any local | Mitigation Measure BIO-1: 1. The applicant shall plant replacement trees | At the final design phase, the project | recommendations | |
| policies or ordinances | in accordance with the replacements | proponent shall be | from the tree | |
| protecting biological | requirements of the Protected Tree | responsible for | report shall be | |
| resources, such as a tree | Ordinance. The trees shall be planted prior to | including the | printed on all | |
| preservation policy or | final occupancy of site permits. | mitigation measures | construction | |
| ordinance? | 2. Any replacement tree or tree required to | on construction | documents, | |
| IMPACT F – BIO | be planted for screening, shall be a native | documents, contracts, | contracts, and | |
| Conflict with the | California Oak (Coast Live Oak) or any | and project plans. | project plans and | |
| provisions of an adopted | native species listed in the Protected Tree | | shall be reviewed | |
| Habitat Conservation | Ordinance. | | by the Director of | |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversight of |
|----------------------------|--|---------------------|-------------------|----------------|
| | | Responsibility for | Compliance | Implementation |
| | | Implementation | | |
| Plan, Natural | | At the construction | Community | |
| Community | Mitigation Measure BIO-2: | phase, the project | Development | |
| Conservation Plan, or | 1. On-site irrigation shall be required at the | proponent shall be | prior to issuance | |
| other approved local, | start of construction until completion of | responsible for | of grading and/or | |
| regional, or state habitat | construction to assure the survival of the | ensuring the | building permits. | |
| conservation plan? | trees near the construction envelope. | recommendations are | | |
| | 2. The existing trees to remain shall be | implemented. | | |
| | protected during construction per the City's | | | |
| | Protected Tree Ordinance (Chapter 14.18 of | | | |
| | the Municipal Code). The City's standard | | | |
| | tree protection measures (City of Cupertino | | | |
| | Standard Detail 6- 4) shall be listed on the | | | |
| | plans, and protective fencing shall be | | | |
| | installed around the trees to remain prior to | | | |
| | issuance of building permits. A report | | | |
| | ascertaining the good health of these trees | | | |
| | shall be provided prior to issuance of final | | | |
| | occupancy. | | | |
| | Mitigation Measure BIO-5: | | | |
| | The project shall comply with Chapter 14.15: | | | |
| | Landscape Ordinance of the City of | | | |
| | Cupertino's Municipal Code. Turf shall not | | | |
| | be planted on slopes greater than 25% and as | | | |
| | the site is located within in an area | | | |
| | designated wildland urban interface by | | | |
| | Chapter 16.74, fire-prone plant materials and | | | |
| | highly flammable mulches are strongly | | | |
| | discouraged. Plants shall be selected, | | | |
| | arranged and maintained to provide | | | |
| | defensible space for wildfire protection, in | | | |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and Responsibility for Implementation | Method of Compliance | Oversight of Implementation |
|--|---|---|--|---|
| | conformance with California Public Resources Code Section 4291. The installation of invasive plant species and noxious weeds is prohibited. | RESOURCES | | |
| IMPACT A – CR | Mitigation Measure CR- 1 | During site | All archaeological | D: |
| Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of a historical resource as defined in §15064.5? IMPACT B – CR Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an archaeological resource pursuant to §15064.5? IMPACT C – CR Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site or unique geologic feature? IMPACT D – CR Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries? | In the event that any prehistoric or historic subsurface cultural resources are discovered during ground disturbing activities, all work should be temporarily halted in the vicinity of the discovered materials and workers should avoid altering the materials and their context until a qualified professional archaeologist has evaluated the situation and provided appropriate recommendations. Project personnel should not collect cultural resources. The City of Cupertino (or its representative) shall consult with a qualified archaeologist or paleontologist to assess the significance of the find. If any find is determined to be significant, the City of Cupertino (or its representative) and the archaeologist and/or paleontologist would meet to determine the appropriate avoidance measures. All significant cultural materials recovered shall be subject to scientific analysis, professional museum duration, and a report prepared by the qualified archaeologist according to current professional standards. | excavation, the project proponent shall be responsible for implementation of Archaeological monitoring. Monitoring shall be conducted during earthmoving activities by a qualified archaeologist. During construction, the project proponent and contractor shall be responsible for notification of any discoveries. | monitoring and reporting measures shall be printed on all construction documents, contracts, and project plans and shall be reviewed by the Director of Community Development prior to issuance of grading and/or permits. | Director of Community Development |

| Impact | Mitigation Measure | Timeframe and | Method of | Oversight of |
|---------------------------|---|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|
| | | Responsibility for | Compliance | Implementation |
| | | Implementation | | |
| | NO | ISE | | |
| IMPACT A – NOI | Mitigation Measure NOI- 1: | At the construction | All attenuation | Director of Public |
| Exposure of persons to, | • Construction activities shall be limited to | phase, the project | measures shall | Works |
| or generation of, noise | the hours of 7:00 am to 8:00 pm on | proponent and | be printed on | |
| levels in excess of | weekdays and 9:00 am to 6:00 pm on | contractor shall be | construction | |
| standards established in | weekends. Construction activities are | responsible for | documents, | |
| the local general plan or | prohibited on holidays. | completing all | contracts, and | |
| noise ordinance, or | • All construction equipment shall use | improvements. | project plans and | |
| applicable standards of | noise- reduction features that are no less | | reviewed by the | |
| other agencies? | effective than those originally installed by | | Director of Public | |
| IMPACT D – NOI | the manufacturer. If no noise- reduction | | Works prior to | |
| A substantial temporary | features were originally installed, then the | | issuance of | |
| or periodic increase in | contractor shall require that at least a | | grading and/or | |
| ambient noise levels in | muffler be installed on the equipment. | | building permits. | |
| the project vicinity | • No individual device will produce a noise | | | |
| above levels existing | level more than 87 dBA at a distance of | | | |
| without the project? | twenty-five feet or the noise level on any | | | |
| | nearby property does not exceed 80 dBA. | | | |